U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, MAY 18, 2012

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:35 a.m., Martin R. Castro, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARTIN R. CASTRO, Chairman
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chair
ROBERTA ACHTENBERG, Commissioner
TODD F. GAZIANO, Commissioner
GAIL L. HERIOT, Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
DAVID KLADNEY, Commissioner (via telephone)
MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner (via telephone)

STAFF PRESENT:

TERESA BROOKS PAMELA DUNSTON, Chief, ASCD ALFREDA GREENE TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, OM PETER MINARIK, Director, RPCU TORRENCE MONTGOMERY LENORE OSTROWSKY, Acting Chief, PAU MICHELE RAMEY JOHN RATCLIFFE, Chief, Budget and Finance KIMBERLY TOLHURST, Senior Attorney, OGC VANESSA WILLIAMSON COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT: NICHOLAS COLTEN ALEC DEULL TIM FAY DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON JOHN MARTIN RICHARD SCHAMEL MARLENE SALLO ALISON SOMIN

CONTENTS

AGEND.	A ITEM	PAGE
I.	Approval of Agenda	4
II.	Management and Operations	5
	- Discussion on Agency Staffing	6
III.	Program Planning Update and Discussion of Projects:	57
	Strategic Planning ProcessDiscussion on 2013 Statutory Report Selection Process	61 78
IV.	Management and Operations (Continued)	89
	- Chief of Regional Programs	90
	Coordination Unit Report - Voting Rights Act draft report update	95

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-T-N-G-S

(9:35 a.m.)

2.2

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Good morning. This meeting is coming to order. This is a meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is now 9:35 a.m. on May 18, 2012. This meeting is taking place at the headquarters of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 9th Street, Northwest, in Washington, D.C.

I'm Chairman Marty Castro. Commissioners who are present at this meeting are myself, Vice Chair Thernstrom, Commissioner Kirsanow, Commissioner Heriot, Commissioner Gaziano, and Commissioner Achtenberg. Commissioner Yaki and Commissioner Kladney are joining us by telephone. So a quorum of commissioners is present.

Is the person who is acting as the court reporter present?

COURT REPORTER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. The meeting shall now come to order.

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The first item is the approval of the agenda for May 18th. I know we have got some amendments that we want to make by unanimous consent. So those amendments would include moving the

1	discussion of the agency staffing issue to the
2	beginning of the agenda and to put off the review of
3	the minutes until the next meeting because there are
4	some questions raised about the minutes. And the
5	third item was a request by Commissioner Gaziano to
6	add a report from the senior attorney in our Office of
7	the General Counsel to give us an update on the Voting
8	Rights Act draft report.
9	Did I accurately summarize the amendments
10	by unanimous consent?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So with that, I would
13	move that the agenda with these proposed amendments be
14	moved. Is there a second?
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I second.
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All those in favor say
17	aye.
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any abstentions?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any against?
22	(No response.)
23	II. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS
24	- DISCUSSION ON AGENCY STAFFING
25	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So the first

item, then, we will move on to a discussion of our agency staffing issues. As my colleagues know, over the last couple of weeks since our last meeting, there has been a lot of shuttle diplomacy.

I know I have talked to virtually all of you and, finally, this morning Commissioner Kirsanow because of scheduling and trying to find if there was some way to move forward with finding an acting staff director. We pursued for a period of time identifying potential detailee candidates, both from our conservative colleagues as well as our democratic colleagues.

So many of us interviewed those candidates only to find that we had reached according to our Office of General Counsel and through OPM unofficially that there were some legal impediments to a detailee as well as to who would sign a detail agreement on behalf of our agency after Ms. Tolhurst stepped down as Acting Staff Director.

I then went back to the wall to see if we might be able to reach an agreement among commissioners to have a majority of us agree to one of our existing staff members to act as an interim acting staff director pending the White House's choice of a candidate for us to review.

2.2

2.4

Unfortunately, we were not able to reach consensus. One of our candidates withdrew. And I continue to press the White House on a regular basis. I understand that they are interviewing candidates. So that is always a hopeful sign.

But, even pending that, we still need someone to run this agency in the interim. Thirty days without a staff director has me and many of you jittery, as it should. But we seem to be at a point where we don't have a consensus yet on how to proceed.

I know that Commissioner Gaziano in conversations with me has indicated that he may have some ideas to address the legal concerns regarding a detailee.

So, under the circumstances, you know, I have asked Commissioner Gaziano to speak to that so that we can all have an idea of what those issues are or what the potential ways to address this would be so that we can move forward, hopefully in one direction or another, to deal with finding an acting staff director.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

After we talk about the acting staff director position, I would also like to raise a few

2.2

2.4

questions about the senior attorney in the Office of 1 2 General Counsel, who is also the only attorney in the Office of General Counsel. 3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 4 Sure. 5 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just to say where I'm going with that, I am disappointed with one of the 6 7 communications that we received about her pay. want to raise a -- I don't see --8 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: She was here, but she 10 stepped away. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: No. She's here. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: There she is. 14 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh. She is. Okay. 15 At the conclusion, when we talk about the sector, I do want to raise an issue of whether it isn't within our 16 17 authority to redesignate her acting general counsel 18 and at least have a pay level that was equivalent to 19 her previous pay level. 20 But, with regard to the detail, I did do 21 some preliminary research, mostly with John's help. 2.2 And it seemed to confirm the issues of law that I identified in my email. And I'll go over that a 23 2.4 little bit. 25 In my email, you know, I proposed engaging I said I didn't want to do that if there wasn't some sense of the rest of the Commission or commissioners that that would be fruitful. And so I didn't do exhaustive work. So maybe some indication from you all that that was worth pursuing.

Only a tiny bit of my analysis turns on an issue that irritates some. So I'd rather not spend much time on it. And that's the teeny bit whether we are actually in the Executive Branch or not.

I will mention one thing real quickly on that because whether we are or not, I think the detail issues are surmountable. But I was made aware that one of our regulations in the CFR that the Commission adopted previously says we are an agency within the Executive Branch. That's unfortunate because I think it is void given the Constitution. It has been a violation of the Constitution.

But let's assume -- just get this out of the way -- that that CFR is correct that we're in the Executive Branch. Then we would be bound as an Executive Branch agency to follow the binding precedence of the Office of Legal Counsel. And the Office of Legal Counsel, binding precedent is that we're not in Executive Branch.

2.2

2.4

So if we are in the Executive Branch, we've not in the Executive Branch. If we presume, the most recent -- and this was not just on commissions like ours with congressional -- specifically of the Commission on Civil Rights. The most recent was Walter Dellinger, head of the Office of Legal Counsel, the Clinton administration, who subsequently became Acting Solicitor General for the Clinton administration, citing and essentially reaffirming the signing statement or a document the DOJ added to the Reagan signing statement to the Commission.

So if we presume that that regulation is not binding and we make our own determination, I think we would have to come to the same conclusion, the Office of Legal Counsel.

But now to what really matters, whether we're in the Executive Branch or not, and I think is the case, detailees, the Office of Legal Counsel has also said, which is binding for the rest of the Executive Branch, that Executive Branch detailees can be detailed through independent agencies and the Congress, whichever of those we might be.

And clearly they can be detailed to other agencies, the Office of Legal Counsel, which again are binding on OPM, binding on the rest of the Executive

2.2

2.4

Branch unless the Attorney General or the President overrules, are that you can make a temporary appointment to a position that must be filled by some other means. And there have been probably thousands of such temporary appointments over the years.

But I have not had a chance to talk to the senior attorney in the Office of General Counsel.

There are some issues still to track down. I do think that, as I set forth in my email, I am a little bit more confident of the interpretation, the quick and dirty interpretation, I have of the Economy Act. The Economy Act is very broad and allows any agency to lend assistance to any other agency. I do not see that it is proper to interpret that in as narrow a way to preclude the Commission, the collective Commission, acting as agency head or its Chairman.

And, as I said, the Supreme Court jurisprudence on who the head of a collective agency is is a little bit, unfortunately, unclear, but it is either a majority of the commissioners or its chair. It depends on the statute.

The Supreme Court has gone different ways.

I think that under the Economy Act, the Economy Act
does not say it must be the administrative head of an
agency. It is the head of an agency.

2.2

2.4

So I think that there are one or two issues that I would want to track down. I also think there is a way to possibly get the Executive Branch to bless our interpretation if we were to determine that it is within our right.

But I think that if we were going to pursue this, we would have to make our independent judgment that that was right. We would have to consult our Office of General Counsel in whatever capacity and be careful about doing that.

But I would suggest that we simply accept the detail and we determine, as appropriate, what duties that person can perform, whether that person can perform, the functions of the acting staff director. I think it would likely be quite possible.

But my final thought is, are we likely to agree on a candidate? I we are not likely to agree on a candidate, then all these steps also are somewhat time-consuming.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Commissioner, I'm sorry. Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Just one clarification here. When you said "accept a candidate," do you mean in principle because, as you just said, we may not be able to agree on a candidate?

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's right. And so I don't know. That's the chicken and egg issue.

Do we conduct all this research to see whether we can consider a candidate or do we see if a candidate might be possible and then go through the effort to assure ourselves that it is?

And, again, different people in the Executive Branch still would have to go along with that unless we found a detailee from Congress.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Detailee is what you're saying, not exempt?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Correct.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano, let met ask you one question. So that would address the underlying issue of whether or not a detail -- just assuming that you're right for the moment. But a detailee would be acceptable, a legal for our agency. We still would have the issue, which is really what prompted this whole thing in the first place as the fact that we don't have an acting staff director right now.

Who at the agency would be the appropriate entity to actually sign a detail agreement between our agency and any -- you know, the loaning agency?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: With further

2.2

2.4

research, I strongly suspect the answer would be a 1 2 majority of the Commission authorizes the Chairman to 3 sign such an agreement, the majority of the Commission and the Chairman together exercising their awesome 4 5 power --6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, yes. 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- that that ought 8 to satisfy the requirement of the Economy Act. 9 least that's --10 And, just for the CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 11 record, I wanted to be clear that there has been an 12 admission that the Chair has awesome power. 13 (Laughter.) 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I will accept you 15 all at some point in the future. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I did not 16 17 distinguish between the awesome power of the Chairman 18 and the majority of the Commission. 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Okay. Fine. 20 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Together, though. 21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Virtual force of 2.2 nature. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. So any other comments or questions? And I would also like to hear 2.4 25 from our attorney about any thoughts you may have in

light of what our commissioner has put forward. 1 2 commissioners have any questions on Commissioner Gaziano's suggestions on how this might work? 3 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: 4 I just want to 5 say, Mr. Chairman, --6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 7 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: -- that I think 8 it sounds imminently reasonable, but that doesn't mean 9 that it is necessarily the law. So, you know, I hope you're right. So I would look forward to a further 10 11 explication assuming I think that it's fruitful to go 12 forward because we think we can or we might be able to 13 bring on a candidate. 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: But, however we 16 decide, whether or not we're going to undertake 17 further this inquiry, I do think it's -- just as my 18 personal opinion, I do think it sounds promising. 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All right. And let me 20 say on the issue of whether we can agree on a 21 detailee, I know at this point many of us have 2.2 interviewed two candidates. Not all of us have 23 interviewed those two candidates.

that our general counsel and OPM have raised for us,

So perhaps if we can surmount legal issues

2.4

I think folks who have not interviewed those candidates should do that.

But that doesn't mean that we can't go out and try to find some other candidates as well. Now, I have been looking for other candidates. And some folks have said they didn't want to come and be considered this close to the end of a presidential term.

But, having said that, when it was raised there were legal blockades, I stopped actively looking. And I can begin to do that as well as well. So if it turns out we can do this and we can't agree on one of the two we have interviewed, then we can certainly begin to try to expand the net some more, but we'll see if that happens or not. I think it's all contingent on whether or not any research that you would present to our Office of the General Counsel would pass muster.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And let me just be clear. Whether we are in the Executive Branch or not, we still have to follow certain laws to apply and the Executive Branch has to follow Executive Branch also. There are a few elements of this that could become a little tricky.

Again, I apologize, not having talked to

2.2

2.4

all of the attorneys in the Office of General Counsel to get a further understanding, but unless there is objection, I will try to have not only that conversation but if any of your special assistants want to lend a hand, particularly critical hand, I would appreciate critical going forward.

The other thing, though, is my suggestion about a detailee was to get another senior staffer in. We can still decide. I'm not measurably opposed to someone else being the acting staff director. I think pursuing a detail is worthy whether that's necessarily the acting staff director.

You know, one issue about elevating anyone on our career staff is that most of them are doing between three and six or seven jobs. And then who would do their three and six or seven jobs? I mean, that's an issue. But a detailee could help on either of those.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And let me also suggest that you might want to touch base with our HR person, Tina Martin, who has got a lot of experience in these issues as well in terms of her input on these issues to detail in addition to our General Counsel's Office.

But is there consensus that perhaps

Commissioner Gaziano and some team of his essays or

2.2

2.4

1	others would be willing to let him kind of have at it
2	and provide our general counsel and our HR people some
3	basis for their position and if it passes muster, then
4	we can come back to the table and say, "All right.
5	Let's take a look"? If it doesn't, then, you know, we
6	can revisit again other staff.
7	But I know that we have all amongst us
8	talked about a number of staff and, for various
9	reasons, have not been able to reach consensus. But
LO	maybe after we realize that a detailee it is clear is
L1	not possible, if that turns out to be the ultimate
L2	conclusion, and maybe that will change people's minds.
L3	So does that seem like a course of action
L4	that we can undertake with all due haste?
L5	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman?
L6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes? I'm sorry.
L7	Commissioner Achtenberg?
L8	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: With one caveat.
L9	And that is that I would like to hear from
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That's right, yes.
21	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: our senior
22	attorney
23	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry. They asked
24	about that earlier, yes.
25	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: how she views

both the original rationale that was postulated from her office and the additional information that the commissioner just presented if that's okay.

MS. TOLHURST: Okay. This is Kim

Tolhurst. So my initial thought is not to say that

more detailee is possible. My reading of the Economy

Act -- and I will grant you that I consider myself an

expert on this.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure.

MS. TOLHURST: I think, really, no one is.

I can't find any instance of an agency's detailing
their head to --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Could you speak up? Do you have your mike on?

MS. TOLHURST: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.

MS. TOLHURST: I can't really say that I found an example of an agency doing this that we could model. My concern is that for something that's as important as the head of the agency, I want us to be completely on the up and up. And when you look at the Economy Act, whether it's the staff director, acting staff director, or if you said, "Well, the commissioners, let's say that they can do this," although our AIs and our regs and our statute are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	pretty clear that the staff director is meant to do
2	personnel actions, even if we decided commissioners
3	would need to take on that role because of our very
4	unique dire situation, the effect of the Economy Act
5	speaks of the head of the agency, whomever that is,
6	being the person to approve a detail to me tells us
7	the spirit of the act is that the detail is not to the
8	person who is going to become the head of the agency.
9	And any other kind of detail, again, if you wanted to
10	take a staff member and put them as acting general
11	counsel and then they needed a detail for their job,
12	I would think that would be more reasonable. It was
13	just a bit too cute. I have to say we are going to
14	use this act to appoint the person basically we all
15	know is essentially going to be the administrator we
16	
17	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The administrative
18	head.
19	MS. TOLHURST: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's not the
21	head.
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let's let her finish.
23	And then we can ask her whatever questions we want.
24	Okay?
25	MS. TOLHURST: I think what we have done

in the past with Chris Byrnes and with myself was -and we are very careful, obviously. We did not call
for Chris or I the acting staff director. Instead,
there was this ridiculous, cumbersome, designated blah
blah blah. And that was because of a couple of
things, including case law about acting staff
directors.

I was thinking yesterday about the
Ishimaru case. And in that case, there are two steps
for our actual staff director, which, please God,
we'll get sometime. If the President nominates, you
all vote. It's two steps.

And so internally to designate anyone on staff makes perfect sense. We obviously have to function. It feels a little bit -- to get someone from outside -- so Ishimaru, I think you all are familiar with this.

The point was that the President couldn't circumvent the commissioners by appointing an acting staff director. It had to be two steps. The President could nominate, but the commissioners had their right to weight in.

If we are going outside, it feels like we're doing the flip of that. We're taking the President's role of the nominating and just then the

2.2

2.4

commissioners vote.

2.2

2.4

And so with these concerns, then, I went to OPM. And while I was out sick, the Chairman's special assistant talked to OPM. And, you know, whether there opinion is binding on us or not, they have some expertise. And I think the PM is for personnel management. And they thought this was also dicey. So it gave me a lot of pause.

And then at the same time, there is duality that in the moment, we have one lawyer in the Office of General Counsel. And they accept you and the FOIA officer, and I'm submitting the statutory report.

And this might be speaking out of school, but you all don't have five votes for any detailee.

And I'm not prioritizing a lot of further research on this if I don't think you do because I have an inordinate amount of other legal work that no one else can properly do here.

And then, unfortunately, I was out.

So is there more research that can be done on this? Yes. It's not a closed question. But I think it's in many ways a question of first impression. And it's hard to find a regulation saying you can or can't do this. I don't want to be cute

about it, and I don't want us to behave in any way that even appears improper.

So that's my --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot?

And Commissioner Gaziano and then the Vice Chair.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to say that there are a couple of issues I think here that are pretty easy. And that is staff director is the director of the staff, not the director of the agency.

You know, looking at our statute, those words were carefully chosen. The statute says the Commission consists of eight commissioners and the title that is given to the staff director is staff director, not Commission director. So I think that's an easy question.

The agency head here insofar as "agency" is the right word here -- and I actually don't think it is, but I'm going to go for agency head -- has got to be either the Chairman of the Commission or, I think probably more accurately, the Commission. I wouldn't call it a majority of the Commission, although, though, you know, in effect, if the agency head is a member of the Commission, then a majority of the commissioners directs what that eight-member commission does. But I don't think it's even close to

2.2

2.4

suggest the staff director is the agency head. 1 2 The analogy I would give is to the White House chief of staff. Nobody would say that the 3 agency head of the White House is the chief of staff. 4 5 You know, the President is the President. And that's 6 just the way it is. 7 As for whether or not this is circumventing --8 9 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute 10 here. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Pardon me? 12 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm sorry. I'm 13 really lost. Is there equivalent in the President 14 here? 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The Commission. The 16 Commission. Just like the staff members in Congress 17 are not Congress, Congress is Congress. And the 18 Supreme Court is the Supreme Court. Now, there are 19 all sorts of collective bodies that work like that. 20 That is not an unusual configuration at all. 21 The other point is, you know, are we 2.2 circumventing the President's power if we go out and 23 find an acting staff director? I think we already 2.4 crossed that bridge. We have methods by which we

confer the staff director's power on an internal

And so we have done that already. 1 2 see that the crucial difference is going inside or outside the staff as it exists now. You know, I would 3 4 be quite shocked if there is not a way to do this. 5 You know, in my message to you, I 6 analogize this to my vaque recollection of an I Love 7 Lucy episode where Tennessee Ernie Ford is asked how to get somewhere. And he goes, "Well, you can't get 8 there from here." 9 10 You can get there from here. There is a 11 way that we can get a detailee if that is what we want 12 I am quite confident of that. It's just a 13 question of how we do it and whether we want to invest 14 the time and to figuring out that because we may find 15 that it is easier to appoint somebody from within. 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? 17 Then the Vice Chair. Is there any commissioner on the 18 phone that wants to ask a question after the Vice 19 Chair? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? Okay. Commissioner 2.2 Gaziano? 23 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I wanted to 2.4 position my agreement with Ms. Tolhurst, whatever her 25 title is, in at least some respects. I mean, those

are serious concerns she raises. And I think she acknowledged, though, that there are serious alternatives to not crossing those, but I think that some of those points are persuasive. And there's one or two maybe minor linkages in the legal chain that I would want to resolve that I think are a little bit more open.

I, for example, have not found that a detailee was brought in but something akin to a detailee was brought in to then serve as the head of the agency, but I didn't find -- but only a fraction of the opinions are published.

I know when I used to work in the Office of Legal Counsel we had ten times this many that were not -- and I would also want to get OPM or someone else in the Executive Branch to bless it, but I think the only way to get them to bless it is not to go back to them right now and say, "Are you sure about your opinion? We think you're wrong." And then they're going to dig in their heels.

And if the Commission is divided over that, then that is going to make it less likely. Particularly presidential appointees are signaling to the Executive Branch they are uncomfortable with what some of the rest of us want to do.

2.2

2.4

But I think there may be a way I would 1 2 rather not go through that right now because if I say 3 it, it will preclude it maybe necessarily from happening, but I concede many of the points that the 4 5 Acting General Counsel makes about us wanting to make sure that there really is clear authority before going 6 7 down the road. But I'm willing to spend a little more time with your all's signature to look into it. 8 Madam Vice Chair? 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: David Kladney, are 11 you on the phone? Are you on the phone? 12 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes, I am, Madam 13 Vice Chair. I ask because I 14 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: 15 think my understanding is you might be a little to 16 some extent sympathetic with this question. 17 it's addressed to Kim Tolhurst. I'm not sure. 18 In the absence of a detailee, in what way 19 is this situation failing to function? In her view, 20 how urgent is it that we have somebody in that chair, 21 as it were? 2.2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Is that a question to 23 Ms. Tolhurst? VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I think it's best 24 25 answered by her.

1 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 2 MS. TOLHURST: How urgent that is I think 3 is for you all to decide versus --COMMISSIONER YAKI: Excuse me. This is 4 5 Commissioner Yaki? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 6 Yes? 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Before Ms. Tolhurst answers, I would like to add sort of a corollary to 8 what the Vice Chair talked about --9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 10 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: -- which is I am 12 concerned a bit about the issues of our liability when 13 decisions are being made in the absence of staff 14 input, specifically, for example, that we have a move 15 occurring within a couple of weeks or a month of each 16 other. And I am concerned about the potential of 17 things being on time. And I am concerned about the 18 personal liability issues associated with it. 19 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Let me add one 20 more sentence to what I was saying. Ms. Tolhurst, you 21 referred to "a dire situation." So my question is 2.2 really, is this a dire situation? I mean, you know, 23 isn't this in our function? 2.4 MS. TOLHURST: As I've outlined in past

meetings, there are things that the staff director

should do. Under our current rules -- and some of them are internal rules that could be modified.

But under our current rules, we have no one now -- I issued you all the voting rights statutory report a week or two ago to check off, to the checklist, and confirm that we have a balanced panel that this should be published.

We technically have no -- and those rules were imposed on us by GAO to some extent in trying to improve our internal practices to show that we were being balanced and fair. And there's a pretty extensive checklist. And it's the job of the staff director to say the general counsel did this properly and OCRE or whoever is in charge of giving the report.

So we want to have someone to do that. We don't currently have someone putting forth SAC packages. We don't have someone approving SAC. There are several. Commissioner Kladney I know had asked about this, a couple of SAC things requiring staff director approval. So some SAC reports aren't being published.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Are these problems that can be solved without having to agree? The chances of us agreeing on a detailee, putting aside the legal question, are not great. So the

2.2

2.4

question is, are these problems that can be solved or are they so monumental that the agency in some sense grinds to a halt?

MS. TOLHURST: I don't know who would approve. So it is already problematic, right, because I was the acting -- I was designated the authority of staff director. And I was already going to be approving that I as acting general counsel had done everything properly. So we were already in a poor situation, but it's just gotten one step worse.

And any patch we could make it's true we could make an internal -- some kind of AI thing.

Well, during this time, some other person will do this. It's just every little patch we do like that I think starts to chip away at the legitimacy of our processes. And I don't think it's a good long-term solution.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm not talking about the long term. I'm talking about short term.

MS. TOLHURST: Well, it's been 14 months. It's feeling long to me. So Commissioner Yaki added on to your question. So the other big crisis if you want to call it a crisis is there is no alternate supervisor of the staff. So there are ten staff members, including myself, who are not being

2.2

2.4

supervised. And I could just go crazy at any time and there would be no one to stop me.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Any time?

MS. TOLHURST: And, you know, that's true of nine other people here. So in terms of the move, you know, we have people working on the move, but it is true we don't have that ultimate administrative head to be a check on all the many fiscal decisions that get made before, you know, the low-level budget things that happen before it comes to you all to take a look at. That ultimate person who bears serious responsibility is missing.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just add that on the move, I was here yesterday and met with our staff to talk both about the immigration briefing in Alabama as well as inquired about the move. And the build-out of the office space is progressing very well. The overall project consisted of build-out on the space, procuring the new office furniture, audiovisual equipment, relocation of the services, getting rid of the old and getting new furniture in place, dealing with the storage issues, the construction projects on target to be completed on time, the completion of several major items that have already been completed by the construction company, like the office walls are

2.2

2.4

up. The electrical data is installed. The lighting has been installed. Most of the carpet and tile has been installed. Most of the HVAC is done. We're waiting on the construction company to put in -- and they're putting it in now -- stone floors in the suite, conference rooms, the hallways, the cabinetry in copy rooms. And painting's being done. So it looks like sometime around mid to late July, we will be able to get in there with the delivery of the furniture.

So it's moving along because these were projects that were authorized. We've got competent and well-qualified staff that have been working diligently on that.

In addition, the briefing in Birmingham is going well. Lenore Ostrowsky is working with staff to have invited and continue to invite individual panelists. Pam Dunston has identified some potential locations. So I am very comfortable that that is moving along as it should.

I also made the rounds and talked to a lot of our staff yesterday just to say hello. And I know they are all doing their jobs on a daily basis, but Ms. Tolhurst is right. At the top, there is nobody there. And that scares me.

2.2

2.4

It's not that I have a fear of what our staff is doing, but I know that there are things that the agency is required to do that may come on someone's desk and no one is at that desk; so, for example, IPERA. We got that letter from the IG. Now, the reason we got a letter saying that we were in compliance was because somewhere along the way, someone didn't seek a waiver. And that was when we had staff in place.

Even on our strategic plan, we're subject to this GPRA Modernization Act. But last year someone should have sought a waiver for that.

So my concern is there may be things happening now that will affect us at some point, but no one is there to get that letter or that memo that says, "Hey, you've got to do this by a certain date." Those are the high-level things that are concerning me.

But, you know, the staff on a daily basis on those projects that we have authorized, they are working on that. And they're doing it diligently, at least from what I have seen and the folks I have talked to.

But I do have a question about the Office of Legal Counsel. To what extent can we submit this

2.2

2.4

1	question to the Legal Counsel Office and ask them for
2	an opinion as to what we do?
3	I know I raised it a couple of times. Mr.
4	Tolhurst indicates they usually look at only
5	complicated things. Well, you know what? This seems
6	pretty complicated to me. So I don't know if
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You mean the Office
8	of Legal Counsel in the Justice Department?
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, if they follow
11	their own opinion, they're not allowed to give us
12	advice.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, is there
14	some other
15	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But they sometimes
16	hint at advice when they are not allowed to give
17	official advice. In fact, I think
18	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, that's what OPM
19	does, too.
20	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But that's part of
21	the process I was talking about. I think that if I
22	could work with all of the attorneys in the Office of
23	our General Counsel in all their many capacities
24	MS. TOLHURST: One of them doesn't like
25	you, and the others are okay.

Τ	(Laughter.)
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Then I would want
3	to pursue that first and have some of our other
4	research first. But there are ways of informally
5	approaching that office. And there are some people
6	there who I work with. And there is a possible way of
7	getting them to bless this. But if it's
8	MS. TOLHURST: It is true that they turned
9	us down in the past when a previous general counsel or
LO	staff director had gone to them requesting advice.
L1	And they had said we will not
L2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I thought that was
L3	the case. By the way, if you could supply me that
L4	letter? I couldn't find that letter on my
L5	MS. TOLHURST: I hadn't found a letter,
L6	but I know that it happened.
L7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: The reason that
L8	they did is because of the other stuff I found because
L9	they said, "We're not allowed to give advice to
20	agencies outside the Executive Branch."
21	MS. TOLHURST: And, in addition to that,
22	though, even on the main page of their website, they
23	say
24	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Right.
25	MS. TOLHURST: imagine a world where

we're clearly in the Executive Branch. They still may not answer because that's --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's correct.

MS. TOLHURST: Their main page says explicitly "We resolve disputes where there are conflicts between agencies or between this and this." You know, it's sort of almost asking for cert.

They're not going to just resolve every little --

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, they also generally give advice. And about half of the advice is where there is no interagency conflict. Yes, they can decline to opine, but the reason they gave for not giving us advice in the past that seems to me they would need to reverse -- and I don't think they can -- is that they're not allowed to give us advice since we're not in the Executive Branch. And that's not a matter of in the way they view it their discretion. But there are informal ways that we can get sign-on that I would rather not go into here. But I think we should get our ducks in a row first.

And, again, the other thing I just want to emphasize is one of the whatever senior attorney in the Office of General Counsel's views is is that it is particularly tricky taking a detailee to exercise all of the powers. But maybe we would devolve only some

2.2

2.4

of the powers. Maybe it still would be good to get someone in. And we would still consider someone else on our current staff to exercise some of the Office of Staff Director.

So I think the research might be worth it.

And we can possibly cross the bridge as to what powers
we would devolve on such detailee at a later stage.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That is a very --

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That was the point of my question before. Can't we take the various items that Ms. Tolhurst named as not being done or in danger of not being done? Can't we split them up amongst the staff, existing staff, and having, you know, people do certain essential things? Because I think the chances of us having somebody in place as staff director in the near future is not good.

MS. TOLHURST: I think it depends on the task. I mean, staff has particular skills. Staff members have particular skill sets. Who among them is qualified to approve SACs leads? Who among them has experience editing a statutory report and certifying that it has been balanced and that the research is supported? And for each task, we may or may not have someone to do that.

So it would be you would have to go down

2.2

2.4

the line of tasks. And we would have to amend our internal administrative instructions.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Achtenberg?

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Well, without regard to whether or not we can seek a detail to become the acting head of the agency, certainly we can seek a detail for the purpose of adding additional capacity to the staff on a temporary basis to discharge some of the obligations that Kim may have identified for which we do not have currently existing internal capacity.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Such as a deputy staff director.

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: And certainly we could not for the purposes of acting as the staff director to the extent that that remains a questionable practice in the eyes of our general counsel and I think I accept that that -- you know, we do not want to be too cute about that. I completely agree with that.

But if it were not for exercising those prerogatives but for actually getting the work done, there's no question. It seems to me that we have the ability to undertake that effort, one would think. I mean, there should be a way to get that.

2.2

2.4

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's a much
2	easier lesson, but whether we're going to go down that
3	route, if five or more of us are in agreement as to
4	who and I think most of us would want to know, well,
5	what kind of responsibilities are going to be held on
6	that
7	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Sure,
8	absolutely, of course.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Madam Vice Chair? Then
10	Commissioner Heriot.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, as I
12	understand it, AI1-18C addresses the issue of the
13	acting general counsel and also acting deputy staff
14	director. Can we discuss that?
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. Go ahead.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Anybody got
17	anything to say?
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Here. Help me out.
19	I want to return to whether we can elevate the senior
20	attorney to acting general counsel in
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think that may be what
22	the Vice Chair is talking about.
23	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Is that what you
24	are talking about?
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You've got to bet

_

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I have a copy. And some of this, the senior attorney may not want us to discuss at this time. Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, say something.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I didn't parse the emails with a fine-toothed comb, but it seemed to be that a pay raise was being requested. And the pay raise was denied on certain grounds, but I suppose this is a factual question if Ms. Tolhurst doesn't mind answering, clarifying for me.

Is your current pay level that -- there was one bump you received at some point. And then a second bump was sought. The second bump has been denied. Are you still at the first bump or are you --

MS. TOLHURST: No. My understanding is that starting Wednesday, I will be at my regular career salary.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That's what I kind of interpreted. My suggestion was without -- because ultimately there are other people who probably have to agree to this, including people who write checks. And the people who write checks happen to be in the Executive Branch.

Can't we -- I would like to explore a way to see that you are appointed acting general counsel since you are the only counsel and at least continue to receive the pay you were before.

There were a couple of sentences in the communication from the Executive Branch that under pay freezes, pay increases would not be warranted. But that doesn't seem to me to preclude you retaining the pay that you have had for some months. Is that at least reasonable for us to pursue on your behalf?

MS. TOLHURST: I think it should be pursued with Tina Martin, who would have to process that HR decision.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That may be. I'm

MS. TOLHURST: My understanding is so I was originally placed as acting general counsel. That elevated my salary for 120 days. And Tina tells me there is a limit. One can't keep doing that. So that's when I went to emergency SES, when those 120 days were over. And that's what OPM has now revoked since I am no longer designated the authority of staff director.

So I believe there are other routes. And, again, we would have to check with Tina, but I think

2.2

it could be posted internally the position of acting 1 2 general counsel. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 3 Does that mean anyone in the General Counsel's Office could apply? 4 5 MS. TOLHURST: But that's more of an HR question. Particularly since I might be a candidate, 6 7 I wouldn't want to weigh in on --COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understand. 8 Ι 9 was just asking the --10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Then we get into who is 11 going to hire her because we don't have a head of the 12 agency. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. I understand 14 that. I was just asking. Well, never mind. 15 asking, in part, whether you are personally willing, 16 more on a personal level, rather than a legal level, 17 us pursuing those options. It sounds like you are. 18 I would like a -- well, I don't know that 19 we need to formally do this or not or whether we should --20 21 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just point 2.2 something out because I think our AIs indicate that in 23 the absence of a general counsel, in the absence of an 24 acting general counsel or deputy general counsel, that 25 the senior attorney shall assume the role of acting

general counsel. And I think that's 18C. 1 2 But if you look at 18B, it also says the person who is in the absence of a staff director, in 3 the absence of a deputy staff director, the person who 4 5 is acting as general counsel is the deputy acting staff director. 6 7 So wouldn't that make you to be the deputy acting staff director? And as such, couldn't the 8 9 deputy acting staff director sign a detail agreement? 10 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: She is the acting 11 staff director. She can't get out of that. 12 MS. TOLHURST: I can get out of that. 13 Federal service rules make it the case that I can't --14 I have a job description and a salary that befits that 15 job description. And that does not include running a 16 federal agency. 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, what are we --18 MS. TOLHURST: People in --19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry? 20 MS. TOLHURST: A federal employee cannot 21 be made to take on extraordinary duties. 2.2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But that means you 23 are constructing administration as senior 2.4 attorney/attorney adviser, but the rule does say 25 "shall."

1	MS. TOLHURST: But that's a rule that you
2	all made up. It's not I mean, there are
3	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I think it's
4	reasonable to interpret the ability of the person to
5	decline the problem maybe, to define the
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think that means
7	that she has declined to be senior attorney adviser in
8	the General Counsel's Office, then.
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But isn't it at
10	least possibly if we can't persuade you to exercise at
11	certain points the duties of the staff director, that
12	you might be willing to assume the duties of the
13	acting general counsel?
14	MS. TOLHURST: I am willing, it seems to
15	me
16	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Since you are the
17	entire General Counsel
18	MS. TOLHURST: that I would be asked
19	these questions in the event, but, again, we would
20	need to pursue
21	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I see Ms. Martin in
22	the audience. And we will pursue appropriate
23	questions with her. But you are at least willing to
24	exercise the title of general counsel or acting
25	general counsel. And maybe we will even persuade you

to exercise pursuant to our AI the acting staff 1 2 director for some short period of time. 3 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner Gaziano, it seems to me the question of her 4 5 remuneration has to be straightened out before we ask her to start working 20 hours a day again. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Madam Vice Chair, I'm eager to do that. And I don't hear any other 8 9 objection from any other commissioner from that. I'm sorry. Could you --10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, I don't hear 12 any other objection from any other commissioner to at 13 least pursuing the level of pay that the acting 14 general counsel is receiving. 15 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. You know, I also 16 understand that there is another senior attorney 17 adviser that starts next week. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Who is that? 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I don't know. Ms. 20 Tolhurst hired that person, but --21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think that makes 2.2 that person acting staff director under our rules. 23 She just declined to do it. I mean, you know, we have 2.4 got rules here. It says, "shall." And I am 25 sympathetic to the notion that we shouldn't be

enslaving Ms. Tolhurst.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But if she declines

to do it, I think I will then say, "Okay. The next

most senior person must be the person who shall be the

acting staff director." And we're running around like

MS. TOLHURST: I disagree.

we don't have an acting staff director, and we do.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, I think we have had a healthy discussion about some options. I think we'll pursue how the AIs come into play with this. And I think there is a consensus that at the same time, Commissioner Gaziano will interface with the Office of the General Counsel and try to come up with additional legal support for a detailee so we could determine whether that is still an option as well.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, regarding your question, Commissioner Heriot, is the 18B the one we adopted a year ago?

MS. TOLHURST: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And so there is a question in my mind whether it somehow overrides 18C in some way. But, anyway, that's the --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And I think they're

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	right in
2	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: They were done at the
4	same time. I think they are supposed to be read in
5	conjunction with one another.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I assume there's a
7	deputy staff director rule that is similar
8	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I have a question.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney?
LO	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: to the rules for
L1	the staff director as the deputy staff director.
L2	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Commissioner Heriot
L3	
L4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Move your phone away
L5	from your mouth a little bit. You're unclear.
L6	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I'm not exactly
L7	sure the policy that was
L8	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm not sure we
L9	understood your question. You're breaking up. I'm
20	sorry? Nick? Is it that you want to know what the
21	procedures are for this?
22	COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Yes. If we could
23	have an explanation of the procedures.
24	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do you know what he's
25	talking about, Ms. Martin? Yes. Something about a

1	Tina Martin process is what I
2	MS. TOLHURST: He's just asking if Tina
3	could, head of HR and head of OAM, could fill
4	commissioners in on available procedures to create an
5	acting general counsel for this agency under our
6	current circumstances.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But I think his line
8	dropped.
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kladney,
10	did we lose you?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. We lost him.
13	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Can we get him
14	back?
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, he'll have to call
16	in.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: He's got to come
18	back to us.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: He's got to call in
20	because I had to call him this morning, and I couldn't
20 21	because I had to call him this morning, and I couldn't get through to his line.
21	get through to his line.
21 22	get through to his line. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I see.

want to be. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Ms. Martin, are you 3 prepared to --COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: I fell off the 4 5 call. 6 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Ms. Martin is 7 going to come forward. 8 COMMISSIONER KLADNEY: Thank you. 9 MS. MARTIN: For the acting GC position, 10 you could select someone within the agency. 11 already did it once and gave Kim a 120-day 12 appointment. And so it couldn't be another 120 days 13 because it has to be competed. Other employees would 14 have to have the opportunity to get that experience at 15 a higher level. 16 We do have another attorney adviser in the 17 So there is not just one here now. 18 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. 19 MS. MARTIN: We could advertise it 20 in-house or if we don't have a good pool of 21 candidates, we at least want at least three candidates 2.2 internally. Staff has been doing it. I'm just saying 23 it's a low pool. And we can also go outside of the 2.4 agency and advertise, but then you would have to give

someone the authority to be the selecting official.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right. And that would 1 be for acting or full-time general counsel? 2 3 MS. MARTIN: Either one. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Either one? 4 So we don't 5 have someone that would do the hire because we don't 6 have an acting staff director? 7 MS. MARTIN: Right. But you could give someone in the agency the authority to be selecting 8 9 official if you wanted to go that route. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But as acting, the 120 11 days have run already for Ms. Tolhurst? 12 MS. MARTIN: Yes, yes. 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Okav. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Now, but the 120 14 15 days I think that's a little bit more maybe for one 16 purpose, she -- it does seem like under our AIs, she 17 is that for certain of our purposes. And that's --18 you know, for one purpose, she may not be able to be 19 paid at a higher level. And I hope that we can figure 20 a potential way out if she is really acting as the 21 general counsel. 2.2 But it does seem under our rules that that 23 senior attorney --2.4 MS. MARTIN: Yes. I'm not disputing that. 25 It's just the pay and the competition because you

don't want to give one person the upper hand when you have other attorneys that would like to get that experience as well. That's why the CFR is written that way.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you, Ms. Martin.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, have we entirely answered Commissioner Yaki's question?

