

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

MARSHALL REPORTING (302-734-7647)

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

The Delaware Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights convened its meeting on July 9, 1987 in Room 3207 of the Boggs Federal Courthouse, 844 King Street, in Wilmington, Delaware, which was recorded stenographically by T. Ann Wilkes, a Notary and Stenographic Reporter.

Present at said meeting were as follows:

Henry H. Heiman, Committee Member, acting as Convenor

Tino Calabia, Field Representative
Emily Morris, Committee Member
Ralph Figueroa, Committee Member
Glover Jones, Committee Member
Lynn Wilson, Committee Member

MR. HEIMAN: It's now five after three. My name is Henry Heiman. I'm the Convenor of this particular Advisory Group Meeting. We have asked several people to talk to us today about the services to the minority elderly in Delaware. This is a follow-up to prior investigation and discussions that we've had. The first person who, I believe, is here to speak with us is Mr. Ivan Levin. 10

Did I pronounce that properly?

MR. LEVIN: That's correct, sir.

MR. HEIMAN: -- who is Supervisor of the Voluntary Compliance Outreach Branch of the Office of Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Mr. Levin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LEVIN: Thank you, Mr. Heiman. I realize that at your initial hearing in Dover, my supervisor Mr. Samuel Parker was present with a representative of the United States Administration on Aging to describe something of the extent of the investigation that we were about, concerning the Delaware Division on Aging.

I realize that at the time of his appearance, we were in the early stages of our investigation and, subsequently, we provided a summary of the results of our investigation to Tino, which I presume has been distributed.

MR. CALABIA: Yes.

MR. LEVIN: I understand from discussions with Tino, that my principal purpose today will be to try to provide some information concerning the results of the prologue or the epilogue to our investigation.

If you recall from reviewing the material that we had sent, that investigative summary, we were working primarily on four issues in our investigation.

The conclusion of our investigation resulted in findings of compliance of the Delaware Division of Aging in and on three of the issues that we were able to conclude. The one issue which, in our caption involved Limited English Proficiency minority, which is to say in this case, the Hispanic Community, was carried as a separate action, primiarly because we were unable to establish sufficient information at that time to conclude that there was a violation or

compliance.

The problem was primarily one of establishing figures or data as to the number of hispanic elderly and, for our purposes, that connoted those who were 60 years and older, specifically, in the Wilmington Community. Just by way of reference, since we were concerned with the hispanic elderly, along with other minority elderly in terms of the services that they were being given by the DOA, we found that the minority elderly were, in fact, receiving services that represented a rate greater than the representation of that minority elderly population in the community. That's generally our benchmark.

We found from our collection of data that there's something like an eleven per cent minority population in this state in total. And our information, in summary, revealed that services were being provided by the DOA to the minority elderly at a rate of something like 20 per cent. So, there was, it appeared to us, adequate service being provided through the means of the various programs that we were looking at by the DOA to the minority elderly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We were not able to establish that meaning-fully, in terms of the hispanic community, largely because we did not have available at that time, and are working to collect the data that would indicate the proportion of hispanic elderly population in Wilmington.

We understood, actually prior to our investigation, that the DOA was not providing sufficient service to the hispanic elderly community, and we discovered that there were a lot of views concerning the population of that particular community. The data that we generally refer to, and we find all agencies use, is the 1980 Census Bureau Data, the last tabulation. That data, unfortunately, did not provide a sufficient break-down of the population in the area that we were focusing on, that is, specifically, the City of Wilmington. The Census Data, as you may know, concerning Wilmington, involves the SMSA, the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. Unfortunately, for our purposes, that includes even one of the counties in southern New Jersey across the Delaware River, as well as the surrounding counties in the Greater Wilmington

area. And, therefore, the information was too diffuse for us to use in arriving at our conclusions.

Consequently, we, I suppose you might say, withdrew that issue from the investigation to treat it as a separate action, because of the need to develop additional information specifically concerning the census or the population of the elderly hispanics in Wilmington, the target area.

We found, other than that, that there were attempts by the Delaware Division of Aging to carry out its outreach activity responsibilities to the hispanic community, as well as to the minority.

And while we found that the, as I recall, the effort to reach the minority population, that is, the black population, was very extensive, their effort to reach out to the hispanic community was less. However, during the course of the investigation, the DOA provided a number of assurances and did, in fact, embark on a number of measurements to try to correct that, I hesitate to use the word, inadequacy or deficiency, because we did not find it a basis for violation, but just a difference between

the extent of the treatment they were able to afford the hispanic community as compared with the black community, comprising of the other minority reference.

Consequently, we found that on the issue of the agency's methods of administration, which is a term that we use, in fact it comes from the regulations and a provision in the statute, that the methods of administration are the criteria, the policies, the practices, the procedures, by which an agency insures that those persons who are entitled to the protection of Title 6 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 are not, in fact, denied those protections. In a way of speaking, I guess, it's like saying it's the manner in which they do their business to insure that there is, in fact, compliance with the law, not only in their own operation, but down the line, involving any contractors, or subcontractors that they are instructing and so on.

We found in all respects they were, in fact, complying with the requirements of the regulations.

We found also, as I commented a moment ago that their outreach effort was sufficient to consti-

tute compliance with the requirements as well, both as to minorities, in which their effort was far superior and, subsequently, in connection with the hispanic community as well.

We noted, I think, in passing, that one of the differences, obviously, because of a language factor, they did not disseminate a lot of the information in the spanish language. And some of these things were remedied in the course of the investigation.

Another issue which I don't think concerns
the business of the Commission in its hearing, but
nevertheless was of concern to us in the design of
our investigation, was to look at the effect of the
program administration, DOA's program administration, on the mobility impaired. To look at the issue
of program accessibility. And that's what we would
call a 504 issue, that is to say, Section 504 of the
Rehabilitation Act of 1973. So, this investigation
we were doing, incidentally, which may be apparent
now, was a dual issue. We were concerned not only
with certain provisions of the Civil Rights Act, but
also with some provisions of the Rehabilitation Act.

The final issue is the one in which I think our concern resides, where we were dealing with the DOA's assurance of participation of both minority elderly and disabled elderly in the program. And also effective communication with those having limited english proficiency. And, again, finally, I guess that's what we are saying with the hispanic community.

We have issued our letter of findings to Ms. Cain and her office --I think that was back in December --And summarized the findings of that investigation for the benefit of the Commission in its report.

Since that time, we have continued to explore this outstanding issue concerning the population of elderly hispanics in the community, to determine if there was a sufficient number to warrant more activity on the part of DOA to specifically address the needs of the hispanic community, particularly in connection with the congregate meals program. I might be repeating information that you've already had, but again, just for a fuller appreciation of the scope of

Â

our investigation, we were dealing with the many services that DOA provided in the program under the Administration on Aging responsibilities. Our focus, was not to assess the adequacy of the administration of that program, because that's the responsibility of the Administration on Aging, who provides the Title III funds for the Delaware Divsion on Aging to carry out its program at a state level. Our function as an enforcement agency was merely to insure that there were no violations of the civil rights, both in terms of the specific Civil Rights Act, and the Rehabilitation Act where disabled and handicapped people were concerned.

On the basis of the state agency receiving federal funds through our Department, I can relate to you at this time, that we are still in the process of trying to resolve the problem, I guess we could say, of establishing the precise census data concerning the hispanic elderly population in Wilmington. The issue there, to focus more sharply on what we are trying to accomplish, is to determine if the Division on Aging in Delaware is justified in its present

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

position of not doing more than the record would indicate it is doing, because the population participation would not be sufficient to warrant their investment of their resources in special programming. And that, I suppose is the point of contention, and the element that we are trying to resolve, by establishing with some definiteness, that's a poor word but that's what I'm trying to say, that there is a sufficient population that would provide the likelihood of substantial participation that would warrant special programming. If that can be established, the Delaware Division on Aging would be obliged to extend itself to accomplish that purpose. And failure to do so at that point would constitute a violation, but we have not arrived at that particular point or that determination.

