THE OTHER SIDE OF THE TRACKS

A Handbook on Nondiscrimination in Municipal Services



United States Commission on Civil Rights Clearinghouse Publication 49 September 1974

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

The United States Commission on Civil Rights is a temporary, independent, bipartisan agency established by the Congress in 1957 to:

- Investigate complaints alleging denial of the right to vote by reason of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices;
- Study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, or in the administration of justice;
- Appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to the denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, or in the administration of justice;
- Serve as a national clearinghouse for information concerning denials of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin; and
- Submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and Congress.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION

Arthur S. Flemming, *Chairman* Stephen Horn, *Vice Chairman* Frankie M. Freeman Robert S. Rankin Manuel Ruiz, Jr. John A. Buggs, *Staff Director*

THE OTHER SIDE OF THE TRACKS

A Handbook on Nondiscrimination in Municipal Services

United States Commission on Civil Rights Clearinghouse Publication 49 September 1974

١

ŧ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Municipal Services	3
How to Complain	5
Where to Complain	6
Where to Seek Legal Help1	4

INTRODUCTION

At an earlier period in American life, "the other side of the tracks" meant that part of town beyond the railroad, usually occupied by blacks and others who daily confronted the oppression of discrimination. It was an area ignored by city officials and the townspeople they represented.

Today "the other side of the tracks" is often a ghetto, its residents denied the municipal services to which they are entitled, its boundaries marked not by railroad tracks but by freeways over which suburbanites drive to and from their city jobs.

Recently, however, Federal courts have said that residents of "the other side of the tracks" should get the same municipal services as anyone living in the richer parts of town.

This booklet tells community residents and community leaders what kind of discrimination is unlawful when municipal services are provided. It explains how to file a complaint, where to seek help in complaining, and to whom the complaint should be sent. It is offered in the belief that citizens who know their rights and know how to get them can help fight discrimination and get municipal services which are theirs by right.

MUNICIPAL SERVICES

Municipal services are services provided by localities—cities, towns, villages, counties, or special districts—to meet the needs and wants of their residents. These services aim to promote the health, welfare, comfort, and pleasure of the people who live within a particular city, town, county, or village. Municipal services include such things as:

-street paving.

-street lights.

-sanitary sewers.

-drainage sewers.

-water mains.

-fire hydrants.

-garbage and trash collection.

-parks and recreational facilities.

-police patrols.

-fire protection.

-libraries and bookmobiles.

-transportation (bus routes and bus stops).

-hospitals, ambulances, and other medical care facilities.

-legal aid.

Municipalities are generally not constitutionally required to provide these services to their residents. Once services are provided, however, the services cannot be provided to some citizens and not to others. For example, if a municipality paves streets in white neighborhoods, it must do the same in black neighborhoods.

In other words, counties, cities, towns, villages, and special districts cannot discriminate against blacks, Chicanos, Puerto Ricans, Indians, or any other racial or ethnic group in the provision of municipal services. This is because the 14th amendment to the United States Constitution, as well as the new revenue sharing law, forbids such discrimination. Many State constitutions and laws also forbid it. The revenue sharing law also prohibits sex discrimination. The law in this area¹ may be summarized in three general statements:

1. Great gaps or differences in the provision of municipal services on the basis of race² establish a presumption (strong suspicion) of racial discrimination, at least where there is a long history of past discrimination. Once such a history is shown, recent change of policy by the locality is not a defense.³

2. The fact that these gaps or differences may not have been intentional doesn't matter; actual intent or discriminatory motive need not be proved directly.

3. A locality found to have discriminated against its residents on the basis of race in providing municipal services must cure the gaps or differences.

In several States, blacks, Indians, and Puerto Ricans have sued their cities, counties, towns, and villages to stop discrimination in the provision of municipal services. A lawsuit filed by an Indian resident of Winner, South Dakota, prompted that city to improve some of its municipal services in "Indian town," where almost three-fourths of the residents were Indian.⁴

In the best known court suit in this area of the law, black residents of Shaw, Mississippi, got improvements in street paving, sanitary sewers, street lights, drainage sewers, water mains, fire hydrants, and traffic control signs.⁵ Shaw was ordered by the court to develop a plan to provide equal services to its black and white neighborhoods,⁶ at a cost to the city of several million dollars.