Commissioner Yaki, do you think we have answered your question? Are you there?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have to think about this. I am still very concerned about the obligations we are going to incur being designated basically to make that decision.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, let me just add to that having I don't know how many of them -- I mean, I have been on this Commission I guess a long time, but my experience in field trips is I can't imagine a field trip without a staff director. It's a very complicated business -- enterprise, I should say.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, you know, again, as I said, I've been meeting with the staff, as has Marlene, on a regular basis. And we're confident that it's moving forward appropriately.

2.2

2.4

The project and an amount of funds have already been appropriated. And they're working within that budget. So I don't see that there's anything -- if it turns out that it looks like we're going to need to go over budget, which I don't anticipate, but if it did, we would come back to the Commission, which is the entity that authorized the project and the budget for the field hearing.

And I am very confident that the staff has a plan in place. They shared it with me yesterday.

And I thought it was a good one. So I am not concerned about that.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Are there any other commissioners who share Commissioner Yaki's concern on this, anyone, actually?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Sorry. Cut off.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: He'll take it back if I share his concern, but I do. Just I think Kim put it best, which is -- and I expressed it at the last meeting. We are failing in our duties as commissioners not to figure out who is in charge of the staff.

And I think Kim put it best in that there are -- we have a skeletal staff as it is performing three to seven jobs each. And to have no one really

2.2

2.4

in charge is certainly worrisome and without explaining all of the things that a staff director has.

But, again, I think most of us are working in good faith to try to resolve that, but there may be some issues that will have to deal with on an ad hoc basis. Somehow we will have to defer authority on someone for some things.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just wanted to go back to something that was mentioned a little bit earlier. And that is that it would be lovely to have a staff director. It would be lovely if the White House were to come up with a nomination and we were to get this done with.

But given that the likelihood of our agreeing on an acting is somewhat limited -- now, maybe I'm being too pessimistic on that -- it does seem to me that an easy fix is to just sit down, come up with the tasks that need to get done that aren't getting done and delegate to a number of the staff a certain subset of the tasks. And that could easily be done.

And we are much more likely to come up with an agreement on that to say, "Look, we need

2.2

2.4

somebody who is going to be in charge of approval SACs. We need somebody who is going to be the point person for the field hearing. We need somebody who is going to be in charge of this and of that" and just make sure that somebody understands that that is their task.

And I suspect we could come to an agreement on that, that we could divide these tasks up between three or four senior staff members and it would be fine.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: That seems reasonable to me.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And then like if in three months we can come up with a long-term acting, that would be great or if in three months the White House acts, that would be great. But, just to make sure the trains run on time, take several of these tasks and just make sure that a senior staff person knows that is their thing.

And I just can't imagine that we can't come up with a slate of senior staff members to divide these tasks up that a majority of people can get behind.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I mean, that was precisely my point before. And I'd like to see,

2.2

2.4

actually, a list, a precise list, of what functions 1 2 need to be assigned asap and work from that list. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: How about if we have 3 the list and the Chairman talks to members of the 4 Commission over the next week or so and we come up 5 6 just by telephone calls and we get our slate and we're 7 all a little bit happy and nobody is ecstatic? CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Provided we want to run 8 9 that by our lawyer and our HR person to make sure that that is doable. 10 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Sure, absolutely. 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And at the same time I 13 think, you know, multi-track, Commissioner Gaziano and 14 whatever SAs will help him will work with the OGC to 15 try to flesh out this legal issue on the detail 16 further. And then we'll also take a closer look at 17 these AIs and how that would play in. 18 So we are looking at all of these avenues 19 simultaneously and see where we end up. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. But the 21 Commission has the power to delegate and undelegate 2.2 and redelegate. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 2.4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And so, you know, it's a quick fix. We would like to be able to get a 25

staff director in there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But let's make sure that at least the plans, the policy of the Commission gets carried out. And one of those policies is that we're supposed to have a hearing or whatever it is in Birmingham in a couple of months. We've got to move. We've got to get the SACs chartered again. That's what the statute requires us to do.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And, you know, it might not be the first priority of any one of the eight of us. It is the first priority of the Congress of the United States of America.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure.

I appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: So we need to do it.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. It seems like
we've got a game plan in place on this. So we'll move
forward as we have discussed here. Thank you,

And also I just want to take a moment to recognize Ms. Tolhurst and move on to the next item.

While she was home recovering from her illness, she did actually submit to us a draft of the VRA statutory enforcement report. As you know, our comments are due

everybody.

to her by May 31st. So I would ask that commissioners 1 2 make sure that they do that. I know that she also distributed DOJ's 3 4 I believe that it was yesterday. comments. 5 Commissioner Gaziano, I know you said you 6 had a question about this. 7 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Why don't we wait 8 until -- unless some people on the call want to talk 9 about it now, I was going to wait until we got down to 10 management and operations. 11 TTT. PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE AND 12 DISCUSSION OF PROJECTS: 13 - STRATEGIC PLANNING PROCESS 14 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Sure. Well, 15 let's move on, then, to program planning. I want to 16 talk a little bit about the strategic planning 17 process. 18 I distributed a memo to you all a couple 19 of weeks ago along with Ms. Tolhurst had also 20 submitted a memo outlining the requirements, the new 21 requirements, that we have under the GPRAMA plan for 2.2 purposes of strategic plans so that we --23 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Have mercy on me 2.4 with acronyms. 25 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. It is the

Government Performance Results Modernization Act of 2010, which went into effect in 2011. Apparently small agencies were eligible for a waiver. We did not obtain or seek one.

I found out about this law when I went to go meet with the GAO strategic planning people in March to kind of get a down low from them. And they indicated to us that they thought that it was applicable.

So I put a request in to our budget folks and our general counsel just to confirm whether indeed this bid. And then they got back to me in April and indicated, yes, we're subject to this. So it's a few additional hoops we have to jump through, which Ms. Tolhurst I think outlined very well in her memo, which include, among other things, OPM will regularly interface with us, but also we need to get congressional input on what they think our priority should be.

What I wanted to do was at least begin to get the input from you all. I don't know if any of you have had a chance to make revisions or suggest some priorities because I think the best thing is to identify what our priorities are and then kind of work from there as to how we're going to accomplish them.

2.2

2.4

And, as you know, in my memo, I just gave a few examples of some what I would consider to be some priorities we want to focus on in the next four-year cycle. And one would be -- some of it is a continuation of what was in this existing plan, which I thought some things were very good, but maybe we had not yet fully met them. And I thought that we could continue those.

Other things that I thought that we might be able to extend the relevance of the Commission, such as continuing to be at the forefront of national conversations on current and future civil rights issues and identify civil rights priorities for policy-makers. That was similar to what was in the original plan. Engaging in strategic partnerships with other federal agencies to raise public awareness of civil rights and better inform the development of national civil rights policy from a prior plan that I thought was a great idea, but I don't know that we really engaged a lot on that. So I think there is still work that we can do. And I know that there was some initial groundwork done on that from what I understand.

Then I also suggest that we seek to expand the Commission's mandate to include human rights

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

issues and become the U.S.'s national human rights institution. This is based in some writings and some recommendations that have been floated around about the fact that and also as a result of my recent trip to the U.N. as an observer. There are national human rights institutes in various countries that, in addition to their civil rights obligations, also have human rights obligations.

And there has been discussion about creating such a national human rights institute for the United States. It would make sense to me that if the government is going to do that, that it should be us, rather than creating a whole new bureaucracy and agency to do that.

And I think that would also increase our relevance and maybe even our ability to get more budget and staff to do some of our work.

I want to make the Commission more accessible to the use of technology as well as improving resources for limited English-proficient person. I think we have all talked about a need to restructure the Commission to better align it to our strategic goals and objectives, especially since we're still structured as an agency that had a couple of hundred or more staff. And if you look at our org

2.2

2.4

chart, it looks that way, but we're not that way now. So we need to readjust the way we are to meet the needs that we have and, of course, continue in our strong financial and operational and technology infrastructure.

And then, as we talked often, re-engaging and reinvigorating the work we do with our SACs. And we also did get comments from Ms. Tolhurst and Ms. Martin and Mr. Ratcliffe about what they thought.

So what I am hoping to do is to be able to get input on those so that we can put together a strategic plan according to our budget office, the plan has to be in place in order for us to submit our budget.

So what we really need to do and what I would suggest that we do is -- hopefully today we can talk about some other strategic priorities that some of the other commissioners have today, but we would need to submit the strategic plan with our budget to Congress in September. So we want to have a final version of the strategic plan completed by August.

So what I would suggest is that we would have a draft plan for initial review at our June meeting and a further revision and a final draft for further discussion at our July 6 meeting so that by

2.2

2.4

August, we have a final version that we can then submit in September with the budget.

So there are a number of ways to accomplish this. We could create a committee of the whole of the Commission. There could be a couple of volunteer commissioners with their essays that might be willing to be the repository for this information and begin the draft.

I know Marlene Sallo, my assistant, has experience in strategic planning and strategic plan drafting. And I know that she would be willing to take a laboring role on that.

But I want to open that up for discussion and then put, particularly on any thoughts you all have -- in addition, as I said, we need to get input from Congress, which would be our authorizing agency, the appropriators, and then any of the other of the congressional committees that would have some demand on us.

Yes, Madam Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, two
comments. One starts with a question. Partnerships
with federal agencies it seems to me could pose a
conflict of interest. For example, we're supposed to
monitor civil rights enforcement agencies, such as DOJ

2.2

2.4

and EEOC. The question is, would strategic 1 2 partnerships with those agencies compromise our 3 investigative role? Okay. That is the first point. 4 And the second point is not going to make 5 you happy, but I don't know what "human rights" means. I can't get my arms around a definition. And I'm 6 7 afraid that the definition that I'm going to hear is not one that's going to go down very well with me. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, I can provide you with more details on that. I actually made further 10 11 revisions to the entire body of the strategic plan, 12 which I didn't necessarily want to circulate to 13 everybody, but I'm happy to do that. And that goes 14 into a little greater detail on what that is. 15 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you want to go into it now? 16 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Because I agree with 19 the Vice Chair --20 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- on both the 2.2 points she made here. 23 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, ultimately what a 2.4 national human rights -- well, there are both domestic and international issues here, right? There are, for 25

example, in our trafficking briefing, some would argue 1 2 that that could be a human rights issue. We looked at 3 it, however, from --4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: All rights are human 5 rights issues --Well, you know, there is CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 6 7 a distinction between a human right and a civil right. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- let alone insect 8 9 rights. 10 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: A human right is 11 something -- well, we're not going to talk about 12 insect rights today, but, you know, that certainly 13 could be a priority you suggest. 14 Human rights are rights that all of us 15 have, regardless of where we are in terms of the 16 country. These are inherent rights that humans have. 17 Civil rights, for example, are conferred upon us by 18 our countries. So there are distinctions. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And you're using an 20 Eighteenth Century definition of civil rights now. 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. And it appears to me we are deep into the weeds of natural 2.2 23 law. 2.4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, you know, you 25 wanted me to begin the discussion.

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You're basically talking like an Eighteenth Century natural law person. 2 The Nineteenth Century has a different definition of 3 4 civil rights. And the Twentieth Century as a --5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You know, let me --COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- has yet another 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 8 Let me --9 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And the 10 Twenty-First Century has a very different definition 11 of human rights, --12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So let me continue. 13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- you know, 14 whatever people feel good about. 15 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So let me move from the 16 Hobbesian to the U.N. So what happens is that for a 17 national human rights institution, various -- and the 18 United States already goes through the process of 19 providing the United Nations with an annual review on 20 civil and human rights in the United States. 21 done by the State Department. And comments are 2.2 provided by the EEOC. 23 As far as I know, our agency has never 2.4 been asked to give the input on the civil rights 25 But national human rights institutes issue.

designated by their governments are responsible for also ensuring that the country, in this case the United States, would be complying with whatever human rights treaties that the United States has become party to to ensure that the human rights laws that are encompassed in those treaties that we become signatories to are being followed in the United States.

So there is talk by the State Department and the U.N. about designating and creating an NHRI. If that is going to happen, I believe it should be us and not some other agency because of what we are doing already.

Plus, if you look at other NHRIs across the world, that is what has been happening there as well. Their existing civil rights commissions have played that role, but that would require ultimately a congressional mandate to do it.

So it's not something we alone can do.

This is something that Congress would need to do to give us that mandate.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I want to pursue at the end your suggestion regarding process. Since we don't get together that often --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Do you want to meet more

2.2

2.4

regularly? 1 2 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You know, to --3 well, no. 4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can't get enough 5 of this stuff. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 6 We used to have 7 mid-month telephone meetings. But, anyway, let's not 8 go there. VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Please let's not 9 10 go there. 11 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: But I wanted to at 12 least react to the previous conversation. I share the 13 concern about the definitional slippage that would 14 occur if we were granted our request and we were made 15 a human rights commission. One question that congress 16 17 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No, but not to the 18 exclusion of civil rights. 19 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: No. I understand. 20 My response is some might reasonably question whether 21 we can't cover the traditional civil rights in our 2.2 Twentieth Century statute well enough to appoint an 23 acting staff director and focus on those issues 2.4 without deluding our attention. And I would share 25 that concern. So I am at least tentatively skeptical,

let's say, about us seeking to even apply that 1 2 jurisdiction from Congress. 3 The other thing that I noted in some of your summary -- by the way, I should begin with thanks 4 5 for following the duties that are -- you get to work extra hours than us. So lucky you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Lucky. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: 8 But, you know, 9 thank you for --VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: He gets paid for 10 11 it. COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I know, yes, but 12 13 the princely sum we all get paid. So he's due a few 14 thanks, even if I disagree. 15 Another one I'm skeptical about is restructuring the Commission without -- you know, we 16 17 can declare we want to restructure the Commission. 18 I'm not sure what good it would do unless we agree on 19 how we're going to restructure the Commission. 20 But turning now to your process, I think 21 that, you know, a subcommittee of whoever wants to be 2.2 involved and their special assistants might work. 23 I think that maybe that is the way to proceed. 24 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Heriot, did 25 you have your hand up?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I just want to get back to what are human rights, what are civil rights, you know, what are human rights, what are civil rights stuff. You know, your distinction between human rights basically fitting the natural rights, the Eighteenth Century natural rights definition, versus civil rights, rights that are conferred by a particular polity, that is not what we are.

The Commission on Civil Rights does not define civil rights in that way. Congress defines civil rights for us. And they define it the Twentieth Century way. And that is --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, that's right. And that's what I meant by it because --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hold on. Hold on. Hold it. Hold on. We're defining it that way, the notion here being, you know, you have a right not to be discriminated against on a particular basis.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We already have jurisdiction over what you would call human rights insofar as they dovetail with the congressional definition. And that is insofar as we are talking about race discrimination, gender discrimination, disability discrimination. We have already got

2.2

2.4

jurisdiction over that.

2.2

2.4

If we were to be expanded to concern what the U.N. would term "human rights," that's a lot of rights. And a lot of them have nothing to do with what we do.

For example, you know, there are actually some rights in the declaration of universal human rights that dovetail with the Nineteenth Century definition of civil rights. And that is quoting from the declaration. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others. No one shall be deprived arbitrarily of his property.

I would get a big kick out of a jurisdiction over that issue. But that is a really broad expansion of our jurisdiction. And it's quite different from what we have been doing up to now. So I don't think we want to just say that this would be expanding our jurisdiction to include, you know, international declared rights of one sort or another that dovetail without our jurisdiction in the first place.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Right.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Again, we have already got that jurisdiction. It would be a very, very large change in what we do.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, you know, I'm glad that you think we've got the jurisdiction. I think that we have jurisdiction over some of those issues because, as I said, for example, with trafficking, I think we brought it in as a gender discrimination.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: As racial and gender discrimination.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: But I'm not talking about every international norm or treaty. I'm talking about only those documents that this country has already become a signatory to. And some of those documents, there are --

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Right. The --

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: There are exceptions that have been made. And I don't have them in front of me, but I know that there have been carveouts that the United States has made when they have signed some of these U.N. treaties. And some of them do relate to the existing work we have now.

But I would want this to occur only if we had -- obviously it has to be congressional authorization but also congressional appropriations to do it. This is not something I would envision us seeking with the existing staff and resources we have because I do agree that that would be overwhelming.

2.2

2.4

But I think if it's going to happen, if the direction of the government is that they are going to designate an NHRI or create one, I think it should be us and not some other agency. I don't know that there is another agency that would be appropriate because the NHRIs have to be independent. And we are independent. But ultimately it would require that we would have additional appropriations and additional staff. So for me that would be a contingency in us even doing that.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Why don't we just add it to Kim --

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We could amend the AI.

But what I would like to do is flesh that

our further in the subcommittee. You know, those are

good points you raise. And I understand this is a big

piece of an apple to bite off of, but I really do feel

that it can enhance the relevance and reputation of

our Commission provided that we have congressional

support and authorization of funding to do it.

And maybe there is some way we could talk about how we would define it that would be comfortable for folks. But I appreciate this conversation and the discussion. And I would like to be able to continue

2.2

2.4

that in whatever subcommittee that we have.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I think this notion of congressional authorization is a fantasy.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, you know, that's why we need input from Congress on this, too, but ultimately there are things that we can do on our own as commissioners and there are things that only Congress can do for us. And that's one of them.

Just because it may be a fantasy doesn't mean that we should not try to aspire to it. And I don't know that it's a fantasy, but, you know, we'll find out.

Madam?

COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: Mr. Chairman, I want to offer my gratitude since you are the only one who put forward anything meaningful vis-a-vis a plan. So at least you're to be congratulated for that for sure.

And all of the things that either any of us put forward or if we adopt this subcommittee, it will give us an opportunity to chew on some of these substantive issues. And ultimately we may think that in the end, it shouldn't become part of our strategic plan because it's unworkable, for whatever reason.

2.2

2.4

We certainly haven't dissected the proposal sufficiently to come to any conclusion whatsoever, let alone a conclusion that it's not feasible.

So it may be that the exercise of a subcommittee of us looking at the strategic plan and talking could bring us closer together, as it were.

And, you know, I, quite frankly, would look forward to the opportunity to talk about in some way the future of the agency, both structurally and with regard to mandate and operationally and otherwise.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Kirsanow?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. I agree with

Commissioner Achtenberg. And I appreciate that the

Chair has taken the time to put together -- we have to

do a strategic plan. And I think it is something that

we need to talk about.

I also seem to agree with almost everybody here. I also agree with Vice Chair Thernstrom. I my experience on the Commission, any prospect that we would be a human rights organization has got maybe a -5 percent change of getting off the ground. But if anyone is going to do it, I think maybe we should do it. I just don't think it's going to happen.

I would, frankly, be opposed to it for a

2.2

2.4

whole host of reasons, not the least of which is, even if we got the appropriations, I still am very skeptical about the whole notion of whether or not we should have an agency within the United States government dealing with human rights and dealing with the U.N. on this, which I think has absolutely no idea what human rights are, including their assertion that Zionism is a form of racism, as I recall.

And also with respect to the notion of us partnering with other agencies, I think it's worthy of discussion here. And I agree with Commissioner Achtenberg that we should have that discussion internally, but I do think it presents a significant conflict of interest.

Our charge is to make sure that we review in terms of an enforcement report what other agencies are doing. And if we are, you know, happily skipping down the pathway with different agencies and trying to ford a particular endeavor, I think we would be hopelessly compromised in our ability to oversee those agencies. But, again, I think it's worthy of discussion.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Let me just make one quick distinction. The comment you made about design as an issue, I believe that was made by the Human

2.2

2.4

Rights Council of the U.N. This entity, if we were to 1 2 become an NHRI, we would be coordinating with the 3 International Coordinating Committee of NHRIs, which is a different entity that the Human Rights Council. 4 So we would not be representing the United 5 States on the Human Rights Council. I believe there 6 7 is someone the administration has designated to do This is a different entity within the United 8 that. 9 Nations that is made up of organizations like us. And 10 they have not made that policy. 11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: T think T 12 understand. I appreciate, Mr. Chair. I just have a 13 great deal of skepticism, to some extent some aversion 14 with the notion of dealing with the U.N., 80 percent 15 of whose members are dictatorships. 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I just want to make that 17 distinction because --18 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Or worse. 19 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- if we were an entity, that is who we would deal with. 20 So I think Commissioner Gaziano indicated 21 2.2 he would be willing to have a subcommittee. And that 23 seems to be the case. 2.4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I didn't say I 25 would serve. I want Pete to serve.