MR. HEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. Levin. We certainly appreciate your comments. I think that before we ask any questions, that perhaps it would be beneficial to have all of the speakers speak and see where we go from there.

I don't want to mispronounce your name, and

1	I'm afraid I am.
2	MS. ALIX: You should give it a try.
3	MR. HEIMAN: Emperatriz Alix?
4	MS. ALIX: That's very close. Emperatriz
5	Alix. Yes.
6	MR. HEIMAN: You are the Executive Director
7	of the Latin American Community Center of Wilmington,
8	I believe, and you are going to be one of the two
9	people, I think, who are going to give us a perspect-
10	ive on the hispanic's view of where we are on this
11	issue.
12	Thank You.
13	MS. ALIX: I would like to basically cover
14	three areas.
15	One is some inaccurate demographic
16	information.
17	The other is some insight into the nutrition
18	program that was established for the hispanic elderly.
19	And third, I would like to highlight what we
20	identify as being some of the gaps that exist in
21	terms of services for the hispanic elderly.
22	I will not touch on, in terms of what currently

is being done with the hispanic elderly, because Jim Walker will address that.

In terms of demographics, there is really no accurate count, either nationwide or statewide, of the hispanic population. I think it's commonly known that both the hispanic and black populations have been under counted by the Census. However, if we base — if we just take as a base what shows up on the 1980 Census, and I will not only focus on the elderly, but work with the numbers of the total hispanic population.

In the 1980 Census, the hispanic population in the State of Delaware was 9,540, of which 70 percent reside in New Castle County. And the poverty level of the hispanic population in New Castle County is 36 percent of hispanics in the County are below the poverty level; and 74 percent of hispanics in the City are below the poverty level.

If we consider a 12 percent under count, which is kind of a conservative, acceptable under count, those figures would increase to about 10,685 for the state, and up to 3,676 for the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Hispanic elderly, 60 years of age and older, in the state, according to the census were 402, which would be approximately 4 percent of the population at that point. With the 12 percent under count adjustment, it would come out to 450.

Between 1970 and 1980, the hispanic population in the State increased by approximately 96 percent. If for ease sake we take that to a hundred percent with an average of 10 percent per year, and adjust those numbers to 1986, we would currently have, and this was very -- I worked on this specifically for this presentation, but a guesstimate that the Latin American Community Center has been working with, is that there are approximately 15,000 hispanics in the state, and around 8,000 in the city and the immediate area. And I don't think that we are too far off base on this. If we use the 1980 base with a 10 percent a year increase in population up until '86, we would have about 13,600 in this state. If we adjust it for the 12 percent under count, we are looking at a population of 17,096. And these are all estimates. are not really a count.

In the city proper, we would be at a level of 5,800 plus; in New Castle County at 11,900 plus. If we take those numbers and take four percent, which was the percentage of the population, I'm sure it becomes evident that the hispanic population is a much younger population. Looking at some numbers from the Division of Aging, the percentage that they were giving was like 16 percent of the population was elderly. For us it's considerably less. If we use that four percent, we could say, within some margin, that we would have approximately 684 hispanics over the age of 60 in this state, and 479 of those would be in the county, 235 in the city, roughly.

We at the Latin American Community Center are currently undergoing a long range planning process, and that is involving a look at census data. We have requested the University of Delaware to go back to the tapes and help us extract from there data that would be of assistance to us in terms of doing some projections in terms of population growth. So, hopefully, in September we will be in a better position to be able to give you perhaps more accurate information.

That's it in terms of numbers.

As far as what I'm aware of, and I'm speaking in some instances from hearsay, because I have only been in Delaware for two and a half years, since 1985. When I came on board to the Latin American Community Center, the nutrition program that had been set up for the hispanic elderly at St. Paul's was already established. I remember raising a lot of questions when I learned about it. How was it determined what nutrition the hispanic elderly needed? What kind of menu are they getting? What food are they getting? Who's planning the menus? The flexibility? How about transportation?

In hindsight, I think that the pitfalls of the program were related to the fact that the program apparently was instituted under pressure. It was instituted under pressure from the Office of Civil Rights because, apparently, nothing was being done, or very little was being done for hispanic elderly in the state. Therefore, they moved rather hastily to put together a nutrition program for hispanics, they approached Latin American Community Center, we didn't

have dining area facilities where we would be able to provide the service. So, they went to St. Paul's School. The principal at St. Paul's School said, and I will share with you later a letter that she wrote, did not know the full extent of what it was going to require of her in running a nutrition program. Selecting a school site meant that the elderly were going to be provided, and that was one of the conditions that the Sisters stated, that the elderly would be provided the same lunch that the children were going to be provided. There were no adjustments made for ethnic foods or ethnic seasonings that would make the food more appealing to the population that was being targeted.

Also, the school setting had no flexibility, in terms of space and time, whereby the elderly could also make of the meal time an opportunity to socalize. One of the things that has been identified as ailing a lot of our elderly is isolation and depression.

Not necessarily because they live alone and are neglected, but because even though they may live with relatives, we all have our things to attend to, work,

children, a lot of other things, and often times our elderly get the least of our attention. Therefore, the isolation that they feel because of the language that they don't speak, because of the alien culture that they are in, translates into depression. So, the mealtime could potentially be one instance in which hispanic elderly could share with one another and get some soul food along with body food.

As I stated, I think that there were unclear expectations of what was going to be required of the site, and I want to just briefly read to you a section from a letter dated May 21, 1985 addressed to Dr.

Nemala Abraham, Division of Aging, by Sister Nancy
Crawson, Principal of St. Paul's School. She says:

"I am sorry to inform you that we will be unable to continue the Senior Food Program at the St. Paul's School site.

At the outset of this venture, the requirements seemed quite simple, i.e., we would feed seniors, obtain information on them (name, address, phone, doctor, relatives) take donations for meals and be reimbursed

by state funding for meals served and personnel involved. It made it quite clear that St. Paul's School is here first and foremost for the children, and that no program will violate their space or time. None of these appeared to be a problem to me, nor to the Divison of Aging at that time. Eight months into the program, things have escalated and it is becoming more and more apparent that we are unable to keep up with the reporting system required, the further development of programs that is proposed, and the necessity of asking for a definite amount as donation.

In planning, it seems that the scheduling of school events for the next year will necessitate using the cafeteria most of the time, thus eliminating any time for senior programming in the afternoons.

In addition, we have now found that the requirements of USDA lunch program and the commodities given for that program are re-

stricted, so that separate food would have to be purchased for the Senior Food Program.

As a consequence, this program is absorbing more and more of our time. In such a small school with limited facilities and personnel, it is too much for us to keep."

And she just thanks the others for their cooperation.

In addition, to those factors, I think the other thing that played a key role was the fact that there was no transportation provided for.

Those of you who are familiar with where the hispanic community is located, know that it's in the hilltop area, and that it's name conveys that it is very hilly. And, for an older person to walk a number of blocks up the hill is very strenuous. And that, I believe, also prevented some of the hispanic elderly from really accessing the nutrition program.

If we were to re-establish such a program, I think those areas would have to be addressed. There really has to be a consideration in terms of the ethnic background of the elderly, in terms of foods

and seasoning. The meal needs to be part of a socializing experience. Transportation must be provided
for, or arrangements made for it. And adequate
staffing for reporting, for serving, for cleaning,
for outreach, for publicizing, for coordinating.
I don't think that the burden can be laid on a
school like St. Paul's or any existing program that
works on shoestrings and limited budgets, to expect
them to assume responsibility for additional functions
without additional staffing to do that.