HOW TO COMPLAIN

Any individual, group of individuals, or community which believes it has been discriminated against in the provision of municipal services because of race, ethnicity, or national origin should file a complaint to remedy the discrimination and equalize the municipal services. Complaints should be made in writing to the appropriate local, State, or Federal authority. (These names and addresses are listed in the next section of this booklet.)

The complainant does not have to prove the discrimination, but may complain if he or she merely believes that discrimination exists.

The complaint is a formal notification of alleged discrimination. It should include as much of the following as possible:

name, address, and phone number of the complainant;

name and address of the county, city, town, or village against which the complaint is made;

name of the authority to whom the complaint is made;

a request that the complaint be considered a formal complaint of discrimination;

an explanation of the type of discrimination (race, ethnicity, national origin) and the municipal services which are being denied (street paving, sanitary sewers, etc.);

the length of time during which the municipality has practiced discrimination;

a request that an investigation be made;

a request that the offending municipality be required to provide its services equally, in quantity and quality, to all its residents;

a request that if the authority to whom the complaint is made cannot provide relief, the complaint be forwarded to an authority which can do so; and

the signature of the complainant and the date.

The complainant should keep a dated copy of the complaint.

Local Government

Municipal services are usually locally controlled and locally funded. The first complaint, therefore, can be filed with:

- -director of the service involved (e.g., the fire chief, the director of the sewage treatment plant, the public works director, etc.).
- —the county, city, town, or village manager.
- —the mayor.
- -the county, city, town, or village council or commission.
- -some other representative of local government.

State Government

If a complaint doesn't get satisfactory results at the local level and municipal services remain unequal, it may then be filed on the State government level. Municipal services may be partially funded by the State government and may be under the jurisdiction of a State agency or department which can influence local policy. The appropriate State organizations are listed alphabetically by State:

Alabama

Governor State Capitol Montgomery, Ala. 36104 (205) 269–7642

Alaska

Alaska State Commission for Human Rights 2457 Arctic Blvd., Suite 3 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 274–4692

Arizona

Governor 1700 West Washington Phoenix, Ariz. 85007 (602) 271-4331

Arkansas

Governor 250 State Capitol Building Little Rock, Ark. 72201 (501) 371–2133

California

Governor State Capitol Sacramento, Calif. 95814 (916) 445–4711

Colorado

Director Colorado Civil Rights Commission 312 State Services Bldg. 1525 Sherman St. Denver, Colo. 80203 (303) 892-2621

Connecticut Executive Director

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities 90 Washington St. Hartford, Conn. 06106 (203) 566-3351

Delaware

Governor 1228 North Scott St. Wilmington, Del. 19901 (302) 571-3210

District of Columbia Director Executive Office Office of Human Rights Room 22, District Bldg. 14th and E Sts., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 629-4723

Florida

Director Florida Commission on Human Relations 2571 Executive Center Circle East Tallahassee, Fla. 32301 (904) 488–8466

Georgia

Coordinator Governor's Council on Human Relations Room 249, State Capitol Atlanta, Ga. 30334 (404) 656–1736

Hawaii

Governor State Capitol Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808) 548–2211

ldaho

Director Idaho Commission on Human Rights 506 North Fifth St. Boise, Idaho 83702 (208) 384–2873

Illinois Executive Director Illinois Commission on Human Relations 160 North LaSalle St. Chicago, Ill. 60601 (312) 793–2893

Indiana Director Indiana Civil Rights Commission 319 State Office Bldg. Indianapolis, Ind. 46204 (317) 633–5741 or 633–4855

lowa

Director Citizens Aide Office 515 East 12th St. Des Moines, Iowa 50319 (515) 281-3592

Kansas

Executive Director Kansas Commission on Civil Rights 115W State Office Bldg. Topeka, Kans. 66612 (913) 296–3206

Kentucky

Executive Director Kentucky Commission on Human Rights 701 West Walnut St. Louisville, Ky. 40203 (502) 585-3363

Louisiana Executive Director Louisiana Commission on Human Relations, Rights, and Responsibilities State Office Bldg. 150 Riverside Mall, Suite 402 Baton Rouge, La. 70801 (504) 389-6136

Maine

Executive Secretary Maine Human Rights Commission State House Augusta, Maine 04330 (207) 289–2326

Maryland

Executive Director Maryland Commission on Human Relations 701 St. Paul St., 5th Floor Baltimore, Md. 21202 (301) 383-3686

Massachusetts

Governor State House Boston, Mass. 02133 (617) 727–3600

Michigan

Case Supervisor, Intake Division Michigan Department of Civil Rights 1200 Sixth St., 5th Floor Executive Plaza Bldg. Detroit, Mich. 48226 (313) 256-2663

Minnesota

Commissioner Minnesota State Department of Human Rights[.] 200 Capital Square Bldg. 550 Cedar St. St. Paul, Minn. 55101 (612) 296-5663

Mississippi Governor New Capitol Bldg. Jackson, Miss. 39201 (601) 354-7575

Missouri

Executive Director
Missouri Commission on Human Rights
P.O. Box 1129
314 East High St.
Jefferson City, Mo. 65101
(314) 751-2151

Montana

Bureau Chief
Montana Department of Labor and Industry
Division of Labor Standards, Human Rights Bureau
1434 Roberts
Helena, Mont. 59601
(406) 449-3472

Nebraska

Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commission 233 South 14th St. Lincoln, Nebr. 68509 (402) 471–2024

Nevada

Executive Director Nevada Commission on Equal Rights of Citizens P.O. Box 791 Las Vegas, Nev. 89101 (702) 385-0104

New Hampshire Governor State House Concord, N.H. 03301 (603) 271–1110

New Jersey Director New Jersey Division on

Civil Rights Department of Law and Public Safety 1100 Raymond Blvd. Newark, N.J. 07102 (201) 648-2700

New Mexico

Administrative Assistant Governor's Office State Capitol Bldg. Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501 (505) 827-2221

New York Governor State Capitol Albany, N. Y. 12224 (518) 474-7453

North Carolina North Carolina Human Relations Commission P.O. Box 12525 Raleigh, N. C. 27605 (919) 829–7996 or 829–3354

North Dakota Information and Research Assistant Governor's Office State Capitol Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501 (701) 224–2200

Ohio

Executive Assistant The Governor's State House Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-3526

Oklahoma Administrative Assistant Governor's Office State Capitol Bldg. Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105 1-800-522-3741 (toll free)

Oregon

Office of Ombudsman Governor's Office 209 State Capitol Bldg. Salem, Oreg. 97310 (503) 378-3123

Pennsylvania

Executive Director Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission 100 North Cameron St., 2d Floor Harrisburg, Pa. 17101 (717) 787-4410

Field Offices:

Harrisburg and surrounding areas: 301 Muench St. Harrisburg, Pa. 17102 (717) 787–9780

Pittsburgh and surrounding areas 4 Smithfield St., Room 810 Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222 (412) 565-5395

Philadelphia and surrounding areas 101 State Office Bldg. Broad and Spring Garden Sts. Philadelphia, Pa. 19130 (215) 238-6940

Rhode Island Executive Secretary State Commission for Human Rights 244 Broad St. Providence, R. I. 02903 (401) 277–2661

South Carolina Office of Citizen Services Office of the Governor State House Columbia, S. C. 29211 (803) 758-3324

South Dakota

Director Division of Human Rights Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs State Capitol Pierre, S. Dak. 57501 (605) 224–3692

Tennessee Executive Secretary Tennessee Commission for Human Development C3-305 Cordell Hull Bldg. Nashville, Tenn. 37219 (615) 741-2424

Texas

Governor Capitol Station Austin, Tex. 78711 (512) 475–4101

Utah

Executive Director Department of Community Affairs 210 State Capitol Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 (801) 328-5236

Vermont

Governor State House Montpelier, Vt. 05602 (802) 828–3333

Virginia

Citizens Service Assistance Office 825 East Broad St. Richmond, Va. 23219 1–800–552–9963 (toll free)

Washington

Human Rights Commission 402 Evergreen Plaza Bldg. Seventh and Capitol Way Olympia, Wash. 98504 (206) 753-6770

West Virginia Field Representative West Virginia Human Rights Commission 1591 Washington Street East Charleston, W. Va. 25305 (304) 348–2616

Wisconsin

Information and Referral Project 55 North Dickinson, Room 166 Madison, Wis. 53703 (608) 266-2859

Wyoming

Administrative Assistant Governor's Office State Capitol Bldg. Cheyenne, Wyo. 82201 (307) 777-7434

Federal Government

If satisfactory results on either the local or State government level are not obtained and municipal services remain unequally distributed, a complaint may be filed at the Federal Government level. Municipal services may be, in part, federally funded and may be under the jurisdiction of a Federal agency or department which can influence local policy. It is against the law for Federal funds to be used in programs and services which discriminate on the basis of race, ethnicity, or national origin.⁷ If Federal funds are involved, it is the obligation of the Federal agency providing the funds to see that there is no discrimination in the administration of programs and services.

Federal agencies and departments that may have jurisdiction over municipal services are listed below, by name and by the service over which each may have responsibility:

ALL MUNICIPAL SERVICES:

Department of the Treasury Director, Office of Revenue Sharing 15th St. and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20220

HOUSING, CITY PLANNING, HOSPITALS, WASTE DISPOSAL, UTILITIES:

Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20410

HEALTH PROGRAMS, LIBRARIES: Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Director, Office for Civil Rights 330 Independence Ave., S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20201

POLICE PROTECTION, STREET LIGHTING: Department of Justice
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
Administrator
633 Indiana Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

UTILITIES:

Department of the Interior C Street between 18th and 19th Sts., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20240 PARKS: Department of the Interior Bureau of Outdoor Recreation C Street between 18th and 19th Sts., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20240 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES ON INDIAN LANDS: Bureau of Indian Affairs 1951 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20245 MASS TRANSIT (BUS AND RAIL): Department of Transportation Urban Mass Transportation Administration 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591 STREETS AND HIGHWAYS: Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 400 Seventh St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591 WASTE DISPOSAL: Environmental Protection Agency Division of Civil Rights and Urban Affairs 401 M St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460 UTILITIES: Federal Power Commission 825 North Capitol St., N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426 PUBLIC WORKS: Department of Commerce **Economic Development Administration**

14th St. between Constitution Ave. and E St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20320

FACILITIES AND SERVICES IN RURAL AREAS, RURAL POWER COMPANIES, RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES: Department of Agriculture 14th St. and Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20250

UTILITIES (FOR ALABAMA, KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, GEORGIA, NORTH CAROLINA, VIRGINIA, MISSISSIPPI ONLY):

Tennessee Valley Authority Woodward Bldg. 15th and H Sts., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20444

WHERE TO SEEK LEGAL HELP

To handle the complicated issues involved and to avoid delay, it may be wise to get legal assistance. Legal associations which may be of assistance are:

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

10 Columbus Circle

New York, N.Y. 10019

(212) 586-8397

The NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund has had considerable legal experience, including major lawsuits, regarding municipal services. It has published a very useful manual called "Litigation for Equalization of Municipal Services."

Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law

Director, Revenue Sharing Project

733 15th St., N.W., Suite 520

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 628-6700

The Committee monitors the use of Federal funds by State and local authorities and initiates judicial and administrative suits where those funds are used in the discriminatory provision of municipal services.

American Civil Liberties Union

20 East 40th St.

New York, N.Y. 10016

(212) 725-1222

The ACLU has local affiliates throughout the United States. If you cannot locate a local ACLU chapter, contact the National Office for assistance.

National Lawyers Guild

23 Cornelia Street

New York, N.Y. 10014

(212) 255-8028

This office will refer you to the Guild office or attorney nearest you.

National Bar Association

c/o Elmer C. Jackson, Jr. 1314 North 5th St. Kansas City, Kans. 66101 (193) 281-4583 National Association for Puerto Rican Civil Rights 175 East 116th St. New York, N.Y. 10029 (212) 348-3973

American Indian Law Center University of New Mexico Law School 1915 Roma Ave., N.E. Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87106 (505) 277-4844

The center will refer you to a law office or attorney who can handle a municipal services case.

Native American Rights Fund

1506 Broadway Boulder, Colo. 80302 (303) 477–8760

Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund 145 Ninth St. San Francisco, Calif. 94103 (415) 626–6196

In addition, in many communities there are law offices known as Legal Aid, Neighborhood Legal Services, or Neighborhood Law Office which may be of assistance. If you have trouble locating such an office, contact the State office of the American Bar Association and ask for the address and phone number of the Legal Aid office nearest you.

NOTES

¹ This summary is drawn from the holdings in two cases, Hawkins v. Town of Shaw, 437 F.2d 1286 (5th Cir. 1971) aff'd en banc, 461 F.2d 1171 (1972), and Beal v. Lindsay, 468 F.2d 287 (2d Cir. 1972), commented on in 51 Texas L. Rev. 1247 (1973). Both cases are discussed *infra*, notes 5 and 6 and accompanying text.