1	(Laughter.)
2	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Come on, Commissioner
3	Gaziano.
4	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Well, my special
5	assistant has no level of limit to the amount of work
6	he can do. So I suppose that
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So good. Commissioner
8	Gaziano has just volunteered. Commissioner
9	Achtenberg, did you volunteer?
10	COMMISSIONER ACHTENBERG: I'll volunteer.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'll volunteer my
12	special assistant.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. And, of course, I
14	volunteered my special assistant and myself. So
15	that's four of us. If anyone else wants to
16	participate, you're welcome to, but I think four is a
17	good number. It's balanced. So hearing any other
18	volunteers?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: No? Okay. Well, thank
21	you for that. I very much appreciate it.
22	Next we have on the agenda a discussion of
23	the
24	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Repeat the
25	subcommittee for the record.

1	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Commissioners
2	Achtenberg, Castro, Thernstrom, and Gaziano and our
3	respective special assistants.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think that's
5	universal.
6	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Pardon me? What was
7	that?
8	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Special assistants
9	and
10	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Oh, okay. I see.
11	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: respective
12	commissioners.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, you'll have
14	to deal with that in the minutes next time.
15	- DISCUSSION ON 2013 STATUTORY REPORT
16	SELECTION PROCESS
17	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Next we move on to a
18	discussion of our 2013 statutory report selection
19	process. As you know, Ms. Tolhurst disseminated the
20	statutory report rankings on the 11th of May.
21	Hopefully everyone has had a chance to look at those,
22	based on the schedule that was set and disseminated by
23	Ms. Tolhurst on March 19th.
24	Today we are going to discuss the concept
25	papers and the ranking results, but the vote on which

of the topics we will select won't occur until the June 8th business meeting.

So what I will do is go down the list based on the list that was circulated by Ms. Tolhurst and ask those commissioners who wish to speak to their concept papers to make your pitch. So the first one we have is "Assessing Civil Rights" -- I don't know if these are -- are these scheduled by the vote? I'll just go down the list. "Assessing Civil Rights

Enforcement in the Department of Agriculture's Farm Services Agency." That's Commissioner Kirsanow.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you, Mr.

I have already discussed this in a previous meeting. So I will just make this very brief.

We have over the last several years seen that the Department of Agriculture has been a party to lawsuits, both in terms of black farmers, female farmers, Native American farmers, dealing with discriminatory application of certain grant processes and certain programs within the Department of Agriculture.

To my knowledge, there has not been an assessment, a thorough outside assessment, of whether

2.2

2.4

Chair.

or not those types of discriminatory processes have 1 2 been remedied, whether they still exist in any regard. 3 And the purpose of this is to, first of all, look at how this originated, the alleged 4 5 discrimination originated, the impact of it, and also 6 to what extent the Department of Agriculture is taking 7 even remedial efforts to make sure it doesn't happen 8 again. 9 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions for Commissioner Kirsanow? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: None? Okay. We'll move 13 on to the next item, which is also Commissioner 14 Kirsanow's concept paper on "Assessing the Impact." Right. 15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And I 16 spoke to this in a previous meeting also. CHAIRMAN CASTRO: 17 "Criminal Background." 18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This is I think a 19 very relevant topic insofar as the EEOC has recently 20 issued guidance on this, I know from my own personal 21 experience started as a matter of significant concern 2.2 to the employer community. It's a matter of 23 significant concern, not just to the EEO but a number 2.4 of other stakeholders beyond the employer community. 25 It is something light in our wheel house.

And there are some studies that we can at least begin to take a look at to see whether or not the EEOC's guidance needs to be tweaked, whether that makes any sense whatsoever, whether it should be scrapped, augmented, what have you.

But, again, I think this is one of the more relevant topics that we have in our list of enforcement topics to be considered.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Any questions on that from anybody?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, we then have Commissioner Yaki's concept paper on federal efforts to end gender-based discrimination in state and local law enforcement as a response to sexual violence. Commissioner Yaki?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. Well, this is just for discussion. I think that the paper speaks for itself. I just wanted to talk about something in the previous discussion, which -- that is that I think part of what I wanted to see happen, Mr. Chair.

I think, first and foremost, we have to figure out ask not how we -- shuffling the chairs on the Titanic. The Commission has been in there for quite some time performing an investigatory function

2.2

2.4

and the other things that we do.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. So you don't want to say anything else your paper, then?

Commissioner Yaki?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, so next we move on to my paper on the civil rights conditions at immigration detention centers. And detention centers right now are actually very relevant.

Just yesterday Georgia issued a report on detention center in Georgia that are actually run in private for profit and have found a number of civil and human rights violations that are occurring in these facilities in Georgia.

My paper would propose to look at not only those that are for profit but those that are being run at the federal government's request by state and local governments as well as federal facilities because there have been widespread complaints and lawsuits that have been filed over violations of civil rights and, in particular, in these immigration detection centers violations of federal laws, such as the Prison Rape Elimination Act. And there's a number of people, probably about 400,000 immigrants and asylum seekers that each year are being detained by ICE in these

facilities. And you have some folks who are nonviolent criminals who are being held with violent criminals. You have children who are being held in some of these facilities. And the government has even through GAO launched an investigation of some of the civil rights violations.

So I think this is a very germane topic that impacts both how the federal government is overseeing the issues from a federal enforcement report perspective that we have jurisdiction. Also under our jurisdiction is the administration of justice issues. And, again, I think this is something that is very relevant in the current issues that people are considering in terms of incarceration.

Commissioner Gaziano?

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. Just a question. I think that you are certainly right that this is a worthy topic for certainly someone to study. And some of it is very clearly within our jurisdiction to the extent that we can look at any federal program, the civil rights violations that occur thereunder.

But at least I question, even under the administration of justice, whether some of the issues that were raised in your concept paper don't seem to be squarely within our jurisdiction.

2.2

2.4

Whether the federal government is using contract services may increase the civil rights, but if that is the only aspect we're looking at -- some of the other issues that seem to be raised are -- and, by the way, immigration detention is generally supposed to be civil. That's still I suppose the administration of justice but of a different nature.

So I am just wondering if you would explain a little bit more how important the focus is in your mind on the civil rights violations that we might investigate or the potential civil rights violations in this, in the detention facilities, and how much of a focus you think there should be on the detention system itself, how it's contracted, you know, whether the private contractor state -- I forget what the agreements are. Can you tell me?

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Sure. As I mentioned in the paper, ICE currently directly owns and operates six secure detention facilities. That houses about 13 percent of the detention population. The remaining 87 percent of the detainees are housed in either those facilities that are run by independent contractors on behalf of ICE or by state and local governments through intergovernmental agency agreements.

So my focus here is really on the civil

2.2

2.4

rights violations and conditions of those detainees in each of those types of facilities. So to the extent that the facility they're in may increase or decrease the likelihood of civil rights violations, that's something that's germane, I'm not looking to go and talk about from a large policy perspective whether it's good or not to do for profit, but I think the issue of a for profit versus a government will come into play by nature of the fact that these individuals are housed in different locations.

So there will be some reference to and some discussion, I would imagine, about the nature of the type of prison, but, really, the focus here is what sorts of civil rights violations are occurring because ultimately it rolls up to ICE.

ICE is the responsible federal agency, regardless of whether it's a state facility, a contracted prior facility or an ICE-run facility because the buck stops with ICE. So it's really a study of ICE and how people, immigrant detainees in which ever type of detention center, how their civil rights are being violated.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: You're welcome.

Commissioner Heriot, did you have a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

2.2

2.4

1 question? 2 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I did, but Commissioner Gaziano asked it. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Great. Any other 5 questions? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, we will move on to Commissioner Kladney, "Protecting Domestic Violence 8 9 Victims Against Housing Discrimination." Commissioner 10 Kladney? Commissioner Kladney, are you there? 11 you on mute? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay. Well, we'll No? 14 come back to you in a minute if you're not. 15 So, Commissioner Heriot, do you want to 16 talk about your "Potential Misuse of Settlement 17 Agreements and Consent Decrees in Civil Rights 18 Enforcement"? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Cy Pres topic. 19 This 20 is a topic that has been getting some attention in the 21 legal academy and particularly people who study class 2.2 actions. And in the civil rights area, that extends 23 not just to class actions but to actions brought by 2.4 the government. 25 And, you know, I talked about this topic

before. This is not a new proposal. So I don't think I need to go into any great detail here. But basically the point here is that somebody's job has to be to conduct a systematic investigation, production of information so that policy can be made. And I think we are in a very good position to find out exactly what kind of organizations are getting money through the Cy Pres settlements, to what extent are victims of discrimination not being given the opportunity they should be given to get their part of the recovery because the monies, instead, go into charitable, so-called charitable, organizations? Some of them are very valid charities.

For example, my very dear employer, the University of San Diego, has been known to get Cy Pres settlements, not in civil rights cases but in other kinds of cases.

But it's a kind of practice that sounds so nice to say, "Well, money is going to charities."

That's a wonderful thing. But I think people lose track sometimes on very significant issues of justice.

And I think that we are in the best position to look at this insofar as we are talking about civil rights cases.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any questions for

2.2

2.4

1 Commissioner Heriot? 2 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: If not, I have not 3 4 missed any of the concept papers, have I? No? 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So what I would 6 7 encourage us to do is give some thought to these 8 And if there are conversations that occur 9 among commissioners, have any other questions in advance so that we can come on June 8th and be 10 11 prepared to select one of these topics for our 12 statutory enforcement report, that would be great. Madam Vice Chair? 13 14 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Will there be a 15 choice of one and backup or just one? 16 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Well, ultimately, as we 17 did with the VRA report, I think we will set up the 18 voting processes as we did so that ultimately the 19 highest vote getter will be selected. 20 That does not again preclude folks from 21 raising these topics either for future statutory 2.2 enforcement or for a briefing for fiscal year 2013. 23 So this would be just selecting one for fiscal year 2.4 2013 statutory enforcement report.

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM:

25

That's what I --

1	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Just a	
2	clarification. I think we either need a majority vote	
3	to approve a specific topic or	
4	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes.	
5	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: a majority vote	
6	to do something	
7	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Absolutely. The	
8	majority vote, yes	
9	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: You have five or	
10	more	
11	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Exactly and not only	
12	does it need to have the most votes, but it needs to	
13	have five votes, yes.	
14	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. A majority	
15	of those present in the	
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Exactly. That's exactly	
17	right.	
18	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay.	
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: So no further questions	
20	on that.	
21	IV. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)	
22	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: We will now move on to	
23	management and operations and the Chief of Regional	
23 24	management and operations and the Chief of Regional Programs report. So Mr. Minarik?	

COORDINATION UNIT REPORT

MR. MINARIK: Good morning, commissioners. Three-part report here: first, a response to a question I got from Commissioner Kladney earlier in the week; second, a monthly report that I sent on to the Vice Chair and the Chair; and, third, a comment about chartering.

commissioner KLADNEY wrote an email to me earlier this week and asked why SAC activities were on hold. And I don't know if he shared that email, but I wrote back to him. And I said, "As to your inquiry, I understand that three activities are on hold." And I told him that I thought the appointments to SACs were on hold and I gave him the administrative instruction that read, "Under no circumstances should" -- sorry. "SAC chartering. The staff director is responsible for submitting SAC appointments and reappointments to commissioners for approval."

So I responded to Commissioner Kladney that in the absence of a staff director, I didn't think chartering activity necessarily stopped, but it didn't go through for approvals.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm back.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.

MR. MINARIK: The second thing that I told

2.2

2.4

1 him that I thought would stop would be --2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I'm sorry. Could you 3 repeat that, Mr. Minarik? MR. MINARIK: The public release --4 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Can you put your mute on, Commissioner? It's raising a lot of feedback. 6 7 Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. 9 MR. MINARIK: Again, the AI reads, "Under no circumstances should SAC or regional reports be 10 11 released to the public or forwarded to the 12 commissioners without the staff director approval." 13 So I just reported that it's my 14 understanding that there are four such reports up in 15 headquarters. And until there is a staff director, 16 those are on hold. And, finally, I told him that SAC 17 18 proposals are also on hold. The AI reads, "The staff 19 director is responsible for approving project 20 proposals." I said I know of two that have been 21 approved by the Southern regional office's SACs. And 2.2 those are on hold. 23 But that doesn't mean all SAC activities 2.4 are on hold. I know that regional offices are

continuing chartering work. And I have a completed

SAC package from South Carolina. We are moving forward with Washington and Oregon. And when there is a staff director, we will move it through that channel.

It doesn't mean that we're not doing background research. It doesn't mean that completed reports for the report on the ex-felon voting rights in Kentucky and ex-felon voting rights -- and I know that the Connecticut committee is going to report on that next week.

And it doesn't mean the SACs aren't meeting. There has been in the past a staff director who insisted that SACs' meetings get their approval.

And I saw nothing in the AI. So in the absence of staff director, when the regional director has a meeting planned and it's passed along to me, I issue a Federal Register notice. And so there are meetings. So I know that Connecticut and Florida are meeting next week. Illinois is going to meet in the next couple of weeks. So that is how I responded to Commissioner Kladney.

The second item is without a staff director, as the RPCU chief, I put together the regional report of activities. And I wasn't quite sure what to do with it. So I sent it to your Chair

2.2

2.4

and your Vice Chair.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: And we circulated it -- MR. MINARIK: Good. Okay. Yes.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: -- to all commissioners.

MR. MINARIK: And the comment about that is that it's in a format that the staff director has approved in the past. So if you wanted a different format, but the previous staff director has basically said they wanted three parts to keep it focused: projects, the meetings, and the charter activity. So it's streamlined because of the staff director presence.

And the third is something that I always have in hand is the SAC chartering list. And that was forwarded as well. We are at 37 -- without my reading glasses, 38 now are chartered. And I've got this expansive list, but the SAC charter packages can't go forward without a staff director under our current regulations. And by the end of this fiscal year, if that continues, we'll be down to 23 SACs that are chartered. But I assume something will happen in the interim. That's where we are on that.

CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Thank you. And, by the way, I thought that chart was very helpful. I've never seen the data in that format. It makes it a lot

1	easier to be able to tell who is chartered and who is	
2	not. So hopefully in the future, whomever is the	
3	staff director, we can direct them to make sure that	
4	we also get a copy of that because, again, I thought	
5	it was very useful to be able to track the data that	
6	way.	
7	MR. MINARIK: If you like, that can be	
8	part of the monthly report. It could be.	
9	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I would like that.	
10	MR. MINARIK: That was Mr. Dannenfelser	
11	who kept insisting upon this.	
12	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Okay.	
13	MR. MINARIK: And he kept changing it	
14	until he finally liked it.	
15	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. And I like the	
16	other report as well, but, you know, it's that	
17	format is very helpful, too.	
18	Any questions for Mr. Minarik from any of	
19	the commissioners?	
20	(No response.)	
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, you are	
22	relieved of duties for the day, for the	
23	day. You are welcome to stay in the audience, though.	
24	So let's see. I think we already talked	
25	about the agency staffing.	

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: We added to the 1 2 agenda the report from the senior attorney and the --3 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: VRA report. 4 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: -- general counsel. 5 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Yes. Ms. Tolhurst? COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Or are you still 6 7 acting as general counsel until Wednesday? MS. TOLHURST: I understand as of this 8 9 past Wednesday. 10 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Oh, okay. 11 MS. TOLHURST: I'm the only one working 12 here to work on this. So in that capacity --13 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: I understand. 14 - VOTING RIGHTS ACT DRAFT REPORT UPDATE 15 MS. TOLHURST: So I sent you all according 16 to the approved timeline the draft of the statutory 17 report. I sent that on May 8th. And, as the Chairman 18 indicated, your comments are due back to me on May 19 31st. 20 I sent out just yesterday the affected 21 agency comments. That would be Department of Justice. 2.2 And they had actually blown their deadline by two 23 weeks. So usually I would have incorporated their 2.4 comments before you got your draft, but I didn't want 25 to blow my deadline. So I sent you all the draft and

1 then --2 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: I think it's just a 3 two-day --4 MS. TOLHURST: Yes. And so now you all 5 have their comments. You can see they are actually 6 very brief. And to the extent that they are relevant, 7 they will be incorporated as well. So the next step is your comments on May 8 9 And then those will be incorporated by me by June 11th. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Commissioner Gaziano? 12 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Thank you very 13 And thank you for taking this on, which much. 14 normally is done most affably -- your lawyers in your 15 office will at least be -- you said someone would 16 begin when? 17 MS. TOLHURST: On Monday. 18 COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: On Monday? 19 You answered one of my questions, which is I think the 20 DOJ letter was just two days before you -- but you did 21 not attempt to incorporate any of their comments. 2.2 But there is another couple of quick 23 questions, one of which is I know several of us at 2.4 least and maybe all of us were disappointed that the

Department of Justice did not follow our request,

which they're commanded to do, and send a witness to our briefing. And it seemed like the excuse they gave then wasn't even a valid one because there was some hearing that didn't even take place.

But then I think I heard -- maybe it was at the last meeting -- that Commission staff did talk with Department of Justice. My question -- and I'm not sure it rises to the level of a concern -- is that staff were then able to ask questions of the Department but commissioners were not. Commissioners were not allowed to pursue lines of questioning with them.

Could you -- and if you could give just a general answer and then maybe follow up in an email with more detail if there is -- who spoke with DOJ if they did and when? Were there notes taken -- I doubt that it was recorded -- so that we have a sense as to who was contacted in the Department. They also missed their deadline for answering interrogatories.

Let me pause there before I ask my next question.

MS. TOLHURST: So we requested a meeting with Department of Justice. And they did not want to offer any career staff from the Voting Rights section or otherwise to discuss the project with us.

2.2

2.4

They offered us one person, which was
Matthew Colangelo, who is the Deputy Assistant
Attorney General. And he is the signatory to the
letter that I sent to you all.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: And how long was
that meeting?

MS. TOLHURST: It was quite brief. I had about five follow-up questions, maybe ten. It was myself and David Snyder, who was previously attorney in the Office of General Counsel. And what would be available would be if I can find them on my desk my notes on that call.

