# Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at <a href="mailto:transcripts@usccr.gov">transcripts@usccr.gov</a>."

### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, APRIL 11, 2014

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding.

## PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner\*

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner\*

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner\*

MARLENE SALLO, Staff Director

\* Present via telephone

## ALSO PRESENT:

BLAKE AINSWORTH, U.S. GAO

KATHY PEYMAN, U.S. GAO

SHANNON SMITH, U.S. GAO

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

STAFF PRESENT:

MARGARET BUTLER

BARBARA de LA VIEZ, Deputy Director

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

ALFREDA GREENE

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM

LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU

JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, BFD

EILEEN RUDERT

ROREY SMITH, General Counsel, OGC

MICHELE YORKMAN, Director, IT

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

ALEC DEULL

KENESHIA GRANT

CARISSA MULDER

JUANA SILVERIO

ALISON SOMIN

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

### A-G-E-N-D-A

I.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA5
	PROGRAM PLANNING ssion of Concept Papers and Future Briefings5
	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS Director's Report
	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) APPOINTMENTS
V.	ADJOURN BRIEFING41

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:30 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. We have a
4	quorum now, so I'm going to gavel this meeting to order.
5	Good morning, everyone. This is a
6	business meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.
7	It's taking place today, April 11, 2014. The hour is
8	now 9:30 exactly and we're meeting at the Commission's
9	headquarters, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., in
10	Washington, D.C.
11	I'm Chairman Marty Castro. The
12	Commissioners who are present with me are Commissioner
13	Heriot. As you can see, our other Commissioners are
14	participating by phone. They are Commissioner
15	Achtenberg, Commissioner Kladney and Commissioner
16	Yaki. We don't believe that Commissioner Kirsanow will
17	be participating today, but if he does, I'm sure he'll
18	let us know when he's on the phone.
19	Is the court reporter present? Yes. We
20	have a quorum present. Is the staff director present?
21	MS. SALLO: Present.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So the meeting
23	will now come to order. The first item of course as
24	always is Approval of the Agenda.

1	I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I approve that we
3	approve the agenda. Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Are there any
7	amendments?
8	(No audible response.)
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, let's vote
10	to approve the agenda. All in favor, say aye?
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed?
13	(No audible response.)
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
15	(No audible response.)
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. The ayes have it,
17	unanimously.
18	So the first item on our agenda is Program
19	Planning.
20	II. PROGRAM PLANNING
21	Discussion of Concept Papers and Future
22	Briefings
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We're going to have a
24	update on various projects. And for that, I will turn
	NEAL R. GROSS

1 it over to our staff director who will talk to us about 2 concept papers and future briefings, etcetera. 3 MS. SALLO: Good morning. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good morning. I wanted to first address the 5 MS. SALLO: 6 submission of concept papers for potential briefings to 7 be scheduled during fiscal year 2015. I wanted to 8 advise everyone that we received a total of 22 concept 9 Those concept papers need to be reviewed by our 10 Office of General Counsel. The concept papers will 11 then be returned to their respective Commissioners with 12 an indication as to whether the concept paper needs to 13 be revised or whether the concept paper -- not revised, 14 but tweaked or whether the concept paper is completely 15 outside the scope of the jurisdiction. And then at that 16 point in time we would ask for the Commissioners to make 17 the necessary changes, if they choose to do so, and then 18 resubmit with the hope that we can have finalization of 19 all of the papers to be considered at the June meeting. 20 I also wanted to remind everyone that we 21 currently have several papers in the pipeline. 22 that the sex trafficking and the Arab Muslim paper --23 the Commissioners were kind enough already to provide 24 us with their edits. Both papers will be submitted for

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	ρt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.qc	v

1	legal sufficiency review with the hope that we can get
2	that completed and returned to the Commissioners for
3	consideration at the May meeting. If that is not
4	possible, then we will definitely target it for the June
5	meeting.
6	In addition, we still have three other
7	briefing papers. That's the NVRA Section 7, the
8	Religious Liberties paper, as well as the Vets and Civil
9	Rights paper. I will be circulating at least one next
10	week for review by the Commissioners, hopefully two, so
11	that we can also put that in queue and start the process.
12	And we continue to work on the Patient
13	Dumping paper. I believe that next week, April 14th,
14	is the deadline for public comments for a statutory
15	enforcement report on a hearing that occurred last
16	month. And I will keep you updated as to where we are
17	at with the drafting of the statutory enforcement
18	report.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If I could just
20	interrupt you, Madam Staff Director.
21	The Commissioners on the phone, could you
22	mute your phone? We're getting a little bit of
23	background noise. Thank you.
24	Go ahead.

1 MS. SALLO: I'm sorry. So that's the 2 concept papers. 3 So then we also have two that are pending. 4 We have the -- well, it's for briefing. It's not 5 I'm sorry. And so those are scheduled for papers. 6 July, as well as a date that needed to be set as it 7 applied to the Stand Your Ground. So first, I want to 8 give you an update on the Stand Your Ground, because it was left to the decision of the Chair and the Staff 9 Director as to which date it would be. 10 Based on the feedback that I received from the Commissioners and 11 12 their availability and based on the fact that September 13 should not be a month that we travel, because that is 14 when I close out the fiscal year. 15 We are looking to do the Stand your Ground 16 briefing in October. As such, next week on Monday we 17 will be visiting some of the sites in Orlando to try to 18 ascertain which would be the best site for us to hold 19 the briefing. And so I will then circle back and 20 provide that information to the Commissioners. 21 it stands, it will have to be in October of this year, 22 the briefing for Stand your Ground. 23 And then we also have the Sexual Harassment 24 briefing that's scheduled for July. So at this point

in time we've identified speakers and we are also in the process of setting up the process to receive any discovery that we need. So I wanted to provide you with that information as well.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Staff Director. I don't know if there's any questions with that. Actually, I have one.

I understand that, Commissioner Heriot, when we approved your initial concept for the July briefing it involved universities and now it's been expanded, to my understanding, to include K through 12 in the schools. And I think that that's a little bit of a -- well, a lot of a broad expansion beyond what we had agreed upon. So what I'd like to do is kind of open that for discussion with the other Commissioners. think it's only fair that, you know, we vote on something in terms of the substantive focus and that that not change dramatically as this appears to have done. if in fact you do want to include -- I'll give you a chance to talk -- if you do want to include colleges and universities and K through 12 together, then I would suggest we need to re-vote on that, or vote on a separate paper on K through 12.

So go ahead and respond and then I'll open

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	it up to any other Commissioners that have comments.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: My understanding is
3	it is in the transcript for the meeting, that we did in
4	fact vote on both and that it was mentioned in the
5	meeting, it was clear in the meeting, and that's what
6	we voted on.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's not my
8	recollection. I don't know if anyone has any
9	information on that.
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Why don't we check
11	the transcript then?
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So is Alison going to go
13	get a copy?
13 14	<pre>get a copy?  COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to</pre>
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to
14 15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.
14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we
14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we have any other Commissioners who want to address this
14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we have any other Commissioners who want to address this issue?
14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we have any other Commissioners who want to address this issue?  COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I do, Mr. Chairman.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we have any other Commissioners who want to address this issue?  COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I do, Mr. Chairman.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, go ahead,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, she's going to go try to get a copy of it.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In the interim, do we have any other Commissioners who want to address this issue?  COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I do, Mr. Chairman.  CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, go ahead,  Commissioner Kladney.

about misstating the law. There were concerns about
misstatement of the law in the new guidance, which I
think was in May of 2013, something like that. And also
there were concerns about whether it would infringe upon
constitutionally-protected speech of the university
students, faculty and staff. There was no mention of
obtaining documents from all these schools, whether K
through 12 or university, and I think that's beyond the
scope of the paper because it was these two issues,
that would be the briefing and then we would do so with
government enforcement people, experts on either side
of the issue regarding the misstatement of the law on
whether it chilled constitutional rights and scholars.
I didn't foresee reams and reams of documents coming
from nine universities, nor was there any mention of it
in the blurb. So I would object to that type of
discovery.
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Blurbs never
contain all of the information about what a briefing
does. That's why they're called blurbs. They're
usually three sentences long.
COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
Achtenberg?

1		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: (No audible
2	response.)	
3		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
4	Achtenberg?	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Hello?
5		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sounded like you were
6	asking to s	peak.
7		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
8		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
9	Achtenberg,	go ahead.
10		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Hello?
11		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hello?
12		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: You've got me,
13	Roberta.	
14		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Oh, okay.
15		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead.
16		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: This is Kladney.
17		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We can hear you,
18	Commissione	r Achtenberg. Can you hear us?
19		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So we don't have
20	the others?	
21		COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I don't think so.
22	Hold on a se	econd.
23		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
24	can you hea:	r me?

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: (No audible
2	response.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, we're not on mute,
4	so
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I just messaged
6	Kaneesha. Hopefully she'll get back to me and let me
7	know.
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I thought you
9	stated it very well. I was just going to speak up to
10	concur.
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: We can't hear him.
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Hello?
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Hello?
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We can hear you. Can
15	you hear us?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Hello? Hello?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That was me joining,
18	rejoining.
19	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, we're
20	talking to each other. Oh, you can hear us?
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, we can hear you.
22	Can you hear us?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: We can't hear them.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We can hear you. You
	NEAL R. GROSS

Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript
without obtaining written permission from the U.S.
Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov.

1	obviously cannot hear us. They still can't hear us.
2	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So I just got an
3	email from Marty saying we can hear you.
4	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Right. They can
5	hear us, but we can't hear them.
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Right.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So
8	MEMBER KLADNEY: I'm going to ask them if
9	we should call back in. Wait a minute.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just did that.
11	MEMBER KLADNEY: Oh, you got us?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, so it's got to be
13	on their end.
14	MEMBER KLADNEY: Yes, they can hear us.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Now, I have a question.
16	Do these emails have to be a part of the transcript now?
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So I can't start
19	making colorful comments about technology.
20	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes, I was about
21	to say. No joke, you guys.
22	MEMBER KLADNEY: They can hear us, so let's
23	be quiet and try and see what they want us to do here.
24	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Okay. So they
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	said go ahead and discuss because they can hear us.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So I just told them to go
3	ahead and discuss their points. So let them finish.
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: So I was going
5	to ask for recognition from the Chair to express my
6	concurrence with the views as expressed by Commission
7	Kladney.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I will ask for
9	recognition and virtually receive recognition
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: and join my
12	colleagues Commissioners Achtenberg and Kladney with my
13	understanding that the vote had been limited to the
14	university college level and not to K through 12.
15	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: And
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I yield back the floor
17	to the virtual other Commissioners.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I just texted them to let
19	them know we're going to take the system down and reboot
20	it.
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I
22	was just going to inquire of Commissioner Yaki whether
23	or not is concurrence extends to the question that
24	Commissioner Kladney expressed with regard to polling

1 the nine university campuses, none of which was made 2. mention of in the concept paper and seems like quite an 3 extension beyond the government --4 (Whereupon, at 9:43 a.m. off the record 5 until 9:49 a.m.) 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We're going to go 7 back on the record. have been handed portions of 8 the 9 transcript of the meeting where we voted on this topic, 10 and the motion as it was put forward by me. Apparently 11 we couldn't find the transcript exactly where the issue 12 came up, but Commissioner Heriot surmises she sent us 13 an email indicating that she was going to add K through 14 12 because in the motion it says, as we were replacing 15 the ADA concept paper with this one, quote, "And in 16 addition, comma, that we would replace the ADA Online 17 Accessibility concept paper previously approved by the 18 Commission as a briefing topic and replace it with a 19 topic presented by Commissioner Heriot on sexual 20 harassment and higher education, period. And also she 21 amended that to add K through 12. So that is the motion. 22 Is there a second?" 23 And it was seconded by Commissioner Yaki. 24 And the vote occurred. Let's see, we don't have the

1 vote here, but obviously -- yes, Commissioner Yaki voted 2. yes; Commissioner Kladney, yes; Commissioner Heriot, 3 yes; Commissioner Achtenberg, yes; Kirsanow, yes; the 4 Vice-Chair, yes; myself, yes. 5 So that does address the K through 12 I'm not sure if it addresses the issues that 6 7 you raised, Commissioner Kladney, so -- and that is 8 about the -- I think you were talking about subpoenas. The discovery, yes. 9 MEMBER KLADNEY: 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. 11 MEMBER KLADNEY: The discovery was --12 because this is how I felt, was it was a new guidance 13 and we were going to look into whether it followed the 14 current law; and it was the Harris case. And we were 15 going to see how DOJ and the Office of Civil Rights of 16 DOE was enforcing it by getting their documents. 17 weren't going to go to nine universities and nine school 18 districts and get all their documents regarding sexual 19 harassment or anything like that. That is not what this 20 blurb said. That was never mentioned. And I object to 21 any of that discovery. And I make a motion that we not 22 conduct that discovery. We don't need discovery from 23 DOJ and DOE Office of Civil Rights.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

24

Is there a second to that

1	motion?			
2	C	OMMISSIONER	ACHTENBERG:	Second.
3	C	HAIRMAN	CASTRO:	Discussion?
4	Commissioner	Heriot?		
5	C	OMMISSIONER	HERIOT: Let'	s see, how can I
6	put this?			
7	M	EMBER KLADN	EY: Can she t	urn her mic on,
8	please?			
9	C	HAIRMAN CAST	TRO: Yes, you	need to put your
10	mic on.			
11	C	OMMISSIONER	HERIOT: Sor	ry. I'm trying
12	to think of a	polite way t	to put this. V	We tried to come
13	up with a bipar	ctisan set of	fissues that w	e would address.
14	And the Chairm	man told Com	missioner Kirs	sanow and I that
15	we would be ab	le to pick a	topic. And t	he idea was that
16	we would coope	rate in your	topics and in	return you would
17	let us have a	topic as t	he minority.	The
18	first thing th	nat happened	to unravel th	is deal was that
19	Commissioner	Kladney ob	jected somehow	, somewhere to
20	something and	had to undo	the entire de	al. Instead of
21	the topic tha	it we had se	elected for th	ne majority, he
22	wanted a diffe	rent topic a	nd we had to un:	ravel that deal,
23	recreate the d	eal. And th	his is basical	ly an unraveling
24	of a deal afte	er you've go	otten the vote	s. I object to

1 this greatly. 2. We had two people come in from the GAO 3 yesterday and talk to me, and they're here today. 4 I mistakenly told them that the Commission had been 5 doing reasonably well in cooperating on topics. Ι I find this to be 6 hereby withdraw that statement. 7 outrageous that after we have worked on this thing -and let's face it, ladies and gentlemen, we have not 8 9 turned out as many reports as we should. But now we're unraveling the deal and that's going to make it that much 10 11 more difficult to turn out good reports. I am outraged. 12 MEMBER KLADNEY: May Ι speak, Mr. 13 Chairman? 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Go ahead, Commissioner 15 Kladney. 16 MEMBER KLADNEY: In regards to the 17 changing of topics, it was not my idea, nor did I put 18 the idea forward of switching topics, Commission 19 Heriot. What occurred was the Office of General 20 Counsel scratched the topic that we had, our topic, my 21 topic that I put forward, and we had to come up with 22 They said that there was no -- it wasn't

didn't say that you had to put forth a different topic.

the federal question in the topic that we put forth.

23

24

another one.

You did that of your own discretion. That was not anything that was done at the Commission level with the Commissioners or with the staff. So I want the record to reflect that. It was the Office of General Counsel that required our side to obtain a new topic, which we did. You at your own discretion decided to change the topic and put forward this subject in this blurb in this form.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Number one, the idea is a deal if we select topics as a package. original idea was that the conservatives would select a topic that they could agree to from the list that the majority had submitted and vice versa. And so it went from we had picked the topic from your list that we thought was the best and you guys had picked a topic from our list that you thought was the best. switched to a topic that you liked better -- and let me add the OGC does not tell you which topics are with our The staff works for the jurisdiction and which are not. Commission. You do not work for the staff. So, no, I believe that topic was within our jurisdiction and you obviously though so, too, since you voted for it. that was an unraveled deal.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Let me add that when the current
Commissioners came on board two years ago, we scratched
several topics that were in the pipeline where reports
were being already prepared. That's why we don't have
as many reports as we should have, I believe. You know,
we're just putting ourselves in a position now where
we're going to create a report that is doing no good
whatsoever. It is something that we voted on. The
blurb was never intended to be a list of all the
discovery options. If you had wanted to vote on the
discovery, then you could have done that, but it's
months, months, months later now. To now go back and
say we need to start again is just silly.
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot
MEMBER KLADNEY: I would ask the
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Castro?
CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
let me just insert here a second.
We're not changing your topic. I mean, I
think you've proven to us that there's an expansion here
to K through 12, so that's the topic. We're not
changing the topic. The issue I guess is the scope of
the discovery. I'm going to have Commission Yaki and
then I'll send it back to you, Commission Heriot.

Commissioner Yaki, go ahead. 1 2 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, I am not going to 3 try and respond to everything that Commissioner Heriot 4 said, but I will say that as the current, with the 5 exception of Commissioner Kirsanow, who's not present, most-senior member of the Commission, there are some 6 things that she did raise that I need to respond to. 7 while 8 First, Ι qladly accede to 9 Commissioner Heriot's correctness in what topic was 10 that we voted for, there is nowhere in that topic and 11 nowhere in any topic that we have done that completely --12 unless it was specifically mentioned and specifically 13 discussed and specifically voted on, as we did, for 14 example, in the Stand Your Ground investigation 15 discussion, mention of how we would conduct specific 16 discovery. And that is not usually -- that is not up 17 to the discretion of staff to do. This is not just 18 directly in discovery. This is specific directed and 19 specific university discovery. And I would 20 just like to remind -- not remind, but to educate the 21 members of the audience who might be hearing this 22 slightly -- a history of what the Commission may or may 23 not have done, that this is not something that is usual 24 or normal. And if it is the desire of Commissioner

Heriot to direct discovery at these particular universities, then that is something that, yes, the Commission can decide on, but the Commission should at least vote on, which we have not been given the opportunity to do.

list Upon looking at the of the universities, I am somewhat -- I don't want to say suspicious. I would just simply say that we have in the past had a situation where we became entangled with the privacy and confidentiality issues with universities in the past and to the point where a Commissioner had to be told by the General Counsel to give up that data after we had completed the report because it violated the confidentiality provisions; which took months and months and months, by the way, to negotiate with these universities.

So when you go in this manner, when you target specific universities and you're targeting potentially confidential data that they may possess, I can tell you firsthand that that alone, if I recall correctly from that one briefing that we had, required months of negotiation and discussion with these universities, which means that the likelihood of anything happening in July is unlikely and unrealistic

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to begin with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Secondly, as I said before, that was a specific discussion and both by Commission as to those specific universities in that particular case -- and in this opportunity we were not given that opportunity, nor given the opportunity to contact or interview universities to see whether they were even predisposed to even negotiating with us in any timely manner or not, not that anything that would involve them would require their permission. Obviously that's not the case, but certainly would go a long way towards ascertaining what kind of a fight we may or may not have with regard to discovery on these kinds of issues.

So, you know, with that being said, I would strongly object to any discovery in any particular university mandated by any Commissioner as a part of a report. And as to what a deal is or not, you know, as frequently the lone Commissioner in the minority on this Commission for a period of five to six years, the notion that I would have complete carte blanche over any topic that may have been a part of any deal with the full majority, which I was in the minority, is something that would have happened only my dreams. Every topic that

I tried to get through, every topic that I tried to compose, every topic that I did manage to get through was controlled solely, completely and totally by the staff director in attempts by me to do anything otherwise were met with resistance and outright hostility by both staff and by the other Commissioners. So I would say that in this instance we've been more than fair. We've been more than open. just simply stating that in this particular instance, as in any other instance that we might have, that the issue of how this would be conducted, how this would be put together is at the discretion of the staff. the Commissioner has specific concerns or ideas or direction in which he or she wants it to go, they can bring that up specifically to the Commission and the Commission will specifically vote and thereby direct staff in order to conduct it. In this case we have not done that with these nine universities proposed by Commissioner Heriot and I think that therefore is out of order. So, Commissioner CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Heriot will speak next and then the staff director. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Coming from Commissioner Yaki the notion that discovery is bad a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	thing, Commissioner Yaki, the one thing that we have
2	agreed on consistently in the past
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait, wait, wait.
4	We
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki,
6	please let her finish.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, no.
8	Seriously, what do you mean discovery is a bad thing?
9	Go through the entire transcript of what I just said,
10	Commissioner Heriot. I never said anything of the
11	sort. I didn't say discovery is a bad thing. I said
12	this particular discovery, this particular narrowing
13	and specifying of certain universities is not something
14	we discussed on. It's not something that we voted on
15	and it is and has in the past been the subject of
16	extensive negotiation and time-consuming negotiations
17	between the Commission and universities.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
19	Heriot, please continue.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Again, the one thing
21	that Commissioner Yaki and I have agreed on consistently
22	in the past is that having briefings that don't produce
23	actual data are not good, that we really ought to be
24	doing exactly what then majority leader Lyndon Baines

Johnson said that the Commission was for, and that is to go out and find facts.

We have not been good at that. We have frequently brought professional talking heads to talk to us. They have said the same things they've said in the articles that they have written. What we really need to do is spend more time finding actual facts. There is no confidential material that we're talking about here. These are policies and presentations we're asking these universities to produce. And it seems to me a pretty easy thing. This is not time-consuming. This is something that can be done relatively quickly and I think it is useful.

As to whether or not we voted on this, I actually expected that this would have been put on the agenda. I was asked to produce a more complete concept paper. I did that. I expected it to be on the agenda. It never made it onto the agenda. I don't set the agenda. But after something has been lying around as many months as this, suddenly to be told that despite months and months of planning, you know, this is just off the agenda, after I voted in favor of projects that the majority wanted, to now be ambushed this way I think is completely inappropriate. CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

1	Madam Staff Director?
2	MS. SALLO: I just want to put on the record
3	that the General Counsel will be providing an opinion
4	as to whether any concept paper is beyond the scope or
5	not even meeting the scope of what we are required to
6	do. That is the General Counsel's job, and that is what
7	we will be doing.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And
9	MEMBER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
11	MEMBER KLADNEY: I would ask the staff
12	director to correct the record as far as Commissioner
13	Heriot's comments go about the statutory report and
14	whether in fact OGC directed that that be the statutory
15	report, the one about prisons and sentencing.
16	MS. SALLO: That is correct, Commissioner
17	Kladney. The General Counsel did meet with
18	Commissioner Kladney and a special assistant to advise
19	them that the report as written did not meet the
20	requirements of our statute, and therefore we were not
21	able to use that as a topic because it was beyond the
22	scope of our mission.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And in fact that's why
24	we're

1	MEMBER KLADNEY: And also we never asked
2	Commissioner Heriot or any other Commissioner to change
3	the other topic, did we? Did the staff do that?
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That was not my
5	argument. The point was that we had been asked to pick
6	from that list that the majority had the topic that we
7	were happiest with. And the majority picked form our
8	list the topic they were happiest with. And so for you
9	then to be able to pick a different topic meant that we
10	should be able to pick the topic we wanted most as well.
11	MEMBER KLADNEY: Commissioner Heriot, we
12	did not choose to pick a different topic. We did not
13	try to do that at all.
13 14	try to do that at all.  COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've
14 15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.
14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using
14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using our topic. I would have preferred to have done that
14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using our topic. I would have preferred to have done that topic, but I was told it did not fit the jurisdictional
14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using our topic. I would have preferred to have done that topic, but I was told it did not fit the jurisdictional parameters of the statutory report.
14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using our topic. I would have preferred to have done that topic, but I was told it did not fit the jurisdictional parameters of the statutory report.  COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So we got another
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's not what I've heard.  MEMBER KLADNEY: We were barred from using our topic. I would have preferred to have done that topic, but I was told it did not fit the jurisdictional parameters of the statutory report.  COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So we got another Nevada topic.

1	MEMBER KLADNEY: You did that in your own
2	discretion.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't think you
4	understand what I'm saying.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Okay.
6	Here's what we're going to do: I think, you know, we've
7	addressed the substantive matters here. We have the
8	process that we're now instituting where we are actually
9	having the OGC review our concept papers beforehand, not
10	afterwards so we don't end up in this problem again.
11	You do have your topic. It was voted on.
12	It's very clear. You made the case that it is higher
13	ed and K through 12. We are not taking that topic away
14	from you. The only concern is the scope of the
15	discovery. And so with a motion pending on that
16	specific issue, not removing your topic, but dealing
17	with the discovery issue, your topic remains in place.
18	You've obviously shown that, you know, we were in error,
19	that I was in error on my understanding of the expansion
20	of it.
21	And so now we will call the question on
22	Commissioner Kladney's motion.
23	Could you restate the motion,
24	Commissioner, just so that we're really clear?

1	MEMBER KLADNEY: The motion is to limit the								
2	discovery on this paper to what is stated in the blurb.								
3	That would be obtaining documents from DOJ and DOE, the								
4	Office of Civil Rights, that there be no discovery to								
5	school districts or universities.								
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And that motion has been								
7	seconded. I'm going to take a roll call vote on that.								
8	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?								
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: This is a first of								
10	many no votes.								
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,								
12	how do you vote?								
13	MEMBER KLADNEY: Yes.								
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner								
15	Achtenberg, how do you vote?								
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.								
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how								
18	do you vote?								
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, but after this								
20	vote I'm going to offer a possible substitute amendment.								
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And then I also								
22	vote yes. So the motion passes.								
23	Now, Commissioner Yaki, you have a								
24	substitute motion?								

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, I would say that with Commissioner Heriot Τ do agree on her characterization of my position that too often this Commission has not been engaged in the past; I'm talking about when I was in the minority, in getting real data for its reports, whether the were a briefing or whether they were statutory. I understand her point of view and I would simply say that the briefings, as I've often stated, are often a way of getting the full flavor, full facts, the full range of issues and discussions. one of my concerns with where this particular briefing was headed was that I did not unfortunately any logic as to the selection of those particular universities and how they related to this particular topic in specific. I do believe that -- simply that if in the discretion of the staff it would be useful to obtain any policies and procedures from any particular, from any randomly selected or easily accessible school districts or universities in their discretion in order to augment and fully flesh out the topic, I would say that would be appropriate, but I would leave that in the discretion of the staff for the purposes of managing the briefing. Because if we all really think about it, this could be a very long briefing if we were to attempt to go quite

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	as far afield as it already is right now with regard to									
2	the addition of the K through 12. So in that instance,									
3	I would simply say I would direct the staff to in their									
4	discretion and if needed in order to make this briefing									
5	more well-rounded, if in their discretion they seek to									
6	find some sample or representative policies from in each									
7	school district or university in their discretion, I									
8	would not object to that.									
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So is that									
10	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Is that a									
11	motion?									
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: a motion,									
13	Commissioner?									
14	(Laughter.)									
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.									
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Is there a									
17	second?									
18	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.									
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any additional									
20	discussion, or any discussion?									
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I									
22	think that sounds imminently reasonable and quite									
23	salutatory, which I think is a very good idea under the									

Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript
without obtaining written permission from the U.S.
Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov.

1	for contributing such a Solomon-like addition to the
2	prior motion.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
4	MEMBER KLADNEY: And if I may add
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
6	Kladney.
7	MEMBER KLADNEY: some clarification,
8	Commissioner Yaki? Is that the staff director makes
9	these decisions? I mean, there has to be one person,
10	right, not just the staff?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, I mean, all
12	these are ultimately at the discretion of the staff
13	director working with the staff, so yes, it's going to
14	be the judgment of the and ultimately it's the
15	judgment of the staff director who is ultimately
16	responsible for making an arranged brief.
17	MEMBER KLADNEY: Then I can concur.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions,
19	comments?
20	(No audible response.)
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing no, we'll vote
22	on that motion.
23	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
	11=11 - 0-00

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
2	how do you vote?
3	MEMBER KLADNEY: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
5	do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
8	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. It
11	passes 4 to 1.
12	Okay. We now move onto Management and
13	Operations, and I'll turn it over to the staff director
14	to give us her monthly report.
15	MEMBER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes?
17	MEMBER KLADNEY: I'd like to make one
18	comment.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
20	MEMBER KLADNEY: Someone said that this
21	concept paper has been around for months. We just
22	received it in my office this month, just like two weeks
23	ago. So I just want to put that for the record. I don't
24	know why it wasn't distributed widely before that, but

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	rely	on	thi	sι	ıncor	rec	ted	trans	cr	ipt
wit	hout	obt	aiı	ning	g wr	itte	en p	ern	nissi	on	from	the	U.	S.
Cor	nmiss	ion	on	Civ	vil	Rial	nts	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	ır.	aov

1	that is when we received it. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We're moving or
3	now to Management and Operations. Madam Staff
4	Director?
5	III. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
6	MS. SALLO: Yes, sir. I wanted to advise
7	everyone that unfortunately for the Commission Eileer
8	Rudert will be retiring after 29 years with the
9	Commission. So I wanted to let everyone know that she
10	will be greatly missed. I've truly enjoyed working
11	with her in the almost three years that I've been here
12	and it's a great loss for the Commission. So I just
13	wanted to let everyone know that and to thank her for
14	all of her years of commitment to us.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Congratulations,
16	Eileen. You'll definitely be missed. So thanks for
17	all the work you've done and the support you've given
18	to the Commission over your career, and especially in
19	the three years I've been Chairman. I appreciate that.
20	You'll be missed.
21	MS. SALLO: And her last day with us
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, go
23	ahead.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, and I would say,

1 Eileen, as long as I've been on the Commission you've 2. been a great resource and a great public servant. thank you for your service to this Commission and to this 3 4 country and I wish you all the best in your next 5 endeavor. 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You were going to say, Madam Staff Director? 7 8 MS. SALLO: I was going to say her last day 9 with us is April 30th. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Thank you. 11 MS. SALLO: I also wanted to advise the 12 Commissioners that we've now completed the alignment of 13 our strategic plan with our performance appraisal 14 system. And so we will be now meeting. The managers 15 will be meeting individually with the employees to 16 ensure that we go over the revised performance appraisal 17 And those will hopefully go into effect across plans. 18 the Commission for July 1st of this year. 19 We are also preparing for our audit that you 20 know happens annually. So we will be in the process of 21 trying to find an auditor who can come in and start the 22 process. And as we you know, we are working with GAO 23 for the next I guess 60-some-odd days. So I am the 24 contact person and I will be providing them with any all

Do	not	quot	te d	or 1	cely	on	thi	ร เ	ıncor	rec	ted	trans	crip	эt
wit	hout	: obt	aiı	ning	y wr	itte	en pe	erm	nissi	on	from	the	U.S.	
Cor	nmiss	sion	on	Civ	/il :	Riql	nts a	at	tran	scr	ipts	@usco	r.q	υC

1	documents or information that they require. And that
2	is all, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Madam Staff
4	Director, you have in the past done what I think is a
5	great job of periodically not at every meeting, but
6	periodically reporting to us on where we stand in terms
7	of hitting the goals of your strategic plan. Maybe for
8	the next month's meetings, because I think it's been at
9	least a month
10	MS. SALLO: I did it last month.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
12	MS. SALLO: So I do it every other month.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So if we can do it every
14	other month?
15	MS. SALLO: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think that's really
17	helpful to keep us on task as to what we need to do.
18	MS. SALLO: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So thank you. Any other
20	questions for the staff director?
21	(No audible response.)
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, we will
23	then move on to State Advisory Committee Appointments.
24	IV. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC) APPOINTMENTS

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Today's agenda gives us
2	one, and that is for Idaho. So I am now going to make
3	a motion that the Commission appoint the following
4	individuals to the Idaho State Advisory Committee based
5	upon the recommendation of our staff director:
6	James Annest, Richard A. Cummings, Louise E. Dixey,
7	Maurice O. Ellsworth, Dina M. Flores-Brewer, Margie A.
8	Gonzalez, Marshall E. Mend, Gladys B. Montoya, Stephen
9	C. Smith, Carmen A. Suarez, Rudolph Wilson and Scott
10	Yenor. Pursuant to this motion the Commission appoints
11	Marshall E. Mend as chair of the Idaho State Advisory
12	Committee.
13	These members will serve as uncompensated
14	government employees. Under this motion the
15	Commission authorizes the staff director to execute the
16	appropriate paperwork for the appointments. Is there
17	a second?
18	(No audible response.)
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do I have a second on the
20	motion?
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Any
23	discussion? Any questions?
24	(No audible response.)

1		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, I'll take
2	a vote.	
3		Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
4		COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
5		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
6	Achtenberg,	how do you vote?
7		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: (No audible
8	response.)	
9		MS. SALLO: Did we lose them?
10		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Have we lost them?
11		Commissioner Kladney?
12		MEMBER KLADNEY: (No audible response.)
13		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki?
14		COMMISSIONER YAKI: (No audible
15	response.)	
16		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Well, we're
17	going to put	it on hold until we get the Commissioners
18	back.	
19		(Whereupon, at 10:19 a.m. off the record
20	until 10:26	a.m.)
21		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. We're back on.
22		COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: We're all on.
23		CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Can you hear us?
24		MEMBER KLADNEY: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We'll move quickly
3	before we lose connection again. So we had made a
4	motion and it was seconded. Did you hear the motion on
5	the Idaho State Advisory Committee?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, we all voted.
7	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: We did.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. All right. So
9	now we're going to take a vote.
10	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
16	how do you vote?
17	MEMBER KLADNEY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
19	do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes. The Idaho
22	Committee passes unanimously. Thank you.
23	V. ADJOURN BRIEFING
24	I now move to adjourn. Is there a second?  NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor, say
3	aye?
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. Thank you,
6	everyone. It is now adjourning at 10:26 a.m. Eastern
7	Time.
8	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
9	10:26 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	