PUBLIC COMMUNITY FORUM

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

IDAHO ADVISORY COMMITTEE

VOLUME 1

May 15, 1991
9:15 a.m.
Aspen Building
College of Southern Idaho

Twin Falls, Idaho

Advisory Committee Members:

Ms. Gladys Esquibel, Chairperson

Mr. Rudolph Wilson

Mr. Perry J. Swisher Mr. Rudy M. Pena

Ms. Marilyn Shuler

CCR 3 Meet. 344 v.1

MAGIC VALLEY REPORTERS

P.O. Box 611, Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0611 Phone: 736-4014

Reported by Linda Ladhetter CSR CP CM

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2		
3		
4		Page
5	Opening Statement by:	
6	Ms. Gladys Esquibel	3
7		
8		
9	Participants:	
10	Mr. Jerry Evans, Superintendent of Public Instruction for Idaho	8
11	Dr. Trudy Anderson, Administrator, State	_
12	Division of Vocational Education	37
13	Mr. Sam Byrd, Chair, Southwest Idaho Private Industry Council	65
14	Ms. Anita Brunner, Consultant, Department	
15	of Education	98
16	Ms. Joyce Farris, Parent, Glenns Ferry	103
17	Mr. Joe Youren, Principal, Pershing School, Rupert	113
18	Ms. Irene Chavolla, Teacher, Emerson School, Idaho Falls	
19		120
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MS. ESQUIBEL: Good morning. Welcome to our meeting this morning. We are going to get started in the essence of time. There was an omission on the announcement in terms of the name of the building, so probably some people are still out there looking for the meeting room. However, we are going to get started so that we can kind of keep to the schedule as we have it.

2.4

Also, some of the people that are on the agenda have called and informed us that they will not be here. In fact, Gary Fay, the first speaker, has informed us this morning that due to an emergency at home, he will not be attending.

This meeting of the Idaho Advisory

Committee to the United States Commission on Civil

Rights will now come to order. The purpose of this

meeting is to obtain information and views related to

public education in Idaho, its impact on minorities

and specifically the dropout of Hispanics, its causes
and possible solutions.

Participants have been asked and requested to address the following issues: Is the public education system in Idaho meeting the needs of all of its population? In particular, why is the dropout rate of Hispanics so much higher than that of

<u>\$</u> #- 2.

other populated groups? And finally, the advisory committee is soliciting recommendations for improving performance and accountability of the education system in fulfilling the goals of creating a totally educated society.

Among those invited to address the meeting are state education officials, local education officials, university personnel, education program directors and classroom teachers. Based upon the information collected at this meeting, a report will be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

I am Gladys Esquibel. I am the chairperson of the Idaho Advisory Committee. The Idaho Advisory Committee receives information and makes recommendations to the commission in areas which the committee or any of its subcommittees is authorized to study.

Other members of this committee in attendance, and those who will be attending during the meeting, will be James Annest, Irving Littman, Yoshie Ochi, Rudy Pena, Bernadine Ricker, Marilyn Shuler, Thomas Stivers, Perry Swisher, Constance Watters and Rudolph Wilson. Also with us today is a staff member, Art Palacios, from the

commission, western regional office in Los Angeles.

Art.

This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to federal regulations applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. All inquiries regarding this provision should be directed to the chair or to Mr. Palacios, the federal officer for the meeting.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States Government established by Congress in 1957 and directed to do the following: One, to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or by reason of fraudulent practices.

Two, study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the law under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or the administration of justice.

And third, appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of

equal protection of the laws.

Fourth, serve as a national clearing house for information about discrimination. And lastly, submit reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress. I would like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and to share with the committee information relevant to the subject of today's inquiry. Each person will participate who has been asked to participate, has voluntarily agreed to meet with the committee.

Since this is a public meeting, the press and the radio and the television stations, as well as all individuals are all welcome. Persons meeting with the committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In this case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikelihood that the situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of that person making those statements and to request that they desist in their action. Such information will be stricken from the record, if

necessary.

2.4

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the area to be dealt with here today. In addition, we have allocated time between 3:45 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with this committee about the specific issues under consideration today. At this time each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the committee and may submit additional information in writing. Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact a staff commission person before 3:45 this afternoon.

In addition, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of ten days following its conclusion. The committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in this report. This should be submitted to the Western Regional Division, United States Commission on Civil Rights, 3636 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California, 90010.

At this time we would like to proceed with Mr. Jerry Evans, the superintendent. We would like for you to sit at the table, identify yourself for the record and proceed with your presentation.

Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Madam chairman, I would rather stand here, if I could.

MS. ESQUIBEL: That's fine.

MR. EVANS: First of all, I am Jerry
Evans, I am superintendent of public instruction for
Idaho, a position that I have held since January of
1979. The letter I received from the commission's
western regional office advised me that the Idaho
Advisory Committee is holding this forum on
educational issues in Idaho with special emphasis on
the dropout rate of Hispanic students, what causes
this dropout rate and what might be done to solve the
problem.

I also learned that the question really was is the public school system of Idaho meeting the needs of all of its pupils. I would have to tell you the answer to that question is no, we are not meeting the needs of all of our pupils. But I can tell you that we are trying hard. We are working hard, and I believe we are headed in the right direction. There are several people who are scheduled to speak to you today that can give you some detailed information about the things that I will talk about in general. I suspect, as well, there will be a good bit of

overlap or duplication from those who are making the presentations today.

But I am pleased to be here, and I say that I am here representing the state board of education, as well. Oftentimes I will say "we," meaning we the state superintendent, we the state board of education, we the State Department of Education. So that "we" is kind of an all-inclusive we.

So I'd like to begin by telling you that we have conducted several studies on issues related to Hispanic students in Idaho. The most wide-ranging of these is the task force on Hispanic education.

This was one of three major educational studies conducted in Idaho this past year. One by a Hispanic task force appointed by the state board of education, one by a task force appointed by Governor Andrus and myself, and one organized and funded by private business interests in our state.

Right now the state board of education is looking for a contractor who will help give us shape and order to these three reports so that we can begin the process of implementing the recommendations. I say shape and order because we cannot do everything at once. Some recommendations depend on completion

of other recommendations first. Some require one-time funding, others require a long term financial commitment. Obviously, that will bring the Idaho Legislature into the picture, because only they can provide the funds that oftentimes are necessary.

Nonetheless, we have some very careful and very thorough studies that have been completed. We have a long list of suggestions and recommendations. Now we must sort out those suggestions and recommendations to decide which of those we are going to implement and how we are going to bring about some order and some sequence to the matter of getting the job done. But today, you want to focus more specifically on Hispanic students.

The question I am most often asked is whether Hispanic students drop out of school at a rate higher than the general student population in Idaho. The task force on Hispanic education tells us that the dropout rate for Hispanic students is somewhere between 40 and 60 percent. That might be right. I can tell you I certainly do not have a better estimate. I think it is probably quite accurate.

A part of this has to do with the fact that until recently, our dropout rate for all

In general, we took the ninth grade enrollment and then, four years later, the 12th grade enrollment, adjusted for growth and figured out what percent had made it through and what percent had dropped out.

That did not include a number of students that may have done some other things during that period.

2.4

Those numbers suggested a 20 percent dropout rate. Although, again, that's probably a rough estimate. We were not able to distinguish among dropouts, we were not able to distinguish those who had moved, died or students who had delayed their graduation or those who had sought the GED certificate. Ours was a very crude measure.

More to the point, that dropout estimate does not specifically identify Hispanic students or any other ethnic specific student. We simply have not at the state level collected that information. I would tell you that in many of our school districts, the information is available. But on a statewide, state level, it is not available. The 40 to 60 percent Hispanic dropout rate used by the task force on Hispanic education is primarily based on anecdotal information.

I am told that school officials do concur

in those estimates, so there's really no real disagreement with those numbers. We do have a migrant student reporting system, and from time to time we have people who want to know about Hispanic students and dropouts. They ask, "Why won't we just give them the information?" Unfortunately, our system doesn't work just that way. Not all migrant students are Hispanic. Obviously, not all Hispanic students are migrant. The migrant information system is simply not very useful in this situation.

We are now in the process of trying to cure both of these concerns. For the past three years, my office has asked school districts to track students from spring to fall, and then from spring to fall again so we can account for every student's whereabouts. When this is completed — that is when an entire four-year class has moved through — we should have a highly accurate dropout rate.

What we had in the beginning was a very good system for keeping track of those students who are enrolled in school and what happened to them during the school year. What we did not have in place was a very good system for accounting for what happened during the course of the summer. We now have attempted to close that loophole so that we now

will have 12 months enrollment and dropout information.

Anyone who did not move out of state or die or delay graduation, nor who is still enrolled will somehow be counted. Those left will constitute the dropout rate in general. I emphasize in general, because the four-year tracking now under way does not distinguish among students on the basis of ethnicity.

Education conducted a major internal study of informational needs and uses in our own department. The committee interviewed everyone on the staff from part-time clerical people to myself, and I am sure that probably the information from the clerical people was more helpful in the study than that that they received from me. One of the highest priorities among our staff members was to collect information on ethnicity of students in general and on the basis of subject matter enrollment.

As a result, the staff of the Bureau of Finance is currently developing a student tracking forum that identifies people by ethnicity, white, black, native American, Hispanic and so forth. They will be identified by grade enrollment at the elementary level and by grade enrollment and class

enrollment at the secondary level.

I have to tell you that this is not a statewide attendance reporting system. This is simply a process that will determine at what's called the fall enrollment date, the status of the things that I mentioned here. It is not a statewide student attendance reporting system. Although we have no way at the present time to specifically track Hispanics or any other ethnic group in terms of dropout numbers, this year-by-year report that we will be putting in place should bring us very close to the actual dropout rate.

And that process, that reporting process will begin in this fall. There will be two advantages to having this information. We will have a far more accurate picture of what our student body and student population looks like throughout the state, and we will have a much more informed, although still not absolutely precise in every respect, assessment of how well we are able to retain our ethnic students.

Still, we have not waited until these figures are in place in order to begin offering services. Throughout the rest of the day, you will be hearing from people who have been working in these

areas for some time. For example, two years ago when the State Department of Education and office of the State Board of Education prepared information for parents of ninth grade students to advise them about post secondary academic and vocational programs, we prepared a Spanish language version and an English speaking version for parents. I brought copies of each of those for you today.

2.0

2.4

I think, if you were to go through these, you will see that they are a very valuable planning tool and informational tool for all students, but particularly for Hispanic students because of their language. We have had an active role in school district ESL programs for some time now. Since 1983, we have monitored these programs each year for --

MR. SWISHER: What's ESL?

MR. EVANS: English as a second language. Excuse me, we educators sometimes use those things thinking everyone else understands.

MR. SWISHER: Every specialty speaks its own. We are all bilingual now.

MR. EVANS: But we have monitored these programs each year with compliance with the civil rights law regarding provision of education to language minority students. Anita Brunner from my

department is here today to talk to you about some of her findings and the work she does, and about the technical assistance that we provide from the Department of Education.

2.0

The State Department of Education has also worked with the Glenns Ferry School District as it applied for and received the Title 7 bilingual education grant. We are quite proud of the fact that the Idaho program was one of 17 chosen out of 111 applications. Unfortunately, after the first year of the program, the Glenns Ferry school board has voted to discontinue it. It is my hope, however, that another school district will take the initiative to try a similar project.

The Idaho Legislature just this year funded the Idaho Minority and At Risk Student Scholarship Act which provides 40 scholarships of \$2500 each for use at participating Idaho post secondary institutions. The question you must have on your mind is whether or not this is enough. And the answer is obvious. It is not. And I say that for three reasons.

First, the task force on Hispanic education offered us a lot of insight into the results and perceptions that prompted the comments in

this report and in their final report that I am sure Sam Byrd will make available to you today. I will not steal any of Sam's thunder by saying anything more on this, although I think he and his task force opened many eyes with their findings and with their recommendations.

2.2

Second, the task force on the future of education in Idaho, which Governor Andrus and I also made suggestions on improving school conditions for Hispanic students in particular, and for other ethnic minorities in general. Among them were adding Hispanic counselors to high school counseling programs, improving outreach programs for children and their families, establishing programs to recognize cultural and language diversity, and establishing alternative routes to graduation for students who do not fall into the typical four-year pattern.

And third, there is ample evidence that there are continuing tensions between Anglo and Hispanic students, and that those may be growing worse in some portions of our state. Marilyn Shuler and I have visited, and I know that she has visited the Idaho Falls School District herself and she will be able to give you some information with regard to

the allegations and some of the actualities there.

But the tensions have led to everything from ugly

graffiti to actual fighting, and much of it is driven

by ethnic differences.

2.0

2.1

Now, this is a very quick review. We know that our data on Hispanic high school students completion rate is incomplete. But by next fall, it will certainly be better and a much better system will be in place. And as I said, that will give us a better but still not yet absolutely complete set of statistics.

We do have problems, many of which have been raised in three major educational studies during this past year. These reports have given us a wealth of ideas and suggestions. And now we must put these into these — these ideas into action and into some kind of systematic statewide school improvement approach.

We also have some systemic barriers to making improvements as quickly as some of us might want to. Idaho has a very strong tradition and legal support for local school district control. My office can advise, can request, can prompt, can suggest; but as a practical matter, many of the needed changes must start and be implemented at the local school

district and school level. I do not say this to toss off the problem or to pass the buck. And I say that because I know that school districts have to be key in this situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But they are looking for ideas, they are looking for support, they are looking for guidance and they are looking for direction from our department, and we are certainly trying to provide I think that is all I want to say now. that. forward to hearing the comments throughout the day, for as long as I can stay, from others. I hope you have a much better picture of education in Idaho now, where we are going, and where I think the studies, recommendations and task force reports will take us to a much better and a much brighter day for education in Idaho for all students, and particularly for Hispanic students. Thank you very much.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you.

MR. SWISHER: I have one question,
Mr. Evans, that bears on the strong position of local
control. As a fourth generation Idahoan, I have to
agree that we have that tradition. But we also have
something that's quite different from many older
states, states farther east.

When the Idaho Constitution was adopted,

the public understanding of the importance of education had reached the point where we have constitutional language that says something like a general, uniform and thorough system of free common schools must be provided by the Legislature. I mean that commitment is constitutional. It's a commitment that did not exist in the charters of most of the original 13 states, certainly most of the southern states, and didn't start appearing in constitutions until late in the 19th century.

So we have that foundation. We have some case law built on it. And my question is when I hear that coming up, I remember that at the time of Brown versus the Board of Education, before the U.S.

Supreme Court, it was exactly that strong tradition of local control that was at issue.

I don't have any difficulty with your calling attention to the reality of that strong local control, but I think we also have a strong state tradition that any kid in any corner of the state has the same right and access as any other kid in any other corner of the state. So I think we have a leg up in that regard, and I'd like your comment on that. Do you feel that there's a conflict between equal access to an education and that tradition of local

control, which is as old as the school systems?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Swisher,

I don't think there's a conflict, but I think there's a very delicate balance. First of all, Idaho does have some very strong language in its constitution. It talks about it shall be the duty of the Legislature to establish and maintain a uniform and thorough system of common schools. It spells out an affirmative duty. It doesn't just say kind of "let it be." It spells out a very affirmative duty on the part of the Legislature.

The Legislature has chosen, however, to create this system for which it has responsibility by providing for school districts and the election of school boards. If you go through the code, you will see page after page after page of delegation of responsibility and authority to local school boards. When you look at what's provided at the state level, you will find some very general words about exercising control and general supervision.

But we do have strong language in our constitution, and I think that puts together a system. And in that system, I think students are entitled to the benefits of the system, and they should be reasonably uniform, as you have suggested,

no matter where a student should reside or happen to be born in Idaho, or how long they have been here, or any other circumstance.

I can tell you that those words are constantly being challenged, and we now have what started out as two lawsuits and have been consolidated into a single lawsuit focused on the words "uniform, thorough and system." And whether or not the courts and ultimately the Supreme Court will tell us exactly how this uniform and thorough system relates to the schools of Idaho now, which have a wide disparity, to be very honest with you, a wide disparity in terms of revenues available per pupil, in terms of offerings that are available, and services that are provided for children.

I have spent probably the last 20 years trying to point out the wide disparities and trying to suggest ways to close that gap so that children, no matter where they live, have access to quality education in Idaho. I find myself in a very interesting situation now in that I am a named defendant with some responsibility to defend this system that I have been trying so hard to change for the last 20 years.

I believe when the time comes, I may be

the very best witness the plaintiffs could have possibly found, because most everything I have said about the disparities is on the public record. It's been before the legislative committees, it's been all over this state.

But there is very strong language in our constitution. It does provide that we are bound together in a uniform and thorough system of schools. We don't have 113 independent political subdivisions, each one with its own ability and each one with its own revenues and unrelated to the other. So there is strong language. Perhaps we will have even more court precedents here within a short period of time to help clarify this whole issue.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Mr. Evans, thank you. You alluded to the fact that there was a very good program at Glenns Ferry, one you took pride in, being awarded as it was one of 17. And yet you went on to say that it was discontinued. Can you talk to us a little bit about that?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, there are others who are here today that are much more familiar with the details of the program than am I, so I think you will get better answers from others. My understanding is in the beginning, it was a very

competitive situation, but it was an application made by the local school district. It was not an application made by the state, so to speak.

And that local school district application was controlled, ultimately, and approved by its own local school board. And when they, after some experience in the program, decided to terminate it, that decision again rested with that local school board. And even though the state has had some involvement, we were certainly involved in technical assistance and we were trying to be of assistance, and we were hoping that this would serve as a very useful model for others. So we had all of those involvements. But in terms of the application and the program itself, it was a Glenns Ferry School District program.

MS. SHULER: Madam Chairman, Mr. Evans, it's been a while since I have been involved in school things. I am interested in the concept of local control in relationship to the amount of revenue that comes from the state; county teacher retirement, which I believe is paid by the state. What percentage of the total revenues to support education come from state moneys as opposed to local tax, property tax moneys?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Ms. Shuler, let me answer you by giving you two different numbers. Slightly more than 70 percent of the revenue that flows into the school districts for their operating budget comes from state appropriated funds. When the retirement and social security numbers are added to that, the numbers get even higher. They get to about 75 percent.

Also, if you look at the general account revenue of the state of Idaho, nearly 50 percent of the total general account support for the entire state of Idaho is found in the public school appropriation. Now, that's a number that troubles me some in that not too many years ago that number was about 52 percent. And then it was 51 percent. Then it was 50 percent. Now it's 49 percent.

So even though the amount of money going into the school districts is increasing each year, for the last several years the actual percent or portion of the general account revenue for public school support has declined slightly.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Evans, I have -- my question is concerning the emphasis that would be placed in your research or your tracking. Would this be equally treated towards all minorities? Although

our subject today is we are looking at the dropout of Hispanics, the causes and solutions of it; but from your position and what you are planning on doing or putting into place now, would this, the same emphasis, the same degree, will it be placed towards all minorities in the given area that you are going to be using?

1.2

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Wilson, first of all, the emphasis in our department in the attempt to gather enrollment information by race and ethnicity, it's certainly over the full spectrum. I understand the focus of your concern today was the Hispanic dropout rate, so I have tended to focus my remarks more there. But it is to deal with that broader question, and the children in general of all race and ethnicity are entitled to a quality educational program.

I have to hedge a little bit on my answer, however, in that we are oftentimes able to do, in our schools, only what the resources are that are provided for us. And in the case of the migrant education program, which is a part of Chapter 1, there is a fairly large amount of federal dollars that flow in here that serve migrant students, and those are children of agricultural farm workers who

tend to be more Hispanic than others, but not exclusively.

So in that regard, I guess the federal wherewithal gives us a little more capacity to deal specifically there. But it's not our intent to focus on any group at the expense of the other. The goal has to be that children of all races and ethnic groups have access to quality education. The focus on the dropout rate, I think, is appropriate.

I tend to look at that as kind of the result of the problem, and not the problem itself. The problem itself rests in the lack of success in school. When children are successful in school, when they experience a measure of success each and every school day, and when they leave that school each and every school day with the idea that they have worth and dignity and capacity, then children don't drop out of school.

And those are the things that we really need to be focusing on. And then when that problem is resolved, the dropout problem will be resolved, as well. It's a consequence. It's not the problem.

MR. PENA:

, I guess I have two
questions, Mr. Evans. One, I guess before I start,

I'd like to comment on the excellent study that came

out yesterday. In reading through it, there's some good recommendations in there. The one question has to do with the federal revenues. What percentage of the federal revenues does the state receive as opposed to state revenues? How much of that is federal of the total?

2.4

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Pena, I am sorry I am here without my numbers, without my statistics. But we pass, through the Department of Education, kind of like a funnel of federal money that flows through the department that goes out to the school districts in excess of \$30 million.

Now, you will have to put that in some context, however, because we are spending well over \$500 million on public education in an Idaho. I always use those numbers in general terms because people say, "Well, does that include retirement, social security and all that." I don't like to get into the technical detail. But you can see that the federal money represents a relatively small portion.

If you were to look at it in another very general way, you will see only somewhere in the neighborhood of from 6 to 8 percent of the money that goes into school support comes from the federal government.

MR. PENA: My second question has to do with staffing. In collecting the data that you are collecting, does the State Department of Education now have information and data available on the number of teachers and other staff, you know, in the school districts by race and ethnic group?

2.3

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Pena, at the current time, I have to tell you that we do not. But as a part of that same department study that I made reference to with regard to the student enrollment information, we are also looking at all of the other information. We have spent the better part of a year looking at this in our department, trying to figure out what information do we need. And there's a little bit of that -- We sometimes gather information that we don't have any use for, and we are also trying to look at the burden that we place on school districts for reporting.

I am sure that school district folks don't always think we are aware of that, but we do not want to collect information for which there is no use and that we don't use or anyone else use?

MR. PALACIOS: Mr. Evans, you mentioned a contractor that you are seeking to consolidate the information gathered from these three different

committees or commissions. Do you have any target date on that, when we can expect that?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, yes, we do.

We have put out a request for proposal that has been widely distributed. I think it's gone to about 19 or 20 different possible sources of technical support and assistance. Those proposals will be opened on the 20th of this month, and it is our hope that if there is a good proposal that we can afford -- you always have to kind of qualify that in advance -- that the board will approve the selection of that contractor at its May board meeting which is scheduled for, what, the 21st or 22nd of May, I think. So it's right on the threshold of being determined, yes.

MR. PALACIOS: Then it would what, six months down the road they would submit a report to you, or what?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, what we are asking them to do -- I am getting in deeper and deeper as I go here, but the state board has been instrumental, I think, in getting these reports together. Now the next question is what are we going to do with them. And we need to figure out some kind of a strategic plan to bring these matters, these

recommendations, these suggestions in an orderly fashion to the board and to the other appropriate places, because the board does not have the exclusive authority to implement all of the recommendations.

So we are looking for a contractor that will help us with a road map, kind of a strategic plan to get through all of these recommendations and to get them carefully considered by the board. We also are in the process of appointing a steering committee made up of the various educational communities, representatives of those communities in Idaho, who will also work with this contractor and the board. So that we are making sure that we have the opportunity for input and the opportunity to communicate back.

Throughout two of the reports, the most significant recommendation is that we change our system from one that is described and evaluated in terms of its input to a system that is described and evaluated in terms of its output. And its output is student performance. Now, those are fairly easy words to say, but I can tell you to get from one system to the other is not an easy system.

But we are also, as part of this work, and part of the help that we are asking from the

contractor, going to appoint a goals and assessment committee that will also try to put together what we expect in terms of student performance, what is it every student should know and be able to do, and the habits and attitudes they should have as a result of having gone through our school system.

2.1

So we want to have that established for those who complete the school program. But as you go through, you will find it's heavily laced with accountability. And you cannot wait to make that assessment and to determine who is not performing until the student gets to the end of the public school experience. So you have to get those goals, those performance levels also described by discipline and by grade level.

So we are talking about a fairly ambitious task. I can tell you the state board right now is anxious to move. They want it kind of all done by last week. I am the one kind of pulling back a little bit on the reins, saying let's go a little bit more slowly. This is a very difficult thing to do, and that we ought to be looking at a decade of change. We are not talking about something that can be done overnight. But if we really carry out the recommendations that have been placed on our table, I

think Idaho will be at the forefront, nationally, in terms of its educational system.

MR. PALACIOS: One other question concerning the Glenns Ferry situation. Have you or anyone else on your staff made attempts to speak to the board down there about changing their minds?

MR. SWISHER: Is Anita Brunner here?

MS. BRUNNER: Yes. Do you want me to talk about it now, or do you want me to talk about it when I am on?

MR. PALACIOS: Have you or your office made that attempt?

MR. SWISHER: She's part of the staff.

MR. PALACIOS: Can you speak to that?

MR. EVANS: She will speak to that, but let me answer that directly. I did not go down and meet with the board personally. I have talked to a number of the patrons and I have talked to some of the members of the school board. Most of those conversations were initiated on the other end.

MR. SWISHER: Madam Chairman, I have one last question, if I might. I am concerned with the growth and the tasks that you are having to take on at the state level. Not just your office, but the state itself with respect to public schools in the

context of the state board itself.

2.0

In your judgment, can you have continuity in efforts like this one with a board that is also charged with the regency duties at the University of Idaho and with being the board for all of the state campuses for post secondary education? Is it possible for a lay board of policy makers to continue to give you the kind of function that appeared in their directions that resulted in this report on the Spanish language question? Can you really do that? Can you keep the attention of that board? I wish Mr. Fay could have been here, because I don't see how you can.

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Swisher, I'd like to answer your question by saying can it be done, I think the answer is yes. Is it the very best way, I am not so sure. I have been wrestling with this question of whether we should have a separate board for elementary and secondary education for some time. I don't think that there's a magic answer out there with regard to one board or two boards or how the board members are selected.

There is no argument about the fact that it is a tremendous amount of work. If the board will hire the help that it needs -- and think we are on

the right course of getting that done -- it is possible. So I don't think we should let that stand in our way. Whether or not it could be done with a different organizational structure easier is a different question.

MR. SWISHER: Thank you.

MR. PENA: Mr. Evans, is that something they are exploring, as well? To change that structure at the state board level?

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mr. Pena, as far as I know, I am not aware of any specific proposal that is drafted and lying in wait for the Legislature, but I would be surprised if there isn't one by December. It is a matter of discussion and has been in every legislative session, whether it is in a formal way or informal way, since I have had anything to do with the Legislature. And that goes back to 1975. And I don't know of any reason why it would not be again.

MS. SHULER: Madam Chairman, I have one more question. Early in the eighties, the department used to have a sex equity coordinator, as well as a person that had a title like racial and national origin equity. I know we still have the sex equity position funded. Has the federal funding dried up

for the other? Our office regrets that we don't have a colleague that we can call from your office on that ethnicity question. Is that no longer funded by the federal government?

2.1

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, Mrs. Shuler, yes, that position is no longer funded. It was funded on federal money. In our department, I tend to go back when I first became state superintendent in terms of historical perspective. When I first became state superintendent, we had 149 and one-half full-time funded positions in our department. More than a majority of those were funded with federal money. Since that period of time, the federal funds have been cut back in some cases, consolidated and blocked in other cases.

And in some cases have been prescribed by formulas so that instead of being available for state administration, they flow out to the school districts. So there have been a number of things that have happened. But the bottom line as a result of all those things and the shortfalls and the holdbacks and the problems we have had at the state level, my department now has 102. So we had 149, we are down to 102 positions.

Yet the responsibilities that we are

expected to carry seem to be growing each year. As you look at the amount of work that must be done if we are to implement the recommendations in those reports, a good bit of that will have to be staff work. And so we still have a State Department of Education that has slightly more than 100 people. It has about half of its staff on federal money and about half on state money. And we simply don't have the number of positions that we once had. It's a matter of fiscal reality.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Madam Chairman, I thank you for the opportunity to speak. I had no idea my five minutes would last this long. Those are available.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

DR. ANDERSON: Good morning.

Chairman Esquibel and members of the committee, it's my pleasure to have an opportunity to address you this morning. It's not very often that I have the opportunity to follow one of my bosses from the State Board of Education, and so I would like to share that many of the comments I have, I will echo some of the things that Mr. Evans has already shared with you.

As the area that I work with in the public schools and also in the post secondary

vocational technical system here in our state of
Idaho is very much related to the work force, I want
to talk about this issue in terms of Hispanic student
dropout prevention in relationship to the work force
and in relationship to the economy and our jobs here
in Idaho.

First of all, I'd like to just add a little bit to what Mr. Evans already shared with you in regard to the major task force reports that have been developed and that the State Board of Education is reviewing. In addition to those reports, in 1989, the state board appointed a task force to take a look at the dropout rate of Hispanic students with respect to vocational education programs.

I have shared with you English and Spanish copies of that report. It has been out a little longer, and I must tell you that we are down to a point where there is quite a limited supply left, so we are going to have to do some reprinting. But that was part of the forerunner of some of the work that has gone on more recently; and I think when Sam Byrd visits with you in just a little while, he will give you some background about the process for that report and how information and data was gathered.

Of course, Mr. Pena was a very active part of that whole task force and contributed so greatly to the development of the information in there. The reason why we started looking at that, what is the concern, had to do with the numbers and the data. As Mr. Evans has shared with you, there is pretty much a general feeling that the dropout rate of Hispanic students is somewhere between 40 and 60 percent. But you know, that's a pretty big range.

2.2

The thing that we could agree on immediately, though, as a task force and that the group could focus on is the fact that if our dropout rate with the general population is about 25 percent — and that is not good — the dropout rate of anything above that for a particular ethnic group is very, very significant. It's something we must address.

Also, as a result of the governor's task force, Work Force 2000 that was completed about that same time, or the year previous to that, we also had some other very significant statistics and data about the work force in Idaho. And one of those very significant things is as we looked demographically at the population, who is going to be coming to work in the year 2000, we know that in our state, the

Hispanic population is expected to double. The Hispanic population may well be at 10 percent or exceeding 10 percent in the year 2000.

As we look at the need for available workers in our state to perform any of the jobs that — many of the new jobs that are currently being developed in our state in the manufacturing industry, in particular, we are not going to have people to fill those jobs, and obviously whether it's not only the right thing to do in terms of providing equal opportunities for all of our students, it is an economic necessity that we in this state take a look at how we can provide opportunities to skill all of our future work force and labor force.

Some other things that were looked at very closely at that time when that task force did their first review is the fact that Idaho by its very character, and its very ruralness has some unique characteristics. One of the things we recognize is that much of the Hispanic population resides in the rural areas. And being poor is very difficult. Being rural poor is even more difficult because of the access to some of the other kinds of quality services that might be available through the larger communities.

So issues such as access to good health care, access to good transportation services, access to many of the kinds of things that might provide assistance to those who are economically disadvantaged tend to be less available to the Hispanic population, and of course education comes into that.

Now, where does vocational education fit into all of that picture? One of things we started looking at was in vocational education programs, and as a result of federal requirements, we have for a number of years in Idaho collected data on the ethnicity, the gender, handicapping conditions and so forth of students who participate in vocational education programs.

Something that became very evident as we looked at data is that compared to the population at large, Hispanic students tend to participate less in vocational education. And an immediate question is why. And that was one of the things we wanted to take a look at. We speculated on a number of things, and one very much is we know in our state the vocational education programs in high school that are most predominantly available are in the area of home economics, business and office education, and also

agriculture. Agriculture science and technology.

2.0

2.2

And we were finding what is the data and why. Are we offering the right programs for students? And in particular, those programs tend to be the programs that most of the smaller school districts will provide for vocational education opportunities. Larger ones will begin to add more offerings, where they may bring in some of the other trade and technical programs, health occupations programs and so forth. So we started saying I wonder if this has something to do why this low.

We also looked at another factor. Most vocational education programs provide the concentration in opportunities for students at the junior and senior level. And 16 comes at that magic — is that magic number in a youth's life when you are probably between your freshman and sophomore or sophomore and junior year. Consequently, many students may be exiting the system before they actually have an opportunity to get into a vocational education program.

Now, as we look at all of the work force demographics and needs, many of the students are getting away from us, if you will. Are not having access or not being served by programs or not being

served soon enough. And we are going to have a work force very much in need of skilled and qualified workers, and workers who have exited the system early and for which jobs will not be available.

Some other work force data that are very significant: Idaho's economy, fortunately the last few years, has really been quite positive and progressive. We hope it continues to be such. I don't know that it will continue at the rate that it has been. But Idaho, according to information from an economist with Idaho Power, Idaho created in 1989 three times as many manufacturing jobs, creation of new jobs, in our state than the state of Oregon. The state of Oregon has three times our population.

Just for comparative purposes, that means that we are doing quite well, it would seem, in areas such as manufacturing. Now, where are those manufacturing jobs? You know, what kinds of jobs are they? They are not jobs that typically individuals who have been Hispanics or anyone who is dropping out of school or does not have a good high school education is likely to have opportunity to enter. These are jobs very often that are in our food processing industry. But much of our food processing industry has became very sophisticated over the last

few years.

We are not looking now so much as laborers who were working in processing lines where you see the potatoes going by or whatever, but these are jobs that may require much more technical skill. They require workers with a basic mathematics background. Perhaps the biggest course that we are asked for to deliver for adults in the manufacturing related industry to upgrade workers is basic mathematics, principles so that statistical process control courses can be delivered. We are finding constant need to couple that kind of delivery for the adult population. And obviously for young people coming in who don't have that background, they are going to be excluded from those jobs.

Other manufacturing jobs being created in Idaho are in the electronics industry, in particular. And those range from job opportunities at the INEL up in the Idaho Falls area, at places like EMI, at Hewlett-Packard, Micron Technology and so forth. Those jobs, too, are not traditionally as what we think of as just manufacturing assembly line jobs. While many of them pay \$6 or \$7 to start, there may be opportunities to exceed those, at those kinds of dollar levels, right away.

And many of those kinds of companies are coming to us and needing, crying out for more skilled technicians. Technicians skilled at the two-year associate degree level. For every electronics technician — for every electrical engineer, these companies are telling us "we need somewhere between five and eight skilled technicians." Skilled at the level of the associate degree.

And our problem is if we are not getting kids through high school, we are certainly not going to be getting them into those more highly technical programs. Well, coupling all that together, the initiative and the work of the task force of 1989 was to take a look at how can we bring all of this information to bear, and then how can we make some differences.

And in the booklets that you have, there are -- I want to make mention of a few items, I think Sam Byrd will probably carry on further -- there are a series of goals that came out of the task force effort, and those goals are six of them. Those goals have given us some very important direction that we are using as baseline to try and make some changes as it relates to vocational education.

And let me point out -- and Mr. Evans has

covered this topic so very well — that the number one goal there is partnership. Although those goals are not listed in priority order, I think one has to come in and say we do have to have that first. This is not a task or anything where I think we point blame and say this is wrong, that is wrong, that is wrong. I think what's agreed upon is that we are going to just have to step forward with some new ways and develop some new relationships to make some change and to cause the future to be different for many of our young people.

Partnerships are needed very much not only among educational entities, state and local level, but also those partnerships are needed among state agencies and federal agencies. But they are also needed to be working with and cooperative efforts going on between a number of other federal programs. Let me just mention a few for example.

The state Department of Health and Welfare, welfare recipients now who qualify for the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills program, JOBS, who are now on welfare, usually mothers, are going back to school, getting an education so that they will be able to sustain, economically, their family and their livelihood. We need partnerships with programs like

that. I can share with you very positively some good things are happening in Idaho. That will help make a difference. We need partnerships, also, and I can tell you, too, some things --

MR. SWISHER: Are you saying that is working? I couldn't follow.

DR. ANDERSON: It is working. In October of this year, that federal program came into effect. And by the first of January, there were close to 400 individuals being referred into adult basic education, job skills and job readiness, and job — specific vocational training programs throughout the state. And I see that as being a very, very positive step.

Other partnerships and some that are going very well, and I think we are going to see a great deal more of this in the future, are partnerships with the Job Training Partnership Act.

I know many of you are very, very familiar with JTPA.

JTPA, through the regional distribution of funds and grants, has much to offer as we look at providing opportunities for any member of our population who is disadvantaged economically or through handicapping conditions.

I see wonderful options there for many

school districts to work in close cooperation with the local private industry councils that distribute those funds through JTPA. So those are some of the kinds of partnerships that this task force focused in on that we need to do. In addition, a very, very significant thing must be, particularly as it relates to our Hispanic population, working with community based organizations. And certainly the Hispanic commission has provided a great deal of leadership in Idaho.

But I would give great, great credit to the Idaho Migrant Council and the cooperative and willingness -- cooperative, willing relationships that they are establishing with the private industry councils, with school districts, and certainly as we worked with them members of the IMC in this task force effort in terms of collecting and gaining data, it frankly wouldn't have happened without their very active involvement.

Those things are very, very important in terms of our partnerships. Some things that we are doing now in terms of solutions -- as I point out, there are a number of goals there, but among the goals, and I do believe the partnerships has to be first, but the others need to move along, as well.

Now, some of the other things that we are looking at and are coming out of the task force initiative and the continuing work and emphasis that the State Board of Education applies to this particular issue: We have, through vocational education this year, funded two projects, one in Nampa and one in Wilder, that are intended to be pilot projects to establish good cooperative relationships at the local level which will help — it's basically dropout prevention programs, help those schools create good information, good recommendations which then will be shared with other school districts throughout the state.

1.0

Now, those have been funded since January of this year, and I can't tell you the list of definitive things, but there's a lot of good activity and efforts going on. What we would like to do, then, is model what is found successful; and hopefully other school districts will be able to pick up on that information, as well.

In addition, this year in vocational education is a very significant year in that the federal legislation that provides a portion of the funding for vocational education has gone through a major, major change. That is the Carl Perkins Act.

It's now called the Carl Perkins Vocational Applied Technology Education Act. The focus of the act shifted from placing emphasis on improving vocational education programs in general to focusing all of the federal funding on special — services to special population students in vocational education.

What we are seeing out of this, and we will see a lot more in a few months — I would tell you that today is the due day in our office for the local applications from the school districts. The post secondary institutional local applications are not due for about two more weeks. But I will know a lot more after that. But there are three applications in our office, and I have a feeling the mailbox will be very, very full today.

But what we are seeing now is a shift, where it is not state controlled that you will fund this, this and this; but the funding is being shifted out to the local level where local decisions are being made about how can you better serve special population students in vocational education programs. We are seeing and are hearing a lot of discussion about more recruitment effort of students, more information to parents. And that information may be provided in languages other than English.

We are seeing a great deal of interest in some districts hiring a person whose targeted role will be serving at risk students, whether they are involved in recruitment or whether they are involved in specialized kinds of counseling services. And this is going on both at the secondary and the post secondary levels.

In addition, because of the changes in this federal act, we are seeing a working relationship between the secondary schools and post secondary institutions much stronger. I think very positively a step forward. Ties where students can transition or articulate in programs, vocational education programs from one level to the next. Now, all of that is very much at the beginning, but I do believe that those are very progressive steps where we will see, in time, some improvements. But that doesn't mean now we can sit back and ride on that and say yes, it will happen.

Other areas, I would like to point out, that will contribute: The applied academic subject matter through Mr. Evans' office consultant in language arts or in the English area is providing leadership in applied communications. Also the math consultant is providing leadership in applied

mathematics. Through our division, we are working with applied physics curriculum, which is called principles of technology.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are also now piloting in the state applied biology and chemistry, which will be a natural feeder to agriculture, home economics, health occupations programs and so forth. What we are doing with applied subject matter is addressing a very critical thing. It's that kids don't all learn the same way. And the theoretical model of delivery of the advanced math, advanced science courses so often does not appeal to the learning styles of many students. In fact, probably more like the majority of students. And consequently, they don't get math and science. And integrating those kinds of curricula right in with the context of something that's going to help me get a job, it's connected with reality, or vocational education connection, we feel is also going to be a significant contributor to many students.

Also, we are, in addition to the comments Mr. Evans has made in terms of collecting data and information, we are starting now to do some more assessment. As I mentioned, we do collect that information. I'd like to just give you a brief idea

of the kinds of things we have, and we look for strong recommendations from groups such as yours perhaps to assist us in other ways we might improve.

Some things that -- This is current year data. These would be students this year in Idaho public school system, and we would have similar information from the post secondary vocational technical institutions. 8 percent of the population of students who enroll in multi-occupation programs are Hispanic. That's probably about even with our population. However, in the area of agriculture science and technology, 4 percent. This is something that our task force was looking at.

Very often, perhaps, there is a sensitivity. Students who have come up through a migrant worker background, perhaps the last thing they want to do is identify with an occupation related to farming. And agriculture is a much broader area than just going to work on the farm. In fact, that no longer is the specific emphasis of many of the programs. Unfortunately, we need to communicate that to students and parents.

In the area of marketing education,
5 percent of the student enrollment is Hispanic.
Unfortunately in the area of health occupations,

1 percent this year. Now, there are not a lot of health occupations programs in the secondary schools. In programs such as data processing, the enrollment has been at 2 percent. And enrollments in general business and office education at 5 percent. In the trade and technical education areas, they tend to be at 7 percent. But it varies among programs. The percentage is much higher for things such as welding and auto mechanics, and much lower in areas such as electronics.

I think what that tells us is that we need to provide -- one of the things it tells us is much better counseling information, career information to students to make choices about programs. Yes, automotive programs, welding programs are great. But the reality is those may not be the places where the jobs are or consistent jobs are. They may be more program areas that are perceived as desirable by students. It may also have to do with what programs are offered.

In the future, we would like to compile and condense that information such that school administrators can take a look at some of those state composite -- that state composite information and help -- and that will hopefully help them make

choices about kinds of programs that need to be offered.

Other things that we are doing, very briefly, we are providing quite a little bit of in-service right now for teachers, and also for teacher educators involved in vocational teacher education. Also, I would share with you that the staff of our division this past year has spent four different afternoons in multi-cultural awareness. And this addressed not only the Hispanic population, but the native American population, the black population. We have looked at the status of refugees and opportunities provided for refugees here in Idaho.

We know very much that much of the awareness level of our own state staff is a real necessity before we can go further. Our professional development advisory committee in vocational education is looking at ways and options that they can bring in more information about multi-cultural awareness into teacher training programs, vocational teacher training programs.

And I guess finally, I would add that we are even -- our theme this year in vocational education through vocational education week and for

our vocational summer conference is -- in-service time for teachers is dynamic programs for a diverse people. The emphasis being to take a look at the very multi-cultural nature of our population here in Idaho. I have overspent my time, I am sure. I thank you for the opportunity to share with you some information.

MR. PENA: Dr. Anderson, one of the things that we have been looking at is the -- or I should say getting some information from businesses and state agencies is the lack of staff, you know, Hispanic staff. I noticed that that's something we addressed here. What's vocational education doing in that area?

DR. ANDERSON: Okay, Madam Chairman,
Mr. Pena, in that area, I feel very strong commitment
to be assured that we, too, address that particular
area. As I mentioned, the current makeup of the
vocational education staff is not sufficient in that
there is not one bilingual person on our staff. I
find that very unfortunate. But we are going to be
changing that very soon. To share with members of
the committee, the State Board of Education approved
just two meetings ago our redefining a position in
the office for special populations coordinator. And

a member of our staff -- a new member will be joining our staff who is bilingual.

1.0

MR. WILSON: Dr. Anderson, I would like to say from listening to what you have said, I think it's very commendable of the program that you have outlined. I have a question. You have mentioned, with the Hispanics, that around age 16, I guess, 15, that's when they drop out. You also talked about the vocational program where a certain number or percentage were going into this. I would imagine or presume that this is those that have gone beyond the age of 16.

So the thought that comes to my mind is this: As my wife is a teacher and she's a third grade teacher, there are children there that show at that age — they show different aspects of vocation or crafts or whatever. It's kind of identified there. I was wondering if you look at that, or someone has looked at trying to identify children at an early period, and before — and identifying those who have maybe come from a certain surrounding that they have a possibility of becoming dropouts. So identifying those children, and before they get to that point, maybe trying to steer them into a vocational program, a partnership program or

whatever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SWISHER: A puberty prevention program?

MR. WILSON: Where they are going to go out of school, anyway. Maybe this would be some incentive to keep them in, you see. I don't know. I am just wondering, because it seems to me -- What is the solution? If they are dropping out and we are talking about partnership, the large number of dropouts is before, you know, this partnership comes into play.

DR. ANDERSON: Madam Chairman, Mr. Wilson, I have a part answer for you. The complete one is we need to do more. Through some exciting joint efforts between the Department of Education and the Division of Vocational Education, there has been developed quite an effort looking at comprehensive career quidance and counseling. Mr. Evans' consultant is looking right now -- I think you have developed a whole elementary counseling career guidance and And also the State Board of counseling program. Education is emphasizing, very much, a need for elementary counselors. And I think that is going to get at very much what you are talking about. We need to get more information to young people earlier.

From the vocational educational view, we have also shifted our focus and tried to rethink should we just be in the business of putting most of the effort in at the junior, senior level; or ought we be starting down at the junior high school and middle school. And beginning a year ago, we started providing some grant awards to secondary, junior high, middle schools in the industrial technology area that are broader based, hands-on experience, as we used to call industrial arts, but which provide a much more well-rounded experience now in industrial technology. If we can get them sooner and get them hooked on exciting things sooner, the chances of keeping them in school are much better.

2.4

Also, I would share the comprehensive career guidance counseling model is really catching on in this state. I don't know that we are going to be able to measure a lot of the impact of that even this year or next year; but within, I would say, about five years, we are going to see a lot of change. Idaho has made some very, very positive strides in that area.

I would add to that we are carrying on that comprehensive career guidance model to the adult level, as we realize that the dropout rate's been at

this level for a lot of years, there are a lot of adults out here who need to re-enter at some point; and they, too, are in need of some good career counseling.

2.4

MS. ESQUIBEL: You spoke about the report by the task force in 1989. Can you tell us what percentage of that has been implemented to date?

DR. ANDERSON: Madam Chairman, that's a good question. I probably just can't say what percentage. I think we are making some headway, some steps in the right direction in all of the areas. But to tell you that we are halfway there or a third of the way there, I don't think would be at all accurate. But I think all of the right forces are being focused and taking strides. And I do believe, as it relates to change and making a difference, it's not going to come overnight. It is going to take a number of years.

MR. PENA: Dr. Anderson, I have a couple of questions. I'd compliment you on the task force. You could add -- probably to your cultural education, you could add your time you spent on that task force, as well, because all of the cultural conflicts we had to resolve there to get anywhere.

At any rate, one of the things I

neglected to ask earlier to Mr. Evans is that the issue of language is probably one of the critical issues that is coming to the forefront. That is, the Spanish language of those individuals that are coming, the new entrants into Idaho, whether documented or undocumented, that is a major issue. Is your department or any department you are aware of, other than English as a second language approach, looking and studying at that issue as it impacts the system or how the system is receiving — dealing with that issue of language?

DR. ANDERSON: Madam Chairman and Mr. Pena, probably Mr. Evans is the better one to ask the question, but I will share with you just a couple of things. I will buck that one. I will share with you a couple of areas. In 1989 and '90, Idaho had a team partnership arrangement. We had a grant from the federal government for workplace literacy. Again, I am talking now about the adult population; but the focus, the partnership of that included representation from the Idaho private industry councils, from adult basic education in the Department of Education, from the Division of Vocational Ed, from the consortium of post secondary vo-tech institutions, the State Department of

Employment and also the governor's office. It was quite a team approach. And with that federal grant at all points throughout Idaho, through the post secondary institutions and mostly providing services at the worksites, we were providing workplace literacy.

2.0

2.4

What was found in many areas is that workplace literacy meant the ability to communicate in English at a level necessary to function on the job. Not necessarily what I think all of us -- what we might think of as at an adult basic education level, but to succeed on the job. And that has led us to a great deal more awareness about the need there is in many of our industries. There are many people in our state that just plain don't speak English well enough to read safety signs. And that's scarey.

So I will pause at that point. Beyond that, maybe I should just share: The language area, it does not fall within the scope of vocational education. I would tell you, though, we are very sensitive to recognizing that there are needs.

MR. PENA:

Just to clarify it, I guess
I am saying that it seems to me, you know, from my
perspective, it falls in all areas because we are

getting a population now that is -- a lot of it, I couldn't say what percentage, because no study has been done on it, how many of those are monolingual Spanish that are coming to school districts, are coming to programs at all different levels with only that language.

When they enter that program, it certainly affects that program. Most of us need to learn to speak English. But what I am looking at is -- One unique system that kind of opened my eyes up in that Glenns Ferry project is when I sat there where they utilized the Spanish language to stimulate learning, to them, it was more important to stimulate learning, no matter what language; and they used Spanish to stimulate learning.

And it occurred both in the nonHispanic child and the Hispanic child. I thought that was a pretty unique and innovative approach to using that language at that early age, then eventually working towards an English language. Literacy projects, I still haven't been able to define what literacy means yet; but literacy projects were all geared to the English, the person that is prepared to start learning the English language, not the other person.

MR. SWISHER: Before Rudy gets away with

characterizing that as unique, I suspect that over 90 percent of the people in the work force of western Europe speak at least a dialect at home and understand another language at work. It's not unique. What's unique is our parochialism, the thought that bilingualism is a threat to our culture is the goddamnedest thing I have ever encountered. Being articulate in more than one language is not a threat to anyone's child. That's what I have problems with.

2.1

2.2

DR. ANDERSON: I agree. It's quite an enriching experience for many children.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Did you want to say something, Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: No. Anita will.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you, Dr. Anderson.

DR. ANDERSON: Madam Chairman and members of the commission, thank you very much. I might share -- I don't know if Rudy was setting me up a minute ago -- but I will carry out a little bit. As I mentioned, the Division of Vocational Education has had approved by the State Board of Education a position where we may hire someone to serve the special populations coordinator, and Mr. Sam Byrd has agreed to join our staff. I am very pleased to share

that information.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you. The next person to address the commission is Sam Byrd, the chairperson for the Southwest Idaho Private Industry Council.

MR. BYRD: Madam Chairperson, members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to come and speak to you. It's kind of difficult to follow two very excellent speakers, of course, Mr. Evans and my new boss, now that you know, Dr. Anderson.

I was sad to hear that Gary Fay couldn't be here, because I think it would have been important for him to participate. And I hope that his emergency situation isn't a horrible situation that he's dealing with. But I kind of felt good about it, because to follow three speakers, very powerful speakers and very knowledgeable about the whole issue of education, I think would have been a difficult act to follow.

I think I got off a little bit easy. I am always amazed by the knowledge that both Mr. Evans and Dr. Anderson have with regard to their area of expertise. And especially Mr. Evans in terms of even if he doesn't have copies of his reports or copies of

statistics, that he can just pull those out, and it's always amazed me he's been able to do that.

Let me just share with you what you already know, and that is that —— to give you a little bit of a different twist, and I hope I don't get myself in trouble, because I tend to speak what I have —— I tend to speak my mind. So sometimes I think that gets me in a little bit of trouble. I feel very strongly about the whole issue of Hispanics and education and improving education for Hispanics. But not so strongly that I would ever do anything to do it at the expense of another student. You say take from Peter to give to Paul. We say from Pedro to Pablo. I don't agree with that.

When we make recommendations as has been made in the vocational education task force report and then this report, that we are not doing it with the intent of taking away from other students, but we are doing it to complement education as a whole. And so I hope it's in that context that you accept the comments that I make today. Not certainly going after anybody or saying negative things about people, but expressing my point of view, and all in a positive manner and all for the benefit of all students in our educational system.

In 1989, starting with the task force on vocational education, we did something fairly radical in my opinion. We went out and we went to communities, and we asked parents and students, Hispanic parents and students and others interested in Hispanics and education what they thought, why the dropout rate was so high. We also asked another question. What could be done about it. Very simple. And we did it in bilingual forums. In other words, in Spanish and English. We also did it during the holidays, so most people thought we were crazy because nobody was going to come out.

In the first meeting in Marsing,
Mr. Pena and Mr. Fuentes from the Idaho Migrant
Council and Francie Katsilomites. All the Spanish
leaders in the state attended that meeting not really
expecting to go to work. But we needed people to
facilitate that first meeting. By the way, we had,
what was it, about 65 people there, Rudy. And it was
a cold, dreary night, snowing. Members from the
State Division of Vocational Education staff in
Boise. It was a great turnout.

We had mariachi, we had food. Of course, we weren't supposed to eat before we got started.

But culturally, we eat before we do anything. We

disrupted the agenda. We ate first, we heard music, we got on about our business. Basically, when these individuals that I mentioned walked in the room, I asked them to roll up their sleeves to help us, to be able to help as facilitators in tables that were filled with parents and others interested so we could get about answering those two questions that we had posed to the community.

2.

2.0

We did that throughout the state of Idaho. And we were very successful. No less than 35 individuals, upwards of 65 individuals in every one of the meetings. And the results of that, we took special care to make sure that what parents and students were telling us, that we didn't turn it around and package it differently. That we didn't put it in the way that we wanted to communicate that to the public. But instead, that we maintain the essence of their comments and what they were trying to let us know. So we did that.

From that, then, we went on pretty much to try to report back to those parent groups or those community meetings what had gone on in those particular forums. Because we felt a responsibility to make sure that we got back to them in terms of what had happened. So copies of the reports were

provided when it was published. Of course, you see that it was done in Spanish, as well as English, for those individuals who are monolingual Spanish speaking only. I figured that that would be about it. That in fact, we would publish a report, and that the report would sit on some shelf, and that would be the end of it. And I am sorry to say that, but I really felt that that was what was going to happen.

1.1

But I kept plugging away, and I kept, you know -- I kept picking up the telephone and making calls, and I kept basically on top of trying to follow through with some of the recommendations that that early report had put forth. As a result of that -- they say the squeaky wheel gets the grease or something like that -- but as a result of that, then they asked us to continue with this other task force, and that report is the one that I handed out this morning. And so for the last two years, I have been doing a couple of jobs.

The job that I do with the Southwest

Idaho Private Industry Council is contracts manager.

We run one of those JTPA programs. And the other job which has turned out to be a full-time job, as well, which is to help and assist in terms of the work that

both of those task forces are doing. I am lucky to have a boss who allowed me to do that. And a wife and a family who is very supportive, because it took a number of hours away from other things that I should have been doing at work and things that I should have been doing at home.

Let me just tell you real briefly that we called for a number of recommendations that exist in that vocational education report. There's no magic. They found their way into this report, as well. And the reason is we wanted to make sure that they didn't get lost. We also believe very strongly that some of the things we said with regard to vocational education very much applied to all of education as it relates to Hispanics. So if you will look at that, you will see that they are quite similar.

We talked about in terms of the partnership that Dr. Anderson spoke about, we put it in the context of statewide leadership. We believe real strongly that partnerships do need to occur. That it isn't a Hispanic issue, that it isn't an issue just for educators, that it isn't a state agency or a couple of state agencies or the governor who are primarily responsible for what happens in terms of education, and specifically for Hispanic

7 16 7

education.

2.1

2.2

We felt that in fact there was going to have to be those partnerships developed and nurtured in order for us to be successful, because we didn't -- we know that in fact we cannot do it alone. It is in the best interests of Hispanics, but it's also in the best interests of all of Idahoans for us to work together.

You have heard some work force issues.

It's the morally right thing to do, but it's the right thing to do in terms of what's happening in our economy as it relates to our increasing numbers in the work force. So if we want to continue to be a viable state in terms of our economy and we are going to be that work force, then we need to make sure that we are prepared to do that, and we need to stay in school and hopefully go on to post secondary education or some form of training.

But the statewide leadership that we speak to basically talks about hiring qualified professionals in state agencies, including the State Department of Education, the State Board of Education staff, because we think there needs to be that message sent to the community at large that in fact there is leadership that's being taken by the state

agencies. The other thing is that we believe that there are certain people who can bring some expertise to positions if they are filled, so we think that to be very important.

You have heard that there was a position that was funded in the state department, that no longer exists. It seems to me that although we all need to recognize that there has been some less money available, that we should do everything possible to be able to bring back those resources and identify individuals to be able to help, professionals to be able to help within those offices.

Let me also tell you that we called for the continuation of the task force because we think that it needs to go beyond just being a report. We like to be a part of an action plan. It's good for me to hear that in fact there is talk about hiring a consultant to be able to put together an action plan, because if we don't, then it's only going to be a report.

I, too, am concerned about what are we going to do with the report we have now?

Madam Chairman, we were talking before the meeting started in terms of well, it's a real nice report, but really what we are all about or what we really

2.4

want to talk about or need to talk about is what are we going to do to be able to implement some of the recommendations that are contained in this report and the two others. So that's really my main concern right now.

The task force staying together, I think can provide some very good leadership, along with others. We also wanted to put some teeth into this as it relates to the public schools. We said that if we couldn't -- We tried to hold their money. If in fact we couldn't have them implement some of the recommendations, then we toyed with the idea --

That was an interesting meeting, because we said can we do that legally, can we say that we will hold funds back from a school district if they don't implement the recommendations. Because we felt that just to say it, schools may not do it.

So we wanted to make sure we had some teeth into it. Of course, we realized we couldn't do it, but it was a good idea. So what we said is there was some discussion about we are not here to decide whether it's legal or not, we are here to send forth a message, a very strong one that we believe strongly that it needs to be -- that there needs to be an action plan, and these things implemented at the

local level.

2.4

What we did, though, is we said -- we made a recommendation, part of the criteria of which public schools accreditation is based should be the recommendations of the Hispanic task force. So we think that it ought to go right to accreditation.

We started and talked about besides statewide leadership, preschool programs. We think the Head Start model is a very good model that can be used for serving other children, specifically Hispanic children that currently are not being served by that program. And we called for in our preschool recommendation that the state fund a program modeled after Head Start to serve Hispanic parents and children who are not covered by the federally funded Head Start program.

Then we went on and talked about school improvements. I am not going to read them to you. You have those in front of you. And you can take some time to take a look at those. But I am going to point out a couple of things that caused quite a bit of controversy. It deals with the issues of language, specifically. We talked about the fact that there needed to be, in terms of foreign language instruction, we said it needed to begin in

kindergarten. We also said that by third grade that we should require 30 minutes of daily instruction in a foreign language through grade 6. We also recommended, and this was very controversial, that in school districts with Hispanic student population of 5 percent or more, that that language should be Spanish.

And I remember that meeting. I think it was in Pocatello. Sally, one of the members of the task force is here. But you know, how do we decide? You know, how do we decide what to require. There has to be a trigger. And we debated and debated. Many of us felt that we didn't need to have a 5 percent or 10 percent in order to have it, because we felt that it was just the right thing to do, and if we had that, then schools that fell under that 5 percent or whatever the figure was that we were going to decide were not going to do it.

Compromise is essentially what happened. We came up with a 5 percent figure, and so that's what happened. Let me just speak to that issue there. We just really feel -- I continue to feel -- that the model that's been talked about here this morning, the Glenns Ferry model, the two-way bilingual model is basically what we were talking

about should be done. That we didn't want to do pull-out programs.

1.0

We felt, you know, it's real important for Hispanic kids to feel good about their language. One of the reasons that they are having some problems is because of the language barrier. So what we really thought would be good is that if we were to require this for all students. In other words, that they would benefit. Logically speaking from a competitive point of view, you have heard comments in terms of, you know, being bilingual and how that's going to help you. If you know — you know, if you are computer literate, that's going to help you. Yet we do get a lot of resistance when we talk about introducing a foreign language, especially Spanish.

But the ideal was in the Glenns Ferry program. I thought when I went out there, I actually -- I got goose bumps because I could see those kindergarten kids, Anglos, white kids, whatever you want to call them, general population kids and the Hispanic kids, they didn't know the difference between one language or the other. They didn't know the difference between one was an Hispanic and one was a white kid. They didn't care. All they cared about was learning.

I am getting goose bumps now as I talk about it. All they cared about was learning, all they cared about was helping each other to be able to learn whatever it was that they needed to learn. And to me, it was the best thing that I have ever seen, and I can't remember when I have had that feeling when I walked into a classroom. Because you could see that it was really working.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you see an Anglo child who is proficient in Spanish as some of our children are, it's just amazing. When you hear the parent of that child talk about their child who is enrolled into the program and how they really want to maintain this program, and you see that support, it's absolutely overwhelming. When you talk to the Hispanic -- or you see the Hispanic child and how they are getting along in the classroom and the learning that's going on, it's overwhelming. When you talk to the Hispanic parent whose child that was in Glenns Ferry, they felt for the first time they were getting access to education that they couldn't get any other way. all of the sudden, what happens is that that was taken away for whatever reasons that were decided at a local level.

It seems to me that if we are going to

help school districts deal with the issue of
Hispanics and other ethnic groups, that it seems to
me that part of that whole leadership strategy needs
to include somebody at the state level assisting
them, providing either incentive grants or helping
those school districts think through some of those
decisions they make with regard to programs such as
the one that was in Glenns Ferry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because if we were to assume that they will make the right decision, okay -- mind you, there was 150 parents or more who in that community supported that program -- but it seemed to me that there was nobody at the state level who was willing to step forward and say "we realize it's your issue, we realize it's a local issue, but we really encourage you to take a look at the benefits of the program for the entire student population. And we believe very strongly that you should continue to pilot the program, to really see -- to go beyond just the initial year, to really see what's going to For the first time, you have an opportunity, you have federal dollars committed for a multi-year -- for a multi-year project, and you have an opportunity here to be able to do something."

Usually, what we have is an idea, then we

don't have the money. Here's a hell of an idea, something that is working for all concerned, for all students. We have the money. And yet no one, in my opinion, stepped forward and provided the leadership necessary for that program to continue.

The other thing that I am going to tell you about that, and then other people will talk to that — it's very frustrating to me to have that happen — but the other thing that I need to talk to you about in terms of Glenns Ferry is it seems to me that — it seems to me that they could have been encouraged to share that model even while they were implementing it with other districts, because some of the things that they were doing, I think — You know, you think this about Nampa, you think about Caldwell, you think about other districts, and why we were not taking something that we know is working or at least seems to be working and begin to share that even before we finish with the pilot project.

So it seemed to me that part of that statewide leadership could have gone in and said "look, we want to let you know about this program and we want to share this. If you are interested, you might want to arrange a visit." Those are the types of things that I think should have happened with

regard to that particular program. It's sad that it didn't continue.

Let me go on to talk about other things that are in the report, talk about — in fact, we requested \$1 million from — we tried to request \$1 million from the Legislature. I remember meeting with individuals, leadership in the senate, leadership in the house during this past session and having conversations about why we felt this was necessary. And basically, the idea was that, "well, you know, it's going to be difficult to get any money. Even though it's a good recommendation, we think we ought to take a look at it, that one and others, to be able to do more language development programs at the local level, that we don't think you are living in reality, we don't think it's going to happen."

So again, there was kind of a people not willing to step forward and assume the leadership that I think is necessary to be able to implement something that would have gotten at that particular issue of language development and really dealt with those students in the proper manner. So we asked for the million dollars. I continue to feel that we should continue to go back and ask for that, because

that's really what it's going to take for us to be able to do it.

We also talked about parent involvement, because part of the partnership or part of the leadership needs to include Hispanics, Hispanic parents. I was asked quite frequently what are Hispanic parents going to do to be able to help us in terms of making sure their kids stay in school. It was kind of like throwing it back in the parents' faces and saying, "Well, you know, the problem is you guys don't really believe in education."

And our report speaks to that. We say that in fact there ought to be a parent involvement plan that ought to be -- that ought to be required of districts. Again, that 5 percent, any population with a Hispanic population of 5 percent, to develop a detailed Hispanic parents involvement plan that promotes open and continuous communication between parents, teachers and school administrators.

We wanted to insure that school principals were the chairs of these committees, because we felt that if you would leave it to local level, that in fact what might happen is that those principals would assign somebody to run that committee that dealt with the Hispanic parents, and

that they would never really get to communicate with those parents directly. So we said we think that ought to be the chairperson of those particular committees. A lot of principals thought that was interesting.

1.0

2.1

2.2

The other thing that we said is that there needed to be a survey that was done with regard to the adequacy of information that was being provided to students and parents on educational programs and opportunities for Hispanic students. In other words, how do you know how you are doing if you don't have an accountability built in in terms of asking those parents and those students or surveying them in terms of what their knowledge is about educational opportunities and programs. The reason is parents were telling us sometimes they didn't know about these particular programs that may have been available.

We also said there needed to be access to educational programs and opportunities for Hispanic students, and that by implementing this parent involvement plan, that we could get at that. We asked the State Department of Education to monitor the implementation of parent involvement plans to insure compliance. So don't just require it, here

are certain things we think ought to happen, and there ought to be some monitoring that is done from someone to make sure that it's being complied with.

We talked about Spanish language radio and television to promote issues related to Hispanic education. In other words, let's use positively that media to be able to get the word out. We recommended latch key programs, or what are called playing and learning under supervision for children as part of their school activities. We talked about using a model that worked for the migrant education system, which is the home school coordinators. These are people who work with students. Here's a model that works.

And we are saying why reinvent the wheel, why don't we go out there and fund some of those people out of state money to be able to get at some of these issues dealing with parents and students. The same thing with that Head Start model. If they are working, why not do that. We talked about these home school coordinators providing — or being able to facilitate the transition of preschool children and their parents into the public school system. At a very early age in Head Start, parents are very actively involved in that program. And yet magically

when those children make the transition into the public school system, all of the sudden the parents are no longer involved. Why? Why not? If they already know that they need to be involved early on, it should be fairly easy to make that transition and so we need to continue that. So that's what we were recommending that these individuals could do.

The other is that what about a point person. What about a point person within the school district, if a parent had a question, who would they go to. So we thought the home school coordinator's role would also be you go to that person and you ask about a particular program. Or if you have a particular issue or problem, you can go to that individual to get that. We felt if it works for one program, why not use that same model and use it for Hispanics in general.

We talked about certifying -- You know, you get money if you have a degree, and certain credits. If you have a degree -- my wife has a Spanish language degree -- you get more money for that. But if you have that same skill and you are not certificated staff, then you don't get any dollars for that. Yet those aides that are in the school districts who happen to be Hispanic and

bilingual who are used to be able to provide that service are not recognized for that particular skill, nor are they paid for that particular skill. And we said that it only makes sense to be able to do that for those individuals.

2.0

The other thing we said is they probably can make some of the best teachers. If we are looking to increase the number of bilingual teachers, the number of bilingual counselors, then why not go to someone who is already in the system, assist them with scholarships to be able to go on to school, get their teaching certificates so they can come back into the schools. We felt they are interested, they are already doing it, it seems a natural, and we spoke to that in our report.

Guidance and counseling, I tell this story a lot. And what was happening is that we were having counselors -- And probably still happening, unfortunately. Counselors, which there are not enough of, and we need to have more for all the student population. But we had a counselor who would call in the parents and the student to talk about a particular issue with the student.

The parents are monolingual Spanish speaking only. So they use the student to translate,

okay, or interpret, for the parents. So it goes something like this: "Tell your parents that you are not doing very well in school. We are a little concerned about you and we really want you to pick it up a little bit." What did he say? And the child, the student would say, "He says he is really glad to see you, he'd like you to come back, he says I am doing fine in school."

So that was the extent of the counseling. Great, they are doing great. And that's funny, but it's very tragic because in fact there's no communication that exists there. So we are saying, you know, we can all use — all students can use more counselors; but we are really in dire need of some counseling, and we are really in need of bilingual, Spanish speaking counselors to provide us with some of that guidance. That we really need to deal with and make appropriate decisions with regard to our educational careers or our transition into the workplace. And so we felt very strongly that that ought to be a part of that.

I told you we talked about parental involvement, but we got everybody involved here. We said community, parental. This title basically tells you that we weren't able to select, as a task force,

one title for that. We felt -- all of us felt strongly that it ought to be the whole community, that parents must be involved; and that, you know, that the entire family needs to be involved in this process. So what you see here is basically a call to Hispanic leaders, a call to community based organizations and everybody you can think of to get involved in this process and really help us out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We also recognized the fact that some of these parents who are monolingual Spanish speaking only need access to adult education that includes English as a second language, so we were recommending an increase -- tremendous increase, as a matter of fact -- in the dollars that are made available by the state for adult basic education. Because although there is approximately 700 or so thousand dollars that come in from the federal level to fund adult basic education, which includes English as a second language programs, we felt that the state needed to make a larger commitment. I think it's somewhere in the neighborhood of \$200,000 now. It may be a little bit more with this past legislative session. We feel it ought to be closer to half a million dollars. Ιn fact, we recommended \$600,000.

Again, someone needs to stand up, assume

a leadership position in that, because if we want to include parents, imagine this: I have a particular piece of homework I need to do. I take it home. What does your child do when they come home? ask you to help them. And to the best of your ability, you can. The fortunate thing is you can speak the language, you can understand some of the concepts. Imagine a parent who can't. And even though you want those parents involved, they can't be involved, because they are locked out of that process entirely. So until we also provide services to those parents, we are not going to fully be able to get them involved in the educational process of their So we called for additional funding as children. regards to English as a second language program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Let me just say to you with regard to school improvements, we talked about teacher education programs. It seems to us that as student populations are becoming more diverse, that all of us should be going through some sort — all of us, including me, some sort of cultural awareness training that is a part of all teacher education programs. That in order to help teachers deal with that changing student population, that we ought to institutionalize programs that help them do that.

Because if a teacher wants to do it, then how do they do it if they don't get -- receive the training that's necessary to be able to do that? So even if they want to, they can't. So why not do that.

And we called for specific requirements within teacher identification to be able to do.

There's another example of partnership. The higher education system which produces those teachers who are going to go in our public school systems must have that training in order for us to be successful at the public school level. So that's another form of partnership that we were recommending and we feel very strongly.

What about for teachers who are already in the system who may want to get some additional training? We said that recertification requirements specifically said that X number of time or hours needed to be devoted towards classes to be able to prepare those individuals to deal with some of those issues. Unfortunately, I think that was a factor in the Glenns Ferry issue. Unfortunately, I believe that there were teachers who did not support the program and who felt — in fact, were quoted as saying that they felt they were going to lose their jobs because they did not have a bilingual ability.

So they felt, in my opinion -- totally my opinion -- threatened by that changing requirement, and their lack of ability to be able to do that. Why not help those individuals by providing them with that training so that they are prepared to deal with that. Prejudice and discrimination. My opinion entirely. I feel that in fact this exists in our schools, as well.

2.2

And that I believe -- totally my personal opinion -- that that may have been a factor in that particular example that we have been talking about this morning. That in fact there are comments that are made with regard to why should we be teaching them another language, don't they know that this is America. If they are going to live in America, then they need to know English. And if that doesn't smack of racism or prejudice or something, I don't know what does.

But I really think that we really need to overcome some of those issues if we are really going to be successful in terms of implementing some of these recommendations. We need to deal with that. It exists, and until we deal with that, a lot of this stuff is going to be very difficult to do.

Higher education, and I will finish by

telling you that in terms of higher education, we are recommending that -- Of course, the scholarships, of which the Legislature stepped forward, provided leadership, provided dollars to be able to do that. I think that's a very good beginning. I hope we have so many students that apply for that minority scholarship that when we go back the next time they say, "Boy, this really worked, we ought to put money into it."

I know I am dreaming, but I like to dream. I believe, in fact, this need exists there. I like to say that that's the form of leadership that we need in terms of those legislators to be able to assist us to fund those kind of programs, and I think they are going to go a long way. They open the door. But then once we get them on campus, shouldn't we not only be concerned about recruiting them, but shouldn't we also be concerned about retaining them, keeping them there.

And then really how should we be evaluating our success? We should be evaluating it by looking at the graduation rates. And we should say, "If we recruit them, then we need to keep them, we need to provide the environment on campus to be able to have them want to be a part of that

institution of higher education. That they feel comfortable and they are going to get about the business of learning -- of gaining their degree."

And so we felt that that was really important to be able to do. Ultimately, let's see how many of us can complete that degree, and in fact go on. Go on to achieve maybe a doctorate or some other graduate degree. So we feel that in fact universities must begin to move in that — towards that direction.

We also believe that there was some talk about tracking -- not tracking on the negative sense, but keeping track of students by ethnic group in the public schools. That was a part of our recommendation. I am glad to hear we are well on our way to implement something like that. I think it's the way to go. We also believe that that needs to be done at the higher education level, because we will never know until we do that. Currently, I believe that that may not be done to the degree that we need to do it.

What about hiring Hispanic professors, faculty? What about deans who happen to be Hispanic or other minorities? If you look around, what about people who happen to be minorities, specifically

Hispanic, to be on advisory committees at the university level? We don't have that. We need to move in that direction. We as Hispanics, Hispanic community need to make sure that we are then available to apply for those jobs and take on those responsibilities. So we need to help. We have to do our part, as well.

2.1

2.2.

The other thing that we talked about is, again, as part of that was that teacher training program. The higher education system in our state really needed to help us in that regard. Let me just tell you that with regard to higher education, the scholarship, I think, is a move in the right direction.

I just recently got back from the Western Interstate Commission For Higher Education, WICHE, meeting, their annual meeting. Their whole annual meeting was devoted to ethnic diversity on campus. It was an excellent meeting. I presented the recommendations of the task force. And as a result of that meeting, the University of Idaho, Idaho State University and Boise State University vice presidents were present, and there is something called an Ethnic Diversity Institute whereby WICHE staff can come on into a state and work with the higher education

system to be able to provide a team of individuals from each institution. You know, they go through a process of how do you do some of the things that we talk about in terms of the report to make sure that we are making the changes on campus to be able to receive those minorities that are going to be coming on campus, and we keep them, they graduate and they go on.

And so at that point, there was tentative agreement to bring that institute into the state of Idaho. And that those institutions, as well as Lewis-Clark State College, as well as this particular community college would be a part of that, that institute, so that they could begin to implement campus-wide programs that deal with the issue of diversity on campus.

As you know, as you can see, I get excited about this stuff. As you know, I take it very personally. And as you probably also know, I don't give up. And I think that I'd like to let you know that, and also compliment you on the fact that you are getting us together here to continue to talk about some of the things that all of us need to do together to be able to move in the right direction with regards to Hispanics and education. So,

Madam Chairperson, members of the committee, with that, I will end my presentation.

2.4

MR. PENA: Mr. Byrd, I have to congratulate you on the work that you have done to this point in getting the reports together, spearheading the task force and getting things to the forefront. But as you well know, there are also -- In everything that you have done to this point -- and Perry Swisher is a principal and he's looking at you and saying, okay, this is overwhelming --

MR. BYRD: That's kind of an exciting thought.

MR. PENA: He's retired now, so he's available.

MR. SWISHER: It could happen.

MR. PENA: You know, this report, you lay it on a principal's desk and say it's overwhelming. What three things do you feel would be the most important things that you could tell him?

MR. BYRD: Language programs along the lines of the Glenns Ferry model. Parent involvement, a plan for parent involvement. Not just we are going to get parents involved. And staff. Staff that happens to be bilingual, Spanish speaking. And counselors. So a real move in that direction. Those

are the three things that I think if we did as soon as possible and didn't do any of the other things that we would be so far ahead of the game it wouldn't even be funny.

MR. PENA: A second question is this:

In light of the whole push of trying to define

cultural awareness, cultural awareness to you and

probably everybody in this room would be defined

differently. One of the trends occurring now is to

send all my nonHispanic or nonSpanish speaking

teachers to Spanish classes as part of a cultural

awareness. Do you see that as part of a cultural

awareness?

MR. BYRD: I think language is very important in terms of culture, and I think that we should all recognize that. But is it you, Sally, that talks about the tourist approach to cultural awareness? And that is if you speak about going to a class every once in a while or if you go — have a taco or enchilada once in a while or if you go to Fiesta, Cinco de Mayo, if that's your approach to becoming culturally aware, then I don't think that's what we are talking about. That I can tell you that regardless of the definition, my definition doesn't include that type of an approach.

You know, people speak to -- It seems that we get to -- maybe it's part of our society, but when we talk about culture, at least when people talk to me about my being Hispanic, first of all they say how did you get the last name like Byrd. second thing they talk about is food. They talk about tacos, enchiladas and things like that. have no understanding of where they are coming from, because I don't -- I think they are trying to reach out, but I don't -- I think they really lack an understanding in terms of what the culture is really all about. So I really think we need to provide --We need to celebrate diversity. That's what America's all about. That's what America's always been about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But most recently, we are looking at a particular new group of immigrants that happens to be growing at a tremendous rate. This happens to be everywhere, and that in Idaho now stays here when before these people used to leave. They used to come in and they used to leave. And the changes that come about as a result of that diversity, I think scare the hell out of people.

And it seems to me that the appropriate thing to do for all concerned, for the good of the

country, for the good of Idaho, that the best thing for us to do is do what we have always done. Is to celebrate the differences and to be able to do that in a way like a community should, and to celebrate that and to embrace that and to get about the business of doing what it is that we need to do as a community. So that's really where we need to be.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you.

MR. BYRD: Thank you.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Next we have a panel,

Anita Brunner, consultant for the State Department of
Bilingual Education, Irene Chavolla, teacher, Idaho

Falls. Pete Espinoza. I don't see Pete here.

Tony Rodriguez, director of the college assistance
migrant program. I don't see him. And

Mr. Joe Youren, principal at the Pershing School in

Rupert. If we could have each one of you, as you

speak, identify yourself and who you will represent.

MS. BRUNNER: My name is Anita Brunner. I am a consultant with the Department of Education for English as a second language and bilingual education program. Art asked me to come here today to talk about what the role of the state department is in bilingual education and ESL. We don't have any state curriculums for bilingual education and ESL.

This is another local issue, but we are very much involved in monitoring these programs and providing technical assistance. I can go through what the procedure is. In the fall, the districts turn in an education plan for their limited English proficient students. I can leave these with you as evidence of the plan. It is submitted to the Department of Education and approved to see that it shows proper English language development and access to the curriculum. They can either provide access to the curriculum through the native language or through the ESL strategies in the content area.

1.3

A lot of the training that we do provide is for mainstream teachers so that when the limited English proficient students are in the mainstream they will be able to have some comprehension of the curriculum. And there are a lot of strategies now that show that cooperative learning, peer tutoring, visuals and what we call sheltered English, that this can work and children can acquire language through the content area.

This year we provided the training for secondary teachers because we are very concerned about the dropout rate, and we found a lot of the elementary teachers have traditionally gotten

their -- that had this training in their college training. But the secondary teachers were not quite as aware of these strategies, on how they can include the second language students in their classrooms successfully. So we focused the training on that issue this year. Next year, we are going to focus the training on the administrators, because in these trainings, we have found that the teachers have said yes, we like this, we want it, but we need some support from our principals.

So moving on with the monitoring system:

After they turn in the plan, they are visited by one of the members of the state department during the year to see if the plan is being properly implemented. Sometimes we find there are weaknesses in these programs. At that point, we sit down with the district and we develop a staff development plan for that school district there. We try to arrange it usually for 15 hours so that the teachers will be able to get one credit for this.

This somewhat ties in with the task force report, because we generally try to include some cultural background, as well as knowledge on language acquisition, and how teachers can adapt their strategies to include these students. The third part

of the monitoring process is that in the spring the school districts turn in an assessment of their program and the progress that these students have made. And then at the end of the year, we compile all of this information into an evaluation report which is prepared by an outside evaluator.

2.1

I have copies for all of you, if you are interested. And then we just sit down again and make our plans for what the staff development is going to be for the next year. That kind of gives you the circle picture. Basically, since we don't have that much time, I do want to address the Glenns Ferry issue, because I want to say, as Rudy was mentioning, yes, it is true, it seems that we have had the emphasis on English language development. I think maybe largely because maybe we have not — the state isn't super probilingual. I think everybody can pick up on that.

But I think slowly it's come around, at least in our department. I mean if anyone has read the big -- Empowering Minority Students by Jim Cummins, this is kind of like my bible now, and I have certainly promoted it with administrators. It talks about the importance of recognizing the language of the students that come in, and taking

this as an addition, treating the kids as gifted and talented. They already have one language, let's build on that, let's offer them courses in their language so they can continue to excel.

2.0

2.4

Of course, we are all going to need to be bilingual. We should build on that rather than taking away that language and only giving them English. Let's build on their Spanish, their Japanese, whatever, and also give them English. Research has also shown the best way to do this is through a two-way immersion program.

Contrary to what Mr. Byrd said, the state department did provide a great deal of leadership on the Glenns Ferry program; and we talked to them about it, we helped them develop their proposal. We went down several times, and we were simply told by the superintendent and the board members that outsiders' opinions would not influence them. And they felt quite strongly about that. And for this reason, I asked Joyce Farris, who is a very strong parent advocate for the program, to come and share some of her experiences with why the program failed, even though it was very successful.

MR. SWISHER: Would you spell your last name for us.

MS. FARRIS: It's F-a-r-r-i-s. I thought maybe that you might be interested, to begin with, in that we — after the school board voted this program down, the vote was two to two, then the chairman of the board voted, made it three to two against the program. Even the board was divided. And the community was very divided.

signatures to, and we could have got more, but we were on a time schedule where we wanted to get it into the board the night of the board meeting. And this was what we wrote on the petition. It says, "Petition of the parents and concerned citizens of Glenns Ferry. We, the undersigned parents and concerned citizens of Glenns Ferry, Idaho and the surrounding area, hereby submit the following comments and signatures in support of the continuation of the federally funded bilingual immersion program in our school.

"We disagree with the board of trustees' decision in this matter during their meeting on March 14th, 1991. We believe that the board has erred in rescinding its earlier decision to begin this program. At this time the trustees made a commitment to provide an alternate form of education to our

children. The results so far have proven that this sort of education can be successful. We feel the continuation of this program will not only provide more successful results, but it will also prove beneficial to the community as a whole.

2.1

2.2

2.3

"The program should be continued for a minimum of one more year to fully expose these educational benefits. Federal funding should not be discarded without fully exploring all of its potential. Please carefully examine this petition and reconsider your latest decision. The advancement of our community depends upon the ability of our leaders to foresee the changes in our lifestyles and their ability to accept and direct those changes. Your prompt attention to this matter will be greatly appreciated."

The bilingual grant that we got was nearly \$500,000 over five years. We had and still have a bilingual teacher who is very, very talented and a very good teacher. Our superintendent would like to keep her. She was treated terribly by teachers that were on staff in the elementary part of our school. She felt not accepted at all; and I am sure that if she finds another position, that she will not stay with us in our school.

In talking with several board members, and I spent many -- had many talks with them -- I feel, like Mr. Byrd, that it was voted out because of prejudice and because there were two teachers that in the beginning, in the fall, after the program was implemented went out amongst the community and also to the school board members and said things that were absolutely false about the grant and about the program. And that type of information flooded through the community, and you could not convince people otherwise what it was really about.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The board members admitted that the people calling voting against -- wanting them to vote this out were prejudiced. They said that -- and I asked them questions such as "did they know anything about the program?" "No, most everyone that called really didn't know anything about the program." So I felt that as a parent we were denied something. That my little girl is in this kindergarten class, and I went into the class several times.

The question was asked of board members,

"Have you ever attended the class?" I don't think

there was one board member that ever went into the

class to see exactly how the program was going. And

I can't say that for sure. There may have been one,

but I would -- I would say that -- maybe just one. And my daughter, it was very easy for her. I had doubts about it in the beginning, because I didn't see how they could be taught half a day in Spanish and half a day in English and be able to learn the things that were required.

2.2

I called all over this country. I called Arlington, Virginia, I called North Carolina, I called Oregon, I called California. I talked to principals at all of these places that I called and got results of their programs that are very similar to this in two-way bilingual immersion. All I got was positive answers on how much it is helping their children and how much they even exceed and excel normal standardized test scores from students who are not able to be in the programs, that are not in the same programs.

So, of course, I wanted this, to be able to have this in our district. I can tell you that I asked our board if they knew what the Iowa Basic Skills were for our children in our school this last — just the last month that came through. The teachers tested the kids. They pulled out all of the Spanish students and all of the learning disability. They took all of their scores out first. Then they

averaged what our school's basic skills or Iowa
Basics were. We were at the 35th percentile, which
was terrible. And our board members did not even
know that.

So I was feeling frustrated, as a parent, in that area. I felt like we had something very, very good; and I feel that we were denied something. That we had three meetings that I know Rudy -- or Mr. Pena was at where we had at least, I would say, 90 percent support of what we were doing. And several parents coming up and speaking at this meeting. And everyone there thought there was no way that the board would vote this program out. I mean everyone there.

And so when it happened, it was just like we were so taken aback that we didn't know what to do next. And that was why we got this petition. And we got these 350 signatures.

MR. SWISHER: Madam Chairman, if I might:

I wonder how this happened to land in Glenns Ferry.

Whose decision it was to pick a community where the achievement level of the nonminority pupils was that deficient, and introduce it in a place where -- a community that went through a traumatic employment downturn. Why Glenns Ferry?

1 MS. FARRIS: We have a 30 percent 2 Hispanic population. And they have had some ESL, but 3 we need -- they need more than that. This type of program would address their needs very well. But it 4 5 also addressed the needs of the Anglo child because 6 then they are given the opportunity to learn a second 7 language. 8 MR. SWISHER: I see the logic of it. What 9 I am looking at, if you excuse me, is the politics of 10 it. Why Glenns Ferry? 11 MS. FARRIS: I know our superintendent 12 found the grant originally. 13 MS. BRUNNER: It was a national 14 competition, and they funded 17 nationally. 15 16 they chose Glenns Ferry because they did have a need 17 and it showed a commitment to this type of program.

why it was really an honor that they got it. I think Also, they selected different sites throughout the country. I think they wanted to try a model in rural America.

MR. SWISHER: That's pretty exotic for rural America.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was the only rural one MS. BRUNNER: that was funded.

The obvious question to MR. SWISHER:

someone from southern Idaho is why not Marsing, why not Wilder?

MS. BRUNNER: Now we are getting to the root of it. There is a fantastic staff person down there, Carleen Viner Smith, who is always doing innovative and exciting things.

MR. SWISHER: Glenns Ferry?

MS. BRUNNER: Yes. Last year she received an award for an outstanding Chapter 1 program. She's the one that said "I want this program."

MR. SWISHER: Where will she be working next year?

MS. BRUNNER: Aberdeen.

MR. SWISHER: There's really nothing wrong with politics. It does solve some problems. I mean it does help to pay attention to community sensibilities. You don't take a community that's on the edge of an economic cliff and capable of being shoved off by Heath Electronics closing, after the railroad pulled out, after the Birds of Prey project, after the air force bombing range. It's like, you know, trying to sell Girl Scout cookies in Kuwait. I don't understand how you wound up in Glenns Ferry.

MS. FARRIS: Actually, they did. And really --

MR. SWISHER: Good intentions?

2.4

MS. FARRIS: Yes. And I think you may find this problem everywhere, I don't know, if you tried to do something like this. I am not sure.

MR. SWISHER: You always have the basic problem, the fear. But as to community acceptance, if people have other and imminent economic concerns and relative status concerns, and that community is introduced to this program absent some broader support, then this person you have described, even this superintendent willing to commit himself, you on your phone checking out people —

Nevertheless, if you don't have a basis -- I think of Wilder -- I have used the word politics because Phil Batt is from Wilder. In the presence of Phil Batt, something would have worked. I don't know of anybody from Glenns Ferry or the eastern end of Elmore County who has ever been out there fighting for truth, light and justice. Mostly, they have been fighting for bread.

MS. FARRIS: Well, the money, the federal funds, it was approximately \$93,000 a year, would have helped our community a lot. And we needed that, too. And we felt that there was not one thing negative about what we were doing. And it would have

been a good model for the rest of the areas in southern Idaho that could have put this in, especially where there were significant Hispanic populations. It would have really helped. And what more we can do as parents, I don't know. Just what I am doing now.

2.1

2.2

MR. SWISHER: Maybe Brunner can tell us her prognosis for the program being transplanted into a community where you don't have the tightness. My wife and I stopped in the cafe, the cafe in Glenns Ferry quite innocent of the fact that this thing was coming to a head, and could not avoid eavesdropping on it, a conversation involving it. And so I have been interested ever since.

MS. FARRIS: Was there like -- That specific place is where a lot of the negative came out of. But there was one woman that would go down and talk. Were you happening to hear when she was down there, or were you hearing totally negative?

MR. SWISHER: We were down there when a woman came in talking positively.

MS. FARRIS: Good, you heard a good one.

MR. SWISHER: But that obviously was not the usual menu at that restaurant?

MS. FARRIS: No, no, no. And that's what

we are faced with. I would love to see this in other communities, but I am heartbroken that it is not in ours. And my little girl at the age of six can speak Spanish and sound like she is a Spanish person. And you can tell when you go into the classroom there is a difference of feeling. If you ask the kindergarten, the regular kindergarten teacher the difference -- She's right now in the process of having her kindergarten scores evaluated because she feels that this class has learned more and is more advanced than any that she's ever taught.

2.5

MR. SWISHER: It's not the end of the world. Things will still happen in Glenns Ferry. I would really be interested, Madam Chairman, what Brunner --

MS. BRUNNER: I think for this particular type of program, this was a new grant nationwide, and they wanted to show how two-way immersion programs can work. I would say that Idaho stands a very good chance of getting another one after turning this back. However, several districts have applied for other types of bilingual programs; Wilder, Twin Falls, at least five other districts.

But as long as you brought that up, I would like to mention that there is no funding, state

funding for bilingual education or ESL programs.

These are programs that could be implemented locally.

You don't need a grant to do it. You can do it

through staffing. You just need bilingual teachers

and a commitment to it.

MR. SWISHER: English as the first language is not even at the level where they are ready to consider Spanish. I am probably being sarcastic about it. Go ahead.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Are you through?

MS. FARRIS: Yes, unless you have any

questions.

2.4

MR. YOUREN: My name is Joseph Youren. I am a new administrator. I am brand-new to the administration game. This is my first year as a principal of a primary school, K-3. Our school has a student population of 325 as of yesterday. It changes every day. We have had as many as 115 students who classify migrant status move through our building at one time or another. Our student population in this attendance zone is approximately 40 percent Hispanic.

MR. SWISHER: Can you project your voice a little more? Some of us are of an age where we can't hear grade school principals.

MR. YOUREN: You are probably fortunate in that.

MR. PENA: Discipline us.

MR. YOUREN: As I say, I am very new to the administration game. I am also new to the Magic Valley. I have only been down here with my family for about nine months. I have to, as a disclaimer, indicate that my views are my own. I do not attempt or pretend to speak for our community, for the area, for my district or anyone else. I am not capable of speaking for all principals. I will happily give my own opinions, however. My views are simply personal opinions and should be treated as such.

As I gain experience with administration,
I become more and more aware of the fact it's much
easier to describe problems than it is to offer
solutions. So I will probably rehash some of the
problems without offering very much help. I would
agree with Superintendent Evans that our problems
with education as it relates to our dropout rate is a
direct outcome of the experiences that children have
in schools. Successful children, successful students
do not drop out. Students who repeatedly experience
failure do.

We must begin with a fundamental change

in our perception of our Hispanic community and the migrant populations. Our society and the educational community in particular seem to lose sight of the fact that these two terms, migrant and Hispanic, are not necessarily interchangeable. Both populations exist, both populations are real. They are essential and contributing components to our society.

2.4

But oftentimes in education, because we do have migrant funding which comes from the federal offices, that we then in implementing those programs have done all we need to for our Hispanic population, and that is not true. We must make certain that all students come to school ready to learn.

This comes to our attention in two ways. First, it's difficult to any child to learn if his basic physical needs have not been met. Affordable housing is needed to allow Hispanic families basic dignity and comfort that comes from having a home. Children who must sleep on floors or in garages are not well rested and not ready to learn. Four-room housing for two or three families does not allow for study or learning at home.

Parental involvement is difficult in both cases if parents must work, and many of our parents must work more than one job. Affordable medical care

is not available, at least not to the degree that is needed. Hunger in our area, generally, however, is not found to be a major problem. Housing and medical care can and should be addressed by the federal level. We do have programs in place to do that.

Early childhood education is probably the strongest area of our efforts to assist the Hispanic population. Head Start programs and programs modeled after those offer tremendous advantages to those students who can participate. Because it has been proven to work, it should be expanded.

I agree with Mr. Byrd. A problem that we face in our building is that in the public school system using English as the only acceptable language of instruction can then result in problems for our families. The children learn English in an English only environment. They can become convinced that Spanish is somehow inferior. This can lead to neglect or avoid the first language of their family. They can then become alienated from families.

Spanish speaking teachers are needed desperately. I would agree that our Spanish speaking aides are probably our first source for Spanish speaking teachers if we come up with the funding and programs to encourage them to become teachers.

We have some structural props, relying on the old nine-month school calendar, that shut down the majority of the educational delivery systems that are available to students.

MR. SWISHER: Would you say that again about the nine-month program.

2.1

MR. YOUREN: I say relying on the old nine-month school calendar effectively shuts -Summer schools that do exist are generally run by migrant organizations or funded through migrant funds. But that re-emphasizes the separateness of the migrant students, and again it allows people to assume that all of the needs of all of our students are being addressed simply because a small, separate program has been put into place.

Year-round schools would offer more flexibility for our students and provide greater opportunities for continuous progress. It would help us to avoid the pitfalls of retention which have been shown to be a serious contributing factor to at risk behavior and dropout rates.

Relying on graded school systems,
particularly at the primary level, forces teachers
and administrators into pass/fail decisions without
any acceptable alternative. Ungraded schools would

allow for more appropriate individual placement and instruction and decision making. Such systems are fortunately becoming more common.

1.0

In terms of solutions, I can only offer general advice. Reforms that truly effect changes are those that affect the classrooms individually. Top down direction, regulation and guidelines can help, but they generally do not produce meaningful change. Reforms that affect or support the membership of the classroom community can and will produce desired results.

I would suggest that the Hispanic commission's report or the task force's report is an example of grass roots movement and can effect change. We must encourage Hispanics to become involved in education at every level; but in particular, we need Spanish teachers who are literate in both languages and can provide appropriate role models for all students.

I would suggest, perhaps, that emphasis being placed on choice and national standardized testing are misguided at best, and are likely to result in disaster. The concept of choice of schools will erode support for public schools. It can also lead to stratification by economic class and a

widening gap between the educational haves and have nots.

1.6

2.4

out.

American students right now are probably the most overly tested students in the world. The time and resources wasted on standardized tests are at once incredible and irreplaceable. Standardized tests have never been shown to increase student achievement, and adding another layer at the national level is simply producing another round of education bashing and inflammatory rhetoric.

In conclusion, I repeat, I know that it's much easier to describe problems than it is to offer solutions, and my opinion is all my own. They are not intended to represent anyone but myself.

MR. SWISHER: You could become quite a voice in school policy if you would learn to use your voice.

MR. YOUREN: In my background with junior high school students, I find the lower you modulate, the more attention you draw.

MR. SWISHER: Not true with adults.

MR. YOUREN: That's what I am finding

MR. SWISHER: They have different things in mind at puberty than we have.

MR. YOUREN: True enough.

2.5

MS. CHAVOLLA: Irene Chavolla. I am a teacher. I am here to address problems that I see when Hispanic children come into the school. A lot of the problems that the children face, and this is really common, is the low income. As Joe stated before, the housing. Sometimes when we have the parents and we ask them at parent/teacher conference to please help them with homework, they don't really have a place of their own to sleep, much less a place where they can sit down and do homework. Housing is one of the problems they face.

Another one of the problems that they face is the food. They don't have adequate food to do -- In school, sometimes we talk about the four basic food groups. Well, if you are poor and you are Hispanic, you are lucky just to eat tortillas and beans in the morning. So when we talk about that, immediately we are telling the children, the Hispanic children "your food isn't good enough." You know, they get all these negative feelings from us.

Another thing we do is the clothing. I think a lot of the migrant children, a lot of the Hispanic children when they come to school, they are not in their -- oh, I don't know, their designer

clothes, and so that's immediately another negative that they see. Most of the Hispanic children, when they first enter school, they haven't had a lot of the experiences that other children have had. A lot of the children that we get in the Idaho Falls area or in the Idaho area are migrant children, so they have had a lot to do, they have moved around and they have worked a lot. But they haven't had time to go like say to visit the zoo or go spend a week even at Yellowstone Park or maybe gone to the Lagoon.

So when we talk about all those activities and all those things in the classroom, immediately they shut us off because they view that as "gee, maybe I am not supposed to be here or maybe I am not as good as the other person sitting next to me, I haven't had those experiences." So we as teachers feed all these negatives things into the children without realizing that we are doing it to them.

Another one of the things is language.

The children that are in my classroom are really fortunate, because I speak Spanish. I can say to them "good morning" in Spanish and comfort them. The teacher across the hall from me doesn't speak Spanish, and that's unfortunate. We don't have, in

our school, we don't have anybody who really helps the children. So if they go in and they don't speak English, they get the migrant tutor three times a week for 20 minutes, which is nothing. The rest of the time, that child is sitting in the classroom getting absolutely nothing. So then again the child is getting negative feelings about speaking Spanish and not knowing English.

22.

Another one is the cultural differences. One of the cultural differences is the home life. It's really common in the Hispanic home life that if a child is sick, then the older child stays home and helps the mother take care of that sick child. Or the mother has a doctor's appointment, it is real common to keep the fourth grader home so that she's the babysitter. And when that child goes back to school and says to the teacher, "I didn't come to school yesterday because my little brother was sick and my mom had to run him to the doctor and I stayed home with my brother," the teacher views that as maybe the parents don't really care about education, what's wrong with them, why didn't they get a babysitter.

But that's one of the cultural differences. When everybody talks about cultural

awareness, that's a cultural awareness. It isn't eating tacos, it isn't eating enchiladas, this is talking about this is home life. This is the way if you are an Hispanic, your home life is important and you have strong family ties. That's something that is part of the cultural awareness.

One of the things that the Hispanic children and the migrant children bring to school when they come to school is they are really dependent on their parents. I think a lot of Hispanic mothers do this. They get -- you get up in the morning and you help your kids and you feed them and you dress them. And in the Anglo society, the sooner you can put your shoes on and the sooner you can be independent, that's the best thing.

Well, a lot of the Hispanic children come to school and they are so dependent, and immediately that's viewed as negative. They are dependent, and so they don't know how to do a lot of the things.

And they depend on the classroom teacher to walk over and say to them, "do this, do this." And the teacher sees it as a real negative, rather as seeing it as a positive.

Because I even notice like in my classroom when I taught kindergarten, my Anglo kids

would walk in and go to centers. I had to walk over to my Hispanics and say "go to this center, what would you like to choose." That's part of the culture. It wasn't that they didn't know how to do it. I had to okay it with them that they could do it. That's another one of the cultural differences that we have.

2.0

I guess my bottom line is that a lot of the things that the children encounter when they come to school are all cultural differences. Unless teachers start realizing and start working with these different cultural differences, we are always going to be viewing the Hispanic children or the migrant children as being negative because they don't do it the American way.

And I think that we as classroom teachers do that a lot, too. Without saying it to them, we tell them, you know. We do little things like, "Oh, get to a center, what's wrong with you, why aren't you there already." Another thing we do is when we say "Oh, you haven't been to the zoo?" And you don't mean to, but I think that they view it as a negative. So we have to be aware.

I think that I am very aware of it because I did grow up Hispanic, but I see other

1 teachers in my building doing it. I don't think that 2 they mean to do it, or nobody has ever pointed that out to them. Unless somebody sits down and has a 3 4 training with them, they are not going to view it as 5 being negative. But some of those are problems that 6 I see. 7 Would you identify what MS. ESQUIBEL: 8 school you work with? 9 MS. CHAVOLLA: I work with School District 10 91, Idaho Falls. And I work at Emerson. 11 MR. PENA: I have some questions. Ιn each one of your school districts, how many Hispanic 12 13 teachers are in your school districts? 14 MS. CHAVOLLA: I haven't been in Idaho Falls 15 that long. I think that I am the only Hispanic 16 teacher in Idaho Falls. 17

MR. PENA: Mr. Youren?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YOUREN: In my building, out of 15, we have only 1 Hispanic teacher. I would say out of our 6 elementary schools, that's probably common. The secondary and the high school level, I am not experienced enough to speak to it.

MS. FARRIS: We have none, except for the kindergarten teacher that is bilingual, right now. And we have no more. We have some Hispanic aides.

MR. PENA: Mrs. Chavolla, you are sharing with us some really important aspects of how particularly a Hispanic views the cultural things.

Is there any of this activity going on in light of what I read about Idaho Falls and everything that's involved? Is there activity going on, let's say, you sharing that kind of information or somebody sharing that kind of information with other teachers in the school district?

MS. CHAVOLLA: No, not that I am aware of. I know my principal is really supportive, and he

2.4

has -- And I have shared some of the things in our building. But other than out of the building, no.

And I know that we have had a lot of cultural problems in Idaho Falls lately.

MR. PENA: That's a good way to term them, cultural problems.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Irene, you have taught in other school districts. How do you see that?

MS. CHAVOLLA: I taught in Cassia County for ten years. These are some of the same problems. I think Hispanic children come to school with basically the same problems, a lot of the cultural differences.

MR. SWISHER: Was the school

administration in the Burley, Heyburn area more sensitive to those problems by the time you left than when you started?

MS. CHAVOLLA: Yes, they are. And I think because they had — they have had a lot more training and a lot more dealing with bilingual education and ESL and working with limited English speakers than I think the Idaho Falls School District has. I don't think they have as strong a program as the Burley district had.

MR. SWISHER: The Hispanic population around Idaho Falls tends to be down in the Shelly or Firth schools, historically? Is that the feeling you get?

MS. CHAVOLLA: Idaho Falls actually has two districts, District 91 and 93. I teach in 91, where they don't really have a lot of migrant children. Although, we do. In my school, we had 6 at the beginning of the school year who didn't speak English.

MR. SWISHER: The migrants are becoming a little urban in Idaho Falls?

MS. CHAVOLLA: They are. And in Bush Elementary, which is another school, they actually have about 15 who are limited English speakers.

MR. SWISHER: Madam Chairman, thank you.

2.4

MR. PENA: In lieu of what I am hearing here, a lot of it sounds like cultural awareness is a big issue that has come out in this thing and this report. Looking at that, how do you see Anita's role in that whole process in working with the school districts and getting that kind of thing rolling? What kind of help do you need?

MR. YOUREN: At a building level, the school building level where you can effect the most change, you need personnel. Personnel are expensive. They are also difficult to find. It takes a tremendous effort to recruit truly bilingual people. And unfortunately, our role as building administrators does not allow us, for example, travel to the areas where bilingual people would be more likely to be found.

Our district happens to recruit at job fairs or career days at universities, but those will typically be in Utah or Montana. Bilingual people are not common in either site. From a state level, I really don't know what to suggest. They can assist with staff development projects, with staff development leadership; but I know, without funding, that's simply not possible. And there is no funding

at the state level for bilingual education.

MR. SWISHER: What if you were able to reach the people who are in the community and are in the Spanish community as a subset of the Rupert community who do other things and who could be somehow involved with the school? I am not talking about the formal trained teacher who felt so threatened in Glenns Ferry. I am talking about the people who have been used in other circumstances, in other states and with other people.

The introduction of -- just doing the equivalent of what the good old Anglo PTA, for heavens sakes, was doing for half a century. Get models around that school building, get people who are Hispanic involved in some fashion. You referred to yourself as being a principal, and therefore as a building administrator. I agree that's what principals are now. And if you had some kind of involvement -- Let me come at it from a side angle. I was shocked at seeing a survey conducted by the US West telephone people to find out how they could better use telephone technology to support the schools. And those classroom teachers you supervise as a principal, as the boss or the superintendent, had to write on the form. There was no place to put

it. There was no such blank. And about 30 percent of those teachers said, "I want a phone in my room. I want to be able to contact this kid's parents."

2.4

That's what that meant. "I want to be able to talk to the bus driver if the kid wasn't on the bus. I want to be able to do this, can I help do that." And the teacher way down here at the bottom of the system is not allowed access to the phone.

There's better access to the restrooms than the telephone in the average school. And that's because you are in charge of building maintenance. But isn't there a way, when we attack this problem — the answer is not a formal response back up through the State Board of Education to get some damn dean of the College of Education to set up a new curriculum in one of the campuses after fighting with the other three over who gets it. That's not the answer, is it?

MR. YOUREN: I agree with you completely. That's what I was alluding to when I said the only real change is going to take place in the classroom level. When you start to address that classroom membership issue of who sits in that class, who directs that class. And then classroom support issues which you are talking about now. Unless those

things are addressed, change will not occur.

Now, in terms of parental involvement and community involvement, I can only speak for our own efforts. We have made limited gains in parental involvement with our Hispanic community, and our largest vehicle with that was through translation, to begin translating our paper and print into both languages. That is a very slow process for us when we have only three people in the building that are fluent in Spanish. It's a very slow process for us to translate that avalanche of paper into both languages. We feel like we have made progress, but I would estimate we probably translate only 30 percent. So fully 70 percent —

MR. SWISHER: You are doing them a favor.

30 percent is about right. The rest of it's garbage.

MR. YOUREN: I don't feel that we could rest on our laurels and say we have done them a favor by performing at that level. I still find that unacceptable performance level. In dealing with parental involvement and individuals, what we are finding is it's extremely difficult for our parents to become physically and personally involved in our school system because they do face such tremendous economic needs of their own. Most of them are shift

workers. Their shifts do not necessarily coincide with our hours. As I said, many of them work more than one job. So as far as going out in the community and inviting experts in, it's not as easy as we'd like to be involved. MR. SWISHER: Whoa. Get this disinvolved 7 for a moment. Isn't what you said about the school 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year, the nine-month structure getting in the way, isn't that true of the school schedule in general?

MR. YOUREN: True, yes.

In other words, the fact MR. SWISHER: that they are shift workers should not preclude them from contact with the schools?

> MR. YOUREN: No, it should not.

MR. SWISHER: That's our mind set. The schools have always run from 8:00 to whatever.

MR. YOUREN: A cultural bias, a structural organization set up to put hurdles in their way, to make it more difficult to do what should be done. You are right.

MR. SWISHER: Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. WILSON: Let me ask a question to anyone. I find it very disturbing that when you have a community, you have one teacher, you have parents

out there that have both languages, Spanish and English, that no one is going out, utilizing these people to come in and talk to the instructors of the schools, the teachers, and telling them -- explaining to them the culture, the background concerning this group of people, the way of life.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

You know, this is very disturbing. This is no money involved. All these things that we find that you have here, this is going to take time, this is going to take money, it's going to be a lot of opposition against this. It's probably going to come to pass, but over a great period of time. But when you can go out and get -- utilize the people and those who are in positions that can, as a principal, can direct and say, "Well, okay, I am going to have an hour a day that all the instructors here, they will attend this meeting, and I will bring this person in and help them to sit and talk to them." Make it mandatory. Because, you know, you have got to start with those instructors.

I don't say that has to be the first, the beginning, but you are going to have to enlighten them, to get them knowledgeable to some degree of who they are dealing with, you see. And how to reach that person. And these are things that can be done

without money. You know, I say these things. You may not know it, but I am a minority, and --

MR. SWISHER: Are you Mormon?

2.2

2.3

MR. WILSON: You see, you have all kinds of different cultural situations out here. People will look at me and things that come to their mind is chitlins and black-eyed peas; but they don't realize down in the state of South Carolina, they have a chitlin contest every year, and blacks of half of America are not involved in it, they are just strictly whites, you know.

But it just depends on where you are located. So what I am saying is this: That I really think that some strong emphasis ought to be placed in getting people within the local community that is capable to come in to the school system and talk to the instructors, you see. I really believe this.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Joe, what percentage of your enrollment is Hispanic?

MR. YOUREN: The enrollment in my building? Between 40 and 50 percent. With the district, I do not know. My building has a higher percentage than the rest of the districts simply because of our attendance zone.

MS. ESQUIBEL: Are all those needs

attempted to be met by this one bilingual, bicultural person on staff?

2.2

MR. YOUREN: We have made -- we increased the number of Hispanics on our staff. We have not been able to add a Hispanic certified teacher, but we have added two Hispanic aides, two bilingual aides to supplement the offerings that we can. They are, you know, the life blood of what we can provide for that population. They can and will be expected to probably lead our efforts in cultural awareness. It has to be done.

MR. PENA: Mrs. Farris, check this perception out. Then I'd like you to respond to it. What I saw at Glenns Ferry was a different kind of cultural awareness. Maybe a perception from a nonminority side. I looked at, and what I saw were two groups of people, you know, the minority, the Hispanic, and the nonHispanic that were becoming really involved, really targeting themselves and devoting themselves to supporting not only this program, but it seemed supporting each other and their children.

And I found, you know, it was really tremendous, dynamic, that was going on between both communities. A lot of dialogue, sitting together in

the audience, you know. Which is much different than I have seen when we go to address an issue that deals with racism in any other community. Did you see that kind of thing, and how did that get to be?

2.1

2.2

MS. FARRIS: Yes. And I feel that that is — the parents and a lot of the people in the community aren't prejudiced. The people that someone wanted to listen to are, that the board wanted to listen to, is what I felt. So the people that you saw at the meetings that you came to were people that weren't, that did want to do this type of thing for the children in their community. And that was really discouraging.

They say they want parental involvement.

They formed a committee that I was put on by the superintendent; and formed a committee, and that committee recommended to continue this program. They claimed they want parental involvement. When a committee advises that you continue something and they discontinue it, do they really want parental involvement? I mean that's how, as a parent, we were left to feel after this took place.

And I do think that definitely the meetings that you went to, there were -- a lot of progress was made just in people that maybe didn't

really understand things about the Hispanic students. Remember the four college students that -- Hispanic students that spoke? I think that a lot of people's minds were opened at that time to what they went through when they were placed into the school system, and how hard it was for them, and how much better it would have been had we had something like we were trying to get.

And that was a really upsetting thing to have happen. And especially for the Hispanic people. Especially. But there is -- I think there are a lot of good people in Glenns Ferry, and that -- a lot of good people that feel this would have really benefited our community, plus the whole state of Idaho. And are really upset that it's gone.

MR. SWISHER: If the same thing were attempted in any community, you would get the same response, the same phenomena. Get past that racist or self-centered way of looking at the rest of the universe. I am just terribly sorry that it happened to you. I suspect if it had landed elsewhere, if that talented person who was able to cut the federal purse and get money out of it had been elsewhere, where you didn't have the threat of a shrinking urban blue collar core community; you know, those hangups,

been easier to transfer success from a Wilder or
Homedale or a Marsing or the north side of Nampa or
the east end of Caldwell into another setting.
Wherever you would attempt something like that and
you had real bucks, which are hard to get today, you
would have some success.

1.1

MR. PENA: Anita can address this. I don't know what community you'd move it to, is the first question. How many successful bilingual programs in the state -- how many programs, bilingual programs in the state of Idaho; and how many successful ones have we had, in your perception?

MS. BRUNNER: Are you saying federally funded?

MR. PENA: Unless you have got state funded ones.

MS. BRUNNER: Blackfoot had one a couple years ago, and it did pick up their teacher. Again, it was a transitional bilingual program, it wasn't this type of program. It was a bilingual resource teacher who worked on a limited basis with students. Then they received ESL instruction. It was that type of bilingual program.

MR. PENA: It's still there?

MS. BRUNNER: Yes, the district picked it up after federal funds left. Basically, every district, they really have more of an ESL emphasis because their bilingual personnel are limited to paraprofessionals who provide some clarification.

MR. PENA: How many federally funded bilingual education programs exist in this state?

You know, exist that were funded back way when, from the beginning, in the bilingual education still exist now in the original concept? I guess my point is --

MR. SWISHER: Are you talking about the Great Society, when a shovel was taken and money was thrown out in the street? We are talking 1991.

MR. PENA: What I see here, you know, is going back to even before 1991, some of the concepts and attitudes here are something that are even before. That even before the sixties. They are still in here. And I don't think 1991 makes any damn bit of difference, who funds what or where it goes. It's just an experiment that people were trying to do, get in and penetrate some of the school districts. But the issue is racism. How do you deal with that?

MR. SWISHER: I think you try to pick a place where you can count on some success. I say

that the problems in Glenns Ferry were problems that caused racism to explode. They are always economic. When people are driven to active bigotry instead of just dumb, I will call it, shanty Irish bigotry, when they are driven to it, they are driven to it by an economic threat. You don't take a community that is sitting on a precipice, that was a blue collar community with a migrant camp outside its historic boundaries and then try to win there. I say you try to win.

I am terribly sad that the thing didn't work, Rudy. But I am saying that if the game is to win, then you don't allow people's other concerns; whether Heath Electronics will live, whether they will ever see a railroad locomotive again, whether Glenns Ferry is going to live or die. That's not the place.

MR. PENA: Maybe what you are alluding to is state direction needs to be looked at versus local control? I agree in that sense. But I don't know what kind of direction the state is giving to look at those kinds of things to make successful programs in the state.

MR. SWISHER: Historically, virtually none.

MS. BRUNNER: Those grants are available every year, and every year we provide training on applying for them. Increasingly, districts are choosing to apply. Now, Glenns Ferry was one of the first ones in a long time to do it, but this year five did. Caldwell was not one of them. Nampa was one, Twin was one, Burley.

MS. FARRIS: And you must realize that it was the superintendent that really did not understand what he was applying for. He did not understand.

MR. SWISHER: That's an oxymoron. That's a superintendent.

MS. FARRIS: And he applied for it, he signed the grant. They implemented the program.

Because two teachers were not going to allow that to happen in their school, that is why it's gone. But we could — The superintendent and the board did put the program in. But then the teachers were very upset that it went in.

The special services director that wrote for the grant really does recognize the needs that those children have. And it was something that would have benefited them. You know, I don't know what we will do. I don't know how we will end up now. We are just going to be in the same, same mode that we

25

1 have been in for the last 15 or 20 years. MR. SWISHER: Probably not. I bet not. 2 MS. FARRIS: Hopefully, it's opened some 3 eyes and we are heading for a brighter future. 4 5 MS. CHAVOLLA: I wanted to address what Mr. Wilson asked about the parents coming. As a б 7 classroom teacher, I am Hispanic, so I welcome when 8 parents come and tell me, "Listen, this is the way it 9 is in my family." I don't think that my mother could 10 have gone over to my third grade teacher and said, 11 "Listen, I am a Mexican and this is the way we do it 12 at my house," because her immediate reaction would 13 have been, "By golly, you change it, this is 14 America." 15 MR. WILSON: You misinterpret what I was 16 saying. I wasn't saying --17 MS. CHAVOLLA: You can't go and say "this 18 is the way we do it." 19 MR. WILSON: I am not saying come in and 20 go through the ABCs and say this is the way we do it. 2.1 We were saying give some historical background of the 22 cultural, living conditions, the aspects of life with 23 a certain group of people. This is what I was 24 saying. You get somebody -- Not just every person.

Just because of that nationality of that race doesn't

mean to say they can pass on information. But there is somebody out there in that community, there are some key people who are able to come in and give a presentation that would be worthwhile, and I am saying utilize -- why not utilize those people, you see. But not --

MS. CHAVOLLA: And the INEL does do that. They have a cultural awareness.

MR. WILSON: I'd like to add to this: We have groups -- you know, in these publications, they refer to the migrant council and various other councils. You know, it's disturbing to me that why haven't some of these organizations taken on their own and say, "Look, this is one of our objectives, we are going to go to the school system, go to this public school and bring in people and say will you allow us to give you -- to bring someone to talk to you, to your instructors. You know, to be a leader in this." This is disturbing to me.

MS. ESQUIBEL: It's 12:30. Let's meet back here at 1:30.

23 (Noon recess.)

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF IDAHO)
) SS
County of Twin Falls)

I, LINDA LEDBETTER, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the state of Idaho, do hereby certify:

That said hearing was taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named, and thereafter reduced to print under my direction; and that the foregoing transcript contains a full, true and verbatim record of the said hearing.

I further certify that I have no interest in the event of the action.

		WITNESS	mу	hand	and	seal	this	_ 22 rd	
day	of	I hay				, 1991.			

Linda Ledbetter, Notary Public in and for the State of Idaho

My commission expires 10/12/94