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: That may be helpful. And that was my other question. There are persons of the draft that seem very good. I have a question about one or two. And this isn't an essential question, but I assume that Mr. Snyder before he left, was he -- did you all divide the duties of drafting the report? Did he contribute significantly to --

MS. TOLHURST: He contributed significantly to the first draft. I had a distinct section or two. And I can't remember which section I had taken on, but -

COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Yes. I think that

2.2

2.4

1	may be helpful.
2	MS. TOLHURST: It is very fair to say he
3	significantly reported in this report. In fact, as
4	you know, there are ongoing matters that I am trying
5	to incorporate to the extent that there is still time
6	
7	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Sure.
8	MS. TOLHURST: that he was managing.
9	And then I am going to have to get up to speed on to
10	be able to make sure our report is as fresh as
11	possible.
12	COMMISSIONER GAZIANO: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Any additional questions
14	for Ms. Tolhurst?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: Hearing none, I move
17	that we adjourn the meeting. Is there a second?
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: All in favor?
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	CHAIRMAN CASTRO: The meeting is adjourned
22	at 11:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time. Thank you,
23	everyone.
24	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter was
25	concluded at 11:30 a.m.)

add 5:6 28:8.19 31:13 74:19 75:11 appointing 21:19 51:16 72:12 agreeing 29:24 53:17 appointment 11:3 **a.m** 1:8 4:2,5 99:22,25 added 10:10 30:21 95:1 agreement 6:17,21 49:12 ABIGAIL 1:10 adding 38:7 13:23 14:3 25:24 39:3 appointments 11:5 ability 38:24 44:4 60:16 addition 17:23 32:15 43:9 53:25 54:8 90:13,17 75:20 35:21 60:7 62:15 **agreements** 84:16,24 appreciate 17:6 56:20 able 6:21 7:1 12:25 additional 19:2 38:7 86:17 72:24 74:14 76:12 15:12 18:9 32:9 50:18 46:15 58:14 72:8,8 Agriculture 79:18,23 77:21 55:25 59:10 61:10 approaching 35:5 99:13 80:6 72:25 94:1,5 97:9 address 7:13,18 13:14 Agriculture's 79:10 appropriate 12:12 99:10 addressed 27:17 ahead 39:15 40:4 13:22 44:22 72:5 **absence** 27:18 28:13 addresses 39:12 **AI** 30:12 45:1 72:14 appropriated 52:2 42:23,23 43:3,4 90:20 adjourn 99:17 91:9,18 92:14 appropriately 51:25 92:14 adjourned 99:21 AI1-18C 39:12 appropriations 71:22 absolutely 39:8 55:11 72:8 75:2 administration 10:7,9 **Als** 19:25 42:22 46:11 69:19 75:6 89:7 43:23 76:7 83:11,23 50:16 55:17 appropriators 62:17 abstentions 5:19 84:7 akin 26:9 **approval** 3:3 4:21,23 academy 86:21 administrative 11:24 **Alabama** 31:15 29:20 54:1 90:18 accept 12:11,23 14:14 20:17 31:7 38:2 90:14 **ALEC** 2:8 91:12 92:13 22:11 38:18 administrator 20:15 ALFREDA 2:3 approvals 90:22 acceptable 13:17 admission 14:12 align 60:22 **approve** 20:6 30:5 accessible 60:19 **ALISON** 2:11 adopt 73:21 37:20 89:3 accomplish 58:25 62:4 adopted 9:15 46:20 all's 27:8 **approved** 91:21 93:7 accurately 5:9 23:20 advance 88:10 alleged 80:4 95:16 **Achtenberg** 1:11 4:13 allowed 34:11,16 35:19 **approving** 29:17 30:8 advertise 49:19.24 8:12 15:4,7,15 18:15 advice 34:12.16.17 36:15 97:11 91:19 18:17,18,21,25 19:9 35:10,19 36:10,10,13 allows 11:12 April 58:12 38:3,4,14 39:7 73:15 36:15 alternate 30:23 arbitrarily 70:12 74:14 75:12 77:9,10 adviser 43:24 44:7 alternatives 26:3 area 86:22 78:2 45:17 49:16 amend 38:1 72:14 **argue** 64:1 acknowledged 26:2 affably 96:14 amendments 4:24,25 arms 63:6 acronyms 57:24 affect 33:14 5:9.13 asap 55:2 act 3:11 5:8 6:23 11:11 America 56:14 **ASCD** 2:2 afraid 63:7 11:12,23,23 14:8 19:7 agencies 10:21,24 American 79:20 aside 29:25 19:22 20:4,7,14 33:11 35:20 36:6 58:3 59:16 amount 22:18 52:1 77:5 asked 7:16 18:23 25:7 58:1 82:23 95:14 62:23,25 63:2 75:10 analogize 25:6 29:18 44:18 65:24 acting 2:5 4:16 6:8,19 86:3 90:9 75:16,18,21 analogy 24:2 6:23 7:20,24 8:17 agency 3:5 5:1,24 6:2 analysis 9:7 asking 36:7 42:9,14,15 10:8 11:16 12:14 6:18 7:7 9:15,22 annual 65:19 48:2 13:20 17:10,12 19:22 11:12,13,16,18,25,25 answer 14:1 36:2 97:14 aspect 84:3 20:10 21:3,6,19 24:23 13:18,22,24,24 19:18 answered 27:25 51:8,9 **aspire** 73:11 27:5 30:6,8 38:6,15 19:20 20:5,8 23:9,16 96:19 assertion 75:7 39:13,13,20 41:2,17 23:16,18,22 24:1,4 answering 40:12 97:19 **Assessing** 79:7,9 80:14 42:1,24,25 43:5,5,8,9 26:11 30:2 33:3 38:6 answers 28:8 **assessment** 79:25,25 43:10 44:13,24 45:1 anticipate 52:5 42:12 43:16 48:5 assigned 55:2 45:13,22 46:6,7 48:5 49:10,17,24 50:8 anybody 39:16 81:10 assistance 11:13 49:9 50:2,6,10,20 60:14,24 62:16 65:23 anyway 46:24 67:7 assistant 22:4 62:9 53:17 54:14 67:23 66:12 72:4,5 74:10 apologize 16:25 77:5,12,14 98:2 95:7 75:4 79:11 84:24 Apparently 58:2 assistants 2:7 17:4 action 18:13 85:16 94:25 95:21 appears 23:2 64:22 68:22 78:3,8 actions 20:2 86:22,23 agency's 19:11 **apple** 72:18 associated 28:18 86:23 agenda 3:2,3 4:21,23 applicable 58:9 association 70:11 actively 16:10 5:2,13 77:22 95:2 application 79:21 assume 9:19 42:25 activities 90:9,12 91:23 **apply** 16:21 42:4 68:1 ago 29:5 46:20 57:19 44:12 47:6 93:21 92:24 **appoint** 20:14 25:15 98:17 agree 6:22 12:17,17,25 activity 90:21 93:10 15:20 16:12 29:23 67:22 assuming 13:16 15:11 acts 54:16 38:20 40:23 63:18 appointed 41:2 **assure** 13:5 actual 21:10 68:18 71:25 74:13,18 appointees 26:23 asylum 82:24 **ad** 53:6

attempt 96:21 attention 67:24 86:20 attorney 2:6 5:6 8:1,2 11:1,7 14:25 18:22 36:22 39:20 40:3 42:25 44:7 45:16 49:16 50:23 95:2 98:3 98:9 attorney/attorney 43:24 attorneys 17:1 34:22 51:2 audience 44:22 94:23 audiovisual 31:19 augmented 81:5 August 61:21 62:1 **authority** 8:17 27:6 30:6 41:22 49:25 50:8 53:7 authorization 71:22 72:21 73:3 authorized 32:12 33:20 52:7 authorizes 14:2 authorizing 62:16 available 48:4 98:11 avenues 55:18 aversion 76:13 **aware** 9:13 awareness 59:16 awesome 14:4,12,17 ave 5:17 ayes 5:18 99:20 В

back 6:20 18:4 26:17 48:14,18 52:6,17 53:11 58:12 69:2 86:14 90:11,23 95:18 background 80:17 92:6 **backup** 88:15 balanced 29:6,11 37:22 77:17 base 17:20 based 60:2 78:22 79:4 **basically** 20:14 51:13 65:1 69:5 87:3 93:8 **basis** 7:3 18:3 32:23 33:19 38:8 51:24 53:7 69:18 **bears** 31:11 **befits** 43:14 beginning 5:2 behalf 6:18 41:10 84:23 behave 23:1 **believe** 41:24 57:4 66:11 75:25 76:6 best 27:24 52:19,23 58:23 87:22

bet 39:25 **better** 59:17 60:22 **beyond** 80:24 bid 58:12 big 30:22 70:13 72:17 **binding** 9:22,24 10:13 10:19,25,25 22:5 **Birmingham** 32:15 56:7 **bit** 8:24 9:7,9 11:9,19 20:13 21:15 26:6 28:12 47:15 50:15 53:11 55:7 57:16 84:9 bite 72:18 **black** 79:19 **blah** 21:4,5,5 bless 12:4 26:16,17 35:7 blockades 16:10 **blow** 95:25 blown 95:22 **bodies** 24:19 **body** 63:11 **bound** 9:21 **Branch** 9:10,16,21,22 9:25 10:1,2,17,20,20 11:1 12:3 13:8 16:20 16:22.22 26:16.24 35:20 36:1,16 40:25 41:6 breaking 47:19 bridge 24:24 37:6 brief 79:16 96:6 98:7 **briefing** 31:15 32:15 64:1 88:22 97:2 **bring** 15:13 74:7 **broad** 11:12 70:15 BROOKS 2:2 brought 26:9,10 71:5 86:23 **buck** 85:19 budget 2:6 31:9 52:3,5 52:7 58:10 60:17 61:12,14,19 62:2 **build-out** 31:16.18 **bump** 40:14,15,15,16 bureaucracy 60:13 business 51:20 79:2 Byrnes 21:1

С

cabinetry 32:6 call 21:2 23:21 30:23 48:15,19,20 49:5 57:8 69:21 98:12 calls 55:6 candidate 6:25 12:17 12:18,24,25 13:4,4 15:13 42:6 candidates 6:10.13 7:2 7:4 15:22,23 16:2,4,5 49:21,21 capacities 34:23 capacity 12:10 38:8,11 95:12 career 17:14 40:19 97:24 careful 9:1 12:10 21:2 carefully 23:11 Carolina 92:1 carpet 32:2 carried 56:5 carveouts 71:16 case 10:18 21:6,9,9 35:13 43:13 66:2 76:23 cases 87:16,17,24 Castro 1:8,10 4:3,9,19 4:22 5:12,16,19,21,25 8:4,9,13 12:20 13:13 14:6,10,14,19,23 15:6 15:14,19 17:19 18:16 18:20,23 19:13,16 20:22 23:4 25:16.21 27:9.22 28:1.6.10 31:13 34:9,13,18 37:8 38:3,12 39:9,15,21 42:10,21 43:17,19 45:10,15,19 46:2,9,25 47:3,9,14,18,24 48:9 48:12,15,19,23 49:2,6 49:18 50:1,4,10,13 51:6,22 53:9 54:11 55:8,12,23 56:2,10,15 56:17 57:14,25 63:9 63:17,20,23 64:6,10 64:24 65:5,8,12,15 66:25 67:17 68:7,24 69:13,19 70:22 71:1,8 71:14 72:14 73:5 74:12 75:23 76:16,19 77:2,7,13,20 78:1,2,6 78:10,13,17 80:9,12 80:17 81:9,12 82:2,6 84:17 85:24 86:4,7,13 87:25 88:3,6,16 89:4 89:7,11,16,19,22 90:24 91:2,5 93:2,4 93:23 94:9,12,15,21 95:3,5 96:2,11 99:13 99:16,19,21 **caveat** 18:18 center 82:11 85:21 **centers** 82:8,8,22 Century 64:20 65:2,3,4

65:10 67:22 69:6,12

cert 36:7 certain 16:21 33:16 37:14 40:10 44:11 50:17 53:22 79:21,22 certainly 16:14 38:6,14 53:1 64:12 74:1 83:17 83:18 certifying 37:21 **CFR** 9:14,20 51:3 **chain** 26:5 chair 1:10 4:10 11:20 12:21,22 13:10 14:12 23:5 24:9,12 25:17,19 27:9,10,13,14,20,24 28:9,19 29:22 30:18 31:3 37:9 39:9,11,16 39:22,25 40:5 43:10 45:3,7 48:13,22,25 51:7,15 52:13 54:24 62:20,21 63:19 64:21 67:9 68:10 72:11 73:2 74:15,19 76:12,18 77:11,24 79:13 81:21 88:13,14,25 90:6,6 92:25 93:1 99:18 **Chairman** 1:8.10 4:3.9 4:18,19,22 5:12,16,19 5:21,25 7:23 8:4,9,13 11:16 12:20 13:13 14:2,4,6,10,14,17,19 14:23 15:5,6,14,19 17:19 18:15,16,20,23 19:13,16 20:22 23:4 23:19 25:16,21 27:9 27:22 28:1,6,10 31:13 34:9,13,18 37:8 38:3 38:12 39:9,15,21 42:10,21 43:17,19 45:10,15,19 46:2,9,25 47:3,9,14,18,24 48:9 48:12,15,19,23 49:2,6 49:18 50:1,4,10,13 51:6,7,22 53:9 54:11 55:4,8,12,23 56:2,10 56:15,17 57:14,25 63:9,17,20,23 64:6,10 64:24 65:5,8,12,15 66:25 67:17 68:7,24 69:13,19 70:22 71:1,8 71:14 72:14 73:2,5,15 74:12 75:23 76:16,19 77:2,7,13,20 78:1,6 78:10,13,17 80:9,12 80:17 81:9,12 82:2,6 84:17 85:24 86:4,7,13 87:25 88:3,6,16 89:4 89:7,11,16,19,22

70:8

90:24 91:2,5 93:2,4

93:23 94:9,12,15,21 95:3,5,17 96:2,11 99:13,16,19,21 Chairman's 22:3 **chairs** 81:23 **chance** 11:6 58:22 78:21 **chances** 29:24 37:15 **change** 18:12 70:25 74:22 changing 94:13 channel 92:4 charge 29:14 52:21 53:1 54:1,4 75:15 charitable 87:12,12 **charities** 87:13,19 **chart** 61:1 93:24 **charter** 93:10,17 **chartered** 56:8 93:16 93:21 94:1 chartering 90:7,16,21 91:25 93:14 check 29:5 31:8 41:25 **checklist** 29:6,12 **checks** 40:23.24 **chew** 73:22 chicken 13:2 **chief** 2:2,5,6 3:10 24:3 24:4 89:23,25 92:23 children 83:3 **chip** 30:15 **choice** 6:24 88:15 **Chorus** 5:18 99:20 **chosen** 23:11 **Chris** 21:1.3 circulate 63:12 **circulated** 79:4 93:2 circumstances 7:15 48:6 90:15 91:10 circumvent 21:19 circumventing 24:8,22 **citing** 10:9 civil 1:1 4:5,7 10:5 59:12,13,17,18 60:7 62:25 64:7,17,20 65:4 65:20,24 66:16 67:18 67:21 69:2,3,7,9,10 69:11 70:9 79:7,9 82:7,12,20 83:6,21 84:2,6,10,11,25 85:4 85:14,21 86:17,22 87:16,23 clarification 12:23 89:2 clarifying 40:12 **class** 86:21,23 clear 14:11 16:20 18:10 20:1 27:6 **clearly** 10:23 36:1

83:19 **Clinton** 10:7,8 **close** 16:7 23:25 **closed** 22:22 **closer** 55:16 74:7 Colangelo 98:2 **colleagues** 6:2,11,12 **collective** 11:15,18 24:19 COLTEN 2:8 **comb** 40:8 come 4:20 10:14 16:6 18:4 33:3 46:11,14 48:17 49:7 52:6 53:14 53:19,24 54:7,14,21 55:5 74:2 77:2 85:8 86:14 88:10 comes 31:10 comfortable 32:19 72:23 coming 4:4 commanded 97:1 comment 75:24 90:6 93:5 comments 14:24 56:25 57:4 61:8 62:22 65:21 95:18,21,24 96:5,8,21 commission 1:1,7 4:5,7 9:3,14 10:5,11 11:15 11:15 14:2,3,18 23:12 23:14,19,20,21,23,25 24:15,16 26:21 51:17 52:6 55:5,21 56:4 59:10 60:18,22 62:5 67:15 68:16,17,19 69:9 72:20 74:20 81:24 97:6 Commission's 59:25 commissioner 1:11,11 1:12,12,13,13 2:7 4:11,11,12,12,13,13 5:5,15 6:6 7:11,16,22 8:5,11,12,14 12:20 13:1,12,13,25 14:7,16 14:20,21 15:1,2,4,7 15:15 16:19 17:25 18:15,17,18,21,25 19:3,9 20:17,20 23:4 23:5,6 24:11,15 25:16 25:17,21,23 27:12 28:4,5,7,11 29:18 30:21 34:7,10,15,20 35:2,12,17,24 36:3,9 38:3,4,14 39:1,7,10 39:18,23 40:2,7,20 41:14 42:3,8,13 43:22 44:3,6,9,16,21 45:3,7

46:13.18.19.22 47:2.6 47:8,9,10,12,12,16,22 48:7,9,17 49:4,8 50:14 51:5,8,9,11 52:14,16,17 53:9,10 54:13 55:3,11,13,20 55:24 56:3,11,16 57:5 57:7,23 63:15,18,21 64:4,8,19 65:1,6,9,13 66:22 67:2,4,6,11,19 68:8,12,24 69:1,15,20 70:23 71:6,13 73:15 74:12,13,14 75:11 76:11,21,24 77:2,4,7 77:8,10 78:4,8,11 79:11,12 80:10,13,15 80:18 81:13,16,17 82:4 83:15,16 85:23 85:25 86:2,3,8,9,10 86:15,19 88:1 89:1,5 89:9,14,18 90:4,8,19 90:23 91:6,8 92:21 95:1,4,6,10,13 96:11 96:12,18 98:5,13,25 99:7.12 commissioners 4:9.15 6:22 9:3 11:20 15:2 19:24 20:2 21:19,21 22:1 23:12,24 48:4 52:14,21 57:1 61:18 62:6 73:8 78:1.12 79:5 88:9 90:2,18 91:12 93:4 94:19 97:10.10 commissions 10:3 66:16 **committee** 62:4 76:3 92:9 committees 62:18 communication 41:6 communications 8:7 **community** 80:22,24 company 31:25 32:4 competed 49:13 competent 32:12 competition 50:25 complaints 82:19 **completed** 31:23,24 61:21 91:25 92:6 **completely** 19:21 38:19 completion 31:23 compliance 33:7 complicated 34:5,6 51:20 complying 66:3 compromise 63:2 compromised 75:20 concede 27:4

concept 78:24 79:6 80:14 81:13 83:24 88:4 concern 19:19 33:13 52:15,18 67:13,25 70:2 80:21,23 97:8 concerned 28:12,16,17 51:12 52:12 concerning 33:17 concerns 7:13 22:2 26:1 concluded 99:25 conclusion 8:15 10:14 18:12 74:2,3 conditions 82:7 85:1 **conduct** 13:3 87:4 confer 24:25 conference 32:6 conferred 64:17 69:7 **confident** 11:10 25:12 51:24 52:9 configuration 24:20 confirm 8:22 29:6 58:11 conflict 36:11 62:24 75:14 conflicts 36:6 congratulated 73:18 congress 10:22 13:9 24:16,17,17,17 56:13 61:20 62:16 66:20 67:15 68:2 69:10 73:6 73:9 congressional 10:4 58:18 62:18 66:18 69:22 71:21,22 72:20 73:3 conjunction 47:5 Connecticut 92:9,18 consensus 7:2,10 17:24 18:9 46:12 consent 4:25 5:10 86:17 conservative 6:11 consider 13:4 19:7 37:2 59:2 **considered** 16:7 81:8 considering 83:14 consisted 31:18 consists 23:12 Constitution 9:17,18 constructing 43:23 construction 31:22,25 32:4 consult 12:9 contacted 97:18 CONTENTS 3:1 contingency 72:9 contingent 16:16

45:9,11,12,18,21 46:3

continuation 59:5 continue 7:3 32:17 41:3 59:8 61:3 65:12 72:25 **Continued** 3:9 89:21 continues 93:20 **continuing** 59:11 91:25 contract 84:2 contracted 84:14 85:18 contractor 84:15 contractors 84:22 contribute 98:19 contributed 98:21 convened 1:7 conversation 17:4 67:12 72:24 conversations 7:12 59:12 88:8 coordinating 76:2,3 Coordination 3:11 90:1 **copy** 32:7 40:2 94:4 corollary 28:8 correct 9:20 13:12 36:3 Council 76:1,4,6 counsel 5:7 6:15 8:2,3 8:17 9:23,24 10:6,15 10:18.24 11:7 12:9 15:25 16:17 17:1 18:2 20:11 22:11 26:14 27:5 29:13 30:8 33:25 34:1,8,23 35:9 38:18 39:13,20 41:2,3,17 42:2,23,24,24 43:1,5 44:13,17,24,25 45:14 46:14 48:5 50:2,21 58:11 95:4.7 98:10 Counsel's 17:23 36:23 42:4 44:8 **countries** 60:6 64:18 country 64:16 66:2 71:10 couple 6:3 21:5 23:7 28:15 29:19 34:3 41:5 56:7 57:18 60:24 62:5 92:20 96:22 **course** 18:13 39:8 61:3 77:13 **court** 4:16,18 11:17,22 24:18,18 cover 67:21 **crazy** 31:1 create 48:4 62:4 72:3 creating 60:10,13 66:10 Criminal 80:17 criminals 83:2,3 crisis 30:22,23 **critical** 17:5,6 **cross** 37:6 crossed 24:24

