UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS ARIZONA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Comite De Bienestar Inc. 10455 B Street San Luis, Arizona

Saturday, January 19, 1991

10:30 a.m.

CCR Meet.

APPEARANCES: 1 Board Members Present: 2 MANUEL PENA, JR., CHAIRMAN 3 3728 W. Willetta Phoenix, Arizona 85009 4 ISABEL GARCIA, VICE-CHAIR 5 100 North Stone Avenue, Suite 1105 Tucson, Arizona 85701 6 ANGELA B. JULIEN 7 3465 Marshall Gulch Place, East Tucson, Arizona 85718 8 RAMON M. PAZ 9 1130 North Linda Vista Drive Nogales, Arizona 85621 10 DEBORAH ANN WATSON 11 P.O. Box 30062 Tucson, Arizona 85751 12 RICHARD ZAZUETA 13 5235 E. Cholla Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX	
2	PRESENTATION	PAGE
3	BLANCA GARCIA	8
4	ESPERANZA CRUZ	11
5	TONY REYES, Mayor of San Luis, Arizona	16
6	IRMA RIOS	58
7	ARNOLDO HERNANDEZ	65
8	ELIAS BERMUDES	67
9	JOSEFINA RODRIGUEZ	103
10	MIGUEL LOPEZ	113
11	BOB PHILLIPS, Yuma County Supervisor	125
12	FRANK MOLINA	128
13	JUDY PIMBERTON	134
14	MARIA LUZ HOLLOS	138
15	ALEX JOE HARPER	141
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SAN LUIS, ARIZONA SATURDAY, JANUARY 19, 1991

--000--

CHAIRMAN PENA: The meeting of the Arizona

Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil

Rights will now come to order.

The purpose of the meeting is to obtain information and views relating to voting rights in the city of San Luis.

Participants have been invited and requested to address the Advisory Committee on the issues under consideration.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting and by staff, a report will be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

I am Manuel Pena, Chairman of the Arizona Advisory Committee, and the Advisory Committee receives information and makes recommendations to the Commission in areas which the Committee or any of its subcommittees is authorized to study.

Other members of the Committee in attendance during this meeting are, to my right, Ms. Deborah Ann Watson from Tucson, and next to her is Richard Zazueta from Phoenix, and next to -- between us is Isabel Garcia from Tucson and to the left -- extreme left is Ramon Paz from Nogales, and Mrs. Angela Julien from Tucson.

Also with us today are staff members, Philip
Montez, and he's the regional director, and Grace Hernandez.

Grace -- she's back there working, from the Commission's
Western Regional Division in Los Angeles.

I also want to introduce -- in the event it's necessary that we need an interpreter, we have appointed Enrique Morena as our interpreter.

This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to Federal rules applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

All inquiries regarding these provisions should be directed to the Chair or to Mr. Montez, the Federal officer for this meeting.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States Government, established by Congress in 1957, and directed to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices.

It also studies and collects information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age,

handicap or national origin, or in the administration of justice.

Appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Serve as a national clearing house for information about discrimination, and submit reports, findings and recommendations to the President and to the Congress.

I would like to emphasize that this is a factfinding forum and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals
have been invited to come and share with the Committee
information relative to the subject of today's inquiry.

Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee.

Since this is a public meeting, the press and radio and television stations as well as individuals are welcome.

Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In the event you do not wish to be televised, please let me know immediately. In this case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the person or persons making



these statements and request that they desist in their actions. Such information will be stricken from the record, if necessary.

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the issues to be dealt with here today.

In addition, we have allocated time to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration today after the invited individuals have completed their testimony.

At that time, each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee and may submit additional information in writing.

Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact staff before 1:00 this afternoon.

In addition, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 10 days following its conclusion. The Committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record. These should be submitted to the Western Regional Division, United States Commission on Civil Rights, 3660 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California, the zip code 90010.

Now let us proceed, and our first witnesses are citizens -- we'd like to hear from Senora Blanca Garcia. If you'll step up to the microphone and identify yourself and -- if you represent yourself.



BLANCA GARCIA

My name is Blanca Garcia. The first time, when we elected Mayor Tony Reyes, they didn't tell me anything we went to vote, you know, but after that, the elections and all that, they told us to go to court and we went over there, and the second time, right — the 6th of November, when I went over there to vote, one of the ladies was — they looked for my name under the list (sic), and then they said, "This man is challenging you, that you don't live over here in San Luis, Arizona," and then by that time, you know, I was already upset because they did to me that before, so I — maybe I was a little bit rude because I turn to him and I tell him, "You'd better be sure of what you're saying. First investigate what you're telling, so you know that you're telling the truth." And then he didn't say anything.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Let me ask you. Had anybody sent you a registered letter before to notify you of an election, that you know of, by mail?

MS. B. GARCIA: No.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Nobody --

MS. B. GARCIA: Huh-uh.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Are there any questions from --

MS. GARCIA: Yes, Ms. Garcia, I'd like to know who was the person that was actually challenging you?

1 MS. B. GARCIA: I sure don't know because they 2 just told me, "This man is challenging you." But I wouldn't 3 know what his name was or --MS. GARCIA: They didn't tell you his name or --4 MS. B. GARCIA: No, he was sitting by -- just in 5 front of the -- all the persons that were looking --6 7 MS. GARCIA: Did they tell you he had authority to 8 challenge you? MS. B. GARCIA: No, they just told me that he was 9 challenging me. 10 MS. GARCIA: So, as far as you knew, he was just a 11 regular resident here in San Luis. 12 MS. B. GARCIA: Maybe. 13 MS. GARCIA: You don't know if he was a staff 14 member with the voter -- the recorder office or --15 MS. B. GARCIA: I wouldn't know. 16 MS. GARCIA: You don't know. 17 MS. B. GARCIA: No. 18 MS. GARCIA: Thank you. 19 MR. ZAZUETA: On that same question --20 MR. PAZ: Was your challenge inside the building 21 or outside --22 MS. B. GARCIA: Inside the building. When I 23 was -- you know, when I went over there and I told them my 24 name and they were looking for the name on the list, that 25



was the lady that told me that -- one of the ladies that 1 told me that. 2 CHAIRMAN PENA: Mr. Zazueta. 3 MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman, Isabel, they did not tell you why they were challenging you? 5 MS. B. GARCIA: No. They just told me -- you 6 know, "This man is challenging you." You know, I said --7 CHAIRMAN PENA: Mr. Paz? 8 MR. PAZ: Mr. Chairman. You said that they told 9 you you did not live in Arizona, right? 10 MS. B. GARCIA: In San Luis, Arizona. 11 MR. PAZ: In San Luis, Arizona. 12 MS. B. GARCIA: Uh-huh. 13 MR. PAZ: Now, when you registered to vote, did 14 they give you a little card? Do you remember --15 MS. B. GARCIA: They send it to me. 16 MR. PAZ: They sent it to you. 17 MS. B. GARCIA: Uh-huh. 18 MR. PAZ: And do you remember what the card said 19 in terms of district or area that you lived? 20 MS. B. GARCIA: No. 21 MR. PAZ: How did you know where to go to vote? 22 MS. B. GARCIA: I knew that I belonged to the 23 county. 24 MR. PAZ: Okay. Did you ever see that in a car, 25



1	or you just knew because	
2	MS. B. GARCIA: I knew because it's you know,	
3	the place that I lived belonged to Yuma County.	
4	MR. PAZ: Did you ever think of showing that card	
5	to that person	
6	MS. B. GARCIA: No.	
7	MR. PAZ: Or take the card with you, if you don't	
8	carry it?	
9	MS. B. GARCIA: No, I don't.	
10	MR. PAZ: Do you have that card still with you?	
11	MS. B. GARCIA: Maybe I do, but I don't get	
12	it you know, right on hand.	
13	CHAIRMAN PENA: Any more questions any	
14	questions from staff?	
15	(No audible response.)	
16	CHAIRMAN PENA: Mrs. Garcia, thank you very much.	
17	MS. B. GARCIA: Thank you.	
18	CHAIRMAN PENA: We would like to hear now from Ms.	
19	Esperanza Cruz. Mrs. Cruz, would you identify yourself?	
20	MS. CRUZ: (through interpreter) My name is	
21	Esperanza Cruz.	
22	CHAIRMAN PENA: What is it happened to you that	
23	you were not were you allowed to vote?	
24	ESPERANZA CRUZ	
25	Well, they didn't want to let me vote because they	



said that I did not live here in San Luis, Arizona.

So then I showed them -- I proved to them that I was. I showed them my driver's license and the card that I received from the court where I registered -- that I had registered.

Anyway, the man still kept on saying that I did not live here. Then, at that moment, _____ came in and he said, "Mrs. Esperanza, you live here and you're going to vote" because I was ready to go to my home and bring receipts from the light -- from the electric company to prove that I lived here, that I had my residence here.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Did you ever receive any mail from a candidate or from a party -- political party urging you to vote?

MS. CRUZ: In the mail I did receive the paper that tells one about the elections and when and where to vote.

CHAIRMAN PENA: The basis for challenging the residence was returned mail.

MS. CRUZ: I did receive some papers but, when I was going to go to court, I received a different kind of paper that has to do with voting.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Any questions?

MS. GARCIA: Yes, there's a question from Isabel Garcia. Ma'am, were you advised who this man was that was





1 challenging you? MS. CRUZ: No, I do not know who it is. 2 3 MS. GARCIA: Did they tell you he was an official with the County Recorder's Office or somebody in the voter 4 registration office in the county? 5 MS. CRUZ: Well, he was there -- yes, he was 6 He was there with all of the people that have to do 7 there. with voting registration, with the guards and with the 8 people there. 9 MS. GARCIA: But were you specifically advised 10 that he was there in an official capacity? 11 MS. CRUZ: Well, yes, there was a lady there who 12 spoke Spanish who said something to that effect, but I do 13 not recall the name of the man. 14 MS. GARCIA: Okay. Did they tell you that you 15 could go ahead and vote and that they would look into the 16 matter further, or did they just try to deny your vote? 17 MS. CRUZ: The lady who spoke Spanish informed me 18 that anyway -- in any case, I was going to vote. 19 MS. GARCIA: Well, so -- I thought you had stated 20 earlier that it was until _____ came in that you 21 were allowed to vote. Is that right? 22 MS. CRUZ: Yes, when he said that I was going to 23 get to vote -- that's when they give me the ballot. 24

MS. GARCIA: So before then, they were going to

```
deny your vote? Is that right?
1
              MS. CRUZ: Yes.
2
              MS. GARCIA: Okay. And you showed pieces of
3
    identification with your address in San Luis; is that right?
4
              MS. CRUZ: Yes.
5
              MS. GARCIA: Thank you.
6
              CHAIRMAN PENA: Any other questions?
7
              MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman, my question is: Have
8
    you voted before in past elections and have you had any
9
    problems before?
10
              MS. CRUZ: Yes.
11
              MR. ZAZUETA: She's voted or she's had problems?
12
              MS. CRUZ: Yes, I did vote but afterwards there
13
    were some investigators who went to my house to see if I
14
    lived there.
15
              MR. ZAZUETA: When was that?
16
              MS. CRUZ: I had to prove with my passport that I
17
    am a citizen, a U.S. citizen, or an American citizen.
18
              MR. ZAZUETA: When was that?
19
              MS. CRUZ: It was the day that they indicated for
20
    court for me when the elections for _____ took
21
    place.
22
              CHAIRMAN PENA: Any questions from any other
23
    members?
24
              (No audible response.)
25
```

CHAIRMAN PENA: Does the staff have any questions? 1 2 (No audible response.) 3 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. MR. PAZ: Mr. Chairman, just one. May we ask a 4 question with regards to the feeling? 5 CHAIRMAN PENA: 6 Yes. 7 MR. PAZ: When you went to vote this last election, did you feel like somebody was going to tell you 8 that you could not vote? Did you have an idea that somebody 9 was going to challenge your vote? 10 MS. CRUZ: Yes, when I was voting -- when I felt 11 and I noticed that they were trying to detain me from 12 voting, I was willing and ready to go to my home to get 13 documents to prove that I live here. 14 MR. PAZ: But based on the investigation that the 15 voting people did when they went to your house and they had 16 already checked you out to see if you were a legal resident, 17 did you feel that, by going to vote, you were going to be 18 challenged again? 19 MS. CRUZ: When they went to investigate me the 20 first time? Is that what you refer to? 21 MR. PAZ: Based on the first time. In other 22 words, she was investigated once before, so now she's going 23 to vote again. Did she feel like something might -- was

going to happen when she voted this last time?

24

MS. CRUZ: Well, for me it was a surprise. This was a surprise.

MR. PAZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Mrs. Cruz, thank you very much. Now we'll hear from Mayor Tony Reyes.

TONY REYES

Mayor of San Luis, Arizona

First of all, I'd like to thank you all for being here and we're finally getting some response to some of our prior complaints.

I'm going to try to be as brief as possible but at the same time try to give you some sort of an historical perspective of what really has been happening.

I wanted to do this at the beginning of the hearing so that, when they would be testifying, the people that are affected, you would kind of understand basically what you're trying to get at, at this time, why this has been going on so long. The people again are sometimes surprised and sometimes they're not, and that's what you were trying to find out.

Let me just give you some -- a chronological summary, as brief as I can, of what the city is and what's been going on for the last 10 to 12 years, and maybe it'll be easier to understand what's going on.

The city -- it's basically a new city. It's only



11 years old. It's going on its 12th year. And as you can tell by coming down here, it's a low-income-type environment. It's low and middle income. It's highly Hispanic. It's 95, maybe 96 percent Hispanic. It's -- it's basically a base for farm workers, and I guess that's one of the reasons that you don't see many people come to public hearings even on a Saturday. They're out there working right now, and they cannot really simply miss a day.

I want to emphasize this because there were 15 people challenged this last time, and we've got three people here right now testifying about the challenges but there were 15 people challenged.

There was -- before I get into that thing, I want to get into some of the reasons and how I feel about -- or how we've gotten to this point.

As I stated before, it was a farming community in the past, and obviously the influence of farmers here was very strong before it became a city.

When it became a city, I think the first time we had a voter roll, it was 52 voters, and the city was 2,000 people, so you must -- you know, I want to give you this idea about how very few people actually control the political -- the political structure of the city.

Right now we have more than 5,000 residents and there's basically 350, maybe 400 voters.













So when we talk about challenging the number that I'm going to mention, you're going to figure out that, if you have a list of people and you challenge 30 people, you're challenging 10, 15 percent of the registered voters within the city.

So, you know, to us it's a major happening when somebody gets up there and challenges that many people.

The first elections that we had, popular elections -- the first city council that served here was appointed -- was an appointed counsel. It was appointed by the county board of supervisors, so there was really -- there were no problems there. I mean, it was just an appointment-type situation.

The first elected council of the city was elected, I think, in either 1980 or '82. But basically there was -- even from the beginning, we developed a sense that there was something -- that there was sort of a difference -- a situation between the, quote, "Anglo" last names and the quote, "Mexican-Americans" that were from here.

The first election, there was a gentleman -- his name is _____ -- that got elected to the council.

He was taken to court and he was challenged -- his election was challenged based on the fact that he couldn't speak

English well enough, according to some of the people that

lost the election.

I'm telling you this so you'll get a feeling of what's been going on. He was challenged in court because he wasn't proficient enough in English, and that was it. That was the only reason.

He was a U.S. citizen. He was a resident of this city. He met every other requirement, but he just didn't speak English well enough. So he was challenged.

Well, the Court overturned that challenge and let him stay in office.

In 1984, we had elections again and, really, nothing major happened when those elections that can be of importance right now -- we have the same thing. Local elections are basically that way, political elections, but one thing that was very important happened in 1984, that I think has a bearing of what's going on right now.

The county board of supervisors in Yuma County went from a three-member board to a five-member board.

That's important because we had a redistricting. We had the boundaries changed on the districts -- the representative of the supervisor was going to represent this area down here.

So that we ended up with a district that was, again, highly Hispanic in nature.

But an old-time farmer won the election to represent all of us here, all of us in the valley. For



whatever reason, he won the right to represent us, old-time farmer that had a lot of -- that still has a lot of influence over the whole area. He got elected to represent us.

were -- between us locals here, we had problems. We had people complaining, well, maybe somebody shouldn't have voted and that kind of a thing, but never really any major effort to challenge people in a massive scale. Just somebody saying, "Look, I know so and so and he doesn't live there," and between all of us local politicians and -- local politician -- I've been a mayor now -- over six years now, into the seventh, and I was a vice-member for two years before that, so I guess if anybody has any historical perspective in elections, I guess you had to come down to me. I've been around here so long.

But really, most of the things that happen locally, I guess we could deal with. I'll get to the point pretty soon.

That election of the local board of supervisors was kind of a setback for us Hispanics because we wanted to get somebody Hispanic elected to represent the majority of the voters in this district, but it happened, and that's politics and we understand that, and it's no big — and it's not such a big deal, but there's a point to be made after

here.

In 1988, everything started to change a little bit, and I -- again, it's a matter of perspective and, from where I look at it, what happened in 1988, that really changed the way most things -- what created the situation that is starting to happen quite often in San Luis is that there was a new election for the board of supervisors.

And this time, the candidate -- most of us out here, most of us Hispanics supported -- won the election and actually unseated the incumbent old-time farmer.

From the beginning, the day, the night that person lost, he made it clear that he blamed all of us Mexicans out there near the border and so forth, and that we were going to, in a way, pay for it. He couldn't believe it. The chairman of the board of supervisors, long-time person out here, and he lost the election to somebody and -- look, it's Tony's fault. It's somebody else's fault and he made it clear that he was set on doing something about San Luis, period. He made it clear it was San Luis.

The first thing that person did is he got the county attorney's office to order an investigation on border fraud in San Luis.

He got the county attorney's office to order the sheriff to conduct a full-blown investigation into, quote, "instances of Mexican-Americans living in Mexico or non-



citizen voting in San Luis."

Now, personally -- I'll tell you what I personally felt about it. I felt about it good. In a way, that will help us because, if there really is an investigation and it proves nothing, then that means -- you know, he should be at peace with himself and he will leave us alone.

Well, that investigation took 18 months. It involved over 130 people. It involved the INS. It involved -- well, obviously, the Border Patrol. It involved the Motor Vehicle Division. It involved the county chair. It involved everybody and anything they could think of. It involved them coming over to my mom's house, to my office at City Hall and asking me if I was a citizen and to prove it to them. I had to bring out my passport.

You know, it involved them going to people's places of work, "them" meaning the county sheriff's department, the investigators, and asking them in front of other people, "Is So and So here?" You know, "We're from the county sheriff's department and we'd like to talk to him."

Now, I guess all of us have certain amount of respect for the law, but when somebody comes looking at my place of work and tells me he's from the county sheriff's and he wants to check on my citizenship, you know, that's a little bit intimidating. It's even intimidating to me,



which I've been around a lot of this stuff.

But imagine how it feels to somebody who has never had anything to do with the law, and he just -- all he did was vote. That's all he did -- or she did. Vote. That was the first investigation. It took 18 months.

The outcome of the investigation was that they couldn't find -- they said they'd find -- out of 520-some people, they found maybe -- I think it was a number like 12 or 10 or something questionable people, and they emphasized -- just questionable people -- people who can't really -- you know, find out really they should have or shouldn't have voted. That was the result of that first initial investigation.

It's important for me to mention it because some of the same people ended up being challenged again, and I'll tell you that, after that -- after that happened, in 1990, we had a local election, okay? There's no doubt in my mind because I saw it with my own eyes and everybody who's got any knowledge here will know that that same person that I talked about, who I won't mention names because of obvious reasons, again injected himself into the local politics.

The day of the election, that gentleman, his wife and the local candidate here had a list of people, 63 or 67 people they wanted to challenge that day, 95 percent of them from San Luis, Arizona, 90 to 95 percent of them from San

Luis, Arizona, obviously most of them Hispanics, if not all of them Hispanics, that they wanted to challenge based on residency again and based on whether they were citizens or not.

They did it. At the local -- they tried to do it and they did the same thing that you heard these people mention. Somebody was standing up there and say, "Look, you -- we challenge your right to vote," and, you know, the election board would say, "Why? What reasons do you have?" "Well, we don't think you live here." Or, "We sent somebody over to where you're supposed to live and you don't live there anymore." So -- you know, we got into this situation again.

Now, those challenges, the majority of them were thrown out right at the moment. They were letting people vote anyway. The election -- were letting people vote.

About -- I think it was 8 or 12 of them went all the way to the end of the election day and that at night they reviewed -- they left them as questioned ballots.

Then at the end of the election, they would go over the questioned ballots and say, "Okay, this one, we'll allow -- we know he lives here," and so on and so forth. That was at the end of the election, the election day.

I think they disqualified three or four out of the whole group.





0.4

I figured, well, again, it's one of those instances where, you know, things happen in the democratic process and I hope people out here don't get turned off by that.

There had been one investigation. They've been challenged now again. After the investigation, they've been challenged -- a list of people.

I figured, well, just local politics again, and I guess I can't really blame that on the person. I mean, there's local people involved that should know better. I mean, they should know better it is tough enough to get this people. That is the first time they're going to vote and they're old-time Mexican-Americans, and we're trying to tell them to come over to the polls to vote, and now -- first they get investigated and now they get challenged when they go to vote.

But I figured, well -- I complained. I didn't figure anything. I complained. I made a complaint to some people, and I know some of you probably know what I'm talking about, and as a matter of fact, I think came down to try to get some details of

You know, the election is over, you know, you win or whatever, and you start to try to mend fences and you figure, well, maybe they'll learn this time but, really,

that, but nothing happened, and you know how it is.



· ,

it's not that way. You win elections by going out there and campaigning and getting the people out to vote for you, not by challenging them.

There's no way -- sometimes I fail to understand what the purpose is other than harassment that they have to do this kind of thing because you don't know how people are going to vote. And if you have local elections, then, you know, even if I for one reason or another have a little more of an influence over somebody, once that person crosses -- it's just private choice. I just didn't really understand then what I think I understand now.

The elections -- the local elections took place.

That night, that same person and the group that he was with said, "This is not over." I mean, "We're going to something about this."

Lo and behold, they did. They asked for another investigation on voter -- allegations that there were people, you know, voting illegally and so forth, and lo and behold, I don't know what this person -- what hold this person has with the county attorney in Yuma County, but then he ordered another investigation, right -- you know, it'd been, what, less than three months that the other one was finished with, and he ordered another investigation, and now -- I guess to shift the responsibility to one -- they call somebody from La Paz County to come down and do an



investigation, maybe figuring, if he does it and he finds something, then we don't have to take the heat, politically or otherwise.

So somebody from La Paz County came down to do an investigation right after the election, the next days after the election.

Needless to say, that really ticked me off
because, you know, understand, they go to people's houses
and they identify themselves and they have this seal and
they tell them, "We're here, and we want to check your
passport; we want to check where you" -- you know, you find
them at their houses. You find them at their houses, it
should be -- you know, that should be reason enough to leave
them alone, to begin with, if they're living there. But no,
you have to ask for all the documentation. You're an
investigator; you have to do a thorough investigation.
Fine.

The first thing that the investigator did when he came in was to go see the opposition, the people who had to file the complaint.

Obviously -- I mean, maybe that's -- maybe that's fine, but I questioned that -- the integrity of the investigation when the investigator spent all his time going around looking for these people with people that had a certain interest on him not finding anybody, to begin with.



So we as the city complained that the investigator should have gone to the police department and should have gone to the fire department and should have gone to the Social Services department and asked their help in finding these people that supposedly didn't live in San Luis, instead of going to those four people.

But regardless, he didn't pay attention to us. He went ahead and conducted his investigation.

While he was conducting his investigation, this group, this person and this group filed a challenge in court, challenging the results of the election, contesting the results of the local election.

Well, let me just make this point as brief as I

can. Twelve thousand dollars later -- that's basically what

it cost to fight this challenge, and about three days in

court -- I'll use _____ as an example.

has to wait there three days because what happened, the document that she was talking about, receiving it from the court -- it's a subpoena to appear and testify that she did live where she said she did and she was a U.S. citizen.

There was over 22 people waiting in that courtroom to be called in by the judge to testify about where they lived. They spent three days waiting.

Over the -- Holy Week -- I don't know what it's

called here -- Easter week. You know, when all Mexican-Americans take off with their families to the Gulf or someplace nearby, they had to spend three days sitting there waiting to be called in.

That's because we were going into this court proceeding and obviously the opposition was presenting the viewpoint and the judge was listening and -- they were the first ones to present a case, so they were calling the witnesses that they felt were the weaker, to make a case.

Well, the bottom line is, the judge said, "Look, I find that maybe" -- and I've got a box of documents here that I didn't really want to burden you with, give them to you at the time, but the judge said, "Look, there's five -- five -- I found that there might be five or there is five illegal votes but that doesn't change the bearing of the election. I mean, you know, there's many more people that voted and the difference is not that great.

And those five were people that basically I believe they sacrificed themselves and, in reality, there were people they knew that they knew before they voted that they -- you know, somebody's neighbor that they knew -- well, he lives half of the time in San Luis and half of the time here, which is not unusual. It doesn't disqualify him.

If he calls this place his residence, that's it.

It doesn't make any difference that he has a home in San Luis that he goes to on weekends. He's still a U.S. citizen. He's still a resident of the city.

But, again, making a long story short — trying to anyway — that's all the judge found, so he ruled in favor, but remember, there had been one prior investigation, one set of challenges, another investigation and now a court that said that ______ was okay. They basically said, look, you know, those people are okay. So I figure that's where it's going to end.

Let me just -- a little -- in the middle of this whole thing -- during this process that this is going on, the court date and everything else, the person that lost the election actually had the names of those persons published in the Mexican newspaper saying, "These people shouldn't have voted. They know better." If they voted, they voted illegally. They're subject to a year in prison, and I don't know how many thousand dollars of worth of fine and they should come forward and they should testify that somebody forced them to do it, period. The elected officials forced them to do it so that now they'll get off free and the other ones will have to pay for it. In this case, the other ones would be the elected officials.

In the paper, in the newspaper, imagine what it feels like to read your name in the paper telling you that

you committed an illegal act that you might go to jail for, so come forth and testify that you voted because somebody forced you to, type of thing. It just doesn't seem to be -- is this America? You start thinking, is this really what it's all about here? You're going to vote and you're going to be investigated? You're going to be taken to court? Your name's going to be in the paper? You're going to be challenged?

You figure, well, there's something -- you know, then is when I really -- I get a little emotional by now -- then is when I really start thinking something has to be done about this, but then time goes by and you start thinking, well maybe it won't happen again -- you know, the old thing, it won't happen again. How far can this go? I mean, how much farther can it get?

Lo and behold, general election, November 1990.

Here we are, election starts 10:00 in the morning or 9:30 or something. Somebody calls me up and tells me they're challenging people at the polls, and I say, "You got to be kidding me? Who is going to be challenging? Everybody's been taken to court and investigated and all these things have happened already."

So I said, "Okay, well, let me get in there."

That's when _____ was there and I walked in.

See, I walked in with a letter certifying me as the official

representative of the Democratic party and I wanted to find out what was going on because I was going to be there to make sure that, if anybody was challenged, they'd have the right to respond and they would be harassed.

I was there. Nobody told me what happened there. I was there.

Like _____ said, she was challenged and so was ____ and so was 13 more other people that day. They were challenged.

But the way the challenges were being conducted, you know, on top of this whole problem that we talked about, you know, it really makes me mad that I see the same stupid list of 63 people, 60-some people that they had last time before all this investigation, before all these things, before all this -- they still have the same list.

I mean, tell me -- as a normal human being, you think that it doesn't matter what you do. It doesn't matter what you do because these people don't understand this. I mean, they don't understand -- they think like Saddam, that the judge is wrong, that you're wrong, the investigators are wrong, the county attorneys -- everybody's wrong; they're right. These people shouldn't vote.

And why shouldn't they vote? I mean, they've proven themselves in court. They've done everything within their means. They've signed the affidavit. The voter



registration card is an affidavit. It's an affidavit that says that somebody is 18 years of age, not a felon, a resident of this precinct, can vote, and they should be allowed to vote just because they signed that affidavit.

We want these people to vote. We should give them the benefit -- if there's a doubt, we should give them the benefit of the doubt. We shouldn't be -- harassing is the right word now. We shouldn't be harassing them this way.

The turnout of this general election was very low compared in the past. I -- I identify the situation. I relate the situation to all these things that have been happening over the last few months.

Since 1988, I think there's been an orchestrated campaign to make sure that, by 1992, the precinct in San Luis won't be as important anymore to win an election for some people, for some persons that I know.

And he's made it clear and he's made it public.

He's made it public. "I would run again. And I will win
because, hopefully, by then, I will be able to do a lot of
things. I'm going to make sure that I win."

Now, I don't have a problem when it comes to the -- between, you know, people in the elections and so forth. You have different tactics. You have different campaigns. You know, you try to -- but you try to win the good will of people. You don't try to make it hard for them

to vote. You know, I'd like to say that publicly. That's not the way we're supposed to do it, but I'm getting off the point.

The point is people were being challenged. The way they were being challenged was not the correct way.

You know, somebody was out there. They were telling them, "You can't vote." The person would say, "Why can't I vote?" You know, starting to point out all kinds of stuff, you know, the driver's license and -- "I'll go home and get some" -- that wouldn't happen in Scottsdale. That wouldn't even happen in the foothills out here in Yuma County where all those old-time residents are.

Nobody's going to challenge a person because they think he looks Canadian. You know, there's a lot of retired people out there in the foothills, but they will challenge somebody here just because he looks Mexican.

I hate to say this that bluntly, but it's just because of a different color and there is a purpose to that whole thing.

Now, the challenging again. They were challenging the same people. ______ was challenged again. She'd been investigated. I was there when the investigator went down to her house. I was there. That's how I found the investigator. I found him at ______ home. He was there checking that she lived there, but she came out



of her home, she showed him, you know, the receipts and stuff and so forth, and you'd think that that was enough. You'd think that that was more than enough. But no, it wasn't enough.

She was challenged again, and so was
_______, as a matter of fact. She also went to
court, ______. She went to court. She was
subpoenaed and she went to court. You'd think that that was
enough, wouldn't it? I mean, you'd think that that would be
enough.

There was a gentleman that was asked -- once he said, "Look, I'm a resident; I want to vote," because, fortunately, I was there to tell him, "If you're a resident, you will vote. Just stand your ground. You will vote. Even if somebody tells me that I'm" -- you know, the board tried to tell me, "You can't say anything. I mean, you're supposed to let us try to take care of the problem."

Well, I'm sorry to tell you they were not taking care of the problem. Just the simple fact they were telling them that this gentleman here that's challenging your vote, and, you know, they should have -- before they even challenged, they should have told the -- "What proof do you have before we bar this voter -- what proof do you have?" Bring me that registered letter that was never delivered. Bring me that affidavit from somebody that said they went

there and didn't find him before you challenge that person.

That board should have made it clear -- should have made it clear to the challenger, "We're not going to challenge anyone just because you tell us you don't think he lives here." It didn't happen that way.

Let me tell you something about the board itself.

Just a point here.

The head of the election board happens to be a relative of this gentleman farmer that I'm talking about, so two plus two usually makes four, to me, in politics. In anything.

So I figured, well, there's really not that much of an intention to protect these people actually -- I mean, not as much as there should be.

This same election board has been trying to move the polling place out of San Luis back into Gadsden, which is, you know, a farming center here, about five miles away.

They tried this in front of the county board of supervisors not too long ago. Documents -- I have to prove it here.

They tried to move the polling place because my influence was too big in the city. You know, it's city hall. People kind of relate city hall to the mayor. It doesn't make any difference. People are going to go vote anyway. When they vote, they vote privately.



You know -- yeah, you can say I've developed a certain amount of influence in politics. I should. I mean, after eight years, I should develop some influence in politics. But I don't think people follow me blindly or anything. I mean, they vote. In a city hall, it doesn't make any difference to them.

But these people again try to move it out
there -- at that meeting of the county board of supervisors
where I stood up, as I did today, and I explained some of
the things that I've explained to you today, the board of
supervisors decided not to move the polling place. They
decided that it was too much to mess around with diluting
the minorities' participation and so on by moving it away.

The -- the election officer for the county told them, "You can't even move it -- even if you want to, even if Mr. So and So can convince you to move it, you can't move it. It takes a Federal -- you know, it takes a Federal action to move a polling place out of a minority-type district.

So they didn't do anything. Oh, you should have heard the gentleman. He lambasted and told, "You gutless bunch" and this and that and everything else. That's the old way of doing things, and you can't get away from that.

He still wants to do it the same way, you know.
We're going to push this -- we're the good old boy and we're

going to get it done and, if we can't get it done by harassment, we're going to harass the board and see if we can do -- you know, minimize the influence.

Again, I get off the subject a little bit. The fact is, some of the people were getting challenged at the polling place in San Luis in a manner that I would consider more than a challenge harassment. The gentleman there said, "I'm going to vote." You know, "I live here" and so forth.

You know what the election board people told him?
"Do you realize, sir, that if you vote and you don't live here, you commit a felony?" Oh, come on. Are you telling somebody already, "Look, you might be found guilty of a felony if you can prove fully to somebody else's standards that you can vote.

I mean, that's too far. That's too far to me.

And, like I said, they wouldn't do it to just anybody, but
they did it to people here.

And to just summarize everything else, and that's the kind of thing that has been happening here. You know, you have people that have been investigated twice, that have been to court once, that have been challenged two or three times and proven their residency, that are being challenged again.

If that doesn't make -- drive the point home, I don't know what will, and I think that that should summarize



most of the things that will be said -- we've complained about harassment before, ladies and gentlemen. We have complained.

We're lucky. I don't want to say this -- we're

lucky that maybe ______ lost and he lost by such

a small margin so that he would pay a little more attention

to us and bring you guys in here. Maybe that was it. Maybe

not. Maybe so. But, look, we're lucky.

Now that we have you here, I feel responsible to let you know that, again, facts, ladies and gentlemen, facts -- the facts are, if somebody again that's investigated twice goes to court, gets subpoenaed, produces documentation, he shouldn't be bothered over and over again.

We went to the county attorney's office and said,
"Why don't you investigate harassment charges instead of
questionable fraud charges that you've been investigating?
What kind of authority did this gentleman have over you that
he can call -- he can have you spend hundreds of man-hours
out there?"

And not only -- that's not the problem because the first time I can give -- I mean, the first time -- the first investigation I even felt -- well, it would help us clean out the whole situation.

After awhile, you start gaining a reputation of

being a whiner and a complainer all the time because I was complaining there was harassment in San Luis.

And then somebody else is always saying, "There's fraud in San Luis."

So you start developing a reputation and say, "You know, the guy's always crying wolf." You know, he's always crying wolf. He's always saying there's harassment.

Well, this is the first time I've really had a full-blown opportunity to talk to some people from outside here and tell you the facts, ladies and gentlemen, indicate that there's a serious problem out here, that there's somebody bent on making sure that these people are not allowed to vote freely.

It has had a chilling effect on the voting in San Luis, Arizona, and it will keep on having it if somehow or other we don't get a group -- a recommendation that the Attorney General's Office -- the Federal Attorney General's Office or somebody will pay attention and bring somebody down here to monitor this situation.

These people are not -- they don't seem to be -- what's the word? They don't seem to be -- they don't seem to respect anything local anyway.

So maybe they'll respect somebody a little higher up. Now, I'm referring to county officials themselves, so I guess that should do it.

There's many other things that happen in San Luis, but those are all the things that somebody else can get into.

I want to thank you for the time, and I will answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Tony, thank you. I want to assure you that Osborn thing had nothing to do with us being here.

You and I first talked in 1988.

MR. REYES: I know. But this was faster. A faster result.

(Discussion held off the record.)

CHAIRMAN PENA: Are there any questions from -MS. GARCIA: Yes, I have some questions. First of
all, I want to know why the county attorney has lent itself
to become part of this campaign of harassment, and why the
Attorney General's Office hasn't been involved in
investigating these kinds of complaints, not only against
this gentleman who has conducted these -- this harassment,
but why the county attorney has become part of it.

MR. REYES: Well, I think that, you know, the philosophy -- and I went out to the sheriff's office and asked because, you know, I really don't get along that well with the county attorney, to begin with, in terms of -- I really don't have that much contact with them and I'd really rather not, but the fact remains that for you to understand

a little bit more the political process in Yuma County, you have to understand that this old-time farmer was the county chairman of the board -- of the board of supervisors, and regardless of whether Mr. County Attorney is elected, he still works under the -- under the county board of supervisors, and, you know, maybe they've become good friends or maybe he just doesn't have the guts to stand up and tell him, you know, "Hey, look, let these people alone."

What he'd rather do is just tell the sheriff, "Look, go ahead and conduct an investigation."

You know, it's kind of simple to me, and I don't even -- again, the first time I didn't find that out. I mean, the gentleman complained. He was still the chairman of the board of supervisors. Somebody big complains, you know. Usually you get some action, so I didn't find that too odd that the first investigation was conducted.

What I find odd is that the second time they got a faster result also. That's what I find out, and that's what makes me think like you do, what does he have -- you know, what does this gentleman have or these people have that makes a county attorney take such quick action on things like this?

I mean, we're talking about elections happening one day. Two days later, an investigation beginning. I can't -- even as a mayor, I can't get that type of response.

MS. GARCIA: So -- but is there anybody in the civil rights division of the Attorney General's Office aware of this situation? They're supposed to ask not only in regard to a specific complaint but in regard to how the county attorney has participated in this because, as you said, if they conducted one investigation and found nothing

second and a third?

MR. REYES: But I suppose that in a way it's because obviously that gentleman we're referring to doesn't always come up front with some of the things he does.

after 18 months, why would they be allowed to continue a

I mean, this time, I think -- the second time he used somebody else to file the complaint. But we all know where it came from.

And the second thing about this is

that -- remember how I tell you, once an election is over,

the first thing most elected officials and stuff think about

is to how mend fences and get to work on some of these

things, and you keep hoping as a person -- you know, I don't

think that all of us have this fighting spirit that every

time something happens we're going to go out there and try

to get it resolved right away.

You know, once things are over, your priorities change a little bit. Maybe it's partly our fault that the Attorney General's Office hasn't been here before. I mean,

the Federal -- in that we complained to the proper authorities at the local level, and I don't think we're getting any result, and I think that your question can be answered by the fact that we're tired of complaining to the local authorities and getting no help, and we're now stepping a little higher and trying to get some attention from somebody else.

MS. GARCIA: What about the civil rights division of the Attorney General's Office? Have they been contacted regarding this situation?

CHAIRMAN PENA: Let me make a point. When Tony
and I talked in 1988 about what was happening, I did call

of the Attorney General's civil rights
office, and I was told by ______ that they had no
authority to come in and investigate.

I then talked to him in 1990 and told him about things that were clearly done now. He also stated that it was beyond their jurisdiction.

We as an arm of the United States Commission on Civil Rights are here today.

MS. GARCIA: Have you had any contact with lawyers in MALDEF? That's my other question because they have a whole section devoted to voter rights.

MR. REYES: I did have some contacts with MALDEF.

Very -- you know, in Los Angeles -- very, very brief





contacts and, again, it's like this -- this kind of situation -- sometimes you just have to get on a crusade to get something done, and we really didn't get on a crusade, first of all because we thought it was a local issue that couldn't be take care of locally. People were going to understand the changes that were happening politically and socially and otherwise within the county, within this area, and that somehow or other we could bring some sense into some of these people that, look -- I want to emphasize something for the record. It's not that I don't think that there's one or two people that shouldn't vote or three people or whatever, you know, that -- it's not that I don't think there's nothing wrong with the system or with the San Luis area -- nothing.

But, you know, I find that to be the case almost anywhere. You know, if you have 600 people that are voting, maybe three or four or five of them or even ten of them maybe shouldn't vote. Maybe somebody told them to -- maybe it's ignorance. Maybe it's not ignorance. Maybe somebody told them "you can vote," I mean, you know, "you're living here," whatever, and maybe they go ahead and do this.

It's not that I'm saying, "Look, we're so clean that we don't need an investigation or we're so clean that we never" -- as a whole scenario.

No, they did. I mean, remember what I said. The

investigators at the end always found that there was four or five people that shouldn't have voted.

But the bottom line is why should you arrest 60 or 70 or 80 people? Why should you do it once and over and twice because four or five people just abused the system, or simply shouldn't have done something? That's the point.

The point is, once you do an investigation, once you can't come up with anything solid, then you should drop it. That's the normal thing, unless -- and that's the point I'm making today -- unless there is a concerted effort to do something so as to tire people, so as to intimidate people, so as to make them thing twice about voting.

Ladies and gentlemen, this is America. This is not someplace down in the Philippines or in Mexico or other places, where you think about things like this happening. This isn't even Chicago. We haven't found anybody dead voting yet. This isn't the type of situation.

We're trying to keep this place as clean as possible in terms of the voting situation.

Yes, we have some marginal situations. We have old people that may need -- may be either so much into age that maybe, you know, you can convince him to vote for you or something if you take him to vote, but that's not enough to bring in this sort of pressure and not enough to harass these people. That is not enough. You know, I emphasize,

we're not really white all the way through. I'm not going to say that, you know. There's problems, too.

But I think that we can solve them locally. I was hoping we could solve them locally. It seems not to work.

MR. ZAZUETA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. On the question of the newspaper, the names being printed in the newspaper for voter fraud, was there any grounds for a slander suit there?

MR. REYES: I guess there would be but, again -- you see, how -- it's really incredibly difficult to get people to even come up and complain. Most of us -- most of Mexican-Americans, most of these people are not simply the kind of people that are going to stand up and go on a crusade to get their names cleared and all this and take the time to get into court and have the money. You know, they're busy working and making a living. Work isn't that good.

So they -- I felt there was reason. I felt there was enough -- you know, I felt there was enough there to do something about it, but -- the -- whenever they published that, they said, you know -- they were inferring the responsible person. I mean, we all know who they were referring to, the elected official, but it's so difficult to prove defamation of character, and it takes time and it takes money and it takes knowing the court system and it

takes having the right connections.

We're not that sophisticated enough yet. We certainly hope that this is a lesson for us and that we address avenues we can take to effect some changes, or at least to stop some abuses.

That article in the newspaper that came out a few times with names and -- it was in Mexico, to begin with. So you start jurisdictional problems. You know, it didn't happen in the States. Even somebody who reads it here -- it happened over there. This is not just a paper. It was the radio station.

You know -- and then you get involved into this.

It's a campaign. Even it's a mud-throwing campaign. It's still a campaign.

I don't have any problem with tough and nasty campaigns, really. I don't.

I have a problem with getting all the people involved in it that have nothing to do with it. I mean, if you're going to call me something in the newspaper or call me something on the station -- radio station, I could take it. Maybe I could give it too. Why bring all these other people into it? Why? Unless -- unless you have a purpose and, if that purpose -- that purpose is what I'm trying to get at right now -- at least I'm trying to make a point.

MS. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor, what is the



approximate cost of these two investigations?

MR. REYES: We asked the county that. They told us they invested 100 man-hours in the first one. They had somebody from La Paz, coming from La Paz and invest, I guess maybe, 10, 15 days, solid days out here, looking for people.

You know -- look, the problem that you find here because you must -- I must give you a little bit of their perspective, in a way, you know, to try to be fair about this thing.

You have a very difficult situation in San Luis with people moving around a lot. You know, they're migrant farm workers. The term "migrant" ought to tell you something. You know, they're not here some of the time. They move around. They go to California. You never know when a job is going to come available in Salinas.

So finding people in San Luis is a tough requisition.

We have a situation where housing is a problem and people live in RVs. People live in places none of us would live on, and that's the problem, see?

The problem is those people saying, "I live there," and somebody -- some investigator comes looking around and nobody can live in that little mobile home, in that little trailer. Well, that's because the investigator can't deal with it. But that doesn't mean nobody lives

there.

You know, people -- you know, we've got five people in a little, you know, 12 by 6 or 7 or whatever it is, and we've got people doing that here, not by choice. They do it because they want to send their kids to school, you know, and you have to live here to send your kids to school. They do it because they're waiting for a lot down there and they're living with a friend or something that lends them, you know, a hose and you hook up to the sewer down there.

You know, that doesn't mean that that U.S. citizen doesn't have the right to vote, and that doesn't mean that that U.S. citizen doesn't live there. It only means he lives under the standards that you and I wouldn't live.

When somebody -- when those people -- those people went out looking for -- they went out and looked at a house half-built and they said, "Well, nobody could live here."

Maybe they didn't look behind and see that little trailer that was there while they were building their home.

Or maybe they didn't ask the neighbor. Again, see, there's a difference between somebody really, truly trying to find someone and somebody really not having that much of an incentive to find somebody.

And if I was going to be an investigator trying to find people, I'd go to the people that have a vested

interest in finding them, not the people that have the vested interest and you not finding them.

So I question even the impartiality of the second investigation whereas these people came in, got in touch with the, quote, "opposition" -- the people that complained, obviously, were the opposition, and then went around the city looking for people and didn't find anybody and we subpoenaed most of them anyway and found them. Why? We wanted to find them.

You know, we asked around and we found out, for example, that they were looking for a Mr. _______. So you who ended up being a female, Mrs. ______. So you can't find a man when you're looking for a woman. You know, those types of things happen. You know, they might sound funny but, you know, when this was happening in court, and they were saying, "Well, Judge, we couldn't find Mr. ______ because of this and we went down there looking for him and couldn't find him -- you know, I told the attorney, you know, "Look, first of all, you better let them know they're looking for the wrong gender. It's not a Mr. _____. It's a Mrs. _____.

Things like that happen. Again, it sounds funny when you tell them this way. They're not funny when you're out there sitting in court spending your time and their time, sitting there, listening to somebody ramble on about

something where you can't just deal with the fact you just lost. Why bring all these people in?

MS. WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, but could you please be a little bit more specific on my cost question?

MR. REYES: Oh, the cost question. I rambled on myself. The cost question. It costs — the investigation, again, they told us there were hundreds of man-hours from the county the first time. I can't tell you what hundreds of man-hours from the county means. I mean, you would have to ask them that question. I asked them and they only told me that it cost them hundreds of man-hours. They didn't give me an actual cost, the first time. The second time, I didn't even bother to ask.

MR. ZAZUETA: I have a question, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Mayor, on the chilling effect that it's had on citizens of San Luis voting rights, what do you think would turn this around, gaining their confidence in voting and their voting rights here in San Luis?

MR. REYES: First of all, we need to let people know that there's somebody out there other than the mayor, other than Tony -- they call me Tony; they don't call me the mayor, obviously -- other than Tony trying to tell them, "Look, you have a right to vote; go ahead and vote."

They need somebody -- I'd like to see some -- I'd like to see somebody from the Federal -- you know, from the



Attorney General, from the Federal people, coming down and monitoring a couple of elections on here so they'll know that this will be somebody from the outside looking in.

That's one thing I think I'd like to see.

The second is, if the Commission finds that, after listening to all these facts, you recommend that something be done, then we must make sure that these people know that there's somebody again other than even the attorney that is going to come in anytime there's problems.

I mean, I understand your function as advisory, but you also have a lot of -- I would say authority that comes with the name, that comes with the fact that you are -- you know -- a board that looks into this type of situation. We need to let these people know they're not alone and it's just -- it isn't just Tony or it isn't just somebody local, that there's somebody paying attention to their problem.

We have an obligation as political people to entice them to come out and vote again, but we need to be able to tell them this won't happen again. This won't happen again. "You won't be harassed the same way. You won't be challenged. And if you're challenged, somebody's looking and, if they do this again, without proof, they'll be charged with something."

There's got to be some sort of statute in the book





that will allow -- that will punish you if you interfere with the civil rights of somebody voting because that's a civil right, as far as I'm concerned. They have a right to vote. They have a right to vote without undue interference.

And if you make that point, and if we make that point to the other people that are doing this thing, maybe, just maybe we'll be able to start back on the road to getting this to be a fair and equal place.

MR. PAZ: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor, I think I empathize with your story. It sounds like Nogales 40 or 50 years ago, but I'm surprised it's the 1980s and 90s, okay?

Just three questions and just very specific.

Very, very specific. Who asked you to -- who asked these people to prove citizenship? The sheriff's department, police, county attorney's office or who?

MR. REYES: The sheriff's department.

MR. PAZ: Are you familiar with their powers of jurisdiction as the chief law enforcement agency of the county?

MR. REYES: Yeah, I'm familiar. There should be a Border Patrol, an INS function.

MR. PAZ: Okay.

MR. REYES: Yes, I'm familiar.

MR. PAZ: When they declared five illegal votes, what was the basis for declaring them illegal votes?



J

MR. REYES: That was the judge, and you can't ask a judge why -- what criteria he used.

MR. PAZ: No reason was given.

MR. REYES: No reason was given.

MR. PAZ: Okay. I presume that we're going to get that information that you've brought for us, and we might be able to see the newsprint of the people that have been constantly -- appear in paper.

MR. REYES: Yeah, I've got it here. I need to make copies for everybody. I didn't have --

MR. PAZ: Okay. And one last one. When they challenged the people, were they challenging residency, or were they challenging citizenship?

MR. REYES: The first time, and I have to emphasize, the first time they were challenging both.

This last November election, they were mostly -- because I was present at all the challenges -- challenging residency. They were not challenging citizenship, as far as I can remember, but then I wasn't present for all the challenges. I was only present for five or six of them.

So I -- in answer to your question, they were challenging mostly residency, not citizenship, as far as I know. There would be some people later after me that were there, so maybe they'll have -- maybe they'll remember an

answer to your question.

MR. PAZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Tony, were the challenges -- were they from -- within the San Luis precinct or from outside?

MR. REYES: That's another thing that I have a beef with. These people weren't even from San Luis last time. This was a dad of one of the candidates from the Republican party, if I mention -- if I may mention.

You know, this wasn't even people that knew anybody. They were just people with a list. They were told, "Go out there and challenge these people." They didn't have any knowledge to where they lived or if they were from here or anything else. But people from outside here, from Yuma, someplace. Both of them -- both of the challenges.

May I add they were both elderly people -- you know, elderly statesmen-type persons, you know, people that command respect that, you know, you see people and you think -- one of them a doctor, the other one a reporter that writes articles for the paper for a local -- not local, but a regional newsletter or something like that, people that should know better, I think, but then again.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Are there any questions from the committee members? Staff questions?

MR. MONTEZ: There's just one question I wanted to



be clear for the record, Mr. Chairman. There are several items that have been brought up, people's names have been put in the paper. There were two investigations done by the county attorney, people that have had to go to court, and I believe at one time in my investigation I heard that there had been an original court suit when you first elected a councilman, that they questioned whether you could speak English or something.

MR. REYES: Yeah, I mentioned that initially, didn't I?

MR. MONTEZ: That's already on the record.

MR. REYES: It's already on the record.

MR. MONTEZ: The other thing, Mr. Chairman, we did meet with the county attorney. He was invited to this meeting today. He has refused, and I want that on the record. He was invited here, and he told me he didn't see any reason for coming, and I want that to be on the record.

I also inquired of him that why was he not investigating harassment and intimidation, and he -- his answer was that he didn't know or he wasn't aware of a statute that would allow him to do that. And I just stated to him that the investigation was obviously one-sided.

MS. GARCIA: Did you question if he was an attorney?

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you, Tony, for your

testimony.

MR. REYES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PENA: We'll hear now from Martina Lopez.

MR. MONTEZ: She's not here.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Irma Rios.

IRMA RIOS

Irma Rios. Pleased to meet you. Thank you for being here. I'd like to mention and go back to some of the problems that we've had in the investigation. My brother isn't here. Since he was one of the challenges — the one that was challenged — he's not here due to the fact that he is working night shift, which brought this to — back to the problem of what he was challenged for, because of residency.

I was arriving at the house where we live, and the investigator was there and with one of our new council members.

And as I got off, they were just, you know, talking and I guess he was investigating. So I went there and I said, "Hello, my name is Irma Rios," and then he said, "Hello," and I don't remember his name now.

But he said, "I thought you'd remember me," the investigator said, and I said, "I don't." And then he said, "I was at one of your council meetings," and he said, "Well, maybe if you would have, you know, come up to me and said why you were here or what your purpose at the meeting was,

maybe I would have known that you were being investigated and what you were -- what your purpose of being here at the city was."

And then he said, "Well, maybe I thought you'd recognize my shirt," Hawaiian shirt. "Well, I'm sorry, I didn't," I said.

So I -- well, I let him go on with their investigation. I went in, and later my brother came in and said, "What's this all about?" I mean, you know. I said, "Well, what did he ask you?" "Well, I don't know," he says. My sister-in-law was the one that came out to the door 'cause they knocked, and she said, "This guy just comes in and says, 'Is ______ here?' and she says, 'Yes, what do you want him for?' He says, 'Well, does he live here? Does he speak English?'" You know, just one-by-one questions.

And my sister in law, "Yes," so my brother comes out. That's when all the investigation started.

After that, they left and so forth -- later -- sooner, I was -- turned in my complaint that they put in court, and he was subpoenaed.

So he stood there in court -- now, the -- I'm going into a lot of details, but this is what happened next.

Pretty soon, the fact that he was challenged was because they stated that he was -- he did not live at that

house because they never saw -- this one person said they never saw his truck parked at the house.

Then questions -- then -- I mean, "How do you know his truck isn't there?" Or, "How do you know it's his truck?" Or, you know, questions like that.

So -- "Because I worked two blocks from where he lives and every morning that I pass by, I turn around and I never see that truck parked there." That was why they thought -- they said that my brother didn't live there.

And they asked why wasn't -- you know, what time -- "What time do you pass by that house?" "Well, several times a day," and then the question -- I had already told and given information that my brother worked in Yuma and, at that time, he did work in Yuma and he had different shifts. Most of them were night shifts.

Pretty soon -- they never bothered -- to my opinion, they never bothered to go see where he was working, to go see what shifts he was working, and I mean, they never even went up to my brother themselves, if that was the case, that they were so worried that he wasn't living there. I mean, I would just presume that they go to this person and ask them themselves, you know, "Hey, what are you doing right now? Why aren't you -- I pass by and I don't see your truck." Maybe he wasn't even using that truck at that time.

But the reason was, and I want to make this clear,



he's working in Yuma and he's not sure what shifts he's working. Sometimes it's night; sometimes it's day.

If he has to go drive 24 miles to his work, and all of a sudden it's during night -- I mean, if he has a place to stay in Yuma for that night and, you know, just go to sleep and have a nice -- another day's rest so he can go work the other night shift, he could -- that's his choice, you know.

But his residence is here. It's where he lives.

And after he has a day off, then he comes back and stays at home.

This morning, I asked my mom, "Mom, is

here?" Because, you know, I don't see him
sometimes because I go in and out, too, and because -- he
says, "Your brother came in very late at night, around 3:00
o'clock in the morning," so, you know, he's dropped dead
right now.

And I was willing to get him up and just tell him,

"_______, get yourself down to this meeting

because I think it's important for you to go state what

you're doing and have this cleared on record."

But then I said, well, you know, I think I can go speak for him.

So I just wanted to mention what the main -- I don't know. I mean, they were just thinking, why doesn't

just assume without, you know, really being interested in knowing what is going on with this person, if they live there or if they don't, or what's happening.

If you're really interested in doing an investigation, I mean you do it very internally and the correct way and, in this case, I don't think it was done in the respectful manner that it should have been done.

If you have any questions --

CHAIRMAN PENA: Any questions from the committee?

MR. PAZ: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Rios, just one, okay?

You're right. I'm going to take off on your last statement.

Who was -- did the investigator identify himself or herself to you by either telling you, "I am here on behalf of this, this, and I'm conducting an investigation"? Did they come to you or to the household or to see your brother using the proper identification methods as they were conducting an investigation?

MS. RIOS: I was not there. They had arrived before I arrived, so when I went, when I got there, they had already been investigating him.

MR. PAZ: Did you find out, or did they tell you how it was approached?

In other words, if I come to your house, I'm going to say, "My name is...and I'm here to." Is that the process



that you recall somebody told you how it was done? 1 MS. RIOS: As my sister-in-law stated, she said 2 that they had just knocked on the door, asked for 3 4 if he lived there, and if he spoke 5 English. I have something to add. 6 MS. REYES: 7 investigator from La Paz County in this investigation -- he was driving a truck with a seal, a Ram Charger -- when I met 8 him, he was driving that vehicle. 9 MS. RIOS: Well, that day, I don't think 10 he -- when I went into the house, I think he had parked 11 outside. His truck was in the inside parking, so I didn't 12 even see his truck. I just went in there. 13 MS. JULIEN: Mr. Chairman, this -- I gather that 14 this investigation was the second investigation; is that 15 right, that your brother was questioned? 16 MS. RIOS: No, this was the first one. 17 The first one. Has your brother been MS. JULIEN: 18 challenged at the polls? Has his voting right been 19 challenged at the polls, to your knowledge? 20 MS. RIOS: No. This was the first time it was 21 challenged at the polls. Never before it had. 22 MS. JULIEN: This is --23 MS. RIOS: In this case. And the challenge -- the 24 one that challenged him was the person that also subpoenaed



him at the court.

MS. JULIEN: You said something about the reason he was challenged was that someone was not seeing his truck at the house. Was he informed of the -- who was doing that challenging, who was saying that his truck is never at the house? Was he ever informed where the question came from?

MS. RIOS: My brother?

MS. JULIEN: Yes.

MS. RIOS: No, he was not.

(Pause.)

MR. ZAZUETA: I just have one question, Mr. Chairman. Why did the county attorney get La Paz County to investigate the second -- the second investigation?

MS. RIOS: Why did the --

MR. ZAZUETA: The Yuma County attorney get La Paz County to investigate --

MS. RIOS: I'm not even familiar with how that worked. I don't know. I'm not sure. Are there any further questions?

(No audible response.)

MR. REYES: What we were told was that the county attorney ordered the sheriff's department to conduct an investigation, and the sheriff's department said, "I don't have any staff people to do it." He said, "What I can do is call for some help from La Paz County. They might have an



investigator that is free at this time and bring him in from outside."

So that's what they did, and that's what the argument was, or that's what they told us they were doing.

They're saying, "Look, we don't have enough people. We would like to investigate, so what we're doing is bringing somebody from La Paz County to do it instead of us." That's what they told us.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you, Ms. Rios. We're going to take a 10-minute recess. We'll be back in 10 minutes for some further testimony.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN PENA: Let's now hear from -- let's bring the committee back to order. We want to hear now from Arnold Hernandez.

ARNOLDO HERNANDEZ

My name is Arnoldo Hernandez and I live on Kennedy Lane in Peachtree.

On the 6th of November, when I went to vote, there was a -- several ladies that decided to question me, asking me for residence, and also they started -- started to say, if I wanted to vote, they will send me to -- they will investigate me, and then they -- they kept saying to me if I still wanted to vote, and I told them, yes, I want to vote, and they give me the ballot and I went to vote.



When I return, they started arguing again if I 1 want to vote, and then this --2 CHAIRMAN PENA: Who is "they"? 3 MR. HERNANDEZ: The six persons -- the person who 4 I don't know the names of the persons. was challenging me. 5 The officers and the ladies that was -- on the challenge. 6 CHAIRMAN PENA: There were six persons and --7 MR. HERNANDEZ: There were six persons on the 8 table that were the officers. 9 CHAIRMAN PENA: Are there any questions from the 10 committee members? 11 MS. GARCIA: They told you, then, that you could 12 just not vote and not be questioned and that, if you 13 insisted on voting, you would be investigated? 14 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 15 MS. GARCIA: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN PENA: Any other questions? 17 (No audible response.) 18 CHAIRMAN PENA: Does the staff have any questions? 19 If not, thank you. 20 That is all of the individuals that wanted to 21 speak with the -- that have been invited to speak. We'll 22 23

now have the open session, and I have a list of people who have signed up -- to enter some remarks for the record.

24

25

We allocate five minutes per individual during

this open session, and if there is any repetitive testimony, we would ask for different testimony, not the same testimony.

The first person we want to hear from is Elias Bermudes, so would you identify yourself and who you're representing?

ELIAS BERMUDES

My name is Elias Bermudes and I'm a resident of San Luis, Arizona, and I represent myself.

Mr. Chairman, honorable members of the Commission, I do have my remarks written, so I will be brief, and I will provide a copy of my remarks for the record.

I thank you for the opportunity to address the Commission, and I welcome your presence in our city, hoping that the result of your inquiries will have a positive impact in our community.

I am aware of the fact that you have been called to be here in San Luis because of the allegation that the rights of voters have been violated. I will attest to such a fact.

I have been involved in the majority of the elections in San Luis either as a candidate and as an observer. I have personally witnessed the abuses on the part of individuals that take advantage of the ignorance of newcomers to our city as well as new citizens that are not



well versed in the political process of the United States.

Yes, ladies and gentlemen, the rights of lawabiding registered voters of San Luis have been violated by
the fact that persons unknowingly or unaware have been
coerced to vote without establishing the necessary
requirements to participate in the election process.

The vote of the legitimate resident registered voter is violated when the vote of a nonresident annuls the vote of the resident.

The charge that Anglos have come here to challenge our voters is because of the irresponsibility of individuals who have promoted systematically the participation of nonregistered voters in our elections.

Also, there is a lack of responsibility on the part of individuals in charge of elections for not making sure that the elections are transparent and legal.

For the past three elections, there have been charges of illegal voting. We have taken legal action and have proven that illegal voting has taken place. We have chosen not to confront the voters who have participated in the election process in a court of law because we understand that they have been instructed to do so, and we consider them victims instead of violators.

This action in the court of law has carried the message that the law has certain requirements that must be

met before an individual can participate in an election.

I cannot attest that this is a conflict between Anglos and Hispanics because I have personally challenged voters at the polls. I have pointed out to city officials of many violations of the election law. My cries for relief have been ignored.

In the last general election, the election board was appointed by the county allowing a more impartial participation from those of us that believe that many voters were not residents of this precinct.

I will always be an advocate for more voter participation. I will not be an advocate for the participation of nonresidents of this country.

I will actively pursue the participation of all voters of this city, but I will not allow that our votes be annulled by illegal voters.

This country has given us the right to vote for our government officials. I will not stand still while lawbreakers promote election fraud by coercing individuals to participate in the election process without the legitimate right to do so.

I will continue to fight for the legitimate right to have clean elections, and I do hope that the end result of your inquires proves our case.

I will only add to this that I am very much

concerned that the inquiries that have been conducted prior to you being here have only included one-sided participation of people being questioned in town.

I am very concerned that a selection of sites of you being here is not an impartial one.

I'm also concerned that -- even though we try to get from city hall information as to when your investigator was going to be around so we can speak with him, or met him, and voice our concerns.

I'm also concerned with the fact that all of this has been orchestrated by one single person.

I'm also concerned that the county attorney has been accused here of taking sides because I personally requested the county attorney to come in and investigate, and I am a resident, and I think I have every right to request my authorities to investigate. I am a citizen, and I have a complaint and I made a complaint.

I'm also very much concerned that San Luis has been portrayed as another town south of the border because of our election process, and we are not 95 percent Hispanic. We're 99.9. I think I can count all the Anglos on one of my hands in this town, and we do have two strong leaders, probably, in this town that have been through the mud and have come up, and we have had factions in San Luis.

But one of the things that we need to take care of

that, as leaders, as Hispanics who came from Mexico myself and _____, who have acquired and changed our allegiance to another country and became U.S. citizens must be prime examples of how this country operates. That's why your presence is so welcome here because I do hope that, in every election from now on, you have watches, you have people that will come in and see how we conduct our 7 elections because then you will find out for yourself that even elections are manipulated, and then maybe you will take action, and if I am at fault and I have committed criminal 10 acts, that I will be dealt with through the criminal justice of the United States because I strongly believe in it. always had my day in court. I thank you for the opportunity. 14 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Are there any questions from --MS. GARCIA: Yes, I have several questions. You were present Mayor Reyes related a history of two 18 investigations and I guess at least two challenges. 19 you present? 20 MR. BERMUDES: Yes. MS. GARCIA: Are you aware of those court 22 challenges and those investigations? 23 MR. BERMUDES: Yes, in one of those court 24 challenges, I was the one who asked for it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

MS. GARCIA: And are you in agreement with what Mr. Reyes indicated were the outcome of both of those investigations and both of those court challenges, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: No, ma'am. The results of those investigations, I differ greatly with Mr. Reyes' attestations.

In both cases, what has happened is that the county attorney's office has neglected to carry out his duty because -- okay? Because it is very important to know --

MS. GARCIA: So you're stating that the county attorney neglected his duty. You're --

MR. BERMUDES: To carry out --

MS. GARCIA: -- stating that?

MR. BERMUDES: To carry out his duty as to go ahead and enforce Arizona revised statutes as to who has to vote in this town and who is not supposed to, and those who do --

MS. GARCIA: Okay, so --

MR. BERMUDES: -- are in direct violation of law.

MS. GARCIA: So what were the results, in your opinion -- you indicated you differ from what he said were the outcome. You tell me what you believe were the outcome of the court litigation and the -- both investigations.

MR. BERMUDES: That the county attorney found out that there were some illegal voting, but not enough to go

```
1
    ahead and carry out our -- finish up with the process.
2
              MS. GARCIA: Okay, were any of the election
3
    results changed as a result of their investigation, sir?
              MR. BERMUDES: No, the election result would not
4
    change. Nevertheless, it was proven that some people did
5
6
    vote illegally.
7
              MS. GARCIA: In other words, that some people were
    not --
8
9
              MR. BERMUDES: Some people --
              MS. GARCIA: -- residents --
10
              MR. BERMUDES: That's correct.
11
              MS. GARCIA: And would you agree that it was
12
    anywhere from three to five people, or do you indicate there
13
    was more people?
14
              MR. BERMUDES: I believe that --
15
              MS. GARCIA: No, I'm not asking what the belief
16
    is.
17
              MR. BERMUDES:
                             Okay, according --
18
              MS. GARCIA: The outcome.
19
              MR. BERMUDES: -- five people were illegal -- had
20
    voted illegally --
21
              MS. GARCIA: Not residents.
22
              MR. BERMUDES: Not residents of the town.
23
              MS. GARCIA: Okay. And that was not enough to
24
    change election results --
25
```



MR. BERMUDES: No. 1 MS. GARCIA: Is that correct, sir? 2 MR. BERMUDES: That's correct. 3 MS. GARCIA: Are you aware of the costs involved, 4 sir, in both of these litigations and investigations? 5 MR. BERMUDES: I'm not aware of the cost involved 6 as far as the part of both the county attorneys or the part 7 that the city had to pay to defend itself from it. 8 I am aware of the -- I think Mr. Reyes mentioned 9 \$12,000 the city had to pay to defend the candidates. 10 also aware of how much I have spent for the cost of this, 11 and that's close to \$6,000. 12 MS. GARCIA: So you have spent about \$6,000? 13 MR. BERMUDES: That's correct. 14 MS. GARCIA: And where did those monies come from, 15 sir? 16 MR. BERMUDES: From my pocket. 17 MS. GARCIA: From your own pocket. 18 MR. BERMUDES: Yeah, from my pocket and other 19 people who supported us. 20 MS. GARCIA: And that -- does that include the ex-21 chairman of the board of supervisors, sir? 22 MR. BERMUDES: That includes the ex-chairman of 23 the board of supervisors. 24 MS. GARCIA: So his money has been utilized in 25

these challenges; is that right? 1 That's correct. MR. BERMUDES: 2 MS. GARCIA: What role did you have, sir, in the 3 publication of names of these individuals that you believed 4 were voting illegally? What role did you have in 5 publicating their names in the newspapers? 6 MR. BERMUDES: I submitted to the city officials a 7 list, okay, of people we had -- we were going to be 8 challenging in the elections. That list was taken by a 9 reporter from Mexico and that's why that list was reported 10 in the newspaper in Mexico. 11 MS. GARCIA: And were those names also stated on 12 the radio; is that right? 13 MR. BERMUDES: I did not state them on the radio, 14 no, ma'am. 15 MS. GARCIA: Okay. What relationship --16 MR. BERMUDES: I don't know if they were 17 read -- usually the radio in Mexico reads in the newscast 18 articles from the newspapers. 19 MS. GARCIA: Now, who's in this little committee 20 or group that you represent or that you were representing 21 then, challenging? Who comprises this committee or this 22 group of challengers? 23 MR. BERMUDES: I have many supporters who are here

and who are also going to testify before you, so the names

24

of those people -- they will be providing you the names of 1 the supporters that we have here. 2 MS. GARCIA: Okay, and what is your relationship 3 with the ex-chairman of the board of supervisors, sir? 4 MR. BERMUDES: None whatsoever. 5 MS. GARCIA: You have no relationship whatsoever 6 with him? 7 MR. BERMUDES: Not as -- only --8 MS. GARCIA: I don't mean --9 MR. BERMUDES: -- as a supporter. That's about 10 No parental relationship --11 MS. GARCIA: No, I don't mean family relationship. 12 MR. BERMUDES: Not even a friendly 13 relationship --14 MS. GARCIA: What relationship do you have with 15 him? 16 MR. BERMUDES: Not even a friendly relationship 17 because we have only dealt with issues, and that's how we've 18 come out as an acquaintance, so --19 MS. GARCIA: Okay, so that's your relationship. 20 MR. BERMUDES: That's right. 21 MS. GARCIA: You worked together to challenge 22 these elections? 23 MR. BERMUDES: No, ma'am. We worked together to 24 carry out an election. 25

Okay. Have you worked together to MS. GARCIA: 1 challenge the election results --2 3 MR. BERMUDES: No. MS. GARCIA: -- in court? 4 MR. BERMUDES: No. In court, yes. 5 MS. GARCIA: That's correct. Well, that's my 6 question. 7 MR. BERMUDES: He supported us with money. 8 supported us with money with his presence in the 9 election -- in the court challenge. 10 MS. GARCIA: Okay. And one last question, sir. 11 You -- your first statement indicated that people were 12 taking advantage of ignorance of newcomers and new citizens. 13 Would you explain to this Commission what you mean about 14 ignorance and how you define what is ignorance, sir? 15 MR. BERMUDES: Ignorance is the lack of knowledge 16 as to how the election process is conducted and what the 17 requirements are here. We do have a problem of ignorance in 18 the town of San Luis. 19 Ignorance doesn't mean that the people are not 20 Ignorance means that they do not know about 21 certain facts and about certain laws that control election 22 process. 23 So you don't believe that people who MS. GARCIA: 24

you believe are ignorant should have the right to vote if

they're U.S. citizens and if they're residents of this county, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: I do believe that everyone who is a resident of this town, if they're ignorant or not, can vote, and we personally know actually every resident of this town, ma'am, and we know who lives here and who doesn't live here because we live together. We are here, and every one that is a resident of this town, okay, has a right to vote.

And those who were challenged -- some of
them -- one of the other things that proves ignorance is the
fact that many people who are registered voters in San
Luis -- and I can provide for you, if I am allowed, through
the investigator, prove that people who have moved do not
change their residence, for instance.

So whenever you go to a place and you do not find a person and you try to find them but they never change their residence, every time you move from one place to another you are supposed to submit a change-of-address form.

These people do not do it because of ignorance. They do not know that they need to do that.

So what's happening is that we end up challenging somebody like _____ who was here earlier on. I know her personally. I've known her all my life and, when we went to look for her address, she had moved, but she's been here or she has moved for a year or so and she never

changed her address.

So logically, if a person who is challenged, we submit to them that this person did not -- was not found on the address, I think that everyone has that right to challenge.

MS. GARCIA: And you understand that the Court found that, in fact, she was entitled to vote, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: That's fine, and I personally told her -- I personally told her, "Yes, I know who you are; I know you live in town," and I will not subpoen you.

MS. GARCIA: And one last question. What is your purpose, sir, in challenging these people on their right to vote, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: The purpose is, ma'am, that, if we do not challenge people, we will have people from other cities and other countries in our cases that will be electing our public officials.

MS. GARCIA: And other countries, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: Yes.

MS. GARCIA: Do you believe there's Mexican citizens that are actually voting without being U.S. citizens, sir?

MR. BERMUDES: I believe -- no, I believe that there are American citizens who live in Mexico who are coming here to vote. I believe -- and I have proof --

र्र

MS. GARCIA: But they're citizens of the United 1 States, okay. 2 MR. BERMUDES: They're citizens of the United 3 States. 4 MS. GARCIA: You had citizens of other countries. 5 MR. BERMUDES: But they do not live in -- within 6 the precinct, and that's another requirement. 7 MS. GARCIA: Okay, and you lost the last election, 8 sir; is that correct? 9 MR. BERMUDES: Yes. 10 MR. ZAZUETA: I have a question. Now, Mr. 11 Chairman, now, let me see if I have this correct. 12 You don't believe that there's been a pattern of 13 harassment and intimidation here in San Luis for their 14 voting rights after -- after all these challenges, two 15 investigations, two court hearings. You don't think that 16 there's any pattern. 17 MR. BERMUDES: Sir, if a person who lives in San 18 Luis, Arizona is not knowledgeable enough to know why these 19 investigations have come about --20 The question was "yes" or "no'. MR. ZAZUETA: 21 MR. BERMUDES: Okay. 22 MR. ZAZUETA: You don't think there's a pattern of 23 intimidation and harassment. 24

MR. BERMUDES: No, I don't think so, sir.



MR. ZAZUETA: No.

MR. BERMUDES: No.

MR. ZAZUETA: Okay. The next question is: You mentioned that the Anglos were more responsible and less ignorant than the Mexican-Americans. Do you believe that, yes or no?

MR. BERMUDES: No.

MR. ZAZUETA: You said this.

MR. BERMUDES: When did I say that?

MR. ZAZUETA: In your statement.

MR. BERMUDES: No. I said I -- I said here -- let me see. It's right in here. I said I charged that Anglos have not come here to challenge -- the charge that Anglos have not come here to challenge our voters is because of the irresponsibility of the individuals. That's the only time I mentioned Anglos, okay?

And the other place is that -- it says that, in the last election -- in the last election -- let me see -- in the last general election, the election board was appointed by the county, allowing a more impartial participation from those of us that believe that many voters were not residents of the precinct and, if you allow me to elaborate, I will tell you that, when we were challenging these people, the city manager was telling the election board to allow or not to allow people to vote, and it's the

election board's authority to say who was allowed or was not allowed, and of those challenges, many were — the election board recognized them, personally recognized them, that they do not live within the city limits, and they were not allowed to vote.

MR. ZAZUETA: Okay. I just wanted a clarification on that responsible or more or less ignorant -- clarification.

MR. BERMUDES: I'm trying to clarify the ignorant part because I know it can be misconstrued as being more "tontos", in Spanish, than the other ones. That's not it.

They do not have the knowledge -- as a matter of fact, I personally have talked to a lot of them now and I've explained to them what the process is, and they recognize the fact that they are not supposed to vote here.

They thought that, because they were U.S. citizens, they should be allowed to vote, just because they're U.S. citizens, but there are other requirements that must be met.

MR. ZAZUETA: Okay, one more clarification. On the county attorney, you mentioned that he did not do his job, correct?

MR. BERMUDES: That's correct.

MR. ZAZUETA: And then, in another place, you mentioned that the little contradictory -- that the county

attorney was very competent.

MR. BERMUDES: I did not say that the county attorney was very competent. When did I say that?

MS. GARCIA: At the beginning of --

MR. ZAZUETA: At the beginning.

MS. GARCIA: You were upset that we were criticizing the county attorney.

MR. BERMUDES: Oh, no.

MS. GARCIA: At the beginning --

MR. BERMUDES: I said that there has been charges here that the county attorney, okay, was -- was not doing his job. That was the charge being by the other one, okay?

MS. GARCIA: All right, and then you said that that was true.

MR. BERMUDES: I will agree that he was not doing his job, but as far as following through on the criminal charges that we put before him, on criminal charges against people who voted, illegally --

MR. ZAZUETA: It's still a little contradictory in my mind. Was he doing his job or --

MR. BERMUDES: He was not doing my (sic) job, but the issue here is totally different from them. They said that he was doing too much of a job by listening to this complaint that we had, and I'm saying that he did that but he did not finish his work. He should have finished his

work.

As a matter of fact, the investigator found out for himself. He went out to empty lots and found other people did not -- the address that they had on the voter was an empty lot.

And then those people -- he should have carried out and present charges against those people who came in and voted. That's Arizona revised statute.

MR. ZAZUETA: See, the problem I'm having is that you were upset because the charge was made that the county attorney was not doing his job, and then you came back and said the county attorney was not doing his job --

MR. BERMUDES: On a totally different issue.

Okay? The county attorney was charged here for listening to the complaints, and I'm following through and saying that he did not finish his job, okay? So there's not a controversy of — did he do his job in the right manner. I'm saying that what their complaint is one issue and I'm complaining another one, and he's both — he is guilty of not doing his job.

MR. ZAZUETA: Thank you.

MR. BERMUDES: Okay. And I know he'll know about this.

MS. JULIEN: Mr. Chairman. We were told that the turnout in the November 1990 election was very low compared

to the past. Do you agree with that, that the turnout was lower than in the past?

MR. BERMUDES: Ma'am, I will not be able to attest to that because personally I was not here in the election, and I still to this point do not know. I participated in the election but I was not present, so I will not be able to attest to it. I still do not know how many votes I got because I participated.

MS. JULIEN: Okay, then let me just ask you your opinion on something.

Do you feel like the events that have been occurring in San Luis have, in fact, chilled the voting -- people's willingness to vote in this area?

MR. BERMUDES: Yes, they have had a chilling effect on those who are illegal voters of San Luis, but not the legal voters of San Luis.

MR. PAZ: Mr. Chairman. For me myself to understand what is happening, I do need a little bit of a background as to the community, and we've been receiving that information right now.

Would you be so kind as to just describe this migrant town for me very briefly and the living styles of the people and where they get their primary income?

MR. BERMUDES: I am going to concur with Mr. Reyes as to the nature of this town and how people live here in

different times or seasons of the year.

We also know about these people who are out of town, who do not live here, or who live here six months and maybe they go and work up in Salinas the other months but, when they go up and work in Salinas, most of the time, some of the families stay back. Most of the time there is plenty of reason to know they live at home or a mobile home, like you said, or that they live in an RV park. There is a residence there, okay?

But when you have people -- you have well-known people like the mother of the mayor of San Luis, Mexico come in to vote and the aunt of the mayor of San Luis, Mexico come in to vote -- aunt who came into vote, and who -- when she was questioned as to where he lived, he lived in a little tiny room behind a friend of hers.

When we, like I said, went to -- found a lot of people that weren't registered, I didn't know

_______. He's a newcomer to San Luis and he participated, and probably somebody went out and looked for his address and could not find it for some reason or they questioned him. I don't know what the reason was for the challenge -- I did not challenge _______, okay?

Those are some of the things that caused us to be concerned, and I think we should also be concerned.

I am very much concerned if people are being harassed at the polls. I don't think nobody has treated anybody bad at the polls.

MR. PAZ: Describe it to me on the basis of the lifestyle of the community, not your feelings, okay?

As we continue with what you're saying, do you mean to say also that we have a lot of children in the town of San Luis attending schools in this area that really live across the line?

MR. BERMUDES: Well, that is also a very well-known fact and you can see that on a daily basis because you see them crossing the border in the morning and coming in to school.

MR. PAZ: Is that -- this a natural thing, a border community -- of all the border towns, from here to Florida -- are you familiar with that?

MR. BERMUDES: I am very familiar with that.

MR. PAZ: So what makes this little town different from any other border communities where the lifestyle of a lot of people is an international relationship and specifically in this town of San Luis -- it's a migrant town which makes it a little bit different. What is the major difference between this town and other towns in the United States that are border communities?

MR. BERMUDES: I don't think that there is any

difference, and I'm not against the town being different. 1 MR. PAZ: Okay, thank you. You also described the 2 use of coerced voting tactics. Would you describe what is 3 "forced to vote" -- mean to you? 4 Forced or --MR. BERMUDES: 5 MR. PAZ: You said "coerced" and then you said 6 7 people "forced to vote". You used both. MR. BERMUDES: No, I only used "coerced". 8 MR. PAZ: What does "coerced" to vote mean to you? 9 MR. BERMUDES: To impel someone to come in and to 10 To tell someone, "I want you to go and vote," "vote 11 for me" or -- but I --12 MR. PAZ: What is the difference between that and 13 either candidate for governor, _____ or 14 , telling you to go out to vote? 15 MR. BERMUDES: The difference is that, when you 16 personally know, have personal knowledge that that person is 17 not a resident of the town and then you impel that person to 18 vote. That's the big difference. 19 When you do not know -- when you have a speech 20 saying, "I want every one of you to come into vote," you 21 know, it's a difference between that and between having a 22 knowledge that a person is not a resident of the town. 23 MR. PAZ: Okay. These people that have the right 24 to vote all have an identity card that is given to them by 25

the county recorder's office. The people that you outlined in the act that you were part of -- none of those people had an identification card or in the rosters of the county saying that they're legal voters?

MR. BERMUDES: The fact that they are registered in the county roster does not attest to the fact that they are residents of this town, and that's what we were questioning.

MR. PAZ: Okay, but if I challenge that and say that you are not a legal voter in some nature, does that tend to intimidate you or feel awkward or breaking the law, if you were doing that to everybody?

MR. BERMUDES: Only if and when I am not a resident of this town, and let me give you an example.

The director of the radio stations in San

Luis -- in San Luis, Mexico, okay, was registered when he

applied for a license, for a driver's license in San Luis.

He is registered as a registered voter of San Luis, and he

does not live nor work in the United States, and if he comes

in to vote, I will have to just tell him, "Look, sir, you

are not supposed to vote, even though you are registered,"

and that is part of our job, too. We have to prevent those

people who do not know that, because they received a little

pamphlet in the mail, that they can -- the sample

ballot -- that doesn't give them the right to come in and



vote. Only if they live within the city limits or within 1 the precinct. 2 People from Yuma cannot come in and vote in San 3 Luis, or I cannot go and vote in Yuma, so basically I'm 4 trying just to explain to you the reasons why people are 5 6 being challenged at the polls. MR. PAZ: Okay. Would you describe briefly your 7 8 political history here in this community? MR. BERMUDES: As brief as I can, we started -- I 9 moved into San Luis, Arizona in '75. I became a citizen in 10 **'**78. I participated in the first election. I was the first 11 vice-mayor of this town. I was mayor in 1982. And I've 12 been in city council with the exception of the last three 13 years. 14 To the best of your knowledge, and I'm MR. PAZ: 15 sure that you can account for all the people that voted for 16 you once upon a time, was there an individual that should 17 have not voted at that time? 18 MR. BERMUDES: Sir --19 MR. PAZ: For you? 20 MR. BERMUDES: Probably so. 21 MR. PAZ: Was that person coerced, in your 22 definition? 23 MR. BERMUDES: Probably so. 24 (Pause.)

MR. PAZ: When we challenged the voters of this 1 community by other La Paz or by people here, did you ever 2 witness somebody being challenged -- investigated for being 3 an illegal voter? 4 MR. BERMUDES: Investigated, yes. 5 MR. PAZ: Would you describe that 6 scene -- scenario, when you have an investigator coming in 7 to challenge people? 8 The person -- the investigator -- I MR. BERMUDES: 9 was with the investigator at one point in time when he went 10 to the residence of one or two people. When we got there, 11 we checked the address, and we checked the name. 12 When the person was found to be there, we thanked 13 him and we left. 14 MR. PAZ: Under what authority did you go with the 15 investigator? 16 None authority -- only pointing out MR. BERMUDES: 17 the people as a citizen who knew who that person was. 18 MR. PAZ: Did this person vote for you, or did not 19 vote for you? 20 I have no idea, sir. MR. BERMUDES: 21 MR. PAZ: Then why did you go to a person under no 22 authority with a person that has full authority to 23 investigate? 24 Sir, if I am a resident of this MR. BERMUDES: 25

town and a citizen of this country, I am a person who would like to see that everybody is law abiding and, if I know a person who is breaking the law, I will point that person out to an authority, and I think I have that right to do so.

MR. PAZ: If you are familiar with government, since you have been an official, you do know that some of those rights we entrust other people to carry forth that authority.

MR. BERMUDES: Uh-huh.

MR. PAZ: We have the power of citizen's arrest, and that is also be debated on some issues, but the authority that you have proclaimed that you have -- don't you think that, if you went with an authorized person as an investigator, that causes intimidation to somebody else? Perhaps I can relate it this way. Me, being of Mexican descent, and I come in to Yuma, immediately at the airport I see five Border Patrolmen, and all my life I have seen Border Patrolmen, do you think that I would have a feeling about things called intimidation?

MR. BERMUDES: Yes, very much so.

MR. PAZ: Okay. Now, if you went to somebody that you felt that perhaps could have broken the law with illegal voting and you have an authority, do you think that that would cause some intimidation?

MR. BERMUDES: I believe so, yes.

MR. PAZ: Can you describe intimidation and 1 harassment -- or just intimidation? 2 MR. BERMUDES: If a person feels threatened by 3 something and -- in this case, they might feel threatened by 4 a police officer, okay? Then they feel intimidated. 5 MR. PAZ: This morning, two people testified. Ι 6 understand you heard them. 7 MR. BERMUDES: Uh-huh. 8 MR. PAZ: Do you have any reason to believe that 9 they might have felt intimidation? 10 MR. BERMUDES: Yes. 11 MR. PAZ: Have you challenged individual right to 12 vote of some individual people in this community? 13 MR. BERMUDES: I have not been a challenger of the 14 I have been a candidate -votes. 15 MR. PAZ: No, no. Just from the street. Have you 16 gone to somebody and challenged somebody on an individual 17 basis? 18 MR. BERMUDES: No. 19 MR. PAZ: Just with the investigator present. 20 MR. BERMUDES: That's correct. I have talked to a 21 lot of people about -- as a matter of fact, I talked to a 22 lot of people before they even went to vote. I said, "You 23 and I know that you are not a legal resident of this town. 24 Please do not go and vote." 25

MR. PAZ: I'll come back to this. 1 MS. JULIEN: Mr. Chairman, excuse me. You just 2 said that you've never challenged voters but, in your 3 opening remarks, you said, "I have personally challenged 4 5 voters." MR. BERMUDES: As a candidate, ma'am, I've 6 submitted a list to the person who has been placed at the 7 polls as challenger for us -- for the candidate. 8 MS. JULIEN: When you were -- there have been 9 times -- there have been elections when you were not a 10 candidate. 11 MR. BERMUDES: That's correct. 12 MS. JULIEN: At those times, did you challenge 13 voters at the polls? 14 MR. BERMUDES: No. 15 MS. JULIEN: Okay, so you have never been at --16 MR. BERMUDES: A challenger, no. 17 MS. JULIEN: But you have submitted the name. 18 MR. BERMUDES: That's correct. 19 MS. JULIEN: Okay. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN PENA: What is your understanding of what 21 constitutes residency? 22 MR. BERMUDES: Residency is the place where 23 actually you hang your hat at night when you go to sleep. 24 Residency is the place where a person has the family 25

or -- or sleeps at night, and -- it's the place where he's going to come back to whenever he goes out to work or whenever he goes out visiting family. Residency is his home.

CHAIRMAN PENA: For the purpose of being an elector, what is it that you understand the residency means? When you sign an affidavit for the voter registration and you say you are a resident of this precinct, what is it that you think it means?

MR. BERMUDES: It means it's a person -- is told and an address, in many cases, given to him of a residency in San Luis. I will cite the same case. One person who had residences listed as her business which was also the person who was renting from me, and that was her business.

When the election was coming, his residency was -- she submitted a change of address to another place to prove that she had moved because the other one was her business.

A person that -- is told to do this in order to comply or to say that, "I live in a different place," because she could not prove that she lived in a business.

Those are things that I -- serious violations of law and, if you're not concerned about that --

CHAIRMAN PENA: The residency -- the state law -- it means, depending on the statute you look at,

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

employment -- residence -- telephone number. State law doesn't say that -- if there's been a challenge to an elected official that has declared residency to be -- because of a telephone number, but 60 days in a location -- the question of United States citizenship is also established in the affidavit of registration, so residency does not mean only that you have a home, that you have an address and that you have relatives, as you say.

There are different ways to establish residency in the community.

MR. BERMUDES: So are we to believe at this point in time -- or is it your opinion of the Commission that, if a person has a business here or a telephone number, that we can register him to vote?

MS. GARCIA: No, the Commission is not stating that. We're asking you -- you're stating authoritatively that you know certain people have not resided here. You've stated that your definition of residency is where you go to and you hang your hat every night.

Are you aware, sir, that that is not a correct definition of the standard of residency? Are you aware that you don't have to spend every night in your residence to be a resident of that area? Are you aware of that?

MR. BERMUDES: Ma'am, I was not aware in the terms that you are stating it, but I have to disagree with you

because I read the statute.

MS. GARCIA: Well, there's a lot of case law, sir, in regard to these, and are you aware that the primary issue in a court's mind is where the person believes his home is and, from that, the court to looks to other factors, sir, such as how many days they spend out of the year where they work, where they maintain a mailing address, where they have their phone numbers? Are you aware, however, that the intent of where the residence is is the primary concern --

MR. BERMUDES: So --

MS. GARCIA: -- in challenges? Are you aware?

MR. BERMUDES: No, ma'am. I was not aware.

MS. GARCIA: Okay.

MR. BERMUDES: I have been enlightened today, but I will question that also, and I will challenge that assumption.

MS. GARCIA: Okay, and I have one last question. You had indicated earlier that you were not -- you never personally challenged in the polling place, but you sent a challenger?

MR. BERMUDES: Yes.

MS. GARCIA: Okay.

MR. BERMUDES: Every candidate is allowed to put a challenger in the polls.

MS. GARCIA: And is it correct that --

MR. BERMUDES: No?

MS. GARCIA: No. Is it correct, sir -- you have an observer, but isn't it correct that you understand from state law that the only person who can challenge another person is a qualified voter in that area, and you heard testimony earlier that there were people from outside the area that were standing there challenging?

MR. BERMUDES: Ma'am, in the times that I've appointed -- I have asked for a poll server or a challenger, it's -- they've been residents of the town.

MS. GARCIA: And one last question just out of curiosity, what ethnicity have your challengers been?

MR. BERMUDES: Mexican.

MS. GARCIA: In all your polling places.

MR. BERMUDES: That's correct.

MS. GARCIA: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman. I think I would like to answer one of your questions whether we care about these violations or whether we care about voting rights of the citizens here. We do. I think this committee is very dedicated to agree with you, that we do care.

We came a long way -- some of us came a long way here to try to look into these violations, especially these intimidations that you mentioned.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Any other questions?

MR. PAZ: Just -- I wanted to come back to the 1 original thing. You stated that you were aware that some 2 people -- that you have witnessed harassment and 3 intimidation; is that correct? 4 MR. BERMUDES: Sir, I did not state that. 5 stated that I went with the individual who was conducting 6 the investigator, and I was there when he was conducting the 7 investigator (sic), and I do not agree that a person was 8 harassed. He was asked if he lived or she lived there, and 9 when the person had stated so, the person was left alone. 10 MR. PAZ: If we have the same people being asked 11 or questioned about their legality of voting, once or twice 12 or three times, would you consider that to be harassment? 13 MR. BERMUDES: Sir, I will not answer that. I do 14 not know. 15 MR. PAZ: Would you consider that to be harassment 16 even if the court had ruled it as such, and would still be 17 told that they are not legally --18 MR. BERMUDES: I will not answer also that 19 question because I do not know if a person feels that he's 20 been harassed. I don't think so. 21 If they've gone through a court of law and they've 22 been asked questions, I guess there has been a valid reason 23 why they were asked to be over there. 24

MR. PAZ: Based upon the latest finding, where the

```
court threw out the five -- threw out all the other cases
1
2
   and just dealt with five, did you think that now the town of
3
   San Luis came back -- got back into a situation where it
   will no longer occur, where people will not feel like
4
5
   they're constantly being bothered about their rights to
6
   vote?
7
                             I will personally campaign to
              MR. BERMUDES:
   promote voter participation, and I will tell them that those
8
   who do live here do not have any fear -- should not have any
9
    fear of being intimidated if there is a challenge at the
10
   poll.
11
              MR. PAZ: Do you consider the advertisement one of
12
   the methods that you used to campaign?
13
              MR. BERMUDES:
                             The what?
14
              MR. PAZ:
                        The way that you advertise in the
15
    newspaper -- is that one positive way to enhance the voting
16
    rights of people?
17
              MR. BERMUDES: Yes, you can advertise in the
18
    paper --
19
                        By putting their names in the paper,
              MR. PAZ:
20
    that they would face some degree of charges if they do
21
    proceed?
22
              MR. BERMUDES:
                             That's not -- that's not what I
23
    said.
           I do not put the names of the people in the paper.
24
              MR. PAZ: Part of that campaign.
25
```

MR. BERMUDES: No, sir. I did not put the names 1 of the people in the newspaper, and I know you've been told 2 that, but the newspaper report picked up the list of the 3 people who were challenged and he himself put it in there. 4 We didn't ask him to put it in there. 5 (Pause.) 6 MR. PAZ: Were you at all part of that campaign, 7 talking about the funding to pay for the advertisement, the 8 propaganda, the publicity to make sure that the people know 9 that these people are voting legally and they face some 10 violations -- were you part of that campaign, period? 11 I did pay for some advertisement MR. BERMUDES: 12 and -- yes, you will have letters and you will have that on 13 there -- I put in the paper --14 MR. PAZ: Isn't that a contradiction of the rights 15 to vote and the freedoms that we have in this country? 16 MR. BERMUDES: Sir --17 MR. PAZ: How can we do one thing with one hand 18 and say we have the right to vote legally and yet do 19 something to defame other people? 20 MR. BERMUDES: Sir, the only thing that we're 21 asking them that those people who do not live here do not 22 have the right to vote. Is that wrong? 23 MR. PAZ: This approach that you use is right? 24

The approach of letting people

MR. BERMUDES:

1 know, giving them knowledge as to who is eligible to vote 2 and who is not? 3 MS. JULIEN: Are you saying that those people whose names were published in the paper all were 4 5 nonresidents of San Luis? 6 MR. BERMUDES: Ma'am, when we submitted the list 7 to the election board, we suspected that those people -- that were challenged --8 MS. JULIEN: Were they all nonresidents of San 9 Luis? 10 MR. BERMUDES: We believed so when we submitted 11 the list, and that's because we did not find them in the address that were listed on the roster. 13 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. 14 MS. WATSON: Briefly, were you aware of any 15 challenges to absentee ballots and what the outcome of that 16 was? 17 MR. BERMUDES: Yes. 18 MS. WATSON: Would you please elaborate? 19 There were people challenged as MR. BERMUDES: 20 absentees, and these people and I think -- I believe five of 21 them -- the election was set that they did not let them 22 because they had personal knowledge that they did not live 23 here. 24 The absentee process, by the way, was also 25

utilized. There were people who were there at the election 1 who had voted absentee. 2 MS. WATSON: Were any of these individuals 3 involved in military service or students? 4 MR. BERMUDES: I believe there was one claim that 5 the person was in the military service but, as far as I knew 6 personally, the family had never -- had moved away from San 7 Luis a long time ago. He did not even call residency here. 8 MS. WATSON: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. 10 I will submit my --MR. BERMUDES: 11 CHAIRMAN PENA: Yes, please do. Is Josefina 12 Rodriguez still -- would you keep your remarks as close to 13 five minutes as possible? 14 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Sure. 15 CHAIRMAN PENA: Please identify yourself and who 16 you represent. 17 JOSEFINA RODRIGUEZ 18 Members of the Commission, ladies and gentlemen, 19 my name is Josefina Rodriguez, and before -- I've got mine 20 written and I'm going to be reading it, but if you -- I'll 21 get ahead of myself and define intimidation for you, in my 22 opinion. 23 Intimidation is what I feel right now as I have 24 heard the panel question this last presenter.

A public hearing to me is where you can come forth and express your opinions without having to be grilled and asked and asked and asked, which is what I intend to do.

I will be available for -- I don't mean to be disrespectful but my heart is beating fast because I do feel intimidated. I do feel harassed.

I do think that I have a right to express my opinion, and I will be available for answers one I get done with my short -- very short statement, but please do take that into consideration.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Let me remind you that this is a fact-finding hearing, an arm of the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: I accept that.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Not a PTA meeting or a social club meeting, and we are entitled to look toward what is necessary to complete our objective.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: I accept that, and I do not wish to be disrespectful. I think I am just kind of -- I think I'm just kind of apologizing because my voice is shaking, and I guess that's it, okay?

I'm an elected official, that being a member of the Catholic school board. I have been involved in local politics since the incorporation of this town, serving as the first mayor of the town and for two terms as council member.

I am a postmaster and, being a Federal employee, I have always been glad for rules -- for rules and law that exist to help us do our job in a fair and just manner.

Likewise, it makes me angry and upset when rules that exist to make a process fair and just are disobeyed.

That has been the case in the election process in San Luis since 1982. People living in Mexico, those citizens should not be allowed to register and vote, and such has been the case.

In the 1990 town election, I called the attention to what appeared to be and was an irregularity to the town manager, who seemed to be overseeing the election, though I also questioned that fairness.

That was the extreme number of absentee votes cast, and the number of those same people voting absentee to have the gall to be highly visible in the town hall on the day of the election. One of those was even a poll watcher.

If I may, I would like to read a paragraph from a letter sent to the Yuma County Board of Supervisors by the 1988 precinct number 22 election board in which they voice a concern based on their observations at that election. It reads:

"The post location amid city offices fosters illegal electioneering. Elected

officials have a natural interest in polling progress and particularly in the relative turnout of the party members. For example, San Luis Mayor Tony Reyes shuttled between his office and the polling place all day. Mayor Reyes visited with the board and the voters as he collected tear sheets and tracked voter turnouts. He informed us that he had been soliciting voters by phone all day. Apparently he made the calls from his office in the town hall."

 And, again, this is based on the observations at the polling place on that day.

We are aware that this Commission is not a lawenforcing body; however, we appeal to you to make known our concerns to those who may provide assistance in establishing a system that is honest and just.

Civil rights are indeed being violated, the civil rights of those who believe in fair play. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Questions?

MS. GARCIA: I have just a couple questions. Do you believe that people who are subpoenaed into court two or three times face the intimidation that you feel you say you face?

```
MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I'm sure they do.
1
              MS. GARCIA: Secondly, you're aware of these court
2
3
    litigations; is that right?
4
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
              MS. GARCIA: And you're aware of their outcomes,
5
6
    ma'am?
              MS. RODRIGUEZ:
                              Yes.
7
              MS. GARCIA: You're aware that Mr.
8
                    was involved in some of these challenges.
9
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10
              MS. GARCIA: And you're aware that the election
11
    results were upheld.
12
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
13
              MS. GARCIA: And that five people at most were
14
    deemed to be improper voters?
15
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. May I interject something,
16
    though? At the same time -- I accept all of this that
17
    you're saying -- that doesn't make me happy with the
18
    results --
19
              MS. GARCIA: Absolutely not.
20
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: -- or thinking that it was even
21
    fair.
22
              MS. GARCIA: Okay. And you're aware of two
23
    investigations being done by a multitude of agencies:
                                                            INS,
24
    sheriff, county attorneys, and you're aware of those results
25
```

1 as well? 2 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MS. GARCIA: And are you telling us or insinuating 3 in any way that or these 80 people that 4 were on this list control these high political offices and 5 so therefore the judge voted against you guys and voted in 6 their favor because they somehow controlled them? Are you 7 8 trying to tell us that? MS. RODRIGUEZ: I won't answer that. 9 MS. GARCIA: Okay. But those results were there. 10 Is that right, ma'am? 11 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 12 13 MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman. Josefina Rodriquez, I appreciate your statement and I share your concern, and 14 that's why we're here. Thank you. 15 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 16 MR. PAZ: Just one. When we -- when I hear your 17 testimony, of course, you need to find out as much of the 18 person who's doing the testimony. 19 The gentleman before you made some -- alluded to 20 the fact that perhaps a school system has a lot of kids in 21 your district that are illegally here. You are a board 22

MS. RODRIGUEZ: Okay, I was expecting that

it in mind the civil rights that young people have?

23

24

member. How do you deal with that problem legally -- keep

question, and I'm prepared to answer it in that we were involved in a highly publicized controversy about -- last year, last school year, and it had to do with the fact that we are hard-pressed -- and I mean hard-pressed, underlined a hundred times, for funds to accommodate the student population.

The city being that Gadsden is the school district for the area, has to provide education for all the students coming in, and there is really -- there is really no relief in sight, and we knew for a fact that there was a lot of young kids crossing the border every morning, and, as far as their human rights, I can appreciate that we -- my heart went out to the parents, my heart went out to the kids as a board member.

As an elected official, I have to abide by the laws of Arizona, and that was to do what the rule says, and that is the education -- an illegal child living in the U.S. is more entitled to public education than is an American citizen living in Mexico. That is law.

And my heart went out to these kids, to the parents, but as a board member, I was behind that action to not let those kids attend school.

MR. PAZ: Thank you.

MS. JULIEN: Mr. Chairman. We do appreciate your coming forward to speak. Just a couple of quick questions.

Have your voting rights ever been questioned? 1 MS. RODRIGUEZ: No. 2 (Pause.) 3 MS. JULIEN: Do you -- have you ever witnessed 4 people's voting rights being questioned? 5 MS. RODRIGUEZ: No. On one occasion, I did serve 6 as a poll watcher, and on that occasion I knew for personal 7 knowledge -- two persons that came forth to vote, and all we 8 did was present an affidavit, this person is not registered 9 to vote, and they very honestly and openly admitted so, and 10 they retired. That was it. 11 MS. JULIEN: And one last quick question. 12 you say people living in Mexico wanted to vote, are you 13 talking about Mexican citizens or United States citizens, 14 for my own clarification? 15 MS. RODRIGUEZ: American citizens, mostly. I'm 16 not going to say Mexican citizens. 17 MS. JULIEN: Okay, and those are people who spend 18 a majority of their time in Mexico. 19 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Many times they have 20 purchased a lot and it is a vacant lot, no house there, so 21 they do live in Mexico. 22 MS. JULIEN: Thank you. 23 MR. PAZ: Just one observation that -- as I'm 24 listening to you talk, I'm trying to put myself in mid-25

America and try to -- because San Luis is unique. 1 different. It's a different part of the United States. 2 Do you think, in mid-America, we would be 3 questioning or scrutinizing the vote of people as much as we 4 do it in a place like San Luis? 5 MS. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sure not. 6 MR. PAZ: Okay. So here, in order to become a 7 legal voting or voter, it's perhaps twice as difficult in 8 any other part of the country? 9 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh. 10 MR. PAZ: Could a lot of the things that we do in 11 the process for voting get out of hand an get into the area 12 of intimidation because it's twice as hard? 13 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, but by the same token, I 14 think part of the educational process of newly immigrated or 15 just recently naturalized citizens is for them to be aware 16 instead of just to have this conflict of voting and fall 17 into that here in the U.S. 18 MR. PAZ: But when we talked about voting rights, 19 a long time ago we did have processes or education about 20 learning how to speak English and all that kind of stuff. 21 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh. 22 MR. PAZ: Now we have just the thing called 23 rights. 24

Ramon?

CHAIRMAN PENA:

```
1
              MR. PAZ:
                        Yes.
2
              CHAIRMAN PENA: For the record, would you please
3
    say "yes" or "no"?
4
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I'm sorry.
5
              MR. PAZ: Okay, I lost my train a little bit, but
6
    the whole idea of voting rights is, no matter how you mark a
    ballot, correct?
7
8
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: That's correct.
                        The education, like everything else, is
              MR. PAZ:
9
    the opportunity the country offers, right?
10
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: That's correct.
11
              MR. PAZ: To have a right to coerce the education,
12
    to force the education.
13
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: No, not at all.
14
              MR. PAZ: As an educator in the education
15
    business, can you force a child to learn?
16
              MS. RODRIGUEZ:
                              No.
17
              (Pause.)
18
              CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Did you want to submit
19
    the written statement for the record?
20
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: No, I'm going to be submitting
21
    other documents.
22
              CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. Mr. Miguel
23
    Lopez.
24
              MR. MONTEZ: Could I say something?
25
```

CHAIRMAN PENA: Mr. Montez, yes. 1 MR. MONTEZ: In the -- there were some personal 2 3 names --CHAIRMAN PENA: I understand. I will make an inspection at the end of the --5 MR. MONTEZ: Thank you, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN PENA: -- at the end of the meeting 7 because there may be others who would be doing the same 8 thing. 9 Mr. Miguel Lopez. Would you please identify 10 yourself and who you represent. 11 MIGUEL LOPEZ 12 Good afternoon. My name is Miguel Lopez, and I 13 represent myself. Like I said, my name is Miguel Lopez. 14 I'm a human services specialist 2 for the State of Arizona 15 as well as a city councilman for the City of San Luis, 16 Arizona. 17 As a member of the city council and as a local 18 citizen, I want to welcome every one of you to be present on 19 this hearing. 20 A lot of the issues that I had on my notes have 21 been pretty well discussed today. 22 One of the things that got me really involved in 23 this situation -- I see the point of harassment. I see also

the point of the -- of our respecting the right of the

24

people who really reside here and who are U.S. citizens, obviously.

I was running for city councilman on the -- in March, if I remember well, and it was real obvious, especially -- not in my case -- fortunately, the people supported me quite well, but in the mayor race, in which it was very obvious that the absentee vote was abused, in my opinion.

I don't know if -- there's records -- and all of you have the opportunity to check those records of how many people voted absentee and how many people voted for

and how many people voted for

I think that -- it was pretty obvious, in my opinion. I want to emphasize that. That, in my opinion, it was real obvious, and probably that's -- that's what he called -- or that was what he defined who was going to be a mayor representing us in this town.

Issues have been discussed in regards to intimidation and the civil rights of people -- of the people.

But, in my opinion, it all boils down -- or the results that I would like to see is clean elections.

One way or the other -- I'm not a judge. I'm not going to say who's right or wrong, whatever. I want clean

elections. I would like to have clean elections.

As all of you know, I'm a young person. I am a young politician. This is my first time around. And I want to establish good basis for this city, okay?

We are -- we talk about San Luis, Arizona and many people laugh of how things are being handled here. I think that needs to stop. Why? They start.

I think -- in my opinion, we need education -- I need education. I am learning as a public official.

I'm seeing a lot of things right now. I'm learning. And the bottom line -- doesn't that make me a better politician?

Why -- I represent so many people, and many people have seen me in the area of town. I don't only represent my own family, myself. I represent people from this city which I really love, and I represent the youngsters and teenagers, and many of them really look up to me.

I also represent the city of San Luis, Arizona in whole. I represent my county. I represent the state. And I also represent my country.

And I'm also Hispanic, which I don't forget that, and I have a lot of pride in that.

We're talking about a very serious issue, and this is voting. And you know politics. And we're going to be specific. Politics in Mexico, okay?

That really frustrates me a lot -- those things -- it's a beautiful country but I'm not going to agree to those political -- their political -- or how they run their political -- it is a country, that's fine, but I would not like to see that to happen in this country and not in my town.

So, again, to me it is an issue of clean elections because this is my right as a U.S. citizen to request that, or to ask that, at least.

This is the reason why my parents immigrated into the United States, so I would be acknowledged by my accomplishments, and not only because of my last name and I don't know if all of you know what I'm talking about.

This is what this country has taught me so far, I mean. I've been through elementary -- here in the United States -- and they have taught me the right to vote. Equality. All those kinds of things. Those beautiful things.

This is also -- we need honestly need an election -- this is also showing respect. That's a big word. That is showing respect. I come from other places and -- whatever the situation is -- I mean, there's several situations -- there are several situations because of the locality, where we are. I don't know who said, this is a special town. Yes, it is.

Because -- mainly -- because this is the United States of America, and because I'm part of it, and I would like to see clean elections. We need a lot of -- I need it. I'm not an expert, like I said. I'm just beginning in my political career. I don't know how much it will last, but that's one of the things that I think I'm going to continue to fight. Clean elections. And I really appreciate all of you being here today because this gives me the opportunity to express myself.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. You raised the question of absentee voting. Arizona has the most liberal standards for absentee voting in the country. That's because of the influx of retired voters coming into the state. Are you familiar with the six or seven reasons how and why a person can vote absentee?

MR. LOPEZ: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Did you intend to say that there was something wrong going on with the absentee voting?

MR. LOPEZ: Absentee voting -- we -- we were aware that people who voted absentee were present in this town, like it was also mentioned that elderly people, okay? They had already voted, who brought them over -- there was information about the director of human services here in town --

CHAIRMAN PENA: Is --



1 MR. LOPEZ: -- who were brought over for -- to 2 vote. 3 CHAIRMAN PENA: Is there something illegal going 4 on with absentee voting? In my opinion, if they're going to be 5 MR. LOPEZ: 6 here in town, I mean, that is why we have the election. 7 CHAIRMAN PENA: Are you saying that, because people live here and have one of those six or seven reasons 8 for voting absentee, they ought not to vote absentee, but 9 vote in person? 10 The people that I was aware of that MR. LOPEZ: 11 voted absentee -- they were here in town. 12 MS. GARCIA: That's my question. Your statement 13 was that the absentee vote was abused "in my view". Given 14 that the statute provides a variety of reasons for you to 15 vote absentee, is that your only reason that you believe it 16 was abused was because the person who voted was actually 17 here? 18 MR. LOPEZ: What I'm saying is that -- and I just 19 mention that -- that, in my opinion, the people that I was 20 aware of that voted absentee -- they were here in town. 21 MS. GARCIA: But do you realize that, under the 22 statute, that's not per se illegal? Are you aware of that? 23 MR. LOPEZ: Well, in my opinion, I'm not going 24

to -- I'm not going to tolerate that. I mean, it's not

acceptable for me -- for me personally, and I don't 1 2 appreciate your laughing also. 3 MS. GARCIA: Do you think that, if you vote absentee or a month before or if you vote on election day, 4 that somehow your election vote is going to be different, 5 or -- what is it that you find --6 MR. LOPEZ: Oh, no. I'm aware of those cases. 7 People that are not going to be here -- that are in the 8 university -- that they're going to have to go out -- out of 9 the town. I'm aware of that. I mean, I agree to that. 10 That's a special -- yeah. I'm aware of that. 11 MS. GARCIA: No, what I'm asking you --12 MR. LOPEZ: But when people are here in town and 13 they vote absentee, that to me is not --14 MS. GARCIA: But are you aware that, under the 15 statute, that's not illegal, sir? 16 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. 17 MS. GARCIA: Okay. The other --18 MR. LOPEZ: But it is not acceptable for me. 19 MS. GARCIA: Okay. 20 If I know that those people were here MR. LOPEZ: 21 and they were voting as absentee. 22 MS. GARCIA: Okay. And, sir, you're aware of the 23 two court cases challenging elections? 24 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 25

```
1
              MS. GARCIA: And you're aware of those outcomes as
2
    well?
                          I'm aware of the last court case that
3
              MR. LOPEZ:
4
    we had.
5
              MS. GARCIA: And you're aware of the results.
6
              MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
              MS. GARCIA: That the judge found.
7
              MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
8
              MS. GARCIA: And you're aware of the two
9
    investigations that have been conducted in this county?
10
              MR. LOPEZ: I'm aware of the one where the
11
    Maricopa County Sheriff was here in town.
12
              MS. GARCIA: Okay, and you're aware of those
13
    outcomes as well.
14
              MR. LOPEZ:
                          Yes.
15
              MS. GARCIA: And you agree with those results as
16
    the mayor had indicated earlier, that they found five, at
17
    the most, people who were unauthorized to vote?
18
              MR. LOPEZ: I don't agree to that, but if that's
19
    the outcome, I'll respect that.
20
              MS. GARCIA: No, I don't mean if you agree with
21
    the judge or not. Do you agree that that was the
22
    outcome -- the findings of the judge, sir?
23
              MR. LOPEZ: If those were his findings, those were
24
    his findings, but I don't agree to that.
25
```

MS. GARCIA: So you don't know what his findings 1 were, sir? 2 3 MR. LOPEZ: I'm aware of his findings --MS. GARCIA: So what were his findings? 4 MR. LOPEZ: That only five people were found 5 that -- that were -- that they voted illegally and that that 6 was not sufficient to overrule. 7 MS. GARCIA: And you just indicated that, as a 8 newcomer to this country and all, that you believe in the 9 rule of law and you proceed to challenge in court --10 MR. LOPEZ: Newcomer to this country? I'm not a 11 newcomer to this country. 12 MS. GARCIA: Okay, you'd indicated in '76 or 13 whatever. 14 I didn't indicate 1976. MR. LOPEZ: No. 15 MS. GARCIA: Okay. I thought that's what you had 16 stated, but you indicated earlier in your statement that you 17 believe in the system, that you believe in the rule of law 18 and, when you have a challenge, you take it to court. 19 Do you respect the findings here? You 20 challenged -- or there were some challenges made and there 21 were some court conclusions, and that was -- those were the 22 findings of the court. 23 I respect the outcome. MR. LOPEZ: I have to. 24 MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman. On the clean 25

1 politics, as you mentioned, and you mentioned a lot of wrong 2 political influence from the South, from Mexico, and so forth, did you see any wrong political influence from the 3 North, from the county attorney's office, or from this 4 5 person that lost the election, the supervisor that lost the 6 election? Did you see any wrong political influence from the North? 7 MR. LOPEZ: What do you mean by "the North"? Up 8 by the --9 MR. ZAZUETA: The county attorney and the 10 supervisor that lost. 11 MR. LOPEZ: These are very touchy issues -- I 12 mean, for -- you're talking about for the North -- this is 13 what the impression that I get from the -- they even 14 said -- that's why they sent the sheriff from Maricopa 15 County and also stated that. 16 It is -- I mean, there's controversy. That's the 17 way it is. I'm not going to say one is wrong, the other one 18 is right, or whatever. I just feel that we need clean 19 elections. 20 They know the procedures, like up north. They 21 knew what they have to do. That is their function, and I 22 cannot testify to that. 23 MR. ZAZUETA: You didn't see any. 24

MR. LOPEZ: No.

MR. ZAZUETA: Thank you. 1 (Pause.) 2 I want to stay away from the clean 3 MR. PAZ: election because that's another issue. 5 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, sir. MR. PAZ: I want to get back to your absentee. 6 You did say that there is some improprieties with absentee 7 ballots. 8 MR. LOPEZ: Exactly. 9 MR. PAZ: Did you challenge any of those absentee 10 ballots? 11 MR. LOPEZ: No. 12 MR. PAZ: Are they just personal observations? 13 MR. LOPEZ: Personal observations. 14 MR. PAZ: Is San Luis a migrant town? 15 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. Mostly. 16 MR. PAZ: Mostly. What percentage? 17 MR. LOPEZ: I'm not aware. 18 MR. PAZ: Would you say that San Luis was made by 19 migrant people? 20 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 21 MR. PAZ: So the right to vote is hard to obtain, 22 correct? 23 MR. LOPEZ: Not necessarily. 24 MR. PAZ: If it's a migrant town and many of these 25

```
1
    people go and come, then the vote -- and they're not here
    during a certain time -- is it hard to obtain the vote?
2
3
              MR. LOPEZ: I don't understand your question.
4
              MR. PAZ: Well, as a migrant community, it means
5
    that people come and go, work, come back, go work all over
6
    the place.
7
              MR. LOPEZ:
                          Uh-huh.
8
              MR. PAZ: But they have a place that they call
    home.
9
              MR. LOPEZ: Uh-huh.
10
              MR. PAZ: Is San Luis like that?
11
              MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
12
              MR. PAZ: So the voting -- getting the votes or
13
    voting is difficult, right?
14
              MR. LOPEZ: Yes, it is difficult.
15
              MR. PAZ: Okay. So, again, is it twice as hard to
16
    be an American citizen in San Luis?
17
              MR. LOPEZ: Probably so.
18
              MR. PAZ:
                        Thank you.
19
              CHAIRMAN PENA: Anymore questions?
20
              (No audible response.)
21
              CHAIRMAN PENA: If not, thank you very much.
22
              MR. LOPEZ:
                          Thank you.
23
              CHAIRMAN PENA: We now have Mr. Bob Phillips.
24
              (Pause.)
25
```

BOB PHILLIPS

__

Yuma County Supervisor

Mr. Chairman and board members, I'm Bob Phillips, Yuma County Supervisor, and the only thing I'm up here to say is that I do know there's some irregularities that went on down here in this election. I did not witness them.

The county sheriff called me the morning of the election, the last general election, and told me how I should get down here to San Luis because of some problems down here in the voting process, and then the newspapers called me and some other person that I don't remember, and I told every one of them that I did not have any business down here trying to influence these people, and they should call in the Justice Department or have the sheriff's office to come down and look at it.

I don't think any politician has any right to be down here trying to influence the voters' actions, and that's the only thing I know, that I was -- I do know there was trouble down here: harassment of voters -- because I got it from three different sources. That's all I have, sir.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. Any questions?

MR. PAZ: Could you just be specific on the times that you were told there was harassment here?

1 MR. PHILLIPS: I would say it was approximately 9:00 o'clock when I got the first call and probably 9:30 on 2 3 the second call on the day of the general election, the last 4 general election. MR. PAZ: And what was the harassment about? 5 6 MR. PHILLIPS: They just said there was trouble at the polls, and there were people being challenged, 7 and -- personally, I had a feeling I may be set up to 8 be -- somebody could charge me with coming down to influence 9 some voters. That's the reason I stayed away. 10 MR. PAZ: And you'd never seen 11 harassment -- you've never seen --12 MR. PHILLIPS: I've never seen harassment. I was 13 just told this by phone. 14 MR. PAZ: Did you ever see an investigation of 15 people that were legally here? 16 MR. PHILLIPS: I know of the investigation because 17 I'm a county supervisor, but I tried to stay completely away 18 I mean, this is my town. I represent it. 19 represent the semblance -- and so forth -- but I try to stay 20 away from this whole situation because I have to represent 21 whoever is elected. 22 But I do know that there is harassment that is 23 going on -- I mean, by the phone call. 24

MR. PAZ: Okay. Harassment by whom? You know

that there is harassment going on by whom?

MR. PHILLIPS: It was one of the candidates' father, they told me -- and that is something else. I cannot testify for sure that it was his father because I didn't see the man. It's just by phone call I received. Two phone calls.

MR. PAZ: As the county supervisor, I'm sure you're aware of the activity by the county attorney's department in investigation --

MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, sir.

MR. PAZ: Can you tell us about that?

MR. PHILLIPS: No. He informed us that there was an investigation -- the sheriff's office was investigating it, and this had been an ongoing problem for a long time, and I -- I feel like the town of San Luis is being used as a whipping post for anybody that has any complaints.

MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman. As a supervisor, sir, do you have any recommendations to make at this time how we can resolve this problem?

MR. PHILLIPS: No, sir, I don't. I wish I did have. I sincerely wish I had some answers for that.

MR. ZAZUETA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. We will now hear from Frank Molina. Please identify yourself and tell us who you represent.

FRANK MOLINA

Frank Molina -- I represent myself. I resided in San Luis since 1981, and since '81 I've noticed a reputation that we've gained in the political world, and I've heard this word "intimidation" being used back and forth.

I was present at the November elections and personally I wasn't intimidated, being politically appointed -- I can't be intimidated.

But I did felt (sic) that I was discouraged. From a personal knowledge, there's only nine Republicans, including myself, registered in San Luis, Arizona.

I voted at 7:30 in the morning so that I could get to work in Yuma in time, as I do every election. There were six people working the precinct and one heard person and Mr.

_____ father was there also. I know I'm not supposed to use him -- but they were there.

The people ahead of me were being challenged, and I feel -- this is personal -- I feel that what they had -- before they tell you -- Democrat, Republican -- so they have beforehand information what your affiliation is.

They were being challenged. I wait five, ten, fifteen minutes, and I told the lady -- I says, "Hey, I have to go to work." And she said, "Sir, you just be calm there. Be calm." "I have to go to work," I says. There's six other people sitting here doing nothing, absolutely nothing.

Remove

Ι

1 I said, "If you must challenge that person or that other person, there's three other tables over there. 2 3 them from the line and challenge them over there." "Sir, if you don't like the process, call my supervisor." 4 I happen to be the student -- governor 5 coordinator -- and I happen to have students from the three 6 high schools working within the county system, so I called 7 from the county administrative services to 8 report this because first of all, the lady that was doing 9 all the challenging instructed me to do so. But I would 10 have done that on my own because I was pretty upset. 11 So intimidated, I wasn't. Discouraged, I was, and 12 I wasn't the only one. Just remember that I was there 13 approximately 25 minutes. 14 There was people disappearing from the line in the 15 back. I don't think it was because they were intimidated 16 because they were not registered voters. I think, like 17 everybody else, we have to go out and make a living, and 18 they had to get to work. 19 So we lost votes there, or whatever, you know. 20 say I'm a Republican. That's my philosophy. 21

The point is this: that we have created the situation on both sides.

22

23

24

25

To my knowledge, this started back with when he lost the election. At that time he said the San Luis political machine had all the voters in their pocket. They don't have me and maybe the other Republicans are not enough, but they don't have us in their pockets.

We're gaining a reputation here that anytime something goes wrong we are the ones that are investigated.

I'm not saying that we're not to blame. We created it because we'd let it gone this far, you know.

The people that were discouraged that morning, including myself, were deprived of something that we've been practicing -- I've been practicing all my life.

I did not come from the other side of the border.

I was born and raised in the United States.

I feel intimidated to this certain area only.

If somebody looks at that paper and says, "Oh, he's a Republican; he's okay," you know, I'm an American citizen. A political party just happens to be a part of that, and that was discouraged -- discouraged to the point where I don't even feel like, you know, is it worth it. Is it worth voting anymore?

And if you want apathy to set into this town, this thing continues and you guys don't do anything about it, you know, intimidation is a hard word, and you guys are looking for hard facts, but you know what -- what is the line between intimidation and discouragement?

For intimidation, you can get somebody and put them in jail, probably, or some civil offense or something.

But for discouragement, the political -- the politicians on both sides of this issue and the ones in Yuma County are well aware of -- they play hardball. They're well aware -- aware -- well -- of the guidelines. They are not going to intimidate you directly because they'll be held responsible, but they will discourage you, completely discourage you, like they did that morning.

I'm telling you the truth. I was there. I called the county offices, let them know what was going on. 7:30 in the morning, I was there. The county opened at 8:00. I let them know at 8:05.

Yet this thing continued the rest of the day. If the county was aware of what was going on, why did the county not send a monitor? Why send six precinct workers and a head person if five of them -- six of them are going to be sitting down?

Why -- I ask why. Why. Six people there doing nothing and letting one person manipulate the whole election process, holding up the line. Intimidating? No. Discouraging. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Mr. Molina, so while you were watching the challenging ahead of you, it also appeared that several electors were discouraged.

MR. MOLINA: Correct. That is correct. 1 2 CHAIRMAN PENA: They left -- and, to your 3 knowledge, they never came back. 4 MR. MOLINA: Not at all. Not while I was there. 5 And I stayed there till 8:00 o'clock, like I say. 6 I had to go to Yuma. I called the county about 10 7 after 8:00. 8 CHAIRMAN PENA: When you say "several", can you put a figure on that? Thirty? 9 MR. MOLINA: No, no -- when I say "several", I 10 wouldn't say more than 10. 11 CHAIRMAN PENA: Any questions? 12 MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Molina, I'll ask 13 you the same question I asked the supervisor. You want us 14 to do something. What's your recommendation, coming from 15 this area? 16 MR. MOLINA: First of all, I think your part 17 should be very small. I think our part should be bigger. 18 We need to clean up our act. We need to start playing the 19 game like it should be played. We have to police ourselves, 20 okay? 21 I'm looking at it from -- not from a partisan or 22 anything. I'm looking at it from myself. I've watched this 23 game being played since 1981. It's very hard for me 24 personally -- I enjoy living in San Luis, but it's very hard 25

for me not to sit back and laugh sometimes at the things that go on politically.

And maybe I'd been laughing for too long. Maybe it's time I spoke up and took a position and let it be known.

So like I say, your part should be minimal, but our part -- that's going to be the hard part.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Any other questions? Staff questions?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN PENA: If not, thank you very much. I'm going to let Bob Phillips come up again for a brief statement.

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm sorry to come up again, but you asked me a question I think I may have an answer to. You asked for some recommendations.

I would like to see a letter from this board addressed to the county board of supervisors asking that we completely change all of the poll workers in this county on both sides, I mean Republicans and Democrats.

I think some of these people get entrenched, and that would be my recommendation.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. We'd like to hear now from Judy Pimberton. Please identify yourself and who you represent.

1

JUDY PIMBERTON

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm Judy Pimberton. I'm the newly elected county chair of the Yuma County Democratic Party. I'm a native of Yuma Valley, Yuma, Arizona, and a concerned citizen, and I represent all three today.

Mr. Chairman, committee members, first of all I want to thank you for coming to this -- to San Luis today to take part in this hearing and to hold this hearing.

I have expressed to Mr. Montez my nervousness when speaking before a group and that I try to place myself in most times and positions where I don't have to speak in front of a group.

However, I do serve as an officer on numerous boards and commissions, not only on the county level, but I serve on the state level.

Public speaking is not easy for me, especially when I feel very upset or concerned about a personal matter like this, and I'd like to say that I admire the older ladies, the older ladies that spoke earlier today. great courage, I'm sure, because it makes me nervous and I'm sure they probably have less experience than I do, so I admire them for coming today.

I did give my comments to Mr. Montez in approximately a two-hour interview with him the other night, so I think he pretty well has a comprehensive report of any

of my knowledge of any activities that have gone on in this precinct.

I too am here today on a fact-finding mission.

I'm here to listen to the testimony. I serve a two-year
term in my capacity as chairman of this Yuma County

Democratic Party.

I hope to be able to deal with situations that may arise similar to what has happened in this precinct in that two-year period or if it should raise its head in any other of the 31 precincts in this county.

If, from your testimony, you find cause that the rights of the voters have been violated in Precinct 22, I ask that you take whatever action is necessary to stop this practice.

As one who lives -- again, I say, in Yuma

Valley -- I'm a native -- I've lived here all my life in the

lower valley and active in the county and community

activities, I'm very concerned with this -- just the

testimony that I've heard here today. I've heard rumors;

I've heard hearsay. I have not been down to Precinct 22

during the election day.

In the general election, I was at headquarters. I became chairman of this party shortly before the primary, and I can tell you what a challenge that's been, to become a chairman of a major party approximately 30 days before an

election.

But I was busy at headquarters. I received a call from _____ at approximately 9:30 -- I don't know what time it was.

But he was very concerned about what was going on down here.

I faxed what I thought was a legal paper that would place him as our party observer and, to my knowledge, he served in that capacity or the alternate did for that day. I was not able to get down here myself that particular election.

As the county chairman of the Democratic Party of Yuma County, I will weigh the testimony that I've personally heard here today and do what I believe is needed in my capacity as chair to improve the voting process in Precinct 22.

If that involves replacing the Democratic representatives on Precinct 22's polling team, I will do so.

The comment was made earlier about one-sided participation here today. Frankly, I'm disappointed not to see the chairman of the Republican Party here today or even some of those that felt so strongly that they had to be involved in the activities that we've heard took place the day of the election. If it was so important then, why aren't they here today?



Absentee voting has been addressed in the comments today. I probably couldn't quote you the six reasons for absentee voting, although I've seen them a hundred times, and I am aware that weather has been added as another reason that you can vote absentee.

I am in the process right now as a county chair, trying -- what I can do to help the Summerton area, the San Luis area, any other area that I feel has a valid cause to make absentee balloting -- absentee voting available to those precincts that need it and those individuals that need it.

There is a great need in Precinct 22 for absentee voting because of the employment -- they leave early, they get home late. They have not had an opportunity to cast their vote.

But employment's not the only reason. We have people, because of their age or their physical disabilities -- everyone that is a registered voter should have a right to vote, and I will do what I can in my power to ensure that they are able to practice that right.

So, therefore, we will be making request forms available to people that would like to request an absentee ballot in this upcoming election.

You've asked what do we people here today expect you to do about this. You are more familiar with your

1 jurisdictions and what your responsibilities are than I am. 2 You have got and received more information than I've heard 3 here today because you've had people in town interviewing 4 people and I'm not privileged to all that information. 5 Personally, all I ask, as far as your part, that 6 you do whatever it takes to put a stop to what we've heard 7 here today. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. Any questions? 9 (No audible response.) 10 CHAIRMAN PENA: If not, thank you. We will hear 11 from Maria Luz Hollos. 12 13 MS. HOLLOS: Thank you. When I wrote my --CHAIRMAN PENA: Would you identify yourself? 14 MARIA LUZ HOLLOS 15 Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Maria Luz Hollos, and 16 I'm an American citizen and a resident of San Luis, Arizona. 17 Before I had raised my hand -- because I felt I 18 was being alluded to when someone said -- talked about 19 absentee voting. 20 I was one of the persons who voted because, in my 21 work place, we were supposed to have a workshop on that day, 22 and of course I didn't want to miss out on voting because 23

It so happened that the workshop did not take

it's something very special to me.