² The importance of an allegation of discrimination on the basis of race or ethnicity, rather than wealth, emerged from the Supreme Court's holding in San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 1 (1973). In Rodriguez, the Court stated that a finding of an equal protection violation due to discrimination on the basis of wealth alone requires a complete inability to pay for and an "absolute deprivation of a meaningful opportunity to enjoy" a benefit. Id. at 20. Thus, the provision to indigent residents of a locality of some level of municipal services, though a level unequal to that provided the nonindigent, might not constitute a denial of equal protection. In addition, the Court erected several obstacles to the identification of indigent classes. Rodriguez did not, however, alter the Court's traditional view of race as a suspect classification, to be closely scrutinized for a violation of the equal protection guarantee. Therefore, suits alleging discrimination on the basis of race (i.e., where the area deprived of equal municipal services has a predominantly minority population) should still fare well. See Beal v. Lindsay, supra note 1.

³ See Selmont Improvement Assoc. v. Dallas County Comm'n, 339 F. Supp. 477, 481 (S.D. Ala. 1972).

⁴ In Fire v. City of Winner, 352 F. Supp. 925 (D. S. Dak. 1972), plaintiff alleged discrimination against Indians in the provision of drainage systems, street improvements, sewage facilities, fire hydrants, street lights, and sidewalks. After commencement of the suit, Winner improved some of these services, which mooted the discrimination suit as to them. As to several uncorrected deficiencies, i.e., street paving, sidewalks, and sewage facilities, the court found: (1) that they were "reasonable attributes" of property ownership for which the owner must be specifically assessed, Id. at 928; and (2) that because 98 percent of the inhabitants in the deprived area rented their homes, relief existed in another court and "may involve the owners of the property and lack of diligence on the part of landlords to comply with the building code." Id. Finally, the court stated that "when a city recognizes a disparity in providing services to its residents and makes a good faith effort to correct such disparity, as was done in this case, then the municipality has met its constitutional responsibility. The equal protection clause was never intended to be a constitutional command forcing municipalities to assume the responsibilities of landowners for the development of their lands." *Id.*

⁵ Hawkins v. Town of Shaw, *supra* note 1. Great disparities in the provision of municipal services to black and white neighborhoods in Shaw formed the basis of a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 class action to restrain Shaw from discriminating on the basis of race in the provision of those services. It is important to emphasize the grossness of the disparities: 98 percent of all homes fronting on unpaved streets were occupied by blacks; 97 percent of all homes not served by sanitary sewers were in black neighborhoods; none of the new highpower mercury vapor street lights were installed in black neighborhoods; blacks were provided with a poorly maintained system of drainage ditches, and, on many streets, none at all, while whites were provided with underground storm sewers or a continuous system of drainage ditches. The Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit found that this statistical evidence, in the context of a long history of discrimination by Shaw against blacks, established a prima facie case of racial discrimination. The fact that Shaw may not have intended to discriminate against its black residents was irrelevant; actual intent or motive did not need to be proved directly. Since there was no compelling State interest to justify the disparities, the court ordered Shaw to submit to a lower court a plan-for approval-detailing how the town proposed to cure them. See also, Davis v. City of Sanford, Civ. No. 70-172 (M.D. Fla. 1974) (court approved plan for paving and drainage of streets); Harris v. Town of Itta Bena, Civ. No. GC67-56-S (N.D. Miss. 1973) (consent decree entered requiring approximately \$500,000 worth of improvements in black community); Selmont Improvement Assoc. v. Dallas County Comm'n, supra note 3; Fairfax County-Wide Citizens Comm. v. Fairfax County, Civ. No. 336-71-A (E.D. Va. 1972) (dismissed after settlement requiring paving of streets).

⁶ Equality in results will be required unless forces beyond the control of the offending municipality prevent the achievement thereof. Beal v. Lindsay, *supra* note 1. In Beal, black and Puerto Rican residents of New York City, disgusted with the deterioration of the municipal park in their neighborhood, alleged that the poor condition of the park was due to racial discrimination. The court refused to uphold this claim, because the city demonstrated that it had provided greater upkeep services for the park than for other of its parks. Vandals, and not the city, caused the park's deterioration. For a case in which improvements in a park and its recreational facilities were ordered, *see* Hadnott v. City of Prattville, 309 F. Supp. 967 (M.D. Ala. 1970). ⁷ 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.

٩

U. S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS WASHINGTON, D. C. 20425

1

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

4

Postage and Fees Paid U. S. Commission on Civil Rights

٠