D D.C 1:7 4:8 daily 32:23 33:19 **danger** 37:12 Dannenfelser 94:10 data 32:1 93:25 94:5 date 33:16 **David** 1:13 27:10 98:9 day 45:6 94:22,22,23 Daylight 99:22 days 7:8 41:18,21 49:12 50:11,15 96:20 deadline 95:22.25 97:19 deal 7:20 53:6 76:13,20 78:14 dealing 31:21 75:5,5 76:14 79:20 dear 87:14 decide 15:16 17:9 28:3 decided 20:2 decision 41:13 51:14 decisions 28:13 31:8 declaration 70:7,10 declare 68:17 declared 70:19 **decline** 36:12 44:5 declined 44:7 45:23 declines 46:3 decrease 85:3 **Decrees** 86:17 deep 64:22 **defer** 53:7 define 44:5 69:10,11 72:23 **defines** 69:10 defining 69:16 definition 63:6,7 64:20 65:3,10 69:6,23 70:9 definitional 67:13 delegate 53:21 55:21 delivery 32:9 Dellinger 10:6 deluding 67:24 demand 62:18

democratic 6:11 denied 40:10,16 **Department** 34:8 65:21 66:9 79:10,18,22 80:6 95:21 96:25 97:7,10 97:18,23 depends 11:21 37:17 deprived 70:12 **deputy** 38:12 39:13 42:24 43:4,5,7,9 47:7 47:11 98:2 description 43:14,15 design 75:24 **designate** 21:13 72:3 designated 21:4 30:6 41:22 51:13 66:1 76:7 designating 66:10 desk 33:4,4 98:11 detail 6:17 8:20 9:12 12:12 13:15,23 17:11 17:23 20:6,7,9,11 38:5,7 43:9 55:15 63:14 87:2 97:15 detailed 10:21,23 detailee 6:10,16 7:14 13:9,10,17 15:21 17:8 17:17 18:10 19:6 22:15 25:11 26:9,10 27:18 29:24 36:24 37:7 46:15 **detailees** 10:18,20 detailing 19:11 details 63:10 detained 82:25 detainees 84:21 85:1 85:20 detection 82:21 **detention** 82:8,8,11 84:5,12,14,19,20 85:21 determination 10:13 **determine** 12:4,12 46:16 **DEULL** 2:8 development 59:17 devolve 36:25 37:7 dicey 22:8 dictatorships 76:15 **Diego** 87:15 difference 25:2 different 11:22 13:7 65:3,10 70:16 75:18 76:4,8 84:7 85:10 93:7 dig 26:20 diligently 32:14 33:21 diplomacy 6:4

direct 94:3 direction 7:19 72:2 directly 84:18 director 2:3,4 6:9,19,24 7:8,21,25 12:15 13:21 17:10,12 19:22,23 20:1 21:3,10,20 23:8 23:9,9,13,14,14 24:1 24:23 28:25 29:13,20 30:7 35:10 37:4,16 38:13,16 39:14 41:23 43:3,4,6,8,9,11 44:11 45:2,22 46:6,7 47:7 47:11,11 50:6 51:19 53:2,13 56:1 67:23 90:16,20 91:12,15,19 92:3,12,15,15,23 93:6 93:8,11,18 94:3 director's 24:25 directors 21:7 directs 23:24 dirty 11:11 disability 69:25 disagree 46:8 68:14 disappointed 8:6 96:24 discharge 38:9 discretion 36:17 discriminated 69:18 discrimination 69:24 69:24,25 71:5,7 80:5 81:14 86:9 87:9 discriminatory 79:21 80:1 discuss 39:14 40:4 78:24 97:25 discussed 56:19 79:14 **discussion** 3:5,6,8 5:1 5:24 6:1 46:10 57:12 60:9 61:25 62:13 64:25 72:25 75:11,12 75:22 77:22 78:15,18 81:18,20 85:12 disputes 36:5 disputing 50:24 dissected 74:1 disseminated 78:19,22 distinct 98:22 distinction 64:7 69:4 75:24 76:17 distinctions 64:18 distinguish 14:17 distributed 57:3,18 divide 54:8,21 98:18 divided 26:21 doable 55:10 document 10:10 **documents** 71:10,12 doing 12:10 17:14

dire 20:4 28:21,22

Elimination 82:23 **exempt** 13:11 federal 43:13.16.20 19:18 21:24 32:23 email 8:23,25 11:9 90:8 33:2,21 41:19 49:22 **exercise** 36:24 37:3 59:16 62:23 81:13 66:12 70:16 72:10 90:10 97:14 44:10,24 45:1 74:5 82:17,18,22 83:8,9,20 75:17 92:5 emails 40:8 **exercising** 14:4 38:21 84:1 85:16 92:17 **DOJ** 10:10 62:25 96:20 emergency 41:20 exhaustive 9:5 feedback 91:6 97:15 emphasize 36:22 **exist** 80:2 feel 65:14 72:18 **DOJ's** 57:3 employee 43:20 existing 6:23 37:13 feeling 30:21 domestic 63:24 86:8 38:10 59:5 66:16 feels 21:15,23 employees 49:13 **DOMINIQUE** 2:9 **employer** 80:22,24 71:19.24 fell 49:4 doubt 97:16 87:14 exists 25:3 female 79:19 dovetail 69:22 70:8,20 encompassed 66:6 expand 16:14 59:24 field 51:18,19 52:8 54:3 encourage 88:7 figure 50:19 52:21 draft 3:11 5:8 56:24 expanded 70:2 61:23,24 62:8 95:14 endeavor 75:19 expanding 70:18 81:23 95:16,24,25 98:15,22 enforcement 56:25 expansion 70:15 figuring 25:14 62:25 75:16 79:10 filed 82:20 drafting 62:11 98:19 expansive 93:17 81:8,15 83:9 86:18 **experience** 17:21 37:21 fill 48:3 dropped 48:8 duality 22:10 88:12,22,24 49:14 51:3,18 62:10 **filled** 11:3 74:20 80:21 final 12:16 61:20,24 ducks 36:20 engaged 59:20 due 18:14 56:25 68:13 expert 19:8 engaging 8:25 59:15 62:1 95:18 **English-proficient** expertise 22:6 finally 6:6 91:17 94:14 **Dunston** 2:2 32:18 60:20 explain 84:9 Finance 2:6 duties 12:13 43:21 enhance 72:19 explaining 53:2 financial 61:4 44:11,12 52:20 68:5 enslaving 46:1 explanation 47:23 **find** 6:7,14 16:4 19:11 94:22 98:19 ensure 66:5 explication 15:11 22:24 24:23 25:14 ensuring 66:2 explicitly 36:5 26:11 35:14 73:13 Ε enterprise 51:20 explore 41:1 87:6 98:11 eager 45:8 entire 44:17 63:11 expressed 52:19 finding 6:8 7:20 earlier 18:24 53:12 90:4 fine 14:19 54:10 entirely 51:8 **extend** 59:10 fine-toothed 40:8 90:9 entity 13:23 52:7 76:1,4 **extends** 86:22 easier 25:15 39:2 94:1 76:8.19 extensive 29:12 finish 20:22 easily 53:22 envision 71:23 extent 27:16 29:9 33:25 first 4:22 5:25 13:19 Eastern 99:22 episode 25:7 38:16 76:13 80:6 22:23 35:3,4 36:20 83:20 85:2 87:8 96:6 40:16 56:12,13 63:3 easy 23:8,15 53:19 equipment 31:20 **Economy** 11:11,12,23 equivalent 8:18 24:13 99:5 70:20 79:6 80:3 81:22 11:23 14:8 19:6,22 **Ernie** 25:7 extra 68:6 90:3 98:22 20:4 especially 60:23 extraordinary 43:21 **fiscal** 31:8 88:22,23 93:19 ecstatic 55:7 essays 17:25 62:6 **eyes** 38:17 essential 37:14 98:17 editing 37:21 fitting 69:5 F **EEO** 80:23 essentially 10:9 20:15 five 22:15 39:3 89:9,13 **EEOC** 63:1 65:22 80:19 event 44:19 F 1:11 98:8 **EEOC's** 81:2 everybody 56:20 63:13 facilities 82:14,18 83:1 fix 53:19 55:25 effect 20:4 23:22 58:2 flesh 55:15 72:15 74:18 83:4 84:12,19,22 85:2 effort 13:5 38:24 ex-felon 92:7,8 facility 85:3,17,18,18 flip 21:24 efforts 80:7 81:14 exactly 47:16 87:7 fact 13:20 34:17 60:4 floated 60:3 89:11,16,16 85:9 99:3 **floors** 32:5 egg 13:2 eight 23:12 56:13 example 19:18 26:8 Florida 92:18 factual 40:11 28:14 33:5 62:24 64:1 failing 27:19 52:20 focus 59:3 67:23 84:9 eight-member 23:24 **Eighteenth** 64:20 65:2 64:17 70:6 71:4 87:14 fair 29:11 99:2 84:13,25 85:13 focused 93:9 69:6 examples 59:2 **faith** 53:5 either 11:20 17:17 exceptions 71:14 **FOIA** 22:12 familiar 21:17 folks 16:1,6 33:22 23:19 50:3,4 73:20 exclusion 67:18 **fantasy** 73:4,10,12 84:21 88:21 89:2 excuse 28:4 97:2 far 65:23 58:10 72:24 83:1 electrical 32:1 **Executive** 9:10,16,21 Farm 79:10 88:20 elements 16:23 9:22,25 10:1,2,17,20 farmers 79:19,20,20 **follow** 9:22 16:21,22 10:20,25 12:3 13:8 favor 5:16 99:19 34:10 96:25 97:14 elevate 39:19 **FAY** 2:9 follow-up 98:8 elevated 41:18 16:20,22,22 26:16,24 elevating 17:13 35:20 36:1,16 40:25 fear 33:1 followed 66:7 eligible 58:3 41:6 feasible 74:4 following 68:5

force 14:21 46:18.22 47:2 50:14 51:13 52:4 54:1.2.4 headquarters 4:7 91:15 ford 25:7 75:19 51:5 52:17 55:13 57:5 57:9 58:25 60:12 63:4 healthy 46:10 forefront 59:11 57:7 65:9,13 66:22 63:7,8 64:11 66:11 hear 14:24 18:19 45:8 foregoing 99:24 67:2,6,11,19 68:8,12 68:19 72:1,2 74:23,24 45:11 63:7 foremost 81:22 76:21,24 77:3,4,8 78:24 87:19 92:9,19 heard 97:5 forget 84:15 78:2,8,11 83:15,16 hearing 52:8 54:3 56:6 good 4:3 30:16 37:1,16 77:17 81:12 94:21 form 75:8 85:23 86:3 89:1,5,9 formally 42:19 89:14,18 95:1,4,6,10 49:20 52:11 53:5 59:6 97:4 99:16 format 93:6,8,25 94:17 65:14 68:18 72:17 heels 26:20 95:13 96:11,12,18 forth 11:9 29:16 98:5,13,25 99:7,12 77:7,17 85:7 87:6 held 39:5 83:2,3 forward 6:8 7:19 15:1 **Gaziano's** 15:3 90:2 93:3 98:15 hello 32:22 GC 49:9 15:10,12 17:6 49:7 **gotten** 30:10 help 8:21 17:17 39:18 51:25 56:19 73:17,21 gender 69:24 71:5,6 government 58:1 60:12 55:14 74:8 92:2 93:18 gender-based 81:14 72:2 75:5 83:4,8 84:1 helpful 93:24 94:17 forwarded 91:11 93:15 general 5:7 6:15 8:2,3 85:8 86:24 98:14 99:1 Heriot 1:12 4:12 14:21 found 13:9 19:18 26:8 8:17 10:8 11:1,7 12:9 government's 82:17 15:25 16:17 17:1,23 35:15,18 58:5 82:12 governments 66:1 23:4,6 24:11,15 39:10 82:18 84:23 four 54:9 77:15,16 18:2 20:10 22:11 27:5 43:22 44:6 45:18,21 29:13 30:8 34:23 35:9 **GPRA** 33:11 91:14 46:3,19 47:6,10,12 four-year 59:4 36:23 38:17 39:13,20 **GPRAMA** 57:21 48:7,17 53:9,10 54:13 fraction 26:11 41:2,17 42:2,4,23,24 grant 19:7 79:21 55:3,11,20,24 56:3,11 frankly 74:8,25 56:16 57:23 63:15,18 42:24 43:1,5 44:8,13 granted 67:14 freezes 41:7 44:17,24,25 45:14 gratitude 73:16 63:21 64:4,8,19 65:1 fresh 99:10 46:14 48:5 50:2,21 great 29:25 54:15,16 65:6 67:4 68:24 69:1 FRIDAY 1:5 58:11 95:4.7 97:14 59:19 76:13 86:4 87:2 69:15.20 70:23 71:6 front 71:15 98:3.10 88:12 71:13 85:25 86:2.15 fruitful 9:4 15:11 generally 36:10 84:5 greater 63:14 86:19 88:1 full-time 50:2 Georgia 82:10,11,14 **GREENE** 2:3 Hey 33:16 fully 59:7 **grinds** 30:3 high-level 33:17 germane 83:7 85:5 function 21:15 27:19 **getter** 88:19 **ground** 74:22 higher 49:15 50:19 28:23 81:25 getting 31:20,21 35:7 **grounds** 40:10 highest 88:19 functions 12:14 55:1 38:22 53:21 74:22 groundwork 59:22 hint 34:16 hire 42:11 50:5 funding 72:21 86:20 87:7 **guess** 51:17 funds 52:1 give 5:7 24:2 34:11,16 guidance 80:20 81:3 hired 45:20 furniture 31:19,21 35:19 36:10,15 49:24 Hobbesian 65:16 Н hoc 53:6 32:10 50:7 51:1 65:24 66:21 half 36:10 further 13:25 15:10,17 73:22 88:7 97:13 hold 69:15,15,16,16 17:2 22:16 55:16 given 9:17 23:13 53:16 hallways 32:6 90:10,12,14 91:16,18 61:24,25 63:10 72:16 87:9,10 halt 30:3 91:22,24 89:19 giving 29:14 36:13 hand 17:5,5 51:1 68:25 home 56:23 **future** 14:15 37:16 glad 71:1 hoops 58:14 93:14 59:12 74:9 88:21 94:2 **glasses** 93:16 happen 31:10 40:24 hope 15:9 50:19 **go** 8:23 13:5,8 15:11 66:11 72:1 74:24 80:7 hopeful 7:5 G 16:3 23:18 24:22 hopefully 7:19 61:16 81:21 93:21 **GAIL** 1:12 26:17 27:2 31:1 36:19 happened 35:16 78:21 94:2 37:25 39:2,15 40:4 game 56:18 happening 27:4 33:14 hopelessly 75:20 **GAO** 29:9 58:6 83:5 49:23 50:9 52:5 53:10 66:15 **hoping** 61:10 Gaziano 1:11 4:12 5:5 58:6 63:8,15 67:8,10 happens 16:15 65:16 host 75:1 happily 75:17 5:15 7:11,16,22 8:5 79:3,9 85:5 87:2,11 hours 45:6 68:6 90:22 93:17 house 7:3 24:3,4 53:14 8:11,14 13:1,12,13,25 happy 55:7 63:5,13 goals 60:23 hard 22:24 54:16 80:25 14:7,16,20 16:19 17:25 20:17,20 23:5 God 21:10 haste 18:14 House's 6:24 housed 84:21 85:10 25:16,22,23 34:7,10 goes 25:8 63:13 65:18 he'll 48:15 52:17 houses 84:19 34:15,20 35:2,12,17 going 8:6 12:6 15:16 head 10:6 11:16,18,24 11:25 19:12,20 20:5,8 17:6 20:8,13,15 21:23 Housing 86:9 35:24 36:3,9 39:1,18 39:23 40:2,7,20 41:14 23:18 25:2 26:20,22 20:18,21 23:16,18,23 **HR** 17:20 18:2 41:13 27:6 30:7 32:16 36:8 24:1,4 26:10 31:8 42:5 48:3 55:9 42:3,8,13 44:3,9,16 44:21 45:4,7,11 46:13 39:2,5 42:11 49:7 38:6 42:11 48:3,3 human 59:25 60:1,5,8

60:10 63:5,24 64:2,4 64:7,10,14 65:11,17 65:20,25 66:3,5 67:15 69:2,3,4,21 70:3,7 74:21 75:5,7,25 76:4 76:6 82:13 **humans** 64:16 hundred 60:25 **HVAC** 32:3 **ICE** 82:25 84:18,23

85:15.16.19.20 ICE-run 85:18 idea 7:17 59:19 75:6 **ideas** 7:13 identified 8:23 32:18 38:10 identify 58:24 59:13 identifying 6:9 **IG** 33:5 II 3:4 5:23 **III** 3:6 57:11 **Illinois** 92:19 **illness** 56:23 imagine 35:25 51:19 54:20 85:12 immigrant 85:20 immigrants 82:24 **immigration** 31:15 82:8 82:21 84:5 imminently 15:8 **impact** 80:5,14 impacts 83:8 impediments 6:16 **important** 19:20 84:9 imposed 29:9 impression 22:24 improper 23:2 **improve** 29:10 improving 60:20 in-house 49:20 incarceration 83:14 include 4:25 43:15 58:16 59:25 70:18 including 21:6 30:25 40:23 75:7 **incorporate** 96:21 99:5 incorporated 95:23 96:7,9 increase 60:15 84:2 85:3 increases 41:7 incur 51:13 independent 10:21

76:21 95:18 indicates 34:4 indication 9:5 individual 32:17 individuals 85:9 **inform** 59:17 informal 36:18 informally 35:4 information 19:2 62:7 87:5 infrastructure 61:5 inherent 64:16 initial 19:5 59:22 61:23 inordinate 22:18 input 17:22 28:14 58:18 58:21 61:11 62:15 65:24 73:6 inquired 31:16 inquiry 15:17 90:11 insect 64:8,12 **inside** 25:2 insisted 92:13 insisting 94:11 insofar 23:16 69:22,23 80:19 87:23 installed 32:1.2.3 instance 19:11 institute 60:10 institutes 60:6 65:25 **institution** 60:2 65:17 instruction 90:15 instructions 38:2 interagency 36:11 interest 62:24 75:14 interface 46:13 58:17 intergovernmental 84:24 interim 6:23 7:7 93:22 internal 24:25 29:2,10 30:12 38:2,11 internally 21:13 42:1 49:22 75:13 international 63:25

70:19 71:9 76:3 interpret 11:14 44:4 interpretation 11:10,11 12:4 interpreted 40:21 interrogatories 97:19

interviewed 6:13 15:22 15:23 16:1,13 interviewing 7:4 invest 25:13 investigate 84:11 investigation 83:5 87:4 investigative 63:3 investigatory 81:25

invite 32:17

invited 32:17 involved 68:22 **IPERA** 33:5 irritates 9:8 **Ishimaru** 21:9,16 issue 5:1 8:16 9:8 13:2 13:15,18 15:20 17:13 17:17 39:12 55:15 64:2 65:25 70:14 75:25 85:8 92:16 issued 29:4 80:20 82:10 issues 6:2 7:17 8:22

9:13 11:8 12:2 15:24 17:22,22 23:7 28:12 28:18 31:22 53:6 59:13 60:1 63:25 64:5 67:23 71:3 73:23 83:9 83:12,13,23 84:4 87:21

Istanbul 48:24 item 3:2 4:22 5:5 6:1 56:22 80:13 92:22 items 31:24 37:11 IV 3:9 89:21

jittery 7:9 **job** 20:11 29:12 43:14 43:15 87:3 **iobs** 17:15,16 32:23 52:25 **JOHN** 2:6,10 John's 8:21 joining 4:14 judgment 12:8 **July** 32:8 61:25 jump 58:14 June 61:23 79:2 88:10 96:10 jurisdiction 68:2 69:21 70:1,14,15,18,20,24 71:2,3 83:10,11,19,25 jurisprudence 11:18

justice 34:8 83:12,23

84:7 87:21 95:21

96:25 97:7,23

Κ keep 41:19 93:9

Kentucky 92:8 **kept** 94:11,13 kick 70:13 Kim 19:4 27:17 38:9 49:11 52:18,23 72:12 KIMBERLY 2:6 kind 18:1 20:9 30:12 39:5 40:20 58:7,24

87:7.18 kinds 87:17 **Kirsanow** 1:12 4:11 6:6 74:12,13 76:11 78:4 79:11,12 80:10,15,18 Kirsanow's 80:14 Kladney 1:13 4:14 27:10,12 29:18 47:8,9 47:12,16,22 48:9 49:4 49:8 86:8,10,10 90:4 90:8,19 92:21 **know** 4:23 6:2,5 7:11,15 8:25 13:2,24 15:9,21 17:13 18:5,7 20:15 22:4 23:10,22 24:5,21 25:3,5 26:13 28:22 29:18 30:4 31:4,6,9 32:22 33:2,19 34:3,5 34:6 35:16 36:7 37:14 38:18 39:4 42:18 45:15,19,23 47:20,24 50:18 51:16,22 55:13 55:24 56:11,25 57:3,5 58:21 59:1,19,21 62:9 62:11 63:5 64:6.12.24 65:5,13,23 67:2 68:8 68:12,16,21 69:3,4,17 70:6,18 71:1,16 72:4 72:16 73:5,12,12 74:8 75:17 78:19 79:7 80:20 84:15 86:25 90:10 91:20.24 92:8 92:18 94:16 96:23 99:4 knowledge 79:24

known 87:15 knows 54:19

L 1:12 laboring 62:12 large 70:25 85:6 late 32:8 Laughter 14:13 35:1 72:13 77:1 launched 83:5 law 8:22 15:9 21:6 58:5 64:23 65:2 81:15 laws 16:21 66:5 82:22 lawsuits 79:19 82:19 lawyer 22:10 55:9 lawyers 96:14 leads 37:20 left 98:18 legal 6:16 7:13 9:1,23 9:24 10:6,15,18,24 13:17 15:24 16:10 22:18 26:5,14 29:25

indicate 42:22

12:7 72:6,7 84:22

indicated 7:12 58:8,13

mention 9:11 33:25 34:1.8 42:16 **Lucy** 25:7 **LUDVIGSON** 2:9 46:15 55:15 86:21 mentioned 53:11 84:17 legitimacy 30:15 mercy 57:23 М lend 11:13 17:5 message 25:5 Lenore 2:5 32:16 Madam 27:9,12 39:9 met 13:14 31:14 59:7 lesson 39:2 45:7 62:20 73:14 methods 24:24 let's 9:19 18:5 19:24 88:13 MICHAEL 1:13 20:22 56:3 57:15 67:7 main 35:22 36:4 MICHELE 2:5 67:9 68:1 94:24 mid 32:8 **major** 31:24 letter 33:5,6,15 35:14 majority 6:22 11:20 mid-month 67:7 mike 19:14 35:14,15 96:20 98:4 14:2,3,18 23:21,23 Minarik 2:4 89:24 90:2 level 8:18,19 40:13 54:22 89:2,5,8,14 42:16,16 45:13 49:15 management 3:4,9 5:23 90:25 91:3,4,9 93:3,5 50:19 77:5 97:8 22:7 57:10 89:21,23 94:7,10,13,18 mind 40:12 42:14 46:23 **liability** 28:12,18 managing 99:8 84:10 light 15:1 80:25 mandate 59:25 66:18 lighting 32:1 66:21 74:11 **minds** 18:12 liked 94:14 March 58:7 78:23 minor 26:5 likelihood 53:16 85:4 Marlene 2:11 51:24 minute 24:9 86:14 limit 41:19 77:5 62:9 minutes 5:3,4 78:14 **limited** 53:17 60:20 **Martin** 1:8,10 2:3,10 missed 88:4 97:18 line 38:1 48:7,21 **missing** 31:12 17:21 41:12 44:21 lines 97:11 47:25 48:1 49:2,6,9 Misuse 86:16 linkages 26:5 49:19 50:3,7,12,24 **model** 19:19 list 55:1,1,2,4 79:3,4,9 Modernization 33:11 51:6 61:9 81:7 93:14.17 Marty 4:9 58:1 little 8:24 11:9.19 16:24 matter 36:17 80:21.22 modified 29:2 21:15 26:6 27:7,15 99:24 moment 13:16 22:10 30:14 36:8 47:15 matters 10:16 99:4 56:21 50:15 53:11 55:7 Matthew 98:2 **Monday** 96:17,18 57:16 63:14 84:9 mean 12:24 15:8 16:3 money 87:7,19 loaning 13:24 17:16 25:25 28:22 **monies** 87:11 local 81:15 82:17 84:23 34:7 37:18 38:25 42:3 monitor 62:25 **locations** 32:19 85:10 44:2 45:23 51:17 **MONTGOMERY** 2:4 **long** 30:19.21 51:17 54:24 73:11 91:23 month 28:15 98:5 92:5.6.11 monthly 90:5 94:8 long-term 30:16 54:14 meaningful 73:17 **months** 30:20 41:9 means 11:4 43:22 44:6 longer 41:22 54:14,15 56:7 look 15:10 18:5 19:21 63:5 monumental 30:2 27:8 31:11 34:4 43:2 meant 20:1 69:14 morning 4:3 6:6 48:20 53:25 55:16 60:25 measurably 17:9 90.2 66:14 74:8 78:21 80:4 mouth 47:15 meet 58:6 61:2 66:25 81:2 82:15 83:20 92:19 move 5:13 6:1,8 7:19 87:22 meeting 1:3 4:4,4,6,10 28:14 31:5,6,14,16 looked 64:2 4:19 5:3 6:3 51:23 47:14 56:7,18,22 **looking** 16:5,11 23:10 57:15 65:15 78:17 52:20 61:24,25 79:2 80:12 82:7 86:7 89:22 55:18 74:6 84:3 85:5 79:15 80:16 92:12,16 looks 32:8 52:4 61:1 92:18 97:6,22 98:6 92:3 99:16 lose 48:10 87:20 99:17,21 **moved** 5:14 lost 24:13 48:12 moving 4:25 32:11,20 meetings 28:25 67:7 lot 6:4 17:21 22:8,16 51:25 92:1 92:13,17 93:10 32:21 59:20 70:3,4 member 20:10 23:23 multi-track 55:13 91:6 93:25 muster 16:18 18:3 **Love** 25:6 members 6:23 24:16 mute 86:11 91:5 lovely 53:12,13 30:25 37:19 54:9,21 Ν low 49:23 58:7 55:4 76:15 low-level 31:9 memo 33:15 57:18,20 N 1:12 lucky 68:6,7 58:15 59:1 named 37:11

narrow 11:14 **national** 59:11,18 60:1 60:5,10 63:24 65:17 65:25 Nations 65:19 76:9 **Native** 79:20 natural 64:22 65:2 69:5 69:6 nature 14:22 84:7 85:9 85:12 near 37:16 necessarily 15:9 17:11 27:3 63:12 90:21 need 7:6 20:3 36:14 42:19 44:20 52:4 53:20,25 54:2,3 55:2 56:16 58:17 60:21 61:2,15,19 62:15 66:20 73:6 74:17 87:2 89:2,12 **needed** 20:11 needs 61:3 81:3 89:12 net 16:14 never 42:14 65:23 93:25 new 31:19.21 57:20 60:13 87:1 **NHRI** 66:10 72:3 76:2 **NHRIs** 66:14 72:6 76:3 **nice** 87:19 NICHOLAS 2:8 Nick 47:20 **nine** 31:5 **Nineteenth** 65:3 70:8 Ninth 1:7 nominate 21:21 nominates 21:11 nominating 21:25 nomination 53:14 nonviolent 83:2 **norm** 71:9 normally 96:14 **Northwest** 1:7 4:8 noted 68:3 notes 97:16 98:12 **notice** 92:17 **notion** 45:25 69:17 73:3 75:3,9 76:14 number 18:8 53:21 62:3 77:17 80:23 82:12,23

0

OAM 48:3 objection 17:3 45:9,12 objectives 60:23 obligations 38:9 51:12 60:7,8 observer 60:5 obtain 58:4 **obviously** 21:2,14 71:21 occur 67:14 71:20 79:1 83:21 88:8 occurring 28:15 82:13 85:14 **OCRE** 29:14 offer 73:16 97:24 offered 98:1 office 5:6 6:15 8:1,3 9:23,24 10:6,15,18,24 11:7 12:9 16:17 17:1 17:23 19:2 22:11 26:13 31:17,19,25 33:24 34:1,7,22 35:5 36:23 37:3 42:4 44:8 46:14 61:12 96:15 98:10 office's 91:21 officer 22:12 **offices** 91:24 official 34:17 49:25 50:9 **OGC** 2:6 55:14 **Oh** 8:14 14:6 34:10 78:10 95:10 okay 5:25 8:14 14:19,19 14:23 19:3,4,16 20:24 25:21 28:1 29:22 34:13,25 46:4,9 47:2 49:6,18 50:13,13 56:17 57:14 63:3 77:20 78:10.13 80:9 80:12 81:9 82:6 86:4 86:13 89:14,18 90:24 91:8 93:3 94:12 95:10 96:18 99:12 old 31:21 **OM** 2:3 once 49:11 ongoing 99:4 open 26:7 62:13 operates 84:18 operational 61:4 operationally 74:11 operations 3:4,9 5:23 57:10 89:21,23 opine 36:12 opinion 15:18 22:5 26:19 34:2,11 opinions 26:12 **OPM** 6:15 10:25 15:25 22:3,4 26:15 34:18 41:21 58:16 opportunity 49:14 73:22 74:9 87:10 **pass** 16:18 **opposed** 17:9 74:25 passed 92:16

option 46:16 **options** 42:17 46:10 order 4:4,20 61:13 Oregon 92:2 orq 60:25 organization 74:21 organizations 76:9 87:7,12 original 19:1 59:15 originally 41:17 originated 80:4,5 **Ostrowsky** 2:5 32:16 ought 14:7 outlined 28:24 58:15 outlining 57:20 outside 21:16,23 25:3 35:20 49:23 79:25 overall 31:18 overrides 46:23 overrules 11:2 **oversee** 75:20 overseeing 83:9 overwhelming 71:25 owns 84:18

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S package 92:1 packages 29:17 93:17 page 3:2 35:22 36:4 paid 50:19 68:10,13 painting's 32:7 Pam 32:18 PAMELA 2:2 **panel** 29:7 panelists 32:18 paper 80:14 81:13,18 82:3,7,15 83:24 84:18 papers 78:25 79:6 88:4 8:88 Pardon 24:11 78:6 **parse** 40:7 part 34:20 42:15 73:24 81:21 87:10 94:8 participate 77:16 particular 37:18,19 69:8,18 75:19 82:21 particularly 17:5 26:23 36:24 42:6 62:14 86:21 partnering 75:10 partnerships 59:15 62:22 63:2 **parts** 93:9 party 66:5 79:18

passes 18:3 patch 30:11,14 pathway 75:18 **PAU** 2:5 pause 22:8 97:20 pay 8:7,18,19 40:9,9,13 41:4,6,7,9 45:13 50:25 pending 6:24 7:6 people 13:7 18:2 31:5,6 35:5 37:14 40:22,23 40:24 43:18 54:22 57:8 58:6 65:14 82:23 83:14 85:20 86:21 87:20 people's 18:12 percent 74:22 76:14 84:20.21 perfect 21:14 perform 12:13,14 Performance 58:1 performing 52:24 81:25 period 6:9 45:2 person 4:16 12:13,13 17:20 20:6,8,14 30:13 31:11 43:3.4 44:4 45:20.22 46:5.5 51:1 54:3,18 55:9 60:21 65:2 98:1 personal 15:18 28:18 42:16 80:20 personally 42:15 personnel 20:2 22:7 persons 98:15 **perspective** 83:10 85:6 persuade 44:10,25 persuasive 26:4 pessimistic 53:18 Pete 76:25 **PETER** 1:12 2:4 **phone** 25:18 27:11,11 47:14 **piece** 72:18 **pitch** 79:6 place 4:6 13:20 31:21 33:9 37:15 52:10 56:18 61:13 70:21 97:4 **placed** 41:17 plan 33:10 52:10 56:18 57:21 59:5,15,18 61:12,13,19,21,23 62:10 63:11 73:17,25 74:6,16 planned 92:16 planning 3:6,7 57:11,13

57:15,16 58:6 62:10

plans 56:4 57:22

play 46:11 55:17 85:9 **played** 66:17 **please** 21:10 67:9 **Plus** 66:14 **PM** 22:6 point 7:9 14:15 15:21 21:18 24:21 33:14 37:9 40:14 42:21 54:2 54:25 63:3,4 87:3 points 26:4 27:4 44:11 63:22 72:17 policies 56:5 **policy** 47:17 56:4 59:18 76:10 85:6 87:5 policy-makers 59:14 polity 69:8 pool 49:20,23 poor 30:9 population 84:20 pose 62:23 **position** 7:25 11:3 18:3 25:24 42:1 49:9 87:6 87:22 possible 12:15 13:5 18:11 19:6 35:6 99:11 possibly 12:3 37:6 44:10 posted 42:1 postulated 19:1 potential 6:10 7:18 28:16 32:18 50:20 84:11 86:16 power 14:5,12,17 24:22 24:25 55:21 **powers** 36:25 37:1.6 practice 38:17 87:18 practices 29:10 precedence 9:23 precedent 9:24 precise 55:1 precisely 54:25 preclude 11:15 27:3 41:8 88:20 preliminary 8:21 prepared 49:3 88:11 prerogatives 38:22 **Pres** 86:19 87:8,15 presence 93:12 present 1:9 2:1,7 4:10 4:15,17 16:17 89:15 presented 19:3 presents 75:13 **President** 11:1 21:11 21:18,21 24:5,5,13 President's 21:25 24:22 presidential 16:7 26:23 presiding 1:8 press 7:3

presume 10:2,12 72:20 raised 5:4 15:25 16:9 regular 7:3 40:18 51:24 pretty 20:1 23:8 29:11 providing 65:19 34:3 83:24 84:4 regularly 58:16 67:1 **public** 59:16 91:4,11 34:6 **raises** 26:1 regulation 10:12 22:24 **previous** 8:19 35:9 **published** 26:12 29:7 raising 88:21 91:6 regulations 9:14 93:19 67:12 79:15 80:16 29:21 **RAMEY** 2:5 reinvigorating 61:7 81:20 93:8 **purpose** 38:7 50:16,18 ranking 78:25 **relate** 71:18 **previously** 9:15 98:9 80:3 rankings 78:20 release 91:4 princely 68:13 purposes 38:15 50:17 Rape 82:23 released 91:11 **Ratcliffe** 2:6 61:9 relevance 59:10 60:16 principle 12:24 57:22 rationale 19:1 72:19 **prior** 59:18 85:18 pursuant 45:1 priorities 58:23,24 59:3 relevant 80:19 81:7 pursue 12:7 35:3 41:10 re-engaging 61:6 82:9 83:13 96:6 59:13 61:17 44:20,22 46:11 66:22 **reach** 6:21 7:1 18:9 reached 6:14 relieved 94:22 prioritizing 22:16 priority 56:12,13 58:18 pursued 6:9 41:12 react 67:12 relocation 31:20 read 47:4 90:15 pursuing 9:6 17:11 remaining 84:20 64:13 prison 82:22 85:13 reading 19:6 93:15 remains 38:16 42:17 45:13 **private** 82:12 84:15 put 5:2 15:1 20:10 32:4 readjust 61:2 remedial 80:7 remedied 80:2 **probably** 11:4 23:20 52:19,23 58:10 61:11 reads 91:9,18 40:22 82:24 62:14 73:17,21 74:15 reaffirming 10:9 remember 98:23 problem 44:5 91:5 92:23 Reagan 10:11 remuneration 45:5 problematic 30:5 putting 29:16,24 32:5 real 9:11 repeat 77:24 91:3 problems 29:23 30:1 realize 18:10 report 3:8,11,11 5:6,8 **procedures** 47:21,23 Q really 10:16 13:19 22:13 29:5,14 37:21 48:4 qualified 37:20 19:10,17 24:13 27:6 56:25 75:16 78:15,18 proceed 7:10 68:23 question 13:14 22:22 28:22 50:20 52:25 78:20 82:10 83:10 process 3:7,8 34:21 59:20 61:15 70:14 88:12,17,24 89:24 22:23 23:15 25:13,18 41:12 48:1 57:13.17 27:16,22 28:21 29:25 72:18 84:25 85:13.19 90:1,3,5 92:7,9,24 65:18 66:23 68:20 30:1,22 33:24 34:1 reappointments 90:18 94:8,16 95:2,3,14,17 78:16,19 reason 33:6 35:17 37:10 38:23 40:11 98:19 99:3,10 processes 30:16 79:21 42:6 45:4 46:19.23 36:12 73:25 reported 91:13 99:3 80:1 88:18 47:8,19 51:8,10 57:6 reasonable 15:8 20:12 **reporter** 4:17,18 procuring 31:19 62:22 63:1 67:15,20 41:10 44:4 54:11 reports 29:20 91:10,14 production 87:4 83:17,22 86:1 90:4 reasonably 67:20 92:7 **profit** 82:12,16 85:7,8 97:7,21 98:14,16,17 reasons 18:9 75:1 repository 62:7 **program** 3:6 57:11,15 questionable 38:17 **recall** 75:8 representing 76:5 83:20 questioning 97:11 receive 41:4 reputation 72:19 received 8:7 40:14 request 5:5 58:10 67:14 **programs** 3:10 79:22 questions 5:4 8:1 14:24 89:24,25 15:2 20:23 44:19,23 receiving 45:14 82:17 96:25 progressing 31:17 80:9 81:9 86:5 87:25 recognize 56:22 requested 40:9 97:22 project 31:18 52:1,7 88:9 89:19 94:18 recollection 25:6 requesting 35:10 91:19 97:25 96:19,23 97:9 98:8 recommendations 60:3 require 66:17 72:7 **projects** 3:6 31:22 99:13 record 14:11 77:25 required 33:3 32:12 33:20 57:12 quick 11:10 55:25 recorded 97:17 requirement 14:8 93:10 75:24 96:22 recovering 56:23 requirements 57:20,21 promising 15:18 recovery 87:11 requires 56:9 quickly 9:11 prompted 13:19 quite 12:15 25:4,12 redelegate 55:22 requiring 29:19 **proper** 11:14 70:15 74:8 81:25 redesignate 8:17 research 8:21 9:1 13:3 properly 22:19 29:13 92:24 98:7 reference 85:11 14:1 16:16 22:16,21 30:9 quorum 4:14 referred 28:21 35:4 37:5,22 92:6 regard 8:20 38:5 74:10 property 70:11,12 resolve 26:6 36:5,8 quoting 70:9 80:2 53:5 proposal 74:2 87:1 R proposals 91:18,20 **regarding** 7:13 46:18 resources 60:20 71:24 **propose** 82:15 R 1:8,10 66:23 respect 75:9 race 69:24 regardless 64:15 85:17 proposed 5:13 8:25 respective 78:3,11 prospect 74:20 **racial** 71:6 regional 3:10 89:23,25 respects 25:25 Protecting 86:8 racism 75:8 91:10,21,24 92:15,24 responded 90:19 92:20 provide 18:2 63:9 Register 92:17 response 5:11,20,22 raise 7:25 8:8.16 40:9 provided 55:8 65:22 regs 19:25 25:20 48:11 67:20 40:10 59:16 72:17

77:19 80:11 81:11.15 82:5 86:6,12 88:2,5 90:3 94:20 99:15 responsibilities 39:5 responsibility 31:12 responsible 66:1 85:16 90:17 91:19 rest 9:3 10:19,25 26:25 restructure 60:22 68:17 68:19 restructuring 68:16 result 60:4 results 58:1 78:25 retaining 41:8 return 39:19 reverse 36:14 review 5:2 6:25 61:23 65:19 75:15 revision 61:24 revisions 58:22 63:11 revisit 18:6 revoked 41:21 RICHARD 2:10 **rid** 31:20 ridiculous 21:4 right 12:5,8 13:1,16,21 15:10,19 18:4,20 21:22 23:17 26:18 27:2 30:5 32:24 35:24 47:1 50:1,7 63:25 64:7,7,10 69:13,17 70:10,22 71:13 80:15 82:9 83:17 89:17 rights 1:1 3:11 4:5,7 5:8 10:5 29:4 59:12,13,17 59:18,25 60:1,6,7,8 60:10 62:25 63:5,24 64:2,4,5,9,12,14,14 64:16,17,20 65:4,11 65:17,20,24,25 66:4,5 66:16 67:15,18,21 69:2,2,3,3,5,5,6,7,7,9 69:10,11,21 70:3,4,7 70:8,9,19 74:21 75:5 75:7 76:1,4,6 79:7,9 82:7,13,20 83:6,21 84:2,10,11 85:1,4,14 85:22 86:17,22 87:16 87:23 92:7,8 95:14 97:24 rises 97:8 road 27:7 ROBERTA 1:11 role 20:3 21:25 42:25 62:12 63:3 66:17 **rolls** 85:15 **Room** 1:7 rooms 32:6,7

rounds 32:21 route 39:3 50:9 routes 41:24 row 36:20 RPCU 2:4 92:23 rule 43:24 44:1 47:7 rules 29:1,2,3,8 43:13 45:22,24 47:10 50:22 run 7:7 50:11 54:17 55:8 82:11,16 84:22 running 43:15 46:6

