Cer 3 Meet. 214,1

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

OPEN FORUM ON CIVIL RIGHTS IN SANTA MARIA



Pages: 1 through 339

Place: Santa Maria, California

Date: December 1, 1990

CCR 3 Meet.

214.1

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
7	TO THE
8	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
9	
10	OPEN FORUM ON CIVIL RIGHTS IN SANTA MARIA
11	•
12	Saturday, December 1, 1990
13	December 1, 1990
14	Santa Maria Inn 801 South Broadway Hancock Room
15	Santa Maria, California
16	BEFORE:
17	MICHAEL CARNEY, Chairman
18	ARNOLDO BELTRAN, Vice Chairman C.C. CHANG
19	TED COOPER DR. EDWARD ERLER
20	ANDREA PATTERSON HERMAN SILLAS
21	PHILIP MONTEZ, Director, Western Regional
22	Office, Civil Rights Commission JOHN DULLES, II
23	TOM PILA
24	
25	

.

<u>I N D E X</u>

2	<u>SPEAKER</u>	PAGE
3	The Honorable George Hobbs Mayor of Santa Maria	8
4	Hilda Zacarias	26
5	Esteban Valenzuela	37
7	Smiley E. Wilkins National Association for the Advancement of Colored People	53
8	Adrian Andrade	58
9	William Abeytia	61
10	Fernando Castillo	68
11	Joe Talaugon	91
12	Margie Talaugon	100
13 14	Esteban Lizardo Mexican American Legal Ďefense and Education Fund, Los Angeles	111
15	Gilbert Guevara	128
16 17	Jeannie Barrett California Rural Legal Assistance	153
18	M. Ilda Pruneda California Rural Legal Assistance	158
19	David Candelaria	174
20	Dr. Profirio Artiaga	178
21 22	Dr. Gail Tissier Superintendent Santa Maria Bonita School District	187
23 24	Wayne Schwammel City Administrator	222

		3
1	INDEX (Cont'd)	
2	SPEAKER	<u>PAGE</u>
3	Russ Mathews Chief of Police	266
4 5	Dr. Larry Ruttan, Superintendent, Joint Union High School District	277
6	Michael Balaban	298
7	Community Perspectives	306
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(9:05 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting of the California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order. The purpose of this meeting is to obtain information and views relating to voting rights, housing, education, employment and municipal services in the City of Santa Maria.

Participants have been invited and requested to address the Advisory Committee on the issues under consideration. Among those invited to address the meeting are community representatives, civil rights leaders, public and elected officials and other knowledgeable about the issues.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting a report will be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights. I am Michael Carney, Chairman of the California Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee receives information and makes recommendations to the Commission in areas which the Committee or any of its sub-committees is authorized to study. Other members of the Committee in attendance during this meeting will be Mr. Arnoldo Beltran, the Vice Chairman of this Committee, Mr. C.C. Chang, Mr. Ted

Cooper, Doctor Edward Erler, Ms. Andrea Patterson, and Mr. Herman Sillas.

Also with us today from the Western Regional
Office of the Civil Rights Commission in Los Angeles are
Regional Director Mr. Philip Montez and staff members
John Dulles and Mr. Tom Pila.

This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to federal rules applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the United States Commission on Civil Rights. All inquiries regarding these provisions should be directed to the Chair or to Mr. Montez, the federal officer for this meeting.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States Government established by Congress in 1957 and directed to conduct investigations to complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, national origin or by reason of fraudulent practices; also to study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, national origin or in the administration of justice;

also to appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Also it serves as a national clearing house for information about discrimination and it also submits reports, findings and recommendations to the President of the United States and to the Congress. I would like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding forum and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relevant to the subject of today's inquiries. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee.

Since this is a public meeting, the press, radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In this case, we will comply with their wishes. We're concerned that no defamatory material presented at this meeting take place.

In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the person or persons making these statements and request that the desist in this action. Such information will be stricken from the record, if

necessary. Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the issues to be dealt with here today.

In addition, we have allocated time between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration today. At that time, each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee and may submit additional information in writing. Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact a staff member before 4:00 p.m. this afternoon.

In addition, the record of this meeting will remain open a period of ten days following the conclusion of this meeting. The Committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record. These written statements or exhibits should be submitted to the Western Regional Division of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, the address of which is at 3660 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California, 90010.

And for the information of any of you people here who wish to address the Committee between the hours of 5:00 and 6:00 p.m., the staff members that you should contact are over in the corner there, Mr. Dulles and Mr.

Pila.

And we will now proceed and our first presenter is Mayor George Hobbs. Mr. Hobbs, please. Good morning, Mr. Hobbs, and if you would be so kind for the record to state your name.

,7

MAYOR HOBBS: My name is George Hobbs, Mayor of the City of Santa Maria.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: If you have a statement that you -- a prepared statement, you may make that statement now, sir, if you wish.

MAYOR HOBBS: Well, I have. Because this hearing obviously occurred because I made some remarks last July 16th, Far Western Guadalupe, I wanted to read a letter to the editor that I think is pertinent in this situation.

"To the editor: With all the controversy over abolishing hand guns and automatic weapons, I don't know why none of our country's dedicated activists have never called for ban or at least protection from the use of a lethal weapon that is available to anyone old enough to speak, costs nothing to buy, use or maintain and is guaranteed to last a lifetime as well as demolish a target at any distance or render the target too helpless to defend themselves.

This weapon, free to all who care to use it,

is so powerful that even the thought of having it leveled against them have been known to make strong men blubber like babies and apologize for things they've never even done. A weapon so powerful that the threat of having it used against them has caused our congressional leaders to lead our country to the brink of social, economic and cultural disaster by supporting such legislation as Immigration Bill HR 4300, a bill conceived by and dictated to them by the wielders of this terrifying weapon.

What is this weapon you may ask? The answer is simple, as its use can be given in one word, racist, one word that has been used so effectively by minority activists and their equally well-armed supported that millions of American citizens resemble victims of an epidemic of lock-jaw. As a matter of fact, these minority activists have become so proficient in the use of this one-word weapon that last April they publicly announced a hit list of potential victims at one of their ambiguous conferences.

At this particular meeting of minority leaders where their weapons were definitely not checked at the door, Andrew Naviz, Director of Southwest Water Projects was quoted in the press as saying, 'If we cut a deal and it ain't kept, there should be hell raised and hell to

pay. We need a hit list of those people in our own backyard because congressmen are wavering'.

Pete Hernandez then urged Latino leaders to demand that their senators and congressmen take a yes or no stand on employer sanction laws which strike fear and dread into the heart of any politician. That's only about half of the letter, but I think that is what we have had here.

What further led to this hearing, I assume, is a letter I received from Miland D. Smith, Junior, Vice Chairperson of the Fair Employment and Housing Commission, operating out of 1390 Market Street, Suite 410, San Francisco, California. It says, "Dear Mayor Hobbs: The California Fair Employment and Housing Commission of the State Civil Rights Agency, held its regular meeting at Santa Barbara on September 27th, 1990.

As part of that meeting, the Commission held a public forum during which local residents spoke to the Commission about civil rights issues of concern in the area. During the Commission's public forum, the Commission heard several accounts concerning remarks allegedly made by you in mid-July 1990 and in the time since then about individuals of Mexican origin. The Commission also heard a number of reports of incidents

of racial and ethnic violence which has occurred in Santa Maria and the surrounding area".

Point one; I'd like to see some of those reports. I don't think our police department has any reports of any such thing happening. Then it goes on to say, "The Commission has also seen a number of newspaper accounts of your remarks and the attendant controversy and incidents of racial and ethnic violence which have occurred in Santa Maria since mid-July". Again, I'd like to see them listed.

There was a -- this activist group has been before everything they could come before in the County of Santa Barbara to try to have me censored for saying that we have a Mexican problem in Santa Maria. And as they went before these people they -- this is a reply to something they had printed in the press. And I say, "During my speech at the Far Western and Guadalupe, I said, quote, 'At this time in Santa Maria we have a Mexican problem. We have difficulty with scads of illegal aliens who have come across the border and they've made our neighborhoods look not like Santa Maria neighborhoods'.

On certain streets these people gather around in groups drinking beer and smoking cigarettes. It's a formidable experience for a lot of the older people who

have been here for a long time". It was pretty obvious that I was referring to the illegal aliens and that the older people who have been here for a long time who were being intimidated were our good citizens of Mexican descent who had called me to complain about the situation.

However, when those words came out of the mouth of Michael Balaban, the shyster from Santa Anez who presented the allegations against me at last Tuesday night's council session, they were as follows. "Among other things, Mayor Hobbs stated and I quote, 'We have a problem in this community and the problem is the Mexicans'. He also stated, 'Mexican people are dirty and unsanitary'." Quite'a different meaning, wasn't it, obviously, designed to stir up racial unrest. But maybe I shouldn't be too hard on the schiester Balaban.

Since he wasn't in attendance at the Far
Western, he had to get that interpretation from someone
else, and it's very possible that it was from Esteban
Valenzuela, since he has apparently assumed leadership
of the activist group.

To show some of the things that have occurred in regards to myself, I was interviewed by a reporter from Fresno, who came here and acted very friendly and then he wrote up a rather unsatisfactory article about

it, because he had taken a lot of his information from previous stories that said that I sometimes say colorful things in council meetings.

In other words, I hate environmental impact reports. I think they're the biggest waste of money. These companies get about fifty thousand dollars for them. They get it out of their files, out of their computer. They maybe do a week's worth of work on it and charge fifty thousand dollars. So I never hesitate to point that out. That's what they meant by colorful remarks.

But when this Fresno reporter wrote it up, and I went through it. I think he was the McClotchey agency, news agency, it went into the Sacramento paper, the San Francisco paper and even came back down here in the tri-counties paper. And it said, "Mayor Hobbs is noted for making off-color remarks". I never made an off-color remark in any meeting in the whole thirty years I've been on the City Council.

Another example, this was printed in the Santa Maria Times. "Mayor's statements are divisive, manipulative". "To the editor: We have something very important to comment. We both have read at one time or another the very First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America. Furthermore, I commend

you for printing both sides of Mayor George Hobbs' issue problem. Concerning this issue, I have read all the articles and letters to you from this newspaper. All along I have been viewing this issue from a critical, analytical and even emotional point of view.

Well, now it's time for me to take advantage of this very amendment, this very First Amendment.

Before I begin, let me tell you a true story, true story, which took place six years ago. At that time I was fourteen, so he must be twenty now. "I was taking summer golfing lessons at a nearby golf club. At the end of one of my lessons, I went into the dining area to get a cola.

Guess who I saw? Mayor Hobbs was sitting in there all alone. He didn't even look up once. I barely remember him sitting in a chair with his hair messed up, staring down at the table, smoking a cigarette". I have never smoked a cigarette in my life, never, and I don't know why the Times even printed this because I think most of the city knows I don't smoke.

Okay, "He looked like he may have been hungover from the night before. I then said to myself, 'I
can't believe this guy is our mayor. He looks like a
bum'. Now, sociologists and physiologists would say
that I was making a situational observation that

1 included a stereotype. 2 Six years later it is now, and, Mayor Hobbs, 3 you fall very short of being a hero who looks like a 4 bum. Excuse me for using one of your prudent down to 5 earth honest verbal taxies". Another lie; I don't 6 drink, see, so he made up this whole thing. They don't 7 hesitate to lie about anything. They've done it all 8 through this whole situation. And I think I'll open 9 myself up to question at this point. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, thank you, Mr. 10 Hobbs. Do any of the Committee members have any 11 questions? Mr. Sillas? 12 MR. SILLAS: Mayor Hobbs, I'd like to inquire 13 about the structure of the city government, if I can, 14 for a moment. You run at large as the mayor? 15 MAYOR HOBBS: Yes. 16 MR. SILLAS: Do you have a vote on the -17 council? 18 MAYOR HOBBS: Yes. 19 MR. SILLAS: There are commissions, are there, 20 appointed? 21 MAYOR HOBBS: Yes. 22

MR. SILLAS: Who makes the appointments to the commissions?

23

24

25

MAYOR HOBS: I do, with the approval of the

council. 1 MR. SILLAS: So it's your nominee with the 2 approval of the council. 3 4 MAYOR HOBBS: Yes. 5 MR. SILLAS: How many commissions are there in 6 the city that you appoint to? 7 MAYOR HOBBS: Well, the two main ones are the 8 Planning Commission and the Recreation Commission. 9 others are, you know, Library Board -- I shouldn't say that's not important, it's very important, but people 10 more or less seek the other two, the other two 11 appointments and the others, we always have -- we fill 12 them without too much competition, because it's usually 13 one person running for one seat. 14 MR. SILLAS: How many members on the Planning 15 Commission? 16 MAYOR HOBBS: Five. 17 MR. SILLAS: And how many on the Recreation 18 Commission? 19 MAYOR HOBBS: Nine. 20 MR. SILLAS: And of the Planning Commission, 21 how many of those are minorities? 22 MAYOR HOBBS: Two. 23 MR. SILLAS: And what is their ethnic 24 background? 25

1 MAYOR HOBBS: Hispanic. 2 MR. SILLAS: And of the Recreation Commission, 3 how many are minorities? MAYOR HOBBS: Well, we have two -- we had two 4 5 until -- unless -- do you consider women a minority, 6 too? 7 MR. SILLAS: Only if they're --MAYOR HOBBS: There were two, two Hispanics on 8 the Recreation Commission, until one of the complainants 9 resigned in protest of my terrible remark that we had a 10 Mexican situation. 11 How many presently sit? 12 MR. SILLAS: MAYOR HOBBS: What? 13 MR. SILLAS: How many presently sit, just one? 14 MAYOR HOBBS: Just one now, yeah. 15 MR. SILLAS: And how many members are there of 16 the other commissions that you appoint to? 17 MAYOR HOBBS: The other commissions? 18 MR. SILLAS: Yes. 19 MAYOR HOBBS: Well, we've appointed since -- I 20 used to try to do this real democratic. We'd take a 21 secret vote. See, each member of the council would vote 22 and we'd have a secret ballot and the City Clerk would 23 tally them to get someone so we wouldn't hurt anybody's 24

They wouldn't know whether they got any votes

feelings.

or not.

But then the newspaper decided that they'd like to be right in on the process and be able to see who got turned down and everything. So we had -- we were forced under the Brown Act to do it the way it reads in our City Code, which is for me to appoint with the approval of the council.

MR. SILLAS: All right. Excuse me, but of the other commissions, are we talking about maybe ten, fifteen, twenty persons that --

MAYOR HOBBS: No, we're probably talking about one since we started doing it this way, there's a Library -- a Black Goal division of the Library board member.

MR. SILLAS: All right, but how many of the other commissions, other than the Parks, Recreation and the Planning Commission, what are the total number of persons that sit on those other commissions? Are we talking about twenty persons?

MAYOR HOBBS: I can't really say. I'm not -I can't think of those other commissions readily.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, but of that group your recollection is that there was only one minority that sits on those commissions?

MAYOR HOBBS: I'm not sure there -- because

1 I'm not sure of the makeup, you'll probably get that 2 better when you're City Administrator or --3 MR. SILLAS: All right, that's fine. Let me 4 inquire then, in terms of the participation of Hispanics 5 in the municipal elections, do you have any information 6 to advise this Committee as to the number that 7 participate in the elections? 8 MAYOR HOBBS: How many minorities? 9 MR. SILLAS: Percentage-wise, percentage-wise of the votes cast. 10 11 MAYOR HOBBS: I don't have any idea. MR. SILLAS: Do you know of any barriers that 12 would prevent them from -- artificial barriers that 13 would prevent them from participating in the elections, 14 assuming that they are citizens? 15 MAYOR HOBBS: No barriers at all. 16 MR. SILLAS: Does the city have a policy 17 pertaining to the service -- providing services to the 18 residents of the city if the persons are not citizens? 19 MAYOR HOBBS: No. Now you're asking questions 20 that should probably be asked the City Administrator. 21 We pay him almost a hundred thousand dollars a year. 22 They don't pay me anywhere near that and I don't --23 MR. SILLAS: Now, is it your testimony -- is 24

it your testimony then that the policy of the city is

determined by the City Administrator as opposed to the City Council?

MAYOR HOBBS: The policy of the city is supposedly -- comes from the decisions of the City Council.

MR. SILLAS: All right.

MAYOR HOBBS: And he carries the policy decisions out. He's the administrative head.

MR. SILLAS: All right. And to your knowledge, there is no policy that you know of that prevents the services of the city to be denied to persons who reside in the city --

MAYOR HOBBS: Well, I'm sure there's no policy like that, right.

MR. SILLAS: And you, I take it, are in favor of making sure that services that are available to all residents be available to everyone regardless of their ethnic or ancestral background.

MAYOR HOBBS: Well, yes. I think I went further out at that Guadalupe meeting and stated that I wasn't in favor of sending the people back that are already here, but that I'd like to see a border or a obstruction built at the borderline that would keep more from coming in. We just can't stand any more.

MR. SILLAS: I appreciate your comments. Our

focus, however, here is as it pertains to the city. And as it pertains to the city, any and all persons that are residing here in the city, you have no problem that they — with the proposition that they should receive all of the services that the city provides.

MAYOR HOBBS: No problem at all.

MR. SILLAS: Okay. That would include --

MAYOR HOBBS: I might have some problems with what the county provides, but as far as the city, no, I have no problem at all.

MR. SILLAS: And that would include police protection; is that right?

MAYOR HOBBS: Definitely.

MR. SILLAS: That would include housing?

MAYOR HOBBS: Definitely.

MR. SILLAS: That would include education?

MAYOR HOBBS: Definitely. Incidentally, well, education, there I would argue. I have nothing to do with it. I think bilingual education is a mistake. My mother-in-law taught school for many, many years in Guadalupe. Jim May over here, who was formerly one of our councilmen, his mother taught at Bonita School for about fifty years. And the policy then was, when the new immigrants came from Mexico, they went cold turkey right into that situation and they didn't hear any

Spanish at all.

And their parents at home were urging the children to learn English so they could come home and teach it to them, so they could become good American citizens. And so I have every -- I'm not for bilingual education. I think it does a disservice to the child of Mexican ancestry. It's a wrong thing. It's been pushed onto the school systems by activists and it's not good for the children, not good for the people.

MR. SILLAS: All right. I understand your opinion. Based on what you've stated in terms of your policies, if in the course -- following the course of this hearing, there is found to be barriers that prevent persons within your city, regardless of their background, and there are found barriers to prevent them from participating in the city's voting process, educational process, employment process, municipal service process or housing and there were recommendations made to you, I take it then that you would seriously consider those recommendations if, in fact, you found that there was barriers.

MAYOR HOBBS: We'd immediate take steps to correct them, if we found any wrong.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Does any other Committee

1 member wish to address a question to Mayor Hobbs? 2 MR. BELTRAN: Let me ask a question of the 3 Mayor, Mr. Chairman. In light of the policy that you 4 espouse, Mr. Mayor, regarding services, to your 5 knowledge, does the city provide any means by which the 6 delivery of these services can be assured to the 7 minority or ethnic communities of the city? 8 MAYOR HOBBS: Like what? 9 MR. BELTRAN: Like Spanish speaking city employees? 10 MAYOR HOBBS: Well, we've got a lot of Spanish 11 speaking city employees, yes. 12 MR. BELTRAN: In what capacities to your 13 knowledge? 14 MAYOR HOBBS: I'd advise you to ask that of 15 16 the City Administrator. I don't have the figures. MR. BELTRAN: Well, no, I'm asking you to your 17 knowledge whether the city provides the means by which 18 delivery of these services can be assured. You're 19 responding in the affirmative. I'm wondering if you 20 have any basis for responding in the affirmative beyond 21 making the statement that there are Spanish speaking 22 city employees. 23 MAYOR HOBBS: Well, give me an example. 24

In the housing sector, do you

MR. BELTRAN:

have administrators who are bilingual?

MAYOR HOBBS: I don't know. I don't know, but let me tell you something about in the housing sector. Since I've been on the City Council, the last thirty -- about thirty years and a half now, I have either initiated or supported through my fullest extent about one thousand units of housing, low rent housing, affordable housing, so-called, nowadays. And about eight hundred of those units are occupied by people of Mexican origin. So I say that we probably are seeing that those people get those kind of services.

MR. BELTRAN: Are there any other sectors of the city government where you're aware that the same is the case, that there are no language impediments for the delivery of those services?

MAYOR HOBBS: I would say that there are none, right, there are none.

MR. BELTRAN: And keeping with Mr. Sillas' remarks then, if we were to identify any type of problems of that nature, I take it then that you would work with the people of the community to remedy those situations.

MAYOR HOBBS: Yes, we would.

MR. BELTRAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Any other questions to be

1 addressed to Mayor Hobbs? It appears there are no more 2 questions, Mayor Hobbs. And we want to thank you very 3 much for your participation in this forum. 4 MAYOR HOBBS: Thank you very much. I'd like 5 to be here all day but there are a couple of other 6 appointments I have. Thank you very much for hearing 7 me. 8 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Next on our agenda we have 9 Esteban Valenzuela and Hilda Zacarias. We're having a little difficulty with chairs. We'll take a moment. 10 Mr. Valenzuela and Ms. Zacarias, would you state your 11 names for the record, please? 12 MR. VALENZUELA: Yes, thank you, sir. Esteban 13 Valenzuela, attorney here in Santa Maria, private 14 practice. 15 MS. ZACARIAS: And I'm Hilda Zacarias. 16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Which of you would like to 17 proceed first? 18 MR. VALENZUELA: I believe that Ms. Zacarias 19 has an urgent appointment and I would implore the 20 Commission to allow her to speak first. 21 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Certainly. 22 MS. ZACARIAS: And I do have a prepared 23 statement. 24

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, go ahead.

MS. ZACARIAS: First of all, good morning.

Nice to see you this morning here. I'd like to thank

you all for the opportunity to share a little bit of the

recent history of what has been happening in our

beautiful city.

I am current co-chairperson of the Community for the Betterment of Santa Maria Valley along with Mr. Valenzuela. This committee was formed in response to Mayor George Hobbs' comments regarding the Mexican problem, but we have now evolved into an advocacy group committed to the struggle against racism and discrimination.

I also speak as a life-long resident of the area who has seen many changes in our city, but never have I seen such a rapid change as the one that has occurred in Santa Maria since July 16th. As you now know, that was when Mayor Hobbs chose to isolate a Mexican problem. He has since termed it an illegal alien problem. His solution, building containment camps south of the border with all the amenities for them to live.

In subsequent news articles, he went so far as to say that they live like pigs. They can't help it. That that's what they're used to. Needless to say the Latino community was outraged. And how did the rest of

the community respond to this leader? They supported his statements. They praised him for his courageousness. They cheered him for his perception.

Letters to the local paper ran approximately sixty percent in favor of Mayor Hobbs. One woman went so far as to state with pride that, "If being a bigot meant that she considered her culture superior to others then she was proud to consider herself a bigot".

Those of us in the community who chose to speak out against the attack were labeled by any number of terms, some of which you've heard this morning, opportunists, shysters, activists. Most illustrative of Hobbs' position was when he labeled us during our appeal to the City Council for censor of his comments. He labeled us as a semi-secret Mexican militant organization with an intent to take over California politics within the next ten years.

These comments have fueled the fears of those feeling threatened by the influx of Mexican immigrants into our cities. Those persons who have lived in the same home for many years and who now see four or five families living together next door to them, are afraid, yet they cannot put together the economic and cultural puzzle that led to this situation.

The rent in Santa Maria, although far less

than Santa Barbara, is incredibly unaffordable for a field worker. Thus, they join together, usually with other family members, to pay the rent. When you have so many persons occupying a single unit you will experience a quicker rate of wear and tear. This deterioration is what the frightened neighbor must contend with, along with an inability to communicate their frustrations with that neighbor. The result, an angry citizen who complains to his or her city's mayor about those

The mayor, choosing to lump together those Mexicans, validated these fears. Persons who have suppressed feeling of frustration with issues such as bilingual education, illegal immigration, rundown neighborhoods and perceived criminal activity by Mexicans have received a message that it is okay to berate them.

Just last month while I was precinct walking a man told me that we should build a ten-foot wall with dogs and machine guns on the border to keep the Mexicans out of the United States. This type of openly hostile discrimination has become common place, not that the discriminatory activity has occurred only since July 16th.

Before that time at the Town Center Mall, a

Mexican woman was trying to order a cookie for her little girl. She had limited English skills and spoke with a heavy accent. The employee told her that if she couldn't speak English, she could go back to Mexico, that she wasn't going to serve her.

Many persons resent the fact that they believe they are losing jobs to bilingual persons because they feel they shouldn't have to speak Spanish to begin with. Problems? We have many. Solutions? We are just now beginning the search for solutions. We turn to the other leadership of the city as well as the Board of Supervisors of the county to follow the lead of Mr. Tom Allen, President of the Santa Maria High School Board of Trustees, to issue a resolution condemning the Mayor's comments as racist and divisive.

Mr. Allen's resolution, passed unanimously just days after Mr. Hobbs' comment, was drafted to show support for all persons in the district regardless of race, creed, color, gender or national origin and for abolition of prejudice. Yet our leadership chose to make excuses for the comment.

With the exception of Mr. Wallace of the Board of Supervisors, not one official was willing to take a stand. Rather, they chose to make excuses or adopt water-downed versions of anti-discriminatory resolutions

with no mention of Hobbs or his comments. We, as a community, cannot be surprised this inaction. This is the same City Council that looks the other way when developers do not adhere to the city's requirement that they set aside ten percent of new housing for low to moderate income families.

9.

This is the same City Council that requires an employee to housing ratio for new industries arriving in the city to assure adequate housing for the new employees and yet, when agricultural land use increased by over three thousand acres in the last three years, which required an additional ten thousand workers, they make no mention of this requirement.

This the same City Council that drafted a resolution supporting expansion of Vanderburg Air Force Base because, to quote one City Councilman, "Those are the type of people we want here in our community". Well, we have all types of persons in this community. This community cannot stay the small town that it has always been. Instead we must work within the resources that exist and look to other cities that are experiencing the same pressures for direction.

This puzzle of social, economic and cultural inequities can only be pieced together if we have the leadership willing to appreciate each piece and join

them together for the sake of our future. And we need forums like this one here today where all persons have the opportunity to address this serious problem, discrimination so that we may learn from the mistakes that have been made and build that future which is the dream of all immigrants, both old and new. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: In the interest of your situation, we would ask now the members of the Committee if they have any questions to address to you and we have to keep in mind also that we're on a time budget here. So, if anybody has any questions of Ms. Zacarias.

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Chairman. Could you point to specific things that you believe the city is not doing for persons solely because they happen to be of a particular ethnic background?

MS. ZACARIAS: I can speak only from my feelings to say that I believe they are not looking to solve issues that affect persons that are not directly -- that do not have direct access to the process. I feel that many of the people who are involved in the situation that was created by the city do not know or do not have the time or the inclination to go to City Hall and say, "This is -- I really need help with housing. I really don't want to live thirty people in this house".

And I believe it is the role of the City Council to know there is a problem because of the responses they have received from persons and seek solutions.

DR. ERLER: Is the city faced with a homeless situation as in other cities?

MS. VALENZUELA: Yes, it is.

DR. ERLER: And is the makeup of the homeless solely of minority persons or does it conclude whites also?

MS. ZACARIAS: I personally don't have any knowledge of that. I do know that at the homeless shelter they generally have families, women and children, and that is really the only thing I know.

DR. ERLER: What would be your solution for the situation you've described pertaining to the large number of Hispanics or Mexicans in homes and the influx of the ten thousand workers that you made mention to?

MS. ZACARIAS: Well, I believe that a partnership should be formed with the agricultural community and the county, since it affects both the city and the county, to make some positive decisions as to providing things such as migrant housing, not low income housing, because a migrant worker does not have income for twelve months out of the year and not in this

1 community. So really, I believe a solution may be to 2 have a joint project to provide migrant housing. 3 MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not such a 4 proposal had been made to the city of Santa Maria? 5 MS. ZACARIAS: I know that there are various organizations, some of which are going to be speaking today about their efforts to secure housing. 7 8 MR. SILLAS: You don't have a problem, do you, 9 with anyone exercising their constitutional right to speak out on any issue that they feel inclined to do so? 10 11 MS. ZACARIAS: Oh, absolutely not. MR. SILLAS: And so the Mayor, in his 12 comments, was exercising his constitutional rights? 13 MS. ZACARIAS: That's correct. 14 MR. SILLAS: You wouldn't want to prohibit him 15 from speaking and exercising that right, would you? 16 MS. ZACARIAS: No. 17 MR. SILLAS: And I take it that your comments 18 and exercise -- and activity has been an exercise of 19 your constitutional rights? 20 MS. ZACARIAS: Absolutely. 21 MR. SILLAS: And I take it you don't want 22 anyone interfering with that? 23 MS. ZACARIAS: No, I do not. 24 MR. SILLAS: And to this date, has there been 25

any interference that you know of by government officials or officials of the city that would have prevented you or intimidate you in anyway in exercising those right?

MR. VALENZUELA: Mr. Sillas, if I may speak at this time.

MR. SILLAS: Sure.

MR. VALENZUELA: I realize that it is not exactly my turn but in answer to a couple of the questions of what the city may be doing, I believe that it is the administration of the regulations, the ordinances and the manner that they are administrated that has a discriminatory effect upon the minority people of this community, primarily Hispanic, Mexican.

And in terms of the voting or participation, I believe that the comments of the Mayor that the people that rose against his comments and went to the City Council and asked for an apology, exercising freedom of assembly, exercising the rights of free speech, to then come back and label those persons as a semi-secret Mexican organization seeking to overtake California, created a subversive, a type of almost a red baiting atmosphere that did result in persons being intimidated in participating in a protest or taking steps against the type of thing that the Mayor was doing.

So I feel that it is not as blatant as we may have seen. And I know that this Commission probably sees more blatant problems in other areas. It's a subtle, but a very effective method of discrimination that we have seen and intimidation in the exercise of civil rights.

MS. ZACARIAS: If I may respond to that same question, I do believe that it has made me a more realistic person to be labeled racist, activist, shysters, semi-secret militant. I have my friends who call me up and laugh and say they're secret agents themselves. But I have people who will then, because of those comments, disallow my comments. They are no longer valid comments because I am just an activist. So they're not valid.

And I believe that that is where my concern in, is, yes, everybody has the freedom to exercise their rights, but when they create situations that are affecting other person's rights, then I feel that it is time for us to stand up.

DR. ERLER: How do you believe that the situation is affecting other person's rights? I mean, you've made a case for a general kind of climate of opinion that exists, but can you give us some examples? For example, do you believe that -- you said that you

believe that some groups were excluded from direct access to the political process. Is anyone prevented from running for office or voting in an office or appearing before council meeting to air their opinions or do you have some evidence of direct exclusion from the political process?

MS. ZACARIAS: From the political process? I could only, again, state that the individual's position in securing a livelihood for themselves in and of itself lends it to not having the same type of access as if we were here in Santa Maria working from 8:00 to 5:00 and was able to attend a City Council meeting, to attend it and speak in English also is a barrier because it's --

MR. BELTRAN: Let me ask you a question, following up on what Mr. Erler inquired about. To your knowledge, is anyone allowed to address the City Council in Spanish and use a translator?

MS. ZACARIAS: They are?

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Well, do you know?

MS. ZACARIAS: Oh, only from a group that was appealing for housing, there was a gentleman who spoke in Spanish and there was a remark made that they should speak in English.

DR. ERLER: Was that a remark by a member of the council?

1 MS. ZACARIAS: Yes. 2 DR. ERLER: Was he allowed to speak in 3 Spanish? MR. VALENZUELA: My understanding is that he 4 5 was not. 6 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Doctor Erler, do you have 7 any further questions? DR. ERLER: No. 8 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Zacarias, when was this 9 event? 10 11 MS. ZACARIAS: I'm sorry, I don't have the date. 12 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Were you present? 13 MS. ZACARIAS: No, I was not. 14 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Are there any more questions 15 for Ms. Zacarias? Mr. Valenzuela, do you want to make a 16 statement? 17 MR. VALENZUELA: If I may briefly. 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Certainly. 19 MR. VALENZUELA: Honorable Commission, it is a 20 pleasure to have you here to respond to the concerns 21 and, I believe, needs of the members of the Santa Maria 22 community to address civil rights and to perhaps become 23 better educated among ourselves as to what civil rights 24 are and what civil rights mean and how civil rights can 25

be violated and are violated in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I realize that you folks deal with the question everyday, but for many of us, and I think specifically the mayor, perhaps does not realize the extent of the protections that our Constitution, Federal Constitution and adopted by our California Constitution offers. I would like to recall for the body here that all persons are entitled to the same civil rights, whether they are citizens or whether they are aliens.

And I believe that is the fundamental distinction that the Mayor has commented on and divided this community with his Mexican problem. In fact, there is -- there is growth, as Ms. Zacarias mentioned, and I think everyone in this community recognizes, and there are pressures within this community. And for the Mayor to define and say, "The problems we have in the community are the cause of a certain ethnic group, a Mexican group", and then to go further than that and say false statements such as, "The Mexican people are responsible for ninety percent of the crime in this community", that is a false, unfounded statement that was made, I believe, with the intent to incite hatred or to incite contempt for this community, specifically the Spanish speaking community.

His comment that the Mexican people are

causing a deterioration of our neighborhood, his comment that the Mexican people are unsanitary and -- is creating a finger to be pointed at an ethnic group that does not have power politically to resist this type of abuse. And the result of that -- well, his comment that the amnesty law was the worse thing that ever happened to this country and that the Mexican people should be placed in camps, where they will have all the amenities of life and never want to come back to Santa Maria, places an atmosphere or encourages an atmosphere where racial tensions have always existed since the history of this valley and California and the competing cultures of California as Mexico and the United States movement west.

And we have seen, throughout the California history and also in this valley, and I am a fifth generation Californian of the central coast, I've learned these things from my own family that after the initial change in political structure, that an era of hostility, an era of intimidation took place in a very blatant and a very violent form. And I believe that what is taking place in our community since July is a renewal but it is a very subtle nature.

When we have incidents that come forth in our community of direct violence against Hispanic people

directly related to the comments of the Mayor and came to light in some of the community minutes that were held. Ms. Zacarias mentioned one in the cookie incident. There was another one where a Mexican child was touching goods on display and the vendor became very angry and said, "You're the Mexicans George Hobbs is talking about".

We have an eyeball witness to that incident. It created a hostile incident between the two cultures. Youths of Anglo heritage confronted some groups of Mexican heritage and there was nearly violence but it dissipated. A resident of Santa Maria, Carlo Romero, was an eyewitness to that incident and reported it to the committee.

There was -- when we went to the City Hall and asked for the Mayor's apology for this type of comment against our community and attempted to educate him and the council concerning how this affected us as Mexican people, a person who had demonstrated was assaulted by a motor vehicle and nearly hit and yelled from a young person of Anglo heritage, "Go home, Mexican".

And further incidents of direct violence where a young man who was employed at the Burger King Restaurant here in Santa Maria was surrounded by his coemployees and told, "You're taking American jobs", and

threatened him with actual physical violence and he was compelled to leave his employment for his own safety and that is documented and witnessed to by one Rosa Caeserio who is employed here with an agency here in Santa Maria. The incident by the City Hall was also witnessed and I have that person's name but not before me. I can submit it later.

I have another incident that came forth from a Mr. Moreno, a long time resident of Santa Maria, who at a fiesta was accosted by Anglo security guards and was battered and was held by one and said by the other, "This is for Mayor Hobbs" as he was struck severely and received injuries. Now, these are only isolated incidents of people that had the courage to come forward and speak to this committee that formed in nothing more than a response to, "We, as responsible Hispanic people of this community, how do we respond to this publicity that is creating an atmosphere of anger; it is creating an atmosphere of intimidation"?

And I view this, honorable Commission, as an intimidation and suppression and a means to maintain oppression of a minority group that does not have economic nor political power. And I am very sad to say that as a result of these comments of Mayor Hobbs, that normally it is my understanding he won his election with

approximately forty some percent of the vote, that in this past election of November 6th, 1990, he nearly doubled that with sixty-six percent of the vote and not one Hispanic won a single position in this community.

And we had the most Hispanics running ever.

And I have to say that there is an ignorance that exists in our community concerning civil rights and I have to say that there is an ignorance in its application from our own leadership.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Valenzuela, pardon me for interrupting but we are on a strict agenda.

MR. VALENZUELA: In closing, let me just say in closing, sir, that I would see the solution to this problem to be education. And the way to bring about education, as I would see it, would be two-fold. One would be the establishment of a body within our local government in Santa Maria to address the question of civil rights and its impact upon the community whenever there is a regulation, an ordinance or application and present a forum for this to be viewed separate from the City Council open hearings which I do not believe are effective for that purpose.

Secondly, would be to support our educators in their efforts not only to continue bilingual education, which has proven to a strong force in eliminating

1 segregation, but to encourage history of Mexican 2 history, Chicano studies, if you will, so that our 3 community will have a true understanding of our place in 4 history and a sense of dignity and a sense of pride 5 rather than the remnants of a conquered people or 6 oppressive or hereditary slavery from the colonial era 7 as taught by our western civilization and is now taught. 8 Thank you very much. 9 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Valenzuela, I didn't mean to cut you off, but we do want to have the 10 11

opportunity to address questions to you and that's why I was trying to limit your remarks.

MR. VALENZUELA: Any questions by the Committee to Mr. Valenzuela?

> MR. COOPER: I have one, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper.

MR. COOPER: Mr. Valenzuela, is there at this time any office, any official place in the city, where a person who feels that they've been victimized by a discriminatory act could go and appeal or file a complaint or get help? Is there anything official in the city that you know of?

> MR. VALENZUELA: To my knowledge, no, sir. MR. MONTEZ: Does the -- Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Go ahead, Mr. Montez.

25

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Does the county have any vehicle MR. MONTEZ: such as a Human Relations Commission or --MR. VALENZUELA: It's my understanding that the county does have such a vehicle within its administrative government. And, of course, we're not addressing specifically the county problem but I feel the availability of that has not been extended to the north county residents adequately at all. MR. MONTEZ: But it is a county-wide committee or commission? Yes, sir, I believe so. MR. VALENZUELA: 11 MR. MONTEZ: But it doesn't reach this far, is 12 that what you're saying? 13 MR. VALENZUELA: Well, its authority does, but 14 it seems as though, due to the distance or the politics 15 or the financial constraints that that ear doesn't seem 16 to be available to the north county residents as it may 17 be to south county residents. We need to expand that 18 certainly and we're hoping to do so with the new 19 leadership in the county. 20 21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

MR. COOPER: Have you tried the county or has anyone approached the county from this area that you know of?

MR. VALENZUELA: I think Ms. Zacarias mentioned that the county has had a fairly deaf ear to this and, in fact, consider it a city problem. They consider our problem up here a city problem. So we have them passing the ball between two agencies in my view.

MR. MONTEZ: Might I just suggest, Mr.

Chairman, that a letter be sent to the county on

behalf -- I can't make a motion, because I'm staff, but

I would if you'd let me.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Doctor Erler, do you have a question for Mr. Valenzuela?

DR. ERLER: Yes. I take it that in your opinion there's a direct causal link between the incidents of violence and purported discrimination and the Mayor's remarks, but at the same time you also indicate that the Mayor had First Amendment rights to say those kinds of things. But the idea is that they're creating a kind of atmosphere that's chilling the exercise of civil rights. Is that your point?

MR. VALENZUELA: Very much so. I believe that the Mayor articulating the policy of the city, if you will, is creating an atmosphere where the application or enforcement of the ordinances, for example, housing or congestion, we realize that after some of these comments were made that there was a cleanup of certain neighborhoods on the west side that are predominantly Hispanic and many cars were towed. Persons were asked

to get off the front areas and of course, this is a direct enforcement that just happens to be against Mexican people.

DR. ERLER: So this is a piece of evidence that there is discriminatory enforcement.

MR. VALENZUELA: I believe it is. I believe if the Mayor had an idea of really solving the problem that he would also look to the landowners and to the apartment owners, who in many cases, are not residences of this community and do some enforcement in that regard about how many people are living there, what are the conditions, are the services adequate, are the toilets flushing, are the ceilings falling down, and if they are, why are they, rather than clamping down on the victims of this housing situation who are outside or who are parking cars where there's insufficient parking. That application is discriminatory, I believe.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Valenzuela, you referenced to specific incidents. I take it that you are saying two things to this Commission; one, that the Mayor's comments encourages those persons who are opposed to Mexicans living in their community to take more aggressive acts against Mexicans.

MR. VALENZUELA: Yes, sir, that's correct.

1 MR. SILLAS: I also gather from your comments 2 that you indicate that the comments have a chilling 3 effect upon Mexicans living within the district, within 4 the city. 5 MR. VALENZUELA: Very much so, that's correct, 6 sir. 7 MR. SILLAS: Can you give me -- well, let me ask this; you talked about a group gathering as a result 8 of the comments. How large was the group when you first 9 10 met? MR. VALENZUELA: Approximately two to three 11 hundred persons. 12 MR. SILLAS: And since that time there were 13 subsequent comments made by the Mayor. 14 MR. VALENZUELA: Correct. 15 MR. SILLAS: In which there were persons that 16 were participated in that were given certain labels. 17 MR. VALENZUELA: Correct. 18 MR. SILLAS: Was there a reduction in the 19 number of the persons that attended your meetings 20 thereafter? 21 MR. VALENZUELA: I would say after those 22 comments of the Mayor suggesting that we were some kind 23 of semi-secret Mexican organization with -- the 24 participation in the group dropped to roughly fifty and

then twenty. And persons directly said that, "We have to quit," because they were losing their friends and their spouses are angry or they're afraid.

We had one person very active and said that she's afraid the city's going to do something to their housing application. That's Ms. -- I have her name. I don't want to state it.

MR. SILLAS: Did any of them indicate that they were fearful of the loss of their jobs?

MR. VALENZUELA: Yes, yes.

MR. SILLAS: You need not give the names.

MR. VALENZUELA: Part of what we had done was to show solidarity against discrimination, racism, and we had a green ribbon campaign. And persons were told either get the off or get out.

MR. SILLAS: Was there any other threats made to persons of your organization in terms of retaliation that would take place if they continued to participate in your functions?

MR. VALENZUELA: I believe I have relayed all that I can recall.

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Chairman, I would ask that the staff be instructed to meet with Mr. Valenzuela later to obtain the names of the individuals that he made reference to, that they be interviewed for the

specific incidents that have been referred to here and that with adequate protection for their identification, that they be a part of the report.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We will certainly make that recommendation to staff members. And I notice they are taking note at the moment. Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Valenzuela, did your group make a proposal to the city to establish a civil rights group?

MR. VALENZUELA: No, no, we have not done so specifically.

MS. PATTERSON: What proposals have you made?

MR. VALENZUELA: There was an offer from one
of the council members to establish some type of a board
or commission to address some of these problems, but it
didn't materialize. And I'm not sure why not. I have a
feeling in my own sense that with a political election
year that we were operating under, that association with
our body was viewed as being political suicide. And I
know certain candidates that specifically did not want
to be associated with the Committee for the Betterment
of Santa Maria Valley and against discrimination and
racism, which was the name that this community
organization assumed.

So in light of that, I don't think there was a

receptive political environment for working with out group and I think that was the stalemate at that time.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Valenzuela, these incidents of violence that you've related, have there been identifiable assailants?

MR. VALENZUELA: I believe in some, there are.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Have there been any followup with the police department or the county sheriff that
you know of, resulting in arrests and prosecution?

MR. VALENZUELA: To my knowledge there have not, although there is an attorney practicing in town who specializes in criminal law, Mr. Derburarros. It is my understanding that he has filed additional claims against the city for unwarranted or unnecessary violence. Whether they apply to these specific incidents, since the Mayor's comments I'm not aware.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Has anybody made any complaints to the police department following -- the victims made complaints to the police department following these incidents of violence?

MR. VALENZUELA: I'm not sure, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You understand that for the police department to act and prosecute these individuals that those incidents have to be reported to them, otherwise they can't do anything. You understand that.

MR. VALENZUELA: Yes, I understand that, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, Mr. Valenzuela,

because of the time, going over our budget or our

agenda, we want to thank you very much for your time and
your information.

MR. VALENZUELA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And if you would make yourself available to the staff members at the rear of the room, we'd like to talk to you further about your comments.

Ladies and gentlemen, I wanted to remind everyone, please sign in when you're entering and that if you have statements that you wish to make to this Committee, you may do so during the period from 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., but please see one of the staff members before 4:00 p.m. if you wish to do so.

Additionally, I noted during the remarks of Mr. Valenzuela that there were several members of the audience who wished to ask questions. We cannot — because of the agenda situation that we cannot allow ourselves the luxury of fielding questions from the audience. So, if you'll understand that and if you want to make comment, you're certainly free to do so. Please make note of them and address your situation to the staff member and you can do so during that period from

5:00 to 6:00.

We're not trying to discourage your input or your questioning or anything, but we do have time restrictions and if I keep talking we're going to have more time restrictions. So I would ask if Mr. Smiley Wilkins is present, would he step forward?

MR. BELTRAN: Mr. Chairman, before we go on, I'd like to follow up on the comment made by Mr. Montez earlier that this Committee -- let me make it by way of a motion, that this Committee inquire of the Human Relations Commission for the County of Santa Barbara about its activities and the handling of the types of complaints that Mr. Valenzuela made reference of in his comments so that we may get a response as part of this record of the activities of the county commission.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Is there a second to that motion?

MR. SILLAS: Second.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, there's a second.

All in favor?

(Aye)

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Carried. That
recommendation will be -- or that motion will be
followed up. All right, Mr. Wilkins from the National
Advancement -- pardon me, National Association for the

Advancement of Color People, if you will, sir, we're running short of time. I don't mean to step on your statements, but if your statement that you prepared is lengthy, would you try and summarize it? You may submit it and it will all appear in the record. But in order to field questions, we do wish to have that opportunity. And I know all the members of the panel are anxious to ask questions in this area. So let that guide, sir.

MR. WILKINS: Okay. My statements aren't long. Civil rights, the rights and privilege of citizenship, these are our God-given rights and should not be denied any citizen has gone through due process of law. One cannot be denied civil rights and receive equality under the law, for a denial of civil rights has a ripple effect. It denies an individual justice, equality and the pursuit of happiness in all facets of life which is a contradiction to the rights guaranteed by the Constitution.

Denial of civil rights may be covert or overt or may even be unintended. Nevertheless, it puts individuals and groups at a disadvantage which is obviously negative actions in these circumstances. The Santa Maria branch and the Lompoc branch of the NAACP is encouraged by the visit of the Civil Rights Commission in the central coast area. Your presence gives hopes to

the aspirations of individuals and groups who are dedicated to the cause of human relations and, of course, to civil rights.

Additionally, your visit is particularly timely when one considers the national and local crime in which civil rights and liberties are being eroded. Social and economic assistant programs are also under attack. Racial hate groups are on the increase and a small group of elected officials feel compelled to resort to the use of distasteful and/or demeaning ethnic and racial slurs.

In our immediate vicinity, and I'm sure you're quite aware of that, Mayor George Hobbs indulged in inflammatory and decisive rhetoric in his unprovoked slur upon the Hispanic population of Santa Maria during a pre-election lecture in July. In another area of civil rights, the police department of Lompoc and Santa Maria, as well as Santa Barbara Sheriff's Department, are frequently accused of alleged brutality.

Job discrimination in a variety of agencies and industries is yet another area of frequent complaint. Mr. Chairman, I have reported only a brief overview of problem areas in the central coast area. I would be seriously remiss if I did not report that in general the people and the minority groups enjoy

excellent opportunities as far as fair housing and equitable treatment in employment of housing.

end of the social and economic scale suffer inordinate in all endeavors of life. In my observations, I have observed really as far as housing is concerned if you have the money you get to live wherever you want to. That having been said, I am compelled to remind the Commission that when one person is denied equal treatment and consideration, then equal treatment and consideration of all is in jeopardy. That concludes my statement.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Any questions for Mr.

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Wilkins --

MR. WILKINS: Yes.

MS. PATTERSON: -- you mentioned that there were some examples of police brutality.

MR. WILKINS: Yes.

MS. PATTERSON: Could you expand on that, please?

MR. WILKINS: Yes, and of course, I don't happen to have the cases with me, but we had some cases that our Civil Rights Director, Mr. R. Hicks, who happens to be in San Diego right now, has a case and we

Wilkins?

are referring those cases to your Commission for someone who was supposedly beaten very badly as far as the police department is concerned. And, of course, right, wrong or indifferent, you know, it did occur. And those areas will be checked out.

We do have solid cases to give you and we will be forwarding that to you next week.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Wilkins, these incidents of brutality at the hands of police agencies, are they restricted to any -- in your acknowledging way, are they restricted to minorities?

MR. WILKINS: Well, I couldn't say that, because I don't know about all the groups. You know, I hear other people complaining from time to time. But I have noted that we do get quite a few complaints in that particular area. And you know, we haven't checked it out thoroughly to determine the validity of all the complaints.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: What you're saying is that -- your basic statement is that the incidents of brutality cover all racial groups; is that correct, as victims?

MR. WILKINS: Generally, that's what I am saying. However, from my observation, I have observed from what I know specifically it occurs in more cases as

far as minority groups are concerned.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Any other questions from members of the Committee? Well, Mr. Wilkins, you've helped us catch up on our agenda. I want to thank you very much for your attendance here and for your input. And we look forward to the submission of the other material and other information.

MR. WILKINS: You'll get it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, sir.

MR. WILKINS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ladies and gentlemen, those of you who are in the rear of the room, you're welcome to help yourself to the refreshments that are there, but I would ask those that are over by the door to please get seats. There are empty seats and for the convenience of ingress and egress of other members of the public who wish to come in, and also for safety reasons. So if you would kindly find one of the empty seats.

Next on our agenda we have Adrian Andrade, William Abeytia and Fernando Castillo.

MR. ANDRADE: Good morning, my name is Adrian Andrade.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: In case all of you gentlemen were not here a few moments ago, I'll repeat what I

said. If your prepared statements are lengthy, we'd ask you to summarize them, and you may submit them to the Committee for entry into the record. We do wish to get all the information that we can from you. And we also wish to have the opportunity, as Committee members, to question you about the specific items that you are seeking to report on. And who wishes to go first.

MR. ANDRADE: I don't mind going first.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, Mr. Andrade?

MR. ANDRADE: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner.

Thank you for your introductory remarks. I was not here earlier and I appreciate them. I don't have any prepared written statement. I just have a number of notes that I made this morning in preparation for today.

My name is Adrian Andrade. I've been a resident of this area since 1978 and I am a practicing attorney here locally. And I've practiced here since that time. I have a family and most recently what brings me here today is I was a School Board candidate for the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District.

My concerns are concerns with respect to impact on voting. When we commenced the campaign earlier this year, there was a sense of excitement among all of the candidates. And that sense of excitement continued until sometime in July, July of this year. In

July, as I think you gentlemen and ladies know, the Mayor of our city made several statements focused on what he called a Mexican problem.

As a candidate, I would like to stress that the fact that he had raised these issues in the manner that he did made it very difficult for a candidate of Hispanic background, of Mexican background, to deal with that issue and not focus on the issues that were at hand. In my candidacy, I was attempting to focus on the issues of dropout rate, parental involvement, different kinds of issues, yet each time one attempted to do that, this underlying issue would creep up to the top.

My focus is not so much in terms of the statements that he made, but to focus on the continuation of his statements. On a radio program, in particular, on July 25, 1990, there was a number of statements that were made by our Mayor. One of the statements that he made was that, "These people, the Mexicans, were ruining our cities".

And an example that he gave was a city in the valley where they -- and I assume he was referring to Mexicans, elected, and my emphasis is elected, a whole city council. The whole five of them were Mexican activists and they bankrupted the city. Those are statements he made. I have a copy of the tape and am

willing to present it to the Commission.

Those are the focus of my statements today, because he was talking about electing Mexicans to the City Council and I understood that to mean that he was talking about citizens who vote, Mexicans who run for elected positions, that somehow that was not appropriate. He said that the city was bankrupted and that that's what can happen if Mexicans are elected to positions of authority.

My concern today is that that was not mindless mumblings of a gentleman, of a person. Those were pronouncements from our Mayor about voting. And in effect, he was attacking every citizen's right to vote. He was attacking every citizen's right to elect their leader and in doing it in the manner that incited and aroused pernicious types or racism.

As a consequence, voting patterns, and we would be willing to submit those to the Commission once they're complete, but voting patterns as I have reviewed them, and I'm not a political scientist, I grant you that — as I have reviewed them have indicated that voting went along racial lines. And my concern was the statements that were made, that they were pernicious and that they incited this kind of racism and in effect, affected the election of all of the candidates that were

running during this election period.

MR. ABEYTIA:

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, Mr. Andrade. Do either or you gentlemen have a prepared statement to make or other remarks to address prior to a questioning session?

I have some remarks to make.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right. I would ask that, if you could, if you would replace yourself there in Mr. Andrade's chair and introduce yourself for the record. And if you would kindly keep your voice up because everybody here is interested in hearing what you have to say.

MR. ABEYTIA: My name is William Abeytia.

I've lived in Santa Maria for thirty years. I'm an accountant and I'm a business consultant, often referred to as the Godfather, but have no fear, there is no Mexican mafia in Santa Maria.

In July of this year the Mayor made some statements that were, needless to say, derogatory, I'm not going to rehash that over again, in saying that we had a Mexican problem. We do not have a Mexican problem in Santa Maria. We have a Santa Maria community problem. That's what he should have said, that we do not have the leadership to deal with these problems and other problems that are prevalent in our community.

Instead he chose to create a division between the citizens of this community. At no time has there been a denial by any of the Hispanic community member that we have an influx of Mexican agricultural workers in the Santa Maria Valley from Mexico. Whether they are undocumented or not is not for me to confirm, since I do not inspect documents. I do not inspect the green cards, the citizenship papers or anything else.

If the city -- if the mayor or any city official knew that this was in effect that there were illegals in the City of Santa Maria, in the valley, why then didn't they take the initiative and go to the INS and say, "Gather up these people and deport them" which is the law, but they chose not to do that.

Let me tell you why they chose not to do that.

Because these people spend a hell of a lot of money here
in the valley. Through my office there's in excess of
fifteen million dollars that is generated by farm
workers. That's in labor. Now, that's all right when
we get their money, "But for God sakes don't live next
to me. I don't want you living next to me; I just want
to get your money".

Why didn't they do something about the overcrowding in the housing? Again, it was not in the landlord's best interest. There's indications, and I don't have the proof, but I do have some of the farm workers who have come forward to me and said that one landlord has received as much as two thousand dollars a week in rent in one house. It sounds funny, doesn't it? It sound outrageous, but that is the truth, ladies and gentlemen.

The statement that the Santa Maria Times -that the City Administrator made to the Santa Maria
Times just recent was that the charges were unfounded.
And in the same breath he says, "If we move these people
out where would they go"? Well, if the charges are
unfounded, you don't have to move them out. It doesn't
exist, right? It seems to me that the whole -- you
know, the city officials and so forth, there's a hell of
a lot of talking through both sides of their mouth.

We don't -- we can't believe anything the city says anymore. And that's unreal. I've known George Hobbs and I believe I've known a lot of gentlemen here -- ladies and gentlemen here for the time that I've been in Santa Maria. I'm an American citizen, and damn it, don't nobody else call me anything other than an American. But I will not stand by and have any other ethnic group -- my background, I will not stand by and have those people abused just for the sake of winning a seat on the City Council, just for the sake of

controlling politics in Santa Maria.

I don't think that we're a threat to anyone.

I really and truly believe that there is a problem in a lot of the Anglo community's mind in seeing a Villa Vinca (phonetic) driving a 1990 Cadillac or a Miquel Chavez living in a three hundred thousand dollar home. We have come to where we are now controlling this strawberry industry in Santa Maria. It was a struggle, one hell of a struggle and I was in the forefront of that. We could not get funding. We could not get land. We could not get anything, until such time as we put our act together and now some people even call me Mr. Abeytia now.

It's really unfortunate. To me it is sickening, okay. We don't have a Mayor that is doing what he's supposed to be doing. We don't have a City Council that is acting like it should be acting. We don't have them doing anything at all for the benefit of the community except themselves to be in there to control the politics.

Let me tell you, ladies and gentlemen, in closing, that we don't pose a threat to this community. I think that the majority of us Americans, and I want to emphasize that, I went to Korea. I was shot down. I've got silver knee caps. I risked my butt for this country

and I would do it again. And nobody's going to destroy it, if I can help it. We are willing to live here, work side by side with a George Hobbs, but they cannot stand that we have worked hard. We have done what we can to educate ourselves; proof, attorneys, doctors, teachers, accountants, businessmen. That didn't come about just by handing it to us. We worked for it, ladies and gentlemen, because we too like the better things in life.

It is a pleasure, ladies and gentlemen, when I drive to Las Vegas in my Cadillac, boy, I am just as comfortable as all get out. It's a lot better than going on the Greyhound bus, I'll tell you that.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Is that how you come back?

MR. ABEYTIA: No, believe it or not, the last
time I went in a forty-five thousand car and I came back
in a three hundred thousand dollar car. That's what we
like. We like nice things. We like to dress well. We
like to live in nice homes. We like to eat well. We
like anything anybody else would like in this room.

So we're not a threat to you. And I think that's really what bothers you, is that we are becoming an economic threat to you. Don't fear for that; we're going to build for you and we're going to build for ourselves. We're going to build for the community. If

you don't believe it, take a look at California Giant on the corner of Blosser and Stowe. That came up from scratch. Take a look at Robert Chavez and Sons Farming, Miguel Chavez and Son, Cardenas, Juan Cardenas, Juaquin Cardenas, Alberto Cardenas, Robert Chavez, I can name you a bunch of them.

Each and every one of them worked and worked and worked to get where they are and they are contributing to the community, ladies and gentlemen. They are taking nothing from the community. They are contributing. Likewise, all the workers — like I say through my office goes a hell of a big payroll. Even if fifty percent of that payroll is spent here in Santa Maria, let me tell you that is a lot of money.

You don't want the Mexicans here? Hey, that's fine. We'll stand in front of the grocery store and if the -- like I told Bill Williamson and some of the other people, I said, "We'll stand in front of there and we'll check their documentation. If they're legal you can come in and buy. If you're not legal, you can't". "Oh, no, no, we can't do that". That's all right.

I said, "Maybe what we ought to do is get all our money out of the banks, the local banks and take it someplace else". Sounds radical, doesn't it? I'm not a radical, ladies and gentlemen, but it's just an example

_

of what could happen if we pulled out that money from the banks.

Let me finish by saying I don't want to take up too much of your time. I'm having a hell of a time up here. I enjoy this, really.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And you're not even a lawyer.

MR. ABEYTIA: My dear old daddy used to say, "Bill, don't even shut up if you've got something to say". What we need in this town to have a more adequate representation for the Mexican, the Hispanic, Latino, what are you people more comfortable with calling me? Latino, Mexican, Hispanic, Mexican American? The hell with you all, just call me Bill. that's what I am. I'm an American and I keep emphasizing that because I want you to know it, that most of them are becoming citizens. They are becoming naturalized, okay.

One day the Hispanic community will be properly represented when there is council managed districts, when we have a full time City Council, somebody who can be accountable to the people not at large. Some day we will have a City Council who will make decisions and not city staff.

In closing, ladies and gentlemen, let me tell

you what's happening in the City of Santa Maria. The tail is wagging the dog because the staff is making all the decisions in this city. Thank you very much. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, sir. Mr. Castillo?

MR. CASTILLO: My name is Fernando Castillo.

I was a candidate for the mayoral race here in Santa

Maria. The concern that I have and wish to share with
the Committee is that in October of this year, 1990,

Mayor Hobbs made some statements and some promises that
I believe were misleading and did indeed mislead many
people.

One of the things he said was, and I quote the Santa Maria Times as quoting him, "The city gets all the grants it can to subsidize housing. And the real problem is, is that we need to shut the borders to keep illegal aliens out of the country and stop the displacement of funds to those people" which are the Mexican immigrants, "from coming in and having to take services away from the American public".

I'm a businessman and an educator here in town and I'm not affiliated with the city in anyway, but I find it strange how a lay person like myself can get on the phone and start calling and asking questions and

find that the State of California indeed does have funds and government grants to subsidize housing for migrant farm workers to the tune of ten million dollars.

I find that strange for a man that's been in office as long as Mr. Hobbs has been in office to say that he has diligently sought all the possibilities he can to subsidize housing. Well, I only have one question that I've run into time and time again. I think it depends on who the housing's for. The housing project that the state has in proposal would cover medical facilities, schooling facilities and day care for the children of migrant farm workers. It's estimated that the State of California and all the total number of migrant farm workers that go through California, we in the central coast receive fifteen percent of those migrant farm workers.

Now taking this all into account, in lieu of the statements that Mayor Hobbs and other people have made about over-crowded homes, people living four, five families to a home, I think it's very narrow minded to say, "Let's close the border and stop those people from coming around", when yet the State of California generously has these funds. They're not funds that will be taxed upon the people of California, but rather funds that have been laid aside for years.

And I just find it difficult as a former candidate, as I went door to door talking to people, and they asking me about this program, that they thought there was no need to invoke a program like this in the area for the mere reason that Mayor Hobbs is going to close the border. Speaking to the Justice Department, to Mr. Paul Smith, he informed me that -- the INS, he informed me that the policies of this government, the last administration or this administration, have never had the intention to close the border.

Just recently, President Bush made these statements, that the United States and Mexico were one family and we needed to join more tightly together to form a more prosperous and job generating alliance. So, in conclusion, I'll say this, we're a farm labor oriented community and I don't think the City Council or the Mayor has addressed those issues and helped those people which bring in literally millions of dollars to this community. And I think it's about time that those things start to happen.

And I hope that in these proceedings that something will be done, because the laborers in this area do contribute a great deal to the economy of this city. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, gentlemen, we're

1 going to ask questions of you and for Mr. Andrade's 2 sake, I'm going to ask you, sir -- or actually for the 3 Reporter's sake, as a lawyer, I know you don't have any 4 difficulty talking, but we do want you to keep the 5 volume up. That way you don't have to play musical 6 chairs. 7 That's fine. MR. ANDRADE: 8 DR. ERLER: Mr. Chairman, I have a question, if I may. 9 10 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We'll start at this end of the table, Doctor Erler. Mr. Chang, do you have any --11 I expect we're going to have a lot of questions here. 12 So I'll try and do it in an orderly fashion. Mr. Chang, 13 do you have any questions you wish to address to either 14 of these -- any of these gentlemen? 15 MR. CHANG: I don't have any. 16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right. Doctor Erler. 17 DR. ERLER: I was just wondering, the three of 18 you are obviously political opponents of the Mayor and 19 you've lost in one form or another in the political 20 process. 21 MR. ABEYTIA: No, not me. 22 DR. ERLER: I'm sorry, but you're all 23 political opponents of the Mayor. 24

MR. ANDRADE: I don't think that's a correct

statement.

DR. ERLER: Well, it seems -- from your remarks you certainly indicated that the policies of the Council, including the statements and policies of the Mayor, are defective in certain regards, but I'm wondering here when you've talked about the voting and the general climate, what are the civil rights issues involved here? I mean, the Mayor -- you've all said that the Mayor has made narrow minded statements and however distasteful they might be. Has there been any denial of access to candidacy or voting for minorities in this community? Have there been any barriers that you can point to that have disrupted the political process that discriminates in a way that would raise civil rights issues? Any three of you.

MR. ANDRADE: If I may respond to a couple of your concerns.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: For the record, you're Mr. Andrade?

MR. ANDRADE: Yes, my name is Adrian Andrade.

And I was a candidate for the high school board which
encompasses part of Santa Maria but it also encompasses
the City of Guadalupe and the incorporated area of Orca,
so it wasn't just the City of Santa Maria. But my
concern was the statements that were made with respect

to voting, and I didn't talk about it in the other statement, the statements that he made about voting.

And the thrust of my argument was that he incited folks, placed this fear with respect to candidates who are Latinos or Mexicans and the like, and made it very difficult in order to discuss some of the issues during my candidacy.

Although I did not oppose the Mayor and I didn't take a stand with respect to many of the candidates that were running for the City Council or Mayor's position. The statements that were made and the results after the election, winning with a very high percentage of votes, indicates that there are — that the Mayor did what he set out to do, that is incite folks to come out and vote, vote for him. They did do that.

I think if one looks at where the voting -how the voting occurred, that there were more folks
voting in different areas of the city that are not
traditionally considered the Hispanic areas. Those
people came out to vote. So those are my concerns.

There was nothing brought to me that I saw -there was people changing precincts and doing those
kinds of things, traditional Justice Department kind of
issues. Those were not anything that we saw or anything

that I saw. And my campaign was separate and apart from anybody else's campaign. So I don't know what Mr. Castillo or Ms. Lopez, who also ran, or any of the other candidates had, but my campaign was separate and apart.

DR. ERLER: What are the civil rights issues involved here? Let's say that the Mayor's made some distasteful statements and a lot of people agreed with him and they come out and vote. I mean, that's one of the unfortunate side effects of political life is these things happen. But what's the civil rights issue involved? That's the thing that I'm missing.

MR. ANDRADE: Well, I'm talking about the percentage of folks that come out to vote, if -- voting is a civil rights issue. And voting, if you're talking about a particular candidate or type of candidate, and you are asking folks to come out and vote to oppose those kind of candidates, and that's what's going on. And decisions are being made on the basis of race.

If for example, and I'm sure you gentlemen are aware, if certain statements are made with respect to the reputation of a particular candidate during an election and there's a court finding that the false statements have affected — or have affected the candidate's ability to win the election, under California's Constitution that winning candidate can be

asked to step aside.

And I think -- I'm sure, since you gentlemen are on the Commission, you're aware of those kinds of things. So statements that are made during the candidacy can have the impact upon voting and voting patterns and those kind of things. And so California law has dealt with those particular issues. So that's, in my mind, sir, the civil rights issue or the voting issue that I have spoke to.

MR. CASTILLO: I'd like to add to that. My name is Fernando Castillo, in that as I campaigned and went through the city, I very much encountered a negative feel towards the Hispanic community in the sense that — again, with housing, that there were abuses of housing, there were neighborhoods being dilapidated because of four or five families living to a home.

So the blame that I saw was more of a racial, more of a type of people in the sense that the Hispanics were doing this and not in the sense that, you know, what is the City Council or what is the Mayor, what's the leadership in the city doing about it, but rather it's those people that are the problem.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: Yes. Mr. Abeytia, if I

understand your comments, your -- or, I'm sorry, not Mr. Abeytia, Mr. Andrade. If I understand your comments pertaining to the statements made by the Mayor, they had an effect to bring out votes that might not have normally participated in the voting process. And the votes that were brought out were votes in favor of the Mayor. That was one effect.

MR. ANDRADE: I believe that that is accurate.

MR. SILLAS: All right. Do you know whether or not the comments had an effect on the Mexican vote in terms of decreasing its numbers?

MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Sillas, I have not -- as I said before, I'm not a political scientist. My major was history and I've looked at the figures and we can present those figures. I think that they were just finalized as of yesterday and I haven't seen the final figures. I looked at the figures with respect to the high school election.

And there is in some of the northwestern voting precincts less in terms of the percentage of registered voters who came out than in other precincts. And so, as I said before, I'm not a political scientist. I can't make that direct connection and tell you, yes, that in fact occurred. I can give you the raw data and perhaps you all can make your own conclusions.

3

1 MR. SILLAS: In the course of -- and I 2 recognize that you campaigned for a school board and I 3 take it you walked precincts. 4 MR. ANDRADE: Yes. 5 MR. SILLAS: And in the course of your precinct walking, did you have an opportunity to talk to 6 voters? 7 MR. ANDRADE: Yes. 8 MR. SILLAS: And did you talk to Mexican 9 10 voters? MR. ANDRADE: Yes. 11 MR. SILLAS: Did any of them ever -- did any 12 of them indicate to you that they were not going to vote 13 because of the Mayor's comments? 14 MR. ANDRADE: No, no one indicated to me that 15 they were not going to vote because of the Mayor's 16 comments. A number of them discussed the Mayor's 17 comments and were very upset by the general overall 18 comments that he made. 19 MR. SILLAS: Conversely, did they indicate 20 because of his comments they were going to make sure 21 that they went out to vote? 22 MR. ANDRADE: No, they did not make that 23 statement. 24 MR. SILLAS: Did any of them indicate that

they were not going to vote because they felt that the atmosphere that was created by the Mayor's comments was a negative atmosphere and they did not want to participate in the process?

MR. ANDRADE: There was some of that. Some of those comments were made but I don't -- and they were made with respect to the Mayor's comments but I don't know whether or not those folks may not have participated at any rate. That's something that I wouldn't know.

MR. SILLAS: All right. But it's your statement that, although you were not running in a municipal race that the Mayor was involved in, his comments had a direct effect on your campaign.

MR. ANDRADE: As I said before, I -- his comments had an effect. The effect that they had on the campaign is difficult to measure because one, I'm not a political scientist.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, I understand.

MR. ANDRADE: Two, there are other kinds of things that affect political elections. However, I would submit that my candidacy was supported by a teacher's union who went out and did a lot of door-to-door, who sent mailers and who put a great deal of money behind the campaign. My campaign also was a campaign

1 that probably had, in terms of the high school election, 2 spent the most money. 3 They worked very hard at this campaign. 4 not to say that other folks did not, but I think there 5 was some impact. What that amount was, I mean, I think 6 one gentleman won by two hundred and ninety-six votes or 7 something like that. It was a very close race in terms 8 of the number of votes. My candidacy was way down. There may have been other factors that contributed to 9 that. I'm not here, you know --10

MR. SILLAS: I understand.

MR. ANDRADE: -- complaining about that.

Okay, let me just ask a similar MR. SILLAS: question of Mr. Castillo. I take it you walked precincts.

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, I did.

MR. SILLAS: I take it you walked precincts in the Mexican community.

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, I did.

MR. SILLAS: Did you encounter any voters indicating to you that they were not going to go to the polls because of the comments made by the Mayor?

MR. CASTILLO: I can't say that they outright told me that.

MR. SILLAS: Did any of them conversely

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

indicate to you that they were definitely going to go to the polls because of the Mayor's comments?

MR. CASTILLO: No, they didn't and I will say this though, there was a feel and I did get this comment. And I can't say that the people that gave me this comment -- the comments that I'm about to share did vote or didn't vote, but they conveyed to me, "What's the use"?

MR. SILLAS: Did you -- following the Mayor's comments, did you notice a drop of support, of volunteers, persons participating in your election from the Mexican community? Was there a drop-off of their participation?

MR. CASTILLO: As far as my campaign, I can't say there was.

MR. SILLAS: Was there an increase because of it?

MR. CASTILLO: It was about the same as far as I'm concerned, but then again, I'm speaking for myself.

I'm not speaking for the other candidates.

MR. SILLAS: So your statement is that -- the best you can tell us is that as a result of the comments there was statements made to you by Mexican voters indicating that, "What's the use", kind of a dead-end participation.

MR. CASTILLO: Right.

MR. SILLAS: Had you encountered that type of comment prior to the Mayor's campaign as you walked precincts?

MR. CASTILLO: Before I even ran for office or placed my papers in to run for office, I did not find that type of feel in the community. And after -- as a result of the comments, I began to see a division in the community.

MR. SILLAS: Did you have support of the non-Mexican residents prior to the Mayor's comments? Did you have voters that you talked to in your precinct walking who were non-Mexican who indicated they were going to support you?

MR. CASTILLO: Prior to that, I had not walked precincts, prior to his comments, but I had contacted different people, different organizations, and they said that they would indeed support me.

MR. SILLAS: Following the Mayor's comments, did you get any indication from any of the non-Mexican groups that they would not support you?

MR. CASTILLO: As far as the groups themselves, I can't say that. As far as people that I encountered during the precinct walks, as I stated earlier, there was a question of, "The Mayor's going to

close the border so why should we address those issues 1 that you're bringing up"? 2 MR. SILLAS: All right. And these were 3 comments from white voters or non-Mexican voters? 4 MR. CASTILLO: Mostly Anglo voters. 5 MR. SILLAS: All right, and it was -- did any 6 of them indicate to you that they were not going to vote 7 8 for you because you were Mexican? MR. CASTILLO: They said that they already had 9 made up their minds who they were voting for --10 MR. SILLAS: Okay. 11 MR. CASTILLO: -- the people that I talked to. 12 Then again I'm talking to a limited number of people, 13 you know, when I say this. I'm not saying that I talked 14 to every single person in Santa Maria, because I didn't. 15 MR. SILLAS: Did any of the non-Mexican voters 16 that you talked to indicate that they were going to vote 17 for you following the Mayor's comments? 18 MR. CASTILLO: That they were not going to 19 vote for me? 20 MR. SILLAS: Yes. 21 MR. CASTILLO: They --22 MR. SILLAS: Or, I'm sorry, that they were 23 going to vote for you in spite of the Mayor's comments. 24 MR. CASTILLO: The ones that I personally 25

talked to, they were personal acquaintances, so they would vote for me regardless.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson.

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Castillo, what examples can you give us of civil rights violations with respect to housing?

MR. CASTILLO: As far as civil rights violations, the one thing I will say is that, again going back what I had said earlier, that the Mayor's comments that he had looked into all the grants that were possible for low income housing, again as a layman, I find it strange — I will state again that I can get on the phone and contact people with Migrant Affairs and find out there are monies for migrant workers that do go through the area. And as far as them being helped or supported, with these grants and with the city and the Mayor backing them, that I saw that not happening.

MS. PATTERSON: Are you aware of people being denied housing because of their ancestry, any incidents?

MR. CASTILLO: That I know of personally, no.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: I have a question for Mr.

Abeytia in terms of the political structure within the Hispanic or Mexican community, did you see any impact after the comments of the Mayor on the willingness of

some of your clients to support the candidacy of Mexican individuals running for office?

MR. ABEYTIA: No, the Mayor's comments had a chilling effect on the -- on the Hispanic people. Many of them -- and let me go back just a bit. Many of them are from Mexico. They are accustomed to the Mexican politics, the way things are done in Mexico, you might say. They can do any damn thing they want, okay. And they felt that because of the same situation here that our City Council was going to so as they damn well please no matter who -- you know, how they voted.

So they chose not to participate for that very reason, not because they felt that they were going to be harassed or anything else, but they -- it was, "What for"? That was the attitude that I got from most of my clients. Even clients that had reason to become citizens have said, "What the hell for? I'll just go work, do my thing and let the rest of it aside. We can't deal with politics. We can't deal with the politicians. They're in power and they're going to be in power and you know, nothing's going to change no matter what".

MR. BELTRAN: The perception being that there is not going to be a sharing of that power by the politicians.

MR. ABEYTIA: That is correct, yes.

MR. BELTRAN: And that they cannot have an impact on that.

MR. ABEYTIA: They felt that they were too few and too -- to have any impact on that, so why bother.

MR. BELTRAN: All right. Is that the sentiment -- I assume there had been discussions regarding the possibility of councilmanic districts. Is the sentiment that they would -- even in that context would not be able to elect their own representatives?

MR. ABEYTIA: Oh, no, no. The councilmanic district concept has been discussed many times among the clients and gatherings and so on and so forth and it's a very -- to them, it's the most positive thing that could happen to the Hispanic community, to have representation on the City Council and other -- or any other body, governing body in the City of Santa Maria.

MR. BELTRAN: There is consensus that by that means representation would be obtained in the city government?

MR. ABEYTIA: Yes, not necessarily electing a Hispanic to that position, okay, but electing someone who would be directly accountable to that councilmanic district or to that district. As it stands now, you know, you go to any of the city councilmen and they'll

say, "Well, I'll look into it. I'll look into it".

One of the remarks I think that Mr. Abaske made about the Mayor's comments, "Well, he doesn't work for me. If he worked for me, I'd fire him". But yet there was not censor of the remarks of Mr. Hobbs. And these are the kind of things that we -- you know, that we look at. We can see this happening, that you can't sleep in the same bed and not share the same blanket, you know.

MR. BELTRAN: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Then you feel the Mayor's remarks do reflect the policy of the City of Santa Maria?

MR. ABEYTIA: Yes, I do, yes.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Abeytia, has there been any efforts to your knowledge in the past few years to change the situation to make the representation one of a councilmanic district?

MR. ABEYTIA: We talked about it at one time. This was some years back. And, no, there was no -there was no concerted effort. I will have to say that there was no concerted effort on the part of the Hispanic community to do that.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Well, was there an effort on any community's part or any members of the community?

MR. ABEYTIA: No.

DR. ERLER: By the same token, do you believe that the present system is being maintained for explicitly discrimatory purposes?

MR. ABEYTIA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Abeytia, you commented at the beginning of your remarks by saying it's a community problem and not a Mexican problem. You distinguished that.

MR. ABEYTIA: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: In your experience in living this community, have you noted whether or not there has been a -- was that always in existence, that idea that it's a Mexican problem or is that something that's developed over recent years?

MR. ABEYTIA: No, I think it has developed over the past couple, three years, two or three years. Let me explain why. Mayor Hobbs stated that the amnesty was one of the worst pieces of legislation that ever came about and blah, blah, blah. Well, he had the same opportunity that I had to address the congressional committee on immigration in Los Angeles opposing it and he chose not to do that for political expediency.

Now I was opposed to the amnesty in its original form without the sanctions to the employer,

because otherwise I felt there was no -- it had no teeth in it. You couldn't -- you couldn't do anything with that piece of legislation. All we were doing is handing our green cards and -- which would eventually turn into passports. Now, this sounds kind of like I'm turning it around.

I want to show you people that, look at both sides of the picture. Perhaps we are wrong in this amnesty. Well, let's take a look at it. Let's see what it's doing. Now, when we needed all these people here that was one of the biggest -- I should say, to get all these people. Well, we need fifteen thousand workers in this valley to harvest the strawberries which is an eighty-five million dollar a year income, industry, and they said, "My God if we don't have all this stoop labor, what the hell are we going to do"?

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Abeytia, were you living in the community when the Bazero Program was in existence?

MR. ABEYTIA: No, it had just gone out. It was just on its way out. I'm aware of though. I'm familiar with it.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. -- in interest of time,
Mr. Castillo, in your experience and also you, Mr.
Andrade, were there any voter registration drives

1 initiated by any minority group or any group at all in 2 the community? 3 MR. CASTILLO: Yes, there were. 4 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And was there any -- was it 5 a minority initiated drive or was it a political initiated drive? 6 MR. CASTILLO: That I know of, there were 7 8 several, some by the Republican, some by the Democratic club in the area, the Republican club in the area. 9 about every organization in Santa Maria at one time or 10 another, I heard had a drive for registration for 11 12 voting. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Are you aware of any 13 instances where people were discouraged from registering 14 to vote? 15 MR. CASTILLO: That I know of that I can 16 document, no, I don't. 17 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Andrade? 18 MR. ANDRADE: No, but there was a southwest 19 voter registration early on to focus on certain areas of 20 the community and that effort, for a number of reasons, 21 lost its steam and did not -- was not completed 22 principally because of all of resources that were needed 23 to bring to bear to get that thing going. And so there

were a number of efforts and that one in particular was

24

brought about in order to deal with the Hispanic registration numbers, not to get out to vote focus in the community. That, I don't believe, was done.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Gentlemen, I want to thank you very much. On behalf of the Committee here, I want to thank you very much for your participation.

MR. ANDRADE: In response to Commissioner
Sillas question, whether or not there were -- any other
information I had, and the one thing I did recall later
on was that I was told by another candidate running for
the high school district that I had to be very concerned
because I was considered the -- this candidate told me,
as the Hispanic candidate and I had to be able to deal
with that particular issue.

And so that was highlighted and I think when one looks at the figures that that is going to bear out that there are certain areas, the fact that I was the Hispanic candidate affected the turn-out and the way the voting went. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Gentlemen, again, thank you very much for time and your input. We'll next hear from Joe Talaugon and Margie Talaugon. Excuse me, we would ask for about a two-minute break. You may sit down if you wish.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: On the record. We're back into session here. Can we have it quiet, please? Can we come to order now, please? Would you kindly state your name for the record, please, each of you before you begin your remarks? Thank you.

MR. TALAUGON: My name is Joe Talaugon. I grew up here in Santa Maria Valley. I grew up actually at the S. H and R. Ranch Number three on the road between here and Guadalupe. My father was a farm worker all of his life and I also worked in the fields.

Fifty-two years -- I have a prepared statement, by the way. Fifty-two years ago in Santa Maria, if you were of brown skin you were not allowed in the Santa Maria movie theater. Discrimination existed in Santa Maria. There was another theater in another part of town where we were allowed to go, but we were ushered to sit in a certain section in the front of the theater. I remember because I was there.

As a young boy of about eight or nine years old, I remember going to the J.C. Penny store with my parents to buy some clothes. They had to wait to be served at the counter until the white people were served first. There were many other incidents of discrimination towards brown people that I remember. Discrimination, racism was very common by the Anglo

community in those days and it continued on through the years.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Excuse me, Mr. Talaugon, I happened to see the prepared statement you have and we do have a time --

MR. TALAUGON: It's only eight minutes.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Excuse me.

MR. TALAUGON: World War II came along and many Mexicans and Filipinos, Japanese and other minorities served in the armed services only to die and those that came home faced discrimination. Santa Maria Valley is made up of many agriculture farms. The Mexicans and the Filipinos were the main source of labor in producing those crops, as it still is today.

In those days the farmers had labor camps which housed the farm workers. These labor camps existed until about the 1970's. The camps were below standard living conditions with no indoor sanitation system, water or heat. But they kept the farm workers living in the camps and paid them low wages.

This type of living condition was very common until the camps were finally torn down because of unsanitary and dangerous conditions. The people, the farm workers, are now displaced and have to find some other housing. There is none available in the city so

this created an over-crowding living condition for many of the farm workers.

Still the farmers need the workers to work in the fields. Most of the workers come from Mexico because they have to work and provide for their families. Here we are fifty-two years later, discrimination, racism, still exists. How do I justify such a statement? I will give testimony to that effect.

On August 4th, 1990 the aftermath of Mayor
Hobbs' racial statements in public about his so-called
Mexican problem, the Committee for the Betterment of
Santa Maria Valley was formed. We presented a
resolution to the City Council, asking for the
denouncement of the Mayor and asking for his apology to
the Mexican people. Their response was negative to our
resolution. The only vivid recollection I have of that
night was the Mayor's rudeness to the audience mostly
Mexican and him continuing to shuffle papers and calling
us a bunch of jackals. I ask is that a good image of a
supposedly responsible city official?

There was a demonstration on the outside of the chambers by local citizens asking for the Mayor's apology. After the meeting we were leaving the parking lot. A carload of Anglo young men sped by yelling, Goddamn Mexicans, go back to Mexico". I also remember

someone saying that a Mexican woman and her child nearly got hit by a carload of angry young Anglo men.

Because of Mayor Hobbs' statements in the press, much anti-Mexican sentiments were generated in the community and it still exists today. I also have with me photos of swastikas and white supremacy slogans painted on the wall in the back of the J.C. Penny store on or about August 17th, 1990.

On August 14th I was appointed by the committee to present a resolution to the Board of Supervisors, again asking for them to denounce the Mayor and ask for his apology. Unfortunately, only three Board members were present that day, Supervisor Wallace, Holmes, and Mioshi. Mr. Mioshi had already pre-arranged a resolution on behalf of the Board which was called a racial harmony resolution. They did not take any position on their part to denounce the Mayor who has caused so much grieve and unrest in this community. The Board had the patience to let us make our presentation even though they had already agreed on a watered down resolution.

I find that very insulting and insensitive on their part as leaders of our county. After my presentation, they proceeded to vote on their resolution. I had to call for a point of order before

they voted to request they make an addendum to include the treaty of Guadalupe Hildago to their resolution.

It reads, "Whereas, pursuant to a treaty between the United States and Mexico known as the Treaty of Guadalupe Hildago, signed February 2nd, 1848, whereby California was divided and transferred from Mexico to the United States, guaranteed preservation of the Mexican conditions, culture and language". It is the responsibility of the Board of Supervisors to take leadership and try to calm the waters after such blatant racial remarks by our Mayor.