Moving on to other programs or services that we know are provided for the elderly by the Division of Aging that the hispanic elderly are not accessing because of transportation, and because of language.

I may share with you that approximately

1,254 of the hispanics in Delaware, according to this

1980 Census, again, were non-english speaking or spoke
english to a limited extent. It is our educated guess
that of that number, a great number of them are elderly. When people immigrate at an advanced age, it is
more difficult for them to acquire a second language.

Therefore, the services that the Division contracts

for in case management, in transportation, homemaker, respite care, is not accessible to them, unless they have someone that will be able to provide the transportation, as well as the language linkage in order to be able to access those providers.

Just briefly, I will touch on the fact that right now, and Jim, I'm sure will expand on that, if we look at the numbers that I mentioned before, we are talking close to 500 elderly in New Castle County area. One person, one outreach worker to service that number of people, to do outreach, to provide transportation, to do interpreting, to do case management, is humanly impossible. Edith Velasquez was sharing with us that the outreach worker, she has currently, a case load of approximately 125 both in the City and some in the outer skirts, of which 25 require regular attention. We are talking about elderly that are in constant need of medical services and almost in some instances weekly attention she must give to them.

So, not only in the nutrition program, the nutrition program, of course, is no longer in

existence, but in terms of the amount of services that are being provided to the elderly in general in Delaware, are not accessible to the hispanic elderly to a great extent.

I think we need to perhaps shift some from focusing on how many are there, whether there's 200 or 500 or 327, the fact is whatever number there are, they are in need. And we at the Latin American Community Center again have limited resources and could not address the needs of the elderly. For instance, taking an elderly person to a medical appointment at one of the clinics, often times including transportation and waiting time at the clinic, will eat up 3 to 4 hours of a worker's time, and we only have 2 community workers who cannot really meet the needs.

I think what we really need to look at is, what is the level of need that exists? One of the things in terms of determining what is the level of funding and the level of service that is being provided with the Division of Aging monies at this point, is looking at whatever data is there in terms of,

case management services, for instance, or for homemaker services. What is the average number of clients
per worker on each of those services, and why can't
there be a comparable system set up, if you may. So
that if a case manager can handle 35 people, why can't
we also say that if we have 300 hispanic elderly in
the City of Wilmington, and let's say 150 of them are
going to need regular care, that we look at the proportion of clients per worker, not at the wrong
numbers separate and independent of what their needs
are.

I don't know how far recommendations can be taken by this Body, but I would like to suggest that the Division of Aging be required to play more of an active role and an advocate role in assessing the level of need in the areas of primary need of the his- panic elderly in this state, that they play a leadership role in convening the different organizations that service the hispanic community, that can be resources to supplement what the Division of Aging does. I'm talking about LACC, I'm talking about the

Sisters of St. Joseph that work out of St. Pauls. I think there are resources in the community, that if we pool together the resources, we will be able to perhaps get 3 and 4-fold, in terms of services, by instead of duplicating or recreating the wheel, by helping each other out.

I would also like to propose that you request the Division of Aging of whatever body is the appropriate body to do that, that they allocate a percentage of the monies that are distributed for each of the service categories, to be earmarked to service the hispanic elderly. Again, I shall repeat, in case management, in transportation, in health screening, in respite care, in nutrition, and whatever monies are being contracted out, since the contractors don't have the capacity to hire bilingual staff, and we acknowledge the fact that there's a shortage of bilingual staff, that if those services can be provided by a corroborative effort amongst a number of us, that the need will be met in a very cost effective manner.

MR. HEIMAN: Thank You.

Mr. Walker is the Executive Director of the

Methodist Action Program. I note that in the preliminary document that came from the Department of Health and Human Services, the Methodist Action Program was mentioned as, in particular, a group that was heavily active in the hispanic community, and I appreciate your being here to share with us.

MR. WALKER: Appreciate the opportunity of being here.

It would just help me, and I do apologize for being a little late, if you could just take a second so I knew who everybody here was.

(Whereupon a brief recess was held to accommodate the request of Mr. Walker)

MR. WALKER: What I want to do, and I want to clarify, because we met last week I guess with LACC to talk about the problems— LACC is, I think, the primary point people for providing services to the hispanic community as a group, and properly took the lead in terms of testifying, but at the request, I was happy to come and share what we are doing. I don't come as an agency. I don't come as speaking for the hispanic community. My outreach worker wanted to be

here, but had to take someone to the hospital, and that had to take precedence. So, I don't even speak as a hispanic, and I just say that there, but I do speak as someone who for the last eleven years — the first ten years I spent as a professional community organizer in Chicago, and New Orleans, Rhode Island, where I dealt a lot with hispanics. The problems I have encountered here have not been unique, unfortunately, but are serious.

And as we go forward, I find myself -- I took over at the Methodist Action Program a year ago. And I find myself somewhat frustrated, because I have some responsibility now, and sometimes feel it's boxed, so I'd like to share with you what we are doing, some of which is successful, some of my frustrations and angers about the problems that exist and that you don't seem to find a solution for, some of the future directions there.

As you alluded to, MAP has been around for about 21 years. It's the primary -- we have a three point charge to developing strength in local churches, which in this case, includes beginning to develop the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

hispanic congregation there in the hilltop area, to provide social services to both this program, and to another social service center, and working with the individual churches on their programs, and we do advocacy work for the church. So, that's our broad charge.

Our whole history goes back to long before I was around. For a while, LACC was in a church that we had, and it burned. Coming out of that, we contributed some money to what we hope will produce a new building one day, and I think it's beginning to move that way, but that was our original interest. began developing, doing some ground work to develop a church, and about two years ago now, we were approached, coming out of the problems with the nutrition center, about whether we wanted to start a nutrition center there. I wasn't there then, but I understand that basically the further we got into it, we weren't sure that was the pressing need, as well as it seemed to be the wrong place to start, and we said, we're not sure we want to be there. At that point, the Division of Aging came and said, maybe you're

right. Maybe what we need to do is have an outreach program there. And so, since October of 1985, we began a program there that has one worker. We have a grant of about \$21,000. We contribute something over \$10,000 to that. All those figures would be woefully inadequate in terms of what needs to happen, as Mrs. Alix talked about.

What we do do, is (A), go out and find them. They are isolated, there's no place to meet them. They have trouble getting around. They're in an alien world where every venture outside the door is a trip into a different world. They have been to things for hispanics, and I don't mean this to take it away, that was the contract there, but part of the problem with the nutrition site was, they came and got hamburgers, kids' food, and they said, "Not there." Well, that leaves a legacy that says, "We've been to that, and that's not for us." And, unfortunately — I think there have been some very sincere efforts by a number of the hispanic senior centers in the area, and some people do go to those centers, but the majority do not, and again, someone goes out and says

this is for you, but you go there and they are not your friends, they don't speak your language, and you're an outsider again. It makes it hard to find out who's there. And we don't have the data. We've identified about 125 of those to date, as Mrs. Alix alluded to.

What we do with that money is, number one, in addition to that, once we have met you, a large number of those we just try and keep in contact with, try and be there. We do a lot of lonliness stuff, all that sort of thing. That's not the most pivotal thing we do, but it's part of building what's there.

In the last quarter we made 60 trips to the hospital. I have one person who does this. Now, you can imagine the problem again. Since we started this program, we lost four people, they died. Everyone of those we had to take back and forth.

Language if you are old is a handicap. It takes extra effort. And I'm an administrator nowadays, so I know I do this too, but you get the things that say you comply, and they say, do you have any hispanics, and you say we've got a hispanic

janitor, I suppose we could use him. Stretch, you try to meet all the ends. I've got a hispanic secretary. But when you go to the hospital and you are dying of cancer, you don't want to have translation from a janitor. (A) it may be wrong, and (b) even if it's right it may be wrong, he may not get it right, and you sure don't trust it. You need to have that sort of thing. So, we've got a real problem out there, and that's at every place. In some cases, I suspect I could not prove, that it is a true lack of concern. In others, it's just where it sits on the priority list, and making it work through a whole system.

I'll give you an example. One of the things we tried to do to move this forward is to enter into formal agreements with agencies. The first one we were working with was the Housing Authority. People go down to the Housing Authority. We meet with the Director. You say you've got problems, we'll help him get in. The Housing Authority has vacancies for the elderly. They don't have them in any other places but with the elderly they do. The Director says, you get them down here, we'll do it. We say, "Well, one

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of the problems is, you send the stuff out in english and they don't understand it." If you can't send it out in spanish, call us when you get somebody in there and we'll help you. When you send a letter, send a copy to us, and we'll make sure it happens. And consistently, we have found that we would get somebody down there, the letter would go back, so later we would go visit the person and they would say, "Oh, I got this letter the other day." We'd say, "You were supposed to go for an appointment two weeks ago." Well, we weren't getting the communication. And in that case, it's not that the Director doesn't want to do it, but by the time you work it through that whole chain of command it's not there. And, so, we are beginning to try to work on that. But, again, it's hard pressed, even to do that when you have one person, plus my time, and I spend at least 10 or 15 percent of my time on this program, to do that it's hard to cover those needs.

You go into the hospitals. If you call them up -- My outreach worker says, "I work for an Outreach Program for the hispanic elderly, do you have transla-

tion available?" They say, "Yes." If you are hispanic and you call up and say, "I need to come for an appointment, I'm aged, and my english isn't so good", they said, "You need to bring someone who can translate for you." In some of our cases, that means on a single case, you probably spend four or five hours down at the hospital with one person.

And that's never going to work. I mean, you can't staff us to that level. I mean, at some point some other institutions have to take that responsibility.

So, those are the types of things we do. And we've been reasonably successful -- we just did our evaluation for the previous year, and in every category of service, we're at somewhere between 105 and 200 percent of our service goals. But, it's woefully inadequate to try and move with that, move forward, to deal with the lonliness, and a place to come, and to make us more efficient. We are trying to develop some sort of drop-in center. The problem is there is no money out there to go do that with. So, I stretch a stretched person already, plus me, and then we beat the bushes for volunteers. Hopefully,

as it develops, we may, because our space is somewhat limited, we can do about 10, 15 or 20, but that would about use up our space. But as it develops we may work with LACC to use some space that they have available at that time with some things, so we are trying to coordinate that stuff.

If you deal with food, again, we're small, nobody wants to give us money to have somebody coordinate that, so we try and patch it together. We have been working with the Division of Aging. They have agreed on a trial basis to begin, once we have the drop-in center moving three mornings a week, that on one or two of those mornings they would provide special meals and bring them in. So, we hope that we can grow to document the stuff.

And we are also trying, and we are doing some work with Mr. Levin, to try and do something about documenting the problem. Again, using volunteers, we have developed a questionnnaire, not just the numbers but to further bolster the need. But in the end, we are dealing with a small minority, hispanic elderly, and a relatively small minority in the state, and we

got cheated. And if I was going to start where we got
cheated was in 1979, when the Census Bureau didn't
do a decent count. And when you're small, blacks and
hispanics got nailed on this one. But if blacks got
nailed, hispanics got it worse, because there are just
fewer of them. And they don't have any political
power in this state, or in most states. So, that
we're not going to get that money. It's not all bad
will, but if we don't figure out some way to document
it the Census Bureau didn't come back and say we
made a mistake, we'll do a recount. They'll do it for
about 50 cents a head. But who has that money? So,
we're not going to get it. So, we're caught in
between. Nobody disagrees with their problems. They
may disagree about the degree, whether there are 400
in New Castle, or 480, which is closer to the mark
than 125, which is about where we are. But, we are
not going to do it, and we are caught in a "Catch 22"
and I honestly don't know whose responsibility it is,
but it ain't right to wait until 1991 to get it right.
And I don't know who's going to provide that leader-
ship.

There are a number of other problems that have to do with smaller programs. Then there are big areas of need around housing, medical care, transportation, and maybe some education problems, but people just don't use -- it's every difficult to get them to feel comfortable on the transportation system. The major ones.

And then all the small ones that by theirselves—the number of times we say, the homemaker
service needs to be available to us, there might be
one, two, three cases in a year — I mean I understand the argument from them that says, we can't
hire a staff person for three cases. But, on the
other hand, there's got to be some way to make those
things available, because those folks are being
cheated.

And, so, I would welcome anything -- the three of us here have been working at this frustration, and looking at where it can go and what we can do, but quite honestly, unless we get some help from some place, to either get over the numbers figure, to be comfortable with saying "We'll accept

1 the University of Delaware's estimates" -- or sometimes we feel like we are not going to get anywhere, 2 3 because the Division of Aging is one piece, the other major funder of senior centers in this state is grant-4 5 in-aid. 6 MRS. MORRIS: That's true. 7 MR. WALKER: Local people, I don't have to 8 educate you. I mean, there is no longer quite the 9 waste and fraud there might have been, but that is a purely political system. I worked -- I had to fight 10 11 hard to get anything this year, and I've got a net-12 work, and I'm willing to work my Methodist Churches 13 to try to get them to lobby for that, but in the end 14 you're talking about lobbying the legislature that 15 represents roughly 600,000 people, for a group that 16 we estimate might be 450. MR. HEIMAN: Do you not get grant-in-aid? 17 18 MR. WALKER: We do not get grant-in-aid. 19 MR. HEIMAN: Does LACC? 20 MRS. ALIX: We do get grant-in-aid, but not 21 for senior services.

MR. HEIMAN: Do they say that you can't use it

22

for senior services? Or is it that you chose not to use it for senior services?

MRS. ALIX: Well, we are faced with the issue of demand and priorities. And in priortizing the needs that exist in the community, we have chosen to -- For instance grant-in-aid goes to subsidize our social services. And then the educational support system, tutoring and homework for the young children.

MR. WALKER: And, unless you can move in a big way -- I've been through this process once. The first time this year, we said we wanted, again, to support our other social service center, which is the best shot we had, it's longstanding and has been there for 20 years. I had to pull out every chit I've got to get \$10,000 out of them. Now \$10,000 would help the other program but, in the end, what we're talking about, it would take you again, the way the process works, a good five years, be it LACC, or be it us, to begin to get the funding through the grant-in-aid up to the level that you could really answer the question. And that's just how that process works. I'm not saying that we may not talk about how to do

that, but that's the reality.

That's really all I have to say.

MR. HEIMAN: Before we open it up for questions and comments from the group, I would like to put on the record, the response of Mr. Don Dryden, the Controller General of the State of Delaware.

Mr. Dryden responded to a request from Mr.

John Binkley, with regard to the question concerning
grant-in-aid, and what was available in Delaware with
regard to monitoring or controlling compliance with
regard to that. A letter was finally received, I must
say after a great deal of effort by Tino and other
members of the staff dated July 2. Let me read into
the record the rather short response:

"Dear Mr. Binkley:

On Thursday, June 25, 1987, the Legislative Joint Finance Committee met to consider Grant-in-Aid requests for fiscal year 1988.

During the session, the Chairman and Vice Chairman addressed your May and June, 1987 letters to me.

The committee members' discussion is summarized as follows: It is the members' experience that when a constituent has a problem, the first person contacted is the state representative or senator. The Committee members could not recall any contacts regarding discrimination in the grant-in-aid program.

Senator Herman M.Holloway, Sr., a member of the Legislature for 25 years, chairman of the Senate Health and Social Services/Aging Committee, and a long-time Joint Finance Committee member stated that in all his service on the Committee, he could not recall a single complaint regarding discrimination in the grant-in-aid program.