S **SAC** 29:16,17,19,20 90:9,16,17 91:10,17 91:23 92:1 93:14,17 **SACs** 37:20 54:2 56:8 61:7 90:13 91:21 92:11 93:20 **SACs'** 92:13 **salary** 40:19 41:18 43:14 Sallo 2:11 62:9 **San** 87:15 **SAs** 55:14 satisfy 14:8 saw 92:14 saying 13:11 22:24 28:20 33:6 49:22 savs 9:15 23:11 33:16 36:4 43:2 45:24 scares 32:25 SCHAMEL 2:10 schedule 78:22 scheduled 79:8 scheduling 6:7 **school** 22:14 scrapped 81:4 second 5:14,15 40:15 40:15 63:4 90:5,25 92:22 99:17,18 section 97:24 98:23,23 sector 8:15 **secure** 84:19 see 6:20 8:8 11:13 13:3 13:4 16:15 25:2 41:2 44:21 48:22 52:3 54:25 55:19 78:10 81:2,21 94:24 96:5 seek 33:8 38:5,7 58:4 59:24 seekers 82:24 seeking 68:1 71:24 seen 33:22 79:17 93:25 select 49:10 79:1 88:11 selected 88:19 selecting 49:25 50:8

88:23

selection 3:8 78:16,18 send 97:1 **senior** 2:6 5:6 8:1 11:7 17:8 18:21 36:22 39:19 40:3 42:25 43:23 44:7 45:16 46:5 50:23 54:9,18,21 95:2 sense 9:3 21:14 30:2 60:11 81:4 97:17 sent 90:5 92:25 95:15 95:17,20,25 98:4 sentence 28:20 sentences 41:5 **September** 61:20 62:2 serious 26:1,2 31:11 serve 26:10 76:25,25 service 43:13 services 31:20 79:11 84:2 **SES** 41:20 set 11:9 78:22 88:17 sets 37:19 Settlement 86:16 settlements 87:8,16 seven 17:15.16 52:25 sexual 81:15 **share** 52:14.18 67:12 67:24 **shared** 52:10 90:10 shocked 25:4 **short** 30:19 45:2 **show** 29:10 shuffling 81:23 shuttle 6:4 **sick** 22:3 sign 6:17 7:5 13:23 14:3 43:9 **sign-on** 36:18 signaling 26:23 signatories 66:7 **signatory** 71:11 98:3 signature 27:8 **signed** 71:17 significant 75:13 80:21 80:23 87:21 significantly 98:20,22 99:3 **signing** 10:10,11 similar 47:7 59:14 **simply** 12:11 simultaneously 55:19 sit 53:19 situation 20:4 27:19 28:21,22 30:10 **six** 17:15,16 84:19 skeletal 52:24 skeptical 67:25 68:15 75:3

skepticism 76:13 **skill** 37:19 **skills** 37:18 skipping 75:17 slate 54:21 55:6 slippage 67:13 **small** 58:3 **Snyder** 98:9,17 so-called 87:12 Solicitor 10:8 solution 30:17 solved 29:23 30:1 somebody 25:15 27:20 37:15 54:1,2,3,5 somebody's 87:3 someone's 33:4 Someplace 48:25 somewhat 12:18 53:17 **SOMIN** 2:11 **sorry** 12:21 18:16,23 24:12 43:19 45:10 47:20 52:16 90:16 91:2 **sort** 28:8 36:7 70:19 sorts 24:19 85:14 **sought** 33:12 40:15 **sounds** 15:8,18 42:17 87:18 South 92:1 Southern 91:21 **space** 31:17,18 **speak** 7:16 19:13 79:5 speaking 22:14 speaks 20:5 81:18 **special** 17:4 22:4 68:22 77:4,12,14 78:3,8 specific 89:3 specifically 10:4 28:14 **speed** 99:9 spend 9:8 27:7 spirit 20:7 **split** 37:12 **spoke** 80:16 97:15 squarely 83:25 staff 2:1 6:8,19,23,24 7:8,20,24 12:14 13:20 17:10,12,14 18:6,8 19:22,23 20:1,10 21:3 21:6,10,14,20 23:8,9 23:13,13 24:1,3,4,16 24:23,25 25:3 28:13 28:25 29:12,19 30:7 30:24,24 31:14 32:13 32:16,22 33:2,9,19 35:10 37:3,4,13,13,16 37:18,18 38:8,12,15 39:13 41:22 43:3,4,6 43:8,9,11 44:11 45:1

45:22 46:6.7 47:7.11 76:22 77:25 64:11 66:9 72:22 74:9 73:7,8,20 82:1 47:11 49:22 50:6 subject 33:10 58:13 74:17 81:19 85:6 think 9:12,16 10:13,17 51:19,23 52:9,22,24 **submit** 33:25 56:24 86:16 97:6 11:8,23 12:1,2,6,15 53:2,13,21 54:9,18,21 talked 6:5 16:25 18:8 61:13,19 62:2 15:7,11,12,17,18 16:1 56:1 60:17,25 67:23 submitted 57:20 22:4 28:9 32:21 33:23 16:15 17:10 19:10 71:24 72:9 90:16,20 submitting 22:12 90:17 60:21 61:6 86:25 20:12,25 21:16 22:6 91:12,15,18 92:3,12 94:24 22:17,23 23:7,14,17 subsequently 10:7 92:15,22 93:6,8,11,18 subset 53:22 talking 30:18,19 34:21 23:20,25 24:23 26:1,3 94:3 97:6,9,24 substantive 73:23 39:22,24 47:25 65:2 26:6,16,19 27:1,15,24 sufficiently 74:2 69:23 71:8,9 74:7 staffer 17:8 28:2 30:15,16 34:17 **staffing** 3:5 5:1,24 6:2 suggest 12:11 17:19 34:21 36:14,19 37:5 87:23 94:25 24:1 58:22 59:24 **talks** 55:4 37:15,17 38:18,24 **stage** 37:7 61:16,22 64:13 39:4,21 41:11,25 target 31:23 stakeholders 80:24 suggestion 17:7 40:21 task 37:18,23 54:6 42:22 43:1 44:3,6 45:21 46:4,9,11,12,25 start 45:6 tasks 38:1 53:20,22 66:23 started 80:21 suggestions 15:3 54:8,18,22 47:4 48:7 50:15 51:9 starting 40:18 **suite** 32:6 team 17:25 51:11 52:18,23 53:4 **starts** 30:15 45:17 sum 68:13 technically 29:8 55:13 58:15,18,23 59:20 60:15,21 68:20 62:22 summarize 5:9 technology 60:19 61:4 **state** 65:21 66:9 81:14 summary 68:4 **teeny** 9:9 68:23 70:17 71:2,2,5 82:17 84:15,23 85:17 supervised 31:1 telephone 1:13,13 4:14 72:1,3 73:3,23 74:16 55:6 67:7 74:23,24 75:6,10,13 **statement** 10:10,11 supervisor 30:24 **States** 56:14 60:11 tell 84:16 94:1 75:19,21 76:11,21 **supply** 35:13 65:18,20 66:3,4,8 support 46:15 72:21 tells 20:6 41:18 77:16 78:4 80:18 81:6 71:17 75:4 76:6 temporary 11:2,5 38:8 81:18,20,22 83:7,12 supported 37:23 statute 11:21 19:25 **suppose** 40:10 77:6 ten 26:14 30:24 98:8 83:17 84:13 85:7 87:1 23:10,11 56:9 67:22 84:6 Tennessee 25:7 87:6.20.22 88:17 89:2 supposed 47:4 56:6 **statutory** 3:8 22:12 tentatively 67:25 90:21 94:24 96:2,19 29:5 37:21 56:24 62:24 84:5 **TERESA** 2:2 97:5 98:25 78:15,18,20 88:12,21 **Supreme** 11:17,22 term 16:8 30:19,19 70:3 thinking 21:8 third 5:5 90:6 93:13 88:24 95:16 24:18.18 terms 17:22 31:5 64:15 stay 94:23 **sure** 8:4 15:6 19:9 75:16 79:19 83:14 Thirty 7:7 step 30:10 96:8 26:18 27:6,17 28:10 thank 4:19 7:22 49:8 thorough 9:1 79:25 **stepped** 6:18 8:10 39:7,15 47:17,18 51:6 56:19 68:9 77:20 thought 12:16 19:5 **steps** 12:18 21:9,12,20 48:24 51:5 54:5,17,18 79:12 82:2 85:23 91:7 22:7 35:12 52:11 58:8 **stone** 32:5 55:9,11,23 56:3,10,15 93:23 96:12,13 99:12 59:6,7,9,19 61:9 88:7 **stop** 31:2 91:1 57:2,14 63:17 68:18 99:22 90:13 91:1 93:24 94:4 stopped 16:10 90:21 73:19 75:15 80:7 **thanks** 51:5 68:4,14 thoughts 14:25 62:14 stops 85:19 84:17 92:25 94:3 97:8 thereunder 83:21 thousands 11:4 storage 31:22 99:7,10 **Thernstrom** 1:10 4:11 **three** 17:15,16 49:21 12:22 13:10 24:9,12 straightened 45:5 surmount 15:24 52:25 54:9,14,15 90:12 93:9 **strategic** 3:7 33:10 surmountable 9:13 27:10,14,24 28:19 57:13,16,22 58:6 suspect 14:1 54:7 29:22 30:18 31:3 37:9 Three-part 90:3 59:15 60:23 61:12.17 tile 32:2 sympathetic 27:16 39:11,16,25 40:5 61:19,21 62:10,10 45:25 43:10 45:3 48:13,22 **TIM** 2:9 63:1,11 73:24 74:6,16 48:25 51:7,15 52:13 time 6:9 9:9 22:9 25:14 **system** 84:14 streamlined 93:11 systematic 87:4 54:24 62:21 64:21 27:8 28:17 30:13 31:1 **Street** 1:7 4:8 67:9 68:10 72:11 73:2 31:3,23 40:4 45:2 Т strong 61:4 74:19 76:18 77:11,24 46:13 47:4 51:18 78:2 88:14,25 99:18 54:17 55:12 74:15 table 18:4 strongly 14:1 thing 9:11 13:19 17:7 78:14 81:25 99:5,22 structurally 74:10 take 18:5 20:3,10 31:10 structured 60:24 37:10 43:21 52:17 30:12 36:21 54:19 time-consuming 12:19 timeline 95:16 studies 81:1 54:17 55:16 56:21 58:23 68:3 87:20 study 83:18 85:20 62:12 81:2 97:4 90:25 times 26:14 34:3 **Tina** 17:21 41:12,18,25 86:21 taken 74:15 97:16 things 21:6 28:17,25 **stuff** 35:18 67:5 69:4 98:24 29:19 31:10 33:2,13 48:1,2 subcommittee 68:21 talk 7:24 8:15 11:6 33:17 34:5 37:14 53:2 TINALOUISE 2:3 72:16 73:1,21 74:6 31:15 57:8,16 61:17 53:8 58:16 59:6,9 **tiny** 9:7

95:24 97:23 Titanic 81:24 27:14,24 28:9,19 U title 23:13 25:25 44:24 29:22 30:18 31:3 37:9 wanted 14:11 20:9 23:6 **U.N** 60:5 65:16 66:10 today 61:16,18 64:12 39:9,11,16,22,25 40:5 25:23 50:9 53:10 70:3 71:18 75:6 76:1 58:20 64:25 67:11 78:24 43:10 45:3,7 48:13,22 76:14 **TODD** 1:11 48:25 51:7,15 52:13 81:19,21 93:7,9 U.S 1:1 4:5.7 **told** 90:13,25 91:17 54:24 62:20,21 63:19 wanting 27:5 **U.S.'s** 60:1 **Tolhurst** 2:6 6:18 19:4 64:21 67:9 68:10 wants 25:18 68:21 ultimate 18:11 31:7.11 19:5,10,15,17 20:19 72:11 73:2 74:19 77:15 ultimately 40:22 63:23 76:18 77:11,24 88:13 warranted 41:7 20:25 25:24 27:17,23 66:17 72:7 73:7,23 28:2,7,20,24 30:4,20 88:14,25 90:6 93:1 Washington 1:7 4:8 85:15 88:16,18 31:4 32:24 34:4,24 99:18 92:2 **unanimous** 4:24 5:10 35:8,15,21,25 36:4 victims 86:9 87:9 wasn't 9:2 92:24 97:3 unclear 11:19 47:15 37:11,17 40:11,17 view 27:19 36:17 way 6:8 9:20 11:14 12:3 uncomfortable 26:24 41:11,16 42:5 43:12 views 18:25 36:23 23:1 24:6 25:4,11 undelegate 55:21 violated 85:22 43:18,20 44:1,14,18 26:17 27:1,18 33:7 underlying 13:15 45:20 46:1,8,21 48:2 violation 9:18 35:6,13 36:17 38:25 understand 7:4 39:12 50:11 56:22 57:19 violations 82:13,20,22 41:1 46:24 50:20 51:4 42:8,13 45:16 59:23 61:1,1,2 68:4,23 58:15 61:8 78:19,23 83:6,21 84:10,12 85:1 67:19 72:17 76:12 69:10,12,16 72:22 79:4 95:5,8,11,15 85:4,14 90:12 95:8,13 96:4,17 97:22 98:7,21 violence 81:16 86:8 74:9 84:5 93:24 94:6 understanding 17:2 99:2,8,14 violent 83:2 ways 7:18 11:22 22:23 27:15 40:17 41:16 **Virtual** 14:21 35:4 36:18 62:3 top 32:24 91:14 topic 80:19 83:7,18 virtually 6:5 we'll 16:15 21:11 46:11 understands 54:5 86:19,20,25 89:3 vis-a-vis 73:17 55:16 56:18 73:12 understood 47:19 80:12 86:13 93:20 **topics** 79:1 81:7,8 **void** 9:17 undertake 15:16 18:14 88:11.21 volunteer 62:6 77:9.10 we're 9:20.25 10:17 38:24 **TORRENCE** 2:4 77:11 15:16 21:24,24 32:3 unfortunate 9:16 touch 17:20 volunteered 77:8,14 33:10 35:19 36:1,16 unfortunately 7:1 11:19 track 11:8 12:2 87:21 volunteers 77:18 39:2 46:6 51:24 52:4 22:20 94:5 vote 21:12 22:1 78:25 55:6 56:6 58:13,25 unique 20:4 traditional 67:21 79:8 88:19 89:2.5.8 60:23 61:1 62:24 **Unit** 3:11 90:1 trafficking 64:1 71:4 votes 22:15 89:12,13 64:11 68:19 69:16 **United** 56:14 60:11 trains 54:17 **voting** 3:11 5:7 29:4 84:3 92:5 65:18,19,20 66:3,4,7 treaties 66:4,6 71:18 88:18 92:7,8 95:14 we've 10:2 32:12 56:7,8 71:17 75:4 76:5,8 **treaty** 71:9 97:24 56:18 71:2 universal 70:7 78:5 tricky 16:24 36:24 **VRA** 56:24 88:17 95:3 website 35:22 University 87:15 **trip** 51:19 60:4 Wednesday 40:18 95:7 unofficially 6:15 **trips** 51:18 95:9 unusual 24:20 true 30:11 31:4,7 35:8 wait 24:9 57:7,9 weeds 64:22 unworkable 73:25 try 16:4,14 17:3 46:14 waiting 32:4 week 29:5 45:17 55:5 **update** 3:6,12 5:7 57:11 53:5 55:15 73:11 waiver 33:8,12 58:3 90:5,9 92:10,19 95:14 weeks 6:3 28:15 57:19 trying 6:7 29:9 75:18 **wall** 6:20 **upper** 51:1 walls 31:25 99:4 92:20 95:23 urgent 27:20 28:2 turned 35:8 Walter 10:6 weigh 42:7 use 20:14 60:19 turning 68:20 want 4:24 8:8,16 9:2 weight 21:22 **useful** 94:5 turns 9:7 16:12 18:11 welcome 77:16 85:24 12:2 15:4 16:6 17:5 usually 34:4 95:23 52:4 17:20 19:20 20:23 94:23 tweaked 81:3 22:25 23:1 25:11,13 well-qualified 32:13 Twentieth 65:4 67:22 went 6:20 22:2 41:20 26:6,15,25 29:15 **vague** 25:6 58:2.5 69:11 30:23 35:2 36:21 **valid** 87:13 97:3 whatsoever 74:3 81:4 Twenty-First 65:10 38:19 39:4,19 40:3 VANESSA 2:7 two 12:1 15:22,23 16:13 42:7 47:20 49:1,21 wheel 80:25 various 18:8 37:10 60:6 21:9,12,20 26:5 29:5 whichever 10:22 51:1 55:8 56:21 57:8 65:17 62:21 91:20 95:22 White 6:24 7:3 24:2,4 57:15 59:3 60:18 version 61:21 62:1 53:13 54:15 96:20 98:16,23 61:20 62:13 63:12,15 versus 28:3 69:6 85:8 widespread 82:19 two-day 96:3 66:22,25 68:17 69:1 Vice 1:10 4:10 12:21,22 type 85:13,21 70:17 71:20 73:16 WILLIAMSON 2:7 13:10 23:5 24:9,12 types 80:1 85:2 76:16,25 82:3 86:15 willing 18:1 27:7 42:15 25:17,18 27:9,10,13

44:12,14,23 62:7,11 76:22 wish 79:5 withdrew 7:2 witness 97:1 wonderful 87:20 wondering 84:8 word 23:17 words 23:11 work 9:5 15:3 22:18 24:19 26:13 34:22 35:6 38:22 55:2,14 58:24 59:21 60:17 61:7 68:5,22 71:19 77:5 91:25 95:12 working 31:6 32:13,16 33:21 45:6 52:2 53:4 95:11 world 35:25 66:15 worrisome 53:1 worse 30:10 76:18 worth 9:6 37:5 worthy 17:11 75:10,21 83:18 wouldn't 23:21 42:7 43:7 write 40:23,24 writings 60:2 written 51:3	13 84:19 14 30:20 18 1:5 4:6 18B 43:2 46:19 18C 43:1 46:23 18th 4:23 19th 78:23 2 20 45:6 2010 58:2 2011 58:2 2012 1:5 4:6 2013 3:8 78:15,18 88:22 88:24 23 93:20 3 31st 57:1 95:19 96:9 37 93:15 38 93:16 4 4 3:3 400,000 82:24 5 5 3:4 74:22 540 1:7
wrong 26:19	57 3:6
wrote 90:8,11	6
X	6 3:5 61:25
Υ Υ	61 3:7 624 1:7 4:8
Yaki 1:13 4:13 28:4,5,7	
28:11 30:21 51:9,11 52:16 81:16,17 82:4	78 3:8
90:23 91:8	
Yaki's 51:8 52:14 81:13 year 33:11 46:20 82:25	8
	80 76:14
88:22,23 93:19	87 84:20
years 11:5 79:17	89 3:9
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
years 11:5 79:17	89 3:9
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5 90 3:10
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20 	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5 90 3:10 95 3:11
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20 	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5 90 3:10 95 3:11
years 11:5 79:17 yesterday 21:8 31:14 32:22 52:10 57:4 82:10 95:20 Z Zionism 75:8	89 3:9 8th 79:2 88:10 95:17 9 9:35 1:8 4:2,5 90 3:10 95 3:11

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Commission Meeting

Before: US CCR

Date: 05-18-12

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &