Disclaimer for Rough Real-Time Transcripts

"Do not quote or rely on this uncorrected transcript without obtaining written permission from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at transcripts@usccr.gov."

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING UNEDITED

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, JULY 15, 2016

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 1150 at 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 10:00 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding. PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman

PATRICIA TIMMONS-GOODSON, Vice Chair

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner

GAIL HERIOT, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner*

DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner*

KAREN K. NARASAKI, Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner*

MAURO MORALES, Staff Director

MAUREEN RUDOLPH, General Counsel

* Present via telephone

STAFF PRESENT:

BRIAN WALCH

LATRICE FOSHEE

PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD

ANGELA FRENCH-BELL

DARREN FERNANDEZ

SEAN GOLIDAY

ALFREDA GREENE

JENNIFER HEPLER

LENORE OSTROWSKY

JUANDA SMITH

MICHELE YORKMAN

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

SHERYL COZART

ALEX DUELL

JASON LAGRIA

CARRISA MULDER

ALISON SOMIN

IRENA VIDULOVIC

INTERNS PRESENT:

SARAH CHILD

JESSICA DAVIS

HSIN-YUN HAN

WEISIYU JIANG

KOMAL SHAH

A G E N D A

A. Approval of Agenda5
B. Staffing Changes7
<pre>Introduction of Brian Walch, Communications and Public Engagement Director8</pre>
C. Program Planning
Discussion and Vote on 2016 Statutory Enforcement Report on Justice: Toxic Materials, Poor Economics and Impact of the Environment on Low-Income Minority Communities
Discussion and Vote on Commission Statement on Supreme Court's 4-4 decision on United States v. Texas affirming 5th Circuit Court of Appeals decision to block DAPA (Deferred Action for Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents) program and expand DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals) Program
Discussion and Vote on Commission Statement on Supreme Court Decision on Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin allowing universities to continue considering race and ethnicity as a factor in selecting incoming students
Statement Regarding Condolences to the Families of the Victims of Recent Tragic Shootings33
Consideration of Press Release Regarding Justice Thurgood Marshall's 108th Birthday34
Statement Regarding the Recent Supreme Court Decision in Dollar General v. Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians
Letter to DOJ's Inspector General regarding Chinese American Prosecutions40

C. State Advisory Committees (SACs)
Appointment of members to Advisory Committees
Tennessee43
Idaho44
Advisory Committee Presentation by the Chair of the Oklahoma Advisory Committee on
their School-to-Prison Report47
<u>-</u>
D. Management and Operations
Staff Director's Report75
Starr Briedtor & Report
Status of USCCR Website Transition77
AD TOUDNI MEERING
ADJOURN MEETING

PROCEEDINGS

2	(10:01 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, this meeting
4	shall come to order. This is a meeting of the U.S.
5	Commission on Civil Rights. It is currently 10:01 a.m.
6	Eastern Time on July 17th, 2016. We are meeting at the
7	Commission's Headquarters here in D.C. at 1331
8	Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest. I'm Chairman Marty
9	Castro.
10	Commissioners who are present at the
11	meeting with me are the Vice Chair, Commissioners
12	Achtenberg, Heriot, and Narasaki. I believe currently
13	on the phone is Commissioner Yaki. Is that right?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Uh-huh.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we are expecting, at
16	some point, Commissioners Kladney and Kirsanow to join
17	on the phone but even without them, we have a quorum
18	of the commissioners present.
19	Is the court reporter present? She said
20	yes.
21	And is the Staff Director present?
22	MR. MORALES: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, the meeting shall
24	now come to order.
25	I. BUSINESS MEETING

1 A. APPROVAL OF AGENDA CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first item is the 2 3 approval of the agenda. Is there a second? 4 And I am going to move to make some 5 amendments. COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second. 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I move to amend 7 the agenda to add four additional items. The first is 8 9 a statement regarding condolences to the families of 10 the victims of the recent tragic shootings. 11 The second is to add consideration of a 12 press release regarding Justice Thurgood Marshall's 13 108th birthday; a statement regarding the recent 14 Supreme Court decision in Dollar General v. Mississippi 15 Band of Choctaw Indians; and a letter to DOJ's Inspector 16 General regarding Chinese American prosecutions. 17 I also amend the agenda to remove from 18 discussion and vote to a later time a vote on the Concept 19 Paper for 2017 Statutory Enforcement Report and a 20 letter regarding Zambrano-Montes' case. 21 Is there a second? 22 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second. 23 Okay, any further CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 24 amendments? Any discussion? 25 Okay, we do have an additional agenda

1	amendment that I want to add to that. We are going to
2	remove the District of Columbia and Ohio from
3	consideration from the SAC vote today. Those will be
4	put off to a later meeting.
5	So now, does someone second that
6	amendment?
7	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any discussion?
9	Hearing none, all those in favor say aye.
10	Any opposed?
11	Any abstentions?
12	Okay, the motion passes unanimously.
13	B. STAFFING CHANGES
13 14	B. STAFFING CHANGES The first item on the agenda actually,
14	The first item on the agenda actually,
14 15	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want
14 15 16	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple
14 15 16 17	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple of staffing changes that have occurred. Go ahead.
14 15 16 17	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple of staffing changes that have occurred. Go ahead. MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
14 15 16 17 18	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple of staffing changes that have occurred. Go ahead. MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Good morning, everybody.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple of staffing changes that have occurred. Go ahead. MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Good morning, everybody. I would like to call attention to two
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The first item on the agenda actually, before we get into the first item on the agenda, I want to give our Staff Director a moment to mention a couple of staffing changes that have occurred. Go ahead. MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Good morning, everybody. I would like to call attention to two things. One, we are going to be saying goodbye to

really appreciate that, Darren. We are very proud of

He has accepted a position in the General you. Counsel's Office the Federal Communications at Commission. And so that is another bright star heading off into other things. And while we are sad for ourselves, we are very happy for you, Darren. understand that July 24th is your last day at the Commission. So, again, we thank you for the work you have done and we thank you for the work you have done on the Statutory Enforcement Report, most recently, and the others. We just really appreciate you and we will miss you.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, sir.

MR. MORALES: Thank you.

INTRODUCTION OF BRIAN WALCH

And I also want to call attention to commissioners that we have hired, our Communications and Public Engagement individual Director, his name is Brian Walch. For those of you that haven't met him, please, I encourage you to reach out to him and I will have him reach out to you to schedule a time to talk to you a little about his background. He comes from the State Department and the private sector most recently, with extensive federal experience in communications. And we are really looking forward to his hard work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 So, welcome, Brian and we look forward to 2 working with you. 3 Mr. Chairman, that is all I have. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just say, Darren, 5 thank you for all your work on behalf of the Commission and of course, any commissioner here can join in here, 6 7 if they would like. I enjoyed working with you and now you have 8 9 become one of the stellar alumni of the U.S. Commission 10 on Civil Rights that are peppered all across the federal 11 So, we look forward to, hopefully, government. 12 engaging you in the future, at some point. And thank 13 you again for all your work. 14 And Brian, welcome. I have already 15 enjoyed the opportunity we have had to sit down and 16 brainstorm and I think you are going to help move the 17 commission to the next level in terms of our engagement 18 with the public and our stakeholders. So, we are 19 really glad to have you aboard. 20 Anyone else? 21 VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Well, I will 22 simply concur with the remarks that our chair has made 23 And to you, Darren, I would just say go thus far. 24 Heels.

Okay, Karen.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO:

1	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I just wanted to
2	note that I have been in D.C. for a quarter of a century
3	now and it is really great to see the young emerging
4	Asian-American leaders going into the government and
5	contributing. So, congratulations on your new
6	opportunity and we look forward to having our paths
7	cross.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. Okay, so
9	now we move on to our business agenda.
10	B. PROGRAM PLANNING
11	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON 2016 STATUTORY ENFORCEMENT
12	REPORT ON JUSTICE: TOXIC MATERIALS, POOR ECONOMICS
13	AND IMPACT OF THE ENVIRONMENT ON
14	LOW-INCOME MINORITY COMMUNITIES
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first item is a
16	review and discussion of Part A of our Statutory
17	Enforcement Report for 2016, on the environmental
18	justice issue.
19	I know that over the last few last week
20	and few days there has been a lot of amendments that
21	have been suggested to the last draft that we received.
22	I believe everyone should have copies of or your special
23	assistant should have gotten copies of the most current
24	version of the draft report, including a redlined

version. Is that right? Okay.

