MEETING

LIBRARY

U.S. COMMISSION ON GIVIL RIGHTS

Friday, November 13, 1998

The Commission convened in the Washington Room of the Washington Plaza Hotel, Ten Thomas Circle, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 8:00 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson
CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson
CARL A. ANDERSON, Commissioner (via phone)
ROBERT P. GEORGE, Commissioner

A. LEON HIGGINBOTHAM, JR., Commissioner (via phone)

RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, Commissioner RUBY G. MOY, Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

DAVID ARONSON

KIMBERLEY ALTON

BARBARA BROOKS

ERIK BROWN

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

STAFF PRESENT (continued):

SICILIA CHINN

LYNN DICKINSON

PAMELA A. DUNSTON

BETTY EDMISTON

EDWARDO MO MADNES, ZJR.

GEORGE HARBISON

CAROL-LEE HURLEY

TRICIA G. JEFFERSON

LISA JONES

JOSEPH MANILLI

STEPHANIE Y. MOORE, General Counsel

PAM MOYE

GAIL PERRY

MARK PENTINO

PETER REILLY

JESSICA ROFF

EILEEN RUDERT

DAWN SWEET

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

ADERSON FRANCOIS

CHARLOTTE PONTICELLI

KRISHNA TOOLSIE

EFFIE TURNBULL

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(8:09 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a motion
4	to approve the agenda for the meeting?
5	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: So moved.
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair?
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'd like to second
9	that, but I'd also like to add a very quick line.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: It relates to
12	yesterday, a representative of the District of
13	Columbia State Advisory Committee. I hope it won't
14	take more than two or three minutes.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, the statement of
16	Lewis Anthony will be added.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'd like to add one
18	item pertaining to the press conference and a
19	recommendation that came out of the press conference
20	we had in California pertaining to the issue of the
21	Asian Americans in politics.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, Asian Americans
23	in politics. Why don't we consider both of those
24	items under the Staff Director's report on the
25	argument that they're on-going items. How's that?

1		VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good.
2		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is it all right, with
3	you, Carl?	
4		COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Good.
5		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, with that,
6	because I ha	ave some others on the Staff Director's
7	report.	
8		Okay, with that could I get a motion to
9	approve the	agenda? I think somebody moved?
10		COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Yes, I moved.
11		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did somebody second
12	it?	
13		COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Carl did.
14		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor, indicate
15	by saying ay	/e.
16		ALL: Aye.
17		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed? So ordered.
18	Could I get	a motion to approve the minutes of the
19	October 16,	1998 meeting?
20		VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
21		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Second?
22		COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Second.
23		CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor indicate
24	by saying ay	re.

ALL: Aye.

ζ

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Announcements are the
2	next item on the agenda. You heard, you got some
3	information from the staff director about the omnibus
4	spending bill under which we are operating and you
5	also noted in the information you were given that we
6	have a report that the Commission has to submit to the
7	Congress some time in January about the GAO audit
8	management recommendations on the financial plans of
9	the Commission and I just wanted to make sure that you
10	were aware of that and the staff is working on that
11	and we expect it to do so in the time that by the
12	time that the deadlines.
13	The other thing is I wanted to say and I
14	guess I don't know if it's an announcement or not that
15	Commissioner Horner and Commissioner George, as I
16	understand it, this would be their last public
17	meeting of the Commission. Am I correct about that?
18	I don't want to say nice things if
9	(Laughter.)
20	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chair, you are
21	definitely correct.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just wanted to on
.4	behalf of the Commission thank and them very much for
5	their service. I have enjoyed the exchanges. We have

1	had agreements and disagreements, but I have always
2	been intellectually stimulated by the experience and
3	I think that we've done many things that have been
4	important for the cause of civil rights and I just
5	want to thank them for their service to this
6	Commission.
7	Does anyone else have any announcements?
. 8	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I want to join
9	in giving them our best wishes. I'm sorry that I
10	didn't feel well enough to make the meeting, to shake
11	hands with them personally, but I have a feeling that
12	our paths will cross, but I wish them the very best.
13	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chairman, let
14	me say
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chairman.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I was just
17	going to join in those sentiments. I've been on the
18	Commission nearly five years so it will be quite a
19	change to not have Robbi and Connie on and I appreciate
20	the exchange of views that we've had during those five
21	years.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Robbi,
23	did you?
24	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I just wanted to say
25	thank you, Madam Chairman, to you and to Vice Chairman

1	and to commissioner argumbotham for those very
2	kind sentiments and I certainly share and I'm
3	confident that Connie shares the sentiments that you
4	expressed. It's been a pleasure engaging in our many
5	exchanges and I do believe that where we've had
6	differences, we've been able to argue them out in a
7	way that is useful to us and I hope to others on the
8	Commission and even helped the public. And where we've
9	been able to agree, I think that we have been able to
10	work together in the cause of civil rights and I would
11	particularly thank you for your cooperation with me in
12	the schools and religion matter and I'm looking
13 .	forward very much to receiving the transcripts of
14	those hearings, preparing my statement and to
15	report to the Commission on those. And also say that
16	I very much particularly enjoyed working with you on
17	the church burnings issue where I think we were able
18	to bring some good to out of the evil that was
19	constituted by those church burnings, particularly
20	insurance area and in some other areas calling
21	attention to some issues that really needed attention
22	in those days.
23	So I thank you very much for your
24	leadership and again to all of my colleagues for their
25	kind sentiments.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. The next
2	item is the staff director's report. The item
3	concerning the D.C. SAC statement. Commissioner
4	Anderson?
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam
6	Chair. I think what I would like to do is propose
7	that we send to the Mayor of the District of Columbia,
8	the Mayor Elect, a letter signed by the Chair, perhaps
9	also signed by the appropriate representative from the
10	District of Columbia State Advisory Committee,
11	referencing the information that was in the statement
12	yesterday that is of the District of Columbia
13	government buildings to all but one, apparently,
14	according to a 1993 survey, were not ADA compliant.
15	Also, the statement referred to the difficulties in
16 .	the zoning and building permit approval for businesses
17	attempting to accompany the complaint.
18	Now the spirit in which I think this
19	letter should be sent is one in which the Mayor Elect,
20	obviously, during this transition period is putting
21	together his priorities, one to be done certainly in
22	the first year. I think it would be appropriate for
23	us to call to his attention based on what we just
24	heard yesterday, a reminder that ADA ought to be a
25	priority in his Administration.

1	we need not tell nim now night a priority.
2	But I think we ought to remind him of this.
3	I also think it might be an occasion to
4	also send him a copy of the report of the Commission
5	regarding Mount Pleasant hearing that we held some
6	time ago. Obviously, there's been some time elapsed,
7	but I have a feeling that not all those
8	recommendations have been implemented and I think it
9	would be well for us to call it to his attention.
10	That's my recommendation.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, if there's
12	no objection, why don't we do that and add to the
13	materials to be sent the SAC. I think the SACs
14	did either a forum or some kind of follow up on the
15	Mount Pleasant recommendation since that time, so that
16	any follow up work that they've done in monitoring
17	that include that in the letter.
18	I'm sure that would be all right with you,
19	Commissioner Anderson?
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I think that would
21	be greater.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, so without
23	objection I will see to it that the staff will write
24	such a letter and I'll send it and send you guys a
25	copy of it. It will be

I	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: May I make a
2	suggestion?
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I think the
5	transcript of the relevant portions of the
6	transcription should be included so that the written
7	statement is complete and it will be clear, the source
8	of discussions at issue.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. It will be
10	done without objection.
11	Okay, the Vice Chair, the APA issue?
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, Madam
13	Chair. We had a press conference in Los Angeles where
14	we distributed the transcript of the testimony that
15	was presented to us pertaining to the issue of
16	involvement of Asian Americans in politics and the
17	discouragement that came about because of the
18	political, the attacks on them pertaining on some
19	of them pertaining to their political contributions.
20	At the press conference, several reporters
21	asked us what our recommendations were after those
22	hearings. And I explained to them this had not been
23	a hearing and, therefore, we had no formal
24	recommendations and however, there were some clear
25	implications, it seems to me, arising from the

1	testimony that we heard. And so I thought it would be
2	a good idea to have the staff maybe take a look at the
3	hearings and see whether or not it's appropriate for
4	us to make some recommendations based on the testimony
5	that we heard. For example, there was some discussion
6	of the transcript whether or not Congress ought to
7	change its own regulations to monitor remarks by their
8	own members that may be demeaning of ethnic or racial
9	groups. We were reminded there are regulations
10	pertaining to what folks can say on the floor of
11	Congress, so I had thought that maybe out of that
12	briefing that we had, there might be some appropriate
13	recommendations. I'm reluctant to make any at this
14	point, but I thought maybe the staff obviously
15	there's no urgency because all this happened some time
16	ago, but I thought it would be appropriate for the
17	staff to take a look at it and see whether they indeed
18	suggest that we make some recommendations to the
19	parties, to the President and to Congress.
20 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And this came out of
21	the press conference that you and Yvonne had?
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So would it be
24	appropriate then to have are you asking that
25	someone on the staff who was there taking note of the

1	questions raised in the press conference, or are you
2	simply saying
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No, no
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: To have somebody here
5	look at the report and see if there's something?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'm suggesting
7	that the staff, probably General Counsel's office look
8	at the testimony that was received and see whether out
9	of that there's some recommendations that we logically
10	can make.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm trying to remember
12	who did the executive summary of the transcript?
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I think that
14	was actually done by well, now, I don't know. I was
15	going to say I thought it was done by our press
16	office, but I'm not sure.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think it was the
18	press office.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We might leave to the
21	staff director who to assign the work to.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I hesitate to assign
24	more work to the General Counsel's office since it
25	already has a large backlog of work, and even new work

1	coming out of the hearings we're having, as well as
2	all kinds of other things that have nothing to do with
3	our projects.
4	So if it was done, the briefing if the
5	summary was done by the press office, it might be
6	possible to have them, along with people in the staff
7	director's office come up with some recommendations
8	that could be reviewed.
9	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Presumably we have
10	a congressional relations office.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or something. The
12	idea is to have the review for recommendations,
13	whoever does it.
14	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, any objection?
16	If there is no objection we can do that?
17	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chairman, just
18	with a note of caution to my friends who will be
19	continuing on the Commission and to new people coming
20 .	on as a procedural matter, I don't know if there's a
21	precedent for formal recommendations coming out of the
22	briefing, but it does strike me as an idea that
23	perhaps has some risks in it, setting the precedent
24	that formal recommendations could come out of the
25	briefing would mean that it would be unnecessary in

1	the future to set up the apparatus of a hearing with
2	all the formalities and constraints that we operate
3	under with respect to on issues that were divisive,
4	but a particular Commissioner thought that he could
5	get a briefing out of, but couldn't convince the
6	Commission to have a hearing on.
7	Also, I would note that in the context of
8	a briefing, a lot of concerns that we have with
9	respect are simply laid aside for the purposes of
10	briefings, the kinds of questions that are asked
11	aren't necessarily those that are asked in a briefing
12	because we're more and particularly in the briefing on
13	Asians in politics, we really were, that was an
14	especially good case in this, much more interested in
15	giving people an opportunity to present concerns that
16	they had than we were in really pressing questions and
17	getting critics to come in and offer alternative views
18	and so forth and so on.
19	There were people who wanted to present
20	concerns that they had to the Commission. They wanted
21	a forum for airing those concerns. They strongly
22	supported us giving that forum and I think it was a
23	good thing that we did. It was a very useful forum.
24	I just wonder here, if the precedent is
25	one that procedurally we might regret and if my

1	concern is warranted at all, that doesn't necessarily
2	say that we should simply drop the Vice Chairman's
3	suggestion here. I wonder what it does mean is that
4	we're sufficiently concerned and sufficiently
5	persuaded that there are some more concrete
6	recommendations to be made that it would warrant us
7	setting up a mini hearing. The briefing would be a
8	very good background for a mini hearing where we could
9	observe the appropriate formalities, bring in the
10	necessary expertise and then have findings which we
1	could defend and then recommendations that are
12	actually based on the fact findings which we could
13	claim as matters of fact because they were subjected
14	to all the rigors of hearing.
15	I don't think we'd have to go in a big
16	deal in three days and all of that to get at the
17	narrow sorts of issues that the vice chairman has in
18	mind as far as recommendations, but I wonder if it
19	might be for the Commission's future the best way to
20	go is to have a bit more formality?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I think that
22	all of those concerns are very appropriate and I would
23	assume that the staff might think those through in
24	recommending that we not issue any recommendations or

that we do.

1	The analogy that came to my mind in
2	bringing this to the Commission's attention was more
3	the issues that sometimes are in the press where
4	there's no disagreement in the Commission that we
5	ought to express an opinion as we have some times
6	really on the moment. If, on the other hand, if the
7	staff going over this sees it as more complicated or
8	one in which there would not be pretty clear unanimity
9	of opinion, then I think they ought to recommend that
10	we not issue making recommendations.
11	I was very much thinking about some of the
12	issues that you've raised and I think they're very
13	approachable.
14	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I guess, Mr.
15	Vice Chairman that the questions that you have in mind are
16	the statements that we issued for example in the
17	controversy about Connie Chung's remarks about
18	Chinese-Asians, then I think that is a very good
19	precedent.
20	Perhaps then the staff could be advised to
21	look to what matters that came out of the briefing
22	that were plainly uncontested and uncontestable
23	matters of fact, so that we would, in effect, have a
24	finding basis and then suggestions that we could make
25	along the lines of those that we made in that previous

episode that I was calling attention to that I think
you had in mind as well that did not necessarily go to
matters of policy, but were an exhortation to take
care in an area where perhaps people haven't taken
sufficient care, as again in that example or in the
another example that comes to mind is and perhaps it's
especially appropriate today since again we're
contemplating the bombing of an Arab country when
we've tried to remind our fellow citizens and the news
media and the political class of the dangers in an
atmosphere where we're bombing or conducting to be
careful where we're not putting in jeopardy the rights
and interests of our own citizens of ethnic
backgrounds shared with our current enemies.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Both of those
examples are apt and I would say in terms of my
reaction what the staff would be looking at, that if
out of that briefing we meet that criteria, i.e.,
uncontroverted facts and unanimity of opinion in terms
of bringing this to the attention of Congress and the
President and the parties, then we should feel free to
do that, if it doesn't meet that criteria, we would
not.
COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

General Counsel had a

1	Comment to make concerning this discussion.
2	recognize you.
3	GENERAL COUNSEL MOORE: Vice Chairman, I
4	just wanted to note that under our current procedure
5	that the unsworn testimony in a briefing setting is
6	inappropriate and an inappropriate basis upon which to
7	make formal findings and recommendations.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I didn't have
9	in mind that we would make formal findings, but if the
10	staff review of the testimony were uncontroverted, for
11	example, of what the witness has said, the DNC did,
12	and what the representative of the DNC said, what the
13	DNC said, why then we can have confidence in the
14	accuracy of that testimony, although we obviously
15	aren't we aren't proceeding on the basis that
16	this is sworn testimony. We're proceeding on the
17	basis that we can have as much confidence in that the
18	testimony that we heard actually would say in a news
19	account that has been brought to our attention.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, I guess
21	basically beg your pardon?
22	GENERAL COUNSEL MOORE: I guess staff was
23	discussing it and if we're talking about something
24	along the lines of a supportive press release based on
25	the fact, then that would be appropriate. But again

1	an official finding would not.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, I think what
4	we're discussing here, if I understand the discussion
5	is making statements of the kind that Commissioner
6	George was referring to.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That the Commission
9	has often made about matters that are going on at a
10	particular time and issuing a statement saying that
11	the Commission believes whatever it believes about
12	whatever it is.
13	I think that that distinction has to be
14	drawn between that and the kinds of findings and
15	recommendations that we make out of hearings which I
16	think you clearly understand.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I agree with
18	that and indeed I made that statement at the press
19	conference.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But the Commission has
21	to have and increasingly given the constraints on
22	resources I'll wait until the two of you finish
23	having your discussion.
24	(Pause.)
25	Is there something else you should say to

ł	us on the record?
2	GENERAL COUNSEL MOORE: It is, but I was
3	talking to the staff director about something that was
4	previously said.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Commission is
6	going to need to find ways to be able to publicly
7	express its views in the absence of hearings because
8	we don't have the resources to have as many hearings
9	as we would like. There are matters where we'd be
10	having hearings every day, but we obviously don't have
11	resources to have hearings every day.
12	Not only do we not have the resources to
13	have the hearings, we don't have the resources to
14	conclude the reports in a timely manner once we do the
15	hearing. And sometimes we forget that once we've done
16	a hearing, that's not the end of a subject, that
17	you've got to have a report.
18	So I think that we've got to find cost
19	effective ways and vehicles for the Commission
20 .	to be able to express publicly its views, Commissioner
21	George, without having to go through the very lengthy

process of hearings which takes a lot of resources.

facts in a formal way, when we have not found them.

So I'm sensitive to that. But I think this is what

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

While at the same time we avoid finding

22

23

24

1	the Vice Chair is saying is consistent with what you
2	are expressing. So in that way I think that we'll
3	follow with that.
4	Okay, the staff has heard the discussion
5	and they know what we're doing.
6	The only thing I wanted to raise in the
7	Staff Director's report, the last time we had a
8	discussion of the Los Angeles report and in going over
9	the transcript and being advised by the staff director
10	that she had received a memo from Commissioner Horner
11	on this subject, I think that there was some
12	confusion. So I want to point out here that I agreed
13	that Commissioner Horner's statement would be
14	disseminated and published, but I did not agree that
15	her statement would be included in the report because
16	she was not present during the discussions, did not
17	take place, part in the discussions and did not vote.
18	And the Commission has not, in the past, and we've
19	researched this very carefully, ever included a
20	statement by anyone in a report who was not present
21	during the discussions, did not vote and did not
22	participate in the approval or disapproval of the
23	report.
24	At the same time her statement was exactly
25	like word for word, actually word for word, the

1	statement of the dissenters which I think would in
2	addition to being unprecedented, be confusing. So
3	consistent with my agreement as a matter of courtesy,
4	her statement will be printed and included as a
5	separate statement apart from the report, but it will
6	not be included in the report, because that's just
7	illogical, unprecedented and would be confusing to the
8	public.
9	So I just wanted to make that clear on the
10	record because I thought there was some confusion
11	about it.
12	Does anybody else have anything on the
13	staff director's report?
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I just have a
15	question about that. The issue turns on whether the
16	Commissioner votes on a report?
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Actually, voted,
18	participated. You can be present and not vote or you
19	can participate and not vote, but if you had
20	absolutely no participation, you weren't present, you
21	didn't vote, you didn't participate in the discussion,
22	the Commission has never in anything we could find
23	ever included what would appear to be the statement of
24	someone who was at the meeting or voted if the public
25	didn't know any better in a report, in the actual

1	report.	That's	never	happened	before.

- 2 And if we did that, it would be
- 3 unprecedented.
- 4 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay, so in
- order to have a comment, you have to either be at the
- 6 meeting or vote?
- 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, if it's a poll,
- 8 if you're at the meeting, then that's fine. You don't
- 9 have to vote. If it's done by a poll vote, then you
- 10 could participate, even if you didn't vote, but we
- 11 noted as having participated. But if you had
- 12 absolutely no participation, you weren't present at
- 13 the meeting, you didn't -- weren't part of the
- 14 discussions, you can't be called a dissenter. We
- 15 discussed that last time because you didn't vote so
- 16 you didn't dissent.
- In the past, people who were not at a
- 18 meeting or who didn't participate simply just -- there
- 19 was no reference at all to them in the report at all,
- in any of the reports. Their names, of course, are
- 21 listed on the front with all the Commissioners and
- 22 being Commissioners of the U.S. Commission on Civil
- 23 Rights, but there was no special reference or note if
- there were a dissent and they disagreed with the
- 25 report, they were not listed as a dissenter because

- they weren't there. They weren't listed as not having
- 2 been there, but having dissented. They just got no --
- 3 it was as if they weren't there, which was true. So
- 4 if we did that this time that would be unprecedented.
- 5 It would be the first time that ever happened in the
- 6 history of the Commission and would be misleading to
- 7 the public.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chairman?
- 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Has Commissioner
- 11 Horner been notified of your decision or --
- 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, my view is that
- I was clear about that last time. I went over the
- 14 transcript. The confusion was she sent a note to the
- 15 Staff Director and the Staff Director has not
- 16 responded, but I am saying this here today so that I
- 17 can see what everybody says and then the Staff
- 18 Director will respond to her. But I didn't want the
- 19 Staff Director to respond to her because there was some
- 20 confusion, apparently. And so I wanted to make that
- 21 clear and so I did go over the transcript again and I
- 22 know that nothing I said would indicate that I said it
- 23 would be included as part of the report. I just said
- 24 that I would, as a matter of courtesy to her, see to
- 25 it that her statement got disseminated. And I will

1	see to that.
2	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Is that done through
3	the press offices of
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'll figure out how to
5	do it. Maybe we'll make a statement. It can be the
6	statement of Commissioner Horner on the report issued
7	by the Commission on whatever the report was. I've
8	forgotten what it was.
9	We've issued statements by people on other
10	subjects. This would be unprecedented too, but it
11	seems to me it's less confusing than having it be in
12	the report as if she were there when she was not.
13	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: If it's not in the
14	report, how does it go out? I just don't see how
15	is it a press release?
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Anybody who looked up
17	the report in the Commission's list of reports would
18	see that she made a statement on the Los Angeles
19	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Oh, okay, so there
20	would be some notation
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh yes. It would
22	become an official publication of the Commission.
23	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Okay.

have her own separate little publication.

24

25

She'd

1	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I suppose the
2	analogy there would be although the circumstances
3	were different, the statements that Commissioner Horner and I
4	are going to make on the transcript of the Schools and
5	Religion report. This is our separate statement.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner?
7	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Doesn't her
8	statement clarify that possible confusion you point to
9	by pointing out at the beginning of her statement that
10	she wasn't present and didn't vote?
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't remember. I
12	don't remember. I actually think it was a bad ruling
13	on my part to even rule that we would issue her
14	statement separately, but since I did it, I can't take
15	that back. But I do think we need to discuss this at
16	some point. I think it was unprecedented. It does
17	not establish a precedent and I hope the issue doesn't
18	come up again because I have just always assumed that
19	if the Commissioners weren't there, this had never
20	happened before, Commissioners themselves assumed that
21	if they weren't there, unless they made some special
22	arrangement for themselves with the agreement of the
23	Commissioners like you've done on Schools and
24	Religion, that if you weren't there, you weren't
25	there.

1	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chairman, just
2	a bit of advice to my fellow Commissioners who are
3	staying on the Commission and those to join in the
4	future, it might be useful to avoid conflict over
5	these matters in the future to have at the New Year
6	with the new Commissioners a general discussion right
7	off the bat of the issue of when Commissioners' names
8	can and cannot be, will and will not be attached to
9	statements of the Commission. This has come up in the
10	past, not with reports, but with press releases from
11	the Commission where Commissioners' names are listed
12	and sometimes Commissioners objected to having their
13	names listed and so forth. So I think if it were made
14	clear to everybody, there was just agreement as to
15	when not just with respect to reports but in general,
16	when a Commissioner's name can and can't be listed, as
17	to what you do to not have your name listed and what you
18	have to do if you want your name to be listed, then it
19	will be clear and future conflicts over this would be
20	avoided. Maybe just set aside a half an hour at a
21	Commission meeting fairly early on on that precise
22	issue.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think more than that
24	needs to be done. I think what we need to do is
25	some of these matters are in the manual that the

2	and I sometimes think that we all need to read it more			
3	carefully. But maybe we ought to at a meeting just go			
4	over some of these items early on.			
5	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: That's my thought.			
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that people know			
7	procedurally and then we don't have to fight about it			
8	over some matter that is already settled and then have			
9	suspicion about people's motives when really it is			
10	that people are simply trying to do whatever we're			
11	supposed to do.			
12	I think that's good advice.			
13	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I think it's one of			
14	those areas where it matters less what the rule is in			
15	the end than that the rule is clear to everybody.			
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.			
17	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: There are probably			
18	a lot of different ways to do it. They have			
19	strengths, pluses and minuses, but you need a bright			
20	line rather than a blurry one.			
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'll take your advice			
22	and I think that ought to be done early on and some			
23	time devoted to it.			
24	Okay, we have if there's nothing else			
25	on the Staff Director's			

Commissioners get. I haven't read it in ages, myself,

1	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair?			
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.			
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I have just two or			
4	three quick questions of the Staff Director regarding			
5	the report.			
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, please go ahead.			
7	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: The first one is			
8	about the omnibus bill provisions requiring two			
9	reports that need to be submitted by the end of			
10	January 1999. In the Staff Director's agenda will we			
11	discuss those reports at the January 22nd Commission			
12	meeting or do you think we would begin to draft			
13	earlier than that?			
14	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Staff is working on			
15	it, so we will probably run the first draft through.			
16	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay, thank you.			
17	Regarding the draft regulation that was submitted to			
18.	OMB for the public review and OMB approval, are we			
19	going to be informed as to the process that continues			
20	as to OMB's comments if there are any and the tenor of			
21	the public comment during the comment period?			
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes, Commissioner			
23	Anderson. Right now it is in OMB and it's going			
24	through their processes right now.			
25	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: So if we get			

1	comments back from them we'll be informed of that?			
2	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.			
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay, then I guess			
4	my final question is I noticed that the Senate in the			
5	Conference Committee on the spending bill gave them			
6	\$160,000 over the House passed bill. Any indication			
7	as to why the Senate has \$160,000 increase over the			
8	House passed bill?			
9	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: It was my			
10	understanding that it went to COLAs, because we've			
11	been flat lined in the past years.			
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: So you think this			
13	is for the COLA increases?			
14	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.			
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay. All right,			
16	thanks so much.			
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: You're welcome.			
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only other thing			
19	is calendar right quick. The January meeting, we may			
20	need to change the date for the January meeting. Judge			
21	Higginbotham, do you know what dates you will be			
22	available in January? When you won't be available?			
23	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I will not be			
24	available the first and the fourth week of January I			
25	believe.			

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The first and the		
2	fourth week?		
3	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: In January.		
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So is the 22nd in the		
5	fourth week? Does anybody know? I don't have a		
6	calendar.		
7	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I believe		
8	that's the third week.		
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: The third week		
10	will be bad for me.		
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Will be bad for you,		
12	Commissioner?		
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I could be		
t4	available on the		
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're supposed to have		
16	a meeting on the 22nd		
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I could be		
18	available on the 29th or I could be available on the		
19	8th.		
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The 29th or the 8th.		
21	8th of January you mean?		
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.		
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are both of those bad		
24	for you, Commissioner Horner, I mean Commissioner		
25	Anderson? I know you said the end of the month was,		

1	what about the 8th?			
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Can I ask you are			
3	we looking at dates other than Fridays?			
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Isn't the 8th I			
5	don't have a calendar. Is the 8th a Friday?			
6	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Yes.			
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The 8th is Friday.			
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: The 29th would be			
9	bad for me but any other Friday would be acceptable.			
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How about the 8th?			
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: 8th would be fine.			
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How about you,			
13	Commissioner Redenbaugh?			
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I don't have my			
15	calendar. I didn't anticipate this. I'm sorry.			
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I know you didn't			
17	anticipate this. I didn't either until this morning.			
18	Can we have somebody check for you?			
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Maybe the staff			
20	can call this Monday.			
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't we try doing			
22	this. Why don't we try saying that we might change			
23	the meeting to the 8th contingent upon whether			
24	Commissioner Redenbaugh and Commissioner Lee can meet			
25	at that time and have the staff check with them. And			

1	we'll get back to you some time next week with this.		
2	But the 8th is a possibility. All right? Otherwise,		
3	just hold the date that you have now which is the		
4	24th. Is that right?		
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: 22nd.		
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 22nd. Okay. All		
7	right, State Advisory Appointments for Michigan.		
8	Could I get a motion?		
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.		
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Second? Anybody want		
11	to second? State Advisory Committee Appointments for		
12	Michigan. No Michigan State Advisory Committee		
13	Appointments?		
14	Are people unready to approve the State		
15	Advisory Committee Appointments for the State of		
16	Michigan?		
17	Should we then what would we do if I		
18	continue this until next month, staff? Will it make		
19	any difference? Okay, staff says no. We'll put it on		
20	the agenda again for next month.		
21	Any other agenda items, future agenda		
22	items? If not, then the meeting can I get a motion		
23	to adjourn?		

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Second?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.

24

1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH:	Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay	, without
3	objection, the meeting is adjourned.	We will now go
4	to the hearing.	
5	(Whereupon, at 8:45 a.m.	, the meeting was
6	concluded.)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	•	
19.		<u>.</u>
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	•	

LICELATE FOR PORTER AND 21

LIBRARY H.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS