THE WEST VIRGINIA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

COMMUNITY FORUM



ON

POLICE-COMMUNITY RELATIONS IN SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA

THIS HEARING IN THE ABOVE-STYLED ACTION, WAS TAKEN BEFORE CAROL CURRY-ADAMS, SHORTHAND REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF MAY, 1991, COMMENCING AT THE APPROXIMATE HOUR OF 9:15 A.M., AT MARSHALL UNIVERSITY, 400 HAL GREER BOULEVARD, HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA.

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES, INC.
SUITE 519, PRICHARD BUILDING
HUNTINGTON, WV 25701

(304) 522-3299 HUNTINGTON (606) 329-1370 KENTUCKY
(304) 346-4163 CHARLESTON
TOLL FREE 1-800-876-3367 FAX: (304) 522-1245

1	
1	THE HEAT MADELLE ADMICODY COMMITTEE
2	THE WEST VIRGINIA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE:
3	Ki-Taek Chun, Deputy Director, Eastern Regional Division
4	Marcia Pops Robert A. Brunner
5	Bernard Gottlieb Gregory T. HInton
6	Regina S. Lipscomb Donald L. Pitts
7	PANEL ONE: COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES:
8	
9	Stephen Starks Walter Leach
10	Arley R. Johnson Larry D. Patterson Saribel Reynolds
11	Phil Carter
12	
13	DANIEL IDUO. LAN ENEODGEMENT OFFICEALG.
14	PANEL TWO: LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS:
15	Dale Humphreys
13	Gary L. Wade Dallas Staples
16	Jerry Hutchinson
17	R. Michael Mangum Sherwood Brown
18	
19	PANEL THREE: COMMENTATORS:
20	
21	Paul Sheridan Quewanncoii Stephens Constance Burke
22	William Dotson
23	
24	
25	

ļ

MS. POPS: We'll go ahead and get started. We don't want to get a reputation for being too late. Ki-Taek Chun is the Eastern Regional Director of the United States Commission on Civil Rights. He's here from Washington, DC. He is passing out a form that we would like you to sign your name to. Now, this is a sign-up sheet, right Ki-Taek?

MR. CHUN: Right.

MS. POPS: The regular sign-up sheet.

Because we're also going to give you an opportunity to sign up for the report that we'll issue out of this meeting if you want your own copy of the report.

This is our first West Virginia forum on Police-Community Relations, and this one is specifically to the southern West Virginia regional area. And we have some very specific goals, and I am going to give you four of them.

One is to provide the community organizations and individuals an opportunity to air grievances, explain attitudes, and describe perceptions.

Two is to provide the police department and other public officials an opportunity to describe and explain their operations, such as recruitment, employment, training, handling grievances and complaints, and policies and experiences regarding the

use of force.

Three is to provide both sides with strategies and initiatives for change.

Four, we're to hold the forum to have an ameliorating influence on the problems which will be discussed.

There will be a summary report or a published transcript of this forum. But one major initial impact is going to be the forum itself. The United States Commission is a fact-finding agency concerned with discrimination and denial of equal protection of the law because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap and national origin. Each state and the District of Columbia has a state advisory committee to act as the eyes and ears of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. Members of each state advisory committee come from throughout the state and represent a balance of each state's population.

We have 11 members, not all of whom are here today. Starting on my far right is Greg Hinton, and next to him is Ki-Taek Chun from Washington, DC, Regina Lipscomb, Bernard Gottlieb, Bob Brunner, and myself, Marsha Pops. And we're so happy that you're here.

And what I need to do now is to introduce today's most important member of our committee who is

chairing today's program, Don Pitts.

MR. PITTS: Thank you, Marsha. Ladies and gentlemen, if our state advisory committee investigates police reports and prepares publications that go out, hopefully that will influence the area of civil rights. And so in the initial concept of this particular project, it was our desire that we gather information concerning the tactics of the community and police relationships in southern West Virginia.

To that end, some of you have been invited here to give us that information and make statements. I hope that it will not be a time or a moment where we'll be taking individual pot shots at each other, but gathering information that will be pertinent to the resolution of problem areas in our community. I feel in many cases that they're being policed rather than protected.

And so to that end, we have come. I hope that we will leave here with some ideas of where we are going to go in the future and how the problems may be resolved.

So with that understanding, we are glad to have with us this morning on the first panel a long time friend of mine, Mr. Phil Carter sitting here. Saribel Reynolds, I would suppose is the lady sitting there

adorning the table of thorns. Larry D. Patterson is here. Mr. Leach is not here, my long time friend and co-worker throughout the state. Arley Johnson is also here, Councilman for the City of Huntington. Also we have another distinguished Huntitonian here, a personal long time friend of mine, the Mayor. Bob, please, give us a few words.

MR. NELSON: Thank you. Good to see
you. I just want to give a Huntington welcome and a
Tri-state welcome to the West Virginia State Advisory
Committee, to the US Commission of Civil Rights, and
also welcome Ki-Taek Chun to our city and the others who
are staffing this meeting.

I don't think it's an accident that this advisory committee is meeting here in Huntington. I think to some extent this city represents some of the problems between its citizens and law enforcement agencies throughout this state. We are probably a little more visible now because we're trying to do something about it for the first time in a long time.

The solutions aren't easy, and they may not be immediate. But I think the critical part is we have taken the very important first step. We have recognized that we have a problem. It's a longstanding problem. In many instances, it has been deliberately ignored.

It's been systematically left to its own end, and I think as this meeting unfolds today, I hope that those representatives of the community and from the law enforcement agencies of this area, particularly in the city, that you will be informed of some very positive steps we have taken and will be taken to deal with the matter of citizen participation and citizen awareness and citizen knowledge of what our law enforcement agencies do, why they do what they do. And I think very significantly, the lack of resources to enable particularly this community to do the kinds of job that needs to be done.

And finally, the manner in which we end up selecting and employing law enforcement officers and the myriad of state and in some instances federal regulations that in some instances create a detriment to be able to resolve problems, for example, of credible and easily or early attainable adequate manpower.

Right today in the City of Huntington, they are at least 16 officers under the minimum number that we have to patrol and take care of law enforcement of the city. If we employed a police officer today, it would be at least nine months, maybe longer, before that police officer can get on the street and do the job because of the time consuming— it may be necessary, but

certainly the training and certification process by which that officer has to successfully complete needs to be reviewed from the standpoint of either cutting that down or to provide a greater resource to insure that all the training and certification required can be done in less time.

But I want to welcome you here. I hope that there is good healthy positive dialogue. Marsha, I want to welcome you, too, as Chair. And that we come away from this, again, in the manner that I think this administration has represented to this city, that we are a city of one. The extent that one person in this city is discriminated against or treated differently, especially by public officers, public employees, to that extent, this city is no better than that one mistreatment. We have the resources here, I believe, we have the offices, we have the personnel, we have the boards, we have the commissions, but we haven't gotten over the hump.

Hopefully, this is another step of getting over that hump, because we have the community, the cities, and representatives together. Hopefully out of this report we get, we all will benefit. So thank you for being here.

MR. PITTS: Thank you, Bob. Marsha,

This was not our designated meeting area, and I would like for you to direct a letter to this university indicating that we were moved without proper notice and that we were replaced by the banking people. And that says something for this university. I think that that should be loud and clear. I would also talk with the provost, because I know him personally. Ki-Taek, I hope that you will take care of that for us.

MR. CHUN: I will try.

MR. PITTS: We have not come to condemn or to judge local law enforcement authorities in southern West Virginia. But we have come hoping again that we'll be able to hammer out a resolution for the problems that do exist within the communities and how they view law enforcement personnel and how law enforcement personnel view the community in which they serve.

As a part of our process, we must indicate that we'll not tolerate any defamatory statements in these proceedings, and that anyone here can make a statement. If we have a lack of time and not able to have your statement verbally presented here today, you may forward it to us in writing and it will become a part of the final report.

A list is being provided, I suppose that

Marsha told you, that's being passed around for attendance here today, so please sign that list. And if you wish, you can give us your address and Ki-Taek can put you on our national mailing list and you can receive the publication from the US Commission.

Even those that are on our panel today, because of the twelve minute limitations were given, if you have additional information that you wish to become a part of your report, you may do so in writing. It will be appended to the report.

MR. CARTER: Quit looking at me.
MR. PITTS: Phil, I'm not saying

anything.

Let me just give you a little historical background. Through the enactment of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, US Congress formally recognized the merits of seeking the advice and assistance of the nation's citizens. At the same time, the Congress sought also to ensure that advisory committees would, one, provide advice that is relevant, objective and open to the public; two, act promptly to complete their work; and three, comply with regional cost controls and recordkeeping requirements. I guess everybody is feeling the crunch right now.

Each federal agency that sponsors an advisory

committee must adhere to requirements established by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as well as those administrative guidelines provided by the US General Service Administration on Committee Management Secretary. GSA has the responsibility for overseeing all of the advisory committees, and I am told that there are times when there's approximately a thousand advisory committees operating within the confounds of US government. We have the power to call and adjourn meetings, approve agendas, maintain required records and costs and memberships, assure efficient operations, maintain records for availability to the public, provide copies of all reports of committee management to the Library of Congress.

Now, what will happen with the report that comes out of this preceding here, it will be published and presented to the President and the members of Congress. Hopefully, they will find something in here—and we may come up with something that could be used as a national project.

So, I want to welcome you all here, and thanks for coming. We hope that we will all leave here with a little more knowledge, and a far better feeling than we came. So, thank you.

With that, I suppose we turn now to the first

panel, who are those that represent their community.

I'm not going to ask them to stand. It's a little

tight. I'm a little large. At one time it was all

right when I was playing ball, but now I wish it wasn't

so. So I don't want you all to have to get up and try

to come around me. Is that all right?

MR. CARTER: Fine.

MR. PITTS: I was looking for Bernard
Hopkins, he's not here. Are you filling in, Steve, for
Bernard? Okay. Come on around, take a seat. Steve
Starks, owner and editor of Beacon Digest, West
Virginia's only black newspaper. Walter Leach has just
entered the building. Reverend Leach, if you would
please come to the front. Then our first panel is
complete, Marsha.

Each panelist will be given twelve minutes to make a presentation. At the end of all of the presentations, there will be a short question and answer period. Steve, it looks as though you're up first.

MR. STARKS: Good morning. Today I'm representing the West Virginia branch of the NAACP and Jim Tolbert. I'm here today to read a statement by him addressing the situation, and I also have a list of recommendations for the panel from some of my previous experiences in dealing with police-community relations.

I will begin by reading the statement from Jim Tolbert, the State President of the NAACP.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Members of the West Virginia Advisory Commission, the US Civil Rights Commission, ladies and gentlemen: Over the last several years, the majority of community police relations have not improved. In fact, they have deteriorated to the extent that there's widespread mistrust of questionable police activities such as random arrests, local community and state police official's commitment to recruiting, testing, selection and promotion practices. There's even widespread selective enforcement of violations in the minority community. In many moderate to large communities in the state, there's a total absence of African American Police recruiters tend to think that the officers. placement of equal opportunity employer on recruiting literature satisfies EOE requirements and will placate minority groups concerned. We are all aware that there have been high interest in law enforcement careers among the African Americans. However, the fact remains that after passing the required examination and meeting all the requirements, the majority applicants are usually selected.

"I'm aware of any -- I'm unaware of any efforts of West Virginia law enforcement associations,

organizations or elected officials giving any attention to the need for a racially diverse police force, or multi-cultural training activities or for police initiating community relations efforts.

"Currently, this organization has filed a lawsuit in Federal court against the State Police Superintendent because of discriminatory hiring and promotional practices. We believe that a concerted effort must be made to improve relations between the minority community and law enforcement officials and there has to be strong leadership exerted by City government, County government and State government. At this point, there is no leadership.

"Failure to take heed of the problems expressed today will continue to insure distrust. Thank you."

MR. PITTS: Steve, We thank you for the statements coming from Mr. Tolbert. You're editor in chief of Beacon Digest. I'm sure that in your experience, you may have something to add, and if you do, you have several minutes in which to do that.

MR. STARKS: Thank you. If you want to pass these among the commissioners.

MR. PITTS: Yes, sir. We'll take this as Exhibit 1, as well as this list of recommendations.

MR. STARKS: Also, in dealing with police concerns, police-minority community concerns throughout the state, particularly Charleston, in which great strides have been made in police hiring and promotional practices, as well as a new black police chief, which is present today, I have put together a list of recommendations based on some of those experiences.

Number one, a visible mandate from our government promoting racial equity for African Americans on all levels, from state police to all municipalities, focusing on minority hiring, retention and promotion.

Local leadership, mayors, must understand the needs to address these problems, take a stand supporting racial harmony between police and members of the African American community and prosecute to the fullest any police violations supported by evidence.

Create a body, task force, of civilians to investigate all allegations of racial wrongdoings by police officers as well as a monitoring system complete with files of past offenders.

Recognizing the deficiencies of systems presently used by the local police commission.

Recognizing the need for understanding the problems that have deterred potential African American applicants in

the past.

Better communications between representatives of the Governor, this commission and black leadership around the state. Understanding the needs for sensitivity in all police departments across the state in dealing with African Americans and other minorities.

The need for creative marketing to target potential applicants in the various African American community. Formulate a creative strategy to assure that African Americans feel included in recruiting efforts by using African Americans as recruiters, interviewers and other strategic positions.

Utilizing strategies that would erase reputation of local hiring practices to promote and assure African Americans feel comfortable that they are wanted and will receive a fair chance.

Now, these recommendations are based on experiences in local police departments in attracting minority applicants. In Charleston, we have worked and have achieved much of that on the police force as well as the fire departments. We have created, unfortunately through a lawsuit enacted by the black police officers in the Charleston area, a consent decree that mandated these conditions of being addressed and met.

Hopefully, today, we will understand the

importance of this and head off any types of lawsuits or legal actions in order to initiate a strong and sincere police and fire department initiative for minority hiring. We feel that together, with understanding, cooperation, and sensitivity for the African American community that together, we can all work and achieve our goals.

Thank you very much.

MR. PITTS: Thank you, Steve. Greg, if you would hand these two exhibits to our court reporter so she may attach them on the record of these proceedings, Exhibit No. 1, the Tolbert letter, and Mr. Starks' recommendations.

MR. PITTS: The next speaker is a friend of mine and man of the gospel, Reverend Walter Leach, President of the Raleigh County NAACP. Mr. Leach?

MR. LEACH: First let me say good morning to all of you. Indeed it is an honor to be here this morning.

On behalf of the chairman of the US

Commission on Civil Rights and the West Virginia

Advisory Board, I feel that this is an honor to speak

with you this morning. However, it won't take me long

to say what I have to say, so I'm going to take up six

minutes of your time and delegate six minutes of my time

to another outstanding citizen of the City of Beckley, the Reverend Robert Brown.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, we had a list of concerns that we were to address. And number one was status and procedure of police internal review boards and civilian First of all, I feel that these boards review boards. are made up of some lawyers who normally represent Now, if the problem is in the community, then someone. I think we need to get someone from the community who's non-partisan to sit on these boards so that whenever these types of things come before the board, then you can't say that the sheriff or this one is intact with Because normally the situation, what we have whoever. in Beckley, West Virginia, is that there was several on the board and all you need is two votes to out sway one or the other. What we feel by participating on this type of board is we see that it is not done fairly. what you need to do is to get someone who's a part of the problem, who comes from that problem, and put them on the board, who has nothing but common sense.

secondly, the complaints and misconduct that we have, if these are not handled as they come forward, then they will always be a problem. Some are swept up under the door, and you can't allow this type of thing to go on. If they come before us, we must deal with it.

If it's misconduct, we must deal with it. For certainly, we don't want this type of relationship between law enforcement officers and community.

Thirdly, it was improving hiring, promotions and minority women in law enforcement. Recruitment is fine if you want to recruit people fairly. You listened to the brother when he talked about consent decree. What he's speaking of a consent decree that on one side, you have the African Americans. On the other side, you have the white. Normally, what they say is from this side the scores are higher, up to 90, and we cut them off down here at 85 just saying for a figure. On the other side, you are saying that these scores are basically in the neighborhood of 80, and they're cut off at 70.

Well, if in our hiring procedure, if we would take from this side so many numbers, and from this side so many numbers, and hire from both sides, then we would be equal on the task force. It has proven to be effective in the Charleston area. It is not effective in the Beckley area, because as you will see later on as this forum progresses, those numbers are not evenly matched in the City of Beckley, West Virginia.

I think that on our Beckley police, we have somewhere in the neighborhood of I think four, if I'm

correct. On the sheriff's department, there is two or three. So, you see, it's not working fairly. And if this is allowed to go on, then we will always have a problem when we come down to the last thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Training, well, it's good to have training.

But if minorities are not allowed to be recruited, they

are not allowed to be able to go to this training

institution, how are they going to benefit.

Last, if you want to have community assistance and you want to have effective law enforcement in the community, then both of these must work together. Then the law enforcement that are coming into - let's just take it from this standpoint, and I will close - that are coming into the black area, when you see seven, eight, nine, ten car loads of white law enforcement officers coming into a neighborhood of predominantly blacks, they're coming in there for one They're coming to arrest somebody, they're purpose. coming to take somebody out of the community, instead of coming into the community and establishing a right relationship. If there was a problem with several other things like dope, then how come we can't have seminars to make it more receptive when it comes in.

Secondly, it would be much better if there was one white and one black going into that black

community. The blacks would be able to accept it more better, and they would see that there's a right relationship going on, and this must be continued. But if it's allowed to continue like it has continued in the City of Beckley, then we will never be able to progress.

I hope that much is done out of this meeting.

I understand that we have had several of these before.

And if we're ever to do much, then we must first gather this information. And once this information has been gathered, then we must apply it and use it in the affected areas where we will benefit from. Dr. Brown?

MR. PITTS: Please, Bob, I will get to you. You used nine minutes. Let me do this: if you don't mind, let me come back to you after the other panelists are finished. Whatever time is left, I will then let you have it, maybe with a few more minutes. Can I do that, Walter?

MR. LEACH: That would be fine.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Arley Johnson, Councilman for the City of Huntington.

MR. JOHNSON: Good morning. I guess I am going to go on a few things here, several topics here that I am going to look at very briefly.

The first of these is alleged police misconduct or excessive use of force, and we definitely

have had our share of that in Huntington over a period of time, depending on how much history-- we can go back as far as the early 20s and even up to the 1950s.

And one in particular, I think, would be in 1956, when a policeman in the city beat a young man to death by the name of Eads, and some of those police-some of those people are still yet around. Of course, the FBI investigated it and that was before all of the changes-- that is before the Civil Rights movement.

I'm also reminded of 1959 in the County jail here, where I think a young man by the name of Johnson, who happened to be my uncle, who supposedly hung himself in the jail. My grandfather related a different story as to what happened to Lee Johnson. And there has been other incidents like that in Huntington for a long time. For a very long time.

And the thing about places like West

Virginia, cities like Huntington, you know, we look at
the Civil Rights movement, we look at some of the
changes, but you've got to remember that there are
pockets of resistance all over this country that the
movement has no impact on, had no effect on, and
Huntington was definitely one of those cities. There
were no changes in Huntington. Martin Luther King had
no impact on this city, only in terms of federal

legislation in terms of hiring practices, and those things.

But in terms of local changes in the government and law and police and everything, that stuff has never changed. And we have yet to see it in Huntington.

I guess in terms of police incidents, I'm thinking of a few years back when there was a young man who came to me and he had been arrested by the police. And evidently he admitted that he gave some resistance, but sometime between the time he was arrested and he went to the County jail, he had a broken ankle and a broken wrist and a face that was completely dismantled, temporarily. And I know there are several instances of those that we've had in Huntington, and I'll come back to that in just a minute.

We had, in the city a couple of years ago, we had a police officer, an off-duty police officer, riding around with a bar owner, a convicted felon, also riding around with an assistant prosecutor and also riding around with one of-- an attorney from the city. They had been to a few bars, and they had got to feeling pretty good and they wanted to have a good time. So, they decided to come into our community, right around where there were several blacks that were sitting,

talking and congregating, and they fired-- and the police officer fired a round or two over top of their heads, just to scare them out, just to have a good time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you see, there's several problems with that. First of all, you have an assistant prosecutor, you have an attorney, you have a police officer. Then you have a convicted felon bar owner, and they're all riding around, having a good time. The worst problem in Huntington is not just the Huntington Police Department, but I think the whole judicial system is completely unfair.

You know, in the instance I mentioned before about the young man that had the broken ankle and also the broken leg, we couldn't find an attorney in this city that would even take the case. We could not find an attorney, a credible attorney, that would even defend this individual. And when things do get to the circuit court level -- I mean, if I were to -- if I were to have a miscarriage of justice by the Huntington Police Department - I'm a Huntington City Councilman - I would not want that case going before this county court. Ι would not feel comfortable. I would not feel safe. Ι would not feel that justice would be done. I would not want to go to the state court. Unless I could get a federal hearing in a federal court, I would not want to

take it at all, because I know exactly where it would be going. It would be going nowhere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are many of our elected officials at the county and also the local level who are ex-police officers. They're ex-members of the FOP. That is a They're ex-police chiefs. stepping stone. ex-police captains. And that's a natural progression in this city, as I'm quite sure as in others, and these are the people that you have in your county courts now. These are the people that you're going before. particular -- and the FOP is fine. I believe in unions, my father is a member of the UMW. But these particular unions -- and when I say the Huntington Police Department, it's hard-- I hate to paint them with a broad brush stroke, because every officer in that police department is not a racist. Every officer is not out beating heads. But those that are taint the remaining few, and the remaining -- maybe remaining majority, to be honest with you, and there's never anything within their own ranks done to alleviate the process.

The second thing was-- you know, one of the things was credibility of police internal review. And in this city, there's really been no police internal review, anything credible. I mean, some of the police officers-- one particular police officer some years back

was out drunk, driving around in a police cruiser, wrecked the cruiser, and he was soon promoted. I guess in Huntington the best way to get promoted in the police department is to go against the law or to go against individuals in the city, and those are the type of individuals that seem to rise to the leadership positions. Those are the type of individuals that rise to the position of FOP. They rise to be the captains and other things they have—you know, and it becomes a good—old—boy club, and they take care of one another.

I'm also reminded of another incident where there was a prominent attorney that did take a case involving alleged police mistreatment and he woke up one morning to go to his car and he found out that he and his car were sprayed with bullets. You know, we know that these were police officers. Of course, there was no way to prove it, but that's exactly who did the shooting.

There are other things like that-- I guess
the manner in which police interrogate witnesses that
are suspects of the minority race is terrible. I mean,
there are things that go on-- there's always been
beatings. There's not as much now. I think with the
new chief, some things that were going on-- there's not
as much of that going on. But in the past-- I mean,

when you're on the block, and I come from the block, Sixteenth Street, I mean, we knew-- you knew when you were going downtown, you were going to get beat. I mean, there wasn't any ifs, ands or buts about it. Somebody was going to knock your head before you got downtown. You would have no way of proving it, and that's just-- unless Austin or one of the other officers were around.

And also, there's never been any more than two blacks on the Huntington Police Department at any given time. There's never been any more— there was three at one time? Well, I'm glad to hear that, because usually there was only two. And I don't know if that was by design, but it certainly worked out well.

As far as the lack of knowledge in the community regarding the police procedures and available relief, you know, historically, this kind of rapport with the police department in a city the size of Huntington, you know, there is no credibility between the community and the police department. There is no knowledge, you know, that was always taboo. That was always off record. And I have been here for 20 years, and never knew anything about the internal works of the police department, and was glad not to, because if you did, that meant you were either a narc or an informant

or something else, and that was going to get you hurt on the other side, you know. So it was best not to know.

And everyone knew who the informants were when I was on the street. We knew who the narcs were as well. It's a small town. You can't keep stuff like that hid.

Community perception of the police, is a source of fear. Again, in all honesty, I would like to say that the new police chief - and I seem to like Mr.

Wade - I'll be honest with you, I think he's doing a fine job in a lot of areas. Some of those attitudes and things will change. But it's hard to change those, you know, when you have this historical background. It's hard to change those things overnight in a year or two or three. It's one person. And those perceptions are still very negative. It's still very negative.

I used to have the perception, and I think it was a legitimate perception, that the police department in Huntington didn't particularly care what kind of crime was going on in the Fairfield West or the black community. As long as it didn't spill out into the community, it was all right. You know, as long as you were shooting and knifing one another, they would come and pick up the pieces and clean the street, but that was okay. But the problem always becomes more of a problem when one of the brothers would venture over

across the street and into the majority community and perpetrate crimes, then that became a serious matter. And also justice was swift in those instances. In the other instances, justice moved very slowly, and that's probably like everyone else, every other person in this room probably is shaking their head about their own community as far as that's concerned.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, as far as under-representation of minorities on the police force, it's like I said, it's just been dismal and I don't think there's ever been a I think a lot of that is cultural in push to do that. I mean, I'm from Logan County, and I can nature. remember some of the trouble blacks had in coming-becoming UMW members. I can remember how blacks had trouble in any union in the city or in the state. the same way in FOP and other things. There's unspoken things that happened and they didn't want those individuals in those positions. You know, if you were-somehow if you were intelligent, somehow if you were good, if you were already deemed to be uppity and able to deal with them - I guess I'm probably that as well but right is right and wrong is wrong and there's been a whole lot of wrong done in Huntington for a long time, that's gone unpunished, that's gone undealt with. after a period of time, there is a hate relationship

that builds up between the community and any police officer, especially among the young black men. There's no reason why they have so much trouble with the police department, because I can remember my feelings when I was young and in high school and in the early years of college, and a real difficult situation. And unless you're extremely intelligent, unless you find some other avenues to vent your frustrations, you were headed for trouble and many are now.

Now, you couple that with the drug situation that's going on in our community, and I mean that's just a recipe for danger. That's just a recipe for destruction. And at some point in time, like in other cities, I expect those guns to be turned on the police officers that are in that community. I look for it any day. I look for a police officer to be shot by a drug dealer any day, any time, because that's-- it's just being allowed to fester over a period of years, you know, and the man is the enemy. And when that happens, I'm quite sure that-- I'm a preacher. You know I can't stop.

MR. PITTS: I know.

MR. JOHNSON: But that's just some of my observations, and I thank you very much.

MR. PITTS: Let me do a little bit of

house cleaning. Reverend Leach, if you have any additional information that you wish to become a part of the report, you may submit it in writing and we'll append it. I made that statement earlier, and you were not here. Arley, if you have anything else that you wish to become a part of the report, you may submit that in writing and it will also be appended.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, sir.

MR. PITTS: Our next panelist is Larry

D. Patterson. I'm following the program, not

necessarily the seating arrangement, so Saribel, if

you'll forgive me.

MR. PATTERSON: I'm a preacher, too, but I quarantee I won't be as longwinded as Arley.

Please allow me, just for a moment, to talk about the Fairfield community. I'm not actually from the Fairfield community. I just moved here almost—well, a little over—almost three years now. But let me just give a perception that I have. The Fairfield community does have a history. A history of, I think, lack of police communications, as well as a lack of community relations with the police. And with that history, if we can find that the community itself has suffered, we look at the community, we find it has become not thriving with stores and things that will

help the community or keep the community alive, but we do have doctor's offices that's taken over the community. And so, therefore, doctor's offices that our folks can't afford to go to.

But the lack of community and police communication, I think, is a very pointed thing that needs to be taken care of, mainly because when I first came here, I called the police. And I called them again. And I called them again. And I called them again. Pretty soon, I got in my car and went down there and asked them what their problem was. But the thing is is that my—I understand that all of this is past, but let's look—I just want to take just a moment to deal with a little bit of the past and also deal with what the future looks like. So, I don't want to be dealing with too much of the past, but we do have to talk about it. And we do have to know about it.

There was a training workshop of the police department that I was involved in that I think brought some things to light, that really told me a lot about the community and the problems that was being had in the community, as well as the police department. And one of the things that we dealt with was something that Arley had talked about when we deal with respect, trust and visibility. There was no respect for the police

department and also there was no respect for the police department to the community. That was talked about very extensively in that workshop and some of the things I had noted, mainly because I heard some of the-- some of the police persons that were there make a comment, well, they called me a name first. That's the reason I lost my temper. But where is the professionalism in that? You know, so that kind of bothered me. And then how can there be trust, if that's going to happen, when you know that you're going to get your head beat, if you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing was visibility. There was-the only time you ever saw a police cruiser is when things had already cooled down because you had already called them about 20 times to come. And as of now, you know, we do have a police precinct there. I'm not going to say that that actually has -- that has, indeed, improved some visibility. But when you see a police department that is dwindling down to, I think, 85 now, the visibility cannot be but so much. So in understanding that, we also must understand that in our community, we're still having the lack of response, I think, mainly because there's not enough police officers. And when you begin to deal with that, when you begin to look at that, we need to do something about that.

Now, I know some things that are going on in some meetings that we've had with the mayor and the chief, and that has been through some improvement of the police commission, and dealing with the police commission. The police commission, I feel, has been a problem. A problem that has kept some hiring policies down and also of how the test was administered and so forth. That gets into a whole other realm, so therefore, I won't get into that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I think that overall, when you begin to deal with the visibility, when you begin to deal with the trust, when you begin to deal with the respect, respect is going to have to start at home with those 85 And that's going to build the trust. that we have. visibility is going to have to happen I think as much as we can in working with the community itself. I think though that, as you look at it from both sides, that it is going to have to be a both sides issue, where all of us are going to have to come together. I'm not into the defense of the police department as such because I know that more can be done, but I'm so glad to see that more That was well within my time limit. has been done.

MR. PITTS: Yeah, thank you, Larry. If you have any additional information that you wish to file a report and submit it in writing, it will be

appended.

Our next panelist is-- Saribel-- am I pronouncing that right?

MS. REYNOLDS: No, you're not. Saribel.

MR. PITTS: Saribel. I hope you will

forgive me.

MS. REYNOLDS: Take the "O" out and put the "I" in, please.

