## ARIZONA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

Education Issues in Tucson

May 19, 2000
Amphitheater High School
Bill Murphey Performing Arts Center
125 West Yavapai Road
Tucson, Arizona

## **ORIGINAL**

## GARCIA COURT REPORTING

2343 East Broadway, Suite 110 Tucson, Arizona 85719 (520) 884-4365 Fax (520) 624-9336



		2
	1	APPEARANCES:
$\bigcirc$	2	
	3	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
	4	June Webb-Vignery
	5	Paul Gattone
	6	Philip Montez
	7	Angela Julien
	8	Ramon Paz
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
~	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	·
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
		<del></del>

	1		
)	2	PRESENTERS	<u>PAGE</u>
	3	Jerry D'Paco	<u>5</u>
	4	David Bard	8
	5	Alejandra Sotomayor	13
	6	Sal Gabaldon	22
	7	Mary Carol Combs	26
	8	Terry Martinez	53
	9	Hank Oyama	61
	10	Jeffrey Orrell	67
	11	Jim Parks	77
	12	Raul Grijalva	84
	13	Lorraine Lee	101
	14	Laura Penny	124
	15	Ana Doane	143
	16	Dolores Baker	160
	17	Jennifer Herrera	164
	18	Cora Esquibel	168
	19	Judith Bernal	173
	20	Kathy Amanti	178
	21	Johann Sproule	183
	22		
	23		
	24		
)	25		

## PROCEEDINGS

1

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Good afternoon. b'T like to apologize for the delay. We were confirming that we had a quorum so that we could conduct this meeting, and we do.

So I would like to open, after a temporary adjournment, the Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Education Issues in Tucson forum, and I'd like to welcome everyone who is here this afternoon.

And my name is June Webb-Vignery, and I am chairperson of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. And with us today we have Mr. Ramon Paz, who is a member of the Commission; as well as Miss Angie Julien, who is also a member; and Mr. Phil Montez, who is the District Director of the Commission.

And at this time I understand that there were some people who came yesterday to give testimony, and I would like to open an open forum for five-minute presentations from those persons who were denied that opportunity yesterday.

So is there someone in the audience who happen --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

1 MS. JULIEN: Who want to speak about 2 law enforcement. 3 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: This is on the 4 police community relations -- could we please have 5 you come forward and take a seat. 6 These two gentlemen, I MS. JULIEN: 7 believe. 8 MR. D'PACO: One of the issues I wanted 9 to discuss, for the last couple of years I live in 10 the area, and I've contacted the Chief of Police and 11 traffic safety, and I've talked with a number of 12 people, first of all, about the lack of interest in 13 public safety in general. 14 Apparently, we are not being given 15 proper protection in the transportation, the 16 pedestrian issues here. And, at any rate, we've 17 got -- there's traffic safety problems, public safety 18 issues that I thought were very important. 19 In the last six months we've had the 20 SWAT squad employed two or three times here in public 21 They had a shootout down here at the gas 22 station, the SWAT squad apprehended someone. The 23 SWAT squads at Glenn and Oracle, they shot and killed 24 somebody about six months ago.

25

Gas stations have got thousands of

gallons of gasoline. These things can explode and level three or four square miles.

The plan of the SWAT squad, in general — I don't know who tells the SWAT squad when they are going to come in, but they came in on two different occasions and deployed their guns and things in public areas. I don't know what policy is, but I know they shot at two, three gas stations in the last six months.

At any rate, public safety issues in general, Miranda wouldn't take responsibility for it. I responded to the — he wouldn't take responsibility for the deployment or the order to execute the warrant or arrest when they pulled in, when they came with the SWAT squad. It's not his decision. I don't know, if he's Chief of Police, why he doesn't have a decision when are you going to start shooting, when are you not going to start shooting in public in general.

We have a huge traffic safety problem. We have six or eight of the hottest running red light statistics here in this one area. And I've had two accidents here so far. I live in this area, I can't drive in this area. I can't walk in this area with the number of pedestrian-related accidents.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think that the Police Department is not listening or taking interest in the public safety factor, about the daily goings-on about walking, driving and being responsive to the laws, like drive 35 miles an hour, drive 40 miles hour, don't run red lights.

In general, I went to a profile meeting not too long ago held at the church here. They have had studies for two, three years at this church. don't know what it is, a church. There was some -there was input about police profiling, and Miranda had all his staff there. He's responsive.

In general, they don't have the software to be able to give us numbers about their I'm Mexican, I know they have got profiling. They have been profiling in all police profiling. departments for the last 10 or 15 years. The Supreme Court just ruled on that, that they are not necessarily supposed to be able to racially profile people, but it's been done by police here in Tucson that I know for 25 years at least.

So they go back to, if they don't have the software how can they compile their statistics. And why are they trying to tell us they are not profiling when they are? And I think there's a lot

25

1 of lack of information they are not giving us.

The other day — if they don't profile — when they had that hate crime committed at La Cima and the lady shot herself in the arm, they had a huge all—out alert about there is a Hispanic skinhead out there somewhere that shot a school teacher. I was scared stiff to even be running around this area. Fortunately I've got long hair, I'm not a skinhead, I wouldn't be involved, but that got out in the news real quick.

So this means at some time or after Tucson police will release some information. They won't release information on profiling, they won't even acknowledge that it exists.

So there was a couple things I would like to come down for, I just wanted to see, but those are only a couple of things that I think I wanted to at least address.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Thank you very much. And you have.

Mr. Bard.

MR. BARD: First of all, I'd like to say I was born and raised in Chicago, Illinois, so I know what racial profiling is. Since I've been in Arizona the last 11 years I kind of feel like most

Hispanics or Latinos and Blacks are targeted by the police for outrageous charges that usually stick in a court of law.

To give you an example, I was involved in DUI stuff out in Casa Grande, and as a veteran I had some service-connected things that I had to take Dilantin for, and after they found that out they know I couldn't drink, they dropped the charges. Because the cop was fired and some other guy was fired for fixing or tampering with cases. I think my case was one of the cases they used to catch him. Still my license got suspended.

Then later on here in Tucson I got charged with what you call a California stop. Now, being born and raised in the City of Chicago you learn real quick to respect police. And I can't understand how a system thinks that a black male 44 is going to see a police officer on a motorcycle and ride straight through a stop sign. Either I'm a fool or damn fool or God's fool, one of the three.

But when you seem to get angry or emotional about these things, they get off the merit and facts. What makes you angry to your attitude — in other words, you're supposed to sit up there like a Cumbaya. Those days are gone with the wind. I

hate to tell them that, but it is.

Then in regards to the other two charges that I have received while I'm in the State of Arizona, is like I had more case charges against me here because of the way I talk, the way I act more so than the merit of the case and without prejudice. I'll give you my case right now, you can read it, investigate it yourself, and I won't say anything.

I've been to Mrs. Liana Perez's office, I've been to Juanita Pendleton's office, I've been calling Washington, DC, who keeps referring me back here. So finally, using Chicago connections, there are two lawyers right now sitting at 180 North LaSalle Street, I told them I would try once again with this hearing stuff, seeing it in the newspaper, to try to draw someone's attention to it before they do what they are about to do.

I don't want my face pasted all over this country's newspaper. I don't want my public background and all that information, and I don't want to become a news media either. And I think that's what they are trying to do.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you very much.

And I want to ask if there are any

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1 questions from the Commissioners? 2 MS. JULIEN: We spoke. 3 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yeah, and we spoke, 4 too. 5 I do have one question to clarify. 6 MR. BARD: Yes, ma'am. 7 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: When was it that you 8 talked with Ms. Perez? 9 MR. BARD: I talked with Liana Perez 10 this morning. 11 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: This morning? 12 Yes. I was referred to her MR. BARD: 13 as a last option by an Urban League member in the 14 City of Chicago. 15 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: What was her reply? 16 MR. BARD: She listened to everything I 17 said very polite and courteous. As far as filing the 18 actual charge itself that I wanted to go forward on, 19 no actions whatsoever. So maybe that's why we have 20 so low statistics. 21 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 22 At this time I would like to open the 23 hearing on education, and I would like to turn this 24 over to Miss Angie Julien, who will go through the 25 itinerary this afternoon.

1 Hello. MS. JULIEN: Thank you very 2 much for your patience. 3 Today those of you here know we're 4 going to talk about the state of education for 5 minority youth in Southern Arizona. I did ask 6 speakers to look at one of four or more topics: 7 Bilingual education; dropout statistics of minority 8 students; statistics regarding movement of 9 minorities; students in the post secondary education; 10 and scores on standardized tests. So as speakers 11 speak, those will be the main topics of the day. 12 We're going to begin the day with some 13 members of the bilingual education department from 14 Tucson Unified School District. And in the agenda I 15 have Alejandra Sotomayor. 16 Alejandra, you have other guests with 17 you? 18 MS. SOTOMAYOR: Yes, I do. 19 MS. JULIEN: If you all would like to 20 come up at once, that would be wonderful. Thank you. 21 Ask you to make your presentation and 22 then Doctor Webb-Vignery will have beginning 23 questions with you, then we will follow up from 24 there. 25 Whenever you are ready.

MS. SOTOMAYOR: Good afternoon. My
name is Alejandra Sotomayor. I'm from Tucson,
Arizona. I reside at 8410 East Albion Place, 85715.
I come with hopes of dispelling some of

I come with hopes of dispelling some of the misinformation and rumors that abound about bilingual education in the State of Arizona.

I was born in Cananea, Sonora, small town rich in lore and practice, because of international interactions Cananea has had a bilingual instructional program for over 70 years.

My parent were products of this environment.

As an immigrant to this country they were quiet astonished when they placed their children in the U.S. schools. To their dismay they encountered the notorious 1C program virtually identical to the current antibilingual proposed initiative for Arizona.

Soon letters from the school arrived with statements such as, the use of Spánish hurts your children and such. My parents supplanted the faulty program and damaging philosophy by continuing to teach us to read in Spanish and English, fostering in us the ability to learn academic English.

On the second day of school at five years old I recall my sisters, both at each side,

warning me not to speak Spanish or I would have my mouth washed out with soap, sent to the office or be paddled, a form of corporal punishment that involved being swatted with a wooden board. This later did occur.

It was a silent world without meaning for us most of us in 1C, my public education classroom. This class was filled with children of various ages and who had been in the 1C program for more than two years.

You see, for over 50 years Tucson
Unified School District and other districts in the
area placed language minority children in this
program called simply 1C, a clone of California's
Proposition 227. It produced disastrous results.
Hispanics and Native Americans experienced greater
than 60 percent dropout rate throughout the 50 years
of implementation. It was this program that prompted
Adalberto Guerrero, Hank Oyama, and Maria Urquides to
pioneer the needed channels to support children
developing proficiency in English. Through their
laborious efforts these pioneers halted the
educational practices that excluded most minority
children from the educational process. Their work
ushered a new era of effective pedagogy, bilingual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

education, resulting in higher success rates for these children.

Years later, after noting the aftermath of most of my classmates not graduating from school, then as a young adult working with children in a language supportive environment, I realized the devastation that had been imposed capriciously on my classmates and thousands of children before me.

Since 1979 I've been an active proponent of bilingual education. In my professional life as a middle school bilingual science teacher, counselor and currently a curriculum specialist, thousands of limited English students have touched my life. Former students like Pedro Bray, a current physics students; engineering student Manuel Zepeda; Elizabeth Rodriguez; now a colleague, Mary Ponds, a social worker; Ruben Carranza, the highest ranking Hispanic in Proctor and Gamble; Senator Ramon Valdez and countless others return to Wakefield Middle School to tell of the positive impact a supportive environment, such as my bilingual education science classroom, has had in their lives.

The results are evident. The average dropout rate in the state is near 11 percent; for Hispanics, 17 percent; and Native Americans,

24

25

19 percent. T.U.S.D. services the largest group of LEPs with the most comprehensive bilingual education program in the state. The dropout rate is about eight percent for Hispanics and less than seven percent for Native Americans, far from the greater than 60 percent dropout rate documented under the 1C program where students languished in the Prop 227 clone, the 1C program. It didn't work then and it doesn't work now.

Most recently a group calling
themselves English for the Children-Arizona funded by
antibilingual education proponent and author of
California's Proposition 227, Ron Unz, is threatening
parents' educational rights advocating to resurrect
the infamous 1C. Today in Arizona parents have a
choice. Parents have options, choices for their
children between bilingual education, ESL only, IEP
programs and English immersion. The Unz Initiative
for Arizona would remove all parental choice.

Unlike the California Unz Initiative, the proposed initiative for Arizona is much more vindictive, punishing parents that would choose to opt for a waiver requesting support for their children developing proficiency in English. I submit to you a copy of the proposed initiative for Arizona

in the packets that I have handed out to you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This malicious document will reverse the educational gains made by language minority students in Arizona. It describes a program allowing only those limited English proficient students who would have been identified as special education to The most participate in bilingual education. offensive clause is found in Section 15-743C, Number 3, which states: Teachers and local school districts may reject waiver requests without explanation or legal consequences. This is further evidence of the malicious intent of this proposal towards limited English speakers, a most vulnerable group in our society.

A very sophisticated misinformation media campaign by antibilingual education proponents overpowers the success of our programs and obscures the frailty of Proposition 227. It's evident even in California. Prop 227 is unable to deliver what it claimed it could do, produce English competent LEPs in one year.

The most flagrant example of this is

Oceanside Unified School District, heralded by

antibilingual education supporters as the model for

Prop 227. Of approximately 3,000 limited English

proficient students, only six students — yes, that's correct, six students out of 3,000 were redesignated as English proficient in the second year of implementation in California. And, in fact,

Oceanside showed a gain of three percentile points in reading for third grade, far below the average gain for California. This is lower than most California schools still intructing using the proven most effective successful method of English instruction for LEPs, bilingual education. At this rate, reclassification will occur in five to seven years. Prop 227 was unable to deliver to the voter the promise of English proficient students in one year.