The members' consensus is that any change in the grant-in-aid application process or Act is not necessary."

End of letter.

MR. WALKER: If I could just make one other comment that I neglected. Where the Division of Aging

and Methodist Action Program would disagree on one key
point, is that where they sit, and I think it's been
said, is that they're not going to try and figure out,
and go beyond what the Census data says. But, at the
same time, within that, I would like to be clear that
at least from our experience, that they have been
actively involved in the limited program that we pro-
vide. That they have been willing to help us inter-
face with the Housing Authority and some of those
sorts of things, and they have helped in those ways.
And that, although I think there is a lot more they
could do, in a vacuum in this state, they have done
more, at least with us, than anyone else has. But
it has been limited. I talked with Eleanor Cain as
recently as yesterday about this, and our key point
of disagreement would be the one, I think, that's
been voiced across here, is the commitment and need
to move beyond that Census data, is something else
that I wanted to interject.

MR. HEIMAN: Do any of the Committee members have any questions for any of the participants?

Yes, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. JONES: I surely have a comment and questions, Mr. Heiman. I would ask the interested parties and the Delaware Panel to revisit the tesimony of our December 3, 1985 meeting. Gratuitously, the testimony we've heard here today gives credence to the very concerns raised at that meeting. testimony we've heard gives credence. I would further amend their comments by revisiting Title III(B) of the 1978 Amendments, to cite information and referral services, transportation services, outreach services, housing services, including renovation, legal services and health services. Now, these were the same complaints that we had received up through 1985, which we did not have documentation for, but which were cited by both Mr. Mitchell of the NAACP and myself at that hearing.

I would further then like to give response to the matter of oversight which we raised to the representative of the General Assembly. The simple matter is, if we go to Minority Elderly Services conclusions, a report issued by Pendleton's Commission, if you will, even they had to agree that the Congress itself,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

though not receiving specific discrimination complaints, that is, individual members of the Congress, nevertheless felt compelled to issue stonger regulations governing the Older Americans Act. And, under those provisions made citations of several categories of compliance. I wish to point to the exception of the Office of Civil Rights findings and the categories cited by the 95th Congress on what items ought be monitored. And I wish the language specifically to speak to that. I can respect that there are subtle differences between the federal programs and the state programs, to the extent that state dollars largely support the grants-in-aid, but also there are federal programs. The issue essentially then is whether or not federal law ought not be the standard of compliance for state programs for Older Americans, for the aged Americans. That is the essential issue.

And if federal law then prevails, then the state itself finds itself in violation of these items which we addressed in 1985. So, there is finding in that sense to support our contention. It is unfortunate that federal law does not prevail in the

Delaware Standard.

MR. HEIMAN: Did you also have a question that you wanted to address to any of the panelists?

MR. JONES: The matter of the conclusions on the rate of service useage exceeding the black elderly representation in the population in Delaware is quite different from the testimony of 1985, which said that the number of black persons serviced exceeded the numbers represented in the SMSA for that particular region. I took exception to that. It is a matter of record. And I am glad to see that the record has been corrected.

I still have questions regarding 20 percent utilization with 11 percent of the population. Is the suggestion there that there is far greater need by black elderly for services in general than the numbers would suggest? Because it's certainly true that if you only have 11 percent constituting that population base, you can't deal with any more than 11 percent, unless you are going under the number for the aged, is that not so?

MR. LEVIN: The number, the 20 percent figure

that I use, is a general number cutting across the many different program services reviewed in the course of the investigation, and I don't have with me, unfortunately, and I apologize for that, a specific breakdown by the individual programs as they were examined in the course of the investigation. There was a statistical analysis run on each of them using the population figures given in the Census data, that was obtained from the Census Bureau tables as well as from the state development agency, I believe it is —

MR. JONES: The Division of Economic Services?

MR. LEVIN: That might be. That provides some of this information as well. And, the number 20 percent remains in my mind from one of the tables that I was reviewing earlier before I came down today. It certainly varies among the programs. But, we find in toto in drawing the conclusion, that it indicated that the black minority, in this case, was not under represented in the service that was being provided. And that was the conclusion that we were able to reach in reviewing the information that we had collected in the investigation.

MR. JONES: If I could speak in my capacity, strictly as a Panel Member, if not a representative of community organizations, we attest to distributions influencing percentages. Do you follow me? Let's assume, for example, you've got a thousand people, nine hundred may be lumped in one category. You may have nine other categories in which the other one hundred would be lumped. If then, by number distribution you find, for example, ten of fifteen in one or those categories, such that your percentages come out forty, and fifty, and sixty, and seventy, and then you average all ten, then the average number is going to be higher, isn't it, by definition? Right? I suspect strongly that's what happened in that case of this 20 percent. I'd still like to see those numbers. I think the State is dead wrong, and I want to go on record, in that I think that's what they've done. They've concealed the numbers in terms of population and useage, so as to influence the General Assembly in its funding patterns, to wit, the problem with the hispanic population. It is a game of politics, and that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

is what I'm speaking to largely, and I want to

seaparate the two so it's very clear in our minds that the experience and the testimony we've heard here substantiates our earlier claim. But, I wish to separate your function and the reporting system and the numbers, and how they can really be misleading to people who aren't altogether sophisticated in the use of numbers. And I take a very strong position on that matter. Could you share with us the numbers you have so that we could look at the numbers ourselves? Which is what I asked for, I believe, a year and a half ago. Could you share that with us?

MR. LEVIN: I don't have that with me.

MR. JONES: Is it possible you could get that?

MR. LEVIN: That's embodied in our investigative reports and the findings, as all part of the evidence that we had collected. Let me add that the information was derived from data provided by the Division on Aging in some instances, and where we could corroborate it we would, but the review of that data was done on a program-by-program basis, as we examined each of these different activities, and we did not average across the board. I don't want to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

Jones is seeking?

mislead you in terms of that 20 percent number I was throwing out. I felt that was sort of a handy general reference to indicate simply, comparing the experience of our findings --MR. JONES: That's all right. MR. LEVIN: -- that in the black minority as opposed to the hispanic elderly minority, we found the experience of the black minority was better than that that we were finding with the hispanic minority at 10 that time, given the numbers. But that 20 percent 11 figure was just an averaging in my mind. 12 have represented more or less in different other 13 programs areas. 14 MR. HEIMAN: Might I ask, is your report itself available at this time? The entirety of the 15 16 report? 17 MR. LEVIN: Yes. The investigation has been 18 closed. 19 MR. HEIMAN: So, is there a final report, 20 other than a summary of the final report? That is, 21 a document that contains the information that Mr.

1	MR. LEVIN: Yes.
2	MR. HEIMAN: The statistical base and
3	analysis?
4	MR. LEVIN: Yes.
5	MR. HEIMAN: Is that available to us?
6	MR. LEVIN: I would have to check on that.
7	I would imagine it could be available to the Agency.
8	MR. HEIMAN: Is there any reason to believe
9	that it is not available under the Freedom of Information
10	tion Act?
11	MR. LEVIN: Oh, no. I am sure it would be
12	available on the request of the Agency to provide
13	that.
14	MR. HEIMAN: Are you asking for that?
15	MR. JONES: Yes, indeed, I am, Mr. Heiman.
16	MR. HEIMAN: And would you want that report
17	to be made part of this transcript?
18	MR. JONES: Absolutely, I would. Yes, I
19	would.
20	MR. HEIMAN: Mr. Figueroa, do you have any
21	questions for the Panel, especially, since you are
22	our hispanic resident expert?

1	MR. FIGUEROA: I was wondering, are we
2	going to get a copy of those figures you gave us?
3	MS. ALIX: I can provide them for you.
4	MR. HEIMAN: They will be in the trans-
5	cript.
6	MR. FIGUREROA: That's something important,
7	and I wish everyone would have a copy.
8	MR. HEIMAN: Do you have any questions that
9	you would like to ask any of the panelists?
10	MR. FIGUERROA: No, I don't believe so.
11	MR. HEIMAN: Mrs. Morris?
12	MRS. MORRIS: Yes, I do. I would like to ask
13	Mr. Levin, on issue number one, I observed that
14	MR. HEIMAN: For the record, we are referring
15	now to the letter to Mr. Binkley, which is the summary
16	of the report. It has a hand stamped of February 9,
17	1987, and we would like to make a copy of this letter
18	as an exhibit to the transcript, especially since we
19	are referring to it.
20	MRS. MORRIS: Thank you, Mr. Heiman. I'm
21	referring to issue number 1, as it relates to the
22	development of Methods of Administration, Policies

1	and Procedures. The letter indicates that DOA, or the
2	Division of Aging, has implemented the following
3	administrative methods, policies and procedures:
4	I would like as a matter of the record, ask
5	you the question, when were the administrative
5	methods, policies and procedures acknowledged and
7	implemented?
В	MR. LEVIN: Is your question directed at the
9	period of time when we conducted the investigation
LO	concerning the methods of administration? Or, are
L1	you asking when did DOA implement the various aspects
L2	of the methods?
L3	MRS. MORRIS: What I'm driving at, in plainer
L4	terms is, whether or not these actions took place be-
L5	fore or after our hearing?
L6	MR. LEVIN: Okay, when the DOA implemented
L7	these various actions?
81	MRS. MORRIS: Yes.
L9	MR. LEVIN: Not when we investigated their
20	implementation?
21	MRS. MORRIS: No.
22	MP LEVIN. It was probably before I gave

that because we had already begun the investigation in August of 1985, and I think your hearing in Dover occurred later than that, when Sam Parker was down to testify.

MR. CALABIA: In December of '85.

MR. LEVIN: In December. At that point, we were probably fairly well along into the investigation. And the methods of administration was one of the earlier things that was done.

MRS. MORRIS: Was you investigation a part of what you would consider normal procedure for your agency, or was your investigation based upon complaints that you had received?

MR. LEVIN: No. I think it was more the former, the normal procedure. And I might take a moment just to explain that process if that edification will be helpful. We develop an annual operating plan each year actually as part of our extended long range planning in terms of the agency operations, as carried out by the field offices, the regional offices.

Normally, our headquarters indicates a number

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of projects which they feel, based on their national perspective, their experience at large, that warrant some investigation or inquiry. That will vary from time to time. It may represent 50 percent of our activity in the field. The regional offices then have discretion to formulate activity for the balance of allocating its resources. And, in that instance, we look at the kinds of things that have surfaced through the complaint mechanism, complaint investigations that are conducted, to see if they seem to be happening more in a certain area, whether there are more complaints coming in concerning the operation of nursing homes versus state mental health institutions or something like that. The staff insight is also utilized in formulating some of the areas in which we feel investigation is warranted. The investigations I'm speaking of, we refer to as compliance reviews, as distinguished from complaint investigations.

And the compliance reviews are the investigations that we develop at our discretion. We design them. We are not limited by scope as we would be in

a complaint.

We also try to observe a certain balance, both in terms of the field that's being examined, and the geographical area, so that we are at any time conducting investigations in all six states in our region III, and that we are covering a range of things that may go from nursing homes to mental health services, to elderly services.

I don't recall, specifically in response to your question, that there was anything specific that lead us to the Delaware Divison on Aging in terms of previous complaints that suggested that there were sufficient violations to warrant our attention. I do not think that was the case.

MR. CALABIA: It's been a year and half since this Committee turned its attention to the general subject of nutrition services for the minority elderly. But, as staff, let me mention that we looked at it in light of allegations we heard in 1985, allegations which first came to us by way of a former Director of a Senior Center in New Castle County, and who is now a practicing attorney in another state.

Plus an allegation from a Director of a component program in downstate Delaware. What we learned upon looking at the allegations was not necessarily that they applied to federally funded services which has been reviewed by the office of Civil Rights in Philadelphia, but may have occurred in the state's grant-in-aid project.

As you recall, during the December, 1985 meeting, we began to clear away some of that confusion, and that's when we learned all of this, that there had been no review of civil rights compliance in the state grant-in-aid programs. And all of us learned for the first time, I think, that there are no civil rights compliance provisions for any grant-in-aid project or program.

So, the problems originally addressed to us may have emerged out of activities in some of the other non-federally funded centers.

MR. LEVIN: Thank you for mentioning that,

Tino. It also refreshes my recollection that we did

not receive that information prior to learning of it,

I think, when Sam was down and visited with you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And we spent some time, as I mentioned on the phone, promptly, trying to establish jurisdiction over the grant-in-aid programs. We didn't know initially that there were different kinds of services being provided down there, in terms of the congregate meals. Those funded by grant-in-aid state funding, and those through the triple A funding from the federal government through our department. We established our investigative jurisdiction based on the Title III funding that was granted by the Administration on Aging, and that's how we got the hooks in. We tried to establish some federal jurisdiction over the other congregate meal sites that were grant-in-aid funded, by determining if there was either any federal money directly applied or utilized at those sites, or in the absence of direct cash involvement, if there were any services being performed by personnel paid otherwise with federal money, whose service was being applied to those other food sites as well, because our jurisdiction is based on federal assistance, not necessarily exclusively financial.

MR. HEMIMAN: What was the upshot of what you

found?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LEVIN: We couldn't establish anything.

MR. HEIMAN: Who says you couldn't? Did you say you couldn't? Or did the Justice Department say you couldn't?

MR. LEVIN: We did. Our investigation failed to disclose the tracing of any federal funds through our department to those centers that were funded by grant-in-aid allocations from the legislature, serving the congregate meals. Nor, could we establish the utilization of any personnel by the Delaware Division on Aging, to participate in any managerial, admininistrative or program way, which would have given us jurisdiction, because they were being funded from our department, and, therefore, they could perform only services directed at the program activities being supported by the federal money. They could not do two things. They couldn't receive our money to carry out these programs, and at the same time, administer the state grant-in-aid program. We couldn't establish the nexus there to claim jurisdiction over them.

We did look, interestingly, at the utilization

of their office personnel, particularly in terms of processing grant-in-aid applications, to see if they advertised for the grant-in-aid applications, if they in fact received the applications; if they then reviewed and assessed the applications and then made judgments as to awards to be based on their review. That would have provided a very substantial administrative function with our money, and would have given us entree into the investigation of those state funded centers, and we couldn't establish that.

MRS. MORRIS: It is a fact that a splinter group can develop from a larger center in the state. We have some instances of that downstate. For example, in the city of Dover, the Dover Modern Maturity Center, there was a group that went out from that, for whatever reason, they were angry or something, and they now have what is called Harvest Years Senior Center. And I think that all one would have to do is organize a non-profit board, hire a director, get funding from grant-in-aid, and you're set and ready to go.

MR. HEIMAN: So, there is an institutional

deficiency in the system.

MR. LEVIN: I think that's what Mr. Jones was addressing before.

MR. HEIMAN: And that deficiency is definitional.

MR. LEVIN: Yes.

MR. HEIMAN: And, if we go back to your argument that if you define your terms rather narrow-ly, as I think you have done with regard to this, then you can avoid the problem.