The Board felt that it was a city government problem and not theirs. Since it was out of the Council's hands, the Board of Supervisors did not have the power -- did have the power to act accordingly but the Board chose not to. In this election on November 6th there were seven Spanish surnames on the ballot. These individuals were well-qualified and each made an honest attempt to run a clean campaign, but a show of votes accumulated by these candidates is a clear indication that this was a racist election which was caused by the Mayor's racist statements.

He created a diversion by stating, "We have a Mexican problem". It saved him campaign monies and also redirected the attention against the Mexican people.

Another unusual event took place during the election.

During the campaign, Rod Rondenberger, a retired law

enforcement officer filed, on the closing date, to run

for Mayor, stating that he was a man of peace.

He dropped out of the race a few days later claiming that it was a dirty campaign and he did not want to expose his family and himself to it. About ten days before the election, he publicly made a statement that he would serve if elected. His name was still on the ballot. My opinion is that he jumped in at the last minute in order to get the undecided vote that might have gone to either one of the two opponents of Hobbs.

In the end, Rondenberger drew seventeen percent of the vote without participating in a public forum, no platform expressing his concerns for the community and also no campaign funds. It appears obvious that the seventeen percent were individuals who had no intentions of voting for Hobbs or a Mexican candidate. Rondenberger's seventeen percent was higher than either of the Mexican candidates.

Based on this last racist election, I firmly believe that the only solution to this situation is to request a single member sectorial electorial system which requires redistricting in order to get legal representation leveled to reflect the minority

1 population of Santa Maria. In closing, it is time that 2 this city government assumes its responsibility to the 3 residents of Santa Maria by working in a positive manner 4 effectively to deal with the issues before us. 5 you for allowing me this opportunity to give my 6 testimony. I'm open for any kind of questions. 7 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Chang, do you wish to address a question to Mr. Talaugon? Doctor Erler? 8 9 DR. ERLER: Nothing right now. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas? 10 MR. SILLAS: The photos that have been shown 11 us indicate that swastikas spray painted on walls. Are 12 all of those in the same location? 13 MR. TALAUGON: Yes, they're in the same 14 location. 15 MR. SILLAS: And do you have -- and when were 16 the photos taken? 17 MR. TALAUGON: On about August 17th, about the 18 middle of August. I'd have to get the date from the 19 person who did the --20 MR. SILLAS: Do you know how long -- are they 21 still there? 22 MR. TALAUGON: No, the city every so often 23 goes around and cleans up any graffiti. 24

MR. SILLAS: Do you know how long that they

remained there? 1 MR. TALAUGON: No, I don't. 2 MR. SILLAS: Was it the city's effort that 3 removed them or was it the propriety owner? 4 MR. TALAUGON: I don't know that. 5 MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not the 6 city has any kind of a hate policy document or a hate 7 crime policy? 8 MR. TALAUGON: I don't quite --9 MR. SILLAS: Some cities' law enforcement have 10 a hate crime policy wherein this type of activity, they 11 have a special unit that goes out and attempts to find 12 the culprits and prosecute them on a racial hate crime 13 theory. 14 MR. TALAUGON: I don't have no recollection of 15 that. I've never heard of one. 16 MR. SILLAS: I have no other questions. 17 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson. 18 MS. PATTERSON: I have no questions. 19 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran? 20 MR. BELTRAN: Do you know if there are any 21 other locations where similar depictions like the one in 22 the picture have appeared? 23 MR. TALAUGON: I don't know of any other ones, 24 but there was an individual, an Anglo person, who 25

commented over the radio that there was a lot of graffiti and stuff that's written on walls and he commented that the Mexican people, that's all they do is put graffiti on the walls in the city.

MR. BELTRAN: Are you aware of any group that espouses white power statements that's shown in those photographs?

MR. TALAUGON: I don't know of no specific one but I have heard that there -- a group does exist in Santa Maria. I don't know who they were.

MR. BELTRAN: And to your knowledge has anyone made a request of the police department to inquire or investigate about the existence of that group?

MR. TALAUGON: Not to my knowledge.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Nothing, thank you.

MR. TALAUGON: If I may, I would like to make a comment in regards to the earlier question about registration of Mexican citizens. I think this point is very important that I make it, so if you would allow me. The Committee for the Betterment of Santa Maria during the election campaign times, we did go out and we assigned committees to do out and do the registration of the Mexican community.

And we had Spanish speaking people go out and

register Spanish speaking people. The one lady, in attempting to register this one Mexican family, they didn't want to register and they were afraid to vote because they didn't speak English and they felt, because of Mayor Hobbs' statements about deportation and this type of thing, that they were afraid to go and vote because if they didn't speak English they would be afraid to be deported.

So I think, you know, that type of reaction from a lot of our citizens, Mexican citizens, that's probably why -- one of the reasons why they don't register, because they're afraid, and more so with Mayor Hobbs' statements.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mrs. Talaugon, do you have any comments you wish to address to us?

MS. TALAUGON: Yes, I do. I have testimony here and I put a copy up there.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, I'm going to ask you at this you're going to have to try and summarize it because we are running overtime and --

MS. TALAUGON: Okay. Well, we took a ten minute break, right?

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I understand.

MS. TALAUGON: My name is Margie Talaugon and I have volunteered my time as an advocate to serve as

the ear and voice of the Committee for the Betterment of the Santa Maria Valley. I'm here to present a series of events that will shed some light on the racism that has transpired since July 16th, 1990. Much of the information was concealed from the public until recently when it was publicized that an OCR hearing was scheduled.

When the Committee for the Betterment voted to distribute green ribbons to express our strong resentment against bigotry and racism, a large number of individuals made a choice to wear them, employees of department stores, hospitals and service agencies. This took place in the first week of August. Employees of the Social Service Department displayed their ribbons proudly. In one week the ribbons were not longer available. I was informed by one of the employees that all Mexicans cannot wear the ribbons because they are too political.

The following event was the red ribbon week, which is the anti-drug campaign. Everyone wore the ribbons with no objections from the administration. This is a clear violation of the civil rights of the Mexican employees' freedom of speech and choice. My question is, if the administration is against drugs and they do not allow their employees to be against racism

and bigotry, then the answer would be that they support racism and bigotry.

The action by the administration is discriminatory and unconstitutional. I was told that there was also a large turnover of Spanish surname employees in the Social Service Department. This is due to the harassment and stress, pressure placed upon them. Many have taken other jobs with lesser pay. I believe at this point in time we will pursue the question to the Social Service Department, if they do have an affirmative action program and they do have a public relations officer, because I understand they don't, they have neither one.

Number two, Santa Maria High School has a counselor -- oh, I would like to turn these ribbons over. As you can see there's nothing political about either ribbon and both are in English and in Spanish. Santa Maria High School has a counselor who is assigned all the Mexican students that are deemed potential hard core or hard core, not like the regular students who are alphabetically assigned to counselors.

A distraught mother visited this counselor when she was informed that her son was being considered for suspension. As she attempted to communicate her concerns to this counselor, he abruptly told her, "Don't

worry about him; he won't finish school and he'll probably end up in the streets". This student is fifteen years of age.

Another student was ill from Friday to Monday. On a Tuesday, he returned to school and entered his counselor's office. He was told to sit down, take off his hat and shut up. The student obeyed. The student had a slight runny nose. As he attempted to inhale, he rubbed his nose. The counselor immediately said, "I'll bet ninety-nine percent you are on cocaine. I'm going to refer you to a drug program". The student challenged the counselor and said he was willing to go to the probation department and take a drug test.

The probation department is located a block behind the high school. The counselor ignored the student and on Thursday of the same week he was called into the drug class. Anyway the student was too embarrassed to tell him parents and he participated for two or three weeks one day a week.

Another incident is where this counselor has a hit list posted on his wall that states, "Watch out for these students" and the names of all the students are exposed to anyone and everyone who walks into that office. All of the names are of Spanish surname.

I would like to note that Santa Maria High

School singles out the poor students who qualify for the free lunch program also. This is done by separation of students' ticket line for the free lunch and four or five pay lines. The ticket line is predominantly Mexican and I was informed by a student that some of the Mexican students would rather starve than be humiliated in such a manner.

I asked the student, "What do you want and how do you want to be treated?" His response was, "I don't want anything. I just want to be treated like a normal person. That's all I ask. I'm human". We will also be requesting clarification on input and plans of the new committee that was formed by Santa Maria that was formed to develop a program for latch key kids. A question was raised by a fire department Hispanic fire fighter. Of the twenty-six full time fire fighters, four are Hispanic, one is Puerto Rican. And why is there no Hispanic in a supervisorial positions?

The two supervisors are one black, the other a woman. They question the process and how the determination is made for promotion. I recently walked the precincts. One elderly gentleman told me, "Yes, we must get rid of the Mexicans, send them all to Mexico. We must also build a wall strong enough with armed guards, pistols and rifles and large dogs. Mexicans

come here, they do not contribute to society. The breed, have large families so they can all go on welfare with all the false documents they can buy. These illegals have no regard for sanitation, education, learning English or becoming good Americans like the rest of us".

He also asked if I knew the activist from
Nipomo. He said, "This individual and the Betterment
Committee is a very dangerous group, that what they tell
the Mexican people is to arm themselves with rifles and
pistols. And on a given signal, they will all shoot
Anglos on sight". I told him that this was a very
dangerous charge and it was unfounded. And he said -- I
asked his source. He said, "It's common knowledge. The
Mayor knows. He's a very good friend of mine. It's in
the papers". He said, "Don't you read"?

I told him if the wall was built across the Mexican border, it's only fair that a wall be built on the Canadian border. He says, "No, no". He says, "Europeans come there and they're all legal. There is no illegal Europeans". I asked if he was interested in walking precincts since he was so well-versed. He said, "No". He said, "No way". He said, "I'd get knifed in a public district".

He stated that he was very comfortable

speaking to me because I was a female. He said, if I was a male, he would be fearful of being stabbed to death. In each predominantly Anglo precinct I walked, the response was positive and my opinion is, that in the end, they never voted for the Hispanics. The individuals who answered the doors appeared to be as congenial and cooperative as possible because they saw a convergence of brown people in their district and was fearful of reprisal and retaliation if they gave their honest opinion.

It is absurd that people think brown represents violence. Mayor Hobbs stated he's not worried about the Mexican because the Mexican does not vote. He is aware that this valley or the powers that be program the people of color to be subordinate, "Learn as much as we want you to learn, be loyal, work hard, don't rock the boat. If you are discriminated against or not promoted or if you are the brunt of an ethnic joke, feel honored that you are employed. Do not ask for more".

Those who are pained by racism and discrimination suffer in silence or are subjected to humiliation by co-workers or terminated by the employer. In the past, a subtle racism existed. After Mayor Hobbs' statement it became blatant. Now we are being

charged with being racists and practicing reverse discrimination. Reverse discrimination, then what are they saying? We are the chosen ones. The Constitution is only for the Anglo community. People of color are not allowed to practice or benefit from the Constitution. This is for whites only. We say, "No".

Each issue presented today will be addressed by the community. With the help of the many concerned citizens we hope to ameliorate the situation in time. There is one vexatious item that concerns me, the statement, statistics made by Mayor Hobbs have largely been drawn from a mail flyer printed in Virginia. It is called the Immigration Control Foundation. The solicit funds to continue their efforts in monitoring border activities and to mail out hate and fear propaganda.

The information printed is incorrect and perpetuates hate against those south of the border or coming from south of the border. The Immigration Control Foundation is not affiliated with the United States Immigration and Naturalization Services. As a matter of fact, INS has no knowledge of this group. I ask this Commission to seek the truth regarding this hate group.

In closing, we will no longer allow the community to suffer at the hands of insensitive

individuals or groups. In the future, we hope that you 1 will be available to the community if you are faced with 2 3 insurmountable obstacles. Thank you for your support 4 and consideration. Do you have any type of questions? CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Well, we're going to see. 5 Mr. Sillas, do you have a question? 6 MR. SILLAS: Yeah, pertaining to the ribbons, 7 8 did I understand you correctly when you stated that the ribbons were worn by some employees of the city? 9 MS. TALAUGON: Social Service Department. 10 MR. SILLAS: And the ribbons that says, "I am 11 against bigotry and racism", they were requested to 12 remove that? 13 MS. TALAUGON: Yes, or be terminated because 14 it was too political. 15 MR. SILLAS: And how many persons, do you 16 know, wore the ribbons that said, "I'm against bigotry 17 and racism", that worked at the Social Services? 18 MS. TALAUGON: The majority of them did and it 19 was in English. 20 MR. SILLAS: And when you say "majority" are 21 we talking about five? Are we talking about ten, 22 fifteen? 23 MS. TALAUGON: I haven't the slightest idea 24 but there is a large number of Hispanic employees who

1 work in the department. 2 MR. SILLAS: You don't know. You can't give 3 me a --4 MS. TALAUGON: No, but I can get the 5 information to you if you need it. MR. SILLAS: And is it your statement that the 6 7 request to remove these ribbons was at the request of 8 the supervisors? 9 MS. TALAUGON: The administration, yes. MR. SILLAS: And it was your -- is it your 10 understanding that this was the policy of the city, they 11 were being requested to remove --12 MS. TALAUGON: Social Service is a county 13 office. 14 MR. SILLAS: County office, I understand. 15 Okay, so it's not the city. 16 MS. TALAUGON: No. 17 MR. SILLAS: To your knowledge, was there any 18 person who was employed by the city who wore these green 19 ribbons stating "I'm against bigotry and racism", and 20 were asked to remove those during their course of 21 employment? 22 MS. TALAUGON: Well, I do know that in the 23 Probation Department some of the Anglos told some of the 24 minority employees to remove them but they were not from 25

the administration.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, but Probation Department, is that county also, not the city?

MS. TALAUGON: I'm not sure what Probation is, probably county.

MR. SILLAS: So other than the Probation

Department and the Social Services Department, you know of no other incidents were persons wearing these were asked to remove them during the course of their employment.

MS. TALAUGON: I have a relative and he works at the clerk of the court for traffic, in the Traffic Division. All the court clerks were told to remove them. And I believe that's city.

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Chairman, rather than take up more time with this at this point, I would ask that we ask the staff to inquire of this witness to provide us with names or more specific information as to the particular incidents that pertain to the ribbon rather than take up the time here this morning.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Fine, Mr. --

MS. TALAUGON: Excuse me. I also have the number of individuals who are still employed with the Social Service Department or have been terminated or have moved on who are willing to give testimony in

writing.

2

3

4

5

6

1

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Talaugon, what Mr. Sillas is suggesting is that we are going to have the staff of the Commission to contact you at another time so that we can move on with our agendas. Are these — the photographs and the ribbons, are they being provided for the record?

7 8

MS. TALAUGON: Yes.

9

MR. TALAUGON: Yes.

10

11

right. Thank you very much for your time and for your

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Included in the record, all

12

information. Our next speaker is Mr. Esteban Lizardo.

13

would you step forward, sir. Good morning, Mr. Lizardo,

14

and I'd ask that you first keep your voice up. Second,

15 16

you are a representative of for the record. And then

that you announce your name and the organization that

17

also you brought out a lot of papers there.

18

MR. LIZARDO: I'm going to read a rather lengthy statement which I've prepared. No, it's a very

20

19

brief statement that I've prepared.

21

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, that's what I was

22

concerned about. Now my heart can stop fluttering.

23

MR. LIZARDO: I'll try to get us out in time for lunch. My name is Esteban Lizardo. I'm an attorney

24

with the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education

Fund in Los Angeles. I've prepared a brief testimony, discussing the electorial process here in Santa Maria.

On behalf of the Mexican American Legal
Defense and Education Fund, I would like to thank the
Western Civil Rights Commission and its Director, Mr.
Montez, for this opportunity to comment on the at large
versus single member district election system and its
impact on the Latino community and communities such as
Santa Maria.

MALDEF has long held the view that single member district election systems are important for communities like Santa Maria. Single member districts foster better representation for all segments of the community, although single member districts have specific benefits for the Hispanic community.

In addition, where all segments of the community are represented in the decision making process in a city like Santa Maria, there is a reduced likelihood of the divisiveness and polarization evident in this community in recent months and I'll explain a little bit about that.

I'd like to divide my presentation into three sections. First, MALDEF has concluded that district elections are a better form of government than at large elections systems now used in California communities.

Second, when at large election systems violate federal law, in particular the Voting Rights Act as amended in 1982. And finally, how these issues affect a community like Santa Maria.

First, why district elections are important.

MALDEF supports the use of these single district
elections and not the at large elections such as the one
used in Santa Maria. Single member districts provide
better representation for all segments of the community,
more responsive elected officials and greater
participation in the elections by all members of the
electorate.

The at large system allows a community at large, that is the entire electorate, to decide the council -- who the council representatives will be. The disadvantage is that a dominant section of the community can select the representative for the entire city. If voting patterns of the community are polarized along racial lines, then that majority white community can prevent the choices of the voters of the minority often Hispanic community.

As a result interest and issues of importance to the Hispanic community are subverted or suppressed in order to address the interest and issues of concern to the white community. In a single member district

election system the city is divided into districts.

Persons in each different district elect their own
representative. A Board or Council, therefore, has
representatives from all sectors of the community.

Two arguments are often heard in support of at large elected -- at large elective systems; one, that representatives elected at large represent the entire community and not simply sectors or factions of the community. Two, single member districts breed Chicago style ward politics. The response to both arguments is to note that in most at large elections systems, there already exists a ward system. Unfortunately, only one ward is represented.

We have noticed that in communities with at large election systems, representatives are usually elected from one geographic section of town. Usually that section is a white and affluent area. Here in Santa Maria the City Council members all reside within several blocks of each other. How then can a council represent the interests of all segments of the community when all segments of the community are not present to show their perspective?

Do district elections as we support, solve these problems? Well, I don't think so. District elections provide better representation for both

minority communities and other communities. District elections require elected officials to be elected from different districts. All districts are present in the decision making process.

This provides better government in two ways.

Because delivery tends to be done on a district by

district basis, representatives can be more responsive

to a particular need of a district. Secondly, even

though a minority community does not have a majority of

votes within the entire electorate, they can raise

important issues and participate in the give and take of

the political system.

In south Texas, for example, where Hispanic communities were not in the majority of a city but obtained representation on the council or board, elected Hispanic officials were able to raise issues that could not be ignored the way that boards and councils have done in the past. In addition, because Latino elected officials had a vote, they had a bit of power in the sense that in the horse trading of the political process you could not fully ignore any segment of the community without having a problem later on.

As a consequence, issues that were important to the minority communities were many and dealt with for the first time, those minority members were made a part

of the boards and city council. We also know that delivery of services and crews were singled out of districts that aren't instituted. For example, in the City of Los Angeles the 1986 redistricting plan corrected gerrymandering of the Hispanic community.

The Hispanic representative, Laura Molina, found her district was made up of pieces of other districts in the former plan. Many of these areas had irregular service delivery and had been ignored by the former representative. Since Ms. Molina represented all the different communities, she made service delivery a top priority and improved the level of service in those areas.

Please note that these advantages, increased representation for the minority community and improved services, are not advantages limited to the Hispanic community. These advantages benefit all members of the community.

A third advantage of the single member district is that it encourages and increases voter registration and participation. Many cities have argued that Hispanics don't have adequate representation because they do not register to vote. In fact, the Mayor here at Santa Maria has argued that Hispanics are not interested in representation. These statements

L.

simply are not true. Moreover, each of these arguments have been specifically rejected by Federal Courts.

In a case in which we participated <u>Gomez</u>

<u>versus the City of Watsonville</u>, the court specifically

noted that it was not because Hispanics were not

interested in the political process that they did not

participate, but the lack of Hispanic participation was

the result of discrimatory election practices including

at large election schemes which discouraged Hispanics

from registering and participating in the political

process.

If Hispanics, for example, are a minority of the community, even if every Hispanic voted, they would not be able to win an election. As a result, people begin to get discouraged with the lack of opportunity to elect candidates of their own choice. They tend to drop out of the political process.

On the other hand, once district elections create districts in which Hispanics have a meaningful opportunity to elect candidates of their choice, the level of political participation has increased. In the City of Chicago, we saw the level of participation increase when Hispanic seats were created. More Hispanics ran for office, registered to vote and turned out to vote. In addition, the number of political

forums as did the number of people attending those forums.

I'll move briefly to the legal requirements for district elections. The Voter Rights Act prohibits any practice or procedure which would result in the denial of a person of the right to vote due to race, color or membership in a protected class including Hispanics. Practices and procedures which have been prohibited include at large elections systems, although it is not per se illegal to have an at large election system.

What follows is a preliminary analysis of how Federal Courts undertake to analyze election systems under the Voting Rights Act. The first thing that's important to note is that the Voting Rights Act does not require proof that an election system was established or maintained with the intent to discriminate against minority voters. The Voting Rights Act prohibits any election procedure or practice which has the discriminatory effect of denying Hispanic voters an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. This was handed down in a Supreme Court decision in Thornberg versus —

Thornberg also established a three-part test to determine whether or not an election procedure was

discriminatory. The first part is whether the Hispanic or other minority community is geographically compact. That is, is it possible to create a district in which Hispanics constitute a majority? Our initial analysis in the City of Santa Maria indicates that there is a geographically compact Hispanic community and it is possible to create Hispanic districts with a Hispanic majority.

Los Angeles County, a case that we're currently in the process of working on held that where an election system was maintained with the intent to deny Hispanics the right to vote or participate, this majority requirement does not apply. So you don't have to have the ability to create a majority Hispanic district if there is intentional discrimination.

If we can prove that the community leaders see the Hispanic community as a potential threat and then maintain an at large election system with the specific intent to prevent Hispanics from getting elected to the City Council, it would be enough given an identifiable Hispanic community to show a violation.

The second and third requirements under

Thornberg are summarized in phrase racially polarized voting, that is Hispanics tend to vote for Hispanics and

whites tend to vote for whites. Specifically, the second part of the three-part test is whether the Hispanic community is politically cohesive. For example, in Gomez versus Watsonville the Hispanic community tended to vote ninety percent in favor of certain candidates.

The third part of the test is whether the white community also votes as a block in such a way as to defeat the choice of the Hispanic or the minority community. Again using Watsonville as an example, the white community never supported a candidate that was supported by the Hispanic community even if that candidate was white. In such case, the polarized voting patterns of the community present the choices of the Hispanic community from coming in the program. In such a way the at large election system frustrates the choices of the Hispanic community.

When the three tests are met, the courts have declared the at large election system to be in violation of the Voting Rights Act and have established single member districts with a Hispanic district similar to the situation we saw in Los Angeles County. MALDEF is continuing its investigation of the election system in Santa Maria. As I mentioned before, the compactness test for Santa Maria is clearly met. So the only

3

question is whether voting in Santa Maria is polarized. We are continuing that portion of our investigation.

In the November 1990 elections MALDEF conducted exit polls in Santa Maria and we are still conducting an analysis of the election results. Whether we file an action to challenge the Santa Maria election system will depend on the outcome of our polarization analysis.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Chang, do you have a question for Mr. Lizardo?

MR. CHANG: No.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Doctor Erler?

DR. ERLER: Is it your contention that the electorial system in Santa Maria in being maintained for discriminatory purposes?

MR. LIZARDO: We're still in the process of conducting our polarization analysis which may give us more of an indication.

DR. ERLER: Is there any indication that this is -- discriminatory intent or purposes manifest in delivery of services?

MR. LIZARDO: A good number of that would depend upon -- or a good amount of that will depend on analysis that we'll have our people do in terms of how the districts are run and how the service is delivered.

At this point, I couldn't give you a specific to that question.

I have to point out though, the considerable numbers of comments that have been raised at this point in terms of antidotal testimony. It seems as if that antidotal testimony bears true, that that would be the case.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Lizardo, let me just ask you to project for a moment a district-type council and let's assume that there was a district that represented -- or a majority of the persons in that district were Mexican. Could you project for us or -- and maybe it is speculative, but could you indicate what you think would happen if one of the council members or the Mayor in such a body were to indicate that he had a Mexican problem? How would that -- what would take place in that kind of setting that would be different than the present setting?

MR. LIZARDO: The important thing to understand is there would be a response from within the council, most likely. Whether that response would be a response which indicates approval of the or disapproval of those statements is completely up to the representatives that are in place, but at the present

time, without a Hispanic representative, and I don't 2 mean without a Hispanic on the Board, but without a 3 representative of the Hispanic community, there is no 4

response from within the council.

This is what I mean by the horse trading element of politics. That issue would be raised within the council as well as more effectively through the representation process because members of the community would feel that there was somebody that they elected or they had a hand in electing which they elect to represent their views. So there would be a certain amount of jockeying that would take place within the council, an exchange of ideas.

At this point, I think it's clear again, based upon what I hear in the antidotal testimony if it bears true, that there is no such representation within the City Council and neither is that representation within the general electoral process because it seems as though the Hispanics don't have an adequate voice for raising their concern, other than in the general context of antidotal testimony.

So the answer to the question would be I think you'd see a jockeying of positions and a candidate who wishes or a council member or Mayor who wishes to make these statements has to suffer the consequences of a

25

24

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

loss of quid pro quo down the line a certain issue which may be of importance to them. The honest answer is it's not going change people's views in the way they look at the quote, unquote, "Mexican problem", but what it does do is it forces that person to address the concerns about who's affected by this comment in a practical manner that isn't present in the current system.

MR. SILLAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson.

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Lizardo, when will the polarization analysis be done and will that be made available to the Committee?

MR. LIZARDO: We will definitely make it available to the Committee. It depends on the number of factors, one of which is the fact that the County of Los Angeles has recently, as of yesterday, submitted a petition for search to the Supreme Court on our Los Angeles County case.

We felt that that case would be settled or at least out the back door a lot sooner than it is, although we did anticipate that the county would probably take it as far as they could. At this point it depends on how that goes. Because right now, at least on side of the country, we have Richard Vajorlo in the Los Angeles who's doing most of our voting rights work.

But this is an issue of top concern for the office. And so as soon as possible given the time constraints of other things, we're going to move on that. In fact, at this point I guess, our experts are working on the information in the absence of Mr. Vajorlo.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: Just one question, Mr. Lizardo, do you have any information regarding the position of the political structure of the city concerning district elections?

MR. LIZARDO: I'm not sure if I understand your question.

MR. BELTRAN: Has anyone, to your knowledge, at the City Council stated whether they are in favor or against the formation of districts?

MR. LIZARDO: To my knowledge, I don't have any of that information. I get the feeling from a number of comments that have been made here and a number of comments that have reached our office that there is a group — there is some portion of the Hispanic community within Santa Maria that would like to see some change, an avenue being a single member district but some change in which their views can be adequately represented within the exchange of ideas and specifically most

3

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recently in an effort to have a voice within the context of what Mr. Sillas brought up, specifically the sort of comments that people don't find productive within the political atmosphere.

MR. BELTRAN: Mr. Abeytia already testified to that. I was just curious if there was any record on the part of the present political structure of the City Council members. I think we know what the sentiments of the Hispanic community are regarding the district elections.

MR. LIZARDO: I've just been advised that possibly Councilman Dan Fert (phonetic) is against a single member district. In all honesty, in fact, one thing that I'll mention, in terms of our county case against Los Angeles, sometimes there is racial animus involved. Often times it's simply incumbency, the need to protect what you have, defeat them, or whatever, of your position. And as is evident at both the District Court level and the Appeals Court level within the Ninth Circuit, this is sufficient intentional discrimination against the Hispanic community to warrant a change in the electoral process and also this is sufficient to do away with the requirement that you have a majority Hispanic single member district available.

So if there is something that amounts to

simply "I'd like to protect my own incumbency", that would be more than sufficient to bring the kind of record, for instance, that we have in our Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors.

DR. ERLER: You're not saying then that somebody supported an at large system that is, in and of itself, an indication of discriminatory intent.

MR. LIZARDO: No, not racial animus, but somebody who was supportive simply of their incumbency at the expense of a politically cohesive and compact community such as the Hispanic community here in Santa Maria would be guilty intentional discrimination as far as Ninth Circuit is concerned.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Lizardo, thank you very much.

MR. LIZARDO: I appreciate the Board's willingness to hear our testimony.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We're grateful that you were able to be here. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to declare noon recess at this time. We will resume again at 1:00 p.m. And I also encourage you to return. And those who cannot return, we thank you very much for your attendance. And those who participated, we again thank you very much.

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:14 p.m.)

. 13

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: The forum of the California
State Advisory Committee of the United States Commission
of Civil Rights is back in session for the afternoon
session. I apologize for the tardiness, but we ran a
little bit late this morning.

We'll begin this session with Mr. Gilbert Guevara.

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Would you kindly have a seat there, sir, and state your name any group that you may be representing for the record?

MR. GUEVARA: My name is Gil Guevara. I'm the Chairman of the Santa Maria City Planning Commission, also the past state Chairman of the American GI Forum, a long time civil rights organization and currently a Board of Directors of Latino Issues Forum, a Hispanic think tank in San Francisco.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Guevara, you may make a statement if you have one prepared or any other remarks that you care to make.

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Excuse me. I do want to ask everyone before I allow you to make your remarks,

everyone here that's present, would you please sign in on sign-in sheet which is over there by the front door and additionally I want to remind you that this is not an adversary proceeding. It's just a fact-finding procedure that we're going through. There is no audience participation.

If you do wish to be heard, I would invite you to address yourself to a staff member. We have two, there's Mr. Dulles and Mr. Pila, who's around. And we will allow you to address the Committee with pertinent remarks from the period of 5:00 to 6:00 p.m. But feel free to do that. Because of the time constraints, we do not allow the question and answer situation to extend into the audience participation. But thank you, very much.

And Mr. Guevara, I ask you -- I don't think you're going to have a problem but if you keep your voice up so that people there in the back of the room can hear you.

MR. GUEVARA: I've never been known to have -anyway, I'd like to just say as Chairman of the Planning
Commission, I assume you want me to make some
presentation about housing and the housing problem in
Santa Maria. If my assumption is correct, then let me
continue by stating that two areas that I'd like to

present in my presentation relate to the housing policies or the jobs to housing balance and the housing element of the general plan.

Jobs to housing balance definition is efforts, any efforts aimed to creating and/or balancing jobs and housing in approximately the same quantity, quality and proximity. How it works is that when an industry comes into Santa Maria, the city usually sits down with that industry to figure out how many housing the new employees that they're going to bring in, how much housing is needed. And then the city will look at what is in the existing inventory and find out if they have sufficient inventory or find out what kind of plans they need to make in order to meet those housing needs.

when we're out of balance several things that happen is that we usually need two income earners in each family and they usually require a large percent of other income in order to make -- sustain their housing payments, either rental or a purchase. The other element of when we're out of balance is that there's a lack of low income housing for low income wage earners.

Goal H-2 of our housing element of our general plan states that "The city will strive to" and I quote, "the conservation and the expansion of the community's housing stock in order to provide a decent home and a

suitable living environment for all persons, but principally those of low and moderate incomes".

In relation to the jobs to housing balance, I feel we're out of balance. One of the reasons that we're out of balance that if you look at the increase in non-productive agricultural lands in the last ten years, we have never ascertained in terms of what impacts that increase in agriculture which tends to be high intensity, labor intensive agriculture activity, we've never ascertained what impact it would have on housing. Thus we have crowded conditions. We have very poor, a low inventory of housing for low or moderate income peoples.

In terms the general plan, the policy seems to be that we should let the market determine the need which means let the developers dictate the housing that we need. There is no other clear directive by the city, twenty-five percent of our housing to the low and moderate income buyers which is a boiler-plate condition in most housing projects but there is no in place mechanism to monitor whether that twenty-five percent is being met by the current housing project that are being built.

The staff will admit that there is no mechanism to insure or audit that that twenty-five

percent is being met. We don't know what is affordable 1 in Santa Maria. We just adjusted HUD figures for Santa 2 Barbara County which is usually at the high end. Thus, 3 it really doesn't reflect the true need for housing in 4 this area. That is my statement. 5 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay, beginning at this end 6 again, Mr. Chang, do you have any questions to direct to 7 Mr. Guevara? MR. CHANG: No. 9 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Doctor Erler? 10 DR. ERLER: Mr. Guevara, how long have you 11 been on the Planning Commissions? 12 MR. GUEVARA: Three years. 13 DR. ERLER: You've been on there for three 14 years. And this is a position, I take it, of some 15 importance in the City of Santa Maria? 16 MR. GUEVARA: Not generally. 17 DR. ERLER: Not generally? I see. But you 18 do have some responsibility for planning and 19 implementing various policies. 20 MR. GUEVARA: We're an advisory committee to 21 the City Council. 22 DR. ERLER: And what have you done in terms of 23

advising the City Council to redress these balances that

you have perceived or do the rest of the Planning

24

Commission share your views on this matter?

MR. GUEVARA: I think we have -- there's five of us on the Planning Commission and we're five very opinionated individuals. I think we have a Planning Commission that's very hard working, very dedicated to the work we're doing. We have attempted the past year to formulate some advice to City Council which was met unfavorably in terms of what we should be doing to help the market put in place, low and moderate income housing which wasn't met very favorably with City Council.

We are currently in the process of developing hopefully another plan that we can go back to City Council that will hopefully impact that need, the tremendous need at the low end of the economic --

DR. ERLER: Generally speaking, in your opinion, what has been the attitude of the City Council with respect to your recommendations to increase moderate and low income --

MR. GUEVARA: Like I said, I think the general appearance is that there is no real policy in place and their feeling is we should let the market dictate what kind of housing we build.

DR. ERLER: And what kind of a policy is it that the Commission has recommended to the City Council?

MR. GUEVARA: Well, we have language in the

_

general plan that says we will provide housing for all segments of the community. We let the market do that. We haven't been able to do. It's apparently we've failed to do that. If we look at the job to housing ration balance, again, we haven't done a good job there. Everyone else — the city is counting on the county to do something about the housing problem.

The county is counting on the city to do it.

In the meantime, we're out of balance.

DR. ERLER: Is it just merely a bureaucratic mix-up or bureaucratic inefficiency or inability to move or do you trace this as some racial or ethnic animosity?

MR. GUEVARA: I think there's been a prevailing attitude that somebody else should take care of the problem and up till now, no one has taken care of the problem.

DR. ERLER: So you don't think that it's primarily motivated the racial or ethnic animosities?

MR. GUEVARA: There is some feeling that it may be, yes.

DR. ERLER: Okay, thank you.

MR. BELTRAN: Mr. Chairman, before we go on let me ask as a matter of clarification, because I'm confused. The position that you hold, Mr. Guevara, is Chairman of the Planning -- the city's Planning

22

23

24

25

MR. GUEVARA: Commission.

MR. BELTRAN: Do when you refer to the Commission, we're not talking about a volunteer group. These are paid staff members.

MR. GUEVARA: We're generally volunteer. We get paid for expenses, yes.

MR. BELTRAN: Does the city have somebody other than this Planning Commission?

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, sir. There's a Planning -there's a Planning staff. The director of the Planning Department is Heron Valles (phonetic).

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: Yes, Mr. Guevara, does your Commission have the authority to issue licenses or permits for the establishment of certain types of businesses, variances, ordinances, et cetera?

MR. GUEVARA: We look at plan development permits and we make recommendations to City Council.

MR. SILLAS: In that process is there a mechanism for open hearings and the taking of testimony and the taking of comments from people impacted by whatever permit is going to be issued?

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, sir. We generally have a study session with the applicants and staff and then we

have a public hearing with the applicant and staff again and receive public input at that time. And then our recommendations are forwarded on to City Council for their final approval.

MR. SILLAS: And in the process of the mechanism that you've just described, do you get recommendations from staff to the Commission in terms of what action the Commission ought to take?

MR. GUEVARA: A lot of times that -- what happens is the Commission relies on staff, on their recommendation.

MR. SILLAS: And is it your statement that the recommendations that you are getting from staff does not seem to set any particular policy as it pertains to low and medium income housing?

MR. GUEVARA: There is a policy, I think it's a vague policy. I think it's a policy that has no real bite to it. We -- staff will make -- agree that sometimes we on the Commission ask a little too much, ask for more work than they can handle at times, but I think it's a need that needs to be taken care of. I think it's very apparent. So sometimes staff doesn't greet it with open arms, but that is a job that we see as a Commission and that's a job that they see for themselves.

MR. GUEVARA: We generally are not involved in

4.4

those discussions. We are generally involved at the permit level. Once the developer has ascertained that there's a need, there's a market demand, then they formulate their -- the plans and they submit it to staff. And at that point, we are involved.

MR. SILLAS: All right, but if the decision is made by staff and the industry that there was not a need for additional housing, then this matter does not cross the Commission's desk.

MR. GUEVARA: Not in the permit process, no.

MR. SILLAS: In the reference to the acreage, additional acreage of land that is now used and cultivated and thereby the increase of the farm workers, was there any process that caused anything to go past the Commission's desk in terms of permission?

MR. GUEVARA: We recently had an ag element that came before us as information only. In the ag element which is a county element, there was no language in regard to housing. In that regard, we asked staff to contact the county and have them stop any process until we had a chance to input into that agriculture element because we felt that somebody somewhere needed to take a stand and demand that there be a housing component to that element.

If agriculture is impacting the housing

problem, then I think we, as a city, should take the leadership to make sure that that type of housing is provided and that we make all necessary studies right now before the ag element is approved by the county so we can insure that we have proper and adequate housing which is something that we're supposed to be doing under the general plan, which is a state mandated document.

MR. SILLAS: And what was the response to your request?

MR. GUEVARA: We have not had any response back from the County of Santa Barbara.

MR. SILLAS: But aside from what you've just described, the development of land for use for agriculture, unless there were the specific request for the building of houses, would not cross your Commission's desk.

MR. GUEVARA: It would not. We've asked staff and we have a committee that we need to be able to look at this criteria and we need to include agriculture as part of -- like any other industry. When there is an increase in agriculture acreage, we need to look at that as a municipality, because it is impacting our housing situation. We need to look at that and figure out what kind of impacts it's going to have on our housing situation and what kind of modifications, adjustments

and planning we need to do to be able to meet that housing demand.

MR. SILLAS: And who would be the person that this Commission would look to as the person that would implement such a policy?

MR. GUEVARA: City Council.

MR. SILLAS: And to implement the policy who would be the person that this Commission should direct its questions to?

MR. GUEVARA: Probably City Council and the Planning Department.

MR. SILLAS: Does the City Administrator -- is the City Administrator involved in that process?

MR. GUEVARA: The City Administrator would also get involved.

MR. SILLAS: The farm workers that work in this increased acreage, do they reside in Santa Maria?

MR. GUEVARA: According to the statements that have been made in the media, there seems to be an over-crowded condition. I contribute that over-crowding condition to farm workers coming into this area. They live out in river beds and under trees and under bridges until they have enough money to come into co-habitation situations. And they usually get into homes where there's already another family living there. And that's

that has not been observed or deemed a problem up till now.

MR. SILLAS: You heard earlier this morning, or maybe you didn't, but the Mayor referred to the environmental impact reports as a waste of money. Do you get a sense that that is a policy statement of the city or would that be fully the Mayor's opinion?

MR. GUEVARA: I think sometimes the Planning Commission would agree with him, because EIR's historically have been used as obstacles and — to block projects and used as a strategy to prevent growth and development. So in that regard, I think EIR's sometimes are looked at as something that's evil. I think sometimes EIR's are necessary especially the project or the development will have a great impact on the city resources and on the city.

MR. SILLAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: Mr. Guevara, I think you've testified quite clearly on the impact of some of the increase in acreage, the consequences that is has for the city. I'm wondering -- was it three years that you served on the Planning Commission?

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, sir.

MR. BELTRAN: Has there ever been a time when public hearings on the matter of affordable housing or housing for the farm workers have been called for by your Commission?

MR. GUEVARA: I don't recall any for farm worker housing, no.

MR. BELTRAN: For any other type of housing?

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, there has. I think that
earlier process of public hearings resulted in language
that the city undertook as a policy that so many
percent -- so much percent of certain residential
projects would be for low and moderate income housing.
That's been a policy that has never had any real bite.

Like I stated earlier, there's been no mechanism set up to make sure the developer is adhering to that policy. There's been no implementation for monitoring of that policy by the city.

MR. BELTRAN: So you go through that process of granting and, in fact, vesting certain rights on a developer in return for which you have extracted certain concessions that comply with the requirements of your plan and you're saying there is no monitoring, there is no fine or penalty process that can be called into play a developer makes those commitment on the front end but

then does not perform.

MR. GUEVARA: I would call it window dressing.

MR. BELTRAN: Well, it appears to me that it's more than that. It appears to me that there's a tacit understanding that state law and the city zone plan may be violated without any fear of retribution by the authorities.

MR. GUEVARA: That may be the case. I'm not sure that --

MR. BELTRAN: Well, I'm asking you. If you're telling me that the law mandates certain things, that in fact you extract those commitment on the front end and that further, if you are in fact aware that those commitments are not being lived up to and you choose to ignore the fact that those commitments are not being complied with. I'm asking a question.

MR. GUEVARA: Okay. I didn't get the gist of the question.

MR. BELTRAN: The gist of the question is that are you choosing to ignore the breach, if you will, of the agreements made by the developers who are supposed to built that affordable housing.

MR. GUEVARA: As an individual, as the Chairman of the Planning Commission, I have appointed two members of the Planning Commission to formulate a

 second policy to be directed to City Council so they in turn can implement a policy that will hopefully give teeth to those policies that already have been enacted.

So when you say "you" I don't know if you mean me as an individual, me as the Planning Commission or me as the City of Santa Maria.

MR. BELTRAN: I'm talking about the Planning Commission.

MR. GUEVARA: As the Planning Commission I think that there's a majority among the Planning Commission that there is a need, there's definitely a problem and we need to do something about it.

MR. BELTRAN: You make reference to the fact that there aren't any teeth in the provisions. Would you tell me whether you think that a second request by the same developer gives you the right, in effect, develop some teeth by denying a request from that developer?

MR. GUEVARA: We have.

MR. BELTRAN: You have?

MR. GUEVARA: But in an advisory capacity.

MR. BELTRAN: And what happens in those instances where you done that?

MR. GUEVARA: It still goes to City Council. Sometimes our decisions are upheld. Sometimes they are

overturned.

MR. BELTRAN: I'm not certain I'm understanding. You're saying that in some instances a violator, somebody who has not complied with those commitments for affordable --

MR. GUEVARA: Well, we don't know that they're in violation until after the homes have been built. And for example the twenty-five percent, if a project is built, we don't know till after those homes are sold if twenty-five percent are, indeed, have gone to low and moderate income housing.

MR. BELTRAN: You have no monitoring process.

MR. GUEVARA: No, that's what I'm saying.

MR. BELTRAN: Have you then established that in some instances that has not been the case?

MR. GUEVARA: We have stated that there needs to be a monitoring process, yes.

MR. BELTRAN: No, no, let me restate it again, Mr. Guevara. You have determined in some instances that in fact, twenty-five percent of the housing was not affordable housing?

MR. GUEVARA: We don't know that. We don't have a mechanism in place to ascertain that that is indeed happening. There is a policy of the state that it must be, but to go back without a monitoring

mechanism, to go back and check to make sure that 1 developer A that built a hundred homes that twenty-five 2 percent or twenty-five of those homes are indeed, have 3 been sold to low and moderate income families. 4 MR. BELTRAN: As a condition of permit process 5 you do not require a report from the developer? 6 MR. GUEVARA: Yes. 7 MR. BELTRAN: Are those reports filed by the 8 developer? 9 MR. GUEVARA: Sometimes, sometimes they are 10 There is no -- we can't get an answer from staff 11 to make sure that that is done. The response we get 12 from staff is, "Well, we don't have the staff to do it". 13 MR. BELTRAN: No, I'm asking whether the 14 permit process itself calls for --15 MR. GUEVARA: Yes, the permit process would 16 call for it. 17 MR. BELTRAN: -- the filing of a report by the 18 developer that says so many units --19 MR. GUEVARA: It can be, it can be, yes. 20 MR. BELTRAN: I don't understand. You're 21 saying it can be. I'm saying does it call for it? It's 22 a yes or no answer. 23 MR. GUEVARA: Yes. 24 MR. BELTRAN: It does call for it? 25

MR. GUEVARA: Uh-huh.

MR. BELTRAN: But then nobody, whether it's staff or anybody else within City Hall --

MR. GUEVARA: You can have all the conditions in the world, but if they're not enforced --

MR. BELTRAN: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper.

MR. COOPER: I just wanted to go back again to the housing element in the plan, who prepares that?

MR. GUEVARA: The housing element? The housing element was done in July of '87 I believe. And it's a process between the Planning Commission staff and City Council to approve the modification and upbringing of the general plan.

MR. COOPER: Okay. I was concerned. You were talking about using adjusted HUD figures that don't reflect the true need for housing. And I'm just wondering if you're getting all the assistance you ought to be getting from HUD or if the city is requesting the assistance they ought to be getting especially in technical areas.

MR. GUEVARA: I think that's a question you probably ask staff.

MR. COOPER: Okay. The recipients of assisted low income housing would you say reflect the community

--

at large, since they're over forty percent Hispanics in the city, that that assistance gets to Hispanics and other minorities as it -- as it should or has there been a problem in that area? Do you know?

MR. GUEVARA: Assistance in subsidy --

MR. COOPER: Federally assisted, yeah.

MR. GUEVARA: I don't think so. I know of very few subsidy programs within the City of Santa Maria.

MR. COOPER: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Guevara, your statement that you know of very few of these subsidized programs in the City of Santa Maria, does that mean that the city does not avail itself of these facilities such as HUD and any state assistance?

MR. GUEVARA: I think that's a question maybe you should direct to staff. I don't know of any programs that the city has taken on other than for senior projects. I don't see any programs other than maybe one or two loan programs that have been done in the past.

There's been a housing project that has been built, I think, ten, fifteen years ago, but to what extent that has met the housing need, I don't believe it is significant.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Now you've indicated that you don't have any mechanism to monitor this twentyfive percent of low income housing situation that's in the overall plan. Is it your Commission that issues a

MR. GUEVARA: Our Commission recommends to

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And am I safe in assuming that your Commission is not an enforcement or does not

MR. GUEVARA: We're strictly an advisory to

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Do you know if there is an enforcement arm of the Planning Department?

MR. GUEVARA: There's an enforcement arm of the codes, code violators but we, as a Commission, can review permits issued, but that we've done very little

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: So there's a Planning Department with the city; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Is there like a Building and Safety Department as well or is that combined in the Planning Department?

MR. GUEVARA: That's I believe, combined in

24

23

25

the Planning Department. You would have to ask staff that.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay, and they have their own enforcement area; is that correct?

MR. GUEVARA: Yes, code enforcements.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Any further questions for Mr. Guevara?

MR. MONTEZ: I have one, Mr. Chairman. Under the housing element that you mentioned, under the government code of the State of California, it is required under the element to have an analysis of any special housing needs such as those of the handicapped, elderly, large families, farm workers, families with female heads of households and families and persons in need of emergency shelter. Is that included in the element that you spoke about?

MR. GUEVARA: I don't recall seeing that in —
the element. I know there is some reference to meet —
it calls for an assessment on housing market and demand
recognizing employment characteristics and income
levels. There is reference to providing decent housing
and satisfying the environment of all persons regardless
of age, sex — there's all these mentions but you can
have all these mentions, all these great goals and
objectives in your housing element, but there's no

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Moving along, ladies and gentlemen, again I ask you all to make sure you sign the sign-in sheet and those of you in the rear, we do have seats. I see some up here by these attractive young ladies. For your own comfort, we invite you to have a seat. I'd ask Ms. Barrett and Ms. Pruneda to step forward. I would ask you ladies also to speak up so that everybody in the back of the room can hear you and if you would introduce yourselves and your organization for the record, as you begin your statements.

MS. BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. My name is Jeannie Barrett. I'm an attorney with California Rural Legal Assistance. Also with me today is Ilda Pruneda, who is also an attorney with CRLA.

I primarily intend to address my remarks toward housing issues and Ms. Pruneda toward education areas so that if it's acceptable to you, since we just discussed housing, I'll begin and take about half our time and then Ms. Pruneda will discuss education issues.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: If you do so, I'd ask you to speak up.

MS. BARRETT: Okay, I'm just getting over a cold so that may or may not be possible. Yeah, I brought with me a copy of the Santa Maria housing

element so that if this Commission has not gotten one so far I'm willing to let you have my copy.

The housing element, as Mr. Guevara stated, was developed in 1987. Many of the statistics that are in the housing element were taken from the 1980 census, so that I think some of the statistics are somewhat outdated. However, I'm sure everyone would agree that the problems identified in the housing element have maintained the statistical balance or, in fact, gotten worse.

The housing element, to answer your question, does discuss the needs of these various special needs groups and it does quantify some of those needs. The housing element statistics do make it clear that there is a shortage of low income housing, a severe shortage of low income housing, in the Santa Maria area. That there are over-crowded conditions which impact heavily on Hispanics because demographics also show that the Hispanic family tends to be somewhat larger.

And also that there are a large number of very low income and low income members of the community who are spending more of their income than they can afford for shelter cost. All of these problems are identified. In addition, the housing element estimates that there are around twenty-five hundred migrant farm workers at

The County Housing Authority tried for

the peak of the migrant season and acknowledges that there is nothing in the way of migrant farm housing available in the area, indicates that there's a need to study that, says that probably the county should meet that need and does very little further beyond that.

So I think going through the housing element does, indeed, indicate to you what the needs are and where the problems are. The next question is what the city can do or has done to help with those problems? There have been some housing projects that have gone in, in the last few years, for low income housing. However, that -- what has happened has been very inadequate.

One of the issues identified in the housing element, and of course, we all know it's true, is that housing costs money to build, and that state and federal money for housing has been going down in recent years. However, I would like to point out that that's not necessarily the major problem. I know of one project that was funded by HUD to the Santa Barbara County Housing Authority. They received approval for a grant to build some new units in the Santa Maria area and to concentrate on larger size units, units for a larger family, which in this area are primarily needed by farm worker families.

sometime to find a site in the area on which they could build the housing, identified one site in the Orca region which the County Board of Supervisors turned down because the Orca neighbors started yelling "nimbi" (phonetic) at the top of their lungs, and because they were not able to find a site anywhere in the northern part of the county, had to give the money back to HUD unused.

Currently, another low income housing developer for the last two years has had a grant that has been approved by the Farmers Home Administration to build specifically farm worker housing the Santa Maria area and to date, a site has not been nailed down for that housing.

what is necessary is not just the money. You need to have the local government assertive and committed to finding a site, making the zoning and the building permits available to the developer to build the housing. The money, although it's scarce, is there. It can be found. What can't be found is a site with the zoning and the building permits to actually put up the housing.

Another thing that the City of Santa Maria has in the way of a funding resource, there is according to California state law, redevelopment money or tax

increments that come to the city as a result of redevelopment. Twenty percent of that is supposed to be spent on low and moderate income housing. The City of Santa Maria has got a sizeable redevelopment project, the Town Center Mall which is down here a short ways and which just added the May Company and I think about twenty other stores as part of a redevelopment plan.

There's a substantial tax increment that is coming to the city as a result of the Town Center Mall property which is being redeveloped. To date, not a penny of that money has gone to low and moderate income housing. Each year the city is required to make a finding that that money either isn't needed or that there are other uses for it which they are authorized to use it for which are more pressing.

And each year since -- I believe the redevelopment -- the agency started in 1972, each year since they've been required to make that finding, that's the finding they've made, "We have other uses for the money that is more important than low and moderate income housing". I'm not alleging that they are in anyway in violation of the law, because they have, in fact, made the required finding. What I am saying is that that shows that housing is not a priority.

That's all I have in the way of a prepared

statement.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Pruneda.

MS. PRUNEDA: Yes, basically, my name is Ilda Pruneda, like Ms. Barrett said. I'm also an attorney with CRLA. And basically the issues that I would like to raise at this point the education — how education is affecting minorities or basically Hispanics that are in the Santa Maria area.

And we have a lot of parents that have a large concern with the so-called independent study program, that it's being used in the Santa Maria Joint Union High School District. And basically what that is -- we approximately have about six hundred students in this program right now. It's an alternative program and that basically means that out of those six hundred students that are in the independent study program about two hundred of those students go to what is called a continuation school and the other four hundred are in the independent study program.

Basically, the continuation school is a school where the students go for -- in the morning or in the afternoon session, five times a week, basically half time -- they're basically half time students. The reason they go to those programs -- to this program -- it's basically Delta -- it's Delta High School is the

24° official continuation school. And students are placed in Delta as a result of not having enough credits to attend so-called mainstream schools. In this case it would be Righitti High School and the Santa Maria High School.

And they don't have enough credits for several reasons. When the student gets advanced from the eighth grade and then he's passed on to high school, they are -- students go into enroll at the high school. They are told, "You don't have enough credits. Therefore, you have to go on an independent study program".

The other situation is where the student is enrolled at the high school, falls behind in their credits. They are again placed in an independent study program. And independent study program is much -- when they are placed in an independent study program at Delta, they are basically -- it's a program that allows them to make up credits so that supposedly they will return back to the mainstream school.

There is only one official continuation school and that is Delta. And that is basically at a predominantly Anglo location. It's predominantly — it's about sixty percent Anglo, forty percent Hispanic or minorities. The problem with the continuation school is that Delta can only accommodate approximately two

hundred students. The other students are placed on the truly independent study program which requires that students take their work, do their work at home and then report to a teacher once a week.

Well, what invariably is happening is that these students are getting an inferior education in the sense that they only have access to a teacher for one hour a week. Parents are complaining that as a matter of fact, the students only see a teacher for five minutes to ten minutes once a week. The six hundred students that are presently on an independent study program are basically for the most part Hispanics. So that we have a disproportionate amount of minority students that are being placed in independent study programs.

The biggest problem with having that many students, the reason that there's many students in an independent study program is that the school has a mandatory attendance program, whereby, if a student is late or if a student does not attend a class regardless, that student is going to be -- is an A student or a B student, nevertheless, that student does not get credit for that particular course. Therefore, the student starts to fall behind in the grades and does not have enough credits to where that student is going to be up

to the grade level that he or she is supposed to be and is therefore, placed at Delta.

The problem is that Delta again, it's only one continuation school. There is another location that is called the Learning Center. And the Learning Center is basically a watered down version of the Delta School which is the official continuation school or it could be called a beefed up independent study program location.

You have a disproportionate amount of white students attending Delta, the only official school, continuation school, whereas you have the Learning Center which is predominantly made up of Hispanics. The students — the facilities are totally different. It's a — excuse me. There is — the Delta campus is not accessible to minority students for various reasons.

It's a lack of adequate transportation. There is -- it also happens to be away from the northern part of the city which is where most of the Hispanic students reside. There is a disproportionate amount -- there a disproportionate amount of Hispanics and Anglo students in the different schools. Delta is a better -- it's got better facilities. It has a better student/teacher ratio. The -- they have computer classes. They have regular classroom where at the Learning Center, the students literally have to pull out long tables, lift

their chairs everyday as they're carried out into basically as basketball court.

The -- I don't think there's any transportation. Parents can't identify that there's any transportation provided to the Learning Center. So, in essence, what you have is a learning center where all the Hispanics are placed. It's basically a place that is much more inferior in terms of resources and in terms of teachers, books and what have you.

And the concern is basically not that. It's Santa Maria is the only place that is implementing such a program. The concern is that the independent study programs which were initially supposed to be for the bright and board students are being used as a dumping ground and as a dumping ground for minority student and especially those students that need more of the -- more assistance and not less assistance and resources that the district provides to the regular mainstream schools.

And the concern is that Santa Maria is basically joining a lot of other districts in the state that are using the same technique, and that's basically using the independent study programs as the dumping grounds for minority students.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Doctor Erler, do you have any questions for either of these ladies?

DR. ERLER: No, I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: Ms. Barrett, you had used the term of -- or the numbers of twenty-five hundred migrant farm workers in this area.

MS. BARRETT: Yes.

MR. SILLAS: We had heard earlier this morning the number ten thousand new farm workers. Could you help me figure out which figure we're to use?

MS. BARRETT: Okay, basically the figure that I was using was in the city's housing element. And they indicated that that was an estimate of migrant farm workers at the height of the season. There's always the split, of course, between migrant farm workers and settled out farm workers. Actually, in the Santa Maria area, I think, one of the things that's been happening over the last ten years is that there are more farm workers who are staying here year round.

Part of that is that there is now more labor intensive field crops which are, in fact, grown year round. For example, the strawberry crop now many growers are using a winter harvest-type of berry so that they, in fact, have a crop going pretty much all year round. So that when you look at the number of acres of land that have gone from, for instance, grazing land

very low labor requirements up to strawberries which is very high, the ten thousand figure would not surprise me at all.

MR. SILLAS: Now, you described two instances where HUD had provided the money but for lack of site these projects were never built.

MS. BARRETT: Right.

MR. SILLAS: Do you have any recommendations to this Commission as to how to address that issue as it pertains to the establishment of a site or the location of a site?

MS. BARRETT: I think that a governmental entity which, in fact, is interested in building low income or having -- not building themselves but encouraging the building of low income housing for its constituents needs to do an affirmative and aggressive cooperation with those agencies to develop that type of housing, to find the sites, you know, sites with appropriate locations, appropriate zoning and make those permits available, too.

MR. SILLAS: Was the unavailability of the sites a result of neighboring owners of parcels that were being viewed as possible sites and their objections to the low income units?

MS. BARRETT: The HUD project that fell

through, the Orca site was turned down because of neighborhood pressures, I understand. Of course, that was not within the City of Santa Maria. The -- that housing project would have been buildable in the City of Santa Maria had a site been found for it, however.

MR. SILLAS: All right, now switching over for a moment to the redevelopment monies, and you stated that, if I understood your statement, that twenty percent of redevelopment money is to be allocated for low or moderate income housing.

MS. BARRETT: That's correct. It's twenty percent of the tax increment which comes into the redevelopment agency as a result of the redevelopment.

MR. SILLAS: All right, and that since 1972, however, this has not occurred.

MS. BARRETT: That's correct.

MR. SILLAS: And this has not occurred because the city has been able to determine or make a finding that there was not a need for the housing; is that correct?

MS. BARRETT: I think the finding, according to my information from the Department of Community -Housing and Community Development, the finding that the city has made is that they need to use that money to pay the debt service on the bonds that they took out in

order to build the Town Center.

MR. SILLAS: I see. So they have made a determination based on the weight of the equities from their perception and in each instance, something else has been at a higher priority than development of the housing.

MS. BARRETT: That's correct.

MR. SILLAS: Ms. Pruneda, if I may switch over for a moment to the education, your comment about the independent study program was that other districts or that the program itself was set up for the benefit of students who were doing well and who found school boring.

MR. PRUNEDA: If you look at the legislative intent of the independent study program, that's what originally the independent study program was designed for, the bright and bored or the type of students that are kids that are movie stars that have to go on location, the student that wants to take a trip to Europe and what had you, and is able to do the work pretty much without the assistance of a teacher.

MR. SILLAS: Now, is the attendance at an independent study program, does the student ultimately receive a graduate degree?

MS. PRUNEDA: If they have enough credits. I

don't know how -- I've read the Santa Maria independent study program brochure. And that basically says that if you have been enrolled -- if you have been enrolled in the Santa Maria High School, the mainstream school and if you get enough credits that you will be able to graduate. It doesn't say anything about the student that never gets to be enrolled at the Santa Maria -- at the mainstream school.

So that basically we have just started to look into the matter and we, at this point, don't know what happens to the six hundred students. In independent study, they have a continuation school and an independent study program. They're sort of different. One's a continuation school. The other one's an independent study program. They can be placed in an independent study program from the -- once their in the mainstream school, they can be placed either in continuation track or the independent study program.

And they're sort of different. Continuation school, the student goes supposedly five times a week for the morning session or the afternoon session.

Independent study program is different in the sense that they do all their work at home.

MR. SILLAS: All right. You stated that the intent of the legislature was on the independent study

program that those would be for the brightest folks and for those that found school boring. You've also stated that a vast majority of those in the independent program here in Santa Maria are Hispanic.

MS. PRUNEDA: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SILLAS: But you're not connecting up that the reason that those persons, Hispanics are in the program is because they're so bright and bored.

MS. PRUNEDA: No, basically what I'm saying is that the school district has turned it on its head, that the program was not intended for those students that are falling behind. The program was intended for another type of student.

MR. SILLAS: And where are the bright, bored students in Santa Maria? What school do they attend?

MS. PRUNEDA: We don't know.

MR. SILLAS: How long has the independent study program been in existence?

MS. PRUNEDA: It has been in existence for a long time, basically since 19 -- I know it was in Santa Maria since 1985.

MR. SILLAS: Since '85. So we're talking about a three-year high school, generally, a three-year term in high school?

MS. PRUNEDA: It would be four years.

MR. SILLAS: Four years. So that there should have then a graduating class at least by now if a person had started in 1985 with the independent study program.

MS. PRUNEDA: There should be, yes.

MR. SILLAS: Okay. But what you're telling us is at this point in time, you have no information to give us as to whether or not those persons have graduated or not graduated that may have started out in 1985.

MS. PRUNEDA: I don't know that. That's probably a question that OCR can answer. They have conducted investigations of the district and they probably do have the statistics.

MR. SILLAS: Does the school receive the same amount of money for the students whether they're in either program or any of these programs?

MS. PRUNEDA: It is my understanding that they receive the full ADA regardless if they provide a student with instruction five days a week or five minutes a week per student.

MR. SILLAS: Is it a fair statement to say that the cost for the student in the independent study program is considerably less than the student that was enrolled full time in the school?

MS. PRUNEDA: Yes.

MR. SILLAS: Are any of the students in the independent study program, to your knowledge, limited English speaking?

MS. PRUNEDA: Yes, they are. In fact, I have attached to the written statement that I have provided a finding by the OCR. They conducted an investigation in 1986 and they did find that the district had, in fact, been discriminating against the limited English students in 1986, because it was placing the limited English proficient students in an independent study program when, by definition, the LEP students are not sufficiently proficient in English to succeed in the regular programs, much less in the independent study programs where they do not have an access to a teacher or any other type of instruction.

MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not the materials that are used by these limited English speaking students are bilingual materials?

MS. PRUNEDA: I do not, I do not know.

MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not the teachers that they report to on their weekly report are bilingual teachers?

MS. PRUNEDA: It is my understanding from talking to parents that in the Righitti High School out

of a total of seventy teachers they have three bilingual teachers. Righitti High School is a high school where the students from Guadalupe are transported to Righitti. That's where the Guadalupe students go to school.

It's basically -- on top of all of that it's a culture shock for the students coming from Guadalupe.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you. Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: Just a couple brief questions
with regard to education. Assuming that the way the
program implemented it constitutes an abuse of the
intent of the program, to your knowledge has anyone
reported this to the State Department of Education?

MS. PRUNEDA: I don't know if they reported it to the Department of Education. I know that OCR is presently looking into the matter. I, through the Freedom of Information Act, was able to obtain that information and that's attached to the report that I've submitted to you.

MR. BELTRAN: And one more question; what do you know about the independent study program as far as its placement of the students in college programs? Is there an assumption that these folks go on to college or is the premise that this is a safe dumping ground since they won't be going on to college?

MS. PRUNEDA: Well, I believe that that's sort

of addressed by the letter of findings by OCR, which basically -- it's page 3 of the letter of findings. And it basically says that OCR did generally review the various programs the district has established for students who are potential drop-outs.

One of these programs is the independent study program which is designed to allow students to earn credit for independent work outside the classroom setting, but under the supervision of a teacher. So that, in fact, tells me that the district is using the independent study programs as -- basically saying, "This is what we use for potential dropouts". So that it's almost -- that it would not be used for potential college students.

MR. BELTRAN: It's a twilight zone for the students. They disappear into --

MS. PRUNEDA: They disappear. We don't know what the drop-out rate is of the so-called independent study students. I don't know how that's reported, at what time they become a drop-out statistic.

MR. BELTRAN: But at some point along the process, they must be certified as continuing to participate in the independent study program.

MS. PRUNEDA: Yes, and while they're on the independent study program the district is receiving the

full ADA from the state.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, ladies.

MR. COOPER: Mr. Carney, just for clarification, carried to the extreme -- and I don't mean to be facetious, but carried to the extreme, everybody could become bright and bored and you wouldn't have any students in school. Am I correct in that assumption?

MS. PRUNEDA: If the district were using the independent study program for the bright and bored, I supposed.

MR. COOPER: Yeah, but I mean, that's a legal classification written into the legislation, but what I'm asking is carried to the extreme I guess they could eventually eliminate everybody out of the schools and still be getting the same amount of money from the state for educating students.

MS. PRUNEDA: Sure, but they can eliminate the so-called potential drop-outs which is what they're doing in this case, eliminating the potential drop-outs and receiving their full ADA.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, ladies. I'd ask our next speaker, Mr. Candelaria to step forward. David Candelaria? Good afternoon, Mr. Candelaria.

MR. CANDELARIA: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I'd like you to be seated and to state your name for the record and any group that you represent and do so with volume, please, so that the people in the back of the room there can hear you.

MR. CANDELARIA: Very good. My name is David Candelaria. I come here on behalf of the Association of Mexican American Educators. I am employed with the Santa Maria School District as a resource teacher with a migrant education program.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: If you have a statement, sir, or any remarks you'd like to make, feel free to do so now.

MR. CANDELARIA: All right. I have some prepared notes if that's all right.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Certainly.

MR. CANDELARIA: I want to talk about our area here and what it involves. We know that here in the central coast of California we have -- millions and millions of dollars are provided from agriculture products and these products are exported throughout the United States and the world. And the Mexican people that work in the fields provide this cheap available labor that is required for this type of business, the agri business.

These Mexican people, who freely cross the

. •

border to work in the fields maybe a hundred years ago, are now considered a problem here in Santa Maria.

Because of the large number of Mexican population that is living here in the central coast, they bring with it sociological demands of any society of any people. And of course, some of these are being addressed today to include education, employment, medical needs and others.

This area has a few Hispanics who are California born and have lost their ties with Mexico. What we do have here, we have a large sharecropping group of Mexican farm workers who use Santa Maria as a home base and return frequently to Mexico and then possibly the ancestors of these people who work here presently, they might have been here generations ago working in the fields as in the case of my family.

And we say that "aqui estabamos". That means, we were here, "aqui estamos" we are here, "aqui estaramos" we will continue to be here. These Mexican families have their children that populate our schools and these children certainly have special needs that include not only the need for Spanish instruction, but also bilingual education and medical and dental needs, and, of course, housing and all that comes with it.

The program that I'm affiliated with is the migrant education program which provides supplemental

 help to these children with special needs, while the district provides the base program in the form of providing the school and the classroom and the teacher for them. We continue to have an increasing migrant student population in our area because we have people that are coming to this area. It's a desirable area to be and there are jobs, there are jobs working in agriculture.

And some of the problems that we have, we have an increasing student population and we have decreasing funds. Every year our migrant program is cut funds and we're given less money to do more with. And that sort of is a problem. If we can take care of that someway, that would be great.

But that's not only true for the migrant education program. That is true for funding across the board. So we continue to have the battle for the buck, the scramble for the dollar intensifies. Through the efforts of many our area has made some progress in providing bilingual education and other programs to address the needs of these children but we really need to look closely at the status of affirmative action, I believe in the public funded agencies.

It still continues that the people that are in power do not really acknowledge the present day needs of

the community. I think there needs to be a better concerted effort to locate and hire qualified Hispanics for all levels in education. We certainly are well represented in the fields and in the blue collar area, but we need to make an extra effort to look for

qualified Hispanics for all areas of education.

And we also need to make the people that have the power realize that Hispanics are now a majority and we're looking for parity that reflects the population of our own community. Not only in the public sector but in the private sector do we need to foster and promote Hispanics to enter professional areas because they are needed so badly. And they're out there, people are out there. And we need to keep on pressing and looking for these people.

We will continue to aspire because we are looking for a rightful place in our society here and we will continue, aqui estabamos, aqui estamos, aqui estaramos. That is the statement I needed to make.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Candelaria, can I ask you first, what is your particular position with his migrant education?

MR. CANDELARIA: I work with the migrant ed program which is a federally funded program, as you know. And I work as a resource teacher for the program

here in the Santa Maria School District. Out of an ADA school population of about nine thousand children in our district, we have more than twenty-five children qualify for the migrant education program, the qualification being that their parents work in some kind of agricultural activity.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas, questions?

MR. SILLAS: I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Candelaria, thank you very much for your attendance and you input.

MR. CANDELARIA: Very good.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We have next Doctor Artiaga.

DR. ARTIAGA: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm

Porfirio Artiaga. I am a Santa Maria chiropractor and

I'm a sportsman for the Santa Maria Valley Soccer

League.

First of all, I want to thank you for the invitation to participate in this forum sponsored by the United States Commission on Civil Rights. As we know,

men and women needs a space, I feel, to practice his or her favorite exercise or sport, to maintain his physical fitness and remain competitive. Here in Santa Maria the most prominent sport for the Latin American young person is soccer, which activity is practiced through the year by young adults and followed with a good number of persons.

In the most recent years I have observed a constant decline of soccer facilities provided by the City of Santa Maria which has caused a series of problems to keep practicing or playing the games in a decent manner. Our neighboring cities like Oxnard, Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Los Alamos, Arroyo Grande, Casa Robles, have more than adequate soccer fields for any level of competition. However, in Santa Maria we have been experiencing a lack of interest by the authorities to our needs.

Specifically, there are three fields for soccer in Santa Maria which we do not use them through the year. the Adams Field or a storm drain is called posa (phonetic) by the Hispanics is used in the rainy months only. When there is a lot of rain, it becomes impossible to play, causing delays and postponing games.

The Prisker (phonetic) Park Field is used only in the summer months. The programming of events have to

be cut short to allow the grass to grow or be resodded. The Fesler School Field is available all year but is not in condition to play soccer because of worn out areas and gopher holes, that has caused in many instances serious injuries to the players.

We used to have goal posts in the Adams Park Field, now are removed for obvious reasons. Now to play games we have to provide portable goal posts and be removed from the fields, beyond the sight of anybody and be stored or kept in a safe place. We came up with a set of goal posts that had to be placed on the other side of the railroad tracks. Every time we have games, it is difficult and dangerous task every Sunday to bring it back and forth because it can hurt anybody trying to lift such heavy posts.

Another requirement that the city has improvised upon us is that we provide heavy security for Sunday games which is ridiculous at times when there are two of four fans on each team. The cost to keep security is beyond our economical means. Also we have to provide liability insurance for any damage to the materials inside and outside the fields.

At the end of each day of games, we have to leave the fields completely -- we have to leave the fields completely clean. Three or four years ago, the

Oakley Field used to be available for soccer games, but the city renovated it to a neat baseball field. Personally I recall that it was going to be renovated to a soccer field but it wasn't so.

Due to increasing number of teams in recent years, we had to program games outside Santa Maria like Oceano, Nipomo, Los Alamos, which turned out to be very expensive. We have to cover expenses for the maintenance of portable johns, security, insurance and cleaning up the fields. Most of the teams or clubs are scarcely scratching their pockets to come up with money to cover the above-mentioned expenses.

so our city authorities are neglecting the needs of a good percentage of the population to practice and follow its favorite sport. The lack of support and cooperation from the authorities is creating a polarization of feelings among its citizens. Once again I'll say that the skepticism of some authorities to what a sector of the population does for recreations is relevant for them. The apathy of others that know of the needs but do not take charge of saying or executing demands of our growing population that needs recreation and of others, the total ignorance of other leaders that we have needs like other sectors of the population. They maintain neat fields for baseball, softball and

football.

In conclusion, the city has the responsibility to provide similar or more than adequate fields of recreation for its citizens without any favoritism and prejudice. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, Doctor. Doctor Erler.

DR. ERLER: Is it your contention that the city is spending more resources on facilities for other groups then? Is there some disproportion in your mind? Is this happening or is it just that the city's not going as far as and as fast you would like to see?

DR. ARTIAGA: I would say more sources get to other services, for other sports, like baseball, softball, football.

DR. ERLER: So you believe that this is traceable to what, some racial animosity on the part of the --

DR. ARTIAGA: I won't say that but I noticed that in the last eight years since I've been here in Santa Maria.

DR. ERLER: What do you attribute the cause of this then?

DR. ARTIAGA: Lack of interest, as I said before, or just to heck with Hispanics, just forget

1 DR. ERLER: Some ethnic animosity then. 2 DR. ARTIAGA: Definitely. 3 DR. ERLER: Okay, thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas. 5 MR. SILLAS: Did I understand you correctly 6 that for every game you need two security persons? 7 DR. ARTIAGA: Yes, sir. 8 MR. SILLAS: Have there been any incidents 9 that you know of where security persons were needed to 10 squill any type of fights or --11 DR. ARTIAGA: As I say, some teams barely 12 complete the number of players to make a game. And to 13 me, security is completely unnecessary. Other teams 14 carry a good number of fans or followers. There were 15 times that there were some break-outs and, of course, we 16 needed security then. 17 MR. SILLAS: How recent was that? 18 DR. ARTIAGA: I cannot recall, probably three 19 months ago we needed security. There was one fight. 20 MR. SILLAS: Was the security requested prior 21 to that or subsequent to that event? 22 DR. ARTIAGA: Since we begun the season, the 23 events, we had security every Sunday and every game. 24 MR. SILLAS: For how long a period of time?

about them.

25

1	DR. ARTIAGA: They are there from 11:00
2	o'clock until 6:00 o'clock.
3	MR. SILLAS: How long a period of time have
4	you been required to have the security?
5	DR. ARTIAGA: For each game, two hours.
6	Security's been there all the time, as I say from 11:00
7	o'clock until 6:00 o'clock.
8	MR. SILLAS: I understand, but when was it
9	first required that you begin to have the security
10	people?
11	DR. ARTIAGA: I believe since two years
12 .	ago.
13	MR. SILLAS: Two years ago.
14	DR. ARTIAGA: Yes.
15	MR. SILLAS: That was before there was any
16	incident of
17	DR. ARTIAGA: We had incidents after that,
18	too. They weren't controlled by the security.
19	MR. SILLAS: Are there baseball leagues in the
20	city?
21	DR. ARTIAGA: There is one prominent league
22	here, yes.
23	MR. SILLAS: And do you know whether or not
24	they're required to have security persons?
25	DR. ARTIAGA: I'm not aware of that, that
	1

1	they have security.
2	MR. SILLAS: Do you know of any other
3	organized games or team sports that the city requires to
4	have security persons present?
5	DR. ARTIAGA: I'm not aware that they have
6	security or request security.
7	MR. SILLAS: But it's your statement that in
8	soccer that security is required by the city.
9	DR. ARTIAGA: It was required, yes.
10	MR. SILLAS: And how many soccer leagues are
11	there here in the City of Santa Maria?
12	DR. ARTIAGA: We have only one with forty-
13	three teams.
14	MR. SILLAS: And are all forty-three teams
15	Mexican teams?
16	DR. ARTIAGA: I would say ninety-nine point
17	eight percent, yes.
18	MR. SILLAS: I don't have any other
19	questions.
20	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, Ms. Patterson?
21	MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Artiaga, you
22	mentioned that you're required to provide liability
23	insurance
24	DR. ARTIAGA: Liability insurance.
25	MS. PATTERSON: and security. Are there

other groups that are also required to provide liability 1 insurance or is this your --2 DR. ARTIAGA: I'm aware that the baseball 3 teams are required to have liability insurance, 4 yes. 5 MS. PATTERSON: Okay, so all groups are. 6 DR. ARTIAGA: We'll buy that, yes. 7 MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran? 9 I have no questions. MR. BELTRAN: 10 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper? 11 MR. COOPER: No, thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, Doctor, we thank 13 you for your presentation and your input. 14 Ladies and gentlemen, we do have a reporter 15 here that, like everybody else who needs a break and 16 stretch my legs and I want to stretch my legs. 17 So we're going to take a short recess and 18 we'll go off the record at this time. It will be about 19 ten minutes. 20 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 21 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We're going to reconvene the 22 forum and we begin with Doctor Tissier. And I don't 23 have -- oh, I see, the superintendent, that's you, 24 right? 25

DR. TISSIER: This is Art Valadio, and he is our coordinator of pupil services.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right, if you'd do me
the favor or announcing your name and your position or
organization as you begin your address, your remarks and
I also ask you to keep your voice up so that -- assuming
you used to be a teacher, you can do that, can't
you?

DR. TISSIER: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: So that everybody in the back of the room can hear you and you're free to make your remarks and hopefully, they're not going to be --we're running on a tight agenda here so if they're too long, I'd ask you to summarize, if you can and then we'll have our question and answers for you, or question period for you to give the answers. And if you would be kind enough to give your name and position.

DR. TISSIER: My name is Doctor Gail Tissier and I am superintendent with the Santa Maria Bonita School District and with me is Art Valadio and he is our coordinator of pupil services. I do have prepared remarks and as any educator, you know that they're going to be lengthy because we did our homework on this. And I'm honored to be with you today because I really do think that this is a positive forum or it can be a

positive forum.

In our school district we've had attendance of our Hispanic parents on our district advisory council and our bilingual council of over a hundred parents attending those. And we really believe that we have a large turn-out at times at that attendance because we have two coordinators who work in that program and it's Bob Verba and Roy Bird.

And the reason the parents come out, I firmly believe this, is that those people providing a caring atmosphere, one that they share and one that they ask for trust building. And I firmly believe that that's what this forum is all about. If we care about one another, we begin to build trust relationships, then the forum will have a positive outcome.

The Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce advertises Santa Maria as the center of the best. We must utilize this forum in a positive way to do our best to be the best. As superintendent of the Santa Maria Bonita School District, and therefore, I'm the largest manager of the largest budget and the largest number of employees in this area. I asked to be a part of this forum today. I was not asked. I asked to be a part of this forum.

I was in San Diego this morning. I flew in

and I will be flying back tonight. I have a meeting at 7:30. Now, some of you thing, "Oh, gee, it's party time". That's not the case I'm flying back at 7:30 tonight to work on facilities for the school district because of growth. I would prefer being in my own bed, not in San Diego. And so, I'm here because I want to be.

I'm here because my commitment is pride in working together for student excellence. My parameter in addressing this Commission is a simple parameter, to be positive about working together for our children and our community. I will not involve myself in anything that's negative nor will I involve my school district in that. Now let me say that again. I will not involve myself in anything that's negative nor will I involve my school district.

I'm excited about sharing with you information regarding our school district. While the city population is about forty-two percent Hispanic, children with Hispanic surnames make up sixty-four percent of the district population. Of the eight thousand nine hundred students in the district, twenty-five percent are in bilingual programs. Our bilingual programs include working with students on acquiring English language skills, acquisition of academic skills in whatever

language the child speaks, and developing a strong selfconcept using the cultural resources of the child and the community.

About twenty-three hundred of our students are migrant students. Our migrant program use the whole child and includes health needs of the child. Special classroom aids are provided and there's money to hire district-wide resource personnel to work with teachers. There's money to buy extra classroom materials. In all, we receive about seven hundred thousand dollars in the district in migrant education programs.

And I am right now having a running battle with the state department because I want our district to be a direct funded district. There's a neighboring district that has fewer migrant ed children than we that's receiving over a million dollars. And because of our state bureaucracy there are all kinds of loopholes that we cannot become a direct funded district. So we're fighting with the state department right now in becoming a direct funded district because we think it will bring in more migrant money.

The biggest problem facing our district has been keeping up with the growth. It has meant that have had to be in the forefront of some unpopular ideas. And this community knows of those ideas. We were one of the

3 4 5

first districts in the state to implement a year round education schedule, so we could have adequate playgrounds, bathrooms and classrooms. That action, however, was very unpleasant in this community but the district did take the leadership and as a result two new schools were built in this community. One of those was on the southeast of town and the other one in the northwest.

Rapid growth, sometimes as high as twelve percent in one year, has meant other problems. Right now we're eligible to build additional classrooms at two of our schools and the applications have been submitted, and three new schools, one junior high and two elementary.

How do we continue to meet the needs of a growing Hispanic population? Well, in 1976 there were eight bilingual teachers while the student population was thirty-two percent Hispanic surname. In 1990 there are a hundred and one bilingual teachers while the student population is sixty-four percent Hispanic surnames. The number has doubled in Hispanic surname children. The number of bilingual teachers increased one thousand two hundred and sixty-two percent. We have actively recruited bilingual teachers to address the increasing student population needs and we will continue

t

to actively recruit.

In a situation of extremes such as extreme growth, sometimes an organization needs to take risks to cope with its problems. And this district, the elementary district, does take risks. With the new English as a second language framework when it was recently adopted we didn't need -- we made no changes in our curriculum because we had made the changes years in advance and modified our program. Our beginning oral language program was recognized by the state and won curriculum development awards.

It was so far thinking that our teachers use it now in English only students as well as bilingual students. Risk sometimes pays off. We saw a tremendous need to give our migrant students the ability to go on learning while they were traveling. We prepared learning packets to align with classroom assignments for the students. When they returned to school they would not be so far behind.

Now the title of this program is independent study program and it's very different than what was stated up here before in terms of an independent study program. When our children leave the district we don't want them to leave or go on vacation or go back to Mexico empty-handed if we know they're going to come

back to our district. We want to align their leave time with what the classroom teacher is preparing for the students during that time when they're absent.

"What are you going to be working with within the next ten days or fifteen days when the students are leaving", help them develop packets, send the children off to Mexico or wherever they're going, and most of the children go back to Mexico for about fifteen or twenty days. Then they come back with their assignments.

We're very, very careful. If the assignments are completed, they're checked in and they get credit for that. But if they're not completed, they do not get credit and it's very, very well organized and a thought-out program. And it was not like the program that was described here earlier.

Now the independent study program, our independent study program has also received awards from the state and is a state pilot program. We still have problems of children leaving the district for twenty to thirty days, mostly to travel back to Mexico. When a child leave school for any reason for a long period of time, valuable learning time is lost and cannot be completely recovered by independent study program or any other program.

The research on school effectiveness is time on task is important. We believe in our school district children need to be in school not on independent study. They need to be in school. So we have and we have sought out from the state through migrant education, and it was through a competitive grant, to work with parents so that we can help them to understand it's extremely important to keep your children in school.

Now, I know that it is extremely meaningful to our Hispanic parents to visit Mexico and we really see a great deal of time over the holidays, the Christmas holidays, when children are leaving. But if we can get parent involvement, more parent involvement, working together so that everybody understands when a child leaves for an extended period of time, he loses valuable -- or she loses valuable teaching time and learning time.

Recently I met with all our school PTA and PTC presidents. The single most important area of concern, boy, I thought it would be budget and curriculum, single most important concern of the PTA presidents was getting more Hispanic parents involved with the school, helping our Hispanic parents feel like they are wanted and needed in the school. I was surprised. I thought it would be budget. I thought it would be curriculum. It

was, "We want parent participation" and so do we.

While we are seeing broad-based involvement at the district, we must make a concerted effort to increase parent involvement at every school site. It's extremely important, that partnership between school and home.

This district while short on space, provided room for one of eight migrant education program improvement centers in the state. Ours is so active that the people from the state department came down and again recognized the state. And both our bilingual and monolingual teachers are using. In a climate of ever diminishing funds this district is living up to its published philosophy, the first of which says, "Identify student and community needs and take into account the needs of a pluralistic society".

In our schools there are mirror sets of textbooks used in the district. No teacher can complain that there is not a textbook for a Spanish speaking student in any kindergarten through sixth grade. No teacher or parent can complain that there is not a textbook for a Spanish speaking student in any kindergarten through sixth grade class.

There is a problem, however, that we're seeing with state colleges. While we needed more bilingual

teachers, they were cutting out classes needed to qualify our people for bilingual credentials. Cal Poly has eliminated two programs which help provide bilingual teachers and they have no outreach program to attract parents or bilingual aids to further their education and get a degree.

We have many, many bilingual aids in our classrooms. It's a tragedy that the colleges are not reaching out, providing programs for these people at no or low cost to get back to school and to get back into those classrooms as teachers.

Though money was getting scarce in our district, the district began offering its own college classes and we went so far to offer Spanish classes to all employees, certificated and classified at no cost. Special arrangements have been made with Cal Poly. Tutors come to junior high school to work with high risk students. And not all, but many high risk students are Hispanic. A similar program was established with Allan Hancock College.

With other districts in this part of the county a north county alliance was formed to provide other classes needed to acquire language developed specialist certificates for our teachers. So we said, "If the college is not doing it, we'll get an alliance

started and we'll do it ourselves".

Are there some difficulties in the schools?

You bet there are. The public seems to think that we get Spanish speaking children only in the kindergarten and that within a few years everybody should be speaking English. That's not the case. What they seem to forget is that we get students who are junior high school age, who have little or no experience with school.

Many of these students come to us with very diverse cultural backgrounds. And the community and our own teachers believe that we get more federal money if we hire bilingual teachers. We do not. It costs us more to go out and recruit and we do not get any additional compensation for that. Any bilingual teachers are paid with regular district funds. They are not a separate entity.

Is there discrimination in the schools? Of fourteen schools, there are two Hispanic principals, two black principals and two schools run by women, and the superintendent happens to be a woman which I think is great. I had to throw that in. It's not in my script. There are six women assistant principals. And the women are coming up fast, guys, because we do have six women assistant principals.

One of those assistant principals was a part

time bilingual aid in the district just nine years ago. Now she is an administrator.

These educational leaders and all other administrators do not allow discrimination on their campus and I, for one, would never tolerate it. We have an affirmative action policy in the district, Policy Number 4111. Our attention to concerns of discrimination led us to modify that policy in 1989 to include a statement forbidding discrimination against authorized aliens.

Further the policy states, "Recruitment, selection and employment practices of the district shall provide a concerted effort to hire and promote qualified individuals of minority ethnic background so that the total district staff is representative of the student and community populations in the district". The interesting thing is, of course, that there are only so many qualified candidates and many school districts trying to hire them. Our students need the best teachers we can hire.

Last year we hired fifty teachers and twenty of them were bilingual credentialed or wavered teachers. Our total employees, twenty-eight percent are Hispanic and another four percent are other minority groups. Our teachers are fifteen percent Hispanic and five percent

_

other minorities, while in classified jobs forty percent are Hispanic, three percent are other minorities.

But when you compare this district to the county and state totals something becomes very clear. When you compare our district, the Santa Maria Bonita District, with the county and the state something becomes very clear.

I have numbers for last year's certificated staff in this district was fourteen percent. Countywide only eight percent of the teachers were Hispanic and state-wide only eight percent were Hispanic. And in our district fourteen percent are Hispanic.

We may not be able to match exactly our ethnic enrollment with ethnic teachers, but we are doing a damn sight better than the county and the state and we are trying much harder in my opinion.

I told you I saw this as an opportunity and it is an opportunity and I will not let it pass without telling your some of the problems we are having, problems that I believe are affecting the Hispanic population and this community more than any other group. There are problems which affect our ability to educate children and give children a chance to reach their living potential. There are many homes in the community housing more than one family. There are many homes in

this community housing more than one family.

Sometimes as many as five families share one house. How does that affect education? Children need a quiet place to study. In fact, this district, rather than disposing of old desks, gave them to families if they agreed to set up study sessions, study centers in their home. But with five families in a single family dwelling there is little quiet time.

We are also seeing an alarming increases in cases of hepatitis and head lice. Sanitary facilities are not adequate for these families in this community. We cannot educate sick children. We need to work together to help our families find homes they can afford, homes they can take pride in and homes where children have a place to study.

Our aid to dependent children count has decreased in this community. Our aid to dependent children count has decreased in this community, giving an indication that our Hispanic families are staying together as a unit. That's something to be very proud of. Our people in this community, our Hispanic families are not on aid. That's something to be very proud of. And they are staying together as a family unit.

This community must address this housing issue, address it in a positive way. I want to work

with the Commission and other agencies in the city and the county and the state to improve this situation which will allow students to become everything that they have the potential to be. Education is the starting point for everyone. Our schools are a place of stability and calm and many, many children's home that are turbulent at this time.

each child. We are so committed to these principals that one of our most recent hires was a person to solely follow up on attendance of migrant students. It is so important that children are in school. Time on task is extremely important to learning. In the past we reconfigured our pre-school to address the needs of bilingual students here. It was one of the first of two state-funded pre-schools which are bilingual.

We have instituted a breakfast program at four of our schools serving approximately a hundred and twenty-five students per day. We have established a head start program on Ariaona (phonetic) School for four-year olds and are working to establish another one at Oakley School. We are working with the YMCA to implement an extended day care program at one of our schools and we know that that extended day care program will expand to other schools.

Each of our schools have counselors. Most of the schools have community aids to visit homes and help parents. We have four school nurses to assist with health care. They are assigned to three schools and a health aid has been hired for each of our schools. Our heath staff has been growing while other districts have cut or remained stagnant. We have implement skills for growing and skills for adolescent programs at all of our schools to help children enhance their self-esteem and make wise choices and decisions.

We have a strong anti-drug program and work with the police department to implement the DARE program. Most of our school have been renovated within the last four to five years and those that have not, applications for state renovation funds have been established. Our motto, pride in working together for student excellence is not limited to only academics. Our focus is on the whole child, building self-esteem, providing the skills to make wise choices, establishing safe, orderly environments, and setting high academic expectations for all children.

Do we have problems? Yes. Wherever there is growth population problems will exist, but for every problem there is a solution. What we must do is take pride in working together for quality opportunities for

all Santa Marians. Are we our brother's keeper? Are we our brother's keeper? You bet we are, you bet.

I am hoping that what comes from this forum today are positive suggestions to improve the opportunities for everyone who lives in Santa Maria. I want to be a part of a positive action. I will not be a part of anything negative.

I believe in what Chamber of Commerce says about Santa Maria. Santa Maria is the center of the best. I believe our school motto, pride in working together for student excellence. I believe in that motto, pride in working together for student excellence and I believe that motto can be extended and expanded to become a city motto, pride in working together for quality opportunities for all Santa Marians.

And again, I'm proud to be here and I'm proud to be a part of this Commission hearing. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, Doctor Doctor Erler?

DR. ERLER: I'll reserve my questions if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: Doctor Tissier, the district that you are a superintendent to, Santa Maria Bonita School

1.2

District, is that the only school district in Santa Maria?

DR. TISSIER: No, it is not. We have two separate school districts. Santa Maria Bonita School District is a K-8 district. And then we have a high school district, 9 through 12.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, all right. You heard the prior statements from the prior witnesses pertaining to the independent program as it was described. I was wondering, would you care to comment in terms — assuming that those statements were correct, as to how that program was being conducted as to whether or not in your opinion that would be a productive program.

DR. TISSIER: I would only like to comment on the programs at Santa Maria Bonita Elementary

District.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, you don't wish to comment on that program.

DR. TISSIER: No.

MR. SILLAS: Let me ask you as it pertains to your program as you have described it, during the period of time that the students are away with their independent program, study program, their packets, is the school receiving money for those students while they're gone?

DR. TISSIER: Yes, sir.

MR. SILLAS: So that for a period of one week, two weeks they're out of the country; is that correct?

DR. TISSIER: Yes, sir.

MR. SILLAS: You've given them a package.

DR. TISSIER: Yes, sir.

MR. SILLAS: And while they're gone, you're getting money; is that correct?

DR. TISSIER: We get ADA for the students if they bring the materials back corrected. In other words, if they work on their homework assignments, we have to check to make sure that they're done according to our standards of high expectations. If they are completed, we receive funds. If they are not, we do not receive the funds.

MR. SILLAS: When do you get the funds?

MR. VALADIO: My name is Art Valadio and I
handle the pupil personnel services in our district.

Independent study happens to be one of the components
for which I am responsible. As Doctor Tissier stated
earlier, youngsters are provided packets. We allow
packets for up to thirty school days. And there's a
contract developed between the independent study teacher
and the parent involved and the youngster.

That contract has a beginning date and an

MR. VALADIO: No, and the state has a

24

25

formula -- there are certain periods within the fiscal year that the state requires reports and it's called -- the period of time is called P-2. Since you're the superintendent, you know when that begins and when that ends, but P-2 is the period of time within the fiscal year that the state -- excuse me, the district submits its attendance figures and that is what the state will fund us for.

MR. SILLAS: So, if for example, at a closing time of a reporting period a student is out, hasn't returned with his contract, what happens for that period or do you report the student as being in attendance or do you report the student not in attendance?

MR. VALADIO: If the work was not turned in -we have separate registers to handle the independent
study. The state requires that for accounting purposes.
If the student returns without the packet completed,
well, number one, of course there is apportionment, but
secondly, there would be no academic credit which is the
most important aspect. The youngster is re-enrolled
back into his or her regular classroom if they return to
the original school.

MR. SILLAS: Please help me try to understand.

January 1st, January 15th a student leaves. When do you make your report to the school about attendance?

MR. VALADIO: Okay, are we talking about the P-2?

MR. SILLAS: Whatever you want to call it. I don't know what you call it.

MR. BUCHMAN: My name is Mark Buchman and I work for the district as well. We do not file that attendance for the independent study until after we've gotten a completed packet. So if we miss the P-2 in March then we would not bill that attendance and the daily attendance money for that student until that packet is accepted.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, and --

MR. BUCHMAN: So it could be as much as six months trail.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, and so that if the packet comes back not completed then no request for funds.

MR. BUCHMAN: Hopefully, even if he's done some work or the child's done some work, they've gotten something out of it. So while we may not get something out of it, hopefully the student's gotten something out of it.

MR. SILLAS: Do you see a potential conflict, superintendent, of the -- conflict of interest perhaps in terms of determining whether the child has completed his program since the determination that it has --

academics of the child. So I think it's an ethical type of question. And I would not see that a teacher would not have a conflict of interest there.

MR. SILLAS: A teacher who has a return of sixty-four per cent of the students not completing their

who look at those reports are teachers. And teachers

are very ethical. They have no alignment with dollars

and cents whatsoever. What they are looking at is the

MS. TISSIER: No I don't because the people

sixty-four per cent of the students not completing their contract, as opposed to ninety-four per cent, is there some evaluation made of that teacher in her inability to motivate the students to conclude the program at school?

ART V: The figures are kept by school. They are not kept by teacher. As a matter of fact, because of the teacher's concerns that some of the packets were not in the youngster's best interest, we are up-grading our packets. Especially the junior high level in relationship to graduation requirements in preparation for the high school.

The teachers themselves have said that we need to upgrade these packets if we're going to make them meaningful for youngsters and if they're going to profit from them. So it's not just a money question, it's a student academic achievement question.

MR. SILLAS: Is there an affect on the

evaluation of the school, to that school, if the return of the contracts is lesser than another school?

ART V: Yes. That is my responsibility.

MR. SILLAS: What happens?

MS. TISSIER: Let me just say this. I don't think it's an evaluation. But we look critically at the children who are leaving the schools and going out with packets that we're giving and they're not having a high completion return. What are we doing wrong. Because the end result should not be the money. The end result is the lack of learning for the child at that time.

So we're not concerned, I would hope we would not be concerned because of a money problem, we're concerned because the child did not complete an opportunity for a learning environment.

MR. SILLAS: But the motivation. I understand what you're saying about the motivation. But a student who's in class, whether he or she learns anything, the school is still receiving money. Is that correct?

MS. TISSIER: Right.

MR. SILLAS: And an assignment of a contract to a student that does not complete the contract means that the school does not get the money.

MS. TISSIER: But that's not the motivation for the contract. And I think that --

MR. SILLAS: Would it be a fair statement to say that the motivation to have the child complete the contract also provides the school with money that it would not have if the student did not receive the contract?

MS. TISSIER: Absolutely.

MR. SILLAS: And my question is, do you have anything set within your system to assure the system that the children are not being given credit for the contracts merely to provide the funds for the schools?

ART V: I myself, I make numerous home visits to youngsters in their homes to encourage them. These packets have been made up, that the contract is binding upon you and it's binding upon us. And that in order for the youngster to benefit educationally, it's imperative that the work be done and that it be monitored by a parent. And that upon their return, that it be turned in timely. Otherwise we all lose.

MR. BUCHMAN: I think another point, if we were to follow the thinking that we were doing this for money, then I think we'd have a hundred per cent at every school. And I didn't know that it was sixty-four per cent at some schools. And I know Art's jumping on some people if it is. But if we were just doing it for the money it would be a hundred per cent. If we have

. 9

sixty-four per cent at some schools, that's not a great percentage.

MS. TISSIER: I think the question, while schools are certainly in dire need of money, our motivation in terms of children is learning. That's the focus, learning. And the focus also is getting the children to stay in school and not leave.

So the whole tone of the way this is going is something that I really take exception to. As an educational leader. I have to say that to you. Because professionally my focus is on getting the kids to stay in school and learning. I would hope that we wouldn't have anybody on our independent study program. That every child was in a seat every day of the year. We're not motivated because of money.

MR. SILLAS: You've made reference to the parent's programs, and you indicated that you had met with the PTA's at the districts. What percentage of the Mexican families, or parents, belong to the PTA's, do you know?

MS. TISSIER: No, I don't know.

MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not at the PTA meetings they provide interpreters for non-English speaking parents at the PTA meetings?

MS. TISSIER: I think they try to do that in

_

most of our PTA meetings. Sometimes it's very difficult to do that. But I think that they make a concerted effort to try in most cases. I know at the district level we do. At our district council meetings and our bi-lingual meetings.

MR. SILLAS: You indicated that the single most important issue raised with you at the time you met with your PTA's was the involvement of Hispanic parents in the schools. What steps do you view would make that possible?

MS. TISSIER: I think we have to do more reaching out. I think Art is a good first step and link to the community. First they have to feel like they're wanted and there's a trust relationship. I also think that sometimes people coming from a foreign country are not secure with public agencies. They feel threatened by public agencies. And we have to do more by extending a friendly hand to all of our community members, and saying we really want you. You're an integral part of this community.

MR. SILLAS: Are your communications from the school district to the parents in other languages, other than English?

MS. TISSIER: Yes.

MR. SILLAS: And as it pertains to the PTA

meetings, however you think there's an attempt, but you're not certain that there's a policy that the meetings can be conducted in languages other than English to assist the non-English speaking --

MS. TISSIER: PTA's are a separate entity.

They're not district operated. I don't know about the

PTA fliers going home, if they're in another language or

not. Because that's up to the PTA's how they do that.

But when then get there, I know that various schools try

to have an interpreter there to work with our parents.

MR. SILLAS: Would you view the sending of notices by the PTA in languages other than English as a step in the right direction?

MS. TISSIER: Absolutely.

MR. SILLAS: You made mention also of the number of new hires as teachers, I think you said there were twenty credited or waivered bi-lingual teachers. What number or percentage of those twenty were waived?

MS. TISSIER: I don't know. I'd have to go back --

MR. SILLAS: Anybody know? Am I correct in assuming that a waiver means that the teacher is not bilingual but that state department has waived that requirement if certain other things are done?

MS. TISSIER: What we try to do is, normally

. ¹⁰

they're not as fluent in Spanish speaking language. And they have given a desire to take classes and also to become credentialed bi-lingually. Normally, I think, and I'd have to go back to Bob Gerba (phonetic), who works with that quite extensively, is that we try to have the teachers to have some language capabilities. It might be minimal, but some. And I'm not so sure also it's a hundred per cent of the time.

MR. SILLAS: Could you provide for the committee the number of the twenty which are bi-lingual certified. Let me ask also, you commented about the difficulty that the school is facing, problems it's facing with the six students and the lack of study vocation at the home, because of the five families in a home. Does the district have any land itself which it has available for joint venturing with developers for construction of homes? Or is all of your land presently being occupied by school facilities and the use of it?

MS. TISSIER: All of it's being occupied. In fact, we're asking developers to donate some land if they'd like. We trying to build schools. We're tight for space.

MR. SILLAS: You're aware, of course, of the opportunity that school districts have to joint venture now with private developers for schools.

MS. TISSIER: Right now we're in the process 1 of looking at becoming a meler roost (phonetic) district 2 and that's one of the reasons I'm flying back, meeting 3 with some of the consultants tonight. 4 MR. SILLAS: One final question, doctor, you 5 mentioned about the nurse's being on your staff for the 6 students, are those bi-lingual nurses? 7 ART V: Our most recently hired nurse is bi-8 lingual. And she worked in the peace corp in most of 9 Latin America. And we do have a number of health aides. 10 MR. SILLAS: What about teacher's aides, do 11 you know how many teacher's aides you have in a school? 12 MS. TISSIER: Large numbers. 13 MR. SILLAS: And these are bi-lingual 14 teacher's aides? 15 MS. TISSIER: Some of them are. 16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson? 17 MS. PATTERSON: I have no questions. 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran? 19 MR. BELTRAN: Just one question, doctor. 20 you done an assessment of the performance of your pupils 21 who participated in the independent study program when 22 compared with the rest of the peoples, the ones who 23 don't? 24 MS. TISSIER: No we have not. Not necessarily 25

25

MS. TISSIER: I'm getting the feeling like

there's almost a mind-set that we have children that are

gone long periods of time. We might have one child that leaves for Mexico and is gone thirty days. And then he's back to school. And we might have another child that's gone for two weeks, and then he's back in school. But they're not gone for months, or not in this program for months on end.

MR. BELTRAN: Doctor, let me just call upon my own experience as a farm worker, where I attended schools in King City, Morgan Hill, Salinas. And when we were gone, we were gone. I assume that you have some of those folks like I was, that are here and then they're gone. Period. They don't come back. Do you have any pupils in that category?

MS. TISSIER: Yes we do.

MR. BELTRAN: How do you measure, or test, or assess the performance of your district with regard to those pupils?

MS. TISSIER: We don't know, they don't come back. I mean they leave the district. If they leave the district we would not be assessing them.

MR. BELTRAN: But during the time that they are there --

MR. BUCHMAN: We have two standardized tests that are given. We use the SAT test. And we're participating in the CAP test while the stated funded

it. We're hoping that it gets re-funded. Anything you can do to help, we'd appreciate it.

On those tests, each child's scores come back with independent scores and are analyzed by the classroom teacher and the principal at the site. There is an assessment process for each child in the district. So if the child seems to be doing poorly, there are committees that are set up to work with those children specifically.

MS. TISSIER: I think, Mark, you're a little bit off target here in terms of CAP test scores. But we do have a district Stanford Achievement Test that we give children. But I don't think it's addressing the question that you're asking. Do we track these children that are on independent study. And I'd have to honestly say, to my knowledge, no.

MR. BELTRAN: You don't know whether transcripts are requested by another school district?

MS. TISSIER: Sure.

MR. BELTRAN: You do know that?

MS. TISSIER: Once a child is enrolled in another school, they request transcripts and we send those.

MR. BELTRAN: Do you have any sense of those who go through your district and then disappear, whether

at one hundred per cent or at a lesser percentage continue on in school in some other place?

MS. TISSIER: I don't. I do know this, that we make a concerted effort in our district to get children in school. And when they don't come in and enroll and people tell us, or Art's out there working and Bob Gerba (phonetic), that we make a concerted effort to get those kids enrolled in our schools.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, doctor. Before you leave, I'd ask the gentlemen to your right, would you please state your name again, slowly this time, for the record, so we have your name.

MR. BUCHMAN: Mark Buchman, and I work with the district.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I thank all of you. And thank you, doctor, for bringing everyone. And for the inconvenience you've gone through to be here. We appreciate your participation.

And next we have Mr. Schwammel. You've been very patient sir. All day long. We're running a little late now.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: My pleasure.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right. Mr. Schwammel, you may begin. I would ask that you state your name for the record and your position. And you may make a

statement if you wish. If you have a prepared statement you can read it. And if you have any remarks you are free to do so.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I'd like to do that. For the record, by name is Wayne Schwammel. I'm the City Administrator. I live here in Santa Maria, just about three blocks from the City Hall.

By way of background, and it may be helpful for you to know a little bit about my background. I've been in the public sector for twenty-five years. I started in 1966 with the Office of Industrial Relations at Treasure Island doing work for the U. S. Navy. I was a position classification specialist.

After a year I became a generalist personnel analyst with the City of Oakland, very closely involved with the Affirmative Action Officer in the implementation of the City of Oakland's Affirmative Action Plan. I eventually ended up handling recruitment and testing and classification divisions for the city.

I was involved with the Affirmative Action

Plans specifically in the police department and fire

department. I developed the first content validated

entrance level examination for fire fighters that was

upheld at the appellate court level as a content valid

examination. I also was involved in recruitment and

testing for the police department in which minority employment rose from eleven per cent to twenty-six per cent in less than three years.

I left the City of Oakland after ten years to take a position with the City of Newport Beach as
Assistant City Manager and Director of Employee
Relations. I stayed there for three and a half years and came to Santa Maria ten years ago.

I was hired as a Deputy City Administrator with responsibility for the line supervision of eight operating departments and also the employee relations function. I was appointed as City Manager a year and a half ago.

I submit this written memorandum to you in response to your November 21, 1990 memorandum directed to my personal attention. This letter indicated the California Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights would be holding a forum in Santa Maria.

The indicated purpose of this forum is to address issues in Santa Maria relating to four areas. Voting rights, housing, municipal services, and employment. Although it is difficult to prepare written remarks relating to the above referenced issues without more specific information I will attempt to address these issues from the stand-point of demonstrating

non-discriminatory practices on the part of the City of Santa Maria.

First, voting rights. We have been monitoring the evolution of cases which have considered the judicial implementation of city/district elections. To this date we do not believe that the facts warrant the imposition of district elections. It is evident from these cases that statistical evidence is required to judicially implement districts.

The upcoming census figures and recent election totals will be reviewed. The statistics and other relevant factors require the consideration of district elections the city will, of course, do so.

Additionally, at this point in time, no group or individual has formally approached the city with a request to consider districts. We will review such a request and the relevant information transmitted in support and refer same to the city council for their consideration.

As to housing, the City of Santa Maria in our opinion, is unparalleled on the central coast in providing affordable housing. We presently have approximately twenty-one thousand dwelling units in our city. We provide affordable housing for approximately one thousand one hundred Section 8 certificate holders.

Which is about sixty per cent of the Section 8 certificates allocated to Santa Barbara county. In our population of sixty thousand is approximately sixteen per cent of the total Santa Barbara county population of three hundred and sixty-six thousand.

Some of the specific affordable housing projects that have receiving direct assistance are as follows. One hundred and twenty-two dwelling units senior mid-rise in the downtown redevelopment. One hundred and twelve dwelling units family housing, downtown redevelopment. Twenty-two dwelling units, family housing, Pepperwood. Thirty-five dwelling units, handicapped/senior, New Love. Sixty-seven dwelling units, family housing, Oak Valley, Santa Barbara Community Housing Committee.

Ninety per cent of these are lower income, eighty per cent of which are Hispanic. Seventy dwelling units, self-help housing, Oak Valley, under construction. And one hundred fourteen dwelling units, rehabilitated units, throughout the city for a total of five hundred and forty-two units.

As can be seen by the above, project number five, Oak Valley, developed on property purchased by the city using general fund monies, eighty per cent of the units were purchased by Hispanics. We anticipate a

_ :

similar ratio on the upcoming project number six, the people self-help development.

On this project we utilize four hundred thousand of the community development Block Grant funds for lot improvements and are selling the lots to people self-help at approximately twenty to twenty-five thousand below market. So far thirty-two families are in processing. Of these, ninety per cent are minorities. And nine of the thirty-two are farm workers.

In addition to the above, the city maintains a policy to convert excess industrial and commercial land to residential land uses. This policy helps us implement our jobs/housing balance and provides additional affordable housing units. Since 1984 we have created approximately two hundred and twenty acres of residentially zoned property. This would allow approximately three thousand additional residential units to be built, of which approximately four hundred units have already been constructed and many projects are in plan check.

We also have a mobile home park, containing a hundred and thirty-five units which was conditioned by our planning commission and city council to not exclude families. This mobile home park was also required to

have a day-care facility.

The city's supply and demand strategy for affordable housing is working. We presently have single-family dwellings on small zero lot lines that are selling on the open market for a hundred and twenty-nine thousand. We have over two hundred condos on the market that start at eighty-nine thousand. In today's market these prices are phenomenal when you consider lots in Santa Barbara county, south of Santa Maria, start at a hundred and twenty thousand.

In reference to farm worker housing, according to the area planning councils August 1989 report, we have approximately five thousand farm workers, including migrant farm workers, residing in the Santa Maria market area at any given time. It is fair to say that most of these workers are presently being housed in safe and decent housing.

There is no question that there are landlords who rent out garages and rent their homes to many residents. But as a percentage of the total we feel it is relatively small. When the city receives a complaint concerning people living in an illegal unit, we investigate and seek compliance with applicable zoning, health and safety codes. We do not order immediate abatement. We allow, in many cases, months for the

landlord to vacate the illegal unit, which allows sufficient time for the innocent tenant to find appropriate housing.

We feel we have an obligation to help solve the housing problem including the farm worker housing. We also realize it is a reasonable issue and warrants creative solutions.

Based on the above numbers, the City of Santa Maria is doing it's fair share to solve our problem and will continue to provide maximum efforts in this area. As of this date, the city has two farm worker housing projects in the formative stages. We're working closely with the Peoples Self-Help Housing Corporation of San Luis Obispo to help address the recognized problem of providing housing for all economic segments of our community.

Santa Barbara county must be held accountable in the solution as they are allowing substantial new areas of agricultural land each year and are doing nothing to address the affordable housing problem.

The City of Santa Maria's Community

Development Block Grant Program is designed to benefit

primarily low and moderate income persons. In the last

twelve month period reported nine hundred and sixteen

thousand was expended to benefit low and moderate income

persons. This constituted ninety-three per cent of the CDBG funds expended in that time period. The non-expended includes funds from previous year's grants as well as program income.

Sixty per cent of the total number of households and persons assisted through the projects and activities funded during the last fiscal year are minorities. Out of the sixty per cent, eighty-eight per cent are Hispanic.

Projects and activities funded last year which directly benefit lower income persons include, The Housing Incentives Program, two developments were undertaken, Valentine Court for senior and handicapped individuals; and Oak Valley, a single-family residential development. In both cases, CDBG funds were used to put in the necessary public improvements.

Our residential rehabilitation loan program, this program is an on-going program offering low interest and deferred loans to low income senior and handicapped households. Our non-profit facilities program, this is also an on-going program designed to assist public service organizations acquire or rehabilitate an existing that directly serves their clients.

Within this period the program assisted the

Salvation Army, the Boys and Girls Club, the DaNotti (phonetic) House, a group home for developmentally disabled young adults. Public service, under current CDBG regulations, only fifteen per cent of Block Grant funds can be used to provide assistance to public service organizations for operating expenses. The City of Santa Maria adopted a resolution committing the maximum of fifteen per cent of each year's grant, every year.

In this reporting period, the following organizations received funding. Good Samaritan Center, Catholic Charities, Rape Crisis Center, Klein Bottle, Social Advocate's for Youth, The Legal Aid Foundation, The Children's Referral Program, and the Community Action Commission, Salvation Army, Independent Living Sources Center, SMILE, Shelter Services for Women, The Santa Maria Valley Senior Club. These organizations received a total of eighty four thousand nine hundred dollars.

This year the City of Santa Maria received an entitlement amount of five hundred sixty-one thousand dollars. Of the total amount of program funds allocated, a hundred per cent is benefiting low and moderate income persons.

Specifically, the funds are allocated as

follows. Non-profit facilities program, a hundred and eighty-eight thousand. Neighborhood conservation program, a hundred and twenty-nine nine. Public facilities improvements, fifty thousand. Public services, eighty-four thousand nine hundred. And general administration, a hundred and eight thousand two

hundred.

As evidenced by this review, the Community
Development Block Grant Program is vital to the City of
Santa Maria. It reaches out to the members of our
community who is many cases have no other sources of
assistance to turn to. Block Grant Funds provided the
first shelter for battered women in Santa Maria. The
first emergency shelter for homeless persons. And the
first independent living facility for developmentally
disabled persons.

In the area of municipal services, it is this writers opinion that the City of Santa Maria is clearly in a non-discriminatory mode in providing of municipal services to the citizens of Santa Maria.

In the area of recreational services, the city has striven from it's inception to provide a comprehensive well-balanced program covering the needs and interests of all segments of our citizenry. The city's recreation and parks services have been

recognized and honored by local organizations on up to and including the federal government.

The programs provided cover a wide and varied range of activities which are identified in our city's quarterly publication entitled "In Touch". We have all the standard and traditional programs such as youth and adult sports, playground activities, aquatics, performing, visual arts, senior citizen programs and the developmentally disabled services.

In all of these program areas, the Hispanic citizens are significantly represented. For example, from youth to adults, over fifty per cent of the participants are Hispanic. In addition, the department has assisted the predominantly Hispanic semi-pro baseball program with the use of fields at no cost. We have assisted the Sunday softball league comprised of farm workers to use city fields at no cost. The department has worked with the adult soccer league since the late 1970's to provide fields and guidance to ensure continuation of their league in Santa Maria.

The senior citizen program includes recreational activities to social service needs. Such special services are nutrition, brown bag, agricultural food distribution, garden plots and workshops regarding taxes, legal, and health matters. Representatives by

Hispanics range from forty to seventy-five per cent in these programs.

In our aquatics program between swim classes and public swim, there are anywhere from fifty to seventy-five per cent Hispanic citizens taking part in the programs offered.

The recreation and parks department has tried to provide activities or events that specifically might interest the Hispanic community. Such as the arts, arrangements were made for professional aztec dance group to come to Santa Maria to perform and to conduct a clinic for children. Antonio Lopez, renowned classical guitarist, was another special arrangement as was the drama group El Teatro de la Experanza (phonetic).

The city's staff was involved with leaders in the Hispanic community in the creation of a program for all, but particularly Hispanic youth and young adults called P.R.I.D.E. The city provided staff and funds to operate a recreation program and boxing program which P.R.I.D.E. represented it.

A community-wide event of great importance to the Hispanic population and to the community in general is a very successful, annual, Cinco de Mayo celebration. City staff was an integral part in the creation and development of the Cinco de Mayo program in Santa Maria.

The recreation and parks department today continues to assist the annual event by helping to arrange for facilities and other pertinent needs.

The Hispanic community is a prominent participant in our recreation and parks services. The staff is sensitive to their desires and interests and makes concerted efforts to ensure all who wish to participate can do so. All the program's offered by the department have recreation grants, no charge, available to any citizen who cannot afford to pay the class or program fee.

The department's primary goal is to create opportunities for citizens of all ethnic origins or economic status to enjoy their particular recreational, leisure interest.

Another question asked, which in this writer's opinion is best addressed under the minuscule services section of this memorandum relates to the procedures involved in handling of public works contracts.

The agreements section of every public works contract issued has two section which apply to the protection of civil rights. Section 36 entitled Labor, Non-Discrimination, states that during the performance of this contract contractor and his sub-contractor shall not unlawfully discriminate against any employee or

applicant for employment because of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, medical condition, marital status, age over forty, or sex. This section sets forth various sections of the California administrative code which applies.

Section 37 entitle Equal Employment
Opportunity, stipulates that no contractor shall
discriminate against any employee or applicant for
employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or
national origin. In addition the contractor is required
to include a statement of all solicitations or
advertisements for employees that all qualified
applicants will receive consideration for employment
without regard to race, color, religion, sex, or
national origin. This section also requires the
contractor to comply with various statutes and executive
orders. Failure to comply with this section of the
agreement is cause for termination of the contract.

Under the area of employment, under recruitment, regarding the city's recruitment practices, we follow generally accepted affirmative action practices with respect to providing notice of city vacancies.

In addition to developing extensive out-reach mailing lists, the city has taken the extra step of

advertising jobs in minority job publications, using minority oriented recruitment agencies and other focused advertisement resources. The city also attends special targeted recruitment efforts as the recent Central California Affirmative Action Career Fair, which approximately two thousand participants attended.

In these departments where special needs are evidenced, targeted recruitments are initiated to meet these needs. For example, bi-lingual recruitments have been targeted in the police department for police officers and in the community development department building division for bi-lingual code enforcement officer.

In the last week, two bi-lingual Hispanic officers, one male and one female, completed the recruitment process and will start employment in mid-December. In addition, the police department is currently doing background investigations on two bi-lingual Hispanic candidates for possible entrance into the January policy academy for eventual employment as police officers upon successful completion of the police academy.

In addition to bi-lingual recruitment for lateral experienced police officers closed November 30, 1990, and the city expects to make additional hirings

from this eligibility list pending successful completion of the entire examination process. All test and selection criteria are validated for job relatedness including written examinations, physical performance tests, practical performance tests, interviewing procedures, application rating forms, and final selection criteria.

Written examinations are de-emphasized and when used are only one of several factors in the selection process. Interviews are structured so that only knowledge, skills and abilities relative to the position are evaluated. Other oral board selection techniques are used including assessment centers performance tests, and structured technical oral tests, again based only upon the job requirements.

Oral boards are composed of members of the workforce at large and subject matter experts. Oral boards also include a member of a protected group to reflect the composition of the applicants being interviewed. A personnel professional orients the oral board regarding appropriate interviewing and selection techniques emphasizing affirmative action goals and the board's responsibility in employment opportunity.

Of special importance is a comprehensive classification and validation study of approximately a

hundred and twenty-five city classifications which has been budgeted for this current fiscal budget period, 1990 to 1992. On an on-going basis the city reviews and revises job descriptions to reflect current work requirements and reviews and revises job requirements to ensure accuracy of minimum qualifications for job performance and relatedness to job content.

Such reviews and revisions are conducted in concert with department subject matter experts and review of actual job performance where necessary. In training, the city actively promotes training programs which will facilitate the upward mobility of protected group members.

The city also provides educational incentive depending on the amount of education the employee has received beyond that of which is required by the job. The city also provides funds and support of the local national management association which provides many good training opportunities to all employees.

The committee has been provided by it's staff a copy of the city's affirmative action plan with updated goals and time tables. The document clearly indicates the city's commitment and success in the area of affirmative action. Utilization has been achieved in almost all job categories.

A review of new hires from January 1, 1989 to the present, almost two years, in the departments of police and public works, indicates that of the total new hires thirty-two, fifteen, thirty-eight per cent were minority hires. Of the fifteen, ten were Hispanic, two black and three Asian. The police and public works departments were singled out for special review as these departments constitute approximately ninety per cent of the cities new hires.

My final point pursuant to the issue of employment is in response to the allegation that there are only two women minorities in the category of department head and middle management employees.

Nothing could be further from the truth. A review of this group indicates instead that of forty-four employees in this category, eight are women, seven are minority, six Hispanic and one Asian.

In conclusion, I would like to state that the above information as it relates to the issues of voting rights, housing, municipal services and employment demonstrates clearly in this writer's opinion that the city is not in fact practicing discrimination. I would be more than happy to match the city of Santa Maria's track record in the above referenced areas against a cross-section of municipalities in the state of

California.

This is not to insinuate, however, that there may not be actions that the city might take to improve services to it's citizenry and more specifically it's minority community. If at the end of these hearings, the advisory committee finds that it can offer recommendations that will allow the city to better serve it's minority community, the city will of course give these recommendations great deference, careful consideration, and where appropriate adopt them. The city of Santa Maria will await the advisory committee's findings pursuant to this forum.

Before I throw myself open for questions, I'd like to indicate that I have a number of key staff members here today. In appreciation of your taking your week-end away to come to this community to hold hearings and eventually make recommendations, I think it's only fair to you that I provide you with the most accurate, honest information possible.

Within this context I'd like to recognize in the audience my city attorney, Art Montanden (phonetic), my director of community development, Bill Orndorff, which at this moment I would like to come forward and join me at the table because I think there will be some specific questions as it applies to housing and our

procedures that I would like him to answer. Also in the audience is Barbara Hutchins, our principal planner, who handles our Block Grant funding, and the administration of that program. Kim Ness, our deputy city administrator and director of employee relations, Vicki Zorkocy (phonetic) our assistant to the city administrator who has done most of our work on affirmative action and acts as our personnel officer. Les Redio (phonetic), our director of public works, who is available to answer questions regarding public works contracts. Lee Guise (phonetic), our transportation engineer, who handles the UMPTA program and can answer questions regarding UMPTA funding and contractual matters. Mike Melamonte, our director of recreation and parks, who can address questions regarding municipal services. And Russ Matthews, who will be appearing after me, our police chief, to answer questions in that arena.

So with that, may I apologize for such a long written statement. Sometimes I'd rather watch paint peel than listen to speeches of that duration. But I felt it extremely important both from the standpoint it might answer questions that you were going to have and secondly because so many people in this audience are not aware of the efforts the city takes in the areas of

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

housing, employment and municipal services as it applies to the minority community. So with that I'm open to questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: We certainly appreciate their appearance and yours as well. And for purposes of moving the agenda along I'll ask Mr. Sillas, would you care to ask any questions of these individuals?

MR. SILLAS: Mr. Schwammel we greatly appreciate the time you've obviously taken to address some of the issues that have been addressed here earlier this morning. I just want to focus in on a couple of them that persons testified to or made statements about earlier this morning and earlier this afternoon. One of them had to do with a green ribbon and a red ribbon. And the comments that were printed on the ribbon had to do with persons against bigotry and prejudice, I believe. Do you know whether or not any city employees wore any of those at the city?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I'm not aware of it, but if they had, I would have absolutely no objection to it. The cases cited to you were county departments.

MR. SILLAS: So as far as you were concerned, as a matter of policy of the city, you would not have required anyone to remove those or lose their job.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I'd be happy to pin one on now

if you'd like to present me with one.

MR. SILIAS: I don't happen to have one. Do you know whether or not the city has a hate crime policy, and do you know what I mean when I ask that question?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I heard your definition earlier, but if you'd mind repeating it for me I'd appreciate it.

MR. SILLAS: Some municipalities have implemented a policy that where there is, for example, the spraying of racial epithets or anything dealing with where there's a racial overtone to a crime, they make an all out effort to apprehend the culprit. And proceed with a very aggressive prosecution of the individuals because of the racial overtones and the chilling effect that it can have on minorities within a community. And this is generally now been phrased a hate crime policy. That certain types of activities are viewed as hate crimes and that those types of crimes will be aggressively pursued. My question is, does the city have such a policy, if you are aware of it?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I think the police chief could probably answer that better, who will follow me here.

But my understanding is that in crimes of this nature if youth are involved it's specifically referred to our

officers who handle gang control. We have a graffiti removal program which we respond within forty-eight hours, to the removal of graffiti within the community if it relates to this type of hate literature or hate activity.

My feeling is that we prosecute all of our crimes vigorously. And I am not aware of any particular focus. And the chief may choose to correct me when he makes a presentation after me. But as to this specific type of a policy, no, I don't believe we have that.

MR. SILLAS: You indicated at the beginning of your comments about reviewing the statistics from the recent election to make a determination whether or not there should be a recommendation for election of council persons on a district basis as opposed to a city basis. Could you indicate to us what it is you would be looking for to come to that kind of conclusion?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Well I think what we'd be looking for, and at this point we don't have the results yet, but if it was determined that a minority candidate in a specific quadrant or section of the community was the winner by vote over an anglo candidate, and had we had districts that person would be elected in that particular area of the community, but because we do not have district and because we elect on a overall city

basis this person was not elected, then based on the Watsonville case a precedent might be set to consider going to district elections. And if we found this we would report this information to the council.

MR. SILLAS: We heard earlier this afternoon from the chairman of the planning commission, and I know you were in the audience and you heard his comments as it pertained to the planning and to the impact that industry would have on housing, et cetera. I won't repeat that. He also made comments as it pertained to the agricultural acres becoming productive in terms of being changed from grazing land to producing land. I gather from your comments that you viewed that more of a county issue as it pertained to housing as opposed to a city issue.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: That's correct.

MR. SILLAS: Is there anything that you believe the city can do within it's own structure to impact, to assure that housing would be provided for farm workers that you're presently not doing?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Really I can only suggest more of the same. In preparing for this forum, and looking at the areas in which you wish to explore, admittedly housing is the achilles tendon, because you can make an argument that no matter how much we're doing, it's not

enough.

And although I feel that in relation to the central coast and throughout the state we're doing a tremendous job, the argument can be made, and made very well that we should be doing more. But through the one hundred per cent, almost one hundred per cent allocation of Block Grant funding, towards projects that help specific groups within the minority community. Towards buy-downs of development, like the Oak Valley project which constitutes seventy homes.

The litany of items that I referred to, areas that we're doing our best within budgetary constraints to address this problem, I can only say more of the same. And Bill, if you want to address it more specifically from the planning process, would you care to piggy-back on that?

MR. ORNDORFF: As indicated in the text that Mr. Schwammel read, we have a lot of programs. And as Gil Guevara, the chairman of the planning commission referred to earlier this morning, we have a lot of programs in the housing element which was adopted in 1987. All of those programs seek to provide additional housing.

And I think as Wayne said, there is a limitation. We use almost every available cent we have

5

from Block Grant federal money. We even used over a million dollars of our own money, of the general fund, to buy forty-five acres. That's a lot. We're going to get paid back over a long period of time. But I can't think of any city that has ever done that.

MR. SILLAS: One of the criticisms leveled towards the city earlier this afternoon or from an attorney from the California Rural Legal Assistance and in that she mentioned two projects that had been funded by HUD and that the difficulty was the location of the sites. They were not able to get sites. And she had a general criticism of the city in terms that her feeling that the city had not done enough to assist in location of the sites.

I want to give you the opportunity at this time to respond to that or indicate to us what you think a city ought to do and what Santa Maria specifically is doing as it pertains to location of sites or possible low to moderate income housing.

MR. ORNDORFF: The county housing authority is the one that had the grant available. And I think as indicated they had two locations, one in Orca (phonetic), which is in the county, which was denied because of neighborhood opposition.

I'm not aware of any attempt on the county

housing authority to contact myself, council, or administration, to say that we have this money available, do you have any sites. If they had I'm sure we would have looked around. I think that, as stated in our housing element, that the farm worker housing is primarily the county's responsibility, but that we would assist wherever we can. In either locating the site that's appropriately zoned or locating the site that could be re-zoned.

MR. SILLAS: Let me ask, there have been statements made earlier this morning and this afternoon about five families living in a home. And those homes, or houses, are in the city of Santa Maria. Now do you view that situation as a situation the city ought to address or that the county ought to address?

MR. ORNDORFF: The city should address it, and is addressing it.

MR. SILLAS: How is the city addressing that?

MR. ORNDORFF: We're addressing it through

code enforcement, based on a complaint basis. If there

are, in fact, five families living in one home it

wouldn't be more than about a week before the city

receives a complaint. Or somebody calls a councilman to

complain about it. We would then investigate it. If

they're illegally occupying the garage, if they're

illegally occupying a trailer or mobile home in the backyard, if there's cars parked on the front lawn, or whatever the case might be, we will seek compliance.

MR. SILLAS: But the living of the five families in the house can be attributed to a lack of housing. And as to the lack of housing what is the city prepared to do in that regard. Because otherwise what I see can happen is that you basically are going to wind up putting some people out on the street. Which causes it to become a homeless issue.

MR. ORNDORFF: The policy of the city has been to provide housing on the open market as much as we can. We cannot force a developer to build a project. All we can do is create the opportunities.

So the policy of the city has been to change zoning of land that we have too much of, industrial, to land that we do not have a good supply of, multiple residential. And as indicated in Mr. Schwammel's statement, two hundred and twenty acres has been changed to multiple residential, or residential of some form or another. That then increases the supply.

It has been the policy of the planning commission and city council on ownership to not require inclusionary housing. Whereas if you build a hundred units, twenty-five per cent of them must be affordable.

That has not been the policy of the planning commission or the city council.

It has been the policy for rental of multiple residential apartments. Where we will require ten or fifteen per cent of the units to be affordable. And we have had, in spite of what you heard this morning, we do have an active program to ensure enforcement.

We are fortunate in Santa Maria to have a market supply that provides housing at or below eighty per cent of the median income. Our apartments rent at those levels. Now we require as a condition of approval that fifteen, ten per cent, depending on the project, of the apartment projects shall be affordable. And that the developer must submit to us a program showing how he is to comply with that condition.

That program must be submitted to us prior to the issuance of the building permit. And I can provide you with copies of the programs that have been submitted. And we do review those. If the program does not comply with the condition, we do not issue the building permit.

MR. SILLAS: One of the criticisms that was made this morning was that although that occurs that there does not appear to be, at least the statement was made, that there does not appear to be a mechanism to

then follow-up to determine whether or not the developer did in fact provide the affordable apartments, as promised.

MR. ORNDORFF: Once the apartment is built we check the amounts that the apartments rent for and that they're within the criteria established by HUD and the county and our own criteria for affordability. We then say that that is in compliance. We do not have a continued program because the planning commission or city council has not adopted a continued, like a resale program, every time the apartment turns over or house sells. We do not have those conditions. The condition only applies when the apartment is first built and rented.

MR. SILIAS: Is it your belief that such a policy ought to be a part of the city's program?

MR. ORNDORFF: In order to effectively implement a housing program comprehensively, yes.

MR. SILLAS: As it pertains to the ownership, you stated that the policy of the city and the council is not to require a developer as it pertains to homes, to have any kind of set-aside for low income or moderate housing, if I understood that. Has there ever been a hearing or public debate on that issue, or is that a policy that has just been standing?

MR. ORNDORFF: There's been several discussions of that policy by the planning commission, and the planning commission has voted on several occasions, I believe unanimously, to not implement the policy because of it's controversy and because of it's inherent unfairness. The argument is that if you require twenty-five of a hundred units to be affordable the other seventy-five per cent of the home buyers are going to have to pay extra to subsidize the other twenty-five per cent.

That's a standard argument, and I'm sure you're aware of it, it's been used everywhere. And the commission and city council has not decided to enter that arena at this point. There is a memorandum circulating from one of the councilmen to open a debate as far as affordable housing and inclusionary zoning.

MR. SILLAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: Yes, Mr. Schwammel, there was testimony this morning about a large turn-over of ethnic minorities in one of the departments, the department of social services. My question is, what type of retention program do you have in place?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: You're aware that social services is a county, and you're asking about our police

department instead as it applied to that?

2

1

MS. PATTERSON: The city department, yes.

3

MR. SCHWAMMEL: What do you mean by retention

4

program?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PATTERSON: A program to retain women and minorities. MR. SCHWAMMEL: At the present time we are

specifically recruiting to attract qualified women and minorities to the department. It's evidenced by the fact that our most recent hires have reflected much larger than the ethnic make-up of the community in female hires and minority hires.

Once they're aboard, to retain them, we have an excellent field training program in which the new officers are assigned to an experienced officer and are monitored pretty carefully in their performance. from three to six months. After that point, they're encouraged to seek additional education within the community.

But there is no further, to my understanding, there's no further effort made to retain those individuals because it's felt that they are performing in a thoroughly satisfactory manner in the position.

MS. PATTERSON: Okay. Another question. type of discrimination complaint program or process do

you have in place to allow employees to air their grievances?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: The city's affirmative action plan states that if there is any concern on the part of any employee regarding a question of discrimination, then that person should immediately contact the city's designated affirmative action officer, who is the deputy city administrator. And that individual would immediately launch an investigation of the charges.

MR. COOPER: I wonder, does that plan include any protection for an employee from any retaliation from supervisors who would know the employee?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I can't say that we have anything in writing that says a supervisor better not retaliate. But through our orientation to supervisors and our orientation to new employees, it's made perfectly clear that an individual who makes a complaint of discrimination will not be treated in any discriminatory way by the supervisor. That that individual is entitled to a fair and honest evaluation of the complaint through an appeal procedure.

And I think it's clearly understood by the supervisory personnel through training that they've received that it would not be in their best interest to attempt any type of retaliation.

MR. COOPER: Could I follow that up with a question of how supervisors are rated in the city, is there a factor concerning the supervisor's support of the affirmative action program as to how they are rated, or that factored at all?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: No. There is not a specific rating factor that indicates affirmative action.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: Yes, if I may. I would like to have you tell me when the city's affirmative action plan was, the current plan, when it was adopted.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: The initial plan was adopted in 1976. The current plan has been revised and will be on the council agenda December 4, with a new goal for time tables.

MR. BELTRAN: With regard to the current plan, not the one that's before the city council December 4, do you have a process by which you have an annual review and goals and objectives are set forth by each city department?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Yes, we have an annual review, it was reviewed annually up until two years ago. And they through a glitch in our system, it was not upgraded last year. It is up-graded again this year.

MR. BELTRAN: Does this review consist of the

department managers presenting their time tables or objectives for their department to the city council for approval?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Yes, it consists of the affirmative action staff person meeting with individual department heads and determining what the goals and time tables should be and getting their concurrence. It's a joint effort for the department heads to recognize what these goals and time tables should be to meet the guidelines. And then they are presented to the council along with the updated affirmative action plan. Now the council does not necessarily see the up-graded goals and time tables every year.

MR. BELTRAN: Do you have a process whereby there's an assessment of the performance of the department managers at the end of that year? To see how close they came to meeting their objectives?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Yes. That's done by the affirmative action officer or the technician. And if the goals and time tables are being met, the department head recognizes that fact, if they aren't then they're directed that they will have to be met. And that subsequent recruitments from the personnel department will take that factor into consideration.

MR. BELTRAN: Are you aware of any instance of

reprimands for city department managers who have failed to meet their objectives? In the context of affirmative action plans?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Yes.

MR. BELTRAN: What awaits the city department manager who fails to meet the objectives? What kind of sanctions, what type of commitments do you then seek to obtain from that individual department manager?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: In my case it's a case of counselling the department head. And indicating to the department head that if a better effort is not made then progressive discipline will follow. Starting with a written reprimand eventually leading to potential suspension and/or termination.

MR. BELTRAN: Are you aware of any written reprimands that have been given to a department manager?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I have not had to.

MR. BELTRAN: Have there been any instances for more than one year a department manager has failed to meet his or her affirmative action goals?

MR SCHWAMMEL: I think I'm going to have to defer that question to Vicki. Ms. Zorkocy is a personnel officer who handles the EEO and the affirmative action goals and objectives.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Would you state your name

.

please ma'am, slowly so that the record can pick it up.

MS. ZORCOCY: I'm Vicki Zorkocy, I work as the assistant to the manager handling the personnel function for the city of Santa Maria.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Can you answer the question that Mr. Beltran posed?

MS. ZORCOCY: If you could repeat please, Mr. Beltran.

MR. BELTRAN: Yes. Have you had any instances in which for more than one year a department manager has failed to meet his or her affirmative action goals?

MS. ZORCOCY: Yes we have. And one particular area where we're had a lot of difficulty in recruiting, women in what I'd call the skilled service and service maintenance areas of our affirmative action goals. I've conducted several focused out-reach recruiting efforts trying to get women to come work in the areas of laboring, maintenance, heavy equipment operation. And we've not been as successful as we might hope.

MR. BELTRAN: Let me direct that question to the city manager. Do you see any reason why you might withhold a written reprimand for that department manager who has failed more than once in meeting his or her objectives? What does it take, sir, to compel you to give a written reprimand to a department manager?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: If I felt in my own mind and I would expect the analyst, in this particular case Ms. Zorkocy, who's handling these goals and time tables, if she reported back to me that in her opinion the department head was not making a good faith effort to meet these guidelines, that in her opinion it wasn't because of the fact that there just wasn't an adequate person pool out there to fill the position, but instead this department head just did not want to make the effort to hire a woman or a minority, then that department head belong to our organization.

MR. BELTRAN: So if effect before you may reprimand somebody, you're saying that you will need to see a manifestation of intent not to comply with the objectives that have been set up for that department manager?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: That's correct. I would like objective evidence of that.

MR. BELTRAN: So simple failure to meet that, however many times, will not be sufficient criteria for you to reprimand that manager?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: If it can be demonstrated that the effort has been made, there's nothing systemic in the system, and that the individual in no way, shape, or form is apeding the process, then I would not reprime that

that individual. If there's any indication of those factors, I would.

MR. BELTRAN: Let me move on to the plan for the consideration for the city council meeting of December 4. What can you tell me about the process or procedure by which you arrived at what the city council's about to consider?

MS. ZORCOCY: I began reviewing our affirmative action plan in June of this year, simply recognizing the fact that it hadn't been updated for a period of time. What I did was consulted with other affirmative action experts, if you will, in other cities that I knew of and got copies of plans that had been prepared and had been considered good plans.

I did contact the League of California Cities, which is not only a lobbying arm for cities, but conducts a very extensive lending library for cities and asked their recommendation in terms of what were some good plans to look at.

I also generally looked at what we were doing right now. Since our plan hadn't been updated for a period of time, our affirmative action program had evolved to a point where a lot of the things we did either weren't generally mentioned in our current plan or the process needed to be expanded upon.

In pulling together all of that information, I developed the current plan that we have. Again, I did consult with some experts on some questions that I did have, ran it through our city attorney to make sure it did comply with legal requirements as far as affirmative action were concerned.

I do also have to go through a meet and confer process with all the different unions, since it does, the affirmative action plan does contain requirements for promotion, therefore, could be construed as a change in working conditions. So I do go through a meet and confer process with the unions as well.

MR. BELTRAN: As an aside, with your decision to review the plan, I take it then that even though this plan had not been looked at in all these years, that there was an annual process by which you were updating goals as they pertained to the objectives of the 1976 plan?

MS. ZORCOCY: We do go through an annual updating of our goals. In addition, we also do something called an employment recap, which really is a very helpful document for me. I use that with the departments to basically say, here's what we did for the year, look at the relevant markets, you can see where we are and where we should be. And in working with the

departments it's really what I would call more of an .English document in terms of being able to work with them.

Goals and time tables kind of gets everybody a little goosey. I'm talking goals and time tables and they're looking at me like what are you talking about. So I work off of several documents with them.

MR. BELTRAN: It's very appropriate you make that comment, because my next question is really directed to the English/non-English aspect of what went on to what the city council is about to consider. You mentioned that you did have the meet and confer with the labor unions. Did you undertake any effort, community efforts, did you hold any hearings, did you obtain any input from the different segments of the community?

MS. ZORCOCY: There will be opportunity for that when the item is on the city council agenda for the fourth. It is put on a public agenda, which is published. There is opportunity for public comment at that time.

MR. BELTRAN: My question is whether you, in putting together the proposed plan, conducted any community outreach to obtain the input of the different segments of this community, prior to the matter being put before the city council. My understanding is that

the city council may very well vote to adopt it. And this will be the only one opportunity for anyone to have a say-so regarding the contents of that plan.

Now it seems to me that prior to putting that plan together for consideration by the governing body of the city, that that governing body might have an interest in determining that in fact the plan they have before them has been out there for public scrutiny. And that input from the different segments of the community is embodied in that plan. Did you go through that process?

MS. ZORCOCY: I did not go through that process. I might add though that the plan is not cast in concrete. And obviously I take all kinds on input, not just the affirmative action plan but a variety of documents that I work on all through the year. And those documents are fluid in that if I have comments, questions, issues, changes that need to be made from the community, by the employee body, from the management, from anybody, I keep that information and incorporate those into those documents as I update. Now obviously I've not had the plan updated in a period of time, I'm relatively new here with the city. So I'm still establishing my processes.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Mr. Beltran, I would say that

your point is well taken, it was an oversight on our part. I think we should have done that. MR. BELTRAN: Would there be any sense in you making that recommendation, sir, to the city council? That they postpone their consideration of the plan so

has embodied the sentiments of the community as well? MR. SCHWAMMEL: You took the words right out of my mouth.

then present them with the final product that in fact

that you may conduct community hearings on the plan and

MR. BELTRAN: I take it that means you agree with it?

> MR. SCHWAMMEL: I agree.

MR. BELTRAN: I take it that means you will make such a recommendation to the council then?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: You understand correctly.

MR. BELTRAN: Thank you sir.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Schwammel, hopefully I can be brief here, who is the person who determines or hire the affirmative action officer and the personnel subordinate to that officer?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: The city administrator.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Which would be you.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: The designated affirmative action is the deputy city administrator. The person on

staff that does the analysis work is Ms. Zorkocy.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Who is the person that would be the overseer or the person that would hire and fire the assistant city administrator?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Myself.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And is there anything that would safeguard that person's position if the person made a recommendation, an evaluation, or whatever you want to term it, that was contrary say to your beliefs of what took place, or what exists?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: I'm not sure I understand what you're getting at.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: If you disapproved of a recommendation of the affirmative action officer and/or their personnel, what's to safeguard their position, that you can't fire them just on a whim because you disagreed with them?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: Well there's the obvious safeguard of the employee's performance. If the employee, in this case the deputy city administrator, is satisfactorily performing the job, then he would have rights to appeal to the council my action, through a grievance procedure.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Would the same hold true for yourself, I assume it's the council who can hire and

. .

fire the city administrator, you'd have the same rights as your subordinate to a grievance procedure, is that correct?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Do you have, as the city administrator, are you hired under a contractual basis?

MR. SCHWAMMEL: No I'm not. I serve at the

pleasure of the council.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I have nothing further. Any other questions? Okay, Mr. Schwammel and your staff, I want to thank you very much for taking your time today to come here and be a part of this forum. As you can see, there's a great deal of community interest in this and I think you've responded quite well. And I want to personally thank you on behalf of the committee.

MR. SCHWAMMEL: We look forward to your recommendations.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you. Can we have Chief Matthews?

MR. MATTHEWS: My name is Russell Matthews and I'm the police chief for the city of Santa Maria. And I'm celebrating my twenty-nine anniversary by appearing before you today. Twenty-ninth anniversary on the department.

I appear before you today at the request of

Mr. Philip Montez, the regional director of the United States Commission on Civil Rights. Mr. Montez of the Civil Rights Commission and Mr. Angel Aldretti of the Community Relations Branch, met with my staff and I during the week of November 12, 1990.

At that time I was informed that some members of this community had questioned the practices of the police department as it relates to it's dealings with the Hispanic community.

My participation in this event is for the purpose of describing the police departments policies, practices, to identify our programs and procedures for interacting with the entire community, and to discuss those specific efforts we have made to meet the needs of Santa Maria's Hispanic community.

In most instances, I am prohibited by law from publicly divulging specifics on individuals. And I am not here for the purpose of responding to specific complaints. I am, however, here to describe the manner in which specific answers can be obtained should they be requested. And to receive input from you and others in attendance at this meeting concerning the public's perception of the Santa Maria police department service.

Members of the Santa Maria police department are well-trained professionals. Their training is in

accordance with state standards. Police employee conduct is strictly regulated by federal, state, and local laws. Further, the department has stringent operational guidelines concerning the operation of vehicles, use of force, reporting procedures, investigations, discipline, personal and professional conduct and so forth.

We subscribe to the city's mission statement to provide the highest quality service in the most efficient, cost-effective and courteous manner possible. We share the city government's organization values which include, one, service. We are committed to providing excellent service to the public in the most responsive, efficient and effective manner.

We strive to treat all people with dignity, respect, and fairness. We are dedicated to high ethical and moral standards and uncompromising honesty in our dealings with the public and each other. We believe in high professional standards and attitudes which dictate an objective analysis of issues free of our personal biases. We strive to be a responsible city department dedicated to maintaining a well-trained and competent work force that is in touch with the needs of the community to enhance the quality of life in our city.

Not knowing what issues would be addressed

here, I didn't elect to make a further written statement.

DR. ERLER: Do you have any perception, we've heard some things from various witnesses today, do you have any perceptions that there are racial or ethnic antagonisms in the community that involve the police department or it's methods of enforcement?

MR. MATTHEWS: No sir.

DR. ERLER: What about the testimony that we've had here earlier today on the various instances that have happened in town this year involving either racial or ethnic animosities?

MR. MATTHEWS: I can only say, if they've happened, people haven't reported them to us. My policy is that of an open door policy. My staff and I have an open door policy for the community and/or within our department. So every place I go I tell people that they can come and see me anytime, or any of my officers. And these things are not reported to us.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: Chief, does your department have a hate crime policy?

MR. MATTHEWS: No. We subscribe to and adhere to the state law which governs the hate crimes and the reporting requirements of hate crimes. To my knowledge,

MR. SILLAS: Of course you understand that the racial and/or hate crime can go both ways. Mexican

24

25

against anglo and anglo against Mexican. 1 MR. MATTHEWS: But I'm sure not everything is 2 a hate crime though. 3 Does your MR. SILLAS: I understand. 4 department engage in any kind of statistics data that 5 keeps track of any type incidents, in terms of 6 identifying the racial or the ethnic background of the 7 victim and the assaulter? 8 MR. MATTHEWS: Other than arrest statistics, 9 no. 10 MR. SILLAS: How many of your officers are bi-11 lingual in your department? 12 MR. MATTHEWS: I have twenty-five people who 13 are Hispanic and I think all but three are bi-lingual. 14 MR. SILLAS: And what is the number of your 15 force? 16 MR. MATTHEWS: A hundred and twenty-eight 17 employees that are full-time or permanent part-time 18 employees. 19 MR. SILLAS: And do your officers that are bi-20 lingual, do they receive incentive pay for that? 21 MR. MATTHEWS: Yes they do. 22 MR. SILLAS: And what's the amount of the 23 incentive pay they receive? 24 MR. MATTHEWS: I believe it's two and a half 25

per cent right now.

MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not that's a city-wide policy or is that just applicable to your department?

MR. MATTHEWS: I can't speak for the rest of the city.

MS. ZORCOCY: That's a city-wide policy. With the exception of the fire department who has not negotiated that into their previous labor contracts. It is up for inclusion this time around in negotiation. I might point out that bi-lingual pay starts at time of employment.

MR. SILLAS: I have no other questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: I just wondered if there's any out-reach that the police department has formally or otherwise that reaches out into the community, service clubs, especially youth organizations that would deal with, on a community relations basis, with questions about hate crime and this sort of thing?

MR. MATTHEWS: Well, our crime prevention

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

people would address that issue. And the problem hasn't come to our attention.

> MR. COOPER: You haven't had the demand? MR. MATTHEWS: No, it has not. And I'm sure

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Chief, what type of an internal affairs program do you have established within the department?

we would react to that if it did.

MR. MATTHEWS: By law, we have a policy that addresses any complaint against an officer for any violation. When you talk about internal affairs it could be in the form of a citizen's complaint, it could be in the form of some action taken against a supervisor against a subordinate, and so forth. But we have a definite policy that outlines the procedures and in accord with the peace officer bill of rights.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And the officers that you assign to internal affairs operations, is that on a rotating basis?

MR. MATTHEWS: Not generally. They're normally supervisors that are assigned by myself or division heads.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And what kind of safeguards are there in place in the department for these individuals that are working as internal affairs

_ .

investigators that protects them in their position from maybe the hierarchy reigning down on them or even the people that they're investigating taking some type of action against them?

MR. MATTHEWS: We've never had the problem.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You've indicated that you have an open door policy. Are you aware of any type of feeling among the community, especially the minority community, that they're afraid to come to you or some of your officers?

MR. MATTHEWS: I'm aware that there are some.

And I would say that they're probably the people that
have not been here long, that don't know, I think they
lack the social education to become aware of the social
problems within the community. So they don't know how
to address or are fearful of the police. I'm aware that
that does happen.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Is there any type of a program that the department has instituted in the area of community relations, that more or less formally let's the people of the minority communities know that you're there for them, even in the event it's a situation they want to complain about one of the officers?

MR. MATTHEWS: We have a crime prevention unit that does numerous neighborhood watch programs. We've

done them, we've tried to do as many as we could for 1 Hispanic. We have Hispanic and Spanish speaking crime 2 prevention officers. We encourage people through that 3 program to report to us, to report crimes to us under 4 any circumstances. We encourage people to report things 5 without giving their name, they don't have to give their 6 name. And we made a commitment to react to those 7 things. 8 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Chief, have you had any 9 disciplinary proceedings taken against any of the 10 officer's say in the last five years? 11 MR. MATTHEWS: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And have any of those 13 proceedings resulted in terminations? 14 MR. MATTHEWS: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Can you give me an idea of 16 how many resulted in termination? 17 MR. MATTHEWS: Less than five. 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And approximately how many 19 of these investigations or hearings that you've 20 conducted have resulted in discipline? 21 MR. MATTHEWS: I have no count for that but 22 there have been several. 23

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran, do you have

25

another question?

24

MR. BELTRAN: Yes. Do you have a policy regarding your cooperation or interaction with the INS?

MR. MATTHEWS: Yes, I do.

MR. BELTRAN: What is that policy sir?

MR. MATTHEWS: Well, not the interaction with the INS. The INS merely tells us how they're going to interact with us. What they'll do for us.

MR. BELTRAN: But you don't have a policy that involves, for instance, the turning over of aliens to the INS?

MR. MATTHEWS: The INS will no longer pick up aliens strictly for being an alien. They will not take anybody unless they're a convicted felon. That's my understanding now. It was different in the old days, when they would take anybody who was an illegal alien. But that's no longer the case.

MR. BELTRAN: Other than in the case you mentioned regarding convicted felons, you don't have, there isn't a process in which you alert the INS when you have detained an alien?

MR. MATTHEWS: Up until the last several months, I believe, there was a policy where they have what they call INS would place a hold on a person who was an illegal alien and they would investigate. Beyond that our merely notifying them at that time or the jail

would notify them, which is not under my jurisdiction, but the jail would notify them if they suspected illegal alien. We have no other contact with them after that.

MR. BELTRAN: Your department itself does not communicate to INS that you have detained illegal aliens?

MR. MATTHEWS: No.

very much for attending and for your patience in getting before us. Ladies and gentlemen we are running late and we do have a couple of other people to address us. Plus what we refer to as the community prospectus, and that is the participation of those who want to address the committee. But in the interest of everyone's comfort up here I want to ask your indulgence. We're going to take a ten minute recess. Off the record.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: On the record. We will now resume the forum. And our next speaker is Dr. Ruttan. If you would kindly state your name loudly and clearly for the record and what organization you represent.

DR. RUTTAN: My name is Larry Ruttan, Santa
Maria high school district. And I have with me Larry
Miller who's a member of our staff. Two of our folks, I
don't know if Mr. Montez told you or not, we had a state

. .

conference this week but I came back to be here tonight.

And we have some other meetings, two of the folks that

were here, because of the time length, had to leave to

attend the other meetings. So we'll do the best we can.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Doctor, everybody here wants to hear you. And the people in the back are straining their ears. We don't have a P.A. system but I'd ask you to do as the chief did, use that command voice and let everybody hear you.

DR. RUTTAN: Okay. Fine.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Do you have a statement prepared?

DR. RUTTAN: Just briefly I think it would help you to understand the district. Our high school district is a little unusual from what most people in California are accustomed to. Because most people live within a unified school district.

We have a high school district that covers the Santa Maria Valley and there are six elementary districts that then contribute to the high school district. So the students are in the elementary district through K-8 and from 9-12 come to a high school district itself.

We have the city of Los Almos, Lockman (phonetic), Casmalia (phonetic), Orca (phonetic),

Guadalupe and the San Maria Bonita elementary school district that comprise the district. We have two high schools and one comprehensive high school. We also have an independent study program and an adult school program.

The two largest ethnic groups are other white 46.6 per cent and Hispanic 44.4 per cent. We serve approximately four hundred students, three hundred seven of whom are in independent study in four locations and four hundred and twenty-four of whom are in adult education.

estimates because the fund that was set up didn't get started until late two years ago, so it will be January before we have completely accurate figures, but the estimated state average is 22.2 per cent, Santa Maria high school is under that by 10.1 per cent at 12.1 dropout. Rigetti (phonetic) is under it by 16.4 percent. And we were not sure, when we talked to Mr. Montez, what information you wanted so we're just prepared to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right sir. Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: Yes, Dr. Ruttan, we had heard

some statements earlier pertaining to your independent

study program. And the information given to us was that

the independent study program has a heavy percentage of Hispanic students in that program. The comment was made that the program was initially set up to take care of the students who were bright and who were bored with school and that the program that is in place presently was, and I'm using their terms now, was a dumping place for minorities. I'd like your reaction and comments.

DR. RUTTAN: I take issue with everything you said. Initially the independent study program was set up as an alternative education program. One of the things that the state was asking us to concentrate on for the last about four years now is reducing the dropout rate. And as all kids don't do well in comprehensive high school, we set up a number of years ago, because it's probably fifteen or twenty now, in the district a Delta, which is a continuation high school, standard continuation high school, as all kids don't do well in that either.

So one of the things we tried to do was get to the kids themselves. We began the independent study program anticipating we'd probably have thirty-five maybe fifty people in it. The first year it grew to about a hundred and twenty students. And that was held at Delta, the continuation school site, to the south of it.

That worked so well, we'd heard a lot of research about the Hispanic student who had a territory. That wouldn't come out of the territory that they lived in. So we decided that we would try to see if we could do something about that. We opened a school by renting space at the Boys and Girls Club, which is in the northwest section of town. Once again we guessed that we might have forty people or so, it went to about a hundred and thirty and we had to drop it back. We keep it right at about a hundred because the facility simply can't handle all those students. These were kids that were in off the streets, they were not in the school itself.

That was so successful, we decided that we would open one in Santa Maria high school. We did and then just last year we opened one out at Guadalupe, which is about ten or twelve miles to the west of us. One of our contributing elementary school districts rents us some space there. And we have a number of students there.

At the Boys and Girls Club we have currently a hundred and seven students. At Ragetti (phonetic) we have a hundred students. At Guadalupe we have twenty-two. And at Santa Maria high school we have seventy-eight.

MR. SILLAS: Now of those students that you've listed, what percentage of them are Hispanic?

DR. RUTTAN: I'm sorry, I don't have that figure. I wasn't asked to_bring that.

MR. SILLAS: Would it be a fair statement to say that, if you know, that a majority of those students are Hispanic?

DR. RUTTAN: I think that's true, yes.

MR. SILLAS: How the program work?

DR. RUTTAN: It really works in several ways. The original independent study program began as a one hour a week tutorial. Where the student is given approximately twenty hours of homework to do and they come back and work for one hour with the teacher a week.

Since then we found that there are different kinds of kids with different kinds of needs. And perhaps that's where the previous speaker got the idea, unless a student can discipline himself, to do those twenty hours at home, he has trouble in that program. And so we now have one program that runs about four hours a day and then they vary in between that. Some people two, some people three hours.

But I would say, just a rough guess, well I know of the hundred and seven that are up there in the northwest quadrant that I was speaking of are on the

four hour program. So that leaves roughly another 1 hundred and seventy, and they would vary between the one 2 hour and the three hour program. 3 MR. SILLAS: Is that four hours a day or four 4 hours a week? 5 DR. RUTTAN: Four hours a day. 6 MR. SILLAS: Now are there still some students 7 that are on a one hour a day program? 8 DR. RUTTAN: Yes, I would guess about a 9 hundred. 10 MR. SILLAS: And are any of the students on a 11 program of less than an hour a day? 12 No. Not an hour a day, an hour a DR. RUTTAN: 13 week. 14 MR. SILLAS: An hour a week. All right. 15 the student is given an assignment for homework for the 16 week, is that how it works? 17 DR. RUTTAN: They're given an assignment that 18 the instructor thinks will take about twenty hours of 19 homework to do. 20 MR. SILLAS: So that at the end of the next 21 week the student returns, if the student hasn't done the 22 twenty hours, what happens? 23 DR. RUTTAN: We have a committee that meets 24 every Monday morning that puts people in all of these 25

types of programs. So let's say at Santa Maria high school here across the street, if we have a student, it may be discipline, it may be attendance, it may be any one of a number of things, that's not succeeding in the program, they're falling behind. They're referred to this committee that has an administrator from each of the three schools and an administrator from the county office.

And they try in a very prescriptive way to look at the student and see what they think would be best for him. For example, student A they may feel would be better in the four hour program. And student B would be better in the one hour program. And student C may be better going to continuation school.

So they try to make a judgement where those students are sent. If they are sent, let's just say to the four hour program and they're not successful there for whatever reason, they go back to the committee and maybe they'll try to refer them to one of the other programs to see if they'll be successful in that.

MR. SILLAS: Is the student engaged in this type of program, is the student able to get a degree of graduation?

DR. RUTTAN: Yes. The reason that they're put into the alternative programs is usually because the

regular high school's on seat time. Number of hours per day, you go to fifth period English everyday through the semester. When a student falls way behind in their work, there's no way the kid can graduate from the regular high school. He's put into this program where he can work in an accelerated rate at his own pace and can do it of course much faster.

There's also a system of challenging in the sense, and some computer educated programs, there is a system of challenging that you could take the final examination and score with a certain percentage, you can then pass the class for those students.

MR. SILLAS: Is there any time limits placed on the student in terms of how long he can stay in the program before he is removed from the program?

DR. RUTTAN: No. It depends on, like I said, how much work he does, if he's a discipline problem, if he's attending regularly, all those kinds of things.

MR. SILLAS: Am I correct in assuming then that it's a four year high school, that it's conceivable that a student could be in high school for six years under this independent program?

DR. RUTTAN: No, probably not. By eighteen they almost all just drop. We do have a few exceptions. I would guess right now we probably have twenty, if that

many.

MR. SILLAS: So when the student reaches eighteen, at that point he could drop?

DR. RUTTAN: He could drop before that.

MR. SILLAS: Do you have any kind of statistics that you would be able to make available to us in terms of how many students drop from the program as opposed to how many students complete the program?

DR. RUTTAN: That was in my original statement. I indicated to you that we had at Santa Maria high school about 10.1 per cent drop-out and at Ragetti (phonetic) about 16.4 drop-out and the state average is about 22.2. Now those are estimates.

MR. SILLAS: The state drop-out of 22.2 is however, for a full-time students?

DR. RUTTAN: The drop-out rate is defined, if a student enrolls in the ninth grade and leaves for a period of forty-five days and is not heard from he's considered to be a drop-out. If he enrolls in the ninth grade and is transferred to one of the alternative education programs he is not a drop-out. If during that forty-five day period, say he would transfer to San Diego or Eureka or whatever, and they would request records he's not considered a drop-out. So it's not a really accurate way of doing it, but that's what the

state mandate we consider them.

MR. SILLAS: Now you mention that the student is given a twenty hour assignment. Is it possible that that student could be working on that one twenty hour assignment for a period of four or five weeks under your program?

DR. RUTTAN: Not really. Some of them, for whatever reason, may not get the full twenty hours in this week, and so they usually double up and give them twenty-seven hours to do next week.

MR. SILIAS: My understanding is also that the school gets the money for the attendance of the student even though he's not attending the school, he's in part of this program?

DR. RUTTAN: The whole independent study program is the same state-wide. You'd find in Sacramento they have an independent study program. So it runs exactly the same everywhere.

MR. SILLAS: Okay. But, for example, if the student is given a twenty hour assignment for the one week, returns the following week and has not completed the assignment, the school would not be penalized by not having the money paid for that student, am I correct?

DR. RUTTAN: You have to remember that the money comes on average daily attendance. That's the

average of the total year, the number of days that the students attend. So I can't really answer that. In one way that answers yes and in one way the answers no.

MR. SILIAS: But my understanding is, the student although he's not in school, but if he's on this assignment he's viewed as being in attendance for the school record. And the fact that he doesn't complete the assignment within a week does not affect his attendance record.

DR. RUTTAN: It does affect his attendance record. That's the very student, a student who did that a couple times in a row would be referred back to that committee and dropped from that independent study program.

MR. SILLAS: But for the two weeks that he was involved in this program of trying to get these twenty hours of study, would the school still get credit for his attendance for those two weeks while he was attempting?

DR. RUTTAN: That program was changed just this year by, I think it was the Hart Bill, and it would preclude that happening. Before, last year, the answer is yes, this year the answer is no.

MR. SILLAS: And I gather from your comments that you view this independent study program as a

program that is one that you want to continue with the school?

DR. RUTTAN: I think it's an extremely positive program because our drop-out rate would be far higher if it weren't for this program. Kids act in different ways at different times. And we find that sometimes a kid will go into the independent study program, for example, do so well, the committee will make the decision to transfer him into either Delta or return him to his regular school. And I think if we did not have the program that kid would drop-out and never return to school. Because it would be impossible for him to learn, you know they just get out of the habit.

MR. SILLAS: Are there special teachers or certain teachers assigned to the independent study program?

DR. RUTTAN: Correct.

MR. SILLAS: And would you be able to tell us what percentage of those teachers assigned are bilingual teachers?

DR. RUTTAN: No, I'm sorry. It changes. It varies from time to time because as kids are moved into the program, many of the teachers are from our regular staff. Some of them are on our substitute list, some of them just want to work evenings, or teach independent

study or adult school. And that varies just almost 1 weekly both to the number and to the percentage. 2 MR. SILLAS: Are you able to tell us what 3 percentage of the students involved in this independent 4 study program are limited English speaking? 5 DR. RUTTAN: Almost none. I would say. 6 Do you have a bi-lingual program MR. SILLAS: 7 at the high school? 8 DR. RUTTAN: Correct. 9 MR. SILLAS: And how many bi-lingual teachers 10 do you have? 11 DR. RUTTAN: I can't give you that number 12 That's why I asked Mr. Montez for the 13 information you wanted. 14 MR. SILLAS: Unfortunately for Mr. Montez, he 15 never knows what we're going to ask. But you do have a 16 bi-lingual program at the high school? 17 DR. RUTTAN: Yes. 18 MR. SILLAS: Can you tell us what percentage 19 of the student body at the high schools are LEP's? 20 MR. MILLER: Throughout the district, each 21 year it runs somewhere between nine hundred going 22 towards a thousand. That's district-wide. At any one 23 time sixty per cent of those will be at Santa Maria high 24

This year, understand we do our census required

school.

25

by the state each spring, and what we're finding out, last spring there were approximately seven hundred at Santa Maria high school, and suddenly there appear to be about another hundred beyond that that appeared in September when we opened the doors.

We also, of those, the great majority of those are Spanish or Spanish speaking. We've also discovered a number of students from Oaxoca and Northern Guatemala who speak some various Indian dialects that are neither Spanish or anything else that anyone can readily identify. Our best guess is that they speak, I think the language is called Masteco (phonetic).

MR. SILLAS: How do you address that?

DR. RUTTAN: Very carefully.

MR. SILLAS: I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: Just one question. Do you have any information regarding the performance of the independent study students with regard to college acceptance?

DR. RUTTAN: Not officially because we don't follow them through in that sense. What we're finding, and remember that this program we've added as I

indicated piece by piece every year we've tried to enlarge it, so we're at a different point with each one on those, we're finding that a larger number of those kids every year are being returned to the regular program. And that a larger number of them are graduating. And we felt very good about that because, as I said before, these are kids who'd just slip through our fingers. We didn't have any count or any information on whatsoever.

If I may, a point related, we've been finding it very difficult to find bi-lingual teachers. And with our numbers increasing, that's becoming a concern. We just ran into a program rather recently that we're trying to look at. Where the Spanish government has English speaking Spanish citizens who will come over here for a couple years and teach bi-lingual programs. So it's look kind of promising for us, they've got quite a number of those.

MR. BELTRAN: You may want to contact some of the school districts in the San Gabriel Valley as there's been considerable writing in the paper about that. They've had a very successful program.

DR. RUTTAN: Okay. Good.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Yes. Dr. Ruttan, I'm impressed

with your system, but I'm wondering is there a downside to this ever in the sense that a young man or a young woman with a low self esteem or who simply sees a chance of maybe making less effort and dropping into something that his friends have dropped into, not to denigrate the continuation school concept, but would drop-out of the mainstream classes and do something that if pushed a little bit he or she could possibly make it and make well with some incentive and some skill in terms of motivating?

DR. RUTTAN: That's a good point. And there's two parts to that really. One of the reasons we created this committee who I told you makes the decision is because we found that a fellow would want to be with his buddy or he'd want to be with his girlfriend, whatever, and were self-referring themselves. So to try to compensate for that we take this referral to the committee itself. So you can say all day, I want to go to -- and it doesn't make any difference. The committee makes the decision where you go.

The other one is we tried to handle that a little differently. We've received some funds a couple years ago and are in a cooperative program with Santa Maria Bonita, the largest elementary district, in that we've hired a couple classified employees and the kids

are identified by the elementary district at the eighth grade before they come here.

And we haven't had enough to be able to do
that with every kid, but we started with Santa Maria
three years ago I think it was, and these two people do
a lot of the very thing that you're talking about. The
nurturing, the home calls, the communication with the
kid, the communication with the parent, that sort of
thing.

That did so well at Santa Maria high school where we had the specially funded program going that we used district funds last year and enlarged that program and added it to the Ragetti (phonetic) high school. So both the total number of those kids is down there, that there would be some kind of program going for those. We found that the kids we were able to reach we're probably retaining somewhere in the neighborhood of seventy-five to eighty per cent. And the ones that we're not able to reach with that program we're finding that we're only being able to retain thirty-five per cent approximately.

MR. MILLER: The committee may be interested too, we have another program that's running parallel with our adult education that has three part-time staff literally go out and hunt down non-high school graduates. Our idea of a drop-out is somebody who

dropped out of our system.

We also have a lot of young adults and some older adults in this community who simply never went to high school. And what they try to do is motivate these people and redirect them to a program. Either one of our own, through adult education or if they're minor students, trying to get them back into either a comprehensive high school or one of our other alternative programs, or even the local community college.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Dr. Ruttan am I correct that this Delta continuation high school, there are two such facilities in the city?

DR. RUTTAN: No. We have one continuation high school and we have two regular comprehensive high schools.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You indicated that you tried the Delta continuation school and I believe you said you started a second one, is that correct? Where you rented the facility?

DR. RUTTAN: That's the independent study program. We added the adult program, the continuation high school program, at the Delta site, housed there. We started the first independent study at Delta. Then when we thought we'd try to see if the idea of

territoriality really did affect kids and it seemingly did, we rented the site at the Boys and Girls Club.

Then we started one on the Santa Maria high school site. And then we began one at the Guadalupe site, which is the Guadalupe elementary school district, to the west of us. We moved the one from Delta, this year, to Ragetti (phonetic) high school, simply because of over-crowding and because we put all the independent study program under one person with responsibility.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Do you find a difference in the response from the students varying greatly or minimally from each of the different facilities?

DR. RUTTAN: The Boys Club is really quite different in that they're all four hours a day. So that's really kind of unusual. Where you have the one or two or three hour a week, that varies greatly.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Would that be because of the facilities, would that have anything to do with it?

DR. RUTTAN: I think the interesting thing is, the facility at the Boys Club is probably the most undesirable, in that they have a large gymnasium. And they break up and just move into parts of that. Sound, for example, is a problem. It probably is the most least desirable, as far as a school site is concerned, where the other sites are class room type of thing where

you can put four or five kids in one part of the room if you have to. It's really a better site, I would have to admit.

As a matter of fact, we've just qualified under the state study in indicating within the next five years we'll have to build another continuation high school and a third comprehensive high school. And one of the things the board has discussed that they would like to put that comprehensive high school in the northern part of the district because the current one is so far to the south.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Do you find, or have you received any complaints of the schools having, or the people having a problem getting to the schools?

DR. RUTTAN: Well, that was why we moved.

Delta being so far south, the independent study people from the northern part of the district wouldn't go that far. They wouldn't, for example, go even to Santa Maria here, right across the street. So, not in a complaint, we didn't know they were out there, who they were kind of thing, but I think it's clear that both the parents and the kids appreciate the site being as close to them as it can be. The old neighborhood school concept.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Any other questions?

Doctor, Mr. Miller, I just want to thank both of you

gentlemen. I appreciate the inconvenience and the scheduling situation. And behalf of the committee thank you very much for coming. Thank you for your time. We have one more scheduled speaker and that's Mr. Balaban. Could you state your name for the record and your occupation whatever it may be.

MR. BALABAN: My name is Michael Balaban, I'm a resident of Santa Yanez. I'm an attorney at law. And my involvement that would interest the committee is really as a representative of various minority and civil rights organizations in an effort to resolve certain matters that have come to the attention of these organizations over the last few years.

Basically I have assisted on a volunteer basis in connection with the Elks, NAACP controversy of last year. And in connection with the Hispanic controversy which took place and is still, I assume, taking place in the city of Santa Maria.

The large lesson that I think has come to my attention from these two controversies is that the county of Santa Barbara, I believe in my opinion, urgently needs a human relations commission. It may come as a surprise to the committee members but Santa Barbara has not for some time I understand, for eight or ten years, had a human relations commission. This is

unusual for a county of this size and sophistication.

And it's my opinion, and I will try to give you a couple examples why, I think this is greatly needed in the county. With regard to the Elks controversy, I do feel that much of the bitterness and bad feelings that erupted and some which continue to exist could have been avoided if there was a human relations commission existing in the county of Santa Barbara.

By the time I was asked to mediate the dispute between the NAACP and the Elks, the level of anger and accusations really was threatening to tear apart the community. I recall speaking to an editor of one of the small town newspapers in this area who said that as a result of his publishing the fact that the Elks had denied membership to African Americans, he received several death threats. I think this is more likely to happen in a small community where people know the editor of the paper than it is in a community such the size of Los Angeles or San Francisco.

In addition, the Elks lodges were losing much of their income because of the refusal of many citizens, both public and private organizations, to hold functions in the Elks lodge. And this is the source of much of their income. Furthermore, the Elks most important

fund-raising activity of the year, the Elks Rodeo, which is held in Santa Maria, was being threatened by a boycott at the time I was asked to mediate the controversy.

Interesting, despite the fact that this controversy had been going on for many months, and had received much publicity, the protagonists in the controversy had not gotten together. And believe it or not, in one meeting of three or four hours, I was able to put together the leaders of the NAACP branches in Santa Maria and Lampoc and the leaders of the Elks in this area.

And as a result of this meeting, a joint press conference was held and a press release was issued saying that the NAACP had agreed to a cooling off period. In return, the local Elk branches were going to go to the national convention, to be held just two months from then, in New Orleans, Louisiana, and infever to get the voting rules changed.

The voting rule previously had been for every Elks branch that any three black-balls could keep somebody out. Whether there were a thousand members voting, or ten members voting, or five thousand. Any three negative votes could keep a member out. In this meeting, the local Elks representatives agreed that this

was inappropriate. They themselves stated that they
were being accused of being bigots whereas it was just a
few members. It only took three to keep somebody out.

Well, the NAACP members agreed to not boycott the rodeo and as a result, to everyone's surprise I think, the local branches of these two small communities were able to go to the national convention and get the national convention to change the rule. To make membership three quarters of the person's voting, rather than just three people being able to black-ball it. I think that was an incredible accomplishment.

And what happened was, when these people sat down and talked, I think both sides realized they were after the same result and instead of attacking each other they came together in a way that benefited both of them.

I don't think that it's appropriate that when a controversy like that happens that somebody like myself, and I'm not particularly skilled at this, I might be a lawyer but I don't have any particular skills to bring people together, but had there been a human relations commission existing in the Santa Barbara county at the time, I believe that this meeting, this resolution would have happened many, many months before. In a much more effective way.

By the way, as a result of the voting change, in the Lompoc branch of the Elks there are two African American members, as of the present and I assume that there will be others.

As I say, the morale to the story is, I think the result would have been achieved much quicker and had fewer negative side effects in the community as a whole, if there was a broad based human relations commission to address the problem, rather than relying upon myself or anybody like me.

With respect to the Santa Maria Hispanic controversy, similarly I feel that the recent events would have lent themselves to the handling of it by a human relations commission.

It is one thing for myself to come up, especially, I don't live in Santa Maria, to represent the Hispanic group that were protesting the remarks that were made by the Mayor of Santa Maria, it's one thing for myself to get up there and make a statement that what he's saying is wrong and unfair and bigotry and so forth and so on.

But it's so easy for the Mayor, in a childish way, to call me names and discredit me. I don't think he would have been able to do the same to somebody representing a human relations commission appointed by

the board of supervisors. And therein lies another reason for a human relations commission. I think personally it's an embarrassment that Santa Barbara county does not have a human relations commission.

I have done some research, and just to give an example, and I don't mean to be, I'm sure that all of you know the functions of a human relations commission, but I got a sample ordinance from San Diego county. And I would like to just state some of the things that a human relations commission, I think, would be able to accomplish. These are the duties and responsibilities of the San Diego human relations commission.

One, to promote and foster mutual respect and understanding among all groups and communities within the county. Two, to examine the causes of tension and conflict, discrimination and intolerance, between various and either make recommendations as to means to alleviate such conditions, practices and attitudes or recognize that certain issues which may arise are beyond the scope of county government and the commission's charge. Three, conduct workshops, conferences and other public meetings to educate the county community on basic human rights and responsibilities and assist in the constructive resolution of group tensions.

Next, to enlist the cooperation and

participation of various community organizations, including racial, ethnic, and religious groups, industry and labor representatives, media and other mass communication, fraternal and benevolent associations, and other appropriate groups to foster mutual esteem, justice and equity, and the dignity and integrity of each individual.

Next, to engage in research and education for the purpose of lessening prejudice. Including the preparation and dissemination of information on understanding diverse groups with the county. Further, to provide liaison and assistance to citizen's groups interested in fostering inter-group understanding and civil peace. And finally, to cooperate with county departments and committees in identifying and ameliorating human relations problems.

Although this county has not has a high incidence of hate crimes in the past, I think just in recent months there have been examples, which I'm sure this committee has heard of some of those things, and I'm afraid that if this county fails to establish an effective human relations commission it should be prepared to experience increased racial tension and bigotry, more hate crime, a break-down of community cooperation and the alienation of many of it's citizens.

And I would urge this committee to make a recommendation to the board of supervisors of this county to form an effective human relations commission. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Sillas?

MR. SILLAS: I have no questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Patterson?

MS. PATTERSON: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Beltran?

MR. BELTRAN: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: No.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Balaban, we want to thank you very much for your input, and for taking the time to come here and participate. I think you made some very good suggestions and we will certainly give it every consideration.

Ladies and gentlemen, that concludes the quote "normal program" where we have the designated speakers. And now we are a little bit late, but we are going to hear from the interested citizenry who were not included in the agenda, as such. And first of all we will hear from Mr. Walt Stanley.

I would caution you all that we're limited on time so I'm going to ask you to be brief. If you're not

I'm going to have to be forced to cut you off. So please understand that. I don't mean to be rude, but we have other commitments and all the rest of these people here have other commitments as well. Mr. Stanley, please.

MR. STANLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Walt Stanley and although I'm in my major capacity as a primary senior advocate for the elderly in Santa Maria, I'm also here as a result of my past career active as an alternative housing consultant, developing and designing alternative and cost affordable housing solutions. I have prepared an outline which I will leave with the Chair, but just summarize what it contains.

While wishing now to make a brief summary statement, I will submit this. I see several major problems that have not been addressed today to this commission. And while a lot of verbiage has been passed by people not educated in the construction industry and comments made that are totally false or inappropriate, I'd like to correct some of them.

The city council and the city government of Santa Maria, as you heard from Mr. Schwammel, has made available and supported the need for cost affordable housing in this community for many years. And because

Mr. Schwammel detailed it, I will not detail all the projects that they have supported. I'm not sure if he did mention it, but the senior high-rise with a hundred twenty units is one of them.

The county in some respects has also participated in low-income family housing in Tanglewood, Los Almos, and the major project many years ago, the Evans Park by the Santa Barbara Housing Authority.

Money is not the issue to build housing in Santa Maria. Land, which is available and suitable for the necessary sized complexes and properties which are excessive in selling price but otherwise are not available. And the problem I have preached for a long time is to quote Will Rogers, "God don't make no more." Land is the only reason we are failing to build within the confines of the city large complexes as they are needed.

Agricultural worker housing has, as already stated today, ample dollars available from the Farmers Home Administration of the USDA Agency. The problem is, Farmers Home told me, there's several million dollars one could have to farm worker or agricultural worker housing for both families who work year round and the seasonal workers who come in casually, there is no suitable size property in the city of Santa Maria where

this could be used.

And I advised city government of that. But we just couldn't find the land. If you find land in the out-skirts or in the county, it is usually distant away from shopping which creates other problems. So the activist who called for housing have been repeatedly told by us how to obtain cost affordable housing as described in detail in our deposition statement. It's they have not responded and come forward to ask for cooperative effort to create such housing for the needed solutions.

We believe local government has no responsibility to supply housing. Only support with grants for developments and no interest loans for rehabilitation with federal government funds. However, the county of Santa Barbara board of supervisors and the county planning commission have shown total disregard for adequate farm worker housing for development due to the disinterest and the uncaring attitude coupled with lack of knowledge and understanding of such needs by the current member of the fifth district's board of supervisor who has just not been re-elected and his planning commissioner.

And that is one of the prime reasons, Mr.

Chairman, why farm worker housing has not been developed

in the county area. The new incoming member of the fifth district board of supervisors, I'm preparing for him now some outlines of how this can be achieved by going to a certain area. But the key to it all is land leasing. Something that has been done in Europe, and the east and the mid-west for decades. And land leasing, I'm just about to start a thirty-five unit senior apartment complex on a parcel of leased land in the city of Santa Maria, to again prove that this is

feasible.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Stanley, thank you very much. I want to thank you for your patience, I know you were here all day waiting to speak to us. Thank you very much. Mr. Yaeger?

MR. YAEGER: I don't want you people to think because I'm wearing dark glasses that I think I'm a movie star. I have a little eye problem and these bright lights are very difficult for me.

I would like to first qualify myself for being here. I am not a candidate for any political office in this town. I have never been a candidate for any political office in this town. I am just a concerned citizen. I apologize, I didn't give you my name. My name is Fred Yaeger, just a concerned citizen. Now I must qualify myself because I too have a recommendation

to make after my presentation.

My wife and I feel, without reservation, that this is the finest city in the United States in which to live, bar none. But there's some gray areas that lack of concern are not being addressed. I think we have a fine police department. It's well administered, it's well disciplined, but here too there's a problem that has broken down confidence for the Hispanic people in this community. And this is the areas of which I would like to address, including housing.

I enjoying coming here, it's a pleasure. Let me give you a little of my background so you'll understand. When other people were afraid, I was at one time a union president in the city of Los Angeles for a major union in the printing industry. And when other people were afraid, I stood up and was accounted, stood up for Mayor Bradley, had my picture run in a black newspaper that Mrs. Washington was the publisher of, which she just died last week. Now I'm used to being involved in these types of things. I've been involved in human relations practically all my life.

What we have here in Santa Maria is a definite human relations problem. In the Los Angeles area I served as a union president undefeated for ten straight terms. Had forty-seven employers in the printing

industry. I became at one time the employee relations director for a major newspaper in Long Beach. During World War II I was a paratrooper with the Eleventh Air Borne Division and also an instructor at Fort Kenning in advanced training division of the parachute school in equipment jumping.

I tell you this so that you understand my human relations has been this diversified. Overseas I served as a platoon sergeant and served as a first sergeant for my paratrooper company. Again, just to show you the diversity of my relations in human relations.

Now, you've heard, I went out during the lunch break and I did a little on the spot city investigation. You've heard that housing is very economical in this town and easy to come by. They make it sound like you just go down to the bank and they just beg you to take the money.

I urge you to take these home with you, or before you make any decision and look over this and see how many of you people could qualify for housing, let alone some people in this town. Now we have a human relations problem in this town that's really nobody's fault. But we have thousands of people working at parttime jobs, at minimum benefits, minimum wages, no

benefits --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Yaeger, I apologize for interrupting but you're going to have to address yourself to the issues that we're here to deal with --MR. YAEGER: I am, there's one of the issues

right there, housing --

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You're going to have to be brief, because we have other people. And as I told you, I'm going to have to cut you off.

MR. YAEGER: These people are seasonal people also, which presents a problem. Now seeing as how I'm going to be cut off, I make the following recommendation. That you people, if it's within your power, within your authority, you recommend to the city council of Santa Maria that they appoint a human relations committee for this city. It's desperately needed.

Let me tell you one reason why. We had a Hispanic that was killed in a cross-walk here in Santa Maria. You know what they did, according to the Santa Maria Times, they gave the dead man a blood test to see how much alcohol was in him when he went across the cross-walk. They didn't give the officer that hit him a blood test following the accident.

But the man that was killed in the street,

they forced it, while he was dead they gave him a blood 1 test for alcohol. He had some drinks according to the 2 Santa Maria Times. But there's a difference between 3 giving a blood test to a dead man, then someone that 4 kills him in the cross-walk. 5 I could go on, but I respect your policy. 6 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I understand, Mr. Yaeger. 7 Thank you very much. 8 MR. YAEGER: One more thing, I went out and 9 got a copy of, yesterday's I believe, classified ad. 10 Look and see what the rentals are for homes and 11 apartments and what have you in this town. Then see and 12 ask yourself if it's easy to live decently here. 13 Thank you, sir. Mr. Alston. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: 14 MR. ALSTON: Not to steal any firewood from 15 Santa Maria's problems, but we're from San Luis Obispo, 16 about thirty miles from here, we've got some problems up 17 there too. 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Would you state your name 19 sir? 20 MR. ALSTON: My name is Neil Alston. 21 MR. ESPINOZA: And my name's Frank Espinoza. 22

MR. ALSTON: I'm a seventeen year veteran of San Luis Obispo. I'm a employee of the city of San Luis Obispo. I began working there in 1974, and through the

23

24

25

__

course of my working there I underwent a tremendous amount of racial harassment. The racial slurs, jokes, and so on while I was employed there. Repeatedly I went to my supervisor, department head, city administrator to try to cease the harassment. They did nothing, they basically explained that it was my problem. I should try to get along with the guys more.

About 1980 there came a position open, go to work at 3:00 in the morning, sweeping the streets. It was a competitive position that I took and passed with a number of other employees. At that time I took that, of course I was by myself so I didn't have any problem.

In 1989 the city of San Luis Obispo took and had a survey of employees who worked for the city to determine their work classifications and also to upgrade them and so on. I filled out all the things that I did for the city, the time that I spent on the equipment and so on. And they came back with a survey that I was working out of position. That I should be reduced in my position and also be cut by two hundred dollars a month.

Myself and someone else who worked for the city of San Luis Obispo, we found out we were similarly and in the same way being discriminated against. We filed a complaint with the EEOC at that time, it is now in the process of getting through that. We can't find

-7

EEOC?

an attorney in San Luis Obispo to help us, to represent us.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Alston, let me interrupt you a second. It appears for your statement that you and Mr. Espinoza have a complaint regarding the lack of affirmative action in the city of San Luis Obispo. And we can get into your specific personal situations and I don't know how productive that will be because we're not conducting our hearing regarding that particular situation. However, I will forward this information to Mr. Montez and his staff and then possibly at a later date we can look into that situation. And you do have the item pending before the EEOC, is that correct?

MR. ALSTON: Yes.

MR. MONTEZ: What office was that with, the

MR. ALSTON: Ventura. There's seven of us have the complaint.

MR. MONTEZ: I'll talk to you later and then I'll get more specifics. That would expedite it.

MR. ALSTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Gentlemen, I want to thank you for coming down here and presenting us with your complaint. Thank you. Mr. Wolfe, Kenneth Wolfe.

MR. WOLFE: My name is Kenneth Wolfe and I've

_

lived in Santa Maria for five and a half years. I've been employed as a letter carrier with the post office and I'm on the advisory board.

I want to comment on some of the comments made earlier and some of my observations. It was stated earlier that the people in this community do not have direct access to the process. That is totally false. The city government is always open and available to employees, different departments are available and accessible. The information that you would request is always there.

In the quarterly publication of In Touch by the city, it always lists when and where the different city meetings are being held and the committees. They give the time and the place and how often. The city hall always has listed copies of the city council agenda. It's always posted. As is the planning commissions agenda always posted also. So everyone has access to speak on any of the items. And at every meeting the Mayor is always asked, is there anybody else who wishes to speak on this issue. Every meeting. Also with the planning commission.

But back here it states, for public comment, a person will be given five minutes to speak on any subject that's not on the agenda. They have access. On

the second floor of the library, in the reference section, in the genealogy and document room, open to the public, are all the public documents. State, county, city, environmental reports. All that information is there.

It appears to me sometimes to be a lack of community attendance at these different committee meetings or city hall meetings, meetings like that.

There have been times where issues on housing have been brought up but very few people have spoken against a particular development, asking about low income housing.

Presently the city is involved in what is called the sphere of influence annexation program, to develop and expand the borders of the city. This is probably a twenty, thirty year project. By the year 2010 we'll have a population of a hundred and thirty thousand people. More community involvement is needed now for the very groundwork and foundation of these programs to develop housing, commercial, industrial use as well as recreational. When there's that input from the community, then you'll see results in low income housing.

One of the other persons mentioned that it seems like the tail is wagging the dog. I take exception to that. Because there have been many times

. 13

when I've spoken before the city council, I've spoken before various departments, and given my input. And, yes, you can get results. It's just a matter of making the effort and finding the time to be there. They are open and I've always been treated very professionally, very courteously by everyone I've had contact with.

As far as housing for farm workers, that's an issue no individual person wants to address. It's a very expensive one, we have a lot of regulations, a lot of responsibility and a lot of liability. That's an issue that has to be met by the city, the agriculture industry, the county as well.

It was mentioned in education, and I want to make this very clear, the schools are making a direct effort to communicate with the parents. Every time my children bring notices home from elementary school, they're in both English and Spanish. The schools do make that sincere get parent involvement from everybody. It's very important in the education of the children of this community.

The issue as far as municipal services, I cover this city entirely in my profession. And I can tell you on any given day throughout this community you will see city service vehicles out there performing their responsibilities. I see it. Each of the

departments has forms to fill out if you have suggestions or a complaint. Be it too much dust from grating and excavation at a development, or two potholes in the street, they do have the forms, you can fill them out. It's just going in there and making that effort.

Employment, this is a form by the city for a committee or commission to be filled out by anyone who wants to apply for a vacancy in any of the positions that are available. On the back it states, an equal opportunity, affirmative action employer. It's right there.

Voting rights, forms to be a registered voter are available in city hall as they are in the county offices.

And as far as housing, yes, I see things a lot differently when I'm out there delivering mail that most other people do not see. And I will tell you, yes, there is a very serious problem. It is being addressed, but it cannot be addressed overnight.

The growth rate in this city is very high. We have to be able to maintain city services equal with what they're allowing to be built. Because if you don't then you're going to have a breakdown in the city's infrastructure. And that's why sometimes people will

say why aren't they building more housing. You have to do it within which you can provide and in what the city has within their budget to work with.

And I will tell you personally that, yes, I've been discriminated in the past. I am sensitive to it, I am aware of it. But to the best of our ability, the city government does not discriminate. They're very open and very positive. And I thank you very much for the opportunity to speak. And if you have any questions I'll try to answer them.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. Wolfe, thank you very much for your time and your input. I think Mr. Schwammel would like to talk to you maybe about recruiting you to sell the city services. Mr. May? Please state your name sir, and again, I notice on your form, you've got a lot down here. I'm going to remind you that --

MR. MAY: I'll try not to repeat what's been said before, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You're apparently a former politician here, right?

MR. MAY: Some people would call it that. My name is Jim May. I'm representing myself. I've been on city and county government commissions and I was a city council member. This spreads over the last twelve

years. Before that I was thirty-four years in the U. S. diplomatic counselor service. Mostly overseas, in the far east, middle east, and Africa.

I'm not a very prejudiced person. I joined the ACLU fifty years ago. My wife is an Asian. I have three adopted Vietnamese children. I have a Hispanic daughter-in-law and a soviet jewish son-in-law. A couple of ordinary anglos.

In all this service overseas, and one reason why I was able to be selected by the state department, because they were convinced that I was not prejudiced, that I could deal with people of other nationalities and other colors on a equal, even, equitable, effective basis. And I presume that's why I stayed with the service until normal retirement.

Coming back to Santa Maria, my home town, I'm a fourth generation Santa Marian, and I feel very strongly about this, my home town, it was my official residence all the time I was gone, and it's true, it's the best place in the world to live. Not to vacation, but to live. So here I am. I could be any place else in the world.

I found that the city government was run by people, some of whom I knew, many of them back in school, including the Mayor. I knew all about the Mayor

because my mother told me and I verified this over the last twelve years that I've been in politics, the Mayor isn't always right, but he's absolutely honest. And he looks out for the ordinary people and the little people. He calls them the way he sees them.

And I was quite troubled when I heard the varying interpretations that hit this community of what the Mayor actually said. And I believe he said what he said and not what other people say that he said.

I also find it difficult to know why the accusations were made against the Mayor because his track record is the strongest advocate on the city council for minorities, for poor people, for middle class people, for working people, for people who don't very often come to meetings, who aren't very well represented.

And he fights for the ordinary person, and successfully usually but not always. All the time, without exception, he sticks up for the little guy. He's the best friend the Hispanics in this community and all the other minorities ever had.

And you go back and review some of these projects that have been mentioned today, city housing, affordable housing and so on, the Mayor was on the forefront on these. And a lot of them were big long

fights, and I happened to be in the front of this fight for about twelve years now. Because housing happens to be my hobby. And I'm really working on it in the planning commission. The Mayor got those through, the ones that made it. The ones that didn't make it, and there were a few, were in spite of the Mayor working for

them.

Invariably, he's on the side of the ordinary people, those that get short-shifted because they're not quite as smart, or not quite as educated as to how the system works and so on. And you can call George anytime day or night at home or the office and he would talk to you, he will listen to you. Listen to you, how many politicians do you know who will listen to you. So I consider the accusations against him to be sincere but baseless.

The second point I would like to mention is the interpretation of the election campaign in which seven Hispanics ran and none of them got elected. They are not much different from a lot of Anglos who have run and didn't get elected.

And the reason they didn't get elected, and I know a number of these personally for some years, if they had asked me I could have told them, in fact I told one of them but he didn't listen and he found out, if

you want to get elected in this town, or most towns you have to live here for a few years, you have to earn your way so that you are recognized in the community.

You have to work in community organizations and do things. Because when you just come up, and maybe you worked in one narrow focus organization, nobody knows you. You haven't convinced anybody that you know the city's problems, or that you're capable of dealing with them. You're just somebody who's making promises. All politicians make promises.

so basically they got the number of votes they earned. They made some technical mistakes too. If you want to get elected in Santa Maria, like many places, you better start your campaign a year or two early. You can't just come in in three or four months, run a quick campaign and expect to get elected. They kind of made an issue of the fact that they were Hispanic.

In Santa Maria, the people who get appointed to commissions, and who are respected in this community, they're people who are contributors to the community, who's integrity is respected and who just incidently happen to be Hispanic or Japanese or whatever. And unfortunately in this campaign many people made an issue of their ethnicity and that doesn't go in Santa Maria. In Santa Maria you get elected for what people think of

your integrity and what you're able to perform.

The Mayor got sixty-two per cent of the vote because people believe he's honest and he speaks up for what he believes. And they are not that sure about other people.

Now some of the Hispanics that ran I think were extremely competent people. I think they have very good programs. And I hope they'll run again. And if they do their homework and get their campaigns ready, some of them will get elected next time.

I want to comment that the comments by Mr. Schwammel, the city administrator, I think were absolutely accurate. I was an administrator in the foreign service. I did all the things for U. S. missions abroad that he does here for the city.

So when I got on the council I started sticking my nose into everything to make sure there wasn't discrimination against women or minorities or whatever in the city. And everything I looked at was in good shape. I satisfied myself that this is one heck of a good city. And there were not too many things that need fixing.

I think extended availability of services have been covered. It's excellent. There's probably a few things that could be fine-tuned and improved like every

place. And I think this is an open-minded city and they're working on it.

And housing, you've heard a lot of things about housing. We do have a housing problem, but I think the planning commission is on top of it. And I think the city council is waiting to hear from us so they know what to do next.

I also believe your visit here and this bringing out expressions from the community has strengthened the council's and the community's resolve to find a way somehow to get more affordable housing. Housing down on the bottom end where it's the scarcest and the most expensive and the most difficult for people on a limited income.

And that is the number one focus in the planning commission, which I think the chairman said. And we expect things to happen, particularly when we get some land from LAFCO and the county. They've been dragging their feet on this. But there have been some political changes and we think that's going to move. Housing is well on the way to solution.

Santa Maria is a great place and we don't just preserve our quality of life, we're going to improve it and we thank you for your help.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. May, thank you very much

sir. Mr. Garabee? Please state your name for the record.

MR. GARABEE: My name is Stanley Garabee (phonetic) I am senior citizen. Live in this country sixty-four years. When I come here I was young. I tried my best to educate myself and I succeeded. I got my masters degree in psychology but I have not had a chance to use it because when I try for it I'm too old. So what good does it do. There's reason for that though because I'm still working with the community leaders in this city.

The problem is we have all kinds of discrimination in this country from the beginning it was established. And it's still going on. Believe it or not it's still going on. Look around in this city of Santa Maria, what do they have, feudalistic community. All this development surrounded by walls, Berlin Walls. You go and look around. That signifies there is still discrimination in this city.

Now you come in here, you know the first thing that they're passing here, that Filipinos cannot get married. We were single, we were important. Singles here, they said you cannot get married to this and that. I won't mention the race. But you see, if you marry a Mexican that you're a whore. If you marry a white then

we are color. I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One thing, the justice department in this country is impossible to solve. Lots of Mexicans, not only Mexicans, but this is the preface, when you are brown, they put you in jail. They fine you, you can't pay because you spend all your money on booze. And what do they do, they put you in jail and the police commissioner if you cannot pay your fine they put you to work for nothing. What kind of practice is that. Is there justice in it. Forget it.

One thing more. The reason why I came in here is that we have no transportation for the seniors who are about four hundred Filipinos here, like me, I'm eighty-six now. I have to work for our senior citizens here but they won't give us any help. The AAA has been given money to provide service for the needy. The Act since 1973 said that the needy shall be provided for first. Who are being provided for. The elite. investigate that yourself. I have done research in three counties, Ventura, San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara, and I know the situation. We are still being discriminated. We have no transportation. It is a problem of attitude. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you Mr. Garabee.

Ms. Martha Garcia?

MS. GARCIA: Good afternoon. I'm also talking in the same lines as Mr. Garabee. Since I graduated from law school in 1982 I haven't been able to find a decent job that I can be proud of. As you can see from my resume here, I will give each of you a copy, I have had little nothing jobs that I can be proud of.

Mr. Urbanski (phonetic), he interviewed me for one of my latest jobs that I applied to, it was I think a project director for Klein Bottle. And I don't know, he could probably tell you why they didn't hire me for that position. So it's all been negative. It's not that I haven't tried.

I lived in Marina Del Rey for awhile, I applied everywhere, zilch. I just came back from Sacramento and I also applied to several positions there. Nothing. I'm back here again and I'm applying to small jobs that I consider I'm more than qualified for. For counsellor, social worker, eligibility worker. I'm bi-lingual and nothing.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Ms. Garcia, the one thing that we're concerned with here and I'm not trying to make light of your situation. But we're here to deal with violations of civil rights. And if you can assess any of your failure to obtain employment because of that then we certainly would be entertaining your --

MS. GARCIA: Well, I guess employment, not being able to find decent employment I think would be a violation of my civil rights.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Well, not necessarily so. I can think of a situation where you probably would be best to direct your inquiries to the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, rather than the Civil Rights Commission. Unless you can come up with a specific violation of your civil rights. The fact that somebody doesn't give you a job that you feel --

MS. GARCIA: Well, I don't know. It was just an idea that I had. They made it available for me to come here, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Well, we certainly have made it available for you to voice your complaint. Thank you. Mr. Valenzuela? Again, Mr. Valenzuela, it's getting late, I'll ask you to be brief.

MR. VALENZUELA: Okay. I'm Jerry Valenzuela.

I'm a registered professional engineer and I'm doing

business in the city of Santa Maria. And I'm also a

licensed general contractor for the state of California.

I've been doing business in the area for the last three

years. Prior to that I was doing consulting work

basically with other general contractors, specialty

types.

This issue that I wanted to bring up has to do with contracting within the county and the city of Santa Maria. And especially in trying to offer opportunities for minority contracting firms for their professional firms or construction firms in those opportunities that are offered to them. To me by dealing with a lot of bid processes throughout the state of California including with the state and the government and generally with other city governments, they all have their programs in order to encourage minorities to do contracts with them. And I really don't see any effort with the city or with the county in that respect.

In 1989 I was the low bid on a large job here with the city of Santa Maria and it was a 2.7 million contract. And I was low bid by a hundred thousand dollars. The city did not offer me due process in so far as awarding me the contract. They basically took a protest by the second bidder that my sureties were not acceptable. And no hearing was done other than a brief presentation that the city council and the city council accepting the city attorney's recommendation to reject my bid. Even though my bid was a hundred grand less than the second bidder.

To me that was just an example of the city maybe not having a program to at least encourage

minorities to do contracting with them. Because in a situation like that they should at least have had a hearing in trying to see whether the problem could be solved. Especially within the time frame that they had to award the job.

During that process I did present the city attorney the various statutes that would have applied to the sureties that I presented. But he basically had ignored them and had recommended city council to take on the second bidder.

I feel that this illustrates maybe a problem with contracting with, well that specifically is with the city, but if you look even with the county of Santa Barbara, they don't have really a program that offers the minorities, maybe somebody to speak to for various opportunities that might be available in the future. Or even within the short time period that contracts come up.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Mr. Valenzuela, does that conclude your remarks?

MR. VALENZUELA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All of this becomes part of the record. So the fact that we aren't asking any questions doesn't add have any significance to that. We do review all of this business. Thank you very much sir. Cecilia Rios?

MS. RIOS: My name is Cecilia Rios and I'm a member of Latinos for Better Government from Santa Barbara, California. We address you today on behalf of the people of Latino descent. In fact, on behalf of people of all color. Regrettably, we must report to you that the state of civil rights and liberties for Latinos in Santa Barbara county, north as well as south, is deplorable indeed.

Over the last years, and increasingly so over the last year, we have seen a regression to days of old. Back to an environment which the Civil Rights Act of the 1960's was enacted to remedy. Today, Latinos do not have the freedom of association. Today Latinos do not have freedom of movement. Today Latinos do not have the fourth amendment, the guarantee of security in their persons, homes and documents. Today no freedom of expression.

You're here in Santa Maria because you're aware that these civil rights violations exist in Santa Maria. The racist sickness in Santa Maria also exists in Santa Barbara. For example, in Santa Barbara on November 14, 1990, a Latino youth was killed by two Anglos, solely because of his color and ethnicity.

In Santa Barbara, the county and the city

police are stopping and harassing Latino youth during the day, while returning from school, church, and visiting with friends. They are being detained for informational and classification detention that are without cause or suspicion of any criminal activity.

Latino youths are being stopped, detained for their style of dress, the color of their jackets, pants, baseball caps, and even the style of their hair. This selective discriminatory police conduct is not directed at Anglo youth, who wear the same clothing, utilize the same hair style and even hang out at the same locale.

These civil rights violations are not only occurring in the street. It appears that these discriminatory policies are so pervasive that it affects our youth in school as well. Between the school and police bureaucracy we see a pattern and practice of attacking an entire ethnic group and community by defaming an entire people as gang members. In identifying our youth as gang members.

In attempting to create a gang problem mentality, the police are attempting to justify a diversion of funds from education to incarceration. To justify the wholesale attack on the civil rights of an entire people. And to justify their gestapo tactics. The incidents are too many to present here. We have

dates of all these incidents. People are prepared to testify before the commission of all these civil rights violations.

We ask, in fact we believe that your mandate requires, that you investigate the incidents. Set up a task force or set up a commission hearing at the earliest possible time. Our community especially our youth is at great risk.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I appreciate your remarks and we will take note of them. But I additionally would request that you wish this to be pursued that you make this a formal complaint to the Civil Service Commission in writing. Thank you very much. James and Darlene Savoy?

Mr. and Mrs. Savoy, we have not only a request to speak to us but also what appears to be a statement. And rather than have you repeat it because the statement is going to be part of the record, I'd just ask that if you have additional remarks, you're free to give them. But I just don't want you to go ahead and repeat what's in your written statement.

MS. SAVOY: My name is Darlene Savoy and I'm the owner of Savoy Autoparts located at 1915 A South Broadway in Santa Maria. Since I opened in June of 1990, my husband James Savoy, has tried on many

occasions to contact some of Santa Maria's city officials about purchasing from our store.

He has tried to contact, and I want to apologize if I mispronounce your name, he has tried to contact Wayne Schwammel at 925-0951, extension 200; Hank Romero at extension 231. And each time he called he was told that they would return his call. But to this date, neither one has responded to his calls, by letter nor by phone.

On one occasion he did speak to Margaret

Henstrick (phonetic) about minority set-aside program.

He was told by her, and I quote, "There are nothing but minorities in this city." His response was, my wife is a black minority business woman and I would like to know if there are any set-aside programs for minority businesses. Her response was "no".

I am deeply concerned about the attitude and the lack of interest these people have shown concerning this matter and in returning phone calls. I have lived in Santa Maria for nineteen years and have been a homeowner and taxpayer throughout those years.

As a black business woman I would appreciate it if the city would purchase a portion of their vehicle parts from my store and give us a chance to grow in this city. I would like you to know that we can locate and

obtain any parts for any vehicle that is on the road today.

And in closing I would like to thank the people at the planning commission for their kindness and their polite attitude that they have shown each time that we have gone into their office.

MR. SAVOY: I'd like to make one statement.

It is very difficult to open a business up. And in particular it's very difficult for black people to open a business up in Santa Maria. And I think that the city should take a look at the attitude towards businesses that are opening up in the city.

There's a lot to be desired about how things are going in the city. I understand that the Mayor cares about the minority people in this city. I think that the Mayor should set up his own bureau of auto repair for the Santa Maria area.

Since we've been open in this city, in the last six months, we've seen numerous cases of people being gouged, who are being charged for things they didn't get, just taken advantage of simply because they do not speak English. And it's a concern of ours and since we've opened the store we've become more and more concerned about what's going on.

This city does need looking into. I don't

know the Mayor, I've only been here for one year. But in the six months that we've been open, it is true. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Mr. and Mrs. Savoy, thank you very much. Ladies and gentlemen, this would conclude our forum here as members of the California Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights. And on behalf of all of the members who are present here and even those who are not, I would like to thank you all. Those who participated and those of you who are members of the public who came just to be present. We give of our time for this function, and we certainly appreciate very sincerely your participation and your presence here. It does show that you're concerned citizens and we greatly appreciate that. And thank you again. Off the record.

(Whereupon, at 6:50 p.m., the above-entitled matter concluded.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	DOCKET NO.:
4	CASE TITLE: Open Forum on Civil Rights in Santa Maria
5	HEARING DATE: December 1, 1990
6	LOCATION: Santa Maria, California
7	
8	I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are
9	contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes
10	reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the
11	California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission
12	on Civil Rights.
13	Date: December 20, 1990
14	
15	Told Briggs by Sw Bella
16	Official Reporter
17	Heritage Reporting Corporation
18	1220 L Street, N.W.
19	Washington, D.C. 20005
20	
21	•
22	•
23	
24	
2 =	



1915 - A & B North Broadway Santa Maria, California 93454 (805) FIX-AUTO

My name is Darlean Savoy. I am the owner of Savoy Auto Parts, located at 1915 A- North Broadway in Santa Maria. Since I open in June of 1990, my husband James Savoy has tried on many occasions to contact some of Santa Maria's city officials about purchasing from our store. He has tried to contact Wayne Scwammel at 925-0951 Ex.200, Hank Ramirez at Ex.231 and Reese Riddio at Ex.221, each time he called he was told that they would return his call; but to this day neither one has responded to his calls by letter nor by phone concerning this matter. On one occasion he did speak to Margaret Hemstreet about a minority set aside program, he was told by her "There are nothing but minoritys in this city". His response was "My wife is a black minority business woman and I would like to know if there are any set aside programs for minority businesses". Her response was "No". I am deeply concerned about the attitude and the lack of interest that these people have shown concerning this matter and in returning phone calls.

I have lived in Santa Maria for 19 years and have been a home owner and tax payer throughout those years. As a black business woman, I would appreciate it if the city would purchase a portion of their vehicle parts from my store and give us a chance to grow in this city. I want you to know that we can locate and obtain any part for any vehicle that is on the road today.

In closing I would like to thank the people at the Planning Commission for their kindness and the polite attitudes they have shown each time we have gone into their office.

Thanks

Darlean Savoy

Testimony - Margie Talaugon Civil Rights Hearing December 1,1990 Santa Maria, California

Background;

Community organizer and Advocate, Bay Area and Sonoma County.

Director, Sandigan Inc., Approved as Volag to Immigration Naturalization Services
Chairperson, United Pilipinos for Equal Employment, Affirmative Action Agency
EEOC Speaker/Consultant - Military, S.C.P.E.O., School DistrictInstructors.

I have volunteered my time as an advocate, to serve as the ear and voice of The Committee For The Betterment Of Santa Maria Valley. I am here to present a series of events that will shed some light on the racism that has transpired since July 16,1990. Much of the information was concealed from the public until recently when it was publicized that an OCR Hearing was scheduled.

When The Committee For the Betterment voted to distribute Green Ribbons to express our strong resentment against Bigotry and Racism. A large number of individuals made a choice to wear them, employees of Department Stores, Hospitals, and Service Agencies. This took place in the first week of August. Employees of the Social Service Department displayed their ribbons proudly. In one week the Ribbons were no longer worn. I was informed by one of the employees that all Mexicans cannot wear the Ribbons because they were too political. The following event was the Red Ribbon Week (Anti-Drug Campaign) Everyone wore the Ribbons with no objections from the administration. This is a clear violation of the Civil Rights of the Mexican employees freedom of speech and choice. My question is, if the Administration is against drugs, and they do not allow their employees to be against Racism and Bigotry, then the answer would be they support Racism and Bigotry. The action by the Administration is discrimitory and unconstitutional. I was also told that there has always been a large turnover of Spanish surname employees in the Social Service Department. This is due to the harrassment and stress/pressure placed upon them. Many have taken other jobs with lessor pay.

Santa Maria High School has a Counselor who is assigned all the Mexican student that are deemed potential or Hard core. Not like the regular students who are alphabetically assigned to counselors.

A distraught Mother visited this counselor, when she was informed that her son

is being considered for suspension. As she attempted to communicate her concerns to this counselor, he abruptly told her Don't worry about him, he won't finish school, and he'll probably end up in the streets." This student is 15 yrs. of age. A student was ill from Friday to Monday. On tuesday he returned to school and entered his counselors office. He was told to sit down, take off your hat and shut up. The student obeyed. The student had a slight runny nose, as he attempted to inhale he rubbed his nose. The counselor immediatly said" I bet 99% you are on cocaine, I'm gonna refer you to the Drug Program." The student challenged the counselor and said he was willing to go to the Probation Department and take a drug test. P.D. is located a block behind the High School. The counselor ignored the student. On Thursday, in the same week, he was called into the Drug Class. He was instructed by the Female instructor to arrange to attend this class once a : : week until deemed/approved by the counselor. Student told her, Im not on drugs. She said it's Okey he (counselor) sends anyone to me. The student was so embarressed he could not tell his parents. After 2 or 3 sessions the instructor told him to get back to regular classes, he had no problem.

This specific counselor orders these students to wear a certain style of clothes and color.

On his wall there is a HIT LIST. This list is comprised of Spanish surname students. There is also a clear notation "Watch out for these students." Students of this counselor who wish to participate in sports is told, forget it, you wont be inschool long enough to get into sports. It appears that this counselor has only one goal and objective. And that is to destroy the self esteem and take away the students dignity. This counselor has no consideration for either the parents or the future of the students. If attitudes are not corrected, the drop out and negative reaction from students will continue. And where is a parent to go if they are discouraged at that level. Do they know their rights? Do they understand due process? It appears not.

I would like for you to note, Santa Maria High School singles out the poor students who qualify for the free lunch program. This is done by separation of students, a ticket line and 4 or 5 pay lines. The ticket line ispredominately Mexican. And because there is one person to receive the tickets the line is lengthy and slow. "I was informed by a student that some of the Mexican students rather starve than be humiliated in such a manner. I asked the student, what do you want and how do you want to be treated? His response was, I don't want any thing, just to be treated like a normal person, that's all I ask. I'm human.

I have been informed that the Bi-lingual Teachers in the Santa Maria Elementary Schooldoes not truly represent bi-lingual individuals. Of the 97 teachers, there is a possibility that as much as 50% is a mixture of non-hispanic with spanish surnames who are depriving the qualified Latinos from employment.

4-

We will also be requesting clarification on input and plans of the new committee that was formed to develop a program for Latch Key Kids. \leq -

A question was raised regarding the Santa Maria Fire Department. Of the 26 full time fire fighters, 4 are hispanic, 1 is Puerto Rican. Why is there no Hispanic in the supervisorial position.

The 2 supervisors are 1 black male, the other a woman. They question the process and how the determination is made, for promotion.

I recently walked the precincts for a Mexican American Candidate for City Council. This person is well known and qualified.

One retired male stated he was a long time friend of Mayor Hobbs. He agreed, with the Mayor that all the Mexican should be sent back to Mexico. I don't care who is running for office, my friends, neighbors and all the Anglos will not vote for a Mexical A high wall must be built with guard dogs and men armed with pistols and rifles. We have to stop the hordes. They come to work, but contribute nothing to society. They breed and have large families so that they all can go on welfare, with all the false documents they can buy. Those illegals have no regard for sanitation, education, learning english or becoming good Americans like the rest of us.

He asked if I knew of the Activist from Nipomo (Esteban Valuenzuela) and his organization. He was visibly upset. He said, that man and his organization is dangerous and something has to be done to stop them. I asked what is so dangerous about a group of people who stand up against racism? He said, no, the leader and this group is telling the Mexican community to get pistols and rifles, prepare to arm themselves and at a given signal, shot Anglos. I told him that those are serious charges and unfounded. What and where was his source? He said it's common knowledge, the Mayor knows, it's in the papers, don't you read?

'Iltold this man, if the wall is built across the Mexican border, it is only fair that a wall be built to the North, Canada. I informed him that I was aware of illegal Europeans crossing over also. He raised his finger at me and said no, no, all of the Europeans are legal immigrants.

I asked if he was interested in walking precincts since he was so adament about the Anglo candidates. He said, no way, I'd get knifed in the Mexican Districts. He stated that he was very comfortable speaking freely to me, because I was a female. If I was a male, he would be fearful of being stabbed to death. In each predominatly Anglo precinct I walked, the response was positive and they were familiar with our candidates back ground. In the End they did not vote for him. My opinion on that is ,the individuals who answered the doors appeared to be as congenial and cooperative as possible because they saw a convergence of brown people in their district and was fearful of reprisal/retaliation, if they gave their honest opinion. It is absurd that people think brown represents violence.

Mayor Hobbs stated, he was not worried because, the Mexican does not vote. He is aware that this Valley or the powers that be, programs the people of color to be subordinate. Learn as much as we want you to learn Be loyal, work hard, dont rock the boat if you are discriminated againstor not promoted, if you are the brunt of an ethnic joke. Feel honored that you are employed, do not ask for more. Those who are pained by racism and discriminationmust suffer in silence or be subject to humiliation by co-workers or terminated by the employer.

In the past the subtile racism existed, after Mayor Hobbs statements it is blatant. Now we are charged with being racist and reverse discrimination. Reverse discrimination? Then what they are saying is, we are the chosen one the Constitution is only for the Anglo community. People of color are not allowed to practice or benefit from the Constitution. This is for Whites only!!! We say no.

Each issue presented today will be addressed by the community and with the help of the many concerned citizens we hope to ameliorate the situation in time.

There is one vexatious item that concerns me. The statements, statistics made by Mayor Hobbs have largly been drawn from a mailed flyer, printed in Virginia. It is called the Immigration Control Foundation. They solicit funds to continue their efforts in monitoring border activities and to mail out hate and fear propaganda. The information printed is incorrect and perpetuates hate against those South of the border.

The Immigration Control Foundation is not affiliated with the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service. As a matter of fact INS has no knowledge of this group.

I ask this commission to seek the truth regarding this hate group.

In closing we will no longer allow the community to suffer in the hands of insensitive individuals or groups. In the future we hope that you will be available to the community if we are faced with insumountable obstacles.

Thank you for your support and consideration.



CITY OF SANTA MARIA • 110 EAST COOK STREET • SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA 93454-5190 • 805-925-0951 • FAX 805-349-0657

November 30, 1990

MEMO TO: California Advisory Committee

U. S. Commission on Civil Rights

FROM: Wayne Schwammel, City Administrator

City of Santa Maria

SUBJECT: WRITTEN STATEMENT SUBMITTED TO ABOVE-

REFERENCED COMMITTEE IN RESPONSE TO THE

NOVEMBER 21, 1990 LETTER FROM THE U. S. COM-

MISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Dear Members:

I submit this written memorandum to you in response to your November 21, 1990 memorandum directed to my personal attention. This letter indicated that the California Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights would be holding a forum in Santa Maria on December 1, 1990 between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. The indicated purpose of said forum is to address issues in Santa Maria relating to voting rights, housing, municipal services, and employment. Although it is difficult to prepare written remarks relating to the above-referenced issues without more specific information, this writer will attempt to address said issues from the standpoint of demonstrating non-discriminatory practices on the part of the City of Santa Maria.

VOTING RIGHTS

We have been monitoring the evolution of cases which have considered the judicial implementation of city/district elections. To this date, we do not believe that the facts warrant the imposition of district elections.

It is evident from these cases that statistical evidence is required to judicially implement districts. The upcoming census figures and recent election totals will be reviewed. If these statistics and other relevant factors require the consideration of district elections, the City will do so.

Additionally, no group or individual has formally approached the City with a request to consider districts. We will review such a request and the relevant information transmitted in support and refer same to City Council for their consideration.

HOUSING

The City of Santa Maria, in our opinion, is unparalleled in the Central Coast in providing affordable housing. We presently have approximately 21,000 dwelling units in our City. We provide affordable housing for approximately 1,100 Section 8 Certificate holders, which is about 60% of the total Section 8 certificates allocated to Santa Barbara County; yet our population of 60,000 is approximately 16% of the total Santa Barbara County population of 366,000.

Some of the specific affordable housing projects that have received direct assistance are as follows:

- 1) 122 dwelling units, Senior Midrise, Downtown Redevelopment
- 2) 112 dwelling units, Family Housing, Downtown Redevelopment
- 3) 22 dwelling units, Family Housing, Pepperwood
- 4) 35 dwelling units, Handicapped/Senior, Newlove
- 5) 67 dwelling units, Family Housing, Oak Valley, SBCHC (90% lower income, 80% Hispanic)
- 6) 70 dwelling units, Self Help Housing, Oak Valley (under construction)
- 7) <u>114</u> dwelling units, Rehabilitated Units, thru-out City

542 TOTAL

The total affordable housing units that have received direct City assistance is 542.

As can be seen by the above project #5 (Oak Valley), developed on property purchased by the City using general fund moneys, 80% of the units were purchased by Hispanics. We anticipate a similar ratio on the upcoming project #6, the People's Self Help development. On this project, we utilized \$400,000 of Community Development Block Grant Funds for lot improvements and are selling the lots to People's Self Help at approximately \$20,000 to \$25,000 below market. So far, 32 families are in processing; of these, 90% are minorities and 9 of the 32 are farm workers.

In addition to the above, the City maintains a policy to convert excess industrial and commercial land to residential land uses. This policy helps us implement our "jobs-housing balance" and provides additional affordable housing units. Since 1984, we have created approximately 220 acres of residentially zoned property. This would allow approximately 3,000 additional residential units to be built, of which approximately 400 units have already been constructed and many projects are in plan check. We also have a mobilehome park containing 135 units which was conditioned by our Planning Commission and City Council to not exclude families. This mobilehome park was also required to have a day care facility.

The City's "supply and demand" strategy for affordable housing is working. We presently have single family dwellings on small, zero-lot lines that are selling on the open market for \$129,000; we have over 200 brand new condos on the market that start at \$89,000. In today's market, these prices are phenomenal when you consider <u>lots</u> in Santa Barbara County south of Santa Maria start at \$120,000.

In reference to farm worker housing, according to the Area Planning Council's August '89 Report, we have approximately 5,000 farm workers (including migrant farm workers) residing

in the Santa Maria Market Area at any given time. It is fair to say that most of these workers are presently being housed in safe and decent housing. There is no question that there are landlords who rent out garages and rent their homes to too many residents; but as a percentage of the total, we feel it is very small.

When the City receives a complaint concerning people living in an illegal unit, we investigate and seek compliance with applicable zoning, health and safety codes. We do not order immediate abatement; we allow in many cases months for the landlord to vacate the illegal unit which allows sufficient time for the innocent tenant to find appropriate housing.

We feel we have an obligation to help solve the housing problem, including the farm worker housing. We also realize it is a regional issue and warrants creative solutions. Based on the above numbers, the City of Santa Maria is doing its fair share to solve our problem and will continue to provide maximum efforts in this area. As of this date, the City has two farm worker housing projects in the formative stages. We are working closely with People's Self Help Housing Corporation of San Luis Obispo to help address the recognized problem of providing housing for "all economic segments" of our community. Santa Barbara County must be held accountable in the solution, as they are allowing substantial new acres of agricultural land each year and are doing nothing to address the affordable housing problem.

The City of Santa Maria's Community Development Block Grant Program is designed to benefit primarily low and moderate income persons. In the last twelve month period reported, 7/1/89 - 6/30/90, \$916,862 was expended to benefit low and moderate income persons. This constituted 93% of the CDBG funds expended in that time period. The amount expended

included funds from previous years' grants, as well as program income.

Sixty percent (60%) of the total number of households/ persons assisted through the projects and activities funded during the last fiscal year are minorities. Out of the sixty percent (60%), eighty-eight percent (88%) are Hispanic.

Projects and activities funded last year that directly benefited lower income persons include:

Housing Incentives Program

Two developments were undertaken, Valentine Court, for senior and handicapped individuals, and Oak Valley, a single family residential development. In both cases CDBG funds were used to put in the necessary public improvements.

Residential Rehabilitation Loan Program

The residential rehabilitation loan program is an ongoing program, offering low interest and deferred loans to lower income, senior and handicapped households.

Non-Profit Facilities Program

This is also an ongoing program designed to assist public service organizations acquire or rehabilitate an existing facility that directly serves their clients. Within this period, the program assisted the Salvation Army, the Boys & Girls Club, and the Donati House, a group home for developmentally disabled young adults.

Public Service

Under current CDBG regulations, only 15% of Block Grant-Funds can be used to provide assistance to public service organizations for operating expenses. The City of Santa Maria adopted a resolution committing the maximum of 15% of each year's grant, every year. In this reporting period the following organizations received funding:

Public Services:

Good Samaritan Shelter
Catholic Charities
Rape Crisis Center
Klein Bottle Social Advocates for Youth
Legal Aid Foundation
Children's Resource and Referral Program
Community Action Commission

Salvation Army
Independent Living Resource Center
SMILE
Shelter Services for Women
Santa Maria Valley Senior Club (Postal Alert
Program)

These organizations received a total of \$84,900.

This year, 1990-1991, the City of Santa Maria received an entitlement amount of \$561,000. Of the total amount of program funds allocated, 100% is benefiting low and moderate income persons. Specifically, the funds are allocated as follows:

Non-Profit Facilities Program	\$188,000
Neighborhood Conservation Program	129,900
Public Facilities and Improvements	50,000
Public Services	84,900
General Administration	108,200

TOTAL GRANT \$561,000

As evidenced by this brief review, the Community Development Block Grant Program is vital to the City of Santa Maria. It reaches out to members of our community who, in many cases, have no other source of assistance to turn to. Block Grant Funds provided the first shelter for battered women in Santa Maria, the first emergency shelter for homeless persons, and the first independent living facility for developmentally disabled persons.

MUNICIPAL SERVICES

It is this writer's opinion that the City of Santa Maria is clearly in a non-discriminatory mode in the providing of municipal services to the citizens of Santa Maria. In the area of recreational services, the City has striven from their inception to provide a comprehensive, well balanced program covering the needs and interests of all segments of the citizenry. The City's recreation and park services have been recognized and honored by local organizations on up to and including the federal government.

The programs provided cover a wide and varied range of activities which are identified in our city's quarterly publication, titled "In Touch." We have all of the standard or traditional programs such as youth and adult sports, playground activities, acquatics, performing and visual arts, senior citizens' programs and developmentally disabled services. In all of these program areas, the Hispanic citizens are significantly represented. For example:

Sports

- From youth through adults, easily 50% are Hispanic.
- In addition, the department has assisted the predominately Hispanic semi-pro baseball program with use of fields at no cost.
- A few years back, we assisted a Sunday softball league comprised of farm workers to use City fields at no cost.
- The department has worked with the Adult Soccer League since the late 1970s providing fields and guidance to insure continuation of their league in Santa Maria.

Senior citizen Program

- Include recreational activities to social service needs.
- Such special services as nutrition, brown bag, agricultural food distribution, garden plots, and workshops regarding taxes, legal and health matters.
- Representatives by Hispanics range from 40% to 75% in these programs.

Acquatics

 Between swim classes and public swim, there are anywhere from 50% to 75% Hispanic citizens taking part in the programs offered.

The Recreation and Parks Department has tried to provide activities or events that specifically might interest the Hispanic community. Such as in the arts, arrangements were made for a professional Aztec Dance Troupe to come to Santa Maria to perform and conduct a clinic for children; Antonio Lopez, renowned classical guitarist, was another special arrangement as was the drama group, El Teatro de La Experanza.

The City staff was involved with leaders in the Hispanic community a few years ago in creating a program for all, but particularly for Hispanic youth and young adults, called P.R.I.D.E. The City contributed staff and funds to operate a recreation program and boxing program which P.R.I.D.E. represented. Though the program faltered over the years, the City has continued to contribute financially to the effort.

A community wide event of great importance to the Hispanic population and to the community in general is the very successful annual Cinco de Mayo celebration. City staff was an integral part in the creation and development of the Cinco de Mayo program in Santa Maria. The Recreation and Parks Department today continues to assist the annual event by helping to arrange for facilities and other pertinent needs.

The Hispanic community is a prominent participant in our recreation and park services. The staff is sensitive to their desires and interests and makes a concerted effort to insure all who wish to participate can do so. All the programs offered by the department have "recreation grants" (no charge) available to any citizen who cannot afford to pay the class or program fee.

The department's primary goal is to create opportunities for citizens of all ethnic origins or economic status to enjoy their particular leisure/recreational interest.

In response to the only specific allegation of discrimination that I am aware of, i.e., that Latinos participating in the City's soccer league are treated differently from those citizens of other ethnic backgrounds, I provide you with the following information as developed by the Director of Recreation and Parks.

The City of Santa Maria Recreation and Parks Department has assisted the Santa Maria Valley Soccer League since 1977. Our assistance has primarily been the securing of fields for their games.

Our current agreement with the league requires that uniformed security be present at all 1st division games and at all games played at Preisker Park. These security guards have three responsibilities:

- Enforce the City's alcohol ordinance
- In cases where games are played at school park fields, insure that school facilities are respected
- Intercede in any altercations concerning players and/or spectators

Background

The Santa Maria Valley Soccer League has been in existence since the early 1970s. In 1977, the Recreation Superintendent, Paul LeSage, was approached by Joe Felix, the President, and Ricardo Acosta to see if the department could help in providing fields. Since that time, games have been played at Preisker Park and the Adam Basin. Games have also

been played at school sites in Santa Maria. Mr. LeSage served as department liaison to the league until 1986 when the responsibility was passed to Jim Davis, Recreation Supervisor.

There are now over 40 teams in the league. Not all of the teams are from Santa Maria, and games are played in cities throughout the area. The majority of the players are agricultural workers who work six days a week. As such, they are available for games only on Sundays. The soccer games serve as a social gathering for the community, with entire families turning out. First division matches routinely draw 500 to 1,000 spectators.

Most teams are formed with players who come from the same home towns. It is not unusual for many players on a team to be related. The followers of the teams are also from the same towns and in many cases related to the players. There is a fierce loyalty to the teams among their fans.

Problems and Concerns

Among the fans in attendance at the matches, there is a tendency to consume an excess amount of alcohol. Serious problems have been created. Included are:

- Excess amounts of litter on schools, parks and surrounding neighborhoods
- People urinating in public, even where restrooms are provided
- People parking in vacant lots posted for no parking in the driveways of private homes and even on lawns

We used to receive up to 10 complaints every Monday from people living in the areas where the games were played. There were concerns from school principals as to what was happening to their schools. On many occasions, we sent maintenance crews on to school sites to do cleanup.

An even more serious problem exists, and that is spectator violence. Because of the close relationship of the teams and their spectators and the level of alcohol consumed, disputes on the fields can quickly spread to the fans. I want to emphasize that this can become a serious situation. Referees have been chased from the field; one was even struck with a flying bottle. Major disturbances have erupted. Adult soccer lost the use of the Hancock soccer field because of an incident that led to a fight in which over 50 people were involved. It was alleged that someone had displayed a hand gun and threatened persons at the site. Soccer had at this point lost the use of the fields at Oakley

and El Camino schools and nearly lost Fesler and Adam fields. Something had to be done.

Solutions

In 1987, we began requiring the soccer league to provide security at the fields. Our staff has worked with the security agency to solve the problems that exist. People can now attend the soccer games with their families in a safe and pleasant setting. On one occasion in January of 1990, the league neglected to provide security for their games. A fight broke out involving two teams. and the situation was so serious it had to be stopped by the police.

With security on site, the disputes on the field stay among the players. The level of alcohol consumed, if not eliminated, is drastically reduced.

Fairness

In our dealings with the Adult Soccer League, we have treated them as we would anyone else. For example:

- We require security for all public events. This has included our department's Bluegrass Festival ad Autumn Arts Festival, the Marian Medical Center Fundraising Dinner, and the Arts Council Ball. All weddings, dances and events with large numbers of spectators require security.
- We do not charge the Adult Soccer League rental fees for field use, which would be in the neighborhood of \$7,000 a year. Instead we ask that they contribute to the resodding of the soccer fields at the end of the year. We ask that they share the cost with Santa Maria's Youth Soccer Association. The split is usually 1/3 adult soccer, 2/3 youth soccer.
- Security is required at soccer matches at other communities, most notably Santa Barbara. It should also be pointed out that in communities where the Santa Maria league has not provided security, they have lost use of fields.
- The decision to require security was made only after other alternatives had been tried. We gave the league the option of providing volunteers to "self police" the spectators. We even offered to provide a uniform shirt. The league felt that the spectators would not accept their friends as security. A uniformed security guard is what commands the necessary respect.

Staff is well aware of the expense to the league. To reduce their financial burden, we have given them at no charge the use of the Veterans' Memorial Cultural Center for a public dance. The fees waived amount to over \$1,500.

Conclusion

If you were to ask the manager of any team in the Santa Maria Valley Soccer League, they would tell you that there is a problem with spectator behavior at the soccer games. They would also tell you that, as the manager of a team, they are not able to control their spectators. I think they would also tell you that on-site uniformed security guards are the only solution to the problem. Prior to implementing the security policy, we met with and explained the reasons for the decision to representatives of the league. While they are not happy with the expense, they did acknowledge the need.

Our staff understands the importance that soccer has in the lives of the Santa Maria players. We know that the Sunday games are a major social event for a significant portion of our community. This is why we have gone to bat for the league so many times. Without our support, I doubt they would be able to use Adam or Fesler fields. Without on-site security, I doubt that there would be adult soccer in Santa Maria.

Another question asked, which in this writer's opinion is best addressed under the "municipal services" section of this memorandum, relates to the procedures involved in the handling of public works contracts.

The agreement section of every Public Works contract issued has two sections which apply to the protection of civil rights. Section 36 entitled, "LABOR NON-DISCRIMINATION" states that, "During the performance of this contract, contractor and its subcontractors shall not unlawfully discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, medical condition, marital status, age (over 40), or sex. This section sets forth various sections of the California Administrative Code which apply.

Section 37 entitled "EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY" stipulates that no contractor shall "discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin." In addition, the Contractor is required to include a statement in all solicitations or advertisements for employees that all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. This section also requires the Contractor to comply with

various statutes and executive orders. Failure to comply with this section of the agreement is cause for termination of the contract.

The "NOTICE INVITING SEALED BIDS" which is included in every specifications packet issued states, "the Contractor must ensure that employees and applicants for employment are not discriminated against because of their race, creed, color, national origin, or sex."

The City of Santa Maria, being part of the Santa Maria Urbanized Area, is eligible to receive federal assistance for operating and capital funding for Santa Maria Area Transit. Through an agreement with the County of Santa Barbara, the City of Santa Maria is the lead agency in managing the transit system for the Santa Maria and Orcutt Area and thereby authorized to apply for federal funding.

The City currently applies for federal assistance from the Urban Mass Transportation Administration. To receive assistance, the City must comply with federal regulations and rules regarding, among other things, all civil rights programs. Included is the Disadvantaged Business Enterprises Program. The DBE program requires that the City shall establish a policy and procedures to assure purchases of goods and services without discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, religion or national origin. The City currently has on file a DBE program approved by the Deputy Civil Rights Officer of the western area of UMTA.

A DBE is inclusive of Minority Business Enterprises, contractors and Women Business Enterprises.

In a recent request by UMTA of the employee profile of the City's operations contractor, SMOOTH, Inc. the following was reported: 20 Women and 11 Men; of these 9 (29%) are Hispanic, 5(16%) are Black, 16 (52%) are Caucasian and 1 (3%) is oriental.

EMPLOYMENT

Recruitment

Regarding the City's recruitment practices, we follow generally accepted Affirmative Action practices with respect to providing notice of City vacancies. In addition to developing extensive out-reach mailing lists, the City has taken the extra step of advertising jobs in minority job publications, using minority oriented recruiting agencies, and other focused advertisement resources.

The City also attends special targeted recruitment efforts. At the recent Central California Affirmative Action Career

Fair, approximately 2,000 participants attended. The statistical breakdown for career seekers included the following:

Hispanic	_	43.6%	Black	_	11.1%
Asian	_	5.7%	Filipino	_	2.6%
White	-	26.8%	Other	-	10.0%

Female - 53.0%

In those departments where special needs are evidenced, targeted recruitments are initiated to meet those needs. For example, bilingual recruitments have been targeted in the Police Department, for Police Officers, and in the Community Development Department, Building Division, for a Code Enforcement Officer.

In the last week, two bilingual Hispanic Police Officers, one male, one female, completed the recruitment process and will start mid-December. In addition, the Police Department is currently doing background investigations on two bilingual, Hispanic candidates for possible entrance into the January Police Academy, for eventual employment as Police Officers upon successful completion of the Academy. In addition, a bilingual recruitment for Lateral (experienced) Police Officers closed November 30, 1990, and the City expects to make additional hirings from this eligibility list pending successful completion of the entire examination process.

Examination, Selection, Hiring, & Classification

The City examines its employment examination, selection and hiring practices continually to insure that its processes are job-related, and that all discriminatory effects are eliminated. Minimum requirements are continuously reviewed to insure that they do not include are barriers to equal employment opportunity.

All tests and selection criteria are validated for jobrelatedness, including written examinations, physical performance tests, practical performance tests, interviewing procedures, application rating forms, and final selection criteria. Written exams are de-emphasized, and when used, are only one of several factors in the selection process.

Interviews and oral boards are structured so that only knowledge, skills, and abilities relative to the position are evaluated. Other selection techniques are used, including assessment centers, performance tests, and structured technical oral tests, again based upon only the job requirements.

Oral boards are composed of members of the work force at large and subject matter experts. Oral boards also include a member of a protected group, to reflect the composition of the applicants being interviewed. A personnel professional orients the oral board regarding appropriate interviewing and selection techniques, and emphasizes affirmative action goals and the board's responsibilities in employment opportunity.

The City distributes an Employment Recap, as well as the updated Affirmative Action Hiring Goals and Timetables on an annual basis. The Recap and the Goals and Timetables are used when making hiring selections, to provide information on updated Affirmative Action goals.

Of special importance is a comprehensive classification and validation study of approximately 125 city classifications which has been budgeted for fiscal year 1990-92. This study will include validation of minimum bonafide occupational qualifications, as well as reviewed for any barriers to equal employment opportunity.

On an on-going basis, the City reviews and revises job descriptions to reflect current work requirements, and reviews and revises job requirements to insure accuracy of minimum qualifications for job performance and relatedness to job content. Such reviews and revisions are conducted in concert with department subject matter experts, and a review of actual job performance where necessary.

Of special note is the City's permanent part-time training program. In this effort, women and minorities are recruited into part-time training positions; in-house promotional recruitments for entry level jobs occur whenever possible, to give those women and minority employees in permanent part-time training positions an opportunity for full-time employment. In reviewing statistics on permanent part-time employees, as of July, 1990, 48% of permanent part-time employees are female, 30% are Hispanic, 4% are Asian, and 1% are Black.

Training

The City actively promotes training programs which will facilitate the upward mobility of protected group members. A Tuition Reimbursement Program has been established to encourage all employees to continue their education at educational institutions. The City also provides educational incentive, depending on the amount of education that an employee has received beyond what is required of the job.

The City also provides funds in support of the local national National Management Association, which provides management training opportunities to all employees. Topical development seminars are offered during lunch hours, and major seminars, open to all employees, are offered several times a year. For those employees who complete all ten of the N.M.A. First Line Supervisor courses, the City will reimburse those employees for the cost of the courses, which totals approximately \$150.

The Committee has been provided by its staff a copy of the City's Affirmative Action Plan with updated Goals and Timetables. The document clearly indicates the City's commitment and success in the area of affirmative action. Utilization has been achieved in almost all categories. review of new hires from January 1, 1989 to the present (almost two years) in the departments of Police and Public Works indicates that, of the total new hires (32), 15 (38%) were minority hires. Of the 15, 10 were Hispanic, 2 Black, and 3 Asian. The Police and Public Works departments were selected for special review as these departments constitute approximately 90% of the City's new hires. When one considers the limited turnover that takes place in Santa Maria (less than 10% a year), the City's success in hiring minorities and women as a percentage of total hires is, I feel, extraordinary.

My final point pursuant to the issue of employment is in response to the allegation that there are only two women and minorities in the category of department head and middle management. A review of this group indicates instead that, of 44 employees in this category, 8 are women and 7 are minority: 6 Hispanic and one Asian.

In conclusion, I would like to state that the above information as it relates to the issues of voting rights, housing, municipal services, and employment demonstrates clearly in this writer's opinion that the City is not in fact practic-ing discrimation, I would be more than happy to match the City of Santa Maria's track record in the above-referenced areas against a cross section of municipalities in the state of California. This is not to insinuate, however, that there might not be actions that the City might take to improve services to its citizenry and more specifically its minority community. If at the and of these hearings the Advisory Committee finds that it can offer recommendations that will allow the City to better serve its minority community, the City will of course give these recommendations careful consideration and where appropriate adopt same. City of Santa Maria will await the Advisory Committee's findings pursuant to this forum.

To: The California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights

From: The Santa Maria-Bonita School District

December 1, 1990

I am honored to be speaking to you today because the Santa Maria-Bonita School District believes in positive action. forum as having the potential to enhance and extend positive relationships and most of all address our district's motto "Pride in Working Together for Student Excellence". Our District Advisory Council's meetings and bilingual meetings have at times attracted over 100 Hispanic parents. Far more than any PTA meeting. Those parents are there to learn and to provide input as to how we can better our school programs. The reason for this large Hispanic parent attendance is because our coordinators, Bob Gurba and Roy Bird, have developed a sense of trust, of caring, and of helping. I see this commission meeting as having tremendous potential - if, and only if, we take this opportunity to build trust, to share in a caring manner, and help one another maximize our individual potential and the potential of the community to extend to all residents our Pride in Working Together for Quality Opportunities for all Santa Marians. The Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce advertises Santa Maria as the Center of the Best. We must utilize this forum in a positive way to do our best, to be the best.

As Superintendent of the Santa Maria-Bonita School District, and therefore manager of the largest budget and largest number of employees in the area, I asked to be a part of this commission meeting. I have made a concerted effort to be here today. Members of our Board of Education and I are involved in meetings in San Diego this weekend. I flew in to Santa Maria today and will fly back to San Diego tonight to attend a 7:30 P.M. meeting. My commitment is to do my best to provide an educational environment to enhance the learning potential of our children . . . my commitment is Pride in Working Together for Student Excellence. My parameter in addressing this commission is simple - to be positive about working together for our children and our community. I will not involve myself or our district in focusing on the negative.

I am excited about sharing with you information regarding our school district. While the city population is about 42 percent Hispanic, children with Hispanic surnames make up 64 percent of our district population.

Of the 8,900 students in the district, 25 percent are in bilingual programs. Our bilingual program includes working with students on acquiring English language skills; acquisition of academic skills in whatever language the child speaks; and developing a strong self-concept using the cultural resources of the child and the

community.

About 2,300 students are migrant. Our migrant program views the whole child and includes money for health needs, money for special classroom aides and money to hire district wide resource personnel to work with teachers. There is money to buy extra classroom materials. In all about \$700,000.

The biggest problem facing our district has been keeping up with the growth. It has meant that we have had to be at the forefront of some unpopular ideas. We were one of the first districts in the state to implement a Year Round Education schedule so we could provide students a school setting where there were adequate playgrounds, bathrooms and classrooms. That action, however unpleasant it was for the community and the district, did lead to two new schools being built here - one in the southeast and one on the northwest. Rampant growth, sometimes as high as 12 percent in one year, has meant other problems. We are now eligible to build additional classrooms at two of our schools and applications have been submitted to the State Department to build three new schools - one junior high and two elementary. How do we continue to meet the needs of a growing Hispanic population?

In 1976 there were 8 bilingual teachers while the student population was 32 percent Hispanic surnames.

In 1990 there are 101 bilingual teachers while the student population is 64 percent Hispanic surnames.

The number of students with Hispanic surnames doubled, the number of bilingual teachers increased one thousand two hundred and sixty two percent. We have actively recruited bilingual teachers to address the increasing student population needs.

In a situation of extremes, such as extreme growth, sometimes an organization needs to take risks to cope with the problems.

This district takes risks! When the new English as a Second Language Framework was recently adopted, we needed to make no changes in our curriculum because we had modified our program to meet the needs of our students years ago. Our Beginning Oral Language Development Program is state recognized and won a curriculum development award. It was so far thinking that teachers used its techniques to teach English only students as well.

Risks sometimes pay off.

We saw a tremendous need to give our migrant students the ability to go on learning while they were traveling. We prepared learning packets to align with classroom assignments for the students. Then when they returned to school, they would not be so far behind. Their learning potential would be fulfilled because we modified our Independent Study program to take into account their needs. Now this program has become a state pilot program. We still have the problem of children leaving the district for 20 to 30 days mostly to travel back to Mexico. Whenever a child leaves school for that period of time, valuable learning time is lost and cannot be completely recovered by an Independent Study Program. To implement that program we sought out an additional \$60,000 in migrant education money. We need to continue our strong parent education component to help everyone understand the importance of children being in school. We recently received a grant for \$8,000 for migrant-parent education programs. It was a competitive grant which we sought out.

Recently, I met with all our schools' PTA and PTC presidents. The single-most important area of concern was getting more Hispanic parents involved with the school, helping our Hispanic parents feel they are wanted and needed in our schools. While we are seeing broad-base involvement of parents at the district, we will be making a concerted effort to increase parent involvement at each school site.

This district, though short on space, provided room for one of eight Migrant Education Program Improvement Centers in the state. Ours is so active, it too received state recognition. And I might add it is used by both bilingual and monolingual teachers.

In a climate of ever diminishing funds, this district is living up to its published philosophy, the first of which says: Identify student and community needs and take into account the needs of a pluralistic society. There are mirror sets of textbooks used in the district. No teacher can complain that there is not a textbook for a spanish-speaking student in any kindergarten through sixth grade class.

There is a problem with the state colleges. While we needed more bilingual teachers, they were cutting out classes needed to qualify for a bilingual credential. Cal Poly has eliminated two programs which help provide bilingual teachers and they have no outreach program to attract parents or bilingual aides to further their education and get a degree. Though money was getting scarce, the district began offering its own college level classes. We went so far as to offer Spanish language classes to all employees - certificated or classified at no cost.

Special arrangements have been made with Cal Poly, tutors now come to the junior high schools to work with high risk students. Not all, but many high risk students are Hispanic. A similar program was established with Allan Hancock College. With other districts in this part of the county, a North County Language Alliance was formed to provide other classes needed to acquire a Language Development Specialist certificate for our teachers.

Are there some difficulties in the schools? You bet there are.

The public seems to think we get Spanish speaking children only in kindergarten and that within a few years they should be in an English only classroom.

What they seem to forget is that we get students who are junior high school age who have little or no experience with school. Many of these students come to us with a very diverse cultural background.

And the community and our own teachers believe we get more federal money if we hire bilingual teachers -- we do not. Any bilingual teachers are paid with regular district funds. They are not a separate entity.

Is there discrimination in the schools? Of 14 schools, there are two Hispanic principals, two black principals and two schools are run by women. There are six women Assistant Principals. One of those assistant principals was a part-time bilingual aide in the district just nine years ago. Now she is an administrator. These educational leaders and all other administrators do not allow discrimination on their campuses.

We have an affirmative action policy in the district. Policy number 4111. Our attention to concerns of discrimination led us to modify that policy on 4/11/89 to include a statement forbidding discrimination against authorized aliens. Further, the policy states: "Recruitment, selection and employment practices of the district shall provide a concerted effort to hire and promote qualified individuals of minority ethnic background so that the total district staff is representative of student and community populations in the district". The interesting thing is, of course, that there are only so many qualified candidates and many school districts trying to hire them. Our students need the best teachers we can hire. Last year, we hired 50 teachers and 20 of them were bilingual credentialed or waivered.

Of total employees, 28 percent are Hispanic and another 4 percent are other minority groups. Our teachers are 15 percent Hispanic and 5 percent other minorities. While in classified jobs, 40 percent are Hispanic, 3 percent are other minorities.

But when you compare this district to the county and the state totals something becomes very clear. I have numbers for last year. Certificated staff in this district was 14 percent compared to a 62 percent hispanic surname student population. Countywide only 8 percent of the teachers were hispanic and statewide only 8 percent were hispanic.

We may not be able to match exactly our ethnic enrollment with ethnic teachers, but we are doing a damn site better than the county and the state. We are trying hard and maybe harder than others. I told you I saw this as an opportunity. It is an opportunity I will not let pass without telling you some of the problems we are having, problems that I believe are affecting the Hispanic population of this community more than any other group.

There are problems which affect our ability to educate children and give children a chance to reach their learning potential. There are many homes in the community housing more than one family. Sometimes as many as five families share a home. How does that affect education? Children need a quiet place to study. In fact, this district, rather than disposing of old desks, gave them to families if they agreed to set up study centers. But with five families in a single family dwelling, there is little quiet time.

We are also seeing an alarming increase in cases of hepatitis and head lice. Sanitary facilities are not adequate for these families in this community. We cannot educate sick children. We need to work together to help our families find homes they can afford. Homes they can take pride in and homes where children have a place to study. Our aid to dependent children has decreased - giving indication that our Hispanic families are staying together as a unit.

This community must address this housing issue. Address it in a positive way. I want to work with this commission and other agencies in the city to improve situations which will allow students to become everything they have the potential to be.

Education is the starting point for everyone. Our schools are a place of stability and calm in a turbulent world. They are a place where we stress the value of each child.

We are so committed to these principles that one of our most recent hires was a person to solely follow up on attendance of migrant students. In the past, we reconfigured our preschool to address the needs of bilingual students there. It is one of the first two state funded preshcools which are bilingual.

We have instituted a breakfast program at 4 of our schools, serving approximately 125 per day at each site. We have established a Headstart Program at Arellanes School for four-year olds and working to establish another at Oakley School. We are working with the YMCA to implement an extended day care program at one of our schools and we know that program will be expanded. Each of our schools have counselors, most of schools have community aides to visit homes and help parents. We have four school nurses to assist with healthcare. They are assigned to three schools and a health aide has been hired for each of our schools. Our health staff has been growing while other districts have cut or remained stagnant. We have implemented Skills for Growing and Skills for Adolescence programs at all of our schools to help children enhance their self-

esteem and make wise choices and decisions. We have a strong antidrug program and work with the Police Department to implement the DARE program.

Most of our schools have been renovated within the last four years and those that have not, applications for State Renovation Funds have been established.

Our motto "Pride in Working Together for Student Excellence" is not limited to only academics. Our focus is on the whole child, building self-esteem, providing the skills to make wise choices, establishing a safe, orderly environment, and setting high academic expectations for all children.

Do we have problems? Yes! Wherever there is a growing population, problems will exist, but, for every problem, there is a solution. What we must do is take Pride in Working Together for Quality Opportunities for all Santa Marians. Are we are brother's keeper? You bet. I am hoping that what comes from this forum today are positive suggestions to improve the opportunities for everyone who lives in Santa Maria. I want to be part of a positive action, I will not be part of anything negative.

I believe in what the Chamber of Commerce says about Santa Maria - Santa Maria is the Center of the Best! I believe our school motto "Pride in Working Together for Student Excellence" can be extended and expanded to become a city motto, "Pride in Working Together for Quality Opportunities for all Santa Marians".

OPENING STATEMENT

THIS MEETING OF THE CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. THE PURPOSE OF THE MEETING IS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION AND VIEWS RELATING TO VOTING RIGHTS, HOUSING, EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES IN SANTA MARIA.

PARTICIPANTS HAVE BEEN INVITED AND REQUESTED TO ADDRESS THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION. AMONG THOSE INVITED TO ADDRESS THE MEETING ARE COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES, CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS, PUBLIC AND ELECTED OFFICIALS AND OTHERS KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT THE ISSUES.

BASED UPON THE INFORMATION COLLECTED AT THIS MEETING AND BY STAFF, A REPORT WILL BE PREPARED FOR THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS.

I AM MICHAEL CARNEY, CHAIRMAN OF THE CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECEIVES INFORMATION AND MAKES RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION IN AREAS WHICH THE COMMITTEE OR ANY OF ITS SUBCOMMITTEES IS AUTHORIZED TO STUDY.

OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE IN ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING WILL BE: ARNOLDO BELTRAN, C.C. CHANG, TED COOPER, GRACE DAVIS, EDWARD ERLER, CATHERINE GARCIA, HELEN HERNANDEZ, DEBORAH HESSE, ANDREA PATTERSON, AND HERMAN SILLAS.

ALSO WITH US TODAY ARE STAFF MEMBERS PHILIP MONTEZ, REGIONAL

DIRECTOR AND JOHN F. DULLES, II, FROM THE COMMISSION' WESTERN REGIONAL DIVISION IN LOS ANGELES.

THIS FACTFINDING MEETING IS BEING HELD PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES APPLICABLE TO STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES AND REGULATIONS PROMULGATED BY THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS. ALL INQUIRIES REGARDING THESE PROVISIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE CHAIR OR TO MR. MONTEZ. THE FEDERAL OFFICER FOR THIS MEETING.

THE COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS IS AN INDEPENDENT AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS IN 1957 AND DIRECTED TO:

- 1. INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS ALLEGING THAT CITIZENS ARE BEING DEPRIVED OF THEIR RIGHT TO VOTE BY REASON OF THEIR RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, AGE, HANDICAP, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR BY REASON OF FRAUDULENT PRACTICES;
- 2. STUDY AND COLLECT INFORMATION CONCERNING LEGAL

 DEVELOPMENTS CONSTITUTING DISCRIMINATION OR DENIAL OF

 EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION

 BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, AGE, HANDICAP, OR

 NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE;
- 3. APPRAISE FEDERAL LAWS AND POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO
 DISCRIMINATION OR DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS;
- 4. SERVE AS A NATIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE FOR INFORMATION ABOUT DISCRIMINATION; AND,

5. SUBMIT REPORTS, FINDINGS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHASIZE THAT THIS IS A FACTFINDING FORUM AND NOT AN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING. INDIVIDUALS HAVE BEEN INVITED TO COME AND SHARE WITH THE COMMITTEE INFORMATION RELEVENT TO THE SUBJECT OF TODAY'S INQUIRY. EACH PERSON WHO WILL PARTICIPATE HAS VOLUNTARILY AGREED TO MEET WITH THE COMMITTEE.

SINCE THIS IS A PUBLIC MEETING, THE PRESS AND RADIO AND TELEVISION STATIONS, AS WELL AS INDIVIDUALS, ARE WELCOME. PERSONS MEETING WITH THE COMMITTEE, HOWEVER, MAY SPECIFICALLY REQUEST THAT THEY NOT BE TELEVISED. IN THIS CASE, WE WILL COMPLY WITH THEIR WISHES.

WE ARE CONCERNED THAT NO DEFAMATORY MATERIAL BE PRESENTED AT THIS MEETING. IN THE UNLIKELY EVENT THAT THIS SITUATION SHOULD DEVELOP, IT WILL BE NECESSARY FOR ME TO CALL THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS MAKING THESE STATEMENTS AND REQUEST THAT THEY DESIST IN THEIR ACTION. SUCH INFORMATION WILL BE STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD IF NECESSARY.

EVERY EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO INVITE PERSONS WHO ARE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE ISSUES TO BE DEALT WITH HERE TODAY. IN ADDITION, WE HAVE ALLOCATED TIME BETWEEN 5 P.M. AND 6 P.M. TO HEAR FROM ANYONE WHO WISHES TO SHARE INFORMATION WITH THE COMMITTEE ABOUT THE SPECIFIC ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION TODAY. AT THAT TIME EACH PERSON OR ORGANIZATION WILL BE AFFORDED A BRIEF OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE AND MAY SUBMIT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN WRITING. THOSE WISHING TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OPEN SESSION MUST

CONTACT STAFF BEFORE 4 P.M. THIS AFTERNOON.

IN ADDITION, THE RECORD OF THIS MEETING WILL REMAIN OPEN FOR A PERIOD OF TEN DAYS FOLLOWING ITS CONCLUSION. THE COMMITTEE WELCOMES ADDITIONAL WRITTEN STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS FOR INCLUSION IN THE RECORD. THESE SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE WESTERN REGIONAL DIVISION, UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 3660 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 810, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010.

LET US PROCEED. OUR FIRST PRESENTOR IS MAYOR GEORGE HOBBS.
PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD....

To: The United States Commission on Civil Rights

From: Diana E. Acevedo 200 Fleming Lane

Santa Maria, CA 93455

(805) 937-9761

Subject: I respectfully submit the following to the Commission, hoping that this will aid you in your work.

Response to an Article Quoting Attorney Jon Gudmunds on an Aspect of Mexican Culture

Jon Gudmunds' address to the jurors in Enrique Ruiz' trial shows ignorance disguised as tolerance, especially dangerous in a defense attorney. In the article, "Santa Maria man found guilty of selling cocaine," (Santa Maria Times, October 21, 1990), Gudmunds is quoted as telling the jury that having "a mistress and a second family" is "not unusual in the Mexican culture," according to his "understanding," adding that the jury was not impaneled to judge Mexicans, who "do things differently than we do."

Gudmunds' words are offensive on 2 counts. First of all, of what relevance in a drug trial is a defendant's marital infidelity? If Ruiz had been monogamous, would Gudmunds use that as an argument for Ruiz' innocence? Perhaps the relevance of Ruiz' having a mistress, however, was challenged by the lawyer but not reported by the Times. If this is the case, the Times' omission implies an interest in portraying Enrique Ruiz negatively, rather than objectively, which raises a question as to why the Times would do such a thing. (Given the Times' recent endorsement of George Hobbs for mayor, who believes Mexicans are a problem, the Times' motivation seems especially mean-spirited.) Nevertheless, focusing on Ruiz' infidelity as a so-called cultural difference can hardly be expected to meet with tolerance or acceptance in the current anti-Mexican climate of Santa Maria: "In America, Anglo-American culture only" is the substance of much of the hostility here.

Secondly, and most importantly, Gudmunds' words offend because they are untrue. He is repeating an old and particularly nasty stereotype of the "hot-blooded Latin lover." Betrayal of one's spouse and one's marital vows are as odious an offense in the Mexican culture as they are in the Anglo. An educated person like Gudmunds should recognize stereotypical thinking, separating it from rational; an attorney whose client depends on him for defense has the responsibility to attack stereotypical thinking, let alone expunge it from his own "understanding."

I hope Ruiz appeals and wins a new, fair trial.

Sincerely,

Diana E. Acevedo