24

place, and I was fortunate enough to be here.

Since I would not have been teaching that day anyway, if the workshop had taken place, I asked for time off to be present, and I was chosen as the poll -- and that's the reason I was there.

I never felt that that was illegal, and I felt very happy that I had covered bases just in case.

I do know of four cases -- two are my cousins and two are my nephews -- that heard about what might happen if they voted in absentee, or since -- it's not too clear what is legal or not legal here, when you vote, or where you -- if you sleep in San Luis one night and one night here. You don't know -- there is not anything very clear about residency.

They chose not to vote, so I know we lost four votes there. I don't know to who -- or, you know, who they would vote for, but I know that we lost them, and I was sorry because I know what it represents.

And I wrote something here because I guess we all get nervous, but I want to express this.

Although I am an American citizen, I had not lived in the U.S. since I was nine years old until four years ago.

I was excited because I was finally going to -- among other things -- going to be able to vote.

I, as many other Mexicans -- newcomers to the

 state -- am very susceptible to any type of intimidation, be it language, be it attitude, and the first time I voted I was approached by someone. It was just a question, but even if I knew I was legal all around, I became nervous anyway.

Later on, I've considered what other people feel, those who have little knowledge of the English language, who have recently become citizens and who may be voting for the first time, who may not feel competent in some manner.

How easily we might be intimidated. We are hardworking people. We want comfort and we want peace.

If exercising our right to vote gets us into a full-blown county-wide political problem, we may not want to vote again.

I'm sure that the people who have invested many hours in using psychological methods of intimidation must feel very happy of their success. They have undermined the possibilities of making the voting process a simple act of expressing their desires without retaliation.

It will take an immeasurable amount of time and effort to win back people's confidence and participation.

Intimidation has become, according to many parents' comments, a common practice by some people, even in our schools.

I have been told that people want to do something about it, talk to someone out of the county because they

find it difficult to go past certain points with their complaints. I wish I knew how to help, where to go, because my community's future is at stake.

San Luis has become the laughing stock in the county politically and educationally, and that hurts. It really hurts. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Any questions? (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN PENA: If not, then, thank you very much. We'll hear from Joe Harper.

ALEX JOE HARPER

Good afternoon. My name is Joe Harper -- Alex Joe Harper, and I'm a registered voter in the San Luis precinct.

I have lived here since '87 and when I came here,

I was -- I'd been coming here for quite awhile and was aware

of the community and whatnot.

And I do feel that there's a certain amount of intimidation, and I think that the facts show this.

One of the things that I would like -- two things that I would like to -- that I would like to say is right after the election -- after the -- I guess the opposition party decided to take the -- take the other party to court, there was quite a bit of investigation going on by them, and there was what I felt at that time was harassment going on.

There is three or four cases -- I don't remember

exactly how many, but there's some cases recorded at the police department -- had I been aware of the fact that I was going to do this, I would have probably asked if they could have been brought to us.

These cases concern the other party, not anybody with any authority whatsoever to go question somebody about whether or not they were legal voters. They went to their house. They told them explicitly that they could face up to five years in prison if they did not tell the truth and come forth and say that they were not actual people that were voting illegally because they were not residents of this area.

And like I say, you can probably get those complaints, and I don't even remember the names of the people at this time -- I also get nervous when I talk.

The other thing that happened, I happened to be a poll watcher, as _____ was, and I heard Mr. ____ say that he did not make any direct challenges.

There were written challenges signed by Mr.

saying that he had gone to this house and investigated, that those people were not legal.

Luckily, we were able to let most of the people vote at that time, and they were -- they just signed affidavits and went on and voted.





There was a case that -- one of the -- I guess that it hurt me deeply because this young man is a member of the armed forces. He was in the Navy at the time, and he was overseas. He voted absentee, and he was as -- to my knowledge, he was probably the only one that I know -- his vote was thrown out because supposedly this kid was not -- was not a legal voter.

If we can't vote when we're in the service, what else?

There was a lot of beautiful words said in these statements, and I guess you people are aware of most of the people that were either challenging in the courts or challenging the people from their way of speaking.

I feel very strongly that we need to do something about this intimidation. The type of people, talking about migrant people, and the type of people that are citizens in this area, are people that can very easily be intimidated because they are not really used to our ways.

I feel that this is one of the things that we need to -- that we need to address more than anything.

I do not remember the city manager as I was most of the day there, for Mr. ______ being in the building, during this -- the election process that was going on.

I do remember tapping Mr. in the

shoulder and taking him out of there because he was in the voting table .

I guess, if I was to say something about what we need in this area, I think that we need education more than anything. I think that the people here need to be educated in our ways and our methods of doing things.

As you can see, there was people in supposedly high offices in this area that really don't understand the process or the laws of this country. We need that more than anything.

As far as my feelings, I was one of the ones that was challenged in the -- in the last election in the gubernatorial election. I was also one of the ones that was challenged in the prior election. I went to court and I felt that, because -- I'm 50 years old, I have voted ever since I was 21 years old. I had never been challenged in my life until I came to this town, and I've been challenged on the last two elections, not necessarily because I was a Mexican or -- I am a Mexican -- I'm a Mexican descent, but I wasn't challenged necessarily because I was a Mexican. I was challenged because I was on the opposition party, and they were looking for a way or a method of doing things. I don't think that that's right.

I think -- in the first place, I think the challenging -- if you're going to challenge somebody,

especially in writing, I feel that you are stepping on 1 2 somebody's rights and that there should be something very definite in their mind in order to do that. 3 My name not only came out in the Mexican paper; it 4 also came out in the Arizona Republic because I felt that I 5 6 should back somebody. I'm a Republican but -- and 7 is a 8 Democrat. I backed the man because I think he's the better man, and I think it's just the way our system should be. 9 When Mr. -- I guess he didn't 10 know -- 'cause I came in. He said, "I want to challenge 11 your vote." So I turned around and I asked him, "Why are 12 you going to challenge my vote?" He said, "Because you're 13 not a resident of this city." 14 I said, "Well, I guess the only thing I could do 15 is take you home so you can see where I live," and I said, 16 "You know, it kind of bothers me that I'm probably" -- and I 17 didn't know that Mr. 18 Republican -- I'm probably the only Republican here, and 19 "you're going to challenge my vote," but that's beside the 20 point. 21 That -- to me, that isn't -- it isn't as 22

demeaning, I guess -- it is not -- it isn't as going to intimidate me, but I do understand because I know my people, that they will get intimidated. Their background

23

24

1 is different than most of ours, and they will get 2 intimidated to this point. 3 I thank you very much for the opportunity to speak 4 to you. 5 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you. Any questions? 6 (No audible response.) 7 CHAIRMAN PENA: Thank you very much. That is all 8 the names that we have on the list. 9 There have been several names -- persons named by people who have been testifying, and it is the policy of the 10 Civil Rights Commission that personal names are not included 11 in the record. 12 The reporter is instructed to delete all personal 13 names from the record, except ours. The names that have 14 been mentioned by the speakers. 15 (Discussion held off the record.) 16 CHAIRMAN PENA: My own personal opinion is I 17 believer that there is sufficient cause for this committee 18 to make some kind of recommendation as to the requirement to 19 the Civil Rights Commission -- so I will leave it up to you 20 to --21 MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman, would you entertain a 22 motion? 23 CHAIRMAN PENA: I would. 24 MR. ZAZUETA: I'd like to make a motion because I 25

1	feel the same way you do, Mr. Chairman, that there is
2	sufficient cause for the Arizona Advisory Committee of the
3	U.S. Civil Rights Commission to request the U.S. Attorney to
4	monitor the next San Luis elections.
5	MS. WATSON: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN PENA: There is a second. I'd like
7	to would you clarify when the next election in San
8	Luis are you saying the 26th of February?
9	MR. ZAZUETA: To be specific, the 26th of
10	February, the governor's election.
11	CHAIRMAN PENA: And your specific request is just
12	San Luis not other parts of Yuma County?
13	The question before you is and has been
14	seconded. The motion is there any discussion?
15	(No audible response.)
16	CHAIRMAN PENA: All those in favor say "aye"?
17	MEMBERS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN PENA: Opposed, "no"?
19	(No audible response.)
20	CHAIRMAN PENA: The "ayes" have it. It is so
21	ordered. That concludes the business reports today. Thank
22	you very much.
23	Oh, excuse me just one second. I forgot we need
24	to recognize Mr. Montez.
o-	MR. MONTEZ: I just want to make a statement



before the committee adjourns that the investigation is still continuing, as I mentioned to you last night in our meeting, and that we will be back in and out of San Luis and Yuma County and, if there's any citizens that have comments to want to make and we're not interested in winners or losers -- we take comments from everybody -- I have been accused of not talking to everybody. Well, I can't talk to everybody in Arizona. I'm only one old man who tries to get his job done.

The other thing I want to suggest, if I may, to the committee, that -- well, I want to -- just to clear the record, I have tried to establish communication with the chairman of the Republican Party. We have had a difficult time in trying to set up the time, but I will be talking to him as part of the investigation.

The other thing I wanted to say that the sheriff's department was extremely cooperative with our investigation.

We have all of their reports, that I mentioned to you last night that I will be submitting to you as part of our overall report, and I just wanted to make that comment because it's very important that we not let people know that we appreciate what they have done for us in this investigation, and would it be possible in the motion that was made, Mr. Chairman, that we get that letter out as soon as possible to the U.S. Attorney? I guess the U.S.

Attorney's in Phoenix.

Because I would like to -- after the letter goes out, I would like to come there and meet with the U.S. Attorney, if possible.

CHAIRMAN PENA: As far as I understand, the action should be immediate.

MS. GARCIA: And copies are sent to the Justice Department in Washington, D.C.; is that correct, if we send it directly to --

MR. MONTEZ: Well, I just wanted to see what kind of response we get from the U.S. Attorney first and, if not, then we can ask the Attorney General as to what they intend to do about this. They may -- because I think they should be put on notice --

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

MR. MONTEZ: -- that this thing is going on. They may not be aware of it at all, the U.S. Attorney, and we've got to give them an opportunity to respond.

MS. GARCIA: Am I correct in assuming that this transcript, however, without motion, will proceed to the Justice Department?

MR. MONTEZ: They will probably ask us for the transcript, and I will send it.

MS. GARCIA: Because my concern is that the motion only indicates that they monitor future elections, but I

think they should have a history of what's happened.

MR. MONTEZ: Right.

MS. GARCIA: Okay.

MR. MONTEZ: And we will try to get not only
the -- we'll try to get the report prepared for you soon
enough so that -- you know, I don't know if we can do it by
the 26th of February but, if we could get them in to
monitor, then we could ask for a full investigation from
them, on -- you know, which would be an outside
investigation -- it wouldn't have anything to do with people
in the county or anything else.

MR. PAZ: Okay, just my question -- maybe you clarified it for me, but you said to monitor future elections, and I think the motion specifies only to the one on February 26th.

My contention is that the February 26th election will not reveal too much primarily because it's a state election involving two candidates.

I think that the motion ought to be amended to include future elections so that we can really get a strong grasp of the local scene.

So, Mr. Chairman, if you would entertain a motion, I'll make an amendment.

CHAIRMAN PENA: We will consider a motion to reconsider the previous motion.

MS. WATSON: So moved. 1 CHAIRMAN PENA: Motion's made by Ms. Watson. 2 MS. GARCIA: Seconded. 3 CHAIRMAN PENA: And seconded by Ms. Garcia. Those 4 in favor say "aye". 5 6 MEMBERS: Aye. Those opposed. CHAIRMAN PENA: 7 (No audible response.) 8 CHAIRMAN PENA: The "ayes" have it. 9 ordered, the new motion. 10 MR. MONTEZ: There were also some inconsistencies 11 in the testimony that do not -- are not verifiable by the 12 reports of the people who did the investigations. 13 those, and I want to, in the report, make those clear to 14 you, the inconsistencies. 15 Some of the testimony did not match what the 16 investigators said in the sheriff's report, so I want to be 17 able to keep that on the records so that you're aware 18 of -- you know, such as people say when they file complaints 19 and their names are not mentioned in the complaints. I want 20 to be able to make sure that you are aware of that. Thank 21 you, Mr. Chairman. 22 Mr. Chairman, I move that we request MR. PAZ:

that the -- that future elections also be monitored,

specifically local elections, the next local election.

23

24

1	CHAIRMAN PENA: By the Attorney General?
2	MR. PAZ: By the Attorney General.
3	MR. ZAZUETA: Second accept the amendment.
4	CHAIRMAN PENA: You've heard the motion any
5	discussion?
6	(No audible response.)
7	CHAIRMAN PENA: If not, those in favor say "aye".
8	MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN PENA: Those opposed.
10	(No audible response.)
11	CHAIRMAN PENA: The "ayes" have it. So ordered.
12	Now, that concludes this hearing. I want to thank
13	the committee members for being here today and all of those
14	who took the time out to testify before us today. The
15	meeting is adjourned.
16	(Whereupon, at 1:15 p.m., the above-entitled
17	proceedings were concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1

1	CERTIFICATION
2	This is to certify that the attached proceedings before
3	civil Rights Arizona Advisory Committee, in the matter of
4	(Name of Proceedings) Civil Rights Advisory Committee
5	(Date of Proceedings) January 19, 1991
6	(Place of Proceedings) San Luis, Arizona
7	were had as therein appears, and that this is the original
8	transcript thereof for the files of the Department or Commission
9	
10	
11	
12	OFFICIAL REPORTER
13	OFFICIAL REPORTER
14	
15	
16	
17	
8	
19	
20.	
1	
22	
23	
4	
1	

HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION:



I THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMISSION AND I WELCOME YOUR PRESENCE IN OUR CITY; HOPING THAT THE RESULT OF YOUR INQUIRES WILL HAVE A POSITIVE IMPACT IN OUR COMMUNITY.

I AM AWARE OF THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN CALLED TO BE HERE IN SAN LUIS BECAUSE OF THE ALLEGATION THAT THE RIGHTS OF VOTERS HAVE BEEN VIOLATED. I WILL ATTEST TO SUCH A FACT. I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE MAJORITY OF THE ELECTIONS IN SAN LUIS EITHER AS A CANDIDATE AND AS AN OBSERVER. I HAVE PERSONALLY WITNESS THE ABUSES ON THE PART OF INDIVIDUALS THAT TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE IGNORANCE OF NEW COMER'S TO OUR CITY AS WELL AS NEW CITIZENS THAT ARE NOT WELL VERSED IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS OF THE UNITED STATES.

YES LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE RIGHTS OF THE LAW ABIDING REGISTER VOTERS OF SAN LUIS HAVE BEEN VIOLATED BY THE FACT THAT PERSONS, UNKNOWINGLY OR UNAWARE, HAVE BEEN COERCED TO VOTE WITHOUT ESTABLISHING THE NECESSARY REQUIREMENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ELECTION PROCESS.

THE VOTE OF THE LEGITIMATE RESIDENT REGISTER VOTER IS VIOLATED WHEN THE VOTE OF AN NON-RESIDENT ANNULS THE VOTE OF THE RESIDENT.

THE CHARGE THAT ANGLOS HAVE COME HERE TO CHALLENGE OUR VOTERS IS BECAUSE OF THE IRRESPONSIBILITY OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE PROMOTED SYSTEMATICALLY THE PARTICIPATION OF NON-RESIDENT REGISTER VOTERS IN OUR ELECTIONS. ALSO, THERE IS A LACK OF RESPONSIBILITY ON THE PART OF THE INDIVIDUALS IN CHARGE OF ELECTIONS, FOR NOT MAKING SURE THAT THE ELECTIONS ARE TRANSPARENT AND LEGAL. FOR THE PAST 3 ELECTIONS THERE HAVE BEEN CHARGES OF ILLEGAL VOTING. WE HAVE TAKEN LEGAL ACTION AND HAVE PROVEN THAT ILLEGAL VOTING HAS TAKEN PLACE. WE HAVE CHOSEN NOT TO CONFRONT THE VOTERS WHO HAVE PARTICIPATED IN THE ELECTION PROCESS, IN A COURT OF LAW, BECAUSE WE UNDERSTAND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN INSTRUCTED TO DO SO; AND WE CONSIDER THEM VICTIMS INSTEAD OF VIOLATORS. THIS ACTIONS IN THE COURT OF LAW HAVE CARRIED THE MESSAGE THAT THE LAW HAS CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS THAT MUST BE MET BEFORE AN INDIVIDUAL CAN PARTICIPATE IN AN ELECTION.

I CANNOT ATTEST THAT THIS IS A CONFLICT BETWEEN ANGLOS AND HISPANICS BECAUSE I HAVE CHALLENGED VOTERS AT THE POLLS. I HAVE POINTED OUT TO CITY OFFICIALS OF MANY VIOLATIONS OF ELECTION LAW. MY CRIES FOR RELIEF HAVE BEEN 'GNORE. IN THE LAST GENERAL ELECTION, THE ELECTION BOARD WAS APPOINTED BY 'HE COUNTY ALLOWING A MORE IMPARTIAL PARTICIPATION FROM THOSE OF US THAT BELIEVED THAT MANY VOTERS WERE NOT RESIDENTS OF THE PRECINCT.

I WILL ALWAYS BE AN ADVOCATE FOR MORE VOTER PARTICIPATION. I WILL NOT BE AN ADVOCATE FOR THE PARTICIPATION OF NON-RESIDENTS OF THIS COUNTRY. I VILL ACTIVELY PURSUE THE PARTICIPATION ALL VOTERS OF THIS CITY BUT I WILL NOT ALLOW THAT OUR VOTES BE ANNUL BY ILLEGAL VOTERS. THIS COUNTRY HAS GIVEN US THE RIGHT TO VOTE FOR OUR GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS I WILL NOT STAND STILL WHILE LAWBREAKERS PROMOTE ELECTION FRAUD BY COERCING INDIVIDUALS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ELECTION PROCESS WITHOUT THE LEGITIMATE RIGHT TO SO SO.

I WILL CONTINUE TO FIGHT FOR THE LEGITIMATE RIGHT TO HAVE CLEAN ELECTIONS. I DO HOPE THAT THE END RESULT OF YOUR INQUIRES PROVES OUR CASE.

y ~ ~ ~ ~