MR. WALKER: I think that's an important point, because I think it's important to break down where things go. And in my perspective, I can't say it enough, number one is, the feds blew it on the Census and didn't do anything. That shifted that question. Minorities depend on that sort of thing to enforce it. What you are finding is at a second level, despite some enforcement at a federal level, there seems to be no policy in the state. And I don't know what the purview of this Commission is as the Delaware state agency connected to a national one, but if there is one question I would raise —

MR. HEIMAN: We are not a Delaware state agency of any kind. We are a federal group.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WALKER: Okav. Because that becomes a pivotal question as we go down, and that moves down to the Legislature and core leadership. without missing my point of disagreement with the Division of Aging, I think it's important to understand that even on their funding, let alone grantin-aid, that there are people who would attack it if all of a sudden they said they were going to triple the funding. In constant state dollars that means they are going to take it from someone else, because that's the only way it'll work. And so, I wish that they were going to choose to bite that bullet and go forward. But I think it's important to understand that out of the whole spectrum, they are the only ones who are conceivably living up to what I would call their minimum responsibilities, which is to answer what's documented in the census. And I think if you added in LACC's grant-in-aid, and put that against all the grant-in-aids in the state, there is no way that \$25,000 represents their pro-

1	portion of the grant-in-aid dollars distributed in
2	this state to hispanics. So, that I think it's
3	MRS. MORRIS: How much do you get? Come on
4	MRS. ALIX: \$25,000.
5	MR. HEIMAN: Does anyone else have any
6	questions?
7	MS. WILSON: I had one question. Federally
8	funded nutrition centers, is there any problem with
9	serving ethnic foods?
10	MR. LEVIN: Not to my knowledge.
11	MS. WILSON: Who decides that?
12	MR. LEVIN: That would be determined by the
13	people who are running the project at that area, the
14	center, and the Administration on Aging in carrying
15	out and in implementing the program.
16	MR. WALKER: In Wilmington, it would be a
17	three-part thing. It would be between the Division
18	on Aging, St. Anthony's Community Center, which has
19	the contract for providing all the food in Wilmington
20	as a coordinating agency. They do not do the cooking
21	they subcontract that out to a catering service. We
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

have, as I alluded before, not at the level we are,

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

but on that count of providing food that would be available, we have now reviewed menus, and they have agreed to provide those menus at least once or twice a week, if we can get the other piece going. problem is that we are having to put together the other piece by working with volunteers, who have been very helpful in this, as well as using the Division of Aging's suggestion, and they have been supportive of us getting Title V workers who are elderly people who are paid to work. A particular problem is, ususally that does not affect people's income. Because most elderly in this country have social security. And most of the folks here are Puerto Rican, so they do qualify for federal assistance. But because of different work patterns down there, most of them have not paid in enough to qualify for full benefits on social security, so they get SSI, and we've had about three people wash out now because they were there to do it, but whatever dollars we gave them would be deducted from their SSI check, which didn't do them any good.

MR. HEIMAN: I'd like to ask a question

directed to what I perceive as your stating the problem, which is the Census, and apparently a great
deal works off of the Census. In three years you are
going to have another Census. What steps are you
taking now to provide for the Census in three years?
Because, apparently, if you can do what you should
be doing, which is getting these people to respond
properly, then you won't be undercounted, and you
will be able to generate greater abilities to get
the monies that you now say you need.

MR. WALKER: At this point, I would say it is a good question, and quite honestly, I would say that we have been more focused in how to do a better job of documenting in the interim. I would hope that out of that focus that now, between the three of us-that Mr. Levin is aware of that problem and has talked with the liason, and has made that available to me as well, to see whether the Census Bureau can help as it is, and hopefully we would coordinate and work to try and make that -- And I know LACC may have some plans as well --

MRS. ALIX: Yes. In that, the Census Data

1 affects everything, everything. We have established linkages with the regional office of the Bureau of 2 3 the Census, and plan to maintain a very active role in whatever deliberations there are, in terms of how 4 5 to -- part of the problem is convincing people to 6 answer the questionnaires. 7 MR. HEIMAN: That's not the Census Bureau's 8 problem is it? 9 MRS. ALIX: It is to a great extent the Census 10 Bureau's problem. 11 MR. HEIMAN: Well, that's an issue we can dis-12 cuss at some other time. 13 MRS. ALIX: But the Census Bureau has as its 14 responsibility finding the way to ease people's fears 15 about disclosing --MRS. MORRIS: I agree. 16 17 MRS. ALIX: -- about disclosing information to 18 the federal government that, although they say is con-19 fidential, and I am sure people on the street don't 20 believe that to be the case, so, I do feel that it is 21 the Census Bureau's problem to get an accurate count

of the people in the United States, and that they need

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to take the necessary measures to work with community groups to help them get into the community, onto the streets to make sure that people understand the importance of giving the information that we all need in order to do accurate planning. And so, what I am saying is, that we are very much interested in the 1990 Census, at least in this area, to be as accurate as we can be, and I don't think it's going to be a hundred percent but -- It's going to require a lot of work with them in terms of finding Census takers that are bilingual, bicultural, that are known in the community, that are not feared, that can relate, that can encourage people to do it, and finding other ways of getting that information across that, "Hey, this is going to be very crucial in terms of political representation, services and everything else that you need."

MR. HEIMAN: Are you dealing with the new Immigration Act in order to deal with the illegal situation in Delaware, if any?

MRS. ALIX: We don't have as major an illegal problem in Delaware, in that, like Jim said, the

majority of the population in Delaware is Puerto Rican, therefore, are citizens by birthright. It is more of a problem in the south, in the southern part of the state.

MR. HEIMAN: I do know several attorneys that are working on illegal immigration problems in Delaware. So, obviously there are some here.

MRS. ALIX: Oh, I am sure there are some.

MR. WALKER: They both exist, and let me just highlight a couple of places, because I think you are right. Most of them are coming in for farm labor, so they are either downstate -- And, I just heard the other day, I didn't know we were into labor brokering, but we are brokering Koreans into this country where they have to work to pay back their passage. I didn't know they did that any more. As well as, and I had not been aware of this, they aren't statistically significant, but again, there would be more mexicans that would be borderline, and a few of them -- they had not been elderly, so that we haven't dealt with them, but in our survey for developing the Church, a few come up from Kennett Square from the mushroom fields, so that

1	is coordinated at least here, if not statewideor the
2	lead has been taken by Catholic Social Services.
3	MR. JONES: Mr. Heiman, for the record, the
4	Migrant Worker Report documents just that concern
5	MR. HEIMAN: Oh, I'm aware of that.
6	MR. JONES: And, secondly, the Census Bureau
7	exercised outreach last year to deal with just the
8	problems Mrs. Alix has delineated. The Census Bureau
9	accepts its responsibility to hire bilingual persons
10	to engage in just these matters.
11	MR. HEIMAN: It's just that it would seem to
12	me that it is of more importance to the hispanic
13	community than it is to the Census Bureau, that an
14	adequate census be taken.
15	MR. JONES: It becomes a cooperative venture
16	out of mutual need.
17	MR. HEIMAN: I think that to come here in 1991
18	and say that the Census Bureau hasn't done it's job,
19	would be less fruitful than doing the job now to pre-
20	pare for the 1990 Census, is all I was suggesting.
21	MR. JONES: Indeed.
22	MR. WALKER: I think that's a good point.

MR. JONES: Right. And, Mr. Heiman, lest we miss the caveat here, the question boils down to, aside from the issues of census and grants-in-aid, whether or not federal law prevails as the Delaware Standard.

MR. HEIMAN: I understand we are back to the definitional problem.

MR. JONES: Yes, you see, it's a very important matter.

MR. HEIMAN: I realize that, and we can discuss this for a long time. I think one of the purposes of the grants-in-aid program is to get around the question of the federal standard. What it does is give to the state, without federal restrictions, the ability to disseminate funds. We don't have to get into the philosophy as to whether we agree with that or not, I suspect we probably agree, but that's a different issue.

MR. JONES: Yes, but now, in a sense, extending that matter for a response from the attorney who advises the U.S. Commission, the answer to just that question, whether or not federal law -- because as I

1	read federal law from my own publications, we are
2	not in compliance. As a matter of fact, if anything,
3	we abuse privileges in this state, and I'd like to
4	get an answer to the very questions and the Standards
5	posed in these two reports. Delaware does not comply
6	with these Standards.
7	MR. CALABIA: Well, we'll seek such an answer.
8	But, let's assume that the answer is in the negative.
9	Is it the consensus of the Committee though, that just
10	as the federal government, and perhaps some other
11	state and other local governments, include compliance
12	provisions in their programs funded out of public
13	monies, just as that is done at those levels, are you
14	suggesting that the State of Delaware also include
15	compliance provisions in the state grant-in-aid
16	program?
17	MR. JONES: As a bare minimum.
18	MR. CALABIA: Would that be the consensus of
19	the Committee?
20	MR. HEIMAN: I hadn't thought that problem
21	through. That creates a problem in my mind, merely

22

because it establishes another level of bureaucracy

•	
1	in Delaware.
2	MR. JONES: I understand your concern.
3	MR. HEIMAN: And I certainly am opposed to
4	almost anything that would increase my taxes.
5	MR. JONES: I understand your concern.
6	MR. HEIMAN: When I expect nothing to be done.
7	Could I just raise a totally different ques-
8	tion, although, mentioned just briefly by Mr. Walker.
9	Delaware is developing a rather significant Asian
10	population, Korean, Viet Namese, Chinese. And, while
11	they are as yet a minority, minority, is anything be-
12	ing done in terms of the federal programs with regard
13	to these people who have, I think, as great a
14	language problem, if not greater, and certainly a
15	greater cultural problem than the hispanic community?
16	MR. LEVIN: I'm glad you've provided me with
17	an opportunity to respond to that Mr. Heiman. We're
18	very much involved in programming, among the variety
19	of things that we have been into. This particular
20	activity comes under the heading of outreach more than
21	investigation. But, reflects an approach that we

are developing and also trying to study as an ap-

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

proach in doing our office work, and that is, to embark on what we call special projects. connotation of this is to come together with recipients of federal funds be they hospitals, or nursing homes, or welfare agencies, social service groups, or whatever, and there are some 43,000 recipients of funds through our department that theoretically we will one day have investigated all together. And we are trying to maximize the use of our resources by being much more efficient, and looking at areas where we have reason to believe there was something wrong, and can come to some agreement with the recipients that there could be something done, and obviate the need for a full blown federal investigation, which is very costly in terms of the allocation of resources from within our office, and certainly is extremely disruptive on the part of the services of the recipient, because we get in, and we are talking to everybody, and looking at all the records, and the conception of that sort of thing.

We have for, I guess, two years now been involved with the problem of Southeast Asian refugees,

initially, in Philadelphia where the population was greatest, and they were closest at hand and, therefore easiest to work with. And we identified that problem primarily as a language problem and ultimately encouraged some nine major hospitals in the area, who we found would be in violation by not providing equal opportunity for the Asian refugee population to participate in the services to which they were entitled, ergo discrimination and violation.

We worked with the hospitals, provided technical assitance. At our expense we had engaged consultants in these foreign languages to prepare, essentially, flipcards with five different languages on there including english. They were distributed to the hospitals, to the emergency rooms and to some other locations, so that if a refugee came in, in need of service, and couldn't communicate because of the language barrier, they would have these cards that they would flip, and the American english-speaking hospital attendant could say, what hurts? And look for that card and hold it up, and the person could pick out which ever line was appropriate to their

language --

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HEIMAN: That presumes literacy, does it not?

MR. LEVIN: Correct, yes.

MR. HEIMAN: Which is an extra-ordinary presumption, considering the general area these people come from.

MR. LEVIN: Well, that's true too. We found, however, as we completed that project, and moved into dealing with the refugee area, in what we felt was our second densest population in our six-state region, namely, the greater metropolitan Washington area. northern Virginia, D.C. and the lower part of Maryland, Prince George, Montgomery County, we found down there, as we learned more about the problem, that it was more than just language. There were cultural factors involved, and these became very significant. As a result, we are now working with the state refugee coordinators of Virginia, the District of Columbia, and Maryland through our Department's office of Refugee Resettlement, which is under Social Security, not to elaborate on the

structure of the bureaucracy, to deal with the problems primarily of the Southeast Asian refugees in the hospital setting. We have discovered, interestingly, that there is a lot going on as a result of various community groups to deal with the problems of this population in terms of mental health, community mental health services, and certain other things, but apparently there has not been much done in the hospital field.

I was informed as recently as today, that the state refugee coordinator in Virginia, who has accepted the lead for administering this thing, we are sort of brokering this project now, has last week sent letters to the 15 targeted hospitals, hospitals which we understand have the greatest treatment census of Southeast Asian refugees in the area, identifying our concerns, the Office of Civil Right's concerns, with potential violations, and that we will be conducting compliance reviews in the next several years to establish the degree of violation and compliance, among the hospitals in the area. And that there is a mutual benefit to be served. The state

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

refugee coordinators who are committed to providing the various social services to the group of refugees, and the hospitals who are committed to provide these services, but find they are not doing an adequate job because they don't understand the cultural differences primarily. She will be following that letter up with telephone calls to the hospital administrators next week to establish their commitment to a training program which we are collaborating on, and we will be involved to insure that the legal requirements that we are concerned with, and the hospital is concerned with, will be adequately addressed in this training. And that the training will be produced by people who have a knowledge of health systems so that it can be applied in a hospital environment or hospital setting.

Interestingly too, and this is just burgeoning on us, and we are very pleased with that effect, she informed me today that the State Commissioner of the Department of Health in Virginia is very anxious to participate in this training plan that we are creating. And also, the representative from the National

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Institutes of Mental Health in Washington are going to contribute some fund into this training program that will be developed. And, primiarly, right now we are looking at a pilot effort that consists of three sessions, four hours each, and will hopefully be given to people in the hospital setting who are in a position of influence and control to bring about the necessary change. MR. HEIMAN: From the Committee, are there before we wrap this up?

any other questions or any other last minute comments

MR. JONES: The question on federal oversight regarding public law --

MR. HEIMAN: -- Is definitely a question that Mr. Calabia is going to take back.

MR. JONES: Yes, to counsel.

MR. HEIMAN: One of the questions that has been raised is, having this information that we have now been provided with, together with the earlier information, and leaving open the question that Mr. Jones has raised for a report back, are we satisfied that with this transcript we can put together some-

1	thing that we should now submit to the Civil Rights
2	Commission generally? And, if so, I'd ask for a
3	consensus on that so we can take this issue and put is
4	to bed.
5	MR. JONES: So moved, for what it's worth.
6	MRS. MORRIS: I second that.
7	MR. HEIMAN: Any dissent?
8	I would only suggest that we will have, hope-
9	fully, a written reponse from General Counsel with
10	regard to the question that Mr. Jones has posed that
11	we can attach to the transcript and make part of the
12	record.
13	I certainly appreciate everyone's attendance,
14	and certainly thank the Panel very much. If there is
15	anything that we can do to service you, based upon
16	anything that we have available to us, we will cer-
17	tainly be happy to do so. This has certainly been
18	informative and enlightening for all of us, I am sure
19	Thank You.

CERTIFICATE

I, T. Ann Wilkes, a Notary Public do hereby certify that the hearing before the United States Commission on Civil Rights, The Delaware Advisory Committee, was held at the time and place stated herein; and that said hearing was recorded stenographically by me, and then reduced to typewriting under my direction, and constitutes a true record of the testimony given by witnesses to come before the Delaware Advisory Committee.

I further certify that I am not a relative,
employee or attorney of any of the parties testifying or
a relative or employee of any of the Committee Members,
and that I am in no way interested directly or indirectly in the outcome of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this $15^{1/4}$ day of 1987.

T. Ann Wilkes, Notary Public