In comparison, Wakefield Middle School, with 98 percent minority students, servicing students from Tucson and South Tucson, was able to reclassify as English proficient 20 students out of 300. There were 20 reclassified in just one year. These students have attained the needed criteria to be recognized as children performing within the norm in English reading as measured by the Stanford 9 test.

In Arizona, according to the Arizona

Department of Education English Acquisitions

Services, students in bilingual programs are

outperforming students in English only instruction,

even under sheltered programs such as ESL only programs. Bilingual education students outperform LEPs and ESL programs on the Stanford 9 reading test by 74 percent in grades 8 through 12.

I offer to you a copy of the Arizona

Language Education Council Newsletter located in your packet, the title is ALEC Voices, for you to verify this information. You will find this information on page four through six.

The deliberate misinformation campaign continues attempting to tickle the voters' ears with faulty and malicious rhetoric. Here is another myth you will hear: Bilingual education supporters line their purses.

T.U.S.D. has the largest concentration of bilingual educators in this state, and there is no differential pay between bilingual and nonendorsed teachers. But did you know the proposed initiative Section 15-752 states, as much as possible current per capita supplemental funding for English learners shall be maintained? This means it doesn't save us a dime.

The truth is, down the road, under the proposed law it will probably cost us more. It will cost the states hundreds of thousands of dollars

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

trying to redevelop a work force that can't compete in a global economy rather than embrace children who enter our schools as speakers of other languages. Like the old adage, a ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. Yet we fail to follow their advice when it comes to funding education.

Nationally, 224 million dollars are spent on bilingual education. This sounds like so much money. When compared to special education costs of over five billion dollars -- yes, billion with a B -- what we spend nationally for language minority children is a pittance. As a whole, according to recent reports on school funding, Arizona ranks among the bottom nationally.

Relating this specifically to language minority students, in a recent Arizona court ruling in the Flores case the presiding judge found underfunding for students developing proficiency in English. Current funding in Arizona does not allocate such resources for adequately educating children who enter school dominant in languages other than English.

Native American limited English proficient students will also be affected by this proposed initiative. The effects of this proposal on

the endangered languages of the Native American communities of Arizona will be irreversible. Our nation owes a great debt to the Native Americans of 3 The Navajo Code Talkers provided the ultimate example of other languages being vital resources for the United States. The proposed 6 initiative would outlaw the use of their native 7 8 language in their public schools. It seems that we

should not repay good with evil.

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bilingual education is not about keeping cultural traditions or creating separatism. It's about the best way to teach English. The Unz Initiative is not about helping children or offering choice, it's about removing parental choices, including the choice for bilingual education dual programs. It's about further endangering Native American languages, it's about bad educational policy, and it's about political ambitions riding on the backs of vulnerable children.

Should this proposed initiative pass in Arizona it would be more stringent than in California. Yes, majority rules, but not at minorities' rights to choose the best programs for their children.

This is not a new practice in the

1 history of educating language minority children in 2 It would be a great cost for these 3 We must not reject proven, sound children. educational practices for a notorious program 5 documented with a 50-year history of failure. 6 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 7 going to have testimony from --MS. SOTOMAYOR: Yes. We'll begin with 8 Salvador Gabaldon. 10 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: We do have a time 11 limit. 12 MS. JULIEN: Because I knew there were 13 three of them, I gave them each 20 minutes. 14 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. 15 MR. GABALDON: Good afternoon. My name 16 is Salvador Gabaldon. I live at 800 Pomegranate 17 Circle West in Tucson, Arizona, 85737, in the 18 Amphitheater School District. 19 I prepared a brief report on the 20 potential that the Unz Initiative has in affecting 21 English as a second language instruction. I won't 22 read it to you word for word, but I do want to touch 23 on some of those points that I make in the report. 24 It is thought that the Unz Initiative 25 is or would have -- would affect only bilingual

2

3

4

6 7

5

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education. In reality, the Unz Initiative would have a devastating effect on English as a second language.

The main point that the Unz Initiative makes is that students will have one year of instruction in an English immersion program before they are mainstreamed into a regular class. Nowhere in the history of educational research has anyone ever been able to show that students who do not speak English can come to this country and in one year acquire sufficient speaking, reading and writing skills, literacy in their new language to be able to compete with native speakers of English. were the case, it would be a revolutionary revelation that somehow we would be doing something wrong with our regular students. If someone could come from outside the country, say a ninth grader could come to this country, within one year match the academic proficiency in reading and writing that our students have been developing since they began school at five years of age, obviously we are doing something wrong.

The truth is that literacy is a slow and time consuming process. Who are the experts who know what it takes to teach speakers of other languages to become literate and fluent in English? Well, common sense would indicate to us that those

experts would be English teachers. And, in fact, there are a special group of English teachers known as English as a Second Language teachers.

They organized themselves since 1959, they have been doing research and they have been practicing the teaching of English to students that do not speak English from countries throughout the world. In fact, they have been so successful that the methods developed by ESL teachers are now commonly employed in many, many foreign countries to teach students the native language of those countries. The success that English as a Second Language teachers have had throughout the world has helped to make our language, the English language, the most international of all languages in the world.

When Ron Unz decided that immersion would be the way to go, he did not consult the National Council of Teachers of English, he did not consult the ESL teachers, he did not consult the very experts that we recognize, the people that every day for generations have been teaching English to foreign students. He decided on his own — out of his own head he decided that one year would be needed. There was no research and he does not quote — bother to quote any research that says it only takes a year.

Common sense tells us that it takes more than that.

1 ′

Now, the reason that Ron Unz gets away with some of those statements that he makes and the reason that some people in the State of Arizona may be convinced about his argument, he confuses learning to speak a language, a minimal oral competency in the language, with being literate in the language. Those are two very different things.

And, yes, it's true, when I came to this country I was nine years old, I didn't speak a word of English, and within six months or so I was playing football with the friends in the street, I knew what it meant to go out for a pass, I knew what it meant to play hooky from school, I learned some bad words real quick. Those things you can pick up very fast. That's called social English, and that's what most of the public has understood about learning English, that English can be picked up by foreigners very quickly.

People that point to experiences in their own families, people that have grandparents who were immigrants, you know, they will often say, my grandparents didn't have any special program, they learned English. Indeed they did. Just as Mexican American students can learn English very quickly.

But that's a social English. That's a very different 1 2 thing. 3 Most immigrant students, history has 4 shown, did not complete high school. Most immigrant 5 students, whether they were Italian American or 6 Polish American or Russian American students, did not 7 go on to college. This is a new generation. 8 college education has become a fundamental part of 9 any education for any student. And what ESL and 10 bilingual education attempt is attempt to provide a 11 level of academic English for all students to enable 12 them at some point to go on to college. Yes, that 13 takes more than a year. 14 There's some additional information 15 that I included in the packet. I won't take too much 16 more time. But thank you for your time. 17 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 18 MS. COMBS: Good afternoon. My name is 19 Mary Carol Combs. I lived in Tucson for ten years, 20 and currently reside at 2202 West Golden Hills Road. 21 MR. PAZ: I just remembered --22 MS. COMBS: Who I was. 23 MR. PAZ: I just remembered. 24 MS. COMBS: I was still a graduate 25 student when I interviewed Ramon Paz as part of my

dissertation research. Now he's remembering.

I would like to thank you today for allowing me to participate in this hearing. Today I would like to bring to our discussion two perspectives about the proposed English for the Children Initiative. These two perspectives are, number one, academic, professional; and, number two, personal.

First, the academic professional
perspective. I work at the University of Arizona in
the Bureau of Applied Research and Anthropology and
the Department of Language, Reading and Culture in
the College of Education. My academic focus areas
are language planning and bilingual education policy
and curriculum development. I teach graduate and
undergraduate courses in bilingual education, history
and law, curriculum development and multicultural
education.

Consequently, I'm familiar with the research in second language acquisition as well as curricular instructional innovations in the fields of second language learning and teaching. At the present time at the Bureau of Applied Research and Anthropology I'm also conducting a research study on the phenomenon of the 1C program, alluded to earlier

by Alejandra Sotomayor, to determine what it was, where it was administered, who was placed in it, and why and whether there were any short or long-term effects on its graduates.

As an academic I am concerned about the antibilingual initiative sponsored by the organization known as English for the Children. This overtly punitive initiative seeks to impose on all children acquiring English a one-size-fits-all approach, an approach which has little support in the research literature.

There is little credible evidence, in spite of what initiative supporters might claim, that students can acquire academic competency in English in only one year. On the contrary, research studies, including multiyear longitudinal studies, indicate that it takes children in bilingual educational programs anywhere from four to seven years to acquire the English academic skills necessary for future success in all English classrooms. In comparison, students in ESL classrooms with no bilingual assistance are taking anywhere from four to ten years to achieve the same level of academic competency.

This proposed initiative decrees, I'm quoting here... that all children in Arizona public

schools shall be taught English by being taught in English, and all children shall be placed in English language classrooms, unquote.

This statement imposes a blanket policy for all children in the state and robs parents of the choice they currently have under state law to determine the kind of educational program they desire for their own children. The initiative would also permit schools to place children — and, again, I'm quoting... in the same classroom with English learners of different ages but whose degree of English proficiency is similar, unquote.

Now, both documented and anecdotal information that we have collected to date about the 1C program in place in Tucson Unified from 1919 to approximately 1967 suggests that this grouping practice is potentially damaging to a child's self-esteem and future academic prowess. The initiative also states — once again I'm quoting... that once English learners have acquired a good working knowledge of English and are able to do regular school work in English, they shall no longer be classified as English learners and shall be transferred to English language mainstream classes, unquote.

Nowhere in the initiative text is the phrase "good working knowledge of English" defined. By the way, this is another clue that the author of this initiative himself is not an educator or anyone remotely familiar with the research on second language acquisition.

So I wonder, if children have not acquired a good working knowledge of English within one year by whatever assessment, will they be forced to repeat a second year of English immersion which, ironically, would also violate the very language of the initiative, which limits attendance in this one—year program to one year? Or will students be placed into English language mainstream classes with no special assistance, by any measure a sink or swim situation which, again, itself is a violation of federal law?

Okay. I'm done with the academic perspective. I would like to share my personal perspective.

I am a parent of two, kindergarten and third grade, currently enrolled in the bilingual program at Hollinger Elementary School, which is located at Sixth and Ajo. Hollinger Elementary School, by the way, was recently profiled in the

Arizona Daily Star series on bilingual education.

I have chosen to place my children at Hollinger, not our neighborhood school, for several reasons. First, it's an excellent school with highly competent and committed teachers; second, it has one of the strongest bilingual programs in Tucson Unified School District, with a goal of developing students' bilingual and biliteracy skills; third, the high numbers of Hollinger students developing proficiency in English provide my own children daily opportunities to interact in Spanish and English with their peers. Simply stated, my children are in bilingual education because I want them to achieve academically and to become bilingual and biliterate.

I have seen firsthand the academic benefits of bilingual education. My third grader is reading and writing in Spanish and English. Her Apprende scores from second grade last year placed her in the 90th percentile in every subject. Indeed, her reading and verbal skills in Spanish, not her dominant language, were at the eighth and tenth grade level respectively. Although just learning to read and write in both languages, my kindergartner is showing excellent progress as well.

Now, obviously, I'm proud of my

children's accomplishments, but I also attribute much of their success to the connection between bilingualism and cognitive development. This relationship is well established in research literature.

As a parent, I'm angry that a small group of opponents would deny me the right to choose a bilingual education program for my own children. respect the right of any parents to withdraw their children from any program, including bilingual education, if they are not satisfied with it. As I stated earlier, this is a right they currently have under state law.

However, denying to me and to all other parents seeking bilingual academic experience for our own children, the right to choose is not only mean spirited and discriminatory, it is also undemocratic. Just as important, I believe it reveals the hypocrisy of this group of individuals known collectively as English for the Children, which while denying educational choice for everyone else would impose its own unsound program on the rest of us.

Thank you very much.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very much.

And now we would like to open it up to

discussion with the other members of the Arizona Advisory Council.

MS. JULIEN: Do you have questions?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I do have a

question.

In relation to bilingual education, of course, we have looming on the horizon the necessity of students of other languages passing the AIMS test, and do you have any observations on that?

MR. GABALDON: I am scheduled to speak on behalf of LULAC a little later on. I have a separate report that I will be sharing with you.

On the AIMS test itself I did want to point out that although the initiative makes a great show of offering some kind of choice to parents, that it does have a section where it talks about parents being able to ask for waivers. When you examine the language and look at that portion that discusses the waivers you discover that there's a very, very limited group of people that can ask for waivers.

In fact, no language minority child who is not in Special Ed and is less than ten years of age can ever even request bilingual education. It is flatly banned. No language minority child who is not in Special Ed and is less than ten years of age can

ever even ask for bilingual education. That means that children between the grades of pre-kindergarten all the way to fourth grade essentially are banned from bilingual education, which is a very strange contradiction when you hear them speak about how they recognize the fact that younger children have a special facility for learning in more than one language, and yet it is precisely that age group that is banned flatly from any kind of bilingual education.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you clarify how that affects the AIMS, their taking of the AIMS test?

MR. GABALDON: Yes. It means that students pre-kindergarten to third grade — it means that those students who begin taking AIMS at third grade, the students that begin taking the AIMS in third grade quite possibly, including students that have just arrived, it could be a third grader that is just starting school, that third grader can't take the test in his or her own language but is not exempted from the test, even though the test may be — that type of standardized testing may be a format the student has never seen before in his or her country. The student can take the test in

another language as long as that other language is Spanish. There is no provision made for students coming from other language backgrounds.

It skews the scores, because you're really not getting an accurate measure of what that student may know academically. Students may come from a country that provided a very good education and it will be the language barrier that will be apparent in the score, not what that student really may or may not know about a given subject. That's particularly true in math.

One of the interesting items about the math test is that every single problem on AIMS in the math portion is a word problem. There are no mechanical — you know, just straight computational kinds of problems. They all involve wording. And so language becomes a critical factor regardless of what part of the test.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you.

And I would like to ask if the other members of the Council would like to ask questions?

MS. JULIEN: I'm curious about what you

see as a resolution to the issues that we're facing.

MS. SOTOMAYOR: Can you clarify that resolution, specifically what you mean?

1 MS. JULIEN: What you see as a threat to bilingual education, true?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: Yes.

MS. JULIEN: What is it that our state needs to do to ensure that those who come to us speaking other languages receive equal education, what is the resolution?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: I believe the number one thing our state must do is allocate appropriate funds, appropriate funding for the specific programs. The next thing is to recruit teachers to teach these children. In fact, we're in a crisis situation when it comes to teaching, period.

So rather than helping our base, we're actually alienating those teachers who would come into bilingual education specifically under a threat of an antibilingual education initiative sweeping through the state. There are very few teachers who will — or very few students who will consider coming into a field that everything that they know works would be denied them to be able to use in a classroom. And a resolution would be to support those sections.

Another very important piece that we are missing is bilingual education should be for all

1 students, monolingual English speakers included. 2 are in a time, in an era where knowing one language 3 is a handicap; knowing more than one is definitely an 4 asset. And we have fantastic resources in our own 5 backyard that we are denying and that we are 6 ignoring. It's time to bring those to the forefront 7 and capitalize on what we have as Americans. MS. JULIEN: Do you know in T.U.S.D. or 9 at your own school, Wakefield, or any of the schools 10 in T.U.S.D. the number or percentage of monolingual 11 English students who choose to be enrolled in 12 bilingual education? 13 MS. SOTOMAYOR: I will speak directly 14 about Wakefield, then I'll pass that on to Sal, who 15 has more of a perspective on the district as a total. 16 At Wakefield Middle School we have 50 17 percent limited English proficient students and 50 18 percent English proficient students. We are a 50/50 19 split school. 20 MS. JULIEN: How many students in your 21 school? 22 MS. SOTOMAYOR: A little over 600. 23 of -- starting in January, in fact, we had a jump of 24 \ enrollment of a hundred students, because parents are 25 hearing about the dual language program available at

Wakefield. And of the 600 plus students who are there we've had zero parents requesting to opt out of bilingual education, zero.

MS. JULIEN: That's my next question.

Is Wakefield a neighborhood or a magnet school, how is that population determined?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: It is a neighborhood school. It is not offering anything — any special funding for magnet to attract monolingual English speakers. It is an old community that many of the students who come to the school, they have lost their Spanish or perhaps never had it at all, and their grandparents might have spoken Spanish maybe a long time ago, perhaps.

But we have this type of American student who is willing and whose parents want their children to capitalize on what they see as a necessity for economic purposes in the future.

I'm going to allow Sal also to talk about the perspective of the district and how many parents and students participate in bilingual education, and how many are LEPs who are required according to state law.

MR. GABALDON: It's a very complex issue because, you know, which student is

monolingual, how do you define monolingual? If you speak a little bit of Spanish, if English is your dominant language, does that mean you're monolingual?

It's a difficult question. I don't have the current — real current figures. I know that — I believe it was '97/'98 school year we had counted somewhere between two and 3,000 students that were thought to be predominantly English speakers that were participating in the program.

We reported last year to the state that we had just under 10,000 students in the program that were SDEP students developing their proficiency in English. It's a ratio of maybe 20 percent of the students are being predominantly English speakers.

MS. JULIEN: Do you have a measurement device? How do you determine — one of the issues with bilingual education is that, well, kids aren't learning English. They are comfortable in their first language and don't learn English. How do you assess that your students are, in fact, becoming bilingual, that is —

MS. SOTOMAYOR: If you take a look at the your ALEC Voices Newsletter and go to page four, actually page 6, you will see a chart labeled chart two. That indicates the bilingual edge that those

students participated in bilingual education are receiving when they are assessed in the Stanford 9
English reading portion of the test. They are outperforming those students who are in ESL only or English only instruction. And so the Stanford itself, the same measure of all other students, is indicating that students are outperforming — students in bilingual education outperform those in English immersion.

And, unfortunately, we don't have the information compiled for the Apprende Test, the Spanish portion, so that we would be able to, say, look and see the biliteracy piece of it, but perhaps maybe one day our state department will do that.

MS. JULIEN: My last question. So given a student who begins at a bilingual elementary school, or even in Wakefield, what do you think the chances are that that student who is a beginner in English, say in the third or fourth grade, is going to be able to pass the AIMS by their senior year in high school?

MR. GABALDON: Let me make a quick comment about that.

One other measure that we have of the students' ability to acquire English is an oral

language measure that all students take in the Pueblo High School feeder pattern, which is the feeder pattern of the largest number of nonEnglish speaking students. And in that feeder pattern we have documented now — it's not just one year, but over the course of several years — 98 percent of the students who come into Pueblo High School at the ninth grade are verbally oral, fluent in English, 98 percent. The other two percent are students that are largely — occasionally there will be students, recent arrivals, occasionally not recent arrivals, but constantly traveling back and forth, quite often from Sonora back to Arizona, back and forth, sometimes not in school during that time. It really is not a problem.

When people say students aren't learning English, they are not talking about speaking English. Students learn to speak English, as I pointed out, as past immigrant generations always have. The problem is the literacy. Reading and writing is the key.

And the progress that we have made in the ability of students to improve their reading and writing skills when measured against equal socioeconomic class students, they hold their own.

They look terrible when they publish those citywide scores constantly, because you're not measuring them against similar socioeconomic background, and to pretend that those factors don't play a role in education, I think you're fooling yourself.

It's pretty clear over the course of the year — poverty is not an excuse. There have always been students that come from the poorest background that manage to do exceptionally well in education. And the reverse is also true. There have always been students that come from the best, highest socioeconomic background that, for whatever odd reason, never manage to do well in school.

When you look at those two realities you discover that you can't point to socioeconomic factors for individual students. It will not hold. There will always be exceptions. But when you look at masses of students, there is never an exception. If you look at countywide statistics or statewide statistics or citywide, there would be no exceptions. There is a much greater chance of a student failing educationally coming from a poor socioeconomic class. It doesn't hold for individuals, but it invariably holds for groups.

That's why when you find incredible

9

7

8

1

11 12

13

10

15

14

17

16

19

18

20 21

22

23

24 25 exceptional instances of students doing really well in a particular program, it will always be despite socioeconomic background. It will always be an individual school where shifting, filtering of students can take place. But you will never find an instance of an entire school district, an entire county or an entire state bucking that trend and showing that in large numbers all of a sudden poor kids are doing exactly as well as higher socioeconomic kids.

Like I said, there would be something wrong if that were the case. Why would it be that students who have fewer resources would be able to do better? Something would be wrong. If I had two athletes and I gave one athlete greater resources, fed him better as a child, made sure he had vitamins, gave him training, had him compete across the world with the greatest athletes, and another child who is, you know, very poorly fed and did not have medical care and, you know, with some rare exceptions an individual might have genetics somehow, something in him that might allow him to overcome that stuff, but by and large training, the environment has a large That's all I'm saying. Training and the impact. environment, the facilities that you provide for

1 students will have an impact over large numbers.

MR. PAZ: Changing to the world of politics, because I think what I would like to know, what statements can you make as you describe the English only initiative?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: It is restrictive, removing parental rights. In Section 17 -- excuse me, 15-753, letter C, number three -- and you have this initiative in your packet -- it removes and it segregates a specific group of parents and removes rights that other parents do have.

For example, teachers and local school districts may reject waiver requests without explanation or legal consequence. We have not had any law ever in Arizona that would say to a parent, you are not allowed even basic explanation for why your student will not participate in a program.

This law — if this were to become law, this initiative would discriminate against a parent of a limited English proficient child from receiving basic explanations and even from legal consequence.

A certain group of parents can sue, another group can't. Language would determine which group of students — which parent could sue.

MS. COMBS: I'd also like to add, as

1.6

Sal mentioned earlier, one of the only exceptions for a parent, one of the only recourses for a parent to enroll a child in a bilingual program, to document in no less than 250 words that that child is physically or mentally impaired. That's from the text of the initiative.

The documentation offered by a parent on behalf of his or her own child then becomes part of the child's permanent cumulative record. I ask you myself, as a supporter of bilingual education, what parent would do that? I wouldn't. But that's what the initiative requires parents to do, is to document that your own child has disabilities in order to make him or her eligible.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Do you have any other questions?

MR. PAZ: No.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Mr. Montez has a question.

MR. MONTEZ: Let me get a little far fetched from — it seems we've gotten caught up in language as a political football, because in looking at the initiative it seems that the people that are pushing it, as they did in California, have no justification other than some political agenda that

they have. And if you look at the other side, I can understand that language other than English has become a threat to the predominant society that speaks English, and I'm sure we're all aware of that. And the question I have is, are there other variables and factors that we in education may be missing in the construct of the child's total personality?

We constantly talk about language, as that being the most important variable. For example, I am very much aware in the areas that I have worked that the culture of the school is totally different than the culture of minority and poverty children.

Now, I'm not an expert on bilingual education and I'm not an expert on other variables, but are the schools prepared to begin to make some changes in a more realistic way? I sometimes think we waste a lot of time fighting the bilingual battle when there are so many other things that have to be done.

For example, I know parents that have no understanding of the public school program, and yet we ask them to participate, and they don't know what to do about it. I have two daughters that are teachers in the Los Angeles Unified School District, and I talk with them about this all the time, and

what I'm trying to get from you, is there any other variables besides language that we have to start looking at?

MR. GABALDON: Yeah. By the way, I, too, was a teacher in the Los Angeles Unified School District. I taught high school English at San Fernando High School for six years.

There are certainly lots of other factors besides language. One of them does relate to language, and that is literacy in the home. You look at simple things like the number of books that you find in a given home; whether, you know, a parent receives a newspaper and reads to the child, and that that kind of material is available to the child. Literacy is an important point.

But, yes, there are other factors. I
mean, I won't quote any educational jargon to you.

I'll say personally to you as a father of two
teachers and having gone through the school system
that did not provide me with bilingual education, and
being a high school dropout, which is another factor
that I had to face, I noted that high schools in
particular, schools in general, I think, but high
schools in particular were meant for a certain type
of student. Not all students learn the same way.

1 <u>0</u>

And there are students that

traditionally have been able to succeed in the schools the way they are organized, and students that have not been able to do well in those schools, and schools have not adapted very well. They expect the student to adapt. If you do not adapt they expect you to leave. And that was very common all through the 1930s, 1940s, 1950s, and through the '60s and '70s, that was pretty much what was expected. If you could not fit the model of what a student, in quotations, should be, then you were allowed to drop out.

You know, you will hear teachers say, that student does not want to learn or that student is not ready to learn. I want to teach those kids that want to learn. That's sort of code talk for, I'll teach certain students but I won't teach others, and that is a problem I think in our schools.

MS. COMBS: Let me add quickly, I know we're running out of time. Put my academic hat back on.

You said something interesting in your question, that the culture of the schools often are very different from the culture of the home. And

what I would like to tell you is that bilingual education is not just about language, it is one of the more humanistic approaches to education for all children that I have personally seen, because it exactly recognizes the child's culture and language as resources to be celebrated, to be utilized for academic achievement and economic opportunity. And for that it is just one of the many reasons why bilingual education serves children well, much better than English only education ever could.

MR. PAZ: The issue of the undocumented people that are coming across the border will affect — impact bilingual education, and right now perhaps the reaction to the growing numbers of people that are coming over and the police or law enforcement response, how they respond, how does that affect the educational delivery of service to people, or what obstacles do you foresee?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: Well, we need to begin to divorce ourselves from immigration issues and educational issues. Unfortunately, we haven't been able to do it. We seem to have the educational — the pedagogy needed for a specific student with the immigrant situation in the United States.

Will the undocumented worker impact bilingual education? Perhaps. I don't know. I'm an educator, not an immigration officer. I am not sure which students are legal and which ones are not.

What I am certain of is which students need support in developing English proficiency.

I do believe that as Americans we'll have problems separating what is the need of children with the immigration situation, specifically, immigration of Mexicans. That is a stronger issue than if I were to say, oh, my goodness, there's a load of Swedish people coming in a bus — not quite, but from Canada — than if you were to hear, oh, my goodness, there's a bus load of Mexicans coming across into our borders. It conjures different emotions.

But as an educator I must look at what the student needs and I must provide the student with what I know would be the best way for them to have the English proficiency needed to be successful in the United States. And from what I know of 20 years of educating immigrant children, I know that bilingual education outperforms English immersion.

MR. PAZ: Follow up to that, because our hearing has been twofold; one with law

enforcement, the other one this area. But I do

remember — I do recall testimony yesterday a little

bit about the harboring of Mexican American or

Mexican people, or at least exposing some students to

the Border Patrol that are — and I just simply would

like to ask you, are you aware, have you observed

situations whereby the Border Patrol has been

alerted, called to address people that perhaps are

here — who are not here legally?

MS. SOTOMAYOR: I'm aware of one case about three years ago of a specific person, a teacher, letting a Border Patrol agent know that a student was illegally here; and that that student, of course, along with his family, was deported. It was a third grader.

And for the benefit of that teacher, the reason why he reported it was because, I believe, that the parent had been picked up by Border Patrol and the child was alone and needed to let people know that, you know, this child was alone. And he wrote a beautiful letter of his sorrow of leaving his classroom and having to leave what he knew. This was his country, for all he knew, he had never been in Mexico.

MR. GABALDON: I can't point to a

specific case where personally I have seen events of that nature, but I face all the time — Pueblo High School, when I taught there, the difficulty that some students faced who have been in this country for sometimes four, five years, have earned good grades, were excellent students, and then faced the difficulty of trying to enroll in college and having to pay higher tuition that oftentimes put that college out of their reach. That was a problem that I saw on a regular basis.

that you asked about immigration's effect on
bilingual education. It is sort of overwhelming.
Those issues are tied together, as Alejandra said,
very difficult to separate in people's minds. But I
do want to point out that I don't know of many
Mexican immigrants, including my own parents when
they came to this country, that came here —

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry. We're
going to have to close time.

MR. GABALDON: Thank you very much.

MS. JULIEN: Thank you very much, all
three of you. We really appreciate your coming
today.

Next person on our agenda is Terry

Martinez, from the League of United Latin American Citizens. Terry.

1 ġ

There are a couple people who walked up here who wanted to testify yesterday about law enforcement. Mr. Gattone, who is in charge of that, is supposed to be here within the half-hour. I'm waiting for him to get here for us to fit that in, because he needs to be here. Thank you.

MR. PAZ: Before you introduce yourselves for the record, I'd like it to be shown that we have before us the founder of bilingual. education, that person who — one of the three persons who were mentioned earlier that is responsible for this initiative that right now, of course, faces some dire times, too. Mr. Hank Oyama.

MS. MARTINEZ: My name is Terry
Martinez. I'm a native Tucsonan, I live at 1751
North Painted Hills Road in Tucson.

And my colleagues next to me are Sal Gabaldon, who will speak on AIMS testing, I would speak on dropout prevention, and Doctor Oyama will speak on bilingual education.

Presently, I feel very well versed in speaking to you about these topics that were brought before me by our president, who is unable to be here.

Richard Fimbres. I am working as a counselor, and I have for several years at the Juvenile Detention

Center, where we have a Juvenile Diversion Program where I work with preadolescents and their family.

Additionally, I'm a classroom teacher. And to my sadness, I left my classroom in September of '99 to take the position at the University of Arizona in professional development in teaching and teacher education, where I am a doctoral student, soon to be completed with my Ph.D., which will be also in language, reading and culture.

The title of my presentation is empowering education through dropout prevention. And when I speak about dropout prevention, I immediately thought of one person that is very dear to me, the child of my dearest friend, who I'll call Pedro.

at age 14 when he allowed himself to be convinced that he could not learn. Our communities are full of Pedros. They come in all colors and genders, and they come from varied social and economic backgrounds. However, they all fit the same basic profile, that of the nonconformist, which Sal alluded to in his last presentation, that learns in an exceptional way. Oh, there are exceptions, but they

are a rarity. Please excuse my passion for this topic. My intent is not to offend anyone.

As a very young child Pedro attended a neighborhood school here in Tucson. As a matter of fact, it's located very close to where we sit right now. During the regular school year Pedro faltered, he fell behind and was unmotivated. However, every summer when he was mandated to attend summer school because of his failing grades he shown like a bright star.

Well, we all know that occurred because he had the individualized attention that he needed to have — to acquire an understanding of the academic material and process it. This was facilitated by the very good and dedicated teachers he had in summer school. That certainly doesn't mean he doesn't have — didn't have those teachers during the regular school year, they were just busier with the huge amounts of students we have in the classroom. Also, the summer school class sizes were the focus that was solely on students like Pedro, who totally needed it.

Needless to say, he constitutes the majority of the dropout population our country deals with daily. Pedro's learning problems escalated. He saw no progress in school, and today as a dropout

he's washing dishes at the local restaurant, which we were just at Wednesday afternoon.

I share this story with you to bring awareness to you, not a fret. Pedro will succeed because of supportive individuals he presently has chosen to surround himself with. He will attend classes, I will voluntarily tutor him, and he will get a GED. But what about the individuals that do not seek the assistance that he has?

Our local school districts are plagued with dropouts. In T.U.S.D., the largest district in Arizona, the school year has presently ended with 157 middle school dropouts. This is in grade 6th, 7th and 8th. This number indicates that 92 of these dropouts at are Latinos. The high schools are at a dropout rate of 1,779 students, the largest majority composed of Latinos.

Please do not be misled. T.U.S.D. data also indicates the dropout rates in all ethnic categories have decreased substantially in African Americans, Euro-Americans, Asian Americans, Native Americans. However, the Latino dropout rates are still soaring. It is through hard work, well-prepared teachers, strong principles with challenging and engaging classes, the supportive

parents in the entire community recommitting to the premise of all our children to learn that we will improve these data.

thoughts on these topics. We as teachers must move beyond the traditional 9:00 to 3:00 classroom day. We need to create safe centers within the communities which will provide programs and classes on dropout prevention, vocational—technical training, because we all know we are not all cut out for college, career development, parenting skills and adult literacy. This concept must involve the entire family. The research endorses learning is more powerful if the entire family is involved. If in no other way than through proactive support and reassurance.

We cannot wait until middle school to begin dropout intervention strategies. They must begin in kindergarten. The differences become apparent between groups of students in elementary and secondary school when children enter kindergarten. To paraphrase that, the seed for high achievement and dropout prevention is planted long before high school. We must begin much earlier to see that the seed germinates. The earlier the intervention, the stronger the success. I remind myself of a counselor

when I say that.

I suggest school officials make connections with social service agencies to make sure every child's basic human needs are met. Our children must have adequate health care. They cannot attend school without a complete physical and their inoculations. Health plans such as Kids Care, AHCCCS, and clinics such as El Pueblo, El Rio and St. Elizabeth offer services for our children. The breakfast program is another part of the entire student picture when you talk about the human needs of the student.

Another thing is quality child care with particular emphasis on literature can make a powerful difference. Books today come equipped to accommodate children of all ages; from cloth books at the age level of one to three to big books, age three to nine. Presently, our administration in Washington has proposed an increase in funding to Head Start programs by a million dollars. However, we still need more.

Small classroom size is essential, but that's every teacher's dream. We all know that is a common way we can provide the individualized attention that he needs.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

We must increase the time we read to our children and talk to them about what we have just Discussion is essential for comprehension. The literature we read to them must be culturally competent. The children need to relate the literature to think about true life experiences. Reading is an integral part of the learning process and true life experiences, particularly from students who come from homes where language other than English is spoken.

After this strong foundation is created, schools can implement another important part of engaging in the learning environment and raising the achievement levels, that of providing challenging classes to our students. In a recent study completed by the Department of Education in 1999, they discussed a study that was conducted that concluded Latino students that were more than three times successful in going to college if they took at least two plus advance placement classes.

A broad curriculum must include challenging classes in which the eight intelligences can be nurtured.

Our schools must be a safe and disciplined learning environment, a sense of

connection to each other, to teachers, and where learning can be fostered. The creation of academies in which students and teachers work in an academic area that best suits them and where the students can obtain some one-on-one instruction has proven to be beneficial in Grand Prairie, Texas, and Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Most recently, Pima Community College has been instrumental in an implementation of joining forces with primary school systems in creating a pathway to exposure, through presentations by outreach counselors, college tours, actual college classroom experiences for the students, and events like the LULAC leadership — Youth Leadership Conference for the students. These activities are as engaging as they are powerful and reinforcing, because everyone is included. They are endorsing the commitment higher education has made to our children. The movement for the new millennium is the adoption of the K-16 approach. It is no longer only K-12.

As a community we must be willing to provide centers for our children and their families. If the parents are reading, then critical awareness is fostered in their children. With these literacy experiences must come after-school programs that

include arts, sports, discussion groups for children of all ages. Our children must be made aware of issues that are plaguing our community and our country.

We adults must relinquish some of our power and let the children educate us on topics such as dropout prevention, AIMS and bilingual education. Let's not exclude the reality of the children providing for us the tools we need to make necessary decisions for issues such as dropout prevention. We must have community involvement in our schools. The building of partnerships between business, communities and schools is imperative. The time to hold our schools and communities accountable has snuck upon us. All taxpayers are affected by an increasing dropout rate. This is a highly complex problem that only we as a collective society can solve.

MS. JULIEN: Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: We are going to go ahead and listen to Doctor Oyama now on bilingual education.

MR. OYAMA: Thank you, and thank you for the opportunity to address you this afternoon.

My name is Hank Oyama. I'm

Vice-president Emeritus of Pima Community College
District. I reside at 5251 Mission Hill Drive. I'm
a native Tucsonan, born here — in two weeks it will
be 74 years. So you will forgive me if I have some
senior moments and perhaps am not as good at
communicating as my friends.

I wish to comment on some misconceptions or distortions or untruths about bilingual education. One of them is that bilingual education is teaching in Spanish.

There was an article just recently on Sunday, April 9th, that had as one of its headlines, Why Teach in Spanish. It didn't ask why do we have bilingual education.

Does this promote an understanding of what bilingual education is? Doesn't bilingual education by its very name imply the use of two languages, in the United States English and another language?

Another misconception that is promoted is that high dropout rates among Hispanics are caused by bilingual education. According to the dropout rate study conducted by the Arizona Department of Education of students in grade 7 through 12 the breakdown on dropouts is as follows: White, 6.1

percent; African American, 11.4 percent; Hispanics,
12.7 percent; Native American, 14.6; and Asian, 5.9.
Yet we know that only 20 to 25 percent of Hispanics
are in bilingual programs.

How do these officials account for the high dropout rate of the other 75 to 80 percent of this Hispanic population? That is never mentioned. It is wrong, very wrong to blame bilingual education for the educational problems of these other 75 to 80 percent of Hispanics who are not enrolled in bilingual education.

Another misconception is that Hispanics and Native Americans don't themselves want bilingual education. This was especially effective in California in convincing people that they should vote for this English for the Children Initiative in California.

A co-chair of a group opposing bilingual education and promoting English for the Children here in Tucson stated that parents in her neighborhood are against their children being in bilingual programs. I have a copy of a survey done at C.E. Rose Elementary that I will leave with you. And in this survey of parents who have children in the bilingual program the analysis shows that

20

21

22

23

24

25

approximately 540 parents of students of Rose Elementary were given a questionnaire to gather information about the school's bilingual education program; 438 parents, or 85 percent, responded. these, 374, or 85 percent, presently had or had had students in bilingual education programs. From 84 to 89 percent of the respondents there was support for bilingual education, they would place their children in a bilingual program and would like them to become biliterate. 88 to 93 percent of the respondents, an overwhelming majority, supported bilingual education. They feel that it is beneficial for their children to speak both English and Spanish. They would like their children to learn English and Spanish as a second language, and they believe their children would have better students in today's job market if they learned to speak a second language. 98 percent of parents who responded were satisfied with the students' progress in English, and 86 percent were satisfied with the students' progress in Spanish.

So the misconception or untruths that are being promoted by some folks are not borne out by the information that parents themselves have given.

Also, where people say the Native Americans do not support bilingual education, the Navajo Nation has

passed a resolution defending bilingual education and opposing the English for the Children Initiative.

Likewise, the Tohono O'Odham Nation has also passed a resolution opposing English for the Children and supporting bilingual education.

Another misconception --

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry. We had allocated so much time for LULAC — and could we invite Mr. Sotomayor to come back after, because we have a time schedule that we're following here.

Could you finish, Hank, what you were — the concept that you were going into?

MR. OYAMA: Yes. However, I won't go into much detail, because the previous presenters, I think, did a very thorough and effective job of presenting the effectiveness of bilingual education.

I do want to, though, point out that sometimes bilingual education is being seen as un-American, and this — because such things as Cinco de Mayo are included in the curriculum. Well, there was a resolution by Senator John McCain introduced in the U.S. Senate two summers ago where he points out that, yes, we do — and the resolution was the English Plus Resolution, and it points out that, yes, we want everybody to learn English, but they should

not abandon the language of their home. And in this part of the country, in Arizona and the Southwest, Spanish is especially important because of the increase in trade and commerce with Latin America.

Thank you.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'd like to add that the statements that you have made, it will really be appreciated if you could submit those to the Commission in their full form so that we can have it all.

MR. PAZ: If I may, just one question perhaps to both of you since — but I would like you to state in regards to your description or your analysis of the English only initiative.

MR. OYAMA: The English only initiative, as has been pointed out, is removing choice of parents, and as the Arizona Daily Star pointed out, it is a meat-cleaver approach and they advise voters not to sign the petition.

I fully agree, and I know that the proponents of the English only initiative that was passed prior — forgot the year, but it was declared unconstitutional by the Arizona Supreme Court and then the U.S. Supreme Court would not reverse that.

When this was brought by Parks at a

1 meeting that --- a forum held by the Superintendent of 2 Public Instruction, said since the Supreme Court 3 decided to -- that this was an unconstitutional 4 initiative, we, the English only folks, will now 5 support the English for the Children, realizing 6 that -- I mean they are really pushing for English 7 only in the public schools. 8 MS. MARTINEZ: I don't want to be 9 I'm going to concur with what Doctor redundant. 10 Oyama has said, and excuse me. 11 MS. JULIEN: Thank you very much. 12 (Recess.) 13 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: If we could resume 14 the hearing. 15 And we have a person who came 16 yesterday, and we have to apologize because we know 17 you came to make a statement. And it is Mr. Jeffrey 18 Orrell, and you have five minutes. And if you could 19 come up and take a seat. 20 MR. ORRELL: I appreciate you letting 21 me come back today. 22 I guess the only thing to do is go 23 ahead and read to you -- basically, from CPS's point 24 of view, this is what is considered child abuse in 25 the State of Arizona: Physical abuse including

nonaccidental injuries such as broken bones, bruises, cuts and other injuries. Sexual abuse occurs when there is sexual conduct or contact with children.

Using children in pornography, prostitution or other types of sexual activity is also sexual abuse.

Neglect exists when parents, guardians or custodians place children at substantial risk of harm by not providing children with adequate food, clothing, shelter, supervision or medical care.

Allowing children to live in a hazardous environment may also be considered neglect.

Emotional abuse or neglect occurs when the child suffers severe anxiety, withdrawal, depression or severe emotional disturbance due to the acts or omissions of parents or caretakers.

Try to get this letter out.

To whom it may concern: My name is

Jeffrey Orrell and I, we, Sallee Destefano, are the

parents of two autistic children. We have a

four-star rating from doctors both in Arizona and

Virginia.

We enrolled Shawn