MR. PITTS: I will do that. Saribel Reynolds.

MS. REYNOLDS: Good morning. My name is Saribel Reynolds, and I'm President and Resident Council of the Washington Square Housing Authority. I would like to pick out one and get that out of the way. I did want to talk about the precinct. I live next door to it, the new Fairfield precinct.

A typical incident of alleged police
misconduct and excessive use of force, I want to briefly
talk about this because I think it's very important
because it happened to me. I live right next door to
the precinct. And on April the 20th, that was on
Saturday morning at 10:30, I came out of my home, which
is next door to the precinct, and turned to my left-- to
my right and went up to speak to a relative, which is on
Eighth Avenue. The address is 1627 and a half. I was

at this relative's house of mine for about eight to ten minutes. I came out of his home and came back down the street towards my home which, again, I say is next door to the precinct.

As I was turning up into my walkway, going toward my apartment, an officer came to the door, a large, tall officer, and said to me, could you come here a minute. I said, sure, how may I help you. I walked up on the porch, he said, come in. I repeated myself again, how may I help you. He said, sit down.

At that point, I became very annoyed and angry but I did not let it show. I said again, what's the problem, officer. He got on his radio and he started to talk with someone. At the time, I didn't know where or what. And he began to get up and down, as he was trying to find out something. And I took it from his end of the conversation that he was trying to find someone somewhere else, and I apparently was a suspect.

To make a long story short, this officer did not know where he was. When he got off of the radio, he looked at me and he said, very humiliated, I'm not in Northcott Court, am I? I said, no, sorry about that, you're in Washington Square.

He never apologized to me. He never said Mrs. Reynolds, I made a mistake. And in the meantime,

I'm trying to tell him I live next door. I'm Resident Council for Washington Square. I live next door to the precinct.

So, I came on out of the precinct and I came home. I was never afraid because I hadn't done anything wrong. I was more or less angry and I still am angry. Because until this day, nobody has apologized to me. The next week, I went over to the precinct, the Fairfield West precinct, and I said to Mrs. Floyd, she's recording secretary there, would you give Sergeant Sprouse a message for me? Would you tell him that I want the name and the badge number of the officer that detained me here on April the 20th, and just drop it in the mail slot because I have to go to a meeting. I came back and I didn't hear anything. There was no message there.

The next day I went back to the precinct, and I said, Mrs. Floyd, what did Sergent Sprouse say when I requested the name and badge number of the officer. She said he said, please tell her she can't have it.

Well, I immediately was stunned. And then she said he said, why does she want it? I felt that what for ever reason I wanted that name and badge number was my business. For whatever reason I wanted it for, I felt I was entitled to it. And I would like for any

i	
1	officer here to answer me if I'm wrong, if I was
2	entitled to the badge number and the name of the
3	officer.
4	MR. WADE: You're certainly entitled to
5	it, without any question.
6.	MS. REYNOLDS: Well, I thought so, Chief
7	Wade. But I don't understand Sergeant Sprouse's
8	attitude about it, because it's certainly arrogant of
9	him to flatly refuse me that information.
10	MR. WADE: We're getting ready to
11	understand it.
12	MS. REYNOLDS: You're getting ready to
13	understand why he did it?
14	MR. WADE: I'll understand it by the end
15	of the day.
16	MS. REYNOLDS: Was this ever reported to
17	you?
18	MR. WADE: That's the first time I've
19	heard it.
20	MS. REYNOLDS: That's what I thought.
21	You have answered my question. Thank you, Chief.
22	These are the kind of things that are going
23	to happen more often in the Fairfield West community.
24	And you might as well look for it, if the officers

working out of that precinct do not get out there and

meet the people. Because I can guarantee you standing right here, this is next door to a precinct, most of those officers coming and going don't know me from my next door neighbor on down through that area. They don't know anybody over there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I will tell you something else: manners doesn't cost anything. I can say this: I've see them all come and go because I'm an early morning person. Ι see them coming in the morning. And of the three officers that are all of these officers that I have seen coming and going were to say good morning, that doesn't cost a dime. Just good morning. Pleasant. Most of them-- respect. Most of them look right through you like you're knot even there. Do you know what black people hate worse than ignorance, is indifference. Indifference. I hate it. Because when you look at me and you can't say good morning to me, that means I'm not there.

And in order for this police precinct to work-- it's not working, Chief Wade, it's not working.

And in order for it to work, there's going to have to be a lot of changes made. I'm serious. Because I live there, and I know. I live next door to your precinct.

We can't call it our precinct. We don't feel like it's ours. We don't feel like it was put there-- why was it

put there? To benefit the black community or just to pacify us? Just to shut us up? Leave us alone or go away, just leave us alone. We don't need that. We need a precinct that's going to work for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We don't want officers to come on duty and come over and have tea and coffee with us. That's not what we want. In order to get respect, you have to earn And as I said before, there's no interaction from it. this police precinct with the community. Now, if the officers are not going to get out, it's not going to take that much time. You need more officers. officer to a precinct is not going to do it. They never even come out on the porch. They don't know who I am. They don't know who anybody is over there, because they never come out.

Also, I would like to stress one very important fact. We live there. We live in the nightmare of 1618 Eighth Avenue, and, Chief, you know where that is. We live through that nightmare and up until two weeks ago, this place was going strong. I mean, still keeping us up at night. But let me say this, as I said before: it's not enough to put your precinct anywhere. It's not just enough to show up. Things have to change.

Now, since the precinct has been there, I

have had to-- if it wasn't so ridiculous, it would be I have had to go downstairs and ask them what are you going to do about this riot out here across the And they're right there in the precinct with the blinds closed, wasn't nothing. And I said, well, you may not can't see, but you certainly can hear. finally a couple of units come up and they said we're going to do something about it. This is all from 1618 Eighth Avenue. So, I'm saying if you're going to put a precinct there, it's not enough for it to be there. There's got to be something done. We have had the nightmare for years. I would like to say something about a proposal that Austin Hairston-- is my time up? MR. PITTS: Yes.

MS. REYNOLDS: Oh, sorry. I didn't realize I was talking that much.

MR. PITTS: That's quite all right. My regret is that the record will not be able to adequately reflect your emotion and your feelings concerning the treatment which no fair-minded American should be able to tolerate. I will ask you, Ms. Reynolds, if you have anything in writing or if you would like to develop anything, submit that to us and we will make that part of the record. Thank you.

Our last speaker on this panel is Phil

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Carter, the President of the Huntington NAACP branch.

MR. CARTER: Thank you very much, Mr. Pitts. And I would like to say thank you for the opportunity to speak before you, the United States Advisory Commission on Civil Rights, and to the Commission itself, represented by Dr. Chun and to you that are here this morning.

It's very important that we engage in this activity. And I am going to go pretty fast. I'm only going to give you a few proposals. There are four components to the NAACP presentation. Approximately 50 pages of documents from various newspapers and NAACP communication has already been distributed. There's 100 or more pages to that document, and I was just handed something this morning from Mr. Nelson, Barnett Nelson. Nelson is a local historian.

I asked Nelson to document the history of police relationships in Fairfield around the issue of bars, lounges and nightclubs. So he went back to the turn of the century and has provided me with 50 articles from 1902 to 1905. And what we're trying to do is to establish this is not something new. This has been going on. And I do appreciate you providing us with this document. It will be incorporated into the report that will be submitted to Dr. Chun.

1 Since 1989, the Huntington Cabell County branch of the NAACP has identified and expelled white 2 supremacy or racist practices in the Tri-state region. 3 The white supremacy mind set is documented. It's denied 4 by media, academicians, religious leaders, the criminal 5 justice system, business leadership, public agencies and 6 7 public elected officials with the lone exception of the Mayor of Huntington, Robert Nelson, on the white side. 8 9 Now, when Councilman Johnson came in, Councilman Johnson 10 documented and expounded and has explained white 11 supremacy and racism since he has been on that council. But we have observed no other Afro-American public 12 elected official has made a statement about racism. 13 The NAACP has selected case studies to illustrate the 14 15 dominancy of the white supremacy mind set in the 16 Huntington area.

The dangers and irrational attacks upon victims opposed to white supremacy is also submitted in the hope that the United States Civil Rights Commission will address the first step to progress in this area, and that's acknowledging that the system of white supremacy exists and flourishes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Francis Press Welsley, a black behavioral scientist and psychiatrist offers a definition of white supremacy in her new book, The Isis Papers, published by

Third World Press, 1991. And I'm trying to set the context for those who have spoken before me and those who will speak afterwards. We're dealing with white supremacy, and a mind set. Listen to this definition: "The local and global power systems, structured and maintained by persons who classify themselves as white, when consciously or subconsciously determined this system consists of patterns of perceptions, logic, symbol, formation, thought, speech, actions and emotional responses, as conducted simultaneously in all areas of people activity. These areas are economics, education, entertainment, labor, law, politics, religions, sex and war.

"The ultimate purpose of this system is to prevent white genetic annihilation on earth. A planet in which the overwhelming majority of people are classified as non-white; black, brown, red and yellow, by white-skinned people. All of the non-white people are genetically dominant. All non-white people are genetically dominant in terms of skin coloration, compared to the genetically recessive white-skinned people."

Therein lies the problem. Until we fully grasp that concept, so when we see people acting out, think in terms of what the world really looks like and

we are not immune to seeing that world and bizarre behavior manifesting itself at our local levels. And it's getting worse.

Many of the subsystems listed by Dr. Welsley and her definition of white supremacy will be submitted The first section of this report for your examination. will allow you to examine the pervasiveness of the supremacy mind set. And what Dr. Chester Pierce defines as the micro- and macro-aggression offenses against people of color. Constantly bombarded; constantly bombarded by micro- and macro-aggression. Macro-aggressions are lynchings and shootings and beatings. Micro-aggressions are what happened to Ms. Reynolds. Constantly. And there isn't any one person of color in here that has not been subjected to that. That's the first section. Now, we do this in a historical context and that's where this document comes in, to show that it's not something new.

The second section deals with the NAACP initiatives submitted to the system and its responses.

The third will contain a report card on the system's responsiveness to all of the NAACP and community initiatives, and we will grade you failing or whether you have done well.

The last section consists of new NAACP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

initiatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what I'm going to do very quickly is to talk a little bit about a proposal. And this proposal deals with the treatment of human beings in the police bureaucracy, and also it will address the issue that Ms. Reynolds has brought up, regarding the police precinct. Let me just quote something from Dave Peyton very quickly about challenge: "The NAACP Challenges Today." He wrote an article in the Herald Dispatch. He states: "The next time Carter comes up with an idea, I fervently hope that it is challenging, but with a little less potential about it. At the same time, here's hoping that Carter and all of the blacks in the community and state continue to challenge us" - now, Dave Peyton is white - "to keep us on our toes. And never let us forget that the real tragedy it seems is overlooking the fact that crime is crime and that protection from it must be extended to those areas where it is rampant, no matter what the color of the people who live there." Is that it? I hope you will submit in MR. PITTS:

writing--

MR. CARTER: It will be about 150 pages.

MR. PITTS: It will be appended and marked as an exhibit. I hope you will be able to

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

provide us with a copy of the Barnett document in order that we can mark it as a numbered exhibit. I have entered as an exhibit, No. 4, the Barnett exhibit. Thank you.

At this time, we will open the panel up to some questions and answers-- Dr. Brown. I'm sorry. Bob, we have several minutes.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Pitts. The United States Advisory Committee on Civil Rights, I thank you for the privilege of coming and sharing these couple of moments. I would hope that I would have several hours to talk to you. It seems that every time that we talk with the commission that much is recorded and very little is done. I recorded that for the record.

As I stand today, I recognize the fact that what my president and several others have said that there are major problems in police community relations dealing with the respect and courtesy, visibility, and I'm glad that you got that in the record. There is one thing that I think we need to go back and look at fundamentally, that when we look at government, we understand that the record says that governments are of the people, and by the people, and for the people. And that is a misnomer which suggests that the government of

the people is only administrative, and that the police departments are administrative or aloof and they're not always protective. And somehow that the citizens and the people have to be involved in the process, for certainly, as has been suggested that it is not enough for us to have— in Raleigh County, we have State police, Sheriff's department, City police, and sometimes they run over top of each other getting out of the way of each other.

But again, I think that it's extremely important for the people to be involved in the process. Currently, I'm on the Raleigh County Deputy Sheriff Civil Service Commission, and part of what we have tried to do in regards to our particular approach and rules and regulations, that we are an affirmative action program which has come from the State Human Rights Division which has been incorporated in our documents, it's coming under some attacks. I want to let you know that's where it came from, and if we have to sue to keep it there, it will happen.

But the people have to be responsible. And there needs to be a greater representation of black officers, black administrators within the police department, and the sheriff's department and the state police in order for there to be equal representation,

and the community might feel that they're a part of not only government, but the police department in general.

I certainly hope that with the impact that you have, the information that you're gathering - and I don't mean to indict you - but that you won't sit on it as has been done in the past. And I'm hoping-- and I recognize the fact that, and Huntington is no different from most of the cities in West Virginia. We've had our problems. But I'm hoping that we'll be able to get beyond our problems because we're able to sit down and to talk together.

When one department is aloof from the people, then problems occur. When one department sees itself totally as administrative without care and concern for even the personnel, then problems come. The buck stops at the top, but the people are the buck and the top.

MR. PITTS: Each time we have a panel such as this and information is dissipated throughout every federal agency, goes to the President and the Congress, anyone can have that information and use it. We are merely the gathering of information.

SPEAKER: When can we get it?

MR. PITTS: This report will probably be prepared and ready perhaps in about October. It has to go through the process, prepared and rewritten and

rewritten and approved and assimilated. We feel that we'll be able to put our approval on it some time in October of this year, and anyone can have that information. That's our method of hoping to effect change is by the gathering and assimilation of information. That is our task, that is our statutory limitation, and we are controlled and guided by that which gives us birth, which is the Federal Congress.

MR. CARTER: I would just like to make a special plea. This is my, what, third one? Morgantown, and I think Huntington a couple of years ago, and now Huntington again. I'd like to have copies of those previous two.

MR. PITTS: If you will give me that in writing, it is now a part of the record, and I'm sure that Ki-Taek will provide you with those records.

Now, we are open for questions and answers.

question has to do with the individuals who really gave us something to think on, and we already knew about some of it. But my question is: why couldn't we get a copy of what they presented here today? This man right here on the end, I notice he has a copy he was reading from. We'd like to have that before you leave today.

MR. PITTS: A copy of their notes?

2.2

SPEAKER: We know we'll be waiting until hell freezes over to get those reports.

MR. LEACH: I only have some notes, but you're--

SPEAKER: We'll take those.

MR. PITTS: Those are their personal work products of those particular individuals, and if they would provide us with that, of course, we can-
SPEAKER: Never mind what they think, brother, we're asking you for it.

MR. PITTS: I do not have control over an— if they wish to let us have copies of it, I'm sure that you'll have it, but I'm not going to require them to do that. Anyone else have a question?

I've heard you make comments that there have been meetings such as this in the past, and that they have not seen any results of it. Approximately, how many times has the commission met in the State of West Virginia? We are not— I know Phil said that it's been in Morgantown, and it's gone twice in Huntington. How many times has the commission—— I'm quite sure probably the committee was not the people sitting here now, but can the record show how many times the commission, this commission, regardless of the people that sit on the

committee, has come into the state to address issues in the area of civil rights or to address issues as it relates to the police departments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTS: This advisory committee has been around since 1959, and the advisory committee of West Virginia was initially originated permanently in And they have met periodically from 1960 to the There was, of course, the Reagan years when present. some of us were removed from the advisory committee, and every-- especially in the '80s, it has been an on-off relationship. As many of you know, the funds of the US Commission on Civil Rights were almost depleted. So there are numerous reports, and this information has been available. And at each hearing that has been held by the West Virginia Advisory Committee, there has been a statement made that these publications are available. And if you give your name to the field rep from the Washington office, Ki-Taek Chun, sitting here with us this morning, they will provide you with that But if you don't give us your name and information. your address, we can't provide you -- we can't send it to you, but you have to do this--

MR. GOTTLIEB: I think we might explain, all of the members of the commission have been appointed either by the Governor's office or the President, et

cetera -- I'm sorry. Exclude the Governor's office. We meet at least once every four months. As problems have come up, we try to meet a little sooner. We do have reports. I'm as frustrated as you are. I will say it You say, well, after today's meeting, why out loud. must you wait three or four months to get an official reporting of it? I know it's frustrating. Some of us on the commission are frustrated, but that's the way our federal government works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, we have other problems we're frustrated with. We've related this to Washington, et cetera.

Maybe something could be done, but we won't promise it to you. But I think the main thing is, we're all volunteers or appointees. We're trying to improve. We can't solve all of the situations, but I think by us working together, if we live long enough, maybe we'll solve some of these things. That's my philosophy.

MR. HINTON: Mr. Pitts, I just wanted to make it clear, it's probably an illusion that is in the minds of many of you of who we are and what we do. We are the West Virginia Advisory Committee to the US Commission of Civil Rights, and we help advise the US Commission. And they, as well as us-- all we are are the eyes and the ears to the President of the United States. And we report what we find and what we hear

out— and we have no enforcement powers at all. And if we did, you could blame us for what's not being done. I think it would be incumbent upon you who are concerned, that you would talk to your elected representatives as to, you know, what in the world is going on. Why do we not have even more effective legislation? Why don't we not have the legislation that's been enacted enforced? That is not our responsibility. All we do is report that which we hear and what we see. That's what we're going to do here. From there on, we don't do anymore. And it's up to you to put pressure on the powers that be to see that active enforcement will take place. Otherwise, it will not get done.

MS. POPS: What I read from Don's proposal to the US Commission this morning is that one of our four goals and that one of the most important things about today is today. And it's not just for us to hear you, but for you to hear each other, and to meet together. And, you know, if you have groups that you want to form up, you are 100 percent free to stay in the room after we all leave to come up with a task force. If problems are identified, we certainly want to hear about them. We want to pass them on up to Washington, DC.

But the crucial element today is for you all

to talk to each other, to hear each other, to identify who you are, and what your stands are, and what can go on next.

So, even though we weren't given this room, we invite you to remain in the room at the end of the day. And I know when we have community meetings in Morgantown, we always end with a task force. And if anybody wants to pass around a sheet of paper and ask people who would like to be with them in any future meetings, I mean, you're all much more free than we are. We really are interested and we want to hear this and we want to continue pursuing this.

And we have even talked about the possibility of having a forum such as this in the northern part of the state. If anybody is interested in that, you're welcome to give us input into that. But one of the crucial factors is that you all continue after we leave the room.

MR. PITTS: Ki-Taek, is it possible to have copies made, if the members of the panel would like to provide the present people with copies of the statements? You think we may be able get some copies made here?

SPEAKER: What do you mean, "Do you

25 think"?

MR. PITTS: I can't hear you.

SPEAKER: What do you mean, "you think."
We have a university full of machines.

MS. POPS: Connie, you went to use the machines. What happened with the machines?

SPEAKER: I haven't gone. I intend to go.

MS. POPS: You haven't gone.

MR. CHUN: Now, that, I think we should look into to see what we can arrange, because of the cost involved. There's a question of how many copies we want, how many people want it, and it isn't something you can just give a blank yes. So, let's discuss that in the recess, the lunch break, and see what can be done. Maybe you may like to find out how many people would like to have copies of that.

SPEAKER: Everybody.

MR. PITTS: We can check on it and see if it's possible, and if the members of the panel will agree to do that, we'll try to provide it. Yes, sir.

SPEAKER: Yes. My name is David McGee.

I'm an executive board member of the Huntington branch,

NAACP. And something that was said earlier about the

lady from the housing committee is something I can

relate to. And I have a statement here that I wanted to

put in the record, if I could. I'm not going to read this right now, but I'll touch on the highlights of it.

I'm also a member of a peace group at

Marshall. We were outspoken opponents of the war with

Iraq, as was the Huntington branch of NAACP, which

passed a resolution opposing that war. As a result of

that, some of our members went downtown to a pro war

rally, and a Huntington police officer observed me being

attacked by one of the people that was there. Two other

members of our group saw this happen. They saw the

officer watching as we were— as I was attacked.

When I approached the officer and asked him if he was going to do anything about it, he denied seeing it. He refused to give us his name or his badge number. He went into the crowd, the people who were there in favor of the war. He seemed to be sort of on their side, I guess you would say. He was—as some of the people that were there were spitting in the faces of some of the people that was there opposing the war, he was patting them on the back saying he understood where they were coming from. He did nothing to enforce our right to protest. As a matter of fact, he seemed to be encouraging the people to attack us.

After that, I had the same kind of problems like Arley Johnson was mentioning with the magistrate

system. The officer didn't want to come in and give the name of the person who attacked me so I could fill out a warrant. I had to call the Sheriff's department and ask them to call the Huntington Police Department to ask the officer to come in and give us the name.

So, these problems, you know, have continued with the Huntington Police Department with our group, which is a mostly white group, the MAPS Group on campus. I feel like there's a good bit of discrimination as a result of the fact that some of us have long hair or our philosophies concerning the war or other things and that's why I showed up here today. I want to submit this if I can.

MR. PITTS: If you will bring that statement to me, I will mark it as an exhibit and have it attached to the record.

MR. HINTON: Your first name again?

SPEAKER: It's written on the back
there, and my address is on there and phone number.

MR. PITTS: Any other questions?

SPEAKER: I have a question about the consent decree in Charleston you spoke about. Perhaps others know more about it. I would be interested in knowing where it was brought, where the case was brought, what the issues were, what the terms of it

were, and what the results have been, both positive and negative.

MR. STARKS: Okay. For the sake of this body here today, I will touch on the results. And if I could put that over on Police Chief Dallas Staples to give you some-- maybe some more details, I will do it like that. That won't burden the crowd with, you know, fine points.

The results were a dual hiring list system enacted for the Charleston police force, which at this time has its problems, but it has been more effective than past hiring practices.

The fire department, in their last hiring, had ten new black firemen as well as a couple of females. So that has been very successful. But the key, I thought, to it was that they made people feel, through this project, that they would get a fair shake, which encouraged black applicants. Because in the past, they had been very reserved when it came to police hiring.

So it was some very creative tactics used in encouraging black applicants, and new things were put in, such as they had black recruiters. The interview system, which has in the past been very flawed, was examined and restructured to give-- because the

interviewers, which if I'm not mistaken is the last step to hiring, was discriminatory in itself by who was doing the interviewing. So it made it very difficult for any African Americans to get past that stage.

The test itself was evaluated and classes or training for the test was given to offer everyone an opportunity. So as a result of it, I don't know the exact number of black police officers on the Charleston Police force at this time, but it has increased, as well as promotions and other facets of it. As I've mentioned before, I imagine Chief Staples could give you more details on the consent decree and the details on that.

SPEAKER: I guess probably the question is whether it would be helpful for the committee, but I found it an interesting example of something that had happened in one particular case that might be a model.

But I knew nothing about it. And I thought I'd share my ignorance.

MR. STAPLES: It was a process that was brought about by the fact of lack of hiring of Afro-Americans and the lack of upper mobility, once the applicants were hired. We weren't seeing any upper mobility, was the basis for the suit. And if you want to look it up in the Federal court clerk's office, it's styled Willy Brooks versus the City of Charleston.

We have seen some positives. There are some negative sides to that consent decree. The positives are that we saw an increase in hiring. We went from eight blacks officers in 1989 to 19 officers to date, with the hiring of three additional officers this week, Afro-American officers this week. So, we've seen an increase in the fire department.

But one of the down sides that we realize now, and attorneys have realized it, is that our involvement in the development of the decree itself leaves a lot to be desired. We see that now. And that would be something that we would encourage that any city that enters into that, that all parties that are going to be a part of that decree have input into the development of that decree, so that you don't have these hidden agendas or hidden traps that you fall into. And that was-- those are some of the down sides that we saw with the consent decree.

I think that it's a good instrument. But I think that a lot of that should be eliminated with positive statements from executives, from governors, from mayors, that you really shouldn't have to file a suit or enter into any type of agreement for something that should be rightfully yours anyway. You should have the opportunity, as any citizen, to participate or

pursue a career in government. If that's the only way that it can be done, I think that it has a positive side.

I think that too many times administrators and executives and elected officials tend not to want to do things or take extreme measures because they fear, well, we're going to get sued. But my reply to that is: you didn't fear suits from Afro-Americans when you were discriminating.

so, I think you need to take-- those administrators and elected officials need to take those positive extreme steps. And a lot of times you don't need a consent decree. I think you just have to stand up for just what's right. If you do what is right, I don't think you'll have any problem.

MR. STARKS: Could I add something, Mr. Chairman? I think that one very crucial area to this, and I think Chief Staples alluded to it, and that is to have a very solid monitoring system of any programs that are enacted, because there are hidden agendas, and people—individuals within that particular system that don't like the system anyway, so they'll do anything to kind of undercut something here and there. And we have seen that in a few applicants or police officers that have been hired and then quite didn't make it through

the probationary period.

MR. STAPLES: We saw a collateral attack on the consent decree that we entered into. There was a collateral attack from some officers, but the decree withstood the collateral attack. So, we were quite happy with that, that it withstood the collateral attack. And it will, more than likely, come under attack as you enter into some decree, you're going to have some type of a legal thing.

MR. STARKS: One other thing I would like to add, as far as the fire department is concerned. They have excellent support from the union-- what's the fireman's union? They had excellent support and cooperation from them, because they had it from the leadership down. I'm not so sure that that much cooperation came from the Charleston FOP.

MR. STAPLES: Well, we didn't receive any resistance from the Fraternal Order of Police. They have been very supportive, not only of the decree, but of our black law enforcement organization. So, we haven't-- we didn't encounter that type of resistance from them--

MR. STARKS: I say that--

MR. STAPLES: --as an organization. I'm not saying that some of its members didn't, but as an

organization.

MR. STARKS: I say that to say that pressure, sometimes in enacting these types of initiatives, have to be put on those areas, as well. It's easy to point the finger at police officials and whatever, but a lot of times they get internal pressure from police unions, such as what has happened down in Huntington. So, you know, people have to respond to those organizations as well.

MR. PITTS: Greq?

MR. HINTON: Mr. Pitts, I want to know from the panelists, Ms. Reynolds touched on it a little bit, but is there a perception in the community that blacks and other ethnic people who are arrested or are suspects for crimes, are they interrogated differently than other people who are also suspects from the majority population? Is there any perception in the community as far as those type of practices?

MS. REYNOLDS: I'd like to make a comment. I was never questioned. I was detained because this officer didn't know where he was. I don't think-- I think that's important that's made very clear.

MR. HINTON: I understood that.

MS. REYNOLDS: It was his fault that he didn't know where he was. It was his mistake. It was

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

not mine. 1 But yet at the time, in his MR. HINTON: 3 mind, you were a suspect? 4 MS. REYNOLDS: That's right. 5 MR. HINTON: And I understand that. And was your treatment, as you perceive it, different than 6 had you been a white female or a white male? 7 No, I really can't-- I 8 MS. REYNOLDS: can honestly say here that -- I think it was out of 9 10 ignorance because he did not know where he was. looking for somebody in Northcott Court. 11 12 what I'm saying? Northcott Court--13 MR. HINTON: I understood that. Excuse 14 me. I understood that. But then when he found out that 15 he was in the wrong place, you know--MS. REYNOLDS: He never apologized, 16 17 right. 18 He never apologized to you. MR. HINTON: 19 MS. REYNOLDS: I don't believe he would 20 have apologized to anybody, because I think officers go 21 to school. I think they teach them not to apologize, Two things officers don't do, smile and 22 not to smile. 23 apologize. And my question is: is 24 MR. HINTON:

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

there a perception in the community that blacks and

other ethnic groups and the long-haired McGees - pardon me, because I know what you're talking about - but is there a different way of your being treated? Is there a perception to other people in the majority population?

MS. REYNOLDS: I really can't say yes or

no, because that was the first time it happened to me.

MR. HINTON: Mr. Starks?

MR. STARKS: I can answer that without any doubt. Yes, there is a difference in how African Americans and other minorities are addressed by a police officer. That's why incidents occur so much more often, because it's inflamed from the very beginning. It goes without question that blacks are approached differently.

MR. HINTON: Can you give me some examples of what the differences would be without--

MR. STARKS: I think it's less patience, more-- I'm trying to find the words. It seems like a hate-- more of a hatred feeling coming forth initially when blacks are addressed by police officers in this state. I know that from numerous reports.

MR. HINTON: Reverend Leach, you--

MR. LEACH: I'd like to answer that.

You asked a question: is there a difference between

Afro-Americans? Certainly you could get the answer from
the Charleston Police Department, because there would be

more blacks in that area to answer for. You couldn't get the answer from the Huntington or the Beckley because we wouldn't know. We only have one or two.

MR. HINTON: No, you're not understanding my question. People oftentimes are arrested and then— or they're just suspects and they're interrogated by the police, you know, where were you at this time, what were you doing and so forth, you know. Is there any perception in the community that blacks and other ethnic groups, whether they be long hair or whoever they may be, are they treated differently when they're being questioned by the police, during either the arrest process or the investigation process?

MR. PITTS: Arley, your hand is up. But before we get to Arley, I believe that there was a hand back here.

SPEAKER: The big thing is unless you have something to compare it with, how would they know? I think you might say there's a consensus in the community that you start off being guilty because you're black, and you're treated that way by the police. How would they know? They haven't been arrested or investigated.

MR. HINTON: Do I hear you saying then, basically, that it's pretty much the consensus that

1 you're treated with a lot of indignity as a black who's 2 a suspect or not? SPEAKER: The impression is: you're 3 black, you're guilty from jump. Now, whatever that 4 5 means to you, that's the way I feel like I'm treated. 6 MR. HINTON: And now, that's the 7 assumption, you're black, you're guilty, how would they interrogate you? How do they treat you? 8 9 Like I'm quilty already. SPEAKER: You 10 have a problem understanding? What am I saying that you don't understand? 11 MR. HINTON: I understand that. 12 But I'm 13 looking for some factual example, something to flush 14 that out. You're giving me a conclusion, and I don't 15 have any problem with your conclusion, but I--SPEAKER: Let's back up a minute. 16 I'm 17 giving you a fact of how I am relating to what's 18 happening. You're drawing the conclusion. The fact is, 19 they treat me from jump not as though I were innocent, 20 but because I'm black, I'm quilty. The attitude is what I am talking about. 21 22 MR. HINTON: I understand that. 23 SPEAKER: If I am not there when they're 24 questioning whites, how the heck would I know?

MR. HINTON: How do they manifest that

attitude?

SPEAKER: I mean, do I have to tell you what it feels like being quilty?

MR. HINTON: Listen. I'm an attorney, and I know the answer to the question. I'm trying to get something for the record here. Okay? I know how whites are treated and I know how blacks are treated, because I'm there on both sides of the issue. But I'm trying--

SPEAKER: Maybe it would be better if you ought to tell us.

MR. HINTON: No, I'm on the committee.

I'm not here for the community.

MR. PITTS: Okay. We are trying to get it into the record, not just your conclusion, but something upon which we base the conclusion, a factual—set of facts upon which we base our conclusion. We just want to put that in the record. I think that what the real question is — and we have to answer that today for this forum — whether or not this is just something that occurs based upon race. Does it happen, this type of interrogation, to people that are perceived to be poor whites, for instance, and disadvantaged whites, or does the same type of interrogation happen only to blacks? That's what we are trying to get in the record. Arley

is next.

MR. HINTON: Mr. McGee had his hand up for a long time, too.

MR. PITTS: Arley had his hand up first, then I'll go to Mr. McGee and then I will come back to Phil Carter.

MR. JOHNSON: I mean, Huntington is no different, and Beckley, is no different than any other place in the country, I would say. And there's definitely a correlation between how I would say areas, such as the Guyandotte area of Huntington, the Fourteenth Street West area of Huntington and also the Sixteenth Street area of Huntington.

The Sixteenth Street area, or Hal Greer

Boulevard, the Fairfield West area is commonly the black section. There's ill treatment there. There's also ill treatment in the Guyandotte area, which is the predominantly poor white section, as well as Fourteenth Street West. And the difference is these people are, of course, they're detained more often, they're detained more frequently.

In statements, like if I were an eyewitness to a crime in the Fairfield West area and I was giving my information, I wouldn't be as credible a witness as someone, say, from another area of the city. I wouldn't

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

be as a credible witness. We've seen that in Huntington.

In one particular case, there was probably 20 or 30 eyewitnesses to an incident, which were minority in nature, and there was one white witness which was—which had a counter opinion to the incident, and the officers on the scene, the officers doing the investigation, took the word of that one white over the other 20 or so minorities who were eyewitnesses as well. And that is the way they proceeded on the case, until an over—a preponderance of physical evidence proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that what this white witness saw could not have happened. The evidence was not there to support it. That happened in Huntington.

You know, I think the same thing happens in the Guyandotte section of town. And that's probably similar to a lot of other places. That is one definite instance, and that is on record. That is in a public record and that's also in the legal record. That happened. It happened recently in Huntington. You know, and for whatever reason, we know what the reasons are, but those things continue to happen.

You know, for a long time, I always thought it was just racism. And the difference is that, you know, when you're dealing with a black, especially in a

police department like the Huntington Police Department,

I mean, there's two strikes against you. Not only are

you poor, but you're black so you're going to get a

double dose of whatever is going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And those in the white section get treated just as badly at times, but never as severely at times. And then other times they do, depending on the individual, depending on the person. But that's terrible and it happens all the time. It's a miscarriage of justice. And it filters on out through the legal system. Very few of those come from the Fairfield West area or the Guyandotte area or the Fourteenth Street West area. They're going to have some court appointed attorney, and probably -- and the others that come from more affluent areas are going to have some high-priced attorney which makes a difference. You're an attorney. You know the difference, how the judge looks at them differently and everything.

There's other things that are going on in the Huntington Police Department even right now, ongoing, which reflects that depending on who you are and where you're from, there's a different treatment, even to the point of trying to remove or exonerate records and files before things even happen. That happens in the Huntington Police Department. Those things are factual.

MR. PITTS: Mr. McGee.

SPEAKER: Yeah. I agree with Mr.

Johnson on a lot of what he said there. I believe that there is discrimination, and it's based on race of the Huntington Police Department. In my case, I want to give you a specific example of what you're asking for. I can fix my problem. I can get a hair cut, put on a suit, keep my mouth shut and I wouldn't have a problem in the City of Huntington. The black people in this town can't change that so easily.

I have an acquaintance - I won't call him a friend, an acquaintance - who had a rental stereo system, and he reported it stolen to the Huntington Police Department. The rental company believes that he's trying to steal it himself. I don't know, maybe he is. I don't know this guy that well. But he was called in for questioning to the police department. And when he was called in, he was asked if he was a Satan worshipper. And I'm not sure, you know, if that's standard operating procedure to ask everybody who is charged with theft if they worship the devil, but I think it probably had a lot to do with his hair being long.

And, you know, there's been this big deal in the news lately about Satan worshipping. They found a

couple of dead cows out in some field and they've sensitized the police department. I think if they would substitute race instead of the devil, then maybe they would get somewhere around here. They're spending too much time trying to sensitize the police to devil worshippers. They ought to be spending their time sensitizing the issues of racism and sexism and homophobia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTS: Dr. Carter?

MR. CARTER: All right. A couple of observations I would like to make in regards to your From the newspaper articles from the Herald question. Dispatch you have in your packet, West Virginia has a black population of four percent, yet black prisoner population is 14.6 percent. In Cabell County jail -- the county has a little bit more than four percent black population. Percentage of prisoners or inmates in the jail that's black, 20.8. Now, there's a real problem when we look at the demographics of that population. Ιt doesn't mean that inherently blacks have some kind of neurological propensity to do evil and go to jail. That's not the issue. It is something more.

Let me give you some specific examples that you ask for: Joe Swenson, fall, 1990, snatched out of his car by the Huntington Police, thrown to the ground,

gun placed to his head, was not arrested. Was not arrested. You see, those are the kind of things that need to be investigated. Not arrested. He certainly had to be a bad man and a bad criminal to do all of that to him and then say, okay, we'll let you go.

Another case: the processing of the murders in Huntington, and the shoot-outs by white boys driving by in the black community. Dead, Fawn Oakley, December 13-14, 1990. Approximately 60 people in the black club, People's Choice Lounge, shot at by whites who were driving by. To date, have not found the killers - or at least I haven't seen it anywhere - have not found the murderers, have not found the drive by shooters who shot at innocent people, because they were black.

Reginald Daniels, downtown Huntington, Fourth Avenue, not too far from here. Killed by two white men, October 23rd, he was involved in the incident, died approximately December 14-15. What happened to the white men who killed Reginald Daniels? What happened and where are the killers who shot up the African American community?

The way it was investigated, the chief said it was blacks on blacks. Then later on, almost a month later, on January the 19th, the police force in Huntington stated to the white media, well, we now think

it's a white-- group of whites in a '60 white Chevy Nova, or something like that, that probably did it.

But you see, it was set up so that it was black on black. Black on black. It stayed that way until Christmastime and New Years. And then after the holidays, well, maybe it was white boys. We are saying that's a difference in treatment. And nothing has happened to this date.

MR. HINTON: Let me jump in and ask you to deal with this issue. Make a comparison, if you would, when you have a white defendant and a white victim, compared to a white defendant and a black victim, compared to a white victim and a black defendant, and a black defendant and a black victim. Is there any significant difference as we talk about those four comparative groups?

MR. PITTS: Are you directing your question to Dr. Carter?

MR. HINTON: Dr. Carter, and anyone else who wants to add on to his comment.

MR. CARTER: Let me just respond in this way: the witnesses, the onsite witnesses at the shooting, where three people were shot and about 60 people were shot at, resulted in two wounded, one dead.

All stated it was a group of white males in a white car

who did the shooting.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 b

21

22

2B

24

25

MR. HINTON: And I guess we've got black victims.

MR. CARTER: Black on black situation.

However, that theme was followed by the newspaper, the theme that it was black on black, and not the theme or the theory of the African American community, that it was whites who did the shooting. That was suppressed. The newspaper cooperated. And it was released on approximately January the 9th or the 6th that now it was possibly whites. That was after the grief period of the black community had taken place.

MR. HINTON: Forget about the misconception. They took the wrong direction. But where it is that you have the different groups, where, let's say, that--

MR. CARTER: I'm convinced it would not have happened--

MR. HINTON: Let's say Mayor Nelson's neighbor is shot and a black man is a suspect.

MR. PITTS: Why don't we deal with specifics.

MR. HINTON: All right, good.

MR. JOHNSON: All of the instances you've just related to, it's all happened in Huntington

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

in the last four or five years. We have had black on black crimes. And the problem with it is-- and you asked me, why you can't separate the police from the judicial system in this county? It's impossible to do because they work hand in hand.

In the case of a black on black crime, in more times than not, if you check the records on black on black crime, there have been, A, no convictions.

That means they didn't find who did the shooting.

There's one case that's still outstanding, no one was ever found who did the shooting. Probably a drug dealer shot one or two times--

1b

MR. HINTON: What do you attribute that to, Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Really, I guess, you know, when someone is killed, there needs to be a swift detective team on the scene to secure the physical evidence and begin a serious investigation. That doesn't happen when it's a black on black crime, soon enough, swift enough, or long enough.

We've also had a case where two young blacks, one was sixteen, one was nineteen, you know. He chased a boy down and put two bullets in his head, and the prosecutors and the judicial system said, we can't seem to find enough evidence to prove this may have been

pre-meditated murder. I don't know if it met the definition of pre-meditated murder, but if you're putting two bullets in someone's brain, you're probably meaning to kill them, I don't know. You know, but they couldn't find enough evidence to prove that. Probably didn't find the witnesses they wanted, but the evidence was there. So, he pleaded to second degree, probably ten to eighteen, which was fine.

At any time that there's ever been-- I'm thinking about a few years back when I was younger, of a black shooting a white in Huntington, justice is swift. It is very swift. They were brought to justice. Somehow when blacks shoot blacks, there's usually a year, year and a half wait before that comes to trial. If I shoot a white today in Huntington, I will be on trial next month, I guarantee it, especially if there's no doubt that I shot that individual. And most blacks in those cases, and there are two or three that come to my mind, have received life in prison without-- with no mercy.

MR. CHUN: To what do you account the differential way of processing?

MR. JOHNSON: I think that the-- to be honest with you, in my opinion, the Huntington judicial system and the Huntington Police Department reflect the

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

Huntington community. You know, they reflect the

Huntington community. Let's make that clear. There is

definitely a problem of racism in this city. I live and

I work here. You know, hopefully some of those things

are changing, but they haven't changed yet, enough for

my satisfaction. And I think if you look at these

juries, the judges, these prosecutors, they also reflect

that system. And I think the biggest problem is there.

In most cases where these blacks have been tried - this is a small community - it's just assumed that all blacks know one another or probably some kin to one another, I'm not really sure. We're all some kin. But there's never ever a black on a jury where a black has been tried for murder. There's never been in this city, a black allowed to sit on a jury where a black is being tried for a capital offense. It's never happened in Huntington, to the best of my recollection, and I did do some checking on that. So the jury process itself is flawed.

And in the case where whites have shot blacks, usually the conviction is life-- second degree murder or either life with mercy, you know, ten to eighteen and they're back out. One case comes to mind from 1975, Harry W. Woods, a young man that was shot, pre-meditatedly on Sixteenth Street, sixteen years old.

You know, and I was sitting there and the jury-- and I cried during that trial, and I just cried, because he was my best friend, sixteen years old. When they came back with that verdict of life with mercy-- they showed no mercy. You know, those are the type of things that really eat at you year after year after year, and it's in Huntington. Justice is not as swift if it's a black on black crime. Justice is not extremely swift.

You know, even in the case where you had a prominent businessman in the town who shot and killed his wife-- it was proved he killed his wife, that was a long drawn-out process that was a very highly--

MR. HINTON: That was white on white?

MR. JOHNSON: That was white on white.

And there was really a whole lot of efforts to try to get him off of that. But the evidence-- I think with a preponderance of the evidence, they had to do something with the individual. That's not all--

MR. PITTS: This gentleman here.

SPEAKER: Yes, Austin Hairston,

Huntington police officer. I can give you an incident
that happened-- two incidents, black on white and white
on black crime. One of the incidents was with my son.

My son went out with some other white kids, snatching

purses and wallets. He was arrested. He was sentenced for ten years. The same son, and we are talking six years ago, during the same period of time, he went to prison. He went to prison with a pin in his leg for his femur bone, was shot in two by a white kid on Twenty-fifth Street, that the police had had complaints on all during the day.

That person that shot my son has not been convicted. He was arrested immediately. But it never did go to court. My son done two years committing a crime of non-violence, and this guy shoots this individual for no reason, no apparent reason, and hasn't done any time.

MR. PITTS: The lady in the back here.

SPEAKER: I would like to speak on the

MR. PITTS: I can't hear you.

SPEAKER: The shooting of the Fawn
Oakley case. It was reported at a meeting we had
directly after. There was a black, young black
Afro-American on the street this night, and the police
were taking them downtown before the incident happened,
that they were clearing the streets like they knew that
this incident was going to happen.

This is the way he put it, you know, they

incident--

were, at that time in the morning, they were coming And then when the incident happened, you around. couldn't find a patrol car in the area. But before, directly before the incident happened, there was several patrol cars there picking up all young black Afro-Americans off the street. They even arrested him because he didn't have-- he had tickets, outstanding tickets, which there was a warrant for his arrest, but they were clearing the streets before the incident Where were they when the incident happened? It was just seconds, minutes, or maybe he said like not even an hour or so before this incident occurred, the shooting, the pass by shooting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then another incident I would like to say is about my son, who drove in from Detroit. young man, black Afro-American. He was driving an El He came in with another young man. Dorado. He was qetting some clothes from an apartment because he was living here and had moved to Detroit. They were watching them. Someone called in, they were watching them bring bags of clothes and putting them in the trunk of an El Dorado car. By the time he came around the corner and turned and did not even get half a block, the police had them surrounded in my driveway, he and my fourteen-year-old daughter on the car like this. And I

came out to ask them what's going on, and he told me, ma'am, get back in the house. Don't come out here. And you'd have thought we were on Miami Vice. They had police out there behind them with machine guns, everything, after these two individuals, just because he was a young black Afro-American driving an El Dorado from Detroit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Out-of-state license? MR. HINTON: SPEAKER: Out-of-state license. I said no, I'm not going -- you've got both of my children, my baby daughter. I said, I'm not going back in the house. Now, I won't come any closer, but I'm not going back in I'm going to watch what you're doing to my the house. children. We give them permission to search the car, which they did. And I said, if you want to come in and search my house -- he said they came in with something -they had some kind of -- they had from the police, that they were looking for an out-of-state from either Columbus or Michigan, and they gave a description of a car which matched -- didn't come close to the car that my son was driving.

Then they told me some off-the-wall bologna about, well, we have permission to arrest anyone crossing state lines in out-of-state cars. About that time, a man driving by in a car from out-of-state, Ohio.

He was white. I said, why didn't you stop him? He had an out-of-state-- go stop him right now. Why do you have my kids here? Not only my kids, if they had been anybody else's kids, in front of my house, I would have gone out to see what was going on. Because they do have a tendency of stopping black young Afro-Americans, whether they are legit or not. And they'll come up and they'll put guns at their heads. They will-- you ought to see about 15 or 20 patrol cars then.

But when we call them for something legitimate, you do not see them. They will not come up until about maybe an hour or so later. You do have to call them, keep calling them and calling them and then they may not even show. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: This lady made mention of Miami Vice. These are serious incidents. These are the kinds of incidents that if allowed to continue, will fester a harboring of resentment, hate, and will bring total destruction to our community. And it is pertinent that we move forward to determine what types of sensitivities are necessary to stop-- to bring to an end this type of attitude and this type of policing of our people in our community.

MR. CHUN: I have a question for Mr. Carter, please. I am going back to your description of

drive-by shoot out, in which initially there was a misleading characterization given the incident, as blacks on blacks. Now, in that description, you made it sound it was-- I was confused, as if at one point the police was responsible, to a certain extent, for having given up this kind of misleading clues. But then at another time, it sounded as though it was the press which kind of provided particular statements. Is it one or the other, or both, or can you elaborate a little as to what--

MR. CARTER: You will find that in some of the articles that I've provided. I think the only newspaper that will carry full statements of the NAACP was Mr. Starks', but we made it clear in our press statement. You will find in there, there was some collaboration between, in our opinion, the white media and the police, and it was a cover up. And we stated that it was stated in at least two or three different press releases that you have in that file. That was our opinion then. It's our opinion now.

And I think that one of your executive board members alluded to something else. And we have some of this-- some on tape, we videotaped people in the black community telling us what was really going on. And there's some very strong circumstances surrounding all

before the drive-by shooting took place, the possible cover up that took place, the suspending of the dealing with the reality of the African American community who said they saw whites drive by and do the shooting and then later on, stating a month later, or almost, stating that yes, we do believe that it's whites who did the shooting. That leads us to draw some conclusions.

There's something more to this. And I will tell you, that some of us on the executive board of the NAACP believe the police might have been involved.

MR. PITTS: You're suggesting that-- I don't see it as a misrepresentation, I see it as an outright lie, that there was a lie then to control--

MR. CARTER: Yes.

MR. PITTS: -- the black community.

MR. CARTER: Control the grief process of the black community.

MR. CHUN: You are thinking the police provided misleading information. Then when you were able to gather facts of the situation-- why was it when one-- did you say then this newspaper-- they were unable to get the facts, which you were able to provide the kind of story that you were able to produce?

MR. PITTS: You see, this is part of the

problem. When it's the blacks, it's a lie. When it's somebody else, it's misrepresentation, it's misleading. It's a lie. I don't care what color it is, that what we are dealing with here, we want to phrase it in-- couch it in some euphoric terms when it comes to the white community. And I'm saying if we are to get to the gut issue then we have to put it into the frame work that it is really. Why are we dressing it up? It's a lie.

SPEAKER: Whether it's Huntington or

Beckley or any other particular place, the press seems

to have no concern to investigate an article on its own,

it just goes down, copies down what's--

MR. CARTER: I think the same reporter-that same reporter is in here.

MR. PITTS: I don't want to get into any--

MR. CARTER: We took the witnesses and the wounded to the executive office of the newspaper because we knew what was going to happen, to make sure that the truth got out. And the reporter is here, right? You were there. And we still did not focus on the whites doing the drive-by shooting, and it came out blacks shooting, and the mayor shutting down the black club. And we kept saying, please don't run that, that's not the truth. Now the young man right there knows

that's what went down. So we took those precautions because we understand the kind of white system.

MR. PITTS: We will deal with Bernard, and then the young lady in the back made a statement, in the back.

MR. GOTTLIEB: Mr. Johnson, I will ask you, since you seem to be pretty precise on most of your statements, which I respect you for. With all that's going on in Huntington and being from Clarksburg, I'm concerned you all feel that it is only, say, Huntington Police Department and Beckley, and so forth. Have any other agencies, outside agencies of the State or Federal FBI, or whatever, have any of them come in to make any investigations as far as you know?

MR. JOHNSON: As far as I know, they have participated in some investigations, and the federal agency has been productive in Huntington in terms of drug indictments and drug prosecution. And that has been very helpful, and I think there's probably— if it is not attended to at the federal level, it will go right past our county courts and right past our city government unless they have, you know, a preponderance of the evidence. And it may not always be easy to prove a lot of things we're saying here now, just conjecture or rumor, until you have factual

evidence, and that's just all it is until you have it in a legal record, you know.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think what I would like to see, I would like to see a sweeping federal investigation of the whole police and judicial process of Cabell County, including the City of Huntington. I think it is marred beyond repair. That is probably some of the things we mentioned here today, these are documented and truthful things. I think until the federal government at the judicial level with enforcement power comes in in a strong way into this county, into this city, you're not qoing-- you're going to always see some placating. You're going to see some bandages here and there, just kind of prolonging the process. And that may not be done in all of West Virginia, I know.

I'm aware of some incidents in Beckley; I'm aware of many other things. It appears that Charleston has the lead on all of it. I do think there's a need for the legislators and also politicians to be more involved, because more times than not, we usually stand alone. And I have no problem with standing alone, but the fact and the truth is, you know, that it's not always easy—racism isn't always easy to prove. But in terms of what's going on in the judicial system, in the police department of Huntington, West Virginia, it is

very easy to prove, and any attorney could prove that beyond a shadow of a doubt. You won't get an attorney because of what's going on in Cabell County to take a case. I mean black or white.

MR. HINTON: This shooting that I'm reading in some of the articles, by chance were there five or more people involved in the shooting, in terms of the car that came through?

MR. JOHNSON: There was probably three people who were involved in the drive-by shooting.

MR. HINTON: Why do you say three? That is pretty accurate.

MR. JOHNSON: Because of the eye witnesses that saw the car go by, saw two in the front seat and one in the back.

MR. HINTON: The reason I asked that question, there's a State statute that puts financial responsibility upon the county and cities when the person or the victim of a crime involving five or more people, and to the extent that you--

MR. JOHNSON: There were three people doing the shooting. There was at least three.

MR. HINTON: In terms of actually the people who have actually done the conduct-- it was enacted in West Virginia several years ago and very

little used. To the extent that you have something like that in the future, the black community may go to the legal community and be able to make the county and city government pay the families who become the victims.

SPEAKER: We haven't got anybody here, legal, that will help us in the legal community.

MR. JOHNSON: The system here is so corrupt, in my opinion, it is so corrupt, that any local attorney who takes on any of these cases, you know, as far as his local practice is concerned, it's null and void. He's through, or she's through. And I think they realize it. That's the reason why they're so hesitant to take on the FOP or the--

MR. HINTON: Well, the NAACP has a legal redress committee that should have been definitely involved with the situation. And we have the best chairman any state could ever have, Frank Cleckley.

MR. PITTS: Let me buttress this with another question. Taking into account the current effect of the Reagan/Nixon spirit, nationally, Reagan and Bush, all the way to Bush, whether or not a federal investigation would reveal, in your opinion, anymore than what the local internal affairs groups of these racist police departments, will it reveal anymore? Would there actually be this sweeping--

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

First of all, I understand MR. JOHNSON: In these type of police departments, one thing. especially in Huntington, you have -- for all intents and purposes, you have no internal affairs. There is no-in my opinion, there is no internal affairs. There hasn't been in the past, because they were not there to investigate fairly their own members. They were really there to make sure their own members stayed out of trouble. And if they were in trouble, to make sure they didn't have any punishment, to make sure they didn't get kicked off the force, make sure they didn't get fined, make sure they didn't get taken to court.

And any police chief that was in place understood that system. Any judge that was seated on the bench supported that system. Any prosecutor that dealt with it, wouldn't prosecute that system. That is a fact.

MR. PITTS: Well stated. My question is you are suggesting that we might suggest very strongly a sweeping investigation. My question is whether or not, based on the-- as we see the rebirth and regrowth of the plan and all of the groups and the infestation in the federal-- under federal hierarchy, whether or not it would reveal or do or produce anything more than this current status. Do you believe that?

1

2

5

8

7

10

11

9

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: I know that beyond a You can look in Huntington, just the shadow of a doubt. judicial records itself in the last 25 years, and you would have a preponderance of the evidence to do anything you wanted to do as far as the judicial system. There's things going on presently within the Huntington Police Department and also the judicial system in Cabell County that would prove it. You wouldn't even have to go back 25 years. But just to make sure you have the case studies correct, I would suggest you go back 25 I mean, you would have a preponderance of years. evidence. I live here. I work here. I play here when I can, and I know what I'm talking about.

MR. PITTS: Did you want to reply?

MR. STARKS: Yes. I would think that these local police forces have been so used to operating within its own parameters that any type of outside inquiry would assist in producing information that would document, you know, a lot of actual goings on in the state as a whole. But I would like to add, too, Councilman Johnson, that a recommendation to our leadership, as well, from the governor down to, you know, look at this in more of a serious nature and take an active role in looking at this situation, as well as many others could be of benefit. I think that the

federal government looks at our state and understands that it has no leadership from the top when it's concerning African Americans, I think that perhaps that would shed light on things, and that it could possibly be beneficial.

MR. PITTS: Let me ask you several questions. One: is there an infringement on conservative attitudes of white Americans today, in your opinion?

MR. CARTER: In my opinion, I think without a doubt. Without a doubt, as reflected locally and state-wide in some of the statistics we see, whenever there is an opportunity to exercise control, that control is not equally exercised in regard to Afro-American males and African American females, than with other groups.

MR. PITTS: Dr. Carter, based on that statement earlier presented by Councilman Johnson, do you believe that that is a reflection of the community of the majority of white Americans?

MR. CARTER: I believe that the majority of white Americans subscribe to the concept of white supremacy.

MR. PITTS: And do you feel that as a result of those attitudes and as a result of that

conduct that a federal investigation will come in and remove those attitudes and those perceptions and change the structure of the attitudes of the police forces that are prevalent throughout southern West Virginia?

MR. CARTER: I believe that the attitudes will not be changed. But on the other hand, if the federal government would come in, I believe some behavior would change. And maybe if the behavior can be changed, some attitudes can possibly be changed. But I don't see the powers that be here in the white community really responding to anything else, except direct a mandate from a superior power.

MR. PITTS: One last question. You've been in education a number of years.

MR. CARTER: Twenty-two years.

MR. PITTS: Do you believe that there should be instituted multi-cultural sensitivity training across the board to law enforcement personnel and to communities?

MR. CARTER: Yes, I believe it has to be simultaneously done. On one hand, multi-racial and multi-cultural sensitivity. And that type of training must be administered by a multi-racial, multi-cultural and gender sensitive group of people. And at the same time that type of training is being administered, I

believe that it is important that the poor community, whether it's white or whether it is black, or any other color, know how to place sanctions upon officers that are out of control. And we do not know that. We really don't.

MR. PITTS: Ki-Taek, I have your question, and then I want to propose that I would open for response any members of the panel any questions that I asked. Let's hear from Ki-Taek first.

MR. CHUN: It's more of a request I think. There were some strong allegations made regarding the mass media. And I just happened to learn-- I wasn't aware that we have a reporter from the Herald Dispatch. But since he's here, I think it's only fair we offer an opportunity for him to respond, either orally now or in writing subsequently, or both, whatever, because you know, we just have his side of the story.

MR. PITTS: Well, is the editor in chief of the newspaper here? I don't know that a reporter could give us--

SPEAKER: The Chief of Police is here.

MR. PITTS: Well, he is on the program.

And unless it is the editor in chief or the editor of the front desk, or whatever you call it here, I would

1	hold the position that makes any decisions and changes
2	copy and all of that I don't think that this hearing
3	from a reporter would basically change anything.
4	MR. CHUN: It may not change but he may
5	have some comments to make, if he cares to.
6.	MR. PITTS: His comments are seen by his
7	attitude towards our community here.
8	MR. GOTTLIEB: In fairness to the
9	reporter, I think what
10	MR. PITTS: It's not fair to the black
11	community and this city. Why do
12	MR. JOHNSON: You are assuming that, Mr.
13	Chairman. Let the man speak.
14	MR. PITTS: I am assuming it. I base my
15	assumption on what you said.
16	MR. JOHNSON: I didn't say it.
17	MR. GOTTLIEB: I'm going to say we still
18	just give the reporter he might say he's writing what
19	he's been told. He might say he only had certain
20	information. I would like to hear it straight from him.
21	MR. PITTS: That is not the purpose of
22	this committee to do that. That's what I'm trying to
23	tell you. That's the bottom line. It's not the purpose
24	of this committee
25	MR. JOHNSON: I think when we

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

interjected the press and this individual, we made it-we opened it up. It's just like any legal proceeding.
We brought the issue up.

MR. CARTER: I would agree. There ought to be a time when the media can be dealt with, the same way we're dealing with the police chief, because that's just as much a part of this problem as anything else.

MR. PITTS: I recognize what you're saying. I'm just saying that we're not here to put that particular individual on the spot for what happened. We are here to gather the information.

SPEAKER: We are here to put anybody on the spot.

MR. PITTS: The committee does not put anyone on the spot. This committee has not put anybody on the spot. We are merely gathering the information. I think you must understand what our role is. Our role is to gather the information and to make a report on this information.

MR. HINTON: Mr. Pitts, I think he may be able to at least give up some insight perhaps in his information gathering and reporting. And I would ask that you, as the chair of the subcommittee, allow him an opportunity to at least give us—he made shed some light that could be helpful to all of us here, and I

think it may be very appropriate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER: And we want to hear him. We want to hear him.

MR. HINTON: Just him, because we're running out of time.

SPEAKER: I just want to say that my--

MR. HINTON: Stand up, please.

SPEAKER: My name is David Rogers, I'm a reporter for the Herald Dispatch.

SPEAKER: Talk louder, baby.

SPEAKER: Okay. I just wanted to say that my executive editor, Zack Binkley, was at the meeting that Mr. Carter spoke about that had the NAACP board members and some of the witnesses. He saw no problem with the story I wrote. I thought it was very factual, very accurate and correct. And I do believe that it is stated that these witnesses saw three or more white men drive by in a late-- well, not late-- '60s model white car at the bar and fire at the bar. That was approximately two days after the shooting. can't see where it was a cover up by the media in any way. Any other comment, I'd like to direct to Mr. Binkley. I don't think it's my place to say--

MR. CARTER: Did Reginald Daniels die of AIDS-- were the wounds inflicted upon him by the white--

1	his white killer?
2	SPEAKER: Dr. Carter, I'm not really
3	sure. I did not cover that at all.
4	MR. CARTER: The newspaper also state
5	it's the same set of circumstances.
6	MR. PITTS: We've reached that point in
7	time, if any member of the panel wishes to respond
8	briefly
9	MS. REYNOLDS: I had something I think
10	is very important. I haven't had a chance to I wanted
11	to address this to Chief Wade. Officer Austin Hairston,
12	one of our resident council members, he offered a
13	proposal to you, I think it was dated April 9, 1991,
14	some very good ideas and input. Have you considered any
15	of these ideas?
16	MR. WADE: Yes, ma'am. We're
17	considering them.
18	MS. REYNOLDS: I think you're excellent.
19	I would like some time next week, can I call you?
20	Would you come to one of our meetings?
21	MR. WADE: Certainly will.
22	MS. REYNOLDS: Okay. I'll call you.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. JOHNSON: I would like to add a few
25	things. I think in terms of the Huntington community,

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

what I have been speaking about are the institutions, and what I have seen out of these institutions. The community as a whole, I haven't taken an exact poll to see who all is a racist, who was born racist, and I don't particularly care.