1	So, what I would like to do, for purposes
2	of discussing this, if we can get a motion and a second,
3	then we could kind of go through some of the proposed
4	changes and discuss some of the open issues that may
5	still remain on this.
6	So, is there a motion?
7	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: To approve?
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I move to approve
10	the report on environmental justice.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And a second?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I will
13	second that.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, now we can talk
15	about any open items here. Anybody have any? I know
16	there are some, so I will defer to those commissioners
17	who have any.
18	Commissioner Narasaki.
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you, Mr.
20	Chair.
21	First, I want to really thank the OCRE
22	staff and our staff for their really hard work in
23	turning around a very complicated document. I think
24	it is very powerful and I am very excited about the last
25	draft. I do have some suggested changes that I would

1 like to offer. 2 So, on page 40, where there is a section Inhibit 3 titled Bureaucratic Terminology 4 Investigation of Complaints and Enforcement of Title 5 I think it is page 40. We have attempted to rewrite it and I think 6 it is still a little awkward and so this is what I am 7 8 suggesting to open up that paragraph. I would change 9 "There appears to be an issue about the 10 effectiveness of the EPA's tracking system and the 11 ability of EPA to effectively communicate with 12 communities filing complaints. During the 13 Commission's investigation, confusion arose as 14 whether the Office of Civil Rights had any Title VI 15 complaints relating to coal ash on its docket. 16 Director Golightly-Howell testified that 17 the Office of Civil Rights did not have any coal 18 ash-related matters on their docket. It is unclear 19 whether it is a terminology issue or a tracking system 20 problem." 21 So, I was just trying to reduce some of the 22 back and forth there to make it a little bit shorter 23 and clearer. 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's take these one at

a time.

1	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does anyone have an
3	issue with that? If not, then we will accept that as
4	a motion. And a second, do we have a second?
5	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I'll second.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. And then we will
8	vote on it all at the end.
9	Next?
10	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, on page 51 on
11	the carryover paragraph, at the top of the page there
12	is a sentence that says, there are many viewpoints as
13	to the usefulness or health impact of coal ash. And
14	I feel that many doesn't quite accurately describe the
15	situation.
16	My recommendation is to change it to there
17	is a debate as to the usefulness and health impact of
18	coal ash.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any issues with
20	that?
21	And, by the way, I understand Commissioner
22	Kladney is on the line now.
23	Okay, then we will take that as a motion.
24	Is there a second?
25	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second. Ιn 2 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: t.hat. 3 paragraph, the last sentence, the carryover paragraph, 4 the opening says while coal ash has its benefits, what I heard was not that there is a conclusive establishment 5 6 of benefits. And I think what the industry has been 7 good at trying to do is find a place where they can use the coal -- reuse the coal ash and make it safe for use 8 9 but there is still a debate about whether even that use 10 is safe. And it requires treating the coal ash and I 11 doubt that people would actually create coal ash, if 12 it were no a byproduct of industrial use. 13 So, my suggestion is either just to delete 14 that opening, because I don't think it is necessary, 15 or change it to: "While some argue that properly 16 treated coal ash may have industrial use." 17 And so I am open to either. 18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to delete. 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki moves 20 to delete. 21 And those of you on the phone, if you could 22 state your name so that the court reporter can accurately transcribe who is speaking, that would be 23 24 appreciated.

I understand that Commissioner Kirsanow

1	has now also joined the call.
2	So, Commissioner Yaki has moved to delete.
3	Is there a second or are there any issues? If not, is
4	there a second?
5	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll second it.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
7	Achtenberg seconds. Okay.
8	Anything else Commissioner Narasaki?
9	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: No, there are
10	some typos in there that we will just give to staff
11	because I am assuming that, upon approval, that they
12	will still be combing through the report to try to clean
13	it up.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But if you do have them,
15	if you could hand them to staff afterwards
16	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: they could zero in on
18	those.
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I have
20	already emailed them to Maureen.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, the last
23	comment I have is, Mr. Chair, you and the Vice Chair
24	and I were at the North Carolina hearing and my
25	understanding is that the North Carolina Report is

finished, somewhat, but in legal sufficiency. 1 2 would very much like to be able to incorporate at least 3 some of it either in the findings and recs or, if we 4 can't do it in the body, of course anything consistent 5 with what is already there. I found the hearing very It offered a lot of very helpful 6 compelling. 7 information. We are trying to incorporate Illinois. 8 And I think it is important to recognize 9 the work of the SAC. It is something that we suggested 10 that they take up. 11 I'm not sure the best way to handle that, 12 whether we can pass the body of the report within 13 agreement that if we can get a majority of commissioners 14 to agree, we could add a few paragraphs or add something 15 in the findings and recommendations, or what the best 16 course is, given where the report is now. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, for the moment, 18 let's just stick to Part A, which is the body of the 19 report and we can talk about the findings and the recs 20 later. 21 Of course, I agree with you and you and I 22 have had a conversation about this. And I think there 23 is a couple of ways to handle it. One is, as you have 24 suggested, although that is a little unusual, what we

don't want to do is delay the report but I think if folks

1	would be amenable over the next few days to come up with
2	some language that could be inserted, I don't see that
3	that would generally be a problem, unless I hear
4	otherwise from a majority of the commissioners.
5	Another thing I had suggested and want it
6	confirmed, I would like to, by reference or as an
7	appendix or somehow, including copies of both the North
8	Carolina Report as well as the Illinois SAC's Advisory
9	Memo on this topic as exhibits to our report so that
10	when published, they will be part of the same volume.
11	So, Maureen, is that something that is
12	doable, our General Counsel?
13	MS. RUDOPLH: Yes, I had
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You have to come to a
15	mike, sorry.
16	MS. RUDOPLH: Yes, I had understood that
17	we would be attaching the SAC Reports, assuming that
18	they are ready, as appendix.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, I wasn't sure.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This is Commissioner
21	Yaki.
22	I think attaching that as an appendix is
23	probably the most prudent way of ensuring that we don't
24	delay the report but also ensuring that we incorporate
25	both of them in their entirety in the report as well.

1	So, I would support that.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair?
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, I think
4	the appendix would be the way to go. I'm a little
5	unsure and really reluctant to try to make specific
6	statements in the report based, for example, on North
7	Carolina's meeting, when we were merely observing it
8	and we don't know what it is that they are going to say.
9	And the task of trying to establish what is fact or was
10	fact or undisputable fact and put it in there, I think
11	that is a task beyond us.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, were you just nodding your head?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: No, I was
15	concurring with my astute colleague.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Does that work for
17	folks, then, as a way to handle this by consensus, since
18	we are already going to be including it as attachments
19	or as appendices?
20	Okay. Okay, anything else on the report,
21	Part A?
22	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Commissioner
23	Kladney, did you have something you wanted to raise?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Not regarding
25	those two SAC reports.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: How about the report as
2	a whole?
3	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: With regard to
4	the report.
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I got an email
6	yesterday about some changes the chairman wanted to
7	make and I couldn't find them on my copy.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, if you look
9	at the redlined version let's see if it is here. If
10	you look at the redline version, Commissioner Kladney,
11	the cover page, I shortened the title on the cover page
12	by proposing the deletion of "as it relates to the EPA's
13	final coal ash rule."
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: And why was that?
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Pardon me?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Why was that? Why
17	did we do that?
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Because the title is too
19	long and, two, frankly, there is more in here than just
20	coal ash. Although we used coal ash as an example, I
21	think the ultimate focus of this report is really is
22	the EPA doing its environmental justice work or not.
23	And that is why I deleted that.
24	And secondly, on what was then page it
25	is still page 10, while there are reasons to support

1 the market forces argument, I deleted that because it 2 is kind of a conclusory statement. I think it is better 3 for us to state what the market forces argument is and 4 what the counter argument is and not necessarily give 5 one the upper hand over the other. Because, frankly, I personally disagree that the market forces argument 6 7 is valid but it was a theory that was presented to us. 8 And I don't think we should be making a conclusion on 9 that. 10 Those were the only two changes I had. 11 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okav. Then, 12 there was a deletion on after -- I mean it is hard for 13 me to say because I do not have the redlined version. There was a deletion about 14 My copy isn't showing up. 15 the proponents say Title VI is the preferred method of 16 enforcement and that could have been enforcement. 17 I think that was -- there was a sentence deleted there 18 and I was wondering why it was. It was dealing with 19 the enforcement through Title VI on page 11. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ι don't know, 21 Commissioner Kladney. That was not my change. 22 on a second. 23 Could you speak up a little bit, too?

is very hard to hear you. But let me see.

looking to see who made that.

24

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: It was on page 11,
2	I believe.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Maureen will speak
4	to that.
5	MS. RUDOPLH: Sir, on page 11, I believe
6	that what you are referring to is the sentence that
7	begins with the use of or Title VI has become one of
8	the most important legal issues. Is that correct?
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
10	MS. RUDOPLH: I believe that that sentence
11	was removed in-between the initial draft that you saw
12	and the final draft that you saw on July 7th. That was
13	not a new deletion in the past couple of days.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Why was it deleted, do
15	you know?
16	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Why was it
17	deleted?
18	MS. RUDOPLH: It was deleted because the
19	citation that we had didn't appear to support what we
20	were saying and so we took it out.
21	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Okay, thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's a good reason.
23	Okay, anything else? Any additional
24	discussion from folks? If not, I am going to call the
25	question.

1	We have the report. We have the changes
2	we made and seconded. We are understanding that the
3	Report Part A will also include exhibits as appendices
4	the Illinois State Advisory and the North Carolina
5	State Advisory Reports on this topic.
6	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm recused.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, okay. Sorry about
9	that. I forgot.
10	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think we've
12	bitten off more than we can chew again. I vote no.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
14	how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
17	how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
20	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
23	do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm also chewing on
25	this. Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
2	you vote?
3	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. So, the
5	report passes with one, two, three, four, five, six
6	yeses, a no, and one recusal.
7	Okay, thank you. And again, I want to
8	reiterate what Commissioner Narasaki said earlier
9	about the great work that staff has done and continues
10	to do on getting this report done. It is a complex and
11	complicated topic and we are under time constraints,
12	as we tend to be with this report. And I very much,
13	as the Commission, do appreciate all your efforts on
14	this.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Wait. Yes, we are
17	going to talk about Part B now.
18	Yes?
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Oh, I just wanted to
20	say that since we have made some changes, could we get
21	the text of the report, as it is with all the changes
22	that we made today, turned around really, really
23	quickly
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: so that we are

1 all on the same page in writing? 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely. We will 3 ask staff to do that for us, Maureen. 4 So, Part B, which are findings 5 recommendations, I know there have been a lot of back and forth in terms of drafts that have been circulated 6 7 among some folks over the last few days. I want to open 8 it up for discussion. 9 Ideally, we like to do Part A and Part B 10 together, if we can, especially when we are talking 11 about the Statutory Report. However, there are some 12 folks who feel that Part B may not be in final form yet, 13 particularly, although not exclusively, because maybe 14 we want to include some North Carolina findings and recs 15 like we proposed to put in for Illinois, but I would 16 like to open it up for discussion to see if maybe there 17 is an opportunity to conduct -- if we are not ready to 18 vote on that today, if we can conduct a telephonic vote 19 on this as soon as possible hereafter, so that we are 20 not delaying the rest of the time line. 21 Anybody want to -- Commissioner Narasaki. 22 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes. Again, I 23 really want to thank the staff and our staff, our 24 special assistants on the hard work.

I think that in substance it is good but

I know we had some unexpected health emergencies on staff this week and so it is not quite cleaned up. And I think it could benefit from getting some headings and a little bit of reorganization. So, it is more about sort of the format than the substance.

I don't know if that requires -- if we could vote on it and say we will go back and work with staff on that piece or whether we should hold off and do that. Because my understanding is we will likely need to have a call anyway to approve the Statutory Report Concept Paper.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Maureen, could you comment on the idea of maybe putting this off for a little bit while we do a vote telephonically?

I mean my preference is not to approve something that is not up to our standards yet. If we could do it at another point where we know what we have, that might be ideal. Can we -- is there a problem if we were to vote or we would put off the findings and recs to a vote, other than today, in terms of the time line for the Statutory Enforcement Report.

MS. RUDOPLH: Are you proposing to change -- so, the remainder of the time line has to do with the time for statements and rebuttals. And so those would start to run, presumably from the vote on the

1	findings and recommendations. Under the deadline that
2	was previously passed, those are due, I believe, August
3	15th for statements and then rebuttals are due
4	September 15th. There is very, very little wiggle room
5	in that schedule.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Presumably, though,
7	folks would begin to write their statements today, now
8	that we have the body of the Commission's report.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Commissioner
10	Narasaki said that she thinks these are mainly just
11	cleanup things. So, I would be happy to waive that and
12	start the clock now.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, that would be
14	great. Yes, there might be some additional statements
15	on there or the findings and recs, based on what North
16	Carolina might say.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: As long as we are
18	not talking about major changes, I think we will be okay
19	on this one.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good. I just wanted to
21	be sure we were on the same page.
22	So, do we need a motion on that?
23	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Note that that is
24	not a waiver from now until the history but just for
25	this.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So, that is motion do
2	we need to vote on this waiver, Madam General Counsel?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would prefer that
4	we do vote on it just to prove that that is the thing
5	that has to be done.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So, basically
7	would you restate your motion and we will get it
8	seconded?
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I move that we
10	suspend our usual rule to date the statements from the
11	date that we finally approve findings and
12	recommendations and rather that we start the clock
13	today, the day that we have approved it.
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any additional
16	discussion on that? Let me take a vote.
17	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
18	Oh, I'm sorry, you recused yourself.
19	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
22	how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
25	how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
3	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
6	do you vote?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
9	you vote?
10	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, so it
12	unanimous, with the exception of the recusal. So,
13	great, thank you. That is what we will do then.
14	And when we get to the discussion of the
15	2017 report, then we will figure out when we are going
16	to do this call. I think we are going to okay.
17	So, great, thank you.
18	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION STATEMENT ON
19	SUPREME COURT'S 4-4 DECISION ON UNITED STATES V.
20	TEXAS AFFIRMING 5TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
21	DECISION TO BLOCK DAPA (DEFERRED ACTION FOR
22	PARENTS OF AMERICANS AND LAWFUL PERMANENT RESIDENTS)
23	PROGRAM AND EXPAND DACA (DEFERRED ACTION FOR
24	CHILDHOOD ARRIVALS) PROGRAM
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next on the agenda is

1	discussion and a vote on a statement regarding the
2	Supreme Court's four-to-four decision in Texas, U.S.
3	v. Texas on the DAPA/DACA.
4	I think you all received a final version
5	of that statement. I would like to move for its
6	approval. Is there a second?
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm sorry, which?
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The U.S. Commission on
9	Civil Rights expresses a disappointment on the U.S.
10	Supreme Court's ruling
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'll second that.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: on DAPA and DACA. Is
13	that Commissioner Kladney?
14	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So moved and
16	seconded. Any discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
19	Heriot?
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would say this is
21	a case about executive power, not about civil rights
22	issues. That's not an issue within our jurisdiction.
23	Moreover, one should, in advocating for a
24	broader or narrower interpretation of executive power,
25	that should in no way be driven by one's view of the

1	underlying substantive law, including one's views of
2	civil rights policy. Once one has a theory of what
3	executive power is in this area, it applies to
4	everything. And that might be to narrow civil rights
5	policy. It might be to broaden it. So, I think this
6	letter is a mistake.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It is a statement, not
8	a letter but
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Statement, fine.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, anything else?
11	If not, I am going to call the vote.
12	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
15	how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
18	how do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
21	how do you vote?
22	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
24	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
2	do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
5	you vote?
6	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. It
8	passes, six to two.
9	DISCUSSION AND VOTE ON COMMISSION STATEMENT ON
10	SUPREME COURT DECISION ON FISHER V. UNIVERSITY OF
11	TEXAS AT AUSTIN ALLOWING UNIVERSITIES TO CONTINUE
12	CONSIDERING RACE AND ETHNICITY AS A FACTOR IN
13	SELECTING INCOMING STUDENTS
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, we have
15	discussion and vote on the Commission Statement on
16	decision in <i>Fisher v. Texas</i> by the Supreme Court. It
17	allows
18	universities to continue considering race and
19	ethnicity as a factor in selecting incoming students.
20	So, I will move the approval of that. Is
21	there a second?
22	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any discussion? Any
24	discussion?
25	Okay, hearing none, then I will call for

1	a roll call vote.
2	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
8	how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
14	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
17	do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
20	you vote?
21	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. It
23	passes six to two.
23 24	

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, next we have a
2	vote on a Statement of Condolences to the families and
3	victims of the recent tragic shootings in Minnesota,
4	Louisiana, and Dallas. That has been circulated
5	previously to everyone. I will move the approval of
6	that. Is there a second?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any discussion?
9	Any discussion? Okay, hearing none, then I will call
10	for a vote on this. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you
11	vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? Commissioner
14	Heriot, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm sorry, I got
16	mixed up on this and haven't - I'm not sure I've read
17	through this, so I'm going to pass.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I may have to
20	abstain -
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: - or vote no even.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
24	how do you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
5	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
8	do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER: YAKI: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
11	you vote?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes.
14	Commissioner Heriot?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm not through it
16	yet. I'm going to pass to pass, I mean have to abstain.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Abstain, okay, so the
18	motion passes, six yeses, one abstention, and one no.
19	STATEMENT COMMEMORATING JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next is a vote on a
21	statement commemorating Justice Thurgood Marshall. I
22	think Commissioner Kladney, that was yours. Do you
23	want to make a motion on that?
24	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, I move that we
25	adopt this statement and ask the Commission to pass it.

1 It's hard for me to talk right now because I'm like in 2 a place getting Wi-Fi, so. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, got it. Is there 4 a second? 5 PARTICIPANT: Second. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any discussion? 6 7 Commissioner Heriot? 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yeah, think Ι 9 there's an error here. I'm not certain of this, but 10 I think it's an error. 11 All right. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: In that last full 13 paragraph, it says he represented and won more cases 14 before the Supreme Court than any other American. I 15 don't think that's correct. I was doing a guick Google 16 before the meeting and saw that at the very least, Paul 17 Clement had argued so many more cases, that he would 18 have have to have had a terrible batting average not 19 to have won more. 20 I think there have been a number of people 21 like Justice Marshall who had been Solicitor General 22 which, you know, when you've been Solicitor General, 23 you get to argue a lot of cases, and I think maybe that 24 figure saying that he's argued more and won more for

Justice Marshall may be out of date, and I think the

1	sentence can be dropped.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
3	would you have a problem with the deletion of that
4	sentence?
5	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: No, I wouldn't.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right, so we'll
7	consider that a friendly amendment. Anything else?
8	Hopefully that means you're going to vote for this,
9	Gail. Any other discussion? Madam Vice Chair, no?
10	Okay, so then what we'll do is we'll take a vote on this.
11	Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, great.
14	Commissioner Heriot, how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
17	how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I was going to
19	abstain, but if Pete and Gail are voting yes, I feel
20	I need to make it unanimous.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good.
22	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So I vote yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
24	how do you vote?
25	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
2	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
5	do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Any chance I have to
7	vote yes with Commissioners Kirsanow and Heriot is a
8	rare alignment of the stars, so yes.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Per.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
11	you vote?
12	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON:
13	Enthusiastic yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. You
15	see, there still is bipartisanship in America. So the
16	motion passes unanimously. All right, let's see what
17	we have next here. Next -
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chair?
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, sir?
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I just wanted to
21	report a news report that I saw that hell has, in fact,
22	frozen over.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, wow, okay. With
24	the weather like it is now, that's a special, special
25	happening. Let's hope it stays that way for a while,

1	but I get the sense it's going to warm up on the next
2	one.
3	STATEMENT RE: SUPREME COURT DECISION
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next, we have a motion
5	on the statement on the recent Supreme Court decision
6	in Dollar General v. Mississippi Band of Choctaw
7	Indians. Commissioner Kladney, this was your motion,
8	so would you like to make that motion?
9	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, I'd like to
10	move that we adopt the statement by the Commission.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: It was seconded by
14	Commissioner Achtenberg. Any discussion?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Commissioner
17	Heriot?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You know, this is a
19	case that, again, is outside our jurisdiction. As you
20	know, it's about the jurisdiction of domestic dependent
21	sovereignties. This is pretty far off from what we're
22	supposed to be doing.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The ice has melted, but
24	very thoughtful. Thank you. Anybody else? I know
25	one thing, that this statement, unlike the others,

1	doesn't have a title, so we probably should get it one.
2	I'll leave that to staff. Commissioner Narasaki?
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I respectfully
4	disagree with Commissioner Heriot. I think that for
5	Native American issues, the issue of sovereignty is
6	actually critical to the protection of their civil
7	rights, so I feel that this is an important statement
8	to make.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I cite Morton v.
10	Mancari for why this is not our issue.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any other
12	comments? Hearing none, then we'll take a vote on
13	this. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
16	how do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
19	how do you vote?
20	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
22	how do you vote?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
25	Achtenberg, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
3	do you vote?
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
6	you vote?
7	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. The
9	motion passes six to two.
10	LETTER TO DEPT OF JUSTICE INSPECTOR GENERAL
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, next we have on
12	the agenda a discussion and vote on a letter to the
13	Department of Justice Inspector General regarding
14	Chinese-American prosecutions. Commissioner
15	Narasaki, that's your motion. Would you make that,
16	please?
17	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I move that
18	we send a letter to the Department of Justice as a follow
19	up to the letter we sent concerning our concerns about
20	the potential for racial profiling in the investigation
21	of Asian-American scientists.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is there a second?
23	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, Commissioner
25	Achtenberg seconds. Any discussion? Any discussion?

COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So, Mr. Chair, I did provide sort of the background information and an update to the Commissioners about what has happened since we last sent our - sent our first letter. I do note that we did not ever receive, as far as I know, a formal response from the Department of Justice to that letter.

And I do like to at least take partial credit for the fact that the Department of Justice has announced some additional safeguards to ensure that this doesn't happen, including doing some implicit bias training.

But I think it's important for them to actually have an independent investigation to make sure that all of the moves that they're making are going to be sufficient to ensure that people are not arrested, and with such a serious charge as espionage, without there really being sufficient work in advance to make sure that they are not making a mistake. And in the cases that we cite, there were sort of glaring errors that really they could have caught before it went as far as it did.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, thank you,

Commissioner Narasaki for the background on that. Any

questions or comments before we vote? Hearing none,

1	I will call the roll. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do
2	you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
5	how do you vote?
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I abstain.
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, Commissioner
8	Narasaki, how do you vote?
9	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
11	how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13 14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14 15	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
14 15 16	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
14 15 16 17	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote?
14 15 16 17 18	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Achtenberg, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how do you vote? COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do you vote? VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.

statements. I'm pleased that we're making our voice heard. Our new public affairs staff will ensure that these get out appropriately to the media and our stakeholders. Thank you.

C. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES

TENNESSEE

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next on the agenda we advisory committees two that are up So let me first make a motion that the consideration. Commission appoints the following individuals to the Tennessee State Advisory Committee based upon the recommendation of our staff director: Diane Di Lanni, Gail Seavey, Eliud Trevino, Tiffany Fox, Joseph Gregory Grisham, Katrina Donaldson, Franklin Sicou, Daniel Horwitz, Brian Krumm, Frank Meeuwis, Shaka Mitchell, Justin Owen, John Pointer, Amy Sayward, Harold Black, Valerie Vojdik, and Yesha Yadav.

Pursuant to this motion, the Commission appoints Diane Di Lanni as Chair of the Tennessee State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion, the Commission authorized the staff director to execute the appropriate paperwork for appointments. Is there a second?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I second.
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, thank you. Is
3	there any discussion? Hearing none, I'll call the
4	roll. Commissioner Kirsanow, how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
7	how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm voting yes on
9	this one.
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good. Commissioner
11	Narasaki, how do you vote?
12	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
14	how do you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
17	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
18	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
20	do you vote?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
23	you vote?

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes. The 2 slate passes unanimously. Thank you. 3 IDAHO 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I am now going to move 5 that the Commission appoint the following individuals to the Idaho State Advisory Committee based upon the 6 7 recommendation of our staff director: Marshall Mend, 8 Richard Cummings, Dina Flores-Brewer, Margie Gonzalez, 9 Stephen Smith, Scott Yenor, Rudolph Wilson, Paulette 10 Jordan, Patricia Carter-Goodheart, Michael Riley, 11 Peter Optekar, Dilshani Sarathchandra, and Shaakirrah 12 Sanders. 13 Pursuant to this motion, the Commission 14 appoints Marshall Mend as Chair of the Idaho State 15 Advisory Committee. These members will serve as 16 uncompensated government employees. Under 17 motion, the Commission authorizes the staff director 18 appropriate paperwork for to execute the the 19 Is there a second? appointments. 20 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I second, Mr. 21 Chair. 22 CASTRO: discussion? CHAIRMAN Any 23 Hearing none, let me take the roll. Commissioner 24 Heriot, how do you vote?

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm going to vote
2	yes on this one, too.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow,
4	how do you vote?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
7	how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, and I want to
9	thank the staff for the work that they're really doing
10	in putting together such balanced and diverse slates.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
12	how do you vote?
13	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
15	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
18	do you vote?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair, how do
21	you vote?
22	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I vote yes, another
24	unanimous performance. Thank you all. That motion

passes, great, and I think it's also a tribute to this new process that we have in place with the new AI so that to the extent there are issues, that we have a process to deal with them, so thank you everybody for the efforts to realize what we see today.

CHAIR OF OKLAHOMA ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

And speaking of state advisory committees, we're very fortunate, today, to have another one of our state advisory chairs present. As you know, we've started to make this a regular feature of our board meetings where we on a regular basis hear from chairs of state advisory committees and members of state advisory committees in charge of the particular project on those works.

And today, we're very happy to have telephonically with us Chairman Vicki Limas of the Oklahoma State Advisory Committee who is going to speak to us a bit about their new report, "Civil Rights and the School to Prison Pipeline in Oklahoma," which all Commissioners had previously received a copy. I hope you've had a chance to take a look at it. But Chair Limas, I want to welcome you to our meeting and give you the floor.

MS. LIMAS: Thank you, Chairman Castro and

the rest of the Commissioners. I'm very, very happy and honored to be here, and I want to thank you for this experience. This is my first experience with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. I was not at all familiar with the subject matter of our project until we started getting into it, and I learned a great deal from my colleagues on the committee, and the panelists, as well as the public witnesses.

We had a very diverse and engaged committee. We developed a very collegial working relationship. We have, almost all of us, expressed the desire to continue to work, and we look forward to working together on our next project.

I'd also like to thank Melissa Wojnaroski, and the interns, and the staff of the regional office for their very expert help with us. They had excellent knowledge, professionalism, and communications ability.

They readily answered our questions and assisted us administratively. We feel they helped us draft an excellent report from an outline that the committee created, and all of the committee members praised the quality of the research and writing in the report.

Before I summarize the project's finding and conclusions, I want to tell you a bit about how we chose this project, and determined its scope, and as well as some of the response that we have received to the project just thus far.

About five or six topics were proposed by individuals on the committee which mainly reflected their own interests and areas of expertise. Included among these were perceived civil rights issues arising from Oklahoma's education and prison systems, but it was difficult to narrow down those topics into manageable pieces for study.

The school to prison pipeline topic however, resonated with everyone. It touched on elements of both, yet it was sufficiently manageable. It also reflected a core civil rights concern. In that data, it undeniably indicates that students of color, male students, and students with disabilities are more frequently disciplined in a manner that moves them from school into the juvenile and adult justice systems.

The committee, however, perceived the different variables underlie discrimination based on race, discrimination based on gender, and discrimination based on disability. We felt that we

lacked sufficient time to investigate all three, and chose to exclude live witnesses' testimony on disabilities.

Nevertheless, once the project was publicized, disability advocates were present during phone meetings and during the hearings, and continually urged us to include their voices in our report. We therefore accepted written testimony and heard public testimony from those advocates, and included some of that in our report.

I also wanted to make you aware of the extraordinary public interest our project generated. During the in-person hearing in Oklahoma City, I estimated that all throughout the day, there were at least 10 to 25 members of the public present, many of whom stayed throughout the entire day, and a number of whom testified. Many of our own panel witnesses stayed throughout the day.

We also received significant positive publicity on our report. A committee member was interviewed on the CBS affiliate in Oklahoma City. A panelist, one of our witnesses, blogged about the report, and the Commission received a letter from a concerned individual from Moore, Oklahoma who had read

the report and characterized it as, "a truly accurate assessment of schools in this state, especially in Moore, Oklahoma." She concluded by saying, "Thank you for the fabulous report. I just hope schools listen and make changes."

And finally, as you know, and as indicated in the 2014 Dear Colleague letters by the Departments of Education and Justice referenced in our report, the school to prison pipeline civil rights issues are not unique to Oklahoma. There are national issues. And indeed, your Indiana Advisory Committee recently studied this topic as well.

And just a brief definition from our report as to what school to prison pipeline means, a social phenomenon where legal policies, education policies, and social constructs funnel struggling children from schools to jails and prisons. Oklahoma ranks first in the nation according to the research that we've done in rates of expulsion from schools, 104 students for every 10,000.

As to our finding and recommendations, which are on page 30 of the report, we found a number of common themes throughout the testimony. One was that students who are caught up in the system also, for

the most part, are victims of poverty. There is disproportionately more students of color who are in poverty compared with white children in Oklahoma.

Children in poverty may experience delays in cognitive development due to high stress situations created by poverty, such as lack of food, shelter, or stability. This can result in delays in academic performance or in behavior issues such as acting out in class.

Schools where students in poverty are enrolled must provide for students' basic needs that are not being met at home in order to make classroom learning effective. However, due to current public school funding structures, schools with the highest need students often have the fewest resources to support those students.

Students not receiving support may act out in the classroom, and educators who face many conflicting demands on the job may use exclusionary disciplinary measures to make classroom environments more productive for other students.

Another theme we found was implicit bias defined as the unconscious attitudes or beliefs held by an individual. Research shows that behavior and

actions resulting from this cognition are more likely to occur when individuals are fatigued and must make decisions quickly under great pressure. This could include a teacher needing to abruptly stop a lesson to manage a disciplinary issue in the classroom, or a school resource officer attempting to de-escalate a potentially dangerous situation.

Black children are often perceived as older and more dangerous than their white counterparts. Subsequently, those black students may not be afforded the same understanding from teachers, administrators, or juvenile justice workers that their white peers obtain. Panelists testified that this could lead to these students being over-represented in juvenile justice systems despite their presenting similar behaviors as white students.

The committee also heard testimony about how implicit bias affects response to behaviors of black students versus the behavior of white students. While white students are more frequently disciplined for engaging in objective behaviors such as smoking or graffiti, black students are often punished for more -- and I believe this word should be subjective rather than objective in Part C -- subjective behaviors such

as class disruption or dress code violations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And while implicit bias is by definition unconscious, the panel has suggested that school officials and teachers should receive training in implicit bias recognition to mitigate the disparate effects of these biases on various student populations.

Another theme was exclusionary disciplinary policies such expulsions as and suspensions, and those may lead, of course, to high rates of juvenile involvement in the criminal justice system, particularly for youth of color and youth with disabilities. Experts testified that students who are excluded from their learning environment disengage from school.

The practices that disproportionately exclude youth with color and youth with disabilities could result in students struggling to find opportunity for achievement or a career path. Students may instead engage in harmful or unproductive activities which pushes them into the justice system.

Students with disabilities, the suspension rates for students is double that rate of the suspension rate for all students. Whether these are learning disabilities or emotional disabilities,

these students are disproportionately represented in the justice system.

Inmates in prisons have a much lower level of literacy than the general public, and ensuring that students with learning disabilities are reading at an appropriate grade level could be a protective factor for those youth, helping them stay out of the criminal justice system.

We also had panelists who spoke on issues concerning Native American students. And as you know, there is high populations of Native American students, even in our urban schools in Oklahoma. One expert testified that they are 1.7 times more likely to be referred to law enforcement than other students of color, and 2.6 times more likely than white students to be referred.

We heard from panelists who expressed concern that Native students are pushed out of school through harsh discipline policies and exclusionary practices that are not culturally relevant and do not make use of traditional supports. This continues the cycle of despair, poverty, and trauma that's already had a great impact on Native communities, and increases the mistrust of the public education system.

Discipline policies and school services aren't coordinated between tribal leaders in schools.

An increase in tribal involvement in Native students' education could help them feel more connected to their school and lead to better academic outcomes.

So in response to these concerns, we offer the following recommendations to the Commission. Basically, we ask that you issue formal recommendations to both the Department of Education and the Department of Justice.

With regard to the Department of Education, we recommend that the Department's Office of Civil Rights conduct a national study on the impact of poverty on disparities in educational outcomes on the basis of race or color.

If law enforcement officers are working in schools -- and our committee, did have some discussion. Some felt that this is a necessary adjunct to our schools. Some did not, but if enforcement officers are working in schools, the Department should establish uniform licensing requirements to ensure that those law enforcement officers are properly trained and equipped to respond in an age appropriate manner with children. Applicable training should include strategies for

recognizing and overcoming implicit bias.

The Department should require that states impose mandatory reforms to disciplinary policies for schools that demonstrate significant disparities in disciplinary actions on the basis of race, color, or disability. According to the Office of Civil Rights data collection, such reforms may be based on the Department's 2014 guiding principles resource guide for improving school climate and discipline.

The Department should require that districts engage in continuous shared educational planning between alternative schools or juvenile detention facilities and a child's home school to ensure that there is continuity in a child's education.

The Department should examine and recommend an expansion of evidence-based restorative justice and other alternative disciplinary models to reduce exclusionary discipline without creating a school disciplinary climate where no discipline occurs to avoid public censure. So we had heard testimony that suspensions were curtailed or other discipline was curtailed for fear of getting bad marks when some discipline was certainly warranted.

The Department's Office of Indian

Education should provide guidance on how school districts can effectively consult with tribal governments to serve Native American students, and the Department should require ongoing anti-bias and cultural competency training as a condition of licensure for teachers and school administrative personnel.

With regard to the Department of Justice, the Department's Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention should examine educational outcomes and disparities on the basis of race, color, sex, and disability among youth who reside in juvenile detention and correctional facilities, and the Department should require mandatory all staff training on recognizing and overcoming implicit bias in its juvenile detention and correctional facilities.

And as you see, we believe these recommendations would endure to the benefit of every state, and not just Oklahoma, so that's the end of my report.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'll ask you a few questions and then open it up to my colleagues if they have any, and then at the end of that, I'm going to make a motion that we

follow up with your recommendations.

In your findings on -- and by the way, this is an excellent report. This does fit into really a pattern of reports that we've received during the course of my chairmanship on this issue from a number of states across the country, including the South and the Southeast, so it's unfortunate that this is not isolated and is clearly prevalent throughout the country.

You talk about, in your discussion of poverty, that the school's funding system in Oklahoma perpetuates some of these inequities, and it's interesting because, actually, a lot of what you're talking about in your report connects to many of the other reports that we're working on. We're doing one on that very topic. We're also working on issues related to Native American civil rights.

And even in the issue of use of force that we're working on, we see the implicit bias in some of the reporting of the perceptions of black children as being older, all relate to various topics. It was interesting how this is -- you know, you can't look at this in isolation. But as it relates to your school funding system, what is that like in Oklahoma?

1 MS. LIMAS: Well, right now, it's not very 2 It's the, I believe the -- and I apologize if 3 I may not be quite accurate, but I believe the funding 4 was kept at the same level, but there are certain 5 problems that individual schools are having in having to make cuts in certain areas. 6 7 As you know, our state was very highly 8 dependent on the oil and gas industry, which is not 9 doing well right now, to say the least, and that has 10 affected funding across the state, and particularly 11 school funding. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is some of it based on 12 13 property taxes or is it based on various other factors? 14 Do you know? If you know. 15 I believe it is based on MS. LIMAS: 16 property taxes. There was testimony that we had heard 17 that a lot of these inner-city schools in particular 18 just do not have, you know, a strong enough tax base 19 to support their needs. 20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And you also, or the 21 Committee finds that the suspension rate for students 22 with disabilities is double the rate of that for all 23 other students, so that's students with disabilities

regardless of their race or ethnicity, right?

MS. LIMAS: That's correct, and that's one of the problems that we found trying to parse this out because there is, you know, factors. I mean, the disability issue certainly crosses color and ethnicity lines.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, and on the issue of the Native American students, when you're looking at the school discipline policies, are you looking at those of just the traditional public schools or also at schools that are on the reservations?

MS. LIMAS: We looked at traditional public schools. Oklahoma, there are some Indian schools in Oklahoma. They tend to be at the college level. Oklahoma does not have, except for the Osage tribe, a reservation, but we -- our testimony focused on public schools, and particularly one of the experts, Dr. Yellowfish, was a counselor for the Oklahoma City Public Schools, and she worked specifically with Indian children.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I would ask our staff to take note of this and see how we might be able, even if it's by way of footnote, I think, include this in our crisis report. I think it's relevant. Are there any other questions for --

1	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
3	Achtenberg, then Commissioner Kladney.
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Madam
5	Chairman, I was wondering whether or not the status of
6	LGBT children was examined at all in terms of
7	disproportionate disciplinary factors?
8	MS. LIMAS: No, ma'am, unfortunately we
9	did not include that in our study as well. We had all
10	we could do to take a day-and-a-half of testimony on
11	the issues that we
12	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure.
13	MS. LIMAS: that we covered, but that is
14	certainly as a matter of fact, one young man who was
15	in the audience in Oklahoma City was a law student. We
16	had our hearing at the Oklahoma City University Law
17	School, and he raised a number of those issues in his
18	testimony, which was noted, and we understand that that
19	is another area for further study.
20	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure, thank you
21	very much.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
23	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Madam Chairwoman,
24	I'd like to thank you for your excellent report and your

committee's work on this, and I'd like to thank you for lending some serious focus to Native Americans and that situation in school.

I would like to ask you, you did mention something about, I believe, the school police. Has this -- were you able to find whether adding policemen to schools changed the disciplinary outcome versus having administrators bring discipline to students? If I made that question clear, I don't know.

MS. LIMAS: I believe so, because if I understand you correctly, I mean, we did hear some testimony that properly trained police officers or security, non-administrative security personnel in schools did help discipline, but the stress was on properly trained.

And much of the testimony said that, you know, having just a police presence or a police state kind of atmosphere, I don't know if those terms were used, but just a heavy policing presence didn't do a lot in and of itself to help the problem, but the --

I believe former Superintendent Ballard of the Tulsa Public Schools said that there -- they train their own security officers through the Tulsa Public Schools, and he testified that that was effective, but

1	he stressed the training.
2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Several state
3	advisory commissions have taken up this subject. Do
4	you believe that we need more attention put onto this
5	subject, say if the United States Commission of Civil
6	Rights held a hearing on this matter, whether there is
7	more information to be gotten, or gained rather?
8	MS. LIMAS: Well, I would think so, and I
9	think just the fact, as you say, that so many states
10	have taken up this issue, and it certainly would help
11	to be addressed on a national basis. And the fact that
12	there are so many problems in the various states, and
13	that the phenomenon exists, I think, would warrant a
14	national look at this.
15	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you very
16	much, Madam Chairwoman.
17	MS. LIMAS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any other questions?
19	Madam Vice Chair and then Commissioner Narasaki.
20	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, I too
21	thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for your excellent work
22	on this. Many have asserted that in connection with
23	school discipline that school administrators have
24	abdicated their responsibility to school resource

1 essentially, to police, officers to, and 2 jurisdictions in an effort to address that have clearly 3 set out written policies of when school resource 4 officers are to be brought into particular 5 disciplinary problem. I was wondering whether you looked at, or 6 7 investigated, or heard any testimony at all with regard to whether there's a written policy clearly setting out 8 when it is that the school resource officers or the law 9 10 enforcement would be brought in? 11 MS. LIMAS: Yes, ma'am. I don't recall 12 specific testimony, and unfortunately, I'm sorry I 13 didn't have time to review all of the testimony before 14 my appearance before you today, but if I remember 15 correctly, the Oklahoma City School District was 16 working on that, or perhaps, had such rules. 17 But we did indeed hear testimony to the 18 you mentioned about administrators effect that 19 abdicating to police or security, if you will, and that 20 this was not a desirable situation, that the schools 21 themselves should take this on themselves and only

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki?

VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON:

refer very dangerous situations to the police.

22

23

24

Thank you.

appreciation to the chorus here. I think it is a fabulous report. I just have a couple of questions somewhat along the lines of Commissioner Kladney. I note that the disability issue came up even though it was not something that you were able to focus on given the nature of the problem.

I'm wondering if you feel like, based on what you heard, that the issue of disability and the prison pipeline issue is something that is needing exploring by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights?

MS. LIMAS: I would say so, yes. I'm not necessarily speaking for the committee, but just from what I heard, there is -- I believe there would have been enough investigatory material that we could have sifted through that might have taken us at least a half-day or a full day of testimony just on that issue of disability.

And I do believe that, you know, particularly given the statistics that we received from the disability advocates, that this is a very grave problem and that students are falling through and ending up in the justice system simply because they can't engage intellectually. They can't even learn to

1 read properly, and therefore, can't proceed through the 2 education system. 3 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Thank you, and 4 the second question I had, because I do think it is 5 really an important report, is what kind distribution you've already been able to do and -- to 6 7 try to determine what kind of distribution we could help 8 do at the national level? 9 Well, I think it would be MS. LIMAS: 10 helpful to publicize the report to all of the school 11 districts within Oklahoma. I know one of our committee 12 members was very concerned throughout, and there is a 13 paragraph toward the beginning on are we seeing this 14 in the rural schools because Oklahoma basically has 15 three large urban settings or urban populations. 16 And I think it would be very helpful, and 17 particularly given the response that we've seen just 18 from people who have seen the report already, that I 19 think would be very helpful to get this distributed to 20 all of the school districts within Oklahoma, and then 21 to just add our report to the chorus of work that's being 22 done on this issue in other states. 23 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: And have you

already delivered it to your Congressional delegation?

1	MS. LIMAS: We are in the process of doing
2	that.
3	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Fabulous.
4	MS. LIMAS: Yes, again
5	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Perhaps I could
6	
7	MS. LIMAS: I'm sorry, excuse me, I didn't
8	mean to interrupt you. Melissa and her wonderful staff
9	are getting things together for us and we are in the
10	process of doing that.
11	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Great, and I
12	would like to also suggest to staff if we haven't
13	already, that we share it with some of the education
14	stakeholders like the National Education Association
15	and the American Federation of Teachers.
16	MS. LIMAS: Yes, and we did have panelists
17	from those organizations as well.
18	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
20	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Kladney here. I
21	was wondering maybe if perhaps if our staff decides just
22	distributing it to the school districts in Oklahoma,
23	if we might be able to just email the principals of all
24	of the schools. I'm sure that email list is available

1 || --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry, could you speak up? You're getting garbled. I couldn't hear everything you were saying.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I think it would be a good idea not just sending it to the school districts in Oklahoma, but taking the report and getting a list of all of the principals at the schools in Oklahoma and emailing them a copy of the report as well, so that the front line principals can get a copy of it directly.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so why don't we do this? I'm going to -- let's make two motions, one that we'll do what the committee is asking the Commission to do and that is to send these specific recommendations to the Department of Ed and to DOJ so that we will -- we would create a letter from the Commission that we would bring forward at the August meeting for approval and review by the Commission, as we've done in the past with other of our state advisory committee requests, and then a second motion that relates to what you're talking about, Commissioner Kladney, which is slightly different, that we would send copies of this report or links to the report both to the school districts as well as the principals in Oklahoma, so dealing with them as

1	two separate motions. Does that work for folks, and
2	if so, do I have seconds?
3	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Seconded by me.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so let's take up
5	the first one. That is the request specifically from
6	the SAC that we send this report, and these
7	recommendations I should say as well, to the
8	Departments of Ed and Justice. So you may recall we
9	did this with Nebraska recently and the e-verify issue.
10	Commissioner Narasaki, then Commissioner Heriot, I
11	think you have a look of a question on your face.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can defer to you
13	first.
14	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I support this.
15	I think that we should think about the various agencies
16	within the Department of Education that would be
17	appropriate because it does call out, for example, the
18	
	Bureau of Indian Education.
19	Bureau of Indian Education. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay.
19 20	
	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay.
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So if we can make
20 21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So if we can make it broader than just the Secretary.
202122	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay. COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: So if we can make it broader than just the Secretary. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right, okay, that makes

1	procedural question. Are we talking about having a
2	letter that, a cover letter that we never see, or is
3	there going to be a two-step process here?
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Two-step process.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So we'll see
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: In August, yes.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay.
8	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: I have a
9	question
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, ma'am, Vice Chair?
11	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Mr.
12	Chair. With regard to getting a copy of this report
13	in email form to every principal, school principal
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's take that one
15	second. Let's vote on this one first and get it out
16	of the way
17	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: and then we'll come
19	back to that one. Any more questions on the first
20	motion as it relates to the request from the SAC? None?
21	Okay, let me take a vote on this very quickly and then
22	we'll get to the second request. Commissioner
23	Kirsanow, how do you vote?
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot,
2	how do you vote?
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm generally in
4	favor of greater distribution of SAC reports, so I'll
5	vote yes on this.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki,
7	how do you vote?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I vote yes.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
10	how do you vote? Kladney?
11	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
13	Achtenberg, how do you vote?
14	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Yaki, how
16	do you vote?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And Madam Vice Chair,
19	how do you vote?
20	VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I vote yes, so it's
22	seven yeses and one no. That motion passes. And if
23	you all on the phone could just mute your phones, I think
24	we're getting some feedback. I think we are getting

some feedback. Next we have the motion on publicizing this report to the school districts and the principals.

Madam Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR TIMMONS-GOODSON: Yes, I was simply asking in response to the suggestion that we send out a copy of this report to every principal within the Oklahoma schools, and I was wondering how it is that we -- how we were going to accomplish that, where we're going to get the emails? Who's going to be tasked with that? Just --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I will give the floor to

-- yes, that's why I wanted to keep these separate

because there's different issues here. Mr. Staff

Director?

MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I'd like the opportunity to -- I understand the value and the importance of circulating this to the widest audience we can. I think Madam Vice Chair raised a good point. We don't want to unduly burden staff in doing this to the detriment of other highly critical things we're involved in, so I'd like the opportunity perhaps to work with their special assistants in figuring out how do we maximize the distribution of them without unduly burdening the staff

in trying to do this.

Because trying to get -- I mean, I have no idea how many schools there are in Oklahoma, and I don't have any idea how many school districts. There could be hundreds, if not, you know, maybe a thousand schools, which could be pretty burdensome, so if we could work together and find a way to do that so that we would get them out to the right folks in Oklahoma where it would receive its maximum potential exposure.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Can I suggest then that we table this motion to the next meeting so that our staff can look into what the staff director just suggested and report back to us as to, you know, the feasibility of this?

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Well, I'd like to suggest something, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, yes, go ahead, Commissioner Kladney, then Commissioner Narasaki.

COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Perhaps the staff could contact the Department of Education in the State of Oklahoma and/or each school district and try to arrange for them to make the distribution rather than just sending it to the departments and the school districts to where it may just sit on one desk, but

1 actually engage with them and see if they would 2 distribute them for us, because I'm sure they have a 3 master list. They'll provide us with the master list. 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Narasaki? 5 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: I'm not sure how 6 realistic that is, given that in some ways, the report 7 is somewhat critical of what's going on in the schools, 8 that they would want to volunteer to do that. I would 9 suggest that we give staff -- I agree with the Chair. 10 I think we should give staff the opportunity to also 11 talk to the Oklahoma SAC to figure out if they have 12 suggestions. 13 This is one of the reasons why I raised the 14 NEA and AFT is it may well be that they have a membership 15 list or a way of trying to publicize this that would 16 not require our staff to do what I think is an enormous 17 And while it is an appropriate suggestion, given 18 how limited our staff resources are, particularly on 19 the regional level, I do think, unfortunately, we have 20 to set some capacity priorities here. 21 MS. LIMAS: And may I add, if I may speak? 22 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, Madam Chair? 23 MS. LIMAS: Yes, we're happy to help in any 24 way that we can. I don't have such a list in my

1	possession, but I'm happy to work with our committee
2	to try to figure out the best way to get that information
3	here in Oklahoma.
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, great, so it seems
5	there's a consensus. We'll put this off until the next
6	meeting and allow staff to look into the various issues
7	and the feasibility of this and report back. Does that
8	work for everybody?
9	Okay, thank you again, Madam Chair. Thank
10	you, and please convey our thanks and our appreciation
11	to the other members of the State Advisory Committee
12	for your service in general, and your work on this
13	report in particular. Thank you.
14	MS. LIMAS: You're welcome. Thank you very
15	much.
16	D. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We are now off to the last
18	portion of our agenda, the management and operations.
19	I will now turn it over to our staff director to report.
20	
21	STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
22	MR. MORALES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
23	
	wanted to do a couple of things here. One, you have

details. If there is any particular matter that you have a concern about or would like to speak with me, certainly I'm available to do that with you.

I would like to do two things. One, I want to mention that today at some point, I'm going to be sending an email to all of the Commissioners and Commissioner's assistants about arranging a time sometime next week, hopefully on Thursday or Friday depending on the availability of Commissioners, to discuss the OCRE staffing. We have, Maureen and I have had discussions and have a preliminary plan on how we want to proceed with some of those challenges, and so we'd like to discuss that with you.

Second, I want to thank Pam for a lot of work she did yesterday with me on a matter. And also, her and her team, you know, these meetings, there's a lot of moving parts to putting together the business meetings and the briefings here for the Commission.

There is, you know, a lot of technology in regards to getting the phones to work, and the microphones, and court reporter, and witnesses, and documents, and there's a lot of stuff behind the scenes, so I really just want to thank her and her team, Marcell and Juanda, and of course Michele, for all of the

technical work they do behind the scenes that we don't often see.

Lastly, of course, I had already introduced Brian, and so I won't do that again, but I just want to thank him for coming.

STATUS OF USCCR WEBSITE TRANSITION

And the last thing I want to say is in regards to the website, I sent an email out to the Commissioners yesterday, or maybe it was the day before, but I want to read it real quickly into the record so the public also has an understanding of the challenges we have.

The Government Printing Office has sent the DNS server file to the Department of Homeland Security and the Government Accounting Office. The DNS servers for usccr.gov have been assigned. DHS is validating, testing, and securing the servers. Once the servers are secure and validated, DHS will issue a few more dates for the migration of our website.

A meeting will be scheduled at that time between the contractor and me to discuss the possible issues during our migration, and the time period for the website outages, potential outages, once the date has been proposed. The dates for the migration will

begin on a Monday and through a Thursday at 9:00 p.m., so there could be some interruptions.

The Government Printing Office will continue to host and maintain our site during the migration, and the Government Printing Office servers will remain as a backup solution in the event there are problems during the migration.

Once this information is provided to me,

I will notify Commissioners of the dates that DHS has

proposed to migrate our website and the U.S. -- and our

email post office.

Lastly, we are creating a folder that will be accessible to the public so that we're never in a position where we cannot provide information to the public. During the migration process, we anticipate that we, the Commission, will still be able to release reports, statements, and press releases. And we've also been informed that during the migration process, the USCCR website will remain accessible to the public, and that's, of course, if everything goes well.

There could be some glitches. There could be some hiccups with that, but I just want to make sure folks understand, you know, the challenges with migrating a system from one server and host to another,

1 so I thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions for the staff director? Commissioner Narasaki?

COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yes, I note that we are obviously working to try to fill and reappoint members to the various open SACs, so I'm wondering, Mr. Staff Director, what your plan is to try to get the balance done before the end of the fiscal year? So what could we expect, you know, at the meeting in August, for example?

MR. MORALES: I believe we should have -I've been provided, if we can get through the two that
we had to pull today because of some issues that were
brought up pursuant to AI 59. I was presented with
another one today which isn't ready for -- wasn't ready
for today's consideration. We could possibly have
another five for the next meeting.

And if we continue on this process where we are able to do multiple, five to seven between now and the end of the year, the regional coordinator has put forward a plan that he presented to all of you a few weeks ago where he believes that we could have probably all of the SACs populated and nominees up. We are in the --

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: By the end of 2 calendar year? 3 MR. MORALES: By the end of the calendar 4 year, yes, I'm sorry. I forget there's fiscal year and 5 calendar year. The other thing that you should know 6 and we've talked about is that there is a Pathways hire 7 that is being made that will be able to oversee and to 8 engage the Central -- the Midwest Regional Office which 9 currently doesn't have a person to oversee those seven 10 SACs, and so it's our belief that those seven SACs will 11 also be up and available for consideration by all of 12 you. 13 COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Great, thank you, 14 and I also wanted to acknowledge the help of the interns 15 who have been here this summer. I think we may have 16 had some join since our last meeting, and we very much 17 appreciate everyone's help. 18 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes, ditto. Any other 19 questions or comments for the staff director? 20 MOTION TO CONDUCT TELEPHONIC MEETING 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, I've got one 22 more item that we need to do before we adjourn and that 23 is we need to make a motion that we conduct a telephonic 24 business meeting for the purposes of voting on the 2017

1	Statutory Enforcement Report concept paper as well as
2	the Part B findings and recs for the 2016 Statutory
3	Enforcement Report. Do I have a second on that?
4	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, what would I
6	figure, maybe a week to 10 days? Does that sound right
7	for folks? Commissioner Narasaki?
8	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yeah, I'm hoping
9	that we could do it this coming week because next week
10	I think some of us will be caught up in
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, so sometime next
12	week then would be the
13	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Ideally, yes.
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.
15	MR. MORALES: We'll work with I'm
16	sorry, Mr. Chairman. We'll work with your special
17	assistants to find a time that makes sense for
18	everybody.
19	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Well, I would
20	suggest since we have all of the Commissioners, that
21	we try to do it now.
22	MR. MORALES: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Because
24	otherwise, you know what happens.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yeah, okay.
2	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Does staff feel
3	like they could finish both, have proposals as well as
4	the people who are working on the concept paper by like
5	Thursday or Friday next week? Is that doable or not?
6	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yeah
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner
8	Achtenberg?
9	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: it actually
10	isn't. It would need to be sometime in the middle of
11	the following week, if at all possible just because I'm
12	going to be incommunicado and we have a you know,
13	we have things that
14	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You have to speak into
15	your mic, Commissioner, sorry.
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: We have things
17	that I think we need to do correctly that I think will
18	take a little bit of time. I understand the problem
19	with going beyond next week, but next week is a problem
20	actually.
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I said a week to 10 days,
22	so the middle of the following week then. Do people
23	have their calendars out or should we just have staff
24	follow up?

1	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Could we do it
2	two weeks from today?
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, for the meeting?
4	Okay, I have just been informed that we also need to,
5	as part of our motion, make a motion to waive the
6	eight-day notice period for a phone call, so let's
7	is that
8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman,
9	if we did it two weeks from today, that would be longer
10	than eight days, in which case we wouldn't have to waive
11	anything.
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: What date are we talking
13	about?
14	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: You're talking
15	about the 29th, I believe.
16	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Yeah, Friday
17	the 29th.
18	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Friday the 29th,
19	so it would need to be after 11:30 Eastern for me because
20	I'll be on a train.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It would have to be
22	after noon Eastern for me.
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any other issues?
24	So what would what time would that be that works well

1	because we're dealing with you said Eastern Time?
2	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Yeah, right now
3	any time after noon Eastern Time is what we're working
4	with.
5	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So 1:00 p.m. Eastern
6	Time, does that work for folks?
7	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: On the 29th?
8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: On the 29th of July.
9	Okay, so that is the motion. Is there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER NARASAKI: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, any additional
12	discussion? I'm just going to call for a voice vote
13	on this. All those in favor, say, aye.
14	(Chorus of aye.)
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any opposed? Any
16	abstentions?
17	II. ADJOURN MEETING
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay, seeing as we have
19	covered our agenda and have no other topics, I motion
20	that I adjourn this meeting at 11:30 a.m. Eastern Time.
21	Thank you.
22	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
23	went off the record at 11:30 a.m.)
24	