But I am interested in the governmental institutions that are designed to protect the rights of all its citizenry. I don't feel that's been adequately done in the police department in a number of years or in the judicial system, and certainly not in the political system. And I think that's wherein the problem lies and that's why I would like the federal government to just look into those institutions and their treatment of all its citizenry on the civil rights issues. I think that's— I think there's more than enough evidence for them to draw their own conclusions, just as I've drawn them, and they will be more accurate that way.

MR. STARKS: I'd like to respond once again to your question to Dr. Carter, would a sweeping—would federal intervention achieve anything, and I would think—I would tend to think that it would be much like the Rodney King video. For years and years these police brutalities didn't happen until it was actually seen. And if information can be gathered through an agency that has the capability of doing so on a broad scope,

I'm sure the questions that Mr. Hinton asked, are there any differences in how Afro-Americans are approached opposed to whites, I'm sure that that would be seen right straight up.

MR. PATTERSON: I would like to say in response to that, you know, about federal investigation, what would it produce, would it change the mind set, I would say it would not unless you get rid of those individuals that are there. I would say, too, in line with that, that the media, since I have been here these three years, have not really, with the exception of probably one television station for an example, have tried or at least attempted to bring a positive light of anything that goes on in the Fairfield community. And I will use some examples, if I might of that.

Some positive things that's went on in the community that I know personally, I have been writing the news media to come and participate, and I know there's some other things the NAACP has done, has asked the media to participate in, they have not done. But only when there's a negative light, only when there's negativeness or something that can be shown negative, it's always on the front page or at least a big part of the news, television or the news reports. And, you know, I think that that also helps to develop and form

opinions of what's going on in the Fairfield community.

It perpetuates fear throughout the whole of Huntington,

for example, you know, of not even wanting to go into

the community.

And I think that unless the attitudes and certainly that of the media would change, and give a fair shake to both sides of the negative issues, as well as the positive issues—because there are positive things that go on in the community. And we have got to begin to deal with that positive side, too.

MR. STARKS: I'd like to correct you on one thing. I think that there's more than one media that does share positive light in that area and that's the Beacon.

MR. PATTERSON: Oh, yeah, the Beacon.

I'm talking about the white-- let me straighten that up.

Absolutely. The Beacon does do very positive things.

MR. PITTS: We have gone over our time in this particular session, and I believe that it was time well spent.

Let me thank the panelists for serving and enlightening us and hopefully giving us food for thought for the two following panels to come.

MR. GOTTLIEB: Don, before you adjourn, I think we ought to explain that instead of starting at

12:40, because of going over, we ought to move it back at least to 1:00 o'clock to give everybody one hour's time to get ready for lunch and come back.

MR. PITTS: That's so noted.

(WHEREUPON, a break was taken in the proceedings.)

MR. PITTS: Shall we convene for the second session? Good afternoon. Are we ready? The court reporter is here.

I trust everyone had a good lunch, and I hope during lunch that you were able to kind of meet everyone and at least talk to some people you didn't know and make some new acquaintances.

The first session this afternoon is dealing with the presentations coming from the law enforcement officials, all the way from the top. And that is the state police, down to local law enforcement agencies and their officials.

I see there are no ladies on the panel.

Gentlemen, I welcome you to the afternoon session. I'm not going to give any introductions. I will introduce them as they are called to make their presentations.

They are limited to 12 minutes. At the end of this session, we'll again have questions and answers.

Gentlemen, I'd like for you to know that if

there are any parts of your report that are not presented during the 12-minute presentation, you will be able to make a written report or statement to the committee and it will be appended to our report.

Our first speaker for this afternoon is

Corporal Dale Humphreys, who is Personnel Director and

Affirmative Action Officer on the West Virginia State

Police, who's appearing on behalf of Jack R. Bucklew,

Superintendent of the West Virginia State Police.

Corporal Humphreys?

MR. HUMPHREYS: Well, first I'd like to thank the commission for asking us to come here today, and I do appreciate it. Although after this morning, I'm not so sure that I feel totally safe here.

MR. PITTS: You are safe.

MR. HINTON: You'll be all right.

MR. HUMPHREYS: Jokingly, of course. I think we're all here for the same mission, and that's to accomplish something, and the goal is better communities in our state and more cooperation amongst each other.

Now, I've seen it in the past and the present, we do have problems - I'm not here to deny that - on both sides. As a police officer, for over twenty years, I've seen many problems, and I can guarantee you it has happened. And most of the cases that you brought up

today are probably -- are true and I have no doubts. I doubted no one that was speaking this morning.

However, there is a lot of things that I'd like to say that's positive, too. There's a good police officer out there, contrary to what we heard this morning. And we all try. Sometimes it doesn't look as if we're trying because of the circumstances. You don't really realize what's on the police officer's mind when he's asking those questions, and you feel like a suspect or you feel like you're guilty before you're proven guilty. This is true. There's some that does not consider your feelings. He's considering the situation. That's no excuse for not smiling once in a while, or to be unpleasant. Sometimes the situation just does not grant that.

The West Virginia State Police has taken a positive approach as far as recruiting minorities, females. And this approach, we have educated our officers, we have established black recruiters, we have seminars around the country. We have been given the opportunity to go out amongst the community. Any time they feel free-- any time they feel a need to go to any community event, any public schools, any church, we have given them the go ahead. All they have to do is contact me. I will cut a special order, they're welcome to go.

And we encourage it. Right now, we have ten black officers. We have two that are doing our main recruiting.

Any job fair or community group that is asking for our participation, I do my best to have a black officer with us. I do my best to have a female officer with us. A lot of times I attend with these officers, sometimes I don't make it. Sometimes I can't. But however, we are giving a good effort.

We have updated our affirmative action program. It has been rewritten. It was not only just written to our desires. It was sent to the EEOC office in Washington, DC, where it was evaluated and as described by them, passes the requirements. And hopefully, if anybody is interested in a copy of that, you can pick one up in my office. I'll be glad to do that.

We believe in education. We believe in cooperating. And we believe in getting to know the black community. Myself, personally, I have contacted all of the NAACP offices in the state through Colonel Bucklew. I write the letters, but I send them out in his name. We have not had a class that I have not contacted any office, that I know of. If I am missing one, feel free to let me know; give me your address.

All of the predominantly black communities in the eastern part of the United States are contacted. By mail, we send brochures, we send posters. We notify them in advance. We give them plenty of time to come and speak to us. I have invited everybody in that I can possibly invite.

We're talking about all of the EEOC offices,
NAACP offices, Civil Liberties, anything that you can
think of. And I have a list that I go by, which I've
left no one uncovered. And I don't believe that I am.
I've also asked each and every one of the officers to
come in and speak to me, and I'm speaking of NAACP
officers, our own officers, all community leaders,
community organizations. Almost all of these hears from
me before we have a testing date. This is for purposes
of getting in, listening to their suggestions, listening
to anything that they care to discuss, anything that
they might have on their minds. This is the time to
bring it out. Not after the thing is started.

I might add that I have very little cooperation. I'm not saying that you have done this on purpose. I think it gets overlooked somewhere along the line, because I send it out at least two months in advance and sometimes you just overlook it. But I haven't heard from too many people. My door is open and

Colonel Bucklew's door is open. We'll be glad to work with anybody and everybody that we can to get this problem solved.

And like I said, I'm sure that you all have your personal problems. We all have incidents where it's happened. There's nothing I can do about that to stop what's happened in the past. But I picked up a sheet here this morning that was passed out by a lady here in the back, and I read something I thought was probably the scope of this whole thing today. It says: "If we open a quarrel between the past and the present, we shall find that we have lost the future."

Now, if we're going to continue to argue or continue to bring up dead horses, things that's happened in the past, we are not going to get anywhere. We are only going to get somewhere by starting now and educating our own people as to how to cooperate with each other and how to bring each other in on a common plane here to get this situation solved.

I am willing to listen to any of you and meet with any of you. I'll come to your office. That's what I do. I will send black officers; I'll come with them. We'll listen to anything you have to say. And we're willing to meet with your suggestions.

We will not lower our standards for any

reasons, for any group, whether it be a protected group 1 2 or whether it be anyone. We expect to get the best. Wе have a reputation of getting the best, and we'll 3 4 continue to do that. We always will. But we'll listen to anything that might help and we're asking you to 5 bring in the best qualified applicants that you can get. 6 7 We have not turned down a minority applicant that has 8 passed our standards since I have been in personnel. 9 That's five years. If you pass our test, most likely you will be in our class if we have room enough or 10 11 enough vacancies and we can afford it. Right now, we have probably 40 vacancies, between 40 or 50 vacancies. 12 13 The legislature has not give us the money to start a 14 We cannot hire if we don't have the money. 15 if and when they do, which I'm sure they're going to have to do within the next session, or at least I'm 16 17 hoping they do, we intend to do some heavy recruiting.

If you've noticed on TV, we have got commercials on there using a black officer and a female, minority groups. We are trying to protect the image that we want to have with everyone, and that is that we are one group, not two separate.

I'm probably leaving out a lot of things I should be saying, but I'm going to allow the rest of the time for questions, because I like to know what's on

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

your mind. I know what's on mine.

2.2

SPEAKER: You mentioned that you have about four--

MR. PITTS: Can we hold the questions until such time--

SPEAKER: He may forget what he said.

MR. PITTS: We are going to be in the same manner that we did this morning, so we can make sure that we get presentations from each presenter before the questions, please.

MR. HUMPHREYS: I'm here really to listen to your side of the story, and I'm going to pass it on now and maybe we can save a little bit of time here. I think at the end of this, I'll probably hear that. So, I will just go ahead and pass it and thank you for the opportunity to speak.

MR. PITTS: Thank you, Corporal Humphreys. I will now move to Gary Wade, Chief of the Huntington City Police Department.

MR. WADE: Thank you for the time. I started out 23 years ago in police-community relations in St. Louis, and I feel it's still 1960. I think perhaps some of the things I talked about then can be talked about today. But we are here specifically to talk about my part with the Huntington Police

Department, not with the State Police or not with Charleston, or not with Cabell County Sheriff's Department or other law enforcement representatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I can tell you what my goal is in the Huntington Police Department, and that is to formulate a police department within this community that is representative of law enforcement in this country. You know, I am not just proud to be a white person, you're not just proud to be a black person. I hope we are This isn't 1960. I think we need proud to be humans. to get that across to everyone in this room. That is my goal for this department. That was my goal as long as I have been in law enforcement. I have been successful at that in every step that I have taken. Not opening a door and making Huntington something brand new all over, I am going to need the commitment from within this police department, within the community, academia, the state commissions, everything. But we'll need that.

When I got this letter from the United States Commission on Civil Rights concerning some of the things they wanted us to talk about, I felt that the statement I'm making now needed to preempt all of this, to let people know where I'm coming from, what I want to do in the Huntington Police Department. It's not going to be done in one month or six months.

Probably what I've seen since I have been here, as Arley had expressed earlier, it appears that Huntington, like others, have become pockets of not resistance, but things that have been forgotten for the last 30 years.

So it's going to take some time to get through this. I don't know if I will be able to do that, the time that I will be in Huntington. But I will assure you that I will try every day to move this goal forward that I have.

Status of procedures of police internal review boards and civilian review boards in the Huntington Police Department are somewhat governed by State Statute. So what we can do in the City of Huntington is pretty much endorsed and indoctrinated by the State of West Virginia as far as what code says we can do.

Recently, an incident has taken place that I've followed State Statute. We'll probably end up having to go to the Supreme Court or somewhere along that line to see if I'm right or if some other opposition is right and what we want to do. But I will follow State Statute on what review boards are set up. That's the only thing I can do in this state. I would like to see some things different in the current

situation.

Another goal I have, along with this, is not right now as short as we are, but when we are at
full staff - I will probably have some sort of lobbying
effort in Charleston at the legislative process, other
than having the FOP or some other organization doing it.
I would like to propose, certainly not in this forum, in
public, to Chief Staples, but perhaps the two cities,
sister cities here in West Virginia that maybe we could
have some legislative efforts in kind that we could
pursue at the state level to help the largest community
areas of West Virginia, and we in law enforcement think
needs to be helped out.

police misconduct, procedures become developed as complaints come in, unfortunately a lot differently.

I'm a new broom, I come in and I do things a little bit differently. There have been some new processes put in line. Unfortunately, we've had a lot of experience at that in the last couple of years in Huntington, I guess.

But currently, right now, what we're working, it's pretty much, I believe, the status quo across the country. If you have a complaint, you can come see me if you don't feel satisfaction from someone else.

Captain Paul Price of the police department is in charge

of internal affairs. We do have a process in internal affairs, one that I have confidence in right now. I don't know what was out there last year or two years or three years ago. But what we have right now, I'm comfortable with. But if it doesn't work-- and I read a proposal from NAACP, and I heard it talked about up here. I agree.

I certainly don't have any objections to an outside agency coming in and looking at the Huntington Police Department or looking at a specific complaint. I think any police administrator across America today will tell you, if you're not satisfied, you have to have someone like that. But that element is already in place. I don't know if it's been utilized here yet.

I see a lot of different horses on this team going a lot of different directions.

I tell people when there's a complaint, if
they're not happy with what I do, or the Huntington
Police Department does, they can go on to the Justice
Department, Civil Rights Bureau and file a complaint
there. It's the same here as it is in St. Louis where I
came from. And successfully, that avenue has been
allowed to be pursued by any groups of people across
this country for a long time.

So, I say that's already in process, already

in the area, and I encourage people to utilize that if they don't have the confidence in the Huntington Police Department. And I understand that. I know our integrity has been questioned, and rightfully so. are working on it daily, hourly, to strengthen that, and we will do that. We have done it. We have done some things here that haven't been done in years. And I can only tell you I think that's just not something that we're doing brand new. That's something that Gary Wade has done his whole career. I won't shovel anything underneath the table, I will not cover anything up. never have, nor will I ever.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Recruitment, hiring, and promotion of minority and women law enforcement officers: West Virginia, again, the judicial system has gotten into the middle of our hiring and promotional process here in the Huntington area. I believe they have in the Beckley area, also, in some past years. But as police administrator, I take offense at that. Of course, this wasn't my hiring and promotional policy that they've I haven't had an opportunity to promote anyone, nor to hire anyone. But the processes that I used, and have used in the past, have been on a national scale, not a localized scale so much as what you would have in Huntington or Charleston or Jacksonville, Florida. We

have gone through an accreditation process, that law enforcement in general across the United States is trying to become generalized in so we don't become completely regionalized in everything we are supposed to be doing. Our hiring and promotional processes should be fair for everyone, not certain segments of groups, whether they be white, black, hispanic, or whatever.

Today in Huntington, we have a group in town that is yalidating the hiring process that we are proposing to the Police Civil Service Commission. The Cannon Associates from Pennsylvania are currently right now in Huntington doing this process. And I unfortunately—or fortunately, will not be able to stay for the next session. I have to meet with them later on. We are moving forward in this process.

I could have perhaps hired people when I first got here. But I was not happy with the process that was set in place already, because I didn't think it was fair, one; it wasn't representative of law enforcement in this country, two; and three, the problem would have gone right back in the court system and moved back to ground zero. I don't like to move back forward. If I've got to sit somewhere for a while where I can formulate a plan and get it in motion that's going to be beneficial to all of us, then I will do that. That's

what we've been doing. That's why we're trying to get this process now.

Same as with promotions. We were successful in this last effort here with Judge Egnor's court not long ago to get a new promotional system through, hopefully through the Civil Service Commission. We'll know that within a matter of a week or two, we believe.

But still, the last officers promoted underneath that system will have to compete for that test again. That's not really fair.

But what they've done is, they've taken it out of the control of the administrative arm of the police department and put it in the judicial system's. That's why I have fault with the hiring and promotional systems here. That will be an area that I will work legislatively wise for our efforts in Huntington.

Multi-cultural sensitivity training in law enforcement officers: we have briefly, not even scratched the surface on that in the Huntington Police Department. We'll have to do more on that. But, you know, this is 1960 again when I'm talking to this crowd. The area I'm from in St. Louis, we learned from our mistakes in 1960, and that became an element of basic raw recruit selection and training in the police academies in St. Louis. You don't start at it with your

supervisory level of people. You have lost it. better start when you recruit, your sensitivity Know what you're getting and train them. Have in-service training annually, all on the same We'll do that starting with our next class. We will have sensitivity training with the rest of the police officers we have. But it will not be as beneficial as the new ones starting out will be. That's a process that we're going to have to go through in Huntington, like it or not. It's the only way it can happen. If I can hear a different suggestion from that when this panel is finished, I'd love to hear it. Τ don't know of any others that can happen until the process of elimination is completed.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Community assistance necessary for effective law enforcement: I think we have a pretty good built-in community assistance group in Huntington. There's a lot of different groups out here. A lot of dialogue that goes back and forth. I see, unfortunately not a lot of dialogue that is listened to, either from law enforcement or from a community aspect. I sat in this room here today and listened to the first part of this topic, and I heard what I would agree with probably almost 100 percent. Problems, no question.

The Fawn Oakley shooting, a disaster, a

tragedy, yeah we screwed it up. And I've said this before. And I said the following day, not a month later, that I came out and apologized to those young men. It wasn't a month later. It was the next day I apologized to them. And we are still currently working on that situation. We won't close that case. I hope we'll get it to a conclusion. I will do everything possible for this community to solve that crime. How many of those do I get?

MR. PITTS: One.

MR. WADE: One. One other thing,
please. Like I said, we heard a few things this
morning. I agree with just about everything that was
said. We have got to get the perceptions across to one
another that we all believe. I know this lady back
here, I like her, I respect her, I've been to several
meetings with her.

But the Miami Vice attitude that came out of that meeting, we don't have machine guns in Huntington Police Department cars. I've got them in a thing down here, in a safe locked up in my office. I don't have 15 or 20 police cars on the street. By God, I wish I did. I wouldn't have half the problems I've got right now. We are running nine cars on the street.

And I sympathize-- I told you I would look

into that and I will. But it's the perception that left this room, was here's the Huntington Police Officer with a machine gun laying across the car, 20 cars surrounding the people out there. I know a lot of bad things have happened. I'm not putting down from that. I agree with what took place up there was terrible. Let's not heighten the perceptions of what took place. I know there's problems and I want to work on them.

I'm sorry I took over. I'm not a reverend, but sometimes I speak too much, too. Thank you very much.

MR. PITTS: Our next speaker is Dallas Staples. Dallas is the new Chief of Police in the City of Charleston, West Virginia, finest and largest.

MR. STAPLES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd like to thank the committee and the commission for inviting me here today. There are five things that were on the letter that I received from the commission that they wanted us to respond to. I will briefly touch on some of those that I feel that are important to this forum. And I think that from one of the things that I heard this morning from the community group that was talking about what Huntington and other cities were looking for and, I think, that the increasing numbers of Afro-Americans in law enforcement. So recruitment would

have to be one of the high points or one of the things that I would like to touch on briefly.

And I think that what has made our recruitment a fairly successful recruitment in Charleston is that we had initially a strong statement from the top. I mean, it's very easy to send letters to this group and letters to this group and ask them to do that. But if you don't have a commitment from the top, who's asking for assistance from these groups, then it's not going to be effective. Because what you're dealing with is years and years of disappointment. So there has to be a strong, strong statement from elected officials saying this is what we're going to do.

And we made it very clear with our consent decree. Not that we had a consent decree, but what the terms of that consent decree and how it affected Afro-Americans, and that you could ask to be on a priority hiring list, and yes, it was extreme. And yes, there were persons that felt that it was reverse discrimination.

But after years and years of discrimination,

I think you have to take some extreme measures to bring

about some equality. You can't just say, well, we're

going to play equal now. You can't just make a general

statement that we're going to be an equal opportunity

employer.

Well, how are you going to be an equal opportunity employer? You have to spell it out. You have to elicit the assistance of the very community that you're recruiting. And if you're recruiting from the black community, then you have to solicit from the black community the assistance to develop an effective recruiting plan, with statements of what you will do. And that is what we did.

One of the things that made it a successful recruitment, we involved— not just sending our officers out, our black officers out without any power. It's easy to send a person out, but if they don't have the power, then they become merely conduits. They can only come out and then come back— and if you ask a question, they can only come back and ask— they tell you, well, I don't know. I have to go back and get the answer for you and then come back. We empowered them with the authority to say, this is what the City of Charleston will do, and this is what we're going to do, and that is how it's going to be done.

So, I think if you expect things from your recruiters, whether they be black or white, you have to give them some authority to voice something that has been policy made from the top. The top have to say this

is what we are going to do.

As a recruiter with no rank, I can't say what the mayor is going to do and what the governor is going to do or what the county commissioner or the sheriff is going to do. They have to say that. And to prove it to you, I'm sending my people out with the message.

So, that's what made our recruitment effective, and the fact that we have some terms of a federal consent decree that mandated that this be done. But I think it could be done without consent decrees, if the top is willing. And you have to prove to the people that you're willing and that this is done in earnest. You can't just say, well, we're going to do this. It has to come from the top. There has to be a statement from the top.

So that's what-- you know, if you're talking about recruitment, you can talk about all kinds of recruitment programs. But if you don't have something positive from the top to create an atmosphere that people want to invest in something, then it's not going to work.

We do the same for business. If we want to attract business to an area, we make that conducive to attract business to want to invest in this area. So the same goes with the job. You have to create an

atmosphere that's conducive, that people want to learn. We can't rely on old myths and wives tales about lowering standards. You know, that's a famous cop-out that law enforcement agencies across the country use as an excuse not to hire Afro-Americans, because we're lowering the standards. At the same time saying that those qualified Afro-Americans choose to seek employment elsewhere, but yet we represent the highest numbers on unemployment rolls. Then I say that, where are the qualified whites going? Aren't they not seeking better jobs? Then what do we have as representatives on our police departments? We have got some unqualified whites, then, isn't that what you would say?

So that's what we have to look at when we start making these type of statements about recruitment, about lowering standards. Yes, it's very easy-- you know, when you talk about testing instruments. I mean, every educational institution in this nation has said the standardized tests are culturally biased. So we need to look at that. We need to-- we need to not only look at testing instruments, we need to look at inclusion. Inclusion means including the African American community in the testing process, in every process, of either monitoring or being involved with developing a recruitment and hiring plan. And I think

you eliminate these type of things. You don't have to go out and search for someone to come in to tell you something.

I think if you involve people and keep them involved and keep it up front and keep your African American officers involved in developing recruitment and promotion plans, and keeping people that are going to be involved in developing these plans, then you eliminate these problems. You eliminate these problems.

And that's what we're going to continue to do in Charleston. We're not going to have these problems. You know, I sit and wonder, when will West Virginia come out of the dark into the light?

As Chief Wade said, this is 1991, and we are discussing things that other people have accomplished 20 years ago. And here we are today. You know, it's frustrating to me as an Afro-American to have to keep coming and talking about something that affects me and affects people of color. You know, we have leadership conferences every year, and we develop concerns and we send them off to administrators, only to come back next year to do the same thing, because they apparently go on deaf ears.

But as far as recruitment, I don't want to spend all my time-- I want to touch on some of these

other things. But as far as the status of procedures for internal review, we have that in Charleston. We have a review board for officers' misconduct, which an officer has an opportunity to have a review board from his peers, and then they make recommendations for any type of punishment, and that punishment, the Chief of Police has the authority to either accept that recommendation or to mete out his own punishment.

As far as the community assistance, that's one thing I think is important in community relations. I heard, this morning, a lady talking about a police precinct that in effect she lived next door to it that no one knew. We have a police precinct in a public housing community in Charleston. Now, we've had it for some time, and it's very effective. But I can assure you that the officers that staff that know everyone in that community. And they have to tell the kids they'll be back. They're there all the time talking to them. But it's community-style policing, something that the Charleston Police Department is currently developing with the help of the community.

We have solicited the help of the Women

League of Voters to chair, an advisory board and a

committee to help develop and implement a

community-style policing philosophy for the Charleston

Police Department.

And it will be developed— that plan will be developed with the community's assistance, with a large assistance from the community developing how we will go from phase to phase, which we'll be looking at a four—to five—year plan for how we're going to implement community—style policing. And that simply means getting out and knowing the community, knowing the people that you serve. Law enforcement has got to stop being just the servants of, but they have to become part of the communities that they serve. To be effective, they have to be part of the community.

Many of us live and grow up in those communities, so we have to be part of the community. We have to work, we have to forge partnerships. If we forge partnerships to address drug problems or crime problems and we forge this partnership with the community to develop plans or strategies, then the effectiveness of those plans are going to be really strong, because the community is going to have input. And then when they don't-- are not as successful, then the community shares in that success, and they share in it when it's not successful. So we come back together and continue to work and be flexible and develop things that will work.

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

2 3 4

And I think that most police departments are becoming more community-oriented. People want to tear down the barriers of glass and air conditioners, and police officers going so fast through their communities that they can't tell whether they're white or back. They don't know how many officers they've got on the department because they're going so fast, and they look straight ahead. They never stop once and speak and say hello, how are you.

I demand from each of my command officers, from captains, lieutenants and sergeants, they give me two hours a day on the streets in uniform. Now, they can wear their suits to work, but they bring a uniform. And they give me two hours a day and they get to know the business community and they're going to get to know the residential communities, but they're going to get to know the people. And we are going to— we're going to work, not to react to problems, but we're going to work with the community to prevent problems. And that's the key.

mean, I can send anybody to take a police report.

Anybody can do that. I don't need a sworn police

officer. I can take a civilian and send them to take a report after something has already happened to you, and

not be sensitive to that. We need to be sensitive to work with the communities to develop partnerships to know one another, tear down those barriers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're going to start with the help of the Department of Justice Community Relations Service. We are going to start a survey. We are going to do a survey in Charleston. First survey is going to be done with the police department. We're going to clear up some perception gaps that we know exist in the police Because, number one, I've got to know if this officer perceives the job the same as this officer. I've got to clear up some perception gaps within the department. And then I've got to do a survey with the community to find out where the perception gaps lie in the community. How does the community perceive what law enforcement should be doing? There definitely has to be a perception gap. That's one of the services that we use, is the Community Relations Service in the Department of Justice. Which I have the number if any of you are interested in, who come in and mediate community disputes. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: Next is Jerry Hutchinson, Jail Administrator for Cabell County.

MR. HUTCHINSON: That's something to follow after Dallas. I think you done some preaching,

too, Dallas.

First I want to thank you for having me here.

I'm here representing the sheriff, who's out of town on vacation. I know how he feels and I'm sure he'd want to be here.

Over the last two years since he's been in office, some of the things and programs that we've put in, I feel— even though I'm at the jail, it affects all members of the community. We definitely have an Affirmative Action Program that we have, now. We have a black female lieutenant who's in charge of that. We have a force policy we now have put in, and we don't wait until we get a complaint if something happens in the jail. If it happens, we investigate it then. You don't have to come back three days later to us. I want a report made any time any type of force is used so that we can immediately look into it. We try to keep this on a daily basis so that we don't have problems in the future.

Right now, we are actively recruiting also.

Our biggest problem is, as probably most of you in the community know, is low salaries for correctional officers. It's very difficult when we're starting at \$900 a month to get people there to go to work. We're definitely trying everything possible. I've sent out, I

think, probably about one hundred and some letters in the black community asking for your support. We need people.

If you're here and you know someone who's looking for a job, send them to see me. The test will be June the 1st, so this gives them some time to do some studying, but I need people there now.

We are actively, always looking. Our testing, we follow the State Civil Service exam, and I'm sure there can probably be improvements on it. But it has worked in a sense. It's probably not as sophisticated as the McCann test and some of the other ones, but the upper mobility has been good. Two out of our three shift commanders are females. One of them is a black female. Our assistance shift commander on the night shift is a male, black male.

So upper mobility in the department is working. And I'm sure the sophistication of the test is not nearly as good as many of the other ones, but it is working. And I think the policy - and I agree with Chief Staples - you have to have the policy from the top, we're going to be fair. I think that's what we're trying in every way possible to do.

We want people to come into our department.

We want people to move up in our department, and we're

not concerned about color. I really don't even-- it's not even thought about. What we want is people to take charge and move up, and I think that they're doing that. There's a lot that we need-- we're going to learn. There's a possibility, as something Chief Wade said, you know, there's something new every day. We are trying to learn. It's not-- nobody knows all of the answers. But I think that you'll find that we're trying to run a good jail. And I think you've already seen over the last two years the security, that alone, how we've tightened up. I'm sure some of you that were here before knew all of the escapes and everything that happened.

So, I think it's good management. I think there's a lot for us to learn. But, hopefully, we'll do better and we hope gradually that those salaries will come up and we can attract more people. If you know people, send them to me. I'm certainly interested. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Next we have R. Michael Mangum, Sheriff of Raleigh County.

MR. MANGUM: Thank you for having me here today. I've sat here all day. My head is sort of spinning thinking about all of the things I've heard on the first panel. And like the other speakers say, I can almost agree whole-heartedly. I hate to tell you I'm

probably the oldest guy on this panel. But I'm going to have to tell you to help my story along.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thirty-three years ago, I was a street officer in Durham, North Carolina, in the '60s, when we were having these riot problems. And I received a call to go to a local restaurant about a block from the courthouse, that the owner who was an elderly man was holding a twelve-gauge shotgun on Dr. Martin Luther King and a group of students from New York, I think it was. And I approached that shotgun very cautiously, and he surrendered the gun, and eventually just locked his business and never opened again. But the irony of the story is, he opened about two or three weeks later in a black community with a take-out business and probably became a millionaire. But he would not let people come in and sit at his counter. It's so strange why we act the way we do.

I remember the white and colored water fountains, I think is how they used to be labeled, at Walgreen's and Woolworth's and all those department stores, riding in the back of the power company buses. And I grew up with all this in law enforcement. I've been in it 33 years; starting my 33rd year.

I've taught police community relations for seven years in community colleges, and the things Ms.

Reynolds said this morning, she's absolutely right. Manners. We can have manners when we can't have the most expensive education and training. But we have to And in the police field we do try to train officers in this. But we need more sensitivity training, and I recognize it more and more every day We need to teach these officers, who a lot of these young officers never really dealt with black people, and they come in and their perception, as Chief Staples was saying, of facing this black person, not realizing that black and white, we all have feelings, we all are insulted, we all hurt, we get up the same day, we've got the same problems trying to make money to feed our families and things like that. We have to train these guys that you don't have to be afraid to face one on one with a black person anymore than you do a white I think we overreact sometimes because we are not trained sensitively towards black people. fear there that exists.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We know what we have to do, and I think we try to do those things. I think maybe we need to do a little bit more in the training end and the education.

My main problem, I guess, as a sheriff, is a little different from the City of Huntington and also from Charleston. We function under what we call - as

far as recruitment and promotions go - we function under a West Virginia State Code, that's 714, and it goes on and on, which sets out to tell us exactly how we can hire and how we can promote. And effective June the 6th, I believe it is, legislation just passed in the last session, every county in West Virginia, no matter what your population as far as county law enforcement, fall under this Civil Service Code.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we need to do, and I recommend to this commission and also to the people in the community that have some contact with the legislators and senators and whatever, we're going to need to take -- and take a sheriff's look at the West Virginia Code, Civil Service Code, because no matter how many increase in the black job market, I'd like to have -- I'm dictated by code that I have to give a test, the Civil Service Commission gives a test, then we're presented with a certified list, and we take the top three names. We are not talking about any way to deviate from this. It's code. You take the top three names and you pick one, try to pick the best one. Every police administrator wants the best policeman they can get, policewoman that they can And then automatically, they give you one more get. name for your second hiring. So you've got the same two you just passed over, plus one additional. And if the

black population is not up in the top part of that list, you never get your numbers up. And that's the way the code dictates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a misdemeanor not to follow that law.

No matter how much pressure you get from administrative boards, like the Civil Service or local groups, you can't deviate from the code. It's the same way with promotions. You give tests, and you promote people who are on that particular list. And it tells you how to do that. And if you vary from it, you're in violation of the code.

This is my sixth year as sheriff, starting the seventh year. I hired a black deputy with a Master's Degree in criminal justice. Within a week of the time I hired him, one Wednesday morning before Thanksqiving, I walked in and I saw about three or four TV crews on the parking lot and I wondered what in the world was going on in the courthouse. As I got to my office, it was in my office it was going on. I had been charged by the president of the Civil Service Commission with hiring a black illegally. I was not charged administratively, I was charged criminally. And I had to go and post a bond and go to a hearing and everything And in the end, I won, because I had followed the procedures. And I even won in a civil action after

that. But the point is, I hired a black with a Master's Degree who was very qualified, but somehow, I was perceived as doing something wrong. But, you have to follow the law.

That's what I'm trying to say, no matter how much I'd like to have five or six additional black people working on patrol, I am not dumb enough not to follow the law. I mean, I have to do that. And I have a hard time conveying that message to people. They think that I'm acting contrary as administrator.

I have been very fortunate in the civilian ranks of the sheriff's department. I had ten females working in the tax office -- well, I had twelve working there when I came. I now have ten. Out of the twelve, we had one black female in the tax office. That's a very good job. It's Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, all of the benefits, closed holidays, fairly good I now have five white females and five black pay. females working up there, and I made inroads in that But I can't do that with Civil Service because of the quidelines and the way that test list follows. The list is good for three years when you take a Civil Service test unless it expires prior to that, and you have to hire from the top to the bottom.

I have had a little bit of trouble in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

recruiting black deputies. I hired one that was-another one that was an excellent choice, issued him all
of his uniforms, had him report to work, and the night
before he reported to work, he called me. He said, "I
just can't do this." He said, "I'm not going to survive
in my neighborhood as a police officer." So there's a
lot of things-- he felt threatened in his own
neighborhood.

Sherwood and I were talking earlier, he would have been an asset in that particular neighborhood. We needed to have some representation of law enforcement, but he just didn't want to pay the price that he would have had to pay; and I can understand.

We have lost—— I've hired—— I have one black patrolman now. I had another one leave me and go with the city because of better benefits, having his own personal car and things like that. So you can't really keep your numbers up a lot of times, even though you'd like to. I mean, I recognize the need, I'd love to have five or six black deputies working in Raleigh County, and I think a lot of other sheriffs would.

So what we need to do is approach the legislation. If they just add or amend that law in some way to give us an opportunity, if they see fit, to bring it up and work on this problem so we have more blacks in

uniform in the County Sheriff's Department.

As far as promotions go, another flaw in the Civil Service law - and I don't mean to keep sliding it over to the legislature - but if you're calling for a promotions test and you want to promote a captain, a lieutenant, sergeant and a corporal, the same test, the same contents in the test goes to all four of these A captain, a guy that's trying to be a captain, he's taking the same test the corporal wants to take. Something is bad wrong in our system when you're not looking for more from a captain than you are from a I think there should be different tests for corporal. different ranks. I've said this for the last five or six years, every time I get a chance to communicate with the Civil Service, it doesn't give you anything to develop the responsibility in those leaders that you need.

training, we spend big bucks on basic training in the State of West Virginia. After we get them out of 14 weeks, we leave them out there for 20 years with eight hours of in-service. We should spend big bucks after basic training for the next 19 years to make sure that they are perceiving what law enforcement is all about.

We do 85 percent service - and I think that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

what Chief Staples was getting at - and 15 percent law enforcement. That's about what it is, if you could divide it up. You're out here helping people doing something that they think needs to be done rather than putting people in jail. I'm happy about that, especially in West Virginia. We don't have any jail space anyway. You kind of hope nobody really bothers anybody, maybe except drunk drivers or something, and a few drugs, things like that.

But we have to pay attention to the code, the present code, before we step over the boundaries. I've learned a lot today. And I could have very well delegated, but I thought I'd better come up here and take the heat and see where our real problems are. And I thought I'd take our delegation from Raleigh County.

We're very fortunate down there. I don't think we have some of the alleged problems, or they're not as highly visible, you know, as some of the people have related today. If anybody sees Ms. Reynolds, I'd like to personally apologize to her for that police officer's conduct. But he may have been having a bad day. And she's absolutely right, it would be nice if we said good morning and treat people, you know, with respect. And we do want to work and earn respect. I think there's a lot of good police officers out there

who thrive on 20 years in the job and making people feel good about what they're doing as a group. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Sherwood Brown, a former deputy sheriff of Raleigh County. As a matter of fact, he worked under Sheriff Mangum's administration, and is now the President of Black Law Enforcement Community of West Virginia.

MR. BROWN: I want to thank the commission for having me on the panel. I wanted to maybe bypass the first two statements here, concerning the internal review board and alleged police misconduct. Those are usually filed with, like Dallas said, with the chief of police or with the sheriff's department, it's usually filed with the sheriff or with the Civil Service Commission.

I want to get down to number three, the recruitment, hiring, and promotions of minority and women in law enforcement. I think maybe the state police is upgrading their hiring policies, but I think this is almost a disgrace to have 545 troopers and only ten black troopers on there. One black trooper of rank, which is a sergeant, ten white females, and also no black females. And he mentioned about lowering the standards. That's not what, basically, the black people are asking you to do. We're not asking you to lower the

standards. What we have found is those blacks who take your test with high standards are also denied in some kind of way being recruited with the state police.

You've got your case right now which is with the NAACP filed in Federal court stemmed from that. A young man who took the test probably—— I think he made the highest score anybody ever made with the state police, and yet still he was turned down on the aptitude test. That's where that suit started from.

We want to talk a little bit about promotions. One of the problems with promotions is that nine times out of ten, you will find, just like I listed here with the state police, one black sergeant. a Master's Degree. You're not going to find many black people, or many people, period, with a Master's Degree wanting to be a police officer. They usually can find And that's basically one of your problems. better jobs. And that's what you're asking for, out of the black people in their community, is that we want your best, the top, we want these guys with the Master's Degrees and PhDs, and can't get those guys. Those guys are going on to something better because that salary is a little bit too low for them. You're going to have a problem there.

Basically with the Beckley Police Department,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

same thing, 32 officers, three blacks, one on the detective bureau, one white female, no black females, no blacks of rank. They've got officers that have been on there 17 years, yet still either can't pass a promotion exam or were bypassed on promotions. That's the same thing that is happening not only with the state police and the city police, also with the sheriff's department.

I've got a suit right now pending before the courts that's been pending since 1975. I have had four hearings before the Civil Service Commission, which did a thorough investigation, finding race discrimination and denial of promotion but yet, still, you can't get past the Civil Service and it's now sitting waiting in the circuit court to be heard. That's another one of the problems that we have in recruiting black officers. And you're going to have that problem until you upgrade your department to where that you can hire black officers and give them equal treatment.

Let's go to harassment. One of the main things, after being a black police officer. Say you're hired and you're on the department, number one thing is that harassment, not from the public, people would think, well, Joe Blow public out there is harassing. That's not your problem. In fact, I think I got along better with the public. My problem was the department

itself. When I went out on patrol and answered a call, my first thought, was I being set up by the department. If I make a mistake, if I happen to make a mistake, regardless of how small it is, the department is going to be the first one to come down on me to get rid of me. I've experienced that in my 17, going on 18, years with the law enforcement department of Raleigh County Sheriff's Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Basically with females, with the Raleigh County Sheriff's Department in the last-- I think we've got less blacks in that department than we've had in the last 15 years. In 1968, it started out, a sheriff named Ziney French who right off the bat, when they talked about they were, having a hard time hiring and finding black officers and black people to hire, Ziney French went in with four black officers, right off the bat. But other sheriffs say they can't find them. From that point on, after he went out of office, the black officers started to go down from that point, and we are I've got to give Mr. Mangum credit, that down to one. he has tried to hire black officers. He's hired, I believe, was it four?

MR. MANGUM: Five.

MR. BROWN: Five in his administration.

But the problem is no opportunity to advance. The

chance of every being promoted to captain or lieutenant, or whatever, he knows once he gets on the department, he can see that's not going to ever happen. So, those officers that you hire that's got those college degrees are not going to stay there, because they see they're not going to be able to advance. Even a lot of whites won't stay because of no opportunity to advance.

And the harassment part of it, like I said - I don't want to keep repeating myself - but that's the bottom line. The 90 percent of -- you find 90 percent of the black officers who have been promoted to lieutenant and sergeant had to go through the court system. Same thing with Charleston, had to go to court to have it done, forced. It wasn't done voluntarily. It was forced on the department to go ahead and promote blacks to the position they should be at.

Now, let's talk about community effective—community assistance necessary for effective law enforcement. I found, as a deputy sheriff, when the Civil Service Commission also forced the sheriff to put me on the road as a road patrolman—see, when we first went under Civil Service, I was one of the first—one of the first deputies hired when we first went under Civil Service. But when the new sheriff came in, he had four black police officers. I was the new one coming

in, so I remained in the jail. And the statement was, as long as he was sheriff that I would remain in the jail, because he had more black officers out there than he needed.

From that point, the Civil Service Commission forced him to put me on the road. And then when they asked him why, he said, "Well, I just don't have anywhere to work you." Mainly that I couldn't work in the white community. So when he was forced to do that, he put me in an all white community, which we called the Clearfork area. I think one black family lived in that area.

My policy was then, to be a law enforcement officer to know the people. The first thing I did, I stopped at everybody I seen on the road at every store and I got to know these people. And from that point, I got a good community relationship from those people. I got protection even from my own department. When there were times when I was probably going to be set up by the department, they would come and warn me, "you better watch out, this thing is going down." In fact, all of you don't know, in 1979, I was hired and fired three times by the same sheriff, and put back to work by the Civil Service Commission. Finally the sheriff just give up and said, "Just let him go on to work. Let's put him

back down in Clearfork where he will be away from the department and everybody." That's where I stayed the whole time that he was sheriff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It wasn't until Claude Dean took office they changed my shift from day shift to night shift, and at that time we were working with two men in the car. That's where the experience come in and where it helps you in your community and in your black or white community. I worked with a white deputy for four years. Our approach when we went to answer the complaint in the black community - I never will forget the first complaint we answered - he was nervous, afraid he was going to have trouble. And then when he got in there and we got to meet the people and talk to the people, he said, "I didn't know these people would do that." agreed to help him if at any time he had a problem, let them know and they would come out and give him all the support he needed. It just took that one instance where he could go into the black community with another black officer, feel free to go ahead and do his job and not to have any fear. That's where the problem is. where it's at. That's what it's going to take to change with black police officer -- with police officers working in the black community.

Those two-man cars, that's what you need,

with black and whites working together and then getting the better understanding out of what black people are all about and what white people are all about. And you'll find out in the end, they're all about the same thing. There's no difference in any of them. So, that's basically where we're at now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to leave a little time here for the Reverend Robert I. Brown to make some remarks. He's on our Civil Service Commission. He has been on there for the last four or five years now.

I do want to make one other statement about the affirmative action plan which we have installed within the Civil Service Commission. Back about two years ago, the NAACP, it was on a suit I had filed with the West Virginia Human Rights Commission on promotions, and that three-time firing back in '79, they finally got around to it ten years later. And we had a Human Rights Commission to draw up an affirmative action plan. by settling their -- the first part of the promotion out of court, I asked that the Civil Service Commission install that affirmative action plan into their rules and regulations. At that time, I basically had a favorable Civil Service Commission. I had two people on there that was -- that would -- knew the problem. had -- Reverend Brown and James Foster had lived with me

through that harassment, that whole time of harassment up to 1985, when James Foster was on the department.

And they knew the harassment. When they read that plan, they said, this is a good plan. Let's try to work with it.

And mainly what it is asking, is that the sheriff hire nine percent black on his department. If he's got 32 or 34 deputies now, that means he only had to have three deputies. There's a clause in there asking dismissal of any officer, any ranking officer, who was found guilty of harassing any, not only black officer, but any other officer. If he was found guilty of it, he would be dismissed by the commission and the sheriff himself.

I don't know whether the sheriffs would have a problem living with that, but I think our Civil Service Commission right now is on the verge of taking that out of their rules and regulations because they can take them out and put them in and take out what they want to and put them in. So, we are right now in limbo with that. We are working with the Civil Service commission. And I'm finished.

MR. PITTS: Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I used up all my time, I'm

25 sorry, Reverend.

MR. PITTS: We are now at that point in time. If we can have questions and answers to this panel.

SPEAKER: This question is for the chief of police for Huntington.

MR. WADE: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER: My name is Constance Burke, and I represent West Virginia University. You were discussing multi-cultural sensitivity training and discussing the different ways you intend to implement it. I heard the last part. Would you please reiterate what I heard in the area of multi-cultural sensitivity training, and how you plan to implement it?

MR. WADE: I think what you're referring to is, I said I believe it needs to go much further than what we had already done, and that is: we had our command staff sit in just a very small eight-hour block of classes for multi-cultural sensitivity training.

Our intentions are to indoctorate that style of training both on our recruitment selections and within a recruiting class, plus an ongoing training period each year.

And in St. Louis, the day I signed on at the department and went to the Police Academy, we had what I called sensitivity type training. For three weeks after

graduating in the Police Academy, the first 16 weeks you're assigned to Police Community Relations Bureau. It would be in a completely white area, a black area, a mixed area, or whatever, but you learn to deal with not blacks, not white, but people. And that's-- I didn't say we were so darn far apart here. You know, it's a shame we have got to be talking black and white issues in '91. I'm sorry, that's just the way I feel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAPLES: Can I add on to that? And I don't mean to be laboring on the West Virginia Department of Public Safety. I know Dale Humphreys, I know he's very sincere about their efforts to increase the African American population within the Department of Public Safety. But one thing that I know that the chief will agree with me that that limits municipal departments, is the state police and governor committee develops the curriculum for the West Virginia State Police, a basic police course. And I think what we will be doing in the future is to lobby the governor to allow us to have more input into the curriculum that the officers are being taught, regardless of -- if you had all black officers down there, they wouldn't be getting that sensitivity training that we feel is necessary.

SPEAKER: I have a question I'd like to ask. How can-- and especially to you, Chief Wade, how

can you have any sort of sensitivity training without individuals from the community, give and a take? How can it be done?

MR. WADE: We did that. We used Dr. Cleckley from Marshall University and Dr. Patterson, he was on the panel amongst others from around the area. You're right. I mean, that's what we did, that's what we'll continue to do.

SPEAKER: Well, the reason I'm saying

Chief Wade, we just don't-- now, I'm not being funny.

Someone has to come in and ask those pertinent questions
that really make people squirm. We need that, sir.

MR. WADE: We had a lot of people that made people squirm on both sides of the fence. I believe it was very successful what we did. It was just too little. We need to do more.

MR. PITTS: I think her question goes beyond a typical black. I think it deals with the people from the street level.

SPEAKER: Right.

MR. PITTS: When we use the term "street level," we mean people that are everyday people, people that have this negative concept and perception of law enforcement. I think that her questions says, how long will it be before these people are made a part and

participating from the level of input? Could you address her question now, from that perspective?

MR. WADE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will address your question. I think I understand exactly what you're asking. are we going to go about doing that is a little bit through our precinct house down there right now. talk to people. We do get out. We are babies. trying to learn how to crawl right now. We are trying We are trying to address some problems. to crawl.

The police officer that we have down there, you know, maybe he's not trying as hard as he should. felt a lot of resistance from it. I felt resistance from the community, both ways. The way you're talking about, how do we get from that to interacting between us? That's the way we can do it right now. It's not the best way we'll do in the future.

As Chief Staples says, we are going to petition the commission here to try and get some sort of training within the police academies across the State of West Virginia. That's the only way it's going to be That's starting at the top, not starting developed. with my lieutenants or my captains in sensitivity training. We have got to start with the new people coming across right now and continue the process, don't just give them eight hours or 40 hours of sensitivity

training. Make enough training where it becomes a way of everyday working.

MR. STAPLES: I was going to add, in Charleston, what we are going to do, we are going to do it twofold. We are going to really impact -- I am going to impact on the command level, because they're the ones that's going to be responsible and they're going to be responsible for the actions of the subordinates. They're going to be the ones that I hold responsible. They're going -- they're going to be the ones why people will be doing certain things, because we are going to have an accountability. We are going to have supervisors out there that will be able to say what these subordinates are going to be doing. And they're going to be the ones that are responsible. They don't shoulder the responsibility of the rank, they're not going to have the rank. That's exactly the way I feel. I know that Chief Wade feels the same way.

MR. WADE: There's no question about that statement.

MR. PITTS: Let me ask you about some defecting here, some skirting of the issue of involving everyday people into the process. I have not heard an answer about everyday people.

MR. STAPLES: Here's what we are going

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to do in Charleston. Within the next coming weeks before the 1st of July, we'll have a citizen's advisory board to the Charleston Police Department. We have a youth advisory board to the Charleston Police Department, because I don't think that any police anywhere can deal with problems without the assistance of the community to identify what the problems are. I think we have to quit policing on a broad spectrum and start taking a lot of our cues from the problems that are occurring in the different communities. We can't develop programs, a blanket program or inform communities, because every community has different problems.

So these officers that work in one community may have a different set of problems from the officers that work in another community. So we have to develop strategies. These officers who work in communities have to forge partnerships with that community and work with them to develop strategies to deal with the problems in that community. I think we have to stop treating the symptoms of certain problems.

We tend to want to treat-- we treat the symptoms of substance abuse in certain communities. We never identify the causes. We only treat the symptoms. We are people-- we kick down doors and that's only

treating the symptoms, because it doesn't go away. We need to get in there, and we need to start looking at what's causing this particular community to have this particular problem, and what's being-- we do other than law enforcement. We can be part of the solution. What can we do with the community? You're going to have to have the community give you feedback or suggestions and, you know, here's what we can do.

SPEAKER: My problem, I think, is a little more mundane. We have a community, we have a system that is already rotten. Now, then, you're going to bring the new officers in and you're going to make them different. My question to you is how? After I finish, whoever wants to answer. You have already got everything built in. As I understood by the community, it's understood by law enforcement, it's understood by the court system, you're going to make a change. You're not going to change these people out here.

MR. WADE: I will answer the question, as far as Huntington goes.

SPEAKER: I'm not through, yet, Chief.

One of the methods we use, of course, is your

commissions. But then you select people you want on

those commissions, to do things you want to do. And

almost never do you get the loyal opposition. You don't

want anybody to oppose that.

One of the things I suggested was along the line of the sensitivity center in Huntington. I suggested Phil Carter was an ideal person to do it, because he's been into it and doing it. All I'm saying to you is when you make the selections, you have your reasons for making the selections. And what I'm challenging you to do is explain to me how you can justify that when you know from jump there's not going to be any changes made. You're talking economics and jobs.

It's frightening to think that it takes twice as much money to hire a black to do the same job that you give away to whites. When you say "qualified" to me, I get upset, because when you say "qualified," you're talking about something special where blacks are concerned. But anybody qualifies, if he is white. But the same people are interpreting and you put the same people out there.

I want to know what you're going to do towards rot gut, right down to the bottom, if you can employ a white for that job, you can employ a black for it. And they'll take that same money and do probably as good or as better job as the whites.

MR. WADE: A couple of things. I don't

1	know why it costs twice as much money to get a black as
2	it does for a white. I've never heard that statistic
3	before. Number two, you never told me to ask Mr.
4	Carter Dr. Carter, excuse me, to be on that
5	sensitivity board. No, you didn't.
6.	SPEAKER: Chief, I made the open
7	suggestion.
8	MR. WADE: To me?
9	SPEAKER: To you
10	MR. WADE: When?
11	SPEAKER:that you use Phil Carter.
12	MR. PITTS: Let us move in the
13	direction
14	MR. WADE: Well, I think I need to
15	answer questions like that.
16	MR: PITTS: I will give you a moment to
17	respond. But I will not allow such outbursts in the
18	future. And I will adhere to it. I'm not here for an
19	indictment against the Chief of Police. We're here to
20	gather information. These personal battles will have to
21	be fought elsewhere.
22	SPEAKER: No personal battles
23	MR. WADE: I'm not aware of what you're
24	talking about, any personal battles. I think he and I
25	are on pretty good terms when we talk. But at any

rate-- I hope we are. At any rate, the way I will handle things within the Huntington Police Department, as far as hiring new police officers and who's going to handle them and their sensitivity training and how they're going to react, versus the people who're already in place will be simply through my leadership, my example.

The people that are captains now, some of the people that's in administrative positions, some that are in supervisory roles, I don't think there's anyone in this room that believes I'd tolerate any sort of racism in that department. If and when it's brought to my attention at any level, I react to it at that time, and I try to react to it if I see it surfacing around me somewhere. I will not tolerate it. That's been clear to every police officer and every citizen of Huntington since November the 8th. That's the way I am.

murky here. You see, I think I was in the same meeting that Jim recommended that you use Phil. Now, you may not realize how racist you were in the terms and the observations that you made in selecting certain people, but how can a person who has been through all of the stuff that is happening in Huntington compare with an individual who has been to diddy-wa-diddy and just got

back?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. WADE: If I--

SPEAKER: Oh, Jim, oh, he put it beautifully here today. And Chief, I think, now, that you don't mean to be racist in your thinking, but you have accepted the racist thing. And that's bad.

MR. WADE: Well, I hear what you're saying, and I'm sorry if that's the way I'm coming across. I certainly don't mean to be that way.

MR. PITTS: Dr. Carter?

SPEAKER: First of all, I really appreciate the negotiations that took place. And I must admit to you that Betty Cleckley approached me about And I said with this police department, I helping her. refuse to do it. And you had nothing to do with it. You weren't even here when I think that was being contemplated. It was about a year ago or so, because I do do police training. I do a lot of training. none in West Virginia. None. I've trained in Delaware, a police department, and Newark, and the University of Delaware, and I usually train them together. campus police need to be trained with the community police.

I've done training, pre-test training, for people who were going to take the test in Allegheny

County for the Allegheny Police Department. Yes, I'm aware-- and I appreciate you saying those kinds of things, but most people don't want a wooge-- I'm a wooge. The boogies don't like this woogie. I train people to deal with woogies, boogies, jigaboos and wahoos. And the wahoos are the white guys out there.

Now, for those of you who understood what I said, that's the way I do it. But, let me get back to another question. The police department of Huntington will not have credibility, and any other police department will not have credibility, unless it finds a way to rejuvenate and to rehabilitate and to deal with justice for the way it has previously harassed African American officers or women or other people of color.

You must do something with this man who has been on the force for 20 years plus, still a patrolman. Was on night shift for almost 20 years, not in the African American community. He has given his money and his time to train some of the best athletes that we've seen come out of here, in boxing, karate. A specialist in the martial arts, undercover detective that I understand - and some of you are shaking your heads - who has been all over this state and served in dangerous work, and yet he remains at that level. We need this man in the community. He has the credibility to give

1 that precinct. Yes, I know he hasn't advanced to 2 Send him to school and train him. He's only 3 forty-some years of age, and has 20 years of experience. 4 It is important, Chief, you do something with 5 this man here. That's important. That's a symbol. That's a significant step. That's the way to reach out 6 7 to the community. That's the way to rehabilitate. That's the way to move forward. That's the way to 8 9 accrue legitimacy. Do it not just for him, but for all 10 of us. That helps to bring credibility to the 11 department. Chief, do you wish to 12 MR. PITTS: 13 respond? I had tried to keep something--No, I don't have a problem 14 MR. WADE: 15 with this at all. I wish I could have been here five 16 years ago to develop people like Austin Hairston. 17 wish I could have been here five years ago to try to 18 develop a sensitivity part for this police department. 19 But I have only been here for six months. How long have 20 you been in Huntington, sir? 21 SPEAKER: Off and on for over 30 years. 22 They ran me out after four years the first time. 23 MR. WADE: Okay. They won't run me out 24 after four years.

SPEAKER:

I don't have much confidence.

1 I wish I could say that.

3.

MR. WADE: I can. I will try to develop the quality leadership in Austin Hairston or anybody else in this police department. Austin, your name is up. Did you take the last test?

SPEAKER: Chief, to be honest with you, it's a waste of time. Total waste.

MR. WADE: Did you take the test before then?

SPEAKER: Yes, I've taken several tests.

MR. WADE: Did you take the test before that testing?

SPEAKER: The last one I took was in 1980, the PFC, when they promoted 20 officers. And no, I didn't get promoted, and I was on the list. I never did.

MR. WADE: Okay. 1980. You're telling me-- and yes, I agree with you, the man has been here for 20 years. He has been a part of this police department. He's definitely been a leadership symbol within the community, not just the Fairfield community, but the Huntington community, and West Virginia, and law enforcement. But I can't promote him or anybody else--

MR. CARTER: Chief, I understand what you're saying. However, just be sensitive with me for a

moment. There are things that you can do. Yoù can look around to your brothers on this system, and they can give you some ideas on how to do that and not violate the law, how to help a person get ready for a test.

Because you see, if a person continues to be beaten down, that person may feel that there is no help. I have confidence that Austin can do it, but Austin needs your help. Take command.

As the commander here said, take command and say Austin, I am going to help you. I am going to help you and you're going to pass that test. And we are going to promote you so that he's put on a fast track, because he's been placed for 20 years on a slow track and side-tracked by the slow track.

MR. WADE: I don't want to get into a bickering contest back and forth.

SPEAKER: I'm not bickering with you.

I'm asking you, man. I'm not telling you. I'm asking
you.

MR. WADE: And I want to do that. We'll do things, and we are sensitive. But I can't promote this man from 1980. I have been here for six months. Going by the test-- he can take the test. He will have the opportunity--

SPEAKER: You can help him. You can

help him. You can help him, and you can help build the support for him. If a man has been here that long and attacked--

MR. PITTS: We've got to move on.

SPEAKER: I'm sorry.

MR. PITTS: I probably should not have-that's gone far enough. Mr. Hinton?

MR. HINTON: I'm going--

MR. PITTS: I'm dealing with-- I haven't passed you over.

MR. HINTON: I want to make a comment and a suggestion back on the question that Ms. Burke asked about sensitivity. And there was something going around—the question about the people in the community, particularly grass—roots community. The comment I want to make is, all too often there's an assumption that every community has some high profile blacks. They've got the Phil Carters, they've got the Robert Browns, they've got the Sherwood Browns and so forth. And many times when you're trying to get a community committee, the police chief will say, hey, would you serve or do you want to recommend somebody?

And sometimes we think that people like the Carters and the Hintons and so forth, we speak for the massive of the people. And that's not always true. And

I think it may be important. You might go on the street sometimes, I think it was Sixteenth Street, wherever it is, and you've some young dudes hanging out on the corner and say, "we're getting ready to form some community committee here. Who would you recommend?"

And we might put them on that committee. You would be surprised that they may offer some very good suggestions, because they're going to start calling out the names of the very people they have the most respect for, because they respect them. You're going to get some good suggestions by just talking to the people.

3.

And I'd suggest to all of you, and I can look at them and say one thing. I've been impressed with all of you police officers. I have detected a strong sense of genuine desire to improve from where we are, and I appreciate that. But I just suggest strongly, go to the people. The very people that you may be arresting one day, or suspected of a crime, and they will tell you—they will drop names on you. It will be very important to them and important to you as well.

MR. PITTS: Arley?

SPEAKER: I guess really what I was saying was very similar to what he was saying. In terms of community people, I'm not only a black City Councilman in the Huntington community. And I have

blacks in the community that tell me I'm not doing anything, haven't said anything, haven't lived anything, haven't gone anywhere, every day. But you talk about communities. I mean, there's extremes on both sides.

There's extreme officers in the police department that need to be dealt with, but there's some extreme community people you don't need to be fooling with, and I don't need to be fooling with and they don't need to be on nobody's commission doing anything. I mean, I'm telling you what I know. I mean, you're talking about getting someone who's knowledgeable, someone who can be objective, someone who can list the issues as they actually are, and you just can't pick up anybody off the street. I wouldn't suggest anybody off the street. I came from the street. I know how I felt then.

It takes a little while, a little understanding, a little bit of knowledge to fully grasp what's going on. These dynamics are bigger than just what you are, I think, for the moment. And it's past personal vendettas.

You talk about history, you're talking about years and years of systems building and insulating themselves, and you're not going to tear that down overnight. You're not going to tear that down with one

black police chief in Charleston, West Virginia, or two black patrolmen in Huntington. The community has to work on this. The majority community has to be a part of this.

The biggest problem in Huntington is, there's not enough of the majority community in business and in the political structure, those of the powers that be, that are involved with this process.

There's many of us in the back community's that are involved. There's many people in the police community that are involved, but not enough from the majority community. Those that really control the dollars. Those that really control the larger social institutions. They're not involved even to this point. They're not even here today. And until that happens, we are really not going to do anything but scratch the surface and have a lot of name calling back and forth, and a lot of innuendo and a lot of nothing. That's where we need to work on this community. That's what we haven't gotten yet.

SPEAKER: Sherwood?

MR. BROWN: I'm going to say to this commission, and maybe they want to check it out and see,
I think we put too much emphasis on high scores and
Civil Service exams.

1

2

5

7

6

8

time.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

I know some of the finest officers, and I know one of the finest officers I worked with on the Raleigh County Sheriff's Department, just barely passed the test to be an officer. And I don't think he's ever passed a test for promotion, and that was a young man named Mark Harper. He was one of the finest officers we had on the department. And I think we put too much emphasis on who makes the high score on the test is going to be the best officer. That's not true all the

Let me add to that, and I MR. STAPLES: want to add this to Sheriff Mangum so he'll have this information about not being able to get to black applicants who are on lists because they're too far down. There's case law that came out of Kanawha County, and it's styled Harry Frank Frazier versus the City of Charleston, where Frazier, a white male, scored high on I think he scored the third highest score on the test. the test, and he was passed over. So he sued because he was passed over. And he sued in Kanawha County Circuit And Judge Smith ruled that the mayor or the selecting administrator was not bound to go from the top of the list, that anyone on the list was, in fact, And it wasn't a matter of grades, and that certifiable. he could have passed over. And the West Virginia

Supreme Court upheld that. So, it means that you don't have to go with the top three. That is an option that is left open to a mayor or to a sheriff the way you want to pick. That was a case that was upheld by the West Virginia State Supreme Court, which said this guy-- they passed over him even though he was the third highest on the test, and it's said that the mayor could pick from any three within that--

MR. WADE: It's also been upheld by the Federal government.

MR. STAPLES: Right. And what it's saying is, that's these people from 100 to wherever your cutoff is, all of these people in here are certifiable.

I mean, you can pick from anywhere.

MR. WADE: That's also been certified through the Federal courts, not just the State court here.

SPEAKER: My name is Casey James, and I'm a Captain with the Charleston Police Department.

I've been a member of the Charleston Police Department for 27 years now, and I rose from the rank of patrolman to the rank of captain over the 27 years. I have taken Civil Service examinations from the time that I was a patrolman, up until the last test I took two years ago for Captain. And over the years, I have seen the test

evolve from a basic police test based on knowledge of your job that you do as a police officer to a high-tech examination. And this is the problem I think that law enforcement is encountering now, the command instructor has somehow raised the standard of the examination, the high-tech police examination, knowing that only five or six percent of the total population within the police department is going to pass that examination.

And that cuts out most blacks, because these high-tech examinations are catered to people who have serious knowledge about law enforcement, people who have degrees in certain things, or people who grow up in a law enforcement family. And to be frank with you, I took the test for captain in 1989, and I was one of seven people taking the test for captain. I ended up number three on the list. And to be frank with you, the test I took, it was so difficult that some people said they didn't know the questions. Some of the answers, some of the problems I didn't even know. I couldn't identify the questions. That's how high-tech the examination has become over the years.

Probably if we get back to the basic police examination, entry level examinations and promotion examinations, then we wouldn't have to worry about someone saying we're lowering the standards for someone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to get on the department. If we just give a standard police test like when I went on the police department 27 years ago, it was a standard Civil Service police examination. Most minority people can read and write, and can understand mathematics somewhat. But when you get up into high—calculus and all this sort of thing, you don't understand the stuff until you have a background in it, and only about five or six percent of the people of law enforcement are going to pass it.

And this is one way probably how this thing has evolved to strike out minorities and lower non-minorities who suffer from the same problems that we have. And there were six people under me when I took the test for captain. They had the same problems, because they're not adept at these kinds of things. I don't know anything about the test.

MR. STAPLES: I think you have to also look at localized validation of tests— of instruments, of standardized instruments, how does it pertain to the locale. I think you have to also look at how much you weigh written examinations. How much weight do you put on it? I mean, if you're going to let a written examination count 85 percent of the total score, you're going to eliminate a whole lot of people. You're going to eliminate a whole lot of people. And most testing

agencies tell you that before you put any weight on their instruments, because usually most of them do surveys and studies to how their instrument impacts on certain groups, whether it be females, males, Hispanics, Asians, they have a chart that tells you how it impacts. And most testing companies will tell you before you put a weight on an instrument, see what type of impact it has on your population of minorities that are tested. Most of them have charts. And a lot of them will tell you if it has a despairing impact, don't even use the instrument. But we go forward with it and go ahead and use it anyway, as a measuring tool, and it's not a proper measuring tool for a person's ability to do the job.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because most of the content most of the time is not even germane for the locale they're tested in.

We had something on our test that wanted to know what were the results of the Rochester study. Well, I didn't know Rochester did a study and what it was about. But these are the type of questions that are on there. And I mean, why would you even be thinking about that? What does it have to do with the job that you do or you perform within a law enforcement agency.

So, I think that, number one, agencies need to start looking at those tests. And then the key is

just what they've-- the gentleman, he is gone now, but he was in the back. You've got to involve the community. Because I can make-- I mean, you can develop a test that a second grader can pass, but then you could have the problems with physicals.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, we had one time, we had every black applicant we had had a heart murmur, or they had knee injuries from football, from playing football. this past testing, we had a white psychologist who was doing the psychological testing who -- the applicant that she denied at first, he ended up graduating second out of our academy. She said that he was anti-social. But she was an upper-class white psychologist giving a psychological test made up of her values to an applicant who was from the Sugar Cane area of Florida. I mean, it's different to me, his culture is different. different. So I can imagine how she drew those conclusions.

But that was something that we had to look into, you know, who do we have doing these tests? How many black psychologists do we have out there that are giving these tests? How many black physicians are we using to do this? Who's setting the standards? Do we have any input in the standards that people say, well, you're not qualified because you don't meet the

standards?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You know, we had - and again, I don't mean to belabor the West Virginia State Police - but we had an applicant, a black applicant, who has a Master's Degree in business and finance - and again, I wondered why he wanted to be a police officer, but it's a dream and he has the right to want to be one - but his body fat was two percent higher than what the standards were set by the Department of Public Safety. So, they didn't want to take him.

And that's what I'm saying. We need more input; local agencies need more input. And you know, I'm not arguing their standards. If they want to have those standards for them, that's fine. But don't tell the municipalities that I have -- in effect, what you're doing is by me-- our departments having to live to their standards, they're in effect telling us who we can hire and who we can't hire in order to get them trained. I'm saying we should have more input and the communities need to have more input into-- and that's like telling Charleston, well, you need 20 police officers, well, you can only have ten. Because these others don't meet the standards, although they may meet your standards. So that's a problem that we've got to deal with.

SPEAKER: Can I ask Chief Staples, what

would two percent body fat have to do with-- I mean, how would that necessarily affect the whole situation, the weight or anything else?

MR. STAPLES: That's what I'm saying about having involvement and input in developing standards. I think standards, we're kind of loose about standards in West Virginia, anyway, because most standards are only for hiring. You know, you can be fit-- you have to be fit as a fiddle when you get hired, but after you've gone through the academy, they don't care, you can walk around as heavy as you want. So I think that there's a real problem with the standards there. If you're going to have them, they should be for all the time.

SPEAKER: May I just raise one other question. If I'm fit as a fiddle when they hire me and then I walk around and I'm heavy, and then I'm promoted, are you going to hold a standard of weight against me because I've gotten fat sitting around?

MR. STAPLES: No. That's what I'm saying. That is just another example of how you can use the system to eliminate who you want to eliminate. And that's a big concern of most communities.

MR. GOTTLIEB: I'll address this to the chief. Aren't we-- you all confronted with certain

regulations that the Service Commission as set up, about the legislature. I assume before that you all have attempted maybe to contact either some of the legislative committees in order to change part of this because I served years ago on the Police Civil Service in Clarksburg. We changed some things. Everyone is challenging now the educational system, whether the SAT exam, this kind of exam, and there's a lot of merit in challenging some of them. But I'm trying to figure out how can we get to the basis of it and make some improvements and move in the right direction.

MR. STAPLES: Well, I think we have to look at when those Civil Service laws were enacted.

You're talking about laws that are 20, 30, 40 years old that have not been revamped. Those are years when certain jobs were reserved for white males only.

Well, it's changed today. We can't have the same standards for white males and expect females to pass it. I mean, that's just— it's just not fair.

It's not fair to say, well, we want females and then put a standard that you know that's completely out of their reach, as far as physical fitness goes; expect females to do 50 sit—ups. It's just unfair. And I think we need to revamp those Civil Service—

MR. GOTTLIEB: Where should that

originate, is what I'm trying--

MR. STAPLES: Oh, the State legislature. They're the ones that regulate Civil Service law.

MR. GOTTLIEB: Do either chiefs of police or state or other law enforcement have a committee who have made certain recommendations that are made to the committee, legislative leaders have not considered or are considering?

MR. STAPLES: Well, what we did was wenthe Civil Service is not really specific that you have to do certain things. I mean, that you have to do them a certain way. Because we started out with a physical fitness, and we just—we saw what an impact it was having on certain candidates and certain groups of candidates, so we did away with it. We just said, we're not going to count that. Because we were eliminating too many good applicants because—simply because of a standard that was set by—not for police officers, in the Code, it's not designed for police officers, it's something of the fire department, National Fire Department Code Standards they set up. So the Civil Service said, we'll just include that as everybody's physical fitness.

MR. HINTON: Let me just piggy-back on that. Do you think maybe the FOP and the State

organization ought to be a part of that process, because 1 if we're going to make some changes, would they not have 2 3 a conservative approach and might resist that and might affect a political bill against passing the legislation? 4 5 MR. STAPLES: The FOP is an organization made up to address the concerns of the majority. 6 7 the majority in law enforcement are white males. So, I have a lot of problems with the FOP being involved with 8 9 developing certain standards. Their standards -- they're going to be developed by who? 10 MR. HINTON: But if 11 I understand that. 12 they're going to have a problem with the process, my point is, would they be an opposition to such 13 14 legislation and therefore maybe effective of getting it 15 passed? 16 No, I don't think so. MR. STAPLES: 17 MR. WADE: The FOP can be very effective--18

MR. STAPLES: They can be effective in getting it passed.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WADE: The FOP just got a promotion bill passed in this state this last time. One of our lieutenants from the Huntington Police Department got the bill passed. And it's not a very good bill. Yes, it is. It's not management taking care of management

positions. It's the tail wagging the dog. The bill for promotions state simply in this state that it will only be made based upon experience and examination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what we've done with that is allowed the FOP, our members of it, to go to people like you to get us back in the court system and sue us again to identify experience and to identify and qualify a test. I say to the Commission and the people out here, it's time that law enforcement administrators do what we're hired to do, and that is administrate police departments. maybe just not based upon West Virginia, but based upon law enforcement as a national norm. There are processes out there that we work with nationally that work very well across the United States and will do great right here in West Virginia. It's called an accreditation It takes care when a police officer is recruited to the date that police officer maintains a rank of chief of police. It's like your hospitals, your schools. It's an accreditation process. That's what I advocate doing.

MR. PITTS: This gentleman right here.

SPEAKER: I have a question which may dovetail some of the things you're addressing. When Chief Wade spoke earlier, he talked about some legislative changes that he would be seeking. I wanted

to kind of follow-up on that and find out specifically what they were. And I don't know, maybe some of the Civil Service codes are part of that. But if you could say more specifically what your--

MR. WADE: I would like to see the accreditation process. As easily as sometimes it appears that FOP members can put things into the legislative process of West Virginia, it might be just as easy to put the entire accreditation process in. And that would just answer just about every problem we have, because there are avenues then that bring the community and its police department together to work with one another. It's already built in. The process is there.

MR. PITTS: This gentleman here.

maybe some changes that you'd like to see made. Has there been any contemplation about a lawsuit, similar to what's been done in other cases, to perhaps force the legislature's hand in changes under the Civil Service requirements?

MR. STAPLES: Well, most Civil Service

Commissions operate free and have the authority to make

changes within that particular organization. Our Civil

Service Commission has changed the maximum points on

seniority every-- for the past 15 years of tests. Every

test is different. So I think what the Chief is saying is that we need some clear cut promotion guidelines that are in place and they're for everybody, and nobody is flip-flopping around.

But at the same time, I have problems with—
yet we might be able to get it through, but who's going
to develop it? I mean, who's going to have input on
developing this thing, and we've got it in and then
nobody can change it? That's what I'm saying. How many
black police administrators are there that are going to
be thinking about how it's going to affect the black
population on their department?

MR. WADE: Is that under NOBLE?

MR. STAPLES: NOBLE is not in West

Virginia. We don't have that here.

MR. WADE: Bring it in West Virginia.

MR. STAPLES: We're part of the National Black Police Officers Association. But what I'm saying is very few white administrators have a large black police population on their departments. So that's not going to be their concerns when they're developing these type of things, if we're not included. So, yeah, I've got real concerns about the FOP being the ones that develops and pushes something through, yes.

MR. WADE: I do, too.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAPLES: I've got real problems with that. I would want some input from designated organizations, the NAACP. I'd really want their legal redress committee to take a look at it before anything is pushed through. I mean, look at the-- you talk about the West Virginia legislature. We've only got two black members of the whole West Virginia legislature. you know, don't talk about police bills, and we've got the highest unemployment among blacks. You know, I think there's a lot of things to be addressed, but I have a lot of concerns about taking something up there. And I see a lot of police officers who have the same last names as a lot of legislators. It really concerns me.

MR. WADE: I've noticed that, too.

MR. PITTS: Let us move on, and this the way it's going to go: Ki-Taek, Robert I. Brown and then Bob Brunner, and then I will cut this session off, I'm over 15 minutes.

MR. CHUN: Since time is short, I'll just limit my questions to one, to Officer Sherwood Brown: you mentioned something about the instance of harassment and you also talked about a set-up. Would you give us specifics about-- maybe cite one example that you had and what you meant. To me that is

important because not only is it difficult to get into the system, but once you get into it, it maybe even as hard, even harder. So we're interested in hearing you describe the set-up situation-- an example of harassment as set-up by the department.

MR. BROWN: Okay. I can give you an example that never did develop on account of the citizens in the area where I worked in. They advised me what was going on. I don't know how they got word of what was going to happen. But the basic set-up was that they were going to dispatch me to a trailer with women in it, and when I answered the complaint and left, then one of the women there was going to say maybe I tried to rape her or something like that. All right. Each time that I was sent on a complaint of that type, I would wait for a back up, and that couldn't develop because I had a fellow police officer there.

In the meantime, the sheriff tried to get one of the fellow officers - he's not an officer now - to be in the conspiracy with him to set me up and also agree with the woman that they were going to accuse me of that. And when he refused to do it, then the whole thing fell through.

MR. CHUN: Do you think something like that still goes on?

MR. BROWN: Well, what I was going to say is that it's not likely that it wouldn't happen again. I would say it could happen again. I think when the chief of police and sheriffs and those guys want to get rid of you, there's no limit to what they'll go through to get rid of you, especially if you're a sore thorn in their side.

moments to say in regards to the Civil Service

Commission and sheriffs and chief of police and all that kind of thing, that I agree that it's probably about time that the legislature takes another look at this.

Because on the one hand, it tells the Civil Service

Commission what you shall do, and that means that legally that you have the responsibility of carrying that out. I can feel for sheriffs and chiefs of police who feel like, well, you're infringing on my duty and responsibility. But when the legislature says you shall do that, this is a part of what you must do, then that is conflict that's created by the legislature.

I recognize the fact that in looking through
the State Code I carry with me all the time that there
are a lot of responsibilities that a Civil Service
Commission has. It tells you what-- it doesn't tell you
how to implement it, you just do it.

There are some things that I look at that sometimes without money and without— for instance, you were discussing the physical condition of a lot of deputies or policemen that it says the Civil Service Commission shall provide a recreation program— not recreation, but physical fitness programs, when you don't have any kind of money to do that. And then there are standards and things on the other end that says that, well, you know, maybe you ought not promote them because he's not in shape, but it was your responsibility to keep him in shape in the first place.

There are a lot of problems I recognize that are part of, you know, of these particular codes. And those things keep good commissions and administrators at each other's throats when the law says you shall do it. And I don't know any other way. Of course, my sheriff is sitting over there, and we battle, and if he thinks I'm going to stop battling, he's lost it. But as long as the law says you shall, you know, that that's what you must do.

Then in regards to recruiting, part of what our recruitment says that when tests are to be given, that an announcement of those tests will be placed in the minority communities and in the newspaper letting them know the test is forthcoming; that those are

additional ways in regards to informing the minority community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then one other thing that my sheriff did
mention, that in the hiring process, that by law, my
sheriff doesn't hire unless we say hire, you know. And
that begins to be a problem, I know, for departments.
And the law says how to do it, as he was saying. You
send the three names and he's to use the three names.
But those kinds of processes— and these are processes
we must follow.

And again, as we sit together, your chiefs of police and deputies -- I mean sheriffs and jailers and all of those kinds of things, and commissioners and civil service -- maybe it's time again to sit down and to perhaps look at the total law and to say to our legislators, hey, look. You know, can we clean this up or can we really hone this thing down to be a little more specific. And maybe being specific, it may hurt the process, I don't know. But I know that there are a lot of holes and a lot of traps and a lot of court And it bothers me that my sheriff would have to suits. overturn my decision and spend all that time and money going to court when it really looks as if it's unnecessary, you know. And it bothers me when we put a lot of money into a lot of men, men and women, and they

stay around a short period of time and then they have to leave and we have to start the process all over again, training and spending a lot of money, and training people that are going to leave us. There are a lot of problems, you know, we really need to look at, too.

And in regards to minorities, we have to keep reaching. And I'm glad to know-- and we'll look again to find out how we can reach beyond that to lift out minorities, because indeed, as the State Human Rights Commission affirms the fact and part of our-- a part of our compliance is nine percent, as far as our affirmative action program goes. I recognize we're over time.

MR. PITTS: I just wanted-- you serve as a commissioner?

SPEAKER: Yes, I do.

MR. PITTS: And has it been historical, though, that the sheriff can manipulate that list, even though you send in the three names, he can manipulate that list, through the process, to get to whoever he wants that's further down the list? That's true, isn't it?

SPEAKER: Well, it's true, and it has been through-- and it's worse than true. And Civil Service Commissions don't live up to their

responsibility. And a lot of times, we don't live up to it, which gives an opportunity--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTS: And historically, sheriffs have manipulated the list in order to reach the white individuals that they want on the list rather than reaching the black individuals who may be qualified?

SPEAKER: Yes. Very frankly, it's true. But let me also share with you, a number of years ago that on the list in Raleigh County that the first eight or ten people on the list were black. They scored the highest. They were trained for it. And that sheriff didn't care to promote them and he sat on it. In his department, the numbers went down. He didn't hire anybody until the list ran out, because of the people on the top of the list were black. He didn't want any black persons, so he let the list run out and then he called for another test.

MR. PITTS: Well, that ends this session. Let's take five minutes and you can reconvene for the third session.

(WHEREUPON, a break was taken in the proceedings.)

MR. PITTS: I'd like you to put into the record how a police complaint is made in your department by a citizen before I start with the next panel. Could

you do that quickly for me, please?

MR. STAPLES: Number one, if a citizen complaint comes in, that initial complaint is taken by the shift commander, the shift commanders that are on duty 24 hours a day. Each shift has its own commander.

MR. PITTS: Dallas, is there a special application or form or you just do it by written letter?

MR. STAPLES: We do it by written report. That report is written. They take a complaint from the complainant. If it's something that can be handled by the shift commander, that shift commander makes a decision that that's something that can be handled at shift level, then it is handled at shift level. But they still document what action was taken, when, and what the resolution was. And that is forwarded up.

If it's something that the shift commander feels cannot be handled at that level, it's then usually-- should be transferred to the bureau chief, like Captain James. He's a bureau chief. He then makes the decision whether he can handle it at his level or whether or not it should be given to internal investigation for an internal investigation to be initiated. He would forward that report to internal affairs, who would then confer with the chief, and then

a decision would be made to start or not start an internal investigation. And that's the vehicle that we use for off the street complaints or people who call in and want to know.

Now, if someone calls in on a complaint, say, the next day, then we usually route them right to the bureau chief. We bypass the shift commander, take them right to the bureau chief. And then from the bureau chief, it's decided whether it goes to IA or whether the bureau chief will handle it. And that's the vehicle that we use to investigate citizen complaints for police misconduct or any other complaint.

SPEAKER: May we question here?

MR. STAPLES: Yes.

SPEAKER: Okay. My question to you is: you've named every area, but what about that complaint that never gets to the attention of anybody, except the individual who took it? There are some complaints that just don't show.

MR. STAPLES: That's what I said initially. If you have a shift commander who takes the complaints, he still has to write a report.

SPEAKER: Right.

MR. STAPLES: And then that report is forwarded up. The bureau chief will review that.

They'll get that report to review, that if the action taken by that shift commander was proper. If the complaint never comes, we never know it's a complaint.

SPEAKER: Yeah, but wait a minute.

MR. STAPLES: How do I know it's a

complaint?

SPEAKER: There must be some way of getting rid of complaints, whether it's with the bureau chief or somebody. Somebody is getting rid of the complaints, and it wouldn't necessarily have to be the one who took it.

MR. STAPLES: Let me say this: that we would advise any citizen, because we have a follow-up system, that someone contacts that citizen back. And I think that most citizens, if they come in and make a complaint, if it's serious enough that they feel they need to come in to the police department to make a complaint about it, if someone doesn't get back to them, believe me, they'll be calling the chief's office to find out what's going on.

We have that not only on citizen's complaints against police officers, but if you've had your house broken into and nobody gets back to you, you can believe somebody is calling the chief's office.

So if someone calls me because someone has

failed to do something, then those people have-- you know, somebody has got to tell me something, because number one, I want to know why I got the phone call. And what I want to know from this captain, why am I answering questions that should have been answered long ago? So, then, you know, then he's going to the next person. So, it goes downhill as the old saying goes. So, somebody at the bottom is going to catch it. So, that's our safeguard. Because that's the number one thing I want to know is why am I talking to this person on the phone about something you or someone that your commander should have taken care of.

MR. WADE: In the Huntington Police
Department, on formalized police complaints, if it's of
a nature where it cannot be handled by the shift
commander that's on duty, the process is that he will—
the person, he or she that makes the complaint, will be
interviewed by the bureau commander the following day.
A complaint will be taken from that person and the
complaint will then be forwarded to the internal affairs
Captain, Paul Price. He will investigate—he will
determine if an investigation is needed. He will concur
with the prosecuting attorney or a member of his staff.

A complaint will be followed up with, and then the complaint will be processed through me. I

don't get involved in the process until the IA person has made a determination if there was some misconduct that was wrong on the police officer's point, or if it was a call we didn't respond to or whatever.

gets them as well as I do, in the mail on occasions, without a name on it. You know, the prostitutes are still working up in this particular area up here or something. Those are generally forwarded right into the bureau commander, who's got jurisdiction over those.

What we do in the Huntington Police Department now - I don't want to talk about what they did a year ago - every time a complaint has been filed, the person making the complaint will be contacted within 30 days, at the minimum of 30 days. But if it's completed prior to that, within 24 hours of completion.

SPEAKER: Now, may I ask you something?

Are you presupposing that the person who gets it before
you get it, would be honest enough to deal with it
forthrightly?

MR. WADE: As Chief Staples says, I don't know there's a complaint. If we find that a police officer is not taking the report, not doing what his job is supposed to be, then that in itself is another complaint, and charges would be filed against

that officer.

MR. STAPLES: Let me say this. There's another thing that we do in Charleston, all complaints about police officers or the police department doesn't necessarily come through the police department. We have a lot of people-- our mayor has people's day, where he has-- where his door is open and people can come in and talk about drainage, sewage, curb repairs, sidewalk repairs, whatever. A lot of complaints about police officers.

And he has a system-- a form is filled out.

And one is put in the file, one is sent to the
department, and then one is sent to the assistant city
manager, who sends a letter to these people thanking
them for the information and that their information has
been forwarded to the chief of police or his sanitary
board or street commissioner. And that if no one has
contacted you by thus and such date, then you call me at
this number and I will personally see why it wasn't
taken care of. So, that's one of the things we do.

MR. WADE: That's pretty generalized in

the department.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Officer Humphreys?

MR. HUMPHREYS: Our system is really

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

simpler than that. We are spread out all over the state.

MR. PITTS: What I'm doing for those of you who weren't here earlier, I asked the last panelists to come back and to give us an outline of the procedure for filing complaints with their different agencies. So that's what's taking place at this time before the commentators of our last panel are taken.

MR. HUMPHREYS: Our system, like I said, is simpler than that. We have attachments all over the state, from one end to the other. And it's kind of hard to have a person that's got a complaint to call the attachment level when we've got a corporal or sergeant operating out of an attachment, complaining about one of his troopers. Most usually, that will get to the right officer and the right channel.

But you want a secure-- you actually want-if you've got a serious, legitimate complaint, get to
the meat of the thing, write a letter. Put it in letter
form on your own stationary, on any type of stationary,
write to the superintendent, in care of the
superintendent. He will look at the letter and he will
answer your letter. He will also give this letter to
the internal affairs division. They will look into it,
look at the complaint. And if there is a problem there,

they will take care of it within the internal investigations.

addressed to the superintendent. As I said, write a letter and explain what your problem is, giving the name and the situation and dates and the times. That will be looked into. If there's action that needs to be taken, it will be taken from that point. It's as simple as writing a letter.

SPEAKER: Could we ask him something before he sits down? You used the word "legitimacy."
You know, that's a funny word. That's according to who's doing the determining factor there, as whether or not it would be legitimate.

MR. HUMPHREYS: That's true. Some complaints are not legitimate, believe it or not.

SPEAKER: Who decides, the

superintendent?

MR. HUMPHREYS: Well, after an investigation.

SPEAKER: Yeah. You know, that scares me because there's a saying, we must watch the watcher.

MR. MANGUM: At the Sheriff's

Department, we're a little bit smaller organization than
the previous people. We have 38 people. And again, as

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

someone said earlier today, police hate to investigate police. With 38 men, they're afraid of the internal affairs. So, we just kind of stay away from that. I have been to the internal affairs training in a couple of places to try to keep up on that.

So what we did, we set up a citizen's complaint form. It's assigned a number like any other complaint that people make. We start at 001 on January 1, and go all the way through. And so whatever incident number comes up at that time, if someone walks into the office and wants to make a complaint, the records people will call for a number and start a general complaint. The OIC, that's the officer in charge of whatever shift is involved, whether it be day, evening, or midnight, will be called to the station to talk to these people and take the report.

Generally, the whole operation that we have,
I have had very few of those numbered complaints. Most
of them come across my desk or come to my house through
telephone or even in my driveway. I generally—being
an elected official, they want to talk straight to me
and take some action, so they'll call me. I have 15
telephone lines on my desk, and they'll call with police
complaints or whatever they want me to pay attention to.
So, we do do a report.

follow-up, I will call on the detective bureau, who will go out and take statements from the groups of people that will verify such and such a thing happened and if the complaint is the full story on all the people.

And then generally, if the complainant wants

it's of some nature that needs a considerable amount of

We have a number on the complaints, and if

And then generally, if the complainant wants to do it this way, we'll confront the officer or let them come in and let them talk face to face. And I find 90 percent of the time, it's something that when they talk face to face, they understand that maybe the officer is having a bad day or people misunderstand or whatever, and generally, it works out pretty good. And I fired four deputies under the Civil Service since I've been there and I've encouraged about 16 others to leave. And you know, that's a hard job under the Civil Service.

We had— I did inherit a great deal of problems as people from Raleigh County know. It was like we came to work and we would do what we wanted to do and we'd go home, you know. And you just can't be that way in the '80s and '90s. We have to get some organization. We have a time clock, you report to work, you don't take the cars home anymore. It's a whole lot of things. So we've changed a lot of that attitude problem by just asking people to leave, that did not fit

along in our program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the citizen is right thing is probably one of the most of my problems I have. I have been I'm just learning how to get sued without getting all emotional after six years, now. The problem, you know, people, if they-- people who know that you're going to listen to their complaint and take some type of action, they're not always -- they're not always expecting you to fire somebody or suspend them without-you know, without pay for a long period. They want you to call the deputy in and tell them you were rude or you overreacted at this particular situation. You said something that was unkind. It's usually something minor that you can deal with by talking. And people, they seem to appreciate it when you tell them that you were wrong.

And like the trooper said, there are times when the person-- the trooper or the deputy did what was right, but he seemed a little harsh to the people. But he was really doing his job.

I had a couple of complaints where a deputy was saying, you've been drinking. This particular person just happened to be a T-totaller, they don't drink. He doesn't know that. He sees some erratic driving or something. It's probably a good question;

you've been drinking. I mean, you know. And they were offended by that. They come in and say they were very religious and they were insulted because he accused them of drinking. You know, you can't hardly discipline a deputy for that. You want him to stop this car and ask them and check and see.

We just have to deal with them on a daily-you know, on a basis, each one individual, is what I'm
trying to say. I hope that's satisfactory.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Now we come to our third panel, the last panel for today, commentators. As you know, we had hoped that out of this proceeding today, we may look to some resolutions that could be formed or some concepts or ideas or perceptions that may help develop within the communities and the departments, a relationship that is more amenable than the relationships we presently see in the community/police situation. So at this time, we'll hear from our commentators, beginning with Paul Sheridan, who remembers me from the early days, I guess, when we both worked with the Legal Services Corporation. I'm still on the Board, as a matter of fact. Paul?

MR. SHERIDAN: I should say I'm here today as a member of the Civil Rights Division of the Attorney General's office. I just have a couple of

observations to make. I was pleased that the chiefs told us about their complaint procedures before they left, because that's the first area that I wanted to address. I'm sorry that it seems like most of them have left, because perhaps they could sort of comment from reality on some of my comments. Maybe some of you ought to as well.

My first observation is that there doesn't seem to be any statutory basis for any kind of a complaint procedure. There's no centralized procedure in West Virginia that I'm aware of for making complaints about police misconduct.

The State Police seem to have no formal policy at all at this time. They have an informal policy which was described to us, which I think is a real concern. Because any time you have an informal policy that isn't reduced to writing and sort of works this way in some instances and this way in some instances, depending on where the complaints come in, you can never be sure that you're hearing about all of the complaints at the top, that they're getting redressed, and that some of them aren't getting lost along the way.

There's a natural tendency to be concerned that there's going to be some inconsistency, even giving

the people involved every benefit of the doubt. It's my understanding they're in the process now, the State Police that is, of drafting some kind of a written policy for receiving complaints about police misconduct. It has not been made public yet, as far as I know, the terms of it. And I think that the formulation of that policy is something that is going to be very important and needs some attention. Whatever the policy is, I think it's very important that people know about it, that it be publicized. And I think that's another step that needs to be taken.

Complaints in county and municipal levels, I perceive as even a more thorny problem. I suspect we've heard from some of the more concerned people in law enforcement. At least, I was impressed with the people who spoke here today, and some of their concerns. I think that the lack of formal policies and the lack of statutes or regulations where you have— in West Virginia, I think there are 250 separate law enforcement agencies. A lot of them are very small. And it's just— I think it's unrealistic to expect to have internal investigations of complaints in law enforcement agencies, some of which may be five, you know, six officers or even smaller. And I think that's something that probably needs to be addressed.

Perhaps there's some way to have a state wide, state level of investigation procedures for following up on complaints or at least tracking them; some kind of docketing of complaints so that people know where the complaints come from and what's ultimately done about them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm aware of the lack of a procedure for this because of a couple of instances that have come across my desk. One involves an allegation of brutality by a municipal officer in the State of West Virginia that ended up being looked into by the State Attorney General's office, because the person involved couldn't find any other avenue for redress, other than to write to one of West Virginia's senators, who passed it along back down to the Attorney General. And it shouldn't have to go that kind of route. People ought to be aware of some kind of a procedure where they can file a complaint and know that it's going to get followed up And the fact that people have to write to United on. States senators to get some kind of investigation is an indication to me that there's a problem there.

The second concern has to do with recruitment of minorities. And the observation I make goes even-it's perhaps even preliminary to the question of is West Virginia doing enough? Are the various law enforcement

agencies in West Virginia doing enough to recruit minorities? And that is, do we even know what's being done or how well the law enforcement agencies are reflecting the make-up of our communities.

I couldn't find any basis, any data, state wide data, that's being collected today on the make-up of various law enforcement agencies. I suspect that the-- I know the State Police collect their own data on the ethnic and racial make-up of their officers. I suspect that at least the larger of the city and county law enforcement agencies probably do the same, in order to file the EEOC compliance documents. But as near as I can tell, nobody is collecting that at the state level.

over the entire state how well various - particularly the small county and city police forces - are doing, I think you would have a very hard time finding the data. And so that's another area that I think that we're-some specific regulatory change probably can generate that data. There are two places where that can be done. I will submit that in writing to the committee, two particular agencies that I think have the ability to do that. But those were two observations I wanted to make.

And one other thing that I wanted to say that came up with the earlier panel, it has to do with the

Civil Service and the possibility of changing Civil I think it may well be that some of the Service laws. Civil Service laws could be-- I'm sure they could be improved. And it may be that it's time to take a hard look at the Civil Service laws and see if there aren't ways in which they're becoming obstacles to affirmative But I think that that should be approached with a great deal of caution. My sense is that what the Civil Service laws do is they limit the discretion of And when the discretion is being office holders. exercised by people who are trying to do something good. It can be an obstacle. But there are many, many, many instances where what the Civil Service laws are doing is preventing political cronyism, and in some instances preventing -- I've seen instances where, particularly the sheriff, was forced to hire minorities because of a high score, because the Civil Service law restricted his options. Where if that hadn't been the case, I think it wouldn't have happened like that.

And so I think that in examining how those laws might be changed, I think it's going to be real important to keep in mind that there's a double-edged sword there, and opening up discussion can sometimes be a dangerous thing.

MR. PITTS: Thank you, Paul. Next,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we'll move on to Que Stephens, West Virginia Human Rights Commission.

MR. STEPHENS: Good afternoon. First of all, I'm not a West Virginian. I was sort of replanted here because of the military and decided to retire in 1989 because a fellow Rotarian thought this was the state to live in. And I sort of retired on a Friday, took Monday off, and was appointed by the Governor on Tuesday morning. I say that to say that I'm an appointed official, and that allows me to remain neutral, because sometimes if I get my gut feelings into what's going on, I lose sight of the true purpose for which I'm going to look at a community.

We are a very unique state. If you look at the demographics of the black population, Afro-American population, and compare it to another state in the union, there's no comparison. And the reason I sort of drew that up there, those Xs sort of give us an idea of where blacks live. That's really about it. If you look at these Xs, Logan and that colony and towards Beckley. And what's happening up here right now is that you have an influx of Jamaicans moving to the Martinsburg area. There's a large population of minorities up in the Wheeling area.

And as for me and my position on the Human

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

Rights Commission, I've been on almost every hollow in the state, and I carry with me two things: the Bible and a nine millimeter. I'm allowed to do that because my desire to enjoy my seventeen month old son, and my twenty-three year old daughter and my twenty-one year I don't say that out of fear, because I'm not old son. afraid of things. But as I interface people in the state and the way they approach me, the first thing they see is a guy who's 5' 11", who has hazel eyes, and who's black. Well, if you don't like me, that's your problem. I don't have to worry about what you feel about your problem. I'm trying to deal with what a citizen of the state sent me or asked me to come to listen to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And by statute, I'm on two committees in the state. One is a woman's commission. I stayed out of this earlier because I didn't want to make a comment about this. Another is a law enforcement training committee. And that allows me to look at a lot of statutes.

And we were talking about training a little while ago. As a matter of fact, we just look at the basic course and see how many hours a trainee gets in a normal class. Last week was the first time an Afro-American taught at the Police Academy on a subject such as community relations and minority relations. I

was that person. I faced a class of some 40 plus white male students and men of the community.

It's not their fault. They come from-they've never had to interface with a black person.
They don't know what they think about it, what their
thought processes are, what their needs are, whether
it's a middle class, upper class, or lower class, et
cetera. So, a lot of things that I confronted in that
class were unique to me. The average person I meet in
the Charleston area understands that, because they deal
with it every day. There are blacks around them.

But if you were to go to that class-- and that was the case, I went to that class to teach, because the committee allowed me to do that, law enforcement training committee.

In fact, this morning, the Governor's committee, the entire committee, met in Charleston, and that's where I should have been as well, but I felt it was very important to be here.

Sally is the Commissioner here in the City of Charleston. That's another uniqueness of this state.

There are very few Human Rights Commissions in the State of West Virginia. If you were to go to the State of Florida, you would not have that problem, because the average citizen can go to the Human Rights Commission

and say I was beaten up by Officer Jones, present that to the council. That could be rectified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sally has her hands tied. We have a Beckley commission, you have the Wheeling commission, which is comprised of one lady and a typist. Sally has a small population of people. Beckley has a small population. And that's about it. Charleston. That's about it. And I'm the State agency. So, I spend a lot of time on the highway. I spend time in some of those communities resolving a problem.

And I will talk to a situation, and I will not identify the city, where the police force was all white males, had a complaint of not being able to recruit minority officers. And their minority recruiting process was unique, and it's that Civil Service thing again. They select those persons off the Civil Service list. It expires in a period of three Now, I think there's something wrong with the years. system, which means that the person might wait for three years before they can be looked at to become a policeman. So their excuse in those situations was that we cannot recruit minorities because, first of all, they must come off the list. Well, that's the system. think, again, we must go back to the law enforcement agency and tell them to look at that, because I think

that is, in fact, a problem. And if that's eliminating a population of people, then so be it. And we need to look at that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we don't look at that, then we'll continue to have meetings like this where people get at each other's throat. They have no-- you know, they forget their own feelings, they start reflecting on the '60s.

If I were to reflect on the '60s, I would become angry, too, because I lived in Florida. I lived in segregation, the colored water fountain, and the explicitness of segregation.

Now, we're in 1991. We need to ascertain what things we need to resolve in 1991, to force up to There's some people who would not want to be a policeman because in the case of being a policeman means they might live away from their normal community, normal surroundings. There's some guys and ladies who would not desire to be troopers, because in the case of many troopers, they have to go to sites which are away from their normal communities. I've had an opportunity to talk to the one black State Trooper in the City of Martinsburg. He has to patrol an area where there are almost no blacks. And then I come into another problem dealing with the private club concept. So here, we have the one State Trooper who must go into a private club to try to resolve a problem. Another problem, again. So not only do I have one case, I have two cases, because here we have a guy who's worried about his own safety in the environment, in the Martinsburg area, but we also have to think about the private club issue, and that is a problem in this State.

So again, my point is we are a very big

State. We do not have a large population of people who are staying here. And what's happening, you've got 1.9 white population, 65,000 blacks. By 1995, we will have 40,000 blacks. And out of that population of young people and middle-aged and middle Americans, they will not stay here. Where are most of them going? They're going south. South and North Carolina and the State of Florida. They're not staying here. There's nothing to keep them here. That's part of our problem. As soon as we resolve the economics of it all, we can resolve a lot of other problems.

We get angry at things that sort of touch on us. Yes, I know about this city. I've spent quite a bit of time in this city. Yes, I know about the State Trooper's problem. I've read the suit, as with other suits. The Charleston problems, I've dealt with that as well. But I'm the guy that must remain neutral, regardless of the color of my skin, of my height, et

cetera. When I approach people of other cities, I approach them as they approach me. And don't call me buddy, don't call me chief, don't call me partner, because number one, when I approach a gentleman, it's Mr. Whoever and Ms. or Mrs. I think that's what happens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's that attack, the approach and then impression that young people get when they see an officer. Because the expectation from the beginning is the gun is there, the badge is there, and I've done something wrong. So that's the perception that's out there with the young people. The perception is, I have done something wrong, when, in fact, I might not have done anything at all.

We talk about the Charleston Police. a community in Charleston that's basically taken over their own community, which is a good concept. They have an advisory council. The president of that advisory council works for me on my commission. That's They decided to take their community back. incidental. Yes, the precinct is there, but the citizens, not the policemen, the citizens have taken their community back through a grant and through the involvement of the people. And I think that's what happened -- must happen in a lot of our communities. I'm not indifferent. I'm

just saying what those citizens did in that community, they took it back, because they knew they had control.

Those policemen, they do one on one. Yes, they speak in the morning. Yes, they say good morning, ma'am, good morning. They talk, they go into their homes. It doesn't mean they stop being policemen, but they have a relationship with the citizens of that community that makes everything wholesome. And if that does not— if that does not prevail, you will not have the relationship of city and policemen. And I think that works good in a lot of communities. It's like returning to the old movies, the old concept with the policemen who lived in that community. He knew everything proprietor in the community.

If we return to that, then we will not have some of the problems we have. If you don't return to that, if they sit in the car keeping the engine running, going by Mickey Ds, getting hamburgers and wasting it all over their nice uniforms, then there is no relationship. There's no interchange. There's no respect. And that's what we've lost. We've lost a lot of respect.

I have a lot of respect for policemen, State Troopers. It's tough. It's tough out there. And I have a lot of respect for the black troopers and state

cops and sheriffs who are out there because they have two wars to fight. They're worrying about what the community thinks about them. They have to worry about filing with me on claims that they might think are wrong or right. And they have to worry about situations, as in the case in the community, where the police force took on the black community because of what was called a curfew. So, we can't-- you know, that's one that's coming up. If you keep your eyes in the newspaper, you'll see a magic story clearing up here pretty soon. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. Next is Constance Burke, from the University of West Virginia.

MS. BURKE: First of all, I'd like to thank Don Pitts and the members of the panel who extended an invitation for me to come in.

When I was asked to come down and participate, my first response was, you know, I have a very busy desk. I have so many projects I'm running, I don't think I can do it. Then the comment was, you know, with your background as far as training and the things you're doing in the areas of ethic minority training, I really feel that you have something to add. And all of a sudden, I heard a little voice talking to me because it dawned on me, yes, you are supposed to be

there. I had to remember that I am Afro-American.

It does not matter whether or not, you know,
I live in Morgantown, West Virginia, whether I came in
here from Chicago, Illinois, whether I was born in
Winston-Salem, North Carolina. The fact that I'm
Afro-American, this issue affects me. I can definitely
be subjected to the police brutality, to the problems of
being able to communicate and have effective
relationships with police officers, as everyone is
sitting here in Huntington. And therefore, with the
training that I do have, I really felt it was necessary
for me to come in and share with you some information
that I think would be beneficial as you look at
resolutions to the problems.

As Phil Carter, I'm one of the those social workers, so I believe I can heal all. And I think that, you know, what I have put together, you know, if you listen to me, everything will be all right.

Last but not least, you know, I came up during the '60s, so I'm a product of the Civil Rights movement. I can definitely remember, you know, always going to the back of the bus, the white bathrooms, the black bathrooms. I can always remember, you know, that superiority/inferiority status. I have always— since the laws were passed and we were allowed to sit on the

front of the bus, I do not take a back seat anywhere.

When I get in anyone's car, I will ask the white to take
the back seat. I always want to be up front. For some
reason today, I had planned to be last. And before I
could get the last seat, this Afro-American gentleman
took it from me.

Anyway, nonetheless, let me start talking to you. In listening to the people talk this morning, I have had the opportunity to sort of pull together my presentation as to what has been said. And everything that I have given a lot of thought to, everything that I have read, everything that I have researched, plays into what has been said today, which is good. But I'm going to-- definitely coming from that academic perspective, say add some more flour to what has been said here today.

You know, as I think about the conversations this morning, it was so amazing for me to listen to the apathy, the anger, the hurt, the frustration, and the indifference that was coming, you know, from the conversations that people were having. And as I listened to the presentations this morning, I also listened to people when they started their presentations with the discussions of white supremacy and white racism and institutional racism. I felt as I pulled together

my presentation that it would be most significant knowing that my area is culture sensitivity, culture competency training, that I not make comments with regards to institutional racism. Because we have to understand as we talk about the situation here in Huntington - and that it is national - how institutional racism plays a part on how police officers think, how police officers interact, and how we, as Afro-Americans and/or ethnic minorities, respond to them because of what institutional racism has done to us.

So what I decided to do was I went back, because I believe-- I'm not from Missouri, but people in Missouri, they say, tell me. You have to show me. So what I did was I went back and I pulled some excerpts that I wanted to share with you. And these excerpts, you know, were pulled from some materials that were listed back in 1981, but it's so prevalent for what's going on right now in 1991. These excerpts are from a report entitled "Balancing Crimes: A National Symposium Sponsored by the National Organization of Black Enforcement Executives," known as NOBLE.

Now, let me also insert this. There was a discussion earlier this morning and someone said why not bring NOBLE here. And the person responded, well, we're not affiliated with NOBLE. You don't have to be

affiliated with NOBLE, nor the Association of Black
Police Officers to bring them into Huntington to do any
type of training or help you develop policies that
relate to your problems, your human relations, and/or
training issues or concerns that you might have. These
comments, and if you listen to them very closely, you're
going to probably remember have they affected you back
maybe ten years ago. But what is so important is you're
going to see how still today, they're here with us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

History tells us that the white majority has consistently felt compelled to use economic and political power, including the criminal justice system, to maintain the authority over the racial minorities in America. The plight of minorities is affected by the system of racial beliefs and ideologies that provide the nation's major political, economic, and cultural institutions. Crime continues to be a major problem in America. When looked at from the black perspective, crime takes on a uniquely significant meaning. Not only are members of the black community disproportionately arrested for criminal violations, but victimization studies have consistently revealed that blacks are also more likely to be victims of crime. Clearly, crime, like many other social problems, impact disproportionately on the black community, those least

able to bear the burden.

disproportionately killed by the police in proportion to their numbers in the population. The Chief Justice of the United States warns us that like it or not, today we are approaching the status of an impotent society, whose capability of maintaining elementary security on the streets, in schools, and for the homes of our people is in doubt. The Chief Justice further tells us that crime and the fear of crime have permeated the fabric - oh, I love that, permeated the fabric - of the American life, damaging the poor and minorities, even more than the affluent.

The challenge that confronts us here is not unlike the one given to the nation by the apostle of the non-violent Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., whom we talked about this morning. I did make note when the comment was made that when Martin Luther King was out fighting for Civil Rights, that it did not touch the State of West Virginia. That is very true. The Martin Luther King movement only touched the State of West Virginia through legislature. And as I do my training and I have been here for maybe about—oh, maybe 14 years, you know, within the state, that's something I have definitely noticed. And that is the attitude with

people in the state when it comes to Civil Rights and how the '60s affected the mentality of people here.

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., when he said,
"America must re-examine old presumptions and release
itself from many things that for centuries have been
sacred. For the evils of racism, militarism, poverty,
to die, a new set of values must be born." This is what
we're going to hit on as I talk further, and that is
values.

In fact, that's what you all have talked about today. It is values. Violence begets violence and sensitivity towards people-- we're going to talk about insensitivity, and that's what you all have talked about all day is insensitivity. Insensitivity towards people, even if it's exhibited in foreign policy, can easily rub off on attitudes in domestic life. If most of mainstream America is indifferent about violence and the precautiousness of human life, what kind of behavior can be expected from those who aren't able to become a part of the mainstream America.

There's nothing more devastating and which poses a greater threat to the maintenance of social order in America than racism. Despite some racial progress, a system of racism has remained prevalent and entrenched with deep roots, spreading like a cancer.

Next to the last, I want to say this because as I was coming down this morning with Marsha Pops and with Don, we were discussing the media. Because, you see, I contend that the media has done a lot to destroy the value systems and the way we see each other. Historically, the media has played a significant role in how police officers see black people and how black people see police officers. This is what the media has done. The media is an institution, just like other institutions. As such, it is inherent in its existing practices of systemic racism.

Just like it is practiced in other institutions, it has the first amendment to protect it. And as the good old Turner report has implied, because it has that right, it has an even greater responsibility to rid itself of its racist practices and thereby presenting the nation with a realistic view of its problems.

When the media fails adequately to present the problems affecting minorities, it denies minorities their rights of freedom of speech. As the Turner report also stated, when the media failed to report the conditions leading to the riots of the '60s, it not only denied blacks their rights, their freedom of expression, but it also denied whites the knowledge of what had been

occurring to a race of people since the Emancipation

Proclamation, and the beat goes on. The clock ticks on.

And the media is still portraying blacks and other

ethnic minorities in negative terms.

The incident that I can refer to was in Washington a few weeks ago. Go back and see what was written. When a true assessment was given of the picture of the police officers, the hispanics and how the blacks were involved, the media had destroyed the entire situation.

Last but not least, as we sit here today and talk, we have a gentleman who I'm quite sure the Commission is very, very aware of. His name is Arthur Fletcher. Arthur Fletcher. This I took as I was traveling out to the University of Nebraska to do some teaching on April 30th of 1991. It says, "Rights Panel Chief Calls USA Racist." The USA is a racist nation with the worst climate to Civil Rights in the last 40 The US Commission on Civil Rights, Chairman years. Arthur Fletcher, said: "Racism is ingrained in our value system and is likely to be there for a long, long time. It is aggravated by the poor economy and media stereotypes that lead people to think most addicts and convicts are black."

I have been given my little signal, so I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going to have to wrap up in-- that's why I wanted to be last, so I could have the rest of the minutes.

Anyway, let me wrap up and tell you exactly what would come after this. As I talked to you about institutional racism, you have to keep in mind that that is how we develop our stereotypes, our prejudices, our discriminations, and our value systems about other people. We have to go back and keep in mind that the police officers are stereotyped and we are stereotyped. And since this is going to be a written report, there will be about 75 pages that I will submit to the Commission.

We have to let you know that those stereotypes that police officers have of us that I have documented from literature, I have also gone back and documented the stereotypes that we have of police. I'm going to say this to you: we're both paranoid. The MMPI, which is a personality test that is given throughout the United States and highly respected, always wants to let it be known that blacks are paranoid. If you will look— if you get a chance to read the report and you see the stereotypes or the way that police officers see themselves and the way we see them, we are definitely, you know, paranoid. The combination of the two means that there are problems.

The rest of my information, you can read in the report. Please make sure you write for it.

MR. PITTS: Each commentator here will have an opportunity to answer questions. Plus any further comment that is not made here verbally, will be hopefully sent to us and it will be appended to their verbal statement. Thank you.

Next is William Dotson, Director of Huntington Housing.

MR. DOTSON: I was going to talk a little bit—I told her she could have mine. She's doing great. I am going to talk a little bit about the local situation. Dallas Staples, I think, pretty well summed it up that the tone is set at the top. And I felt—she referred to it, she was referring to material that was generated in 1980, 1981. I felt that we've had a serious problem with affirmative action and Civil Rights, in general, in this country since, I hate to say it, since Reaganomics or since Reagan began espousing that there's no need for affirmative action. We've done all we need to do with Civil Rights.

That tone has translated down to us here in the trenches that have to work and try to make ends meet on a daily basis. And I'm not-- these are just my general observations as a housing professional in the

Reagan years. And I want to say that Reagan's safety net, his whole program about safety net, was also a blatant form of racism. I just felt like that that was a situation that could not have helped anybody, especially the minority problems in this country. Ι also want to say that I feel that Huntington is fortunate to have exited what Arley was talking about, the good old boy system, and actually hired a professional individual that has three things: knowledge, skills, and ability. In my observations about what I've heard here today about police hiring, is just change the system. Let's make sure that we are not looking at just a knowledge base, not just testing, but let's look at their experience and their skill levels, And there's ways to do that. It can be done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The primary reason that I'm here today is to talk a little bit about community relations as it relates to us. I'm the largest landlord in the city. We have about 966 low income, very low-- housing for very low income people. In the Fairfield West area, which a lot of the information was discussed this morning, as all of you know, we put together a cooperation situation with the local police department, where we actually put a police precinct into one of our public housing units.

1

7

8

9 10

11

24

25

There's been a lot of discussion about whether or not that did any good or not, whether or not it's helped to improve relations between the black community. And I really can't say the black community, because Fairfield West is not just a black community. It's a mixed community. And you know, there's-- what we're trying to do in public housing is to actually try to put more white people into the Fairfield West apartment units that we have, because there is a preponderance of black people.

Now, the thing that I have observed in Huntington since I got here in 1985, is that the black population is pretty well confined to one very narrow section of this city. And you were referring to where the black populations are in West Virginia. That's very true here in Huntington. You leave-- well, anywhere from Twenty-Third Street in Huntington to, what, about Tenth Street, you won't hardly find any other black people living anywhere else. Now, that is blatant. And that is ingrained in this city. And that's a major problem that we have to deal with. And that is part of the process that we are having to deal with in our local You know, why bother? police department now. And I think that this is something that we've really got to communicate is that we have to bother,

because these are people that have rights and privileges and should be adhered to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I cannot say that the police precinct is going to improve community relations between the black community and the local police department. But what I can say is that there's more opportunity now for that interaction to take place. And in order to have relationships, I think you have to have interaction. There's more opportunity for that relationship to develop and to develop in hopefully a positive manner than there was whenever -- when was it, April that we put this -- March, that we put this in? So, we at the Housing Authority are committed to trying to do something about creating a more positive relationship between the Fairfield West community and the police department, because it's such a big factor for us and the mission that we have to do in housing very low income people.

I want to comment a little bit about what Dallas was talking about, knowing the people you serve, you know. We've got this police precinct in our community, but it's like Ms. Reynolds was saying this morning, we don't see these people. We don't see them out and talking and relating. They're not relating. They are, I guess, policing.

But we would encourage -- if there's going to be solutions to this particular thing, I think one of the things that we're looking at is that we would encourage foot patrols. We know that they have to have the cruisers and that kind of thing, but we encourage foot patrols. We encourage the local police department becoming involved in community activities and things that's going on in the Fairfield West area, become a part of it. What Dallas was talking about, forging partnerships with local coalitions and local interest This is all very important. Local recreation groups. systems and teams and that kind of thing.

I think this will go an extremely long way, and at least we have a chance now to-- you know, we have a ball park to play in now. I think two years ago, we didn't even have an opportunity to look at relationships between the black community and the police department. There wasn't an opportunity there. At least we have an opportunity now. I think that's-- that's my general comments.

MS. POPS: If it's appropriate, if each of your speakers have spoken, may I introduce my guest and ask him to follow-up on what William Dotson has addressed, the issue of resolving and improving the police community relations. Knut Sandjord is from

Norway, is a police inspector, visiting in Morgantown and my probation office this summer. And although he hesitates to talk very long in English, he has listened very intently all day today and is very fascinated.

Knut, could you stand up?

SPEAKER: Make him talk.

MS. POPS: He's very fascinated by what he's heard, and I think does have something to share in terms of some of the things going on in Norway, along these lines.

MR. SANDJORD: First of all, we are doing it a little bit different in my country than you are doing it here in America. As Marsha said, I attend to detectives working in the city where I'm coming from. It's a small city like Morgantown, and we have all kinds of cases. We have a very good relation between the community and the police. That's very important. We also have bad policemen and we have good policemen. And if some— if you have some complaints against the policemen, I will take a report and I will send it to an independent committee.

Before several years ago, we had the police take care of it. But, you know, it's not good, I'm scratching your back and you're scratching mine. So, the government in Norway said from now on, we will have

an independent committee and we have regulators and detective inspectors and we have a lawyer. And if you have some complaints, we are sending it over to the independent committee. We are doing all of the investigation. And they will send their results to the district prosecutor, so he will take care of it.

So, we are doing it in this way in Norway. I think it's much better to do it that way. We need to have someone looking over our shoulders to see what we are doing. It's been very interesting to be here today. I'm not that good in English, but I'm just trying to do I understand there's a lot of problems here in my best. America and certainly maybe in this city. And I have been on the police force for 23 years. I have seen a lot and I understand it's not the tip of the iceberg, And I understand there's a lot of problems. maybe. wish you good luck.

MR. PITTS: Connie, Michael Ross was supposed to be our next speaker. I know that Mike was involved in something else over in Beckley tonight. He had to leave. Connie, I will take-- since I'm the leader-kind of fellow, I will give you a few more minutes, if you wish to add any more to your oral report.

MS. BURKE: Let me do this, let me try

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to wrap up exactly what I was going to share with you, because I think what I'll do is, I'm going to synthesize what I have. But it's going to really play into what we've said today. The rest of my presentation would have dealt with two solutions, and then some recommendations. The recommendations I'm going to share with you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The two solutions were that I really feel that after I listened to people talk today, that the resolution that the Huntington community -- police force and the community definitely review the community police -- police community philosophy. It's a must. As I listened to you all talk this morning, it would really It could really work here. The only thing is that if you understand the way it operates, it must start with your chief, and it has to go all the way There must be a commitment. down. That commitment has got to be that regardless of maybe what has transpired 10, 15 years ago, this is a new day. You see, it's got to be going back to the community saying, I am for real. My police department is for real.

In the materials that, you know, you will get and I know you all have asked to have copies, I've even outlined for you the differences between traditional policing versus community policing. And if you see what

community policing is, I guarantee you it was said to you all this morning, what have you done to bring about a change? If you see what you can really accomplish with your police department by instituting this approach, you would do it. It is definitely something that can be used. Because in my report, I'm going to cite for you eight different other states that have used it and is being used effectively.

about multi-cultural education training to break it down so you will understand the components. I think it's very important that consumers who were in here today, understand that when we talk-- you know, you've heard a lot of terminology here today. It's very important for you to understand how this terminology is broken down so that you can go back and regurgitate it. So the report that you're going to get from me, allows you to go back and talk with anyone about the terms that I've given you.

One thing that was said today and I'm going to take issue with, in multi-cultural education, we have the tendency to say, do training. We change values through cultural competency training which is awareness, which is knowledge, and which is skills.

Awareness is allowing us to say look at our

value systems, where we come from, our identity, and how it affects how we relate to other people.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Knowledge is culture and specific related information. Someone made the statement this morning that if two police officers had been operating in a car, that you find out that you all are the same. That is You see, you might be the same when it comes to Maslow's hierarchy of needs. You know, basic needs are But I quarantee you, we have culture the same. And this is why you have a problem now differences. with police officers that represent the dominant society is that they do not understand those cultural differences that are so unique. And you must understand them from the moment you walk in to say hello to a black person until you walk out that door and say goodbye and That is all outlined for you, too. thank you.

Last but not least, I was going to give you some recommendations because, as I talked to Washington, DC, I was asked to go back and review training. Well, I have reviewed training, say, over the United States. If there is a program out there that has done anything worthwhile, I've talked with them. I've got about three states that I received from the National Police Foundation as far as states that we, the State of West Virginia, not just Huntington, could look at. That

material, I'm going to submit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've looked at the training that has been done within the State of West Virginia and the training that has been done in Huntington, and other states, in other cities, very closely. Based upon what I know has been done by Dr. Cleckley, based upon what I know has been done by the minister who did something before you, and based on the fact I know exactly what Que Stephens has in his training that he does as of now. What Que has done, and let me give you a compliment as I reviewed your training, Que has definitely gone back and in his training, he has touched something that in the ten years this training has been done in the State of West Virginia, he has gone back and addressed institutional racism. Racism was a part of the discussion. was a part of his training materials. It has never been mentioned in any other training that has been done--SPEAKER: It was mentioned in our

training.

In your training, that's MS. BURKE: right. When I talked with Betty this morning, she brought it up because we talked about perceptions. Let me say this, as I talk with people throughout the State, that what Betty did for eight hours, it's a start. What Que is doing, and Que teaches like two classes, and

those two classes are only two hours each. He teaches a class in community relations and policing and community relations and ethnic minorities. That is four hours, and that is all that a police officer gets in the State of West Virginia when he comes to see human relations and working with ethnic minorities. From there, they go out and their own communities have the obligation to give them eight hours of training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have talked with people over the State. I have talked with people who even look-- review materials as far as in-service training. You do not have anything coming across a desk that looks at human relations training or working with ethnic minorities. It is not Something has got to be done. I'm going to give you my recommendations that I'm going to submit. This is the recommendations that I'm saying what should be done as far as training, the training curriculum. should be sent back to the State, not just to Huntington. But it needs to go back to the State. And I will give you my recommendations and I will feel very satisfied that I have given you all of the report today.

My recommendations are that the State needs to recheck the West Virginia statute, because there is a statute on training. It came out in about 1981, I believe. The mandate that follows improvements as far

as looking at training within the state for people who work in law enforcement, not just police officers, but all your people who work in law enforcement, all under the guides of this training. And these are the recommendations that I give: A, that cultural representation must be among police instructors. If you're going to go back and do any training, you must have people such as Que, Betty Cleckley, Phil Carter, they must be there, because of the significant—because of the subject matter.

Specialized training, increase-- you must increase the number of hours designated for training on ethnic and culture groups. The norm in the nation is three. West Virginia looks good because she has four. It does not alter the fact that nationally, this is an issue. Across the nation, police officers are having problems. What is it? They really are not being sensitized.

The integration of concepts relating to ethnic and racial bias into other courses in the criminal justice standards and training curriculum, which means that every course that is taught - and there are about maybe 70 some courses taught right now through the West Virginia State Training Academy, it's 495 hours - every course is supposed to touch human relations and

working with people. I don't care whether it's stopping somebody on the street. I don't care whether it comes to deadly force. You must integrate this type of training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, when you think about integrating this training, you address your high risk areas. chiefs of police have gone, but anyone who works in criminal justice, anyone who works in a police department, knows what high risk areas are. Your high risk areas include police ethics and professionalism, powers of arrest, community relations, cultural relations, field stops, interviews or interrogations, use of force, use of deadly force, verbal commands and compliance, prisoner transplant and processing. topics tend to create the most difficulty for police and citizen interaction. The vast majority of complaints concerning police and citizen interaction come from actions within these topics. This has been documented. Therefore, when West Virginia goes back and looks at training curriculum, they must look at these areas here and definitely make sure that they address cultural sensitivity training.

The integration -- the re-classification of racial and ethnic relation topics as proficiency areas subject to serious standardized testing. If you go back

and review training curriculum in West Virginia and across the nation, most of it is nothing but orientation or just being familiar. You cannot really work with people effective by just having orientation that I am black. You must really have a proficiency understanding. You can take the type of training that I am talking about and it can be proficiency based. And when I submit my record, I am going to give you examples of proficiency training that is being used, a proficiency curriculum that is being used, within the United States.

The development of standardized uniform specific and culturally sensitive lesson plans and instructor's guides in high risk critical task areas, which are identified as important because of their effect upon the minority community, as well as monitoring an inspection of the classes covering the areas.

Insuring all videotapes and other materials used in race ethnicity related training are updated.

When I did my investigation, I found that the people were still using videotapes that went back to 1960, and the end of the 1950s.

The initiation of community interaction sessions at each training center through community

2.2

components in the training classes. This is what people ask for today. How can the community really get involved? The community gets involved in training. And what is suggested is that you don't really say, bring people to the academy. You take the police officers to the community.

Last but not least, you know-- and I've got three more, but I'm going to make this the last one. We have a tendency to feel that chief executives, including sheriffs and police chiefs, do not have to have training. And do you all know in the State of West Virginia, that the chief of police and the sheriff does not have to have training? And this is the man who is the role model. And I say man, because we don't have one female in the State of West Virginia who's the chief of police anywhere.

But anyway, but this individual— and this is not something just in the State of West Virginia. You find this nationally. That the man at the top who sets the guidelines, who's the role model, who develops the principles, does not have to have this type training. Well, as of now, according to the recommendation I'm giving, in the State of West Virginia, the chiefs and sheriffs and anyone who sits in an administrative position, will have ethnic cultural sensitivity

training. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: At this time, we'll open the floor up for questions of the panel.

SPEAKER: I'd like to ask anyone on the panel, and this is geographically specific, what ought to be done with the precinct? I believe the precinct will work. I know the precinct is not working now.

Based on your professional observations, what needs to be done? Two or three things that can make this thing work?

MS. BURKE: Do you know what shocked me, Phil? When I did my investigation, I asked how officers were placed at that precinct. That's the question. I was told that they went there of a voluntary nature. Today, I heard just the opposite. I heard that there was a lot of resistance to them being there. So, there's a conflict. And I think we need to go back now and really see how the police officers were really placed there.

Because if you're going to tell me that a police officer volunteered to go, then he's going to go in there with a certain mind set. If you're going to tell me you sent him in there out of punishment or some other reasons, then you can expect him to go in there and react a certain way. I think, you know, as I look

at the situation-- because I think it's ideal. I've talked to people who, you know, are in that community, and definitely I've talked with the police department. But once I heard that conflict today, my mind went back to we need to really check and see how the police officers are placed there. Because their mind set, once they go into that situation, has a lot to do with the services they're going to give. And if they feel forced, then I guarantee, they're going to react as people are saying. They're not out visiting the community. They're not really talking to people, et cetera.

MR. STEPHENS: I think it should be a rotational duty of a prescribed time, which would make sense to me. As in the case as the lady who indicated this morning that an officer was in the community and didn't know where he was, which would tell me if I'm a policeman, I should know everything about Huntington. I should know where every nook and cranny is in the city. So that instead of me taking 15 minutes to get somewhere, it would now take me 10 minutes, because I know specifically what hundred block where a house is or what street that is. So, my concept would be you rotate that duty.

If you want to do your in-service training,

prior to that officer or a group of officers going to a community, you make them more sensitive to people. So your in-service training falls before you go to a certain community, and it doesn't matter whether it's black or white. I think it should be a rotational duty. So, I think that's a solution, to make it rotational. We don't have to-- you know, I keep bringing up this unique thing.

We don't have to deal with a hispanic population, Asian population. I go to a lot of cities. I was in Houston. There are communities there that are totally hispanic, totally Asian, totally black, totally white, and those officers deal with it differently. they have their ways of dealing with it and a lot of them rotate. So, I would say doing a rotational -- go to a rotational basis as opposed to another concept. Because in the Wheeling community, they knew those two white policemen, because they never-- those two policemen were -- their duty was in the black community. And that's what perpetuated the problems they had in the Wheeling community. Those two white policemen, who they knew, everyone knew they were troublemakers, and it never got better. They never rotated the officers. So, I would say rotate the duty.

SPEAKER: You know, I'd like to bring

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

washington Square. The chief called a meeting of certain people in the community. I happened to be on hand that day. And it was suggested that we would get a larger place. That place is inadequate. However, we ended up in your place. Okay. But the main thing is this: sometimes we assume that even the Mayor of the City might be gung hoe. With just a little bit more pressure— and I take responsibility for this, too, because I'm a citizen and we should pressure the City by putting that in the 7-11. All kinds of space around it, larger to deal with, too. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the main thing is this. The Mayor of our City is trying. The chief of police-- I don't know if some of you know this or not. When somebody new moves into a city, on the job, that person has to differentiate between what is it, you know? Okay. Now, the main thing is this, I knew what had happened, years We had a new chief of police to come into ago. Huntington. He had no sooner sat in his seat, some of his lieutenants and captains came in and said, come on, go with us. He said, where are we going? They said, never mind where we're going, come on. When he came to himself, he was up in black's town, people, that's Fairfield West. Knocking out property, and knocking

some heads, too. Now, that new chief-- and by the way, the whole thing had to do, really, make the chief, new chief, look bad. Poor man didn't know any better. But we went-- then the NAACP went to town on that case. And they did something about it. But the main thing is this: we have to consider all of them, and ourselves, too. Sometimes we are not too good on ourselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STEPHENS: Not speaking down on Chief Wade, I met him the day he took office. came here purposely. In fact, I go to a lot of cities. No one ever knows I'm there, because I like to interface with police chiefs and mayors, and I don't let them know I'm coming. I just show up. And they can't run me They can't say they're not available. So, I'm just there on their step. I met him on the day that he arrived in office. I felt on that day, after talking for two hours, that this man would be a good welcome change for the City of Huntington, and a lot of things told me that. I don't live here, but there are a lot of things that indicated that to me.

One, he was not a West Virginian. He was not-- he had not been bothered by some of the problems of the inner city of this community, of this state. So, he had a new, fresh impression of the city. He came from St. Louis. If you've ever gone to St. Louis, St.

Louis is separated, too. You have East St. Louis and St. Louis. So, this man had been in an environment where there was a black population. He had dealt with that. He gave me things I felt were right, and said he was going to do.

He cannot change the city overnight and you know that. He's not going to change the city in a year. And we are not going to change West Virginia in ten years. Okay? But you don't lose your energy. That's my thing. I don't give up. I don't lose my energy. I never get tired. My wife wants me to rest. I don't care to rest. I'll rest when I die. My responsibility is to this state. And I think his responsibility—he can't answer a lot of the questions.

We attack him or some people attack him. He can only respond to what he knows. If you do not— if he said— if the lady who lives in the community said, well, I cannot get an answer. Then if I was living in that community, Fairfield, I would have called his office. I would have gone to his office. If he has an open door policy, I would insure that it worked.

SPEAKER: He does have.

MR. STEPHENS: That's what I would have done instead of trying to deal with these three policemen down there who would not leave their little

office. Some people do that out of fear. Why bother?

You know, why should I go out there? Let them kill each other. And that's the perception. So, the citizens have no respect for him, then they don't want to go to a certain state agency or city agency, because they'll say we can't get anything out of them.

That's why I find it easy—— I like jobs like this. This is tough. All you do is go up. You can't go down. If it's bad, everything you do is good, if you work at it. I think he's making an effort to work at it. You help him. You help him. I've seen you on two occasions. You're very vocal. You help him. I'm sure after he gets through listening to you, you are going to help him. You've got Phil down here. Phil is going to help him, too. So, you all help each other and help him get things right.

SPEAKER: May we suggest that those-there are others who can also help. Because if he falls
victim to, "don't use Phil Carter," he's lost.

MR. STEPHENS: You know Dr. Carter. You know him. You've known him for quite a long time.

That's the point. You brought up this thing earlier where you indicated why don't you get this certain population of people. Sometimes it's good to get a fresh face who has a great educational background.

There's nothing wrong with that. That means they're not influenced by what has happened in the past. If I don't know what's happened in here in 1985, then I'm not affected by two sides— I mean, by one side. I'm affected by two sides. I can hear both sides.

If I was physically here in 1985, and you say something and I would say, well, she's crazy, because I was here in 1985, and I know what was going on around here, because Mary Jones told me. Well, that's not the case.

If it's a fresh face that was not physically here— and I think that's what's happened with Chief Wade. This man is trying. He's finding it tough because he's surrounded by this corruption and whatever. So work with him. And if you see he's wrong and you feel the citizens feel it's wrong, then you find guys like me and call me up and say Que Stephens, get down here, and I will get down here.

MR. CHUN: The question first to Mr.

Stephens and then maybe you may respond. I assume-- I understand that the issue of employment is jurisdictional for the State Civil Rights commission; is that correct?

MR. STEPHENS: Yes.

MR. CHUN: Then since we have this

morning and this afternoon, had allegations of employment barriers, since we are here this morning with employment barriers in the form of discriminatory tests, are you planning to do anything about that? Are you planning to look into that at a State level?

MR. STEPHENS: Yes. Already two courses of action have been taken from my office. One, there is a complaint from a citizen saying I was not allowed to be a policeman because of something. The other is I have heard by rumor or some other means, let's say, from the Governor's office, sent him a letter physically saying that the person was not allowed to be employed by "X" sheriff's department because of a test. Then I or one of my investigators would physically go to that agency and see what the problem is on the part of the Human Rights Commission, and file a complaint.

MR. CHUN: My question was specific.

I'm sorry I didn't phrase it right. We heard that there are currently screening tests in use. And that there's alleged to be a stereotypical pattern of practice. My question has to do with the State Human Rights

Commission going to look into what extent the procedures in use are discriminatory, and second, to what extent are there alleged differential promotions. Que, that question is for you and the same question to Mr.

Sheridan, to you, and are there any other State agencies within the State of West Virginia which may be capable of looking into these Issues?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STEPHENS: On the screening test, I can physically look at the test. I'm not a test agency, but there are ways of looking at the test. We have had complaints where this was, in fact, a problem. system of part of the verbal test, the last step, the person takes. It's not as much as the written test, but that last oral test the person must take, that's really -- that's really the obstacle. Because I could ask you a question in one tone and if you're white, and then the black comes in and answers to a different tone, and the black applicant might hear differently or might feel you're angry or whatever, and you might be projecting negativeness. So, you might not do well on the oral test because of what I'm projecting.

It comes to the data. It's up to us to gain the data we can gain and ascertain whether or not there has been basically discriminating that has taken place over the years. That's easy to do because that's on record.

Promotions, except for the chief, as you pointed out, the police chief and the sheriff, who are appointed by the Mayor or by the people, whatever, there

are ways of getting that data, too, because that's a matter of-- in a lot of communities, again, it's easy to obtain so we can perform an analysis on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHUN: Yes, sure. Sure.

MR. SHERIDAN: Just a couple of things. I'm not familiar enough with the Civil Service System and I think there are more than one -- I think we are talking about a number of different systems to know whether they all use the same test. It wouldn't surprise me if they didn't, so we may be talking about different tests. And I know I have a little bit of familiarity with the Civil Service Statute and the deputy sheriff. There's a separate one for correctional officers and municipalities under the cover of Civil Service aspect. That's a whole separate statute, whether they want to use the same test or not, I don't know. In terms of--

MR. STEPHENS: Case in point. This morning— this afternoon, the detective who was sitting here indicated that when he was becoming a leuitenant, he took the same test as the captains were taking. So, it was the same test. Now, he scored higher than the captains, but didn't score high enough to be a leuitenant. But because he scored higher than the captains, then he was allowed to be a leuitenant. So,

the test is the same. Age-wise, number-wise, I just think it takes law enforcement agencies, which I'm on a law enforcement training committee, subcommittee to look at that, and those are some of the things we are looking at. We take the committee on, we sit-- instead of doing the things, such as the training that we did last week, we'll take our next meeting and talk about these type of things because I basically make them do that. Because it is an issue that we've talked about, and they'd rather resolve this than put it over. So, that's a matter I can put up to the subcommittee.

SPEAKER: Knut's Norway citizen review board is an effective mechanism in his country. This question is directed to anyone who would like to answer it. Do you think that citizens such as Huntington, Charleston, and Wheeling, that we ought to be moving towards trying to get a review board as a part of the police department. And if so, how long do you think that it will take in West Virginia to achieve that?

MR. STEPHENS: We really have one in place so to speak. But if you talk about— let's say a hypothetical such as the Human Rights Commission, my commission is nine commissioners. They are from across the State. So, hypothetically, if there's a problem dealing with the police, those nine commissioners can

deal with those type of issues. Sally has a different problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER: On a local level--

MR. STEPHENS: Sally has a similar situation. She has X number -- in fact, she has more commissioners than I have, which is -- she has 11 commissioners. I only have nine. We need nine. That's all we need for a State Commission. I think those commissioners should take on that effort. I think it should be another part of their responsibility to this community, because it's a committee that's already established. As a cross-section of the community as in the State's-- cross-section of the State, and that's what I am saying. That should be another adjunct to the responsibility of the Human Rights Commissioners.

MR. BRUNNER: Dr. Cleckley, just one point which may or may not have application in terms of locality. The City of Los Angeles has a civilian police review board.

SPEAKER: Must not have been functioning.

MR. STEPHENS: In fact--

MR. PITTS: Let me say something to that just for the record. Dr. Cleckley, I think what she was asking which was alluding to an answer dealing with what

CAROL ADAMS, REPORTER

you were saying about the way that the structure is,
like there's a superimposition of an independent body
which goes beyond the structure of the Human Rights
Commission or the local Human Rights Commission. But it
is something that is put in place with a specific
mandate to review, to investigate and make some
determinations as to whether or not the activity is
criminal. And that activity, once determined to be
criminal, is sent directly to the prosecuting attorney
in the local area; is that correct?

SPEAKER: That's correct.

MR. PITTS: I think her question is: is there a possibility that there might be something that we should look into creating and putting into place.

And I think that make-up was also a part of your question because as I understand, that particular body was made up of a lawyer, a judge, and an investigator.

We may suppose to extend the make-up to have some citizen participation. Am I following you?

SPEAKER: Yes, yes, certainly.

MR. STEPHENS: Again, this morning, we talked about the judicial system in this community.

Again, that's my point. You're getting the citizens, you're getting people that judge-- and one I just brought up on an ethic charge, and you're getting these

people to be an advisory counsel to the City for the police, and you've got corruption in the judicial system. That's why I say adjunct to the human rights, because that's a cross-section. And it can be more neutral than a judge who's protecting people in the police system. And we talked about that this morning. That's all I heard this morning. You talked about the judicial system. That's all I heard today, and you were here.

MR. PITTS: Yes. Do you have something that you might wish to share with us?

SPEAKER: No. Just it's very difficult to achieve-- I think that that's certainly a mechanism that should be looked at as you're trying to effect some change in the local communities.

MR. SHERIDAN: I think it's something that should be looked at to-- I think looking at the experience of civilian review panels in other communities might be a good idea. I have no knowledge of the experience in LA or anywhere elsewhere. It might have been tried and it might be worth looking at. I think it's real important to recreate some kind of forum, though, for some review of police conduct. And I think, you know, some of our police agencies may have ways of doing things that, you know, work in some

instances, that kind of thing. I'm aware of some situations so that there just really isn't any review, there's no place to go.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have had one case come through the Human Rights Commission and handled by my office, where a sheriff was involved in trying to evict someone out of a trailer park. And it just kind of jumped in with both feet. It wasn't acting under any kind of court order or anything like that made -- to issue warrants on it. The Commission is hearing this case now. But that doesn't address -- that doesn't address the kind of remedies that a civilian review panel would go over. There's no-what we, the Commission, has the power to do in this instance, is compensate the victims up to 2,500 as a maximum if it barely scratches the surface of the There is no discipline power at all for the problem. sheriff. There's no-- there's no way to remedy the problem so it won't occur again, anything like that.

I think some kind of forum for investigating and taking the appropriate action, whether it's an office in the state police or whether it's some independent citizen review committee or, you know, whether it's State level or community level, whatever it might be, I think if anything like that would be established in the right direction, there might be a

number of options that we are willing to look at.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTS: Do you have a response? Do you have--

MS. BURKE: That was a question that we were responding to, so I made contact with the Association of Black Police in DC and discussed the issue with them. I had given it some thought and as I thought about it, I definitely saw it being-- I definitely saw a civilian review board being instated, definitely having people from the community. the type-- I'm an activist, that forever, you know, always think that anything can be done. I don't care what's out there. I say if we have a review board, we would definitely have civilians on it. What I was concerned about is if you think about a state such as West Virginia, when you look at the demographics, how we are-- where we are located, I was very concerned about how things happen, because we're still talking about mind sets that the review board would have, more of another group, then when a case was heard, that it might not be a fair case. So, I made a little note that I thought if something like that was to happen, I'd definitely -- you know, we should have half and half. Now, that was just me thinking and I just thought about it this morning. I would suggest making sure you have

half of each group and you might get a fair chance.

MR. PITTS: Dr. Carter?

what's the strategy if anyone cares to give any suggestions on an ethnic intimidation law in this state compared to the ones that he have in states around us that seem to work where when you do something to someone because of a sexual or ethnics, you go to jail. I mean, you get arrested. The local police pick you up or the county police and you have a trial. And it appears to me in this state, people could do anything they want to to you.

MR. SHERIDAN: There's a law that covers some of what you're talking about. It's-- I have got a copy of it here actually. I can show it to you afterwards.

SPEAKER: In this state?

MS. BURKE: What it does essentially is it increases the level of the crime from a misdemeanor to a felony if the motive is racial hate or I think race. The same kind of categories that are covered under the Human Rights Act; race, sex, ethic background, religion.

MR. STEPHENS: If I feel that you're endangering my life as opposed to just saying you cursed

me out because I'm black, that's basically it. feel endangered or threatened, if I feel threatened by what you say, as in the Brown case where they threw the student out because it was a private school opposed to this school with the gentleman in the library, who said what he cared to say, who's protected by the First Those are two different, similar, but two Amendment. different situations. Wherein the young ladies would have been threatened and felt threatened by what he said It was just that he just was not, in fact, a threat. got off and wanted to talk. So, the Brown case was able to rid it of this gentleman who was a student and just went off on any person that came by and any other indifferent person, and they were able to get rid of him as opposed to the situation here with the person working in the library.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BURKE: You know, Phil, I have a lot of information on that. In fact, I have some materials with me and some publications showing statewide where the KKK are located, where the skinheads are located. The interesting thing is the skinheads are right here in Charleston, in Huntington. I can tell you exactly where their activities are and I also have, you know, a lot of information to fully cover on this question of hate.

MR. PITTS: Mr. Gottlieb's son actually

wrote the law. Tell us a little bit about it.

MR. GOTTLIEB: Well, I would say this: I happen to be chairman of the Anti-defamation Advisory Board of the State of West Virginia. We were first concerned about the situation down in Pocohontas County when the out-of-state anti-semites and anti-blacks came into the state. And I was shocked then that this state police and the sheriff of the county would not go into that community, into that area, because it was on private property. Nobody could do anything unless someone complained that they were going to start a riot or they were going to do this or do that.

Through the cooperation of the anti-defamation, and the cooperation of our commission, the cooperation— the coalitions of all the churches and I was a politician. I included everyone in it so that no one could say there was two groups or three groups, fine. We took it to the legislators. One of the leaders in the house at that time says, give it to me and my committee. We put enough pressure, and I mean people in this community put the pressure on it. It was brought out and it was passed. So that's a step in the right direction.

There's also another possibility that must be in my philosophy, is: if you get organized, you know,

what I want to do, you can work together instead of calling names, and you could accomplish something. And that, I think, is the main purpose, that most of us are here for, is to follow through.

My son, Richard, at that time was an attorney. He was working for Columbia Gas. He wasn't on the State payroll. And they imposed upon him if he would help draft a law. But we do follow through with the anti-defamation lawyers out of New York and other places of 33 states, who had done things like that.

See, sometimes we are not minorities. But sometimes we like to follow what's a good example, and what's a state law and could be approved. So, I am pleased to say that as our new chairman of the Commission, I haven't had the pleasure of working with Steve Rutledge and some of our other very capable people. We can accomplish it because whether we go through the Attorney General's office or your Commission or through our commission, to get these things done.

MR. PITTS: The young man here.

SPEAKER: Yeah. I just wanted to speak to this thing about the review committee. I filed a grievance here on campus. And as a result of that, I also filed a grievance with the West Virginia Human Rights Commission. I don't believe I would have won my

case on campus if I hadn't had an advocate off the campus. The internal processes here, I don't think would work because of the system.

I think the same thing would happen in the police department. I think it is very important to have an outside system, but I think you also need an advocate of some sort. And the recent situation I explained earlier about being assaulted and so forth, I first met with the Mayor of Huntington and discussed the situation with him. And, you know, there being no formal process, he asked me to put it down on paper and submit it. And I have kind of drug my feet on that, but it ended up being 57 pages of material just explaining the couple of instances that I felt like should be addressed.

And also having dealt with these kind of things before, I today gave a copy to you. I gave it to the Mayor and I gave a copy to the police. And I am going to send a copy to the Human Rights Commission, because I think it's important that you cover all your bases or else nothing will get done. So, I would suggest that if there's, you know-- if a panel, an outside review panel, becomes part of the recommendations or if some committee begins to work on this sort of thing then in some way or another, an advocate for the people, someone to help if there's a

form or if you have to put it in the form of a letter or however you had to do it, there needs to be someone to advocate for the person that feels aggrieved by the department, because I think that's one of the most important things, to feel like somebody is helping you.

I have had-- when I first started this process, I called everybody. I didn't know who to go to for help, and I ended up at the Human Rights Commission. Definitely a lot of good advice, and I think I would never have won if I had just stuck with the very narrow process on this campus.

MR. CHUN: Just a follow-up question or comment in a way. I think we've been given a persuasive indication into this form of civilian recourse. No question about it. Yet we also hear that there isn't any good central system and so on. The question then is that do you think it's probably maybe a jurisdiction—after what we have been told today, my question is: is it by any chance, appropriate in the proper jurisdiction for Mr. Sheridan's office to think about what form of civilian review boards, some form of monitoring, might be realistic and feasible and how we might achieve something as a group?

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't know. I suppose it's always going to be the jurisdiction of anybody to

think about something. In terms of whether the Attorney 1 General can make a formal recommendation, that sort of 2 3 thing is something I would be need to explore. 4 MR. CHUN: Something would have to be group developed and I'm asking you who might be a good 5 sort of body or bodies to do that. 6 Paul, let me interrupt you 7 MR. PITTS: 8 Now, Que's office could ask the Attorney General here. 9 to give him an opinion on whether or not this type of 10 structure could be put into place. And the Attorney 11 General would have to send him back an opinion whether 12 it would be affirmative or negative. Isn't that true? 13 Well, the Attorney MR. SHERIDAN: 14 General's office issues opinions as to what the law is. 15 I think what we are talking about here is recommendations for legislation. Am I correct? 16 17 If it is a question in terms MR. PITTS: 18 of the legality of it, it reaches the same results, doesn't it? 19 20 MR. SHERIDAN: Basically. Well, that 21 might be the question: would it be legal for a city to 22 set up a civilian review panel. 23 That's a question from Que's MR. PITTS:

MR. SHERIDAN: That, I can't--

office as a request for an opinion.

24

25

MS. BURKE: I don't see why it would be a problem.

2.2

MR. PITTS: That's part of the attorney general's legislative process.

SPEAKER: May I say something? Some years ago-- I would imagine everybody in here is far younger than I, and years ago, we used to have some bodies. And I would hope that if we do decide to go in this direction that-- there is a saying, you remember the mistakes of the past or you're bound to repeat. So, I would suggest that if we are going in this direction, that we do a little research. For some reason, we got rid of the review boards; isn't that right?

MR. BRUNNER: Right.

SPEAKER: For some reason, there was a reason we got rid of it. I would suggest we find out.

MR. STEPHENS: A state group, do we want the City versus the State, based on demographics or-- if you look, you know, are we talking about looking at putting this in Huntington or Wheeling or Martinsburg or Charleston? Is that what we're saying? Are we talking about one council that would look at all of the hate crimes and police problems? Because now, you know, we do have-- we're asked to look at community relations, minority relations in the state, and police brutality.

This is happening and is taking place now. So are we saying that we want a council or an advisory council that's a state body or are we talking about one that--in each one of the large municipalities? What are we asking for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTS: Based on the research, it would be developed to the mind set of what would work best. It may be regional, it may be each county. You know, that would have to be based on the research that would be developed.

At this point in time, we are about eight minutes over the five o'clock limit, and I hate to cut it off. But I would certainly like to say that on behalf of the members of the West Virginia Advisory Committee to the US Commission on Civil Rights, we certainly thank you and appreciate your tolerance, especially with me. And you're just sitting with us today, your questions, your answers, your comments, and your statements are definitely appreciated and they will be made part of the written report. Appendages will also be received. We did not come to judge or to We did come to take down information and we condemn. have received an abundance of that today. With the last panel, we also thank you very much for your appearance and your contributions.

SPEAKER: May we ask something of you?

That the next time that you do your work, I'm talking about this advisory, so well that this meeting won't be put on the same day that our Police Civil Service is doing something? There's no reason for this. I would suggest you do your homework.

MR. CHUN: It's necessary to make a small corrective statement. I think we are going to, if I'm not mistaken on this, we are going to receive all of the statements and written documentation and so on, and then we are going to pull it together in one fashion or another, into a final report. But I think it might be misleading to say that when you say it will be appended as they are received, so I just want to make that correction.

(Hearing concluded at the approximate hour of 5:10 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA COUNTY OF CABELL, to wit:

I, Carol Curry-Adams, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, within and for the State of West Virginia, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was duly taken by me and before me at the time and place for the purpose specified in the caption hereof, the said witness having been by me first duly sworn.

I do further certify that the said hearing was correctly taken by me in machine shorthand, and that the same were accurately written out in full and reduced to computer transcription.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which this hearing is taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or financially interested in the action.

My Commission expires October, 20, 1993.

Given under my hand this 4th day of June, 1991.

Carol Curry-Adams

Court Reporter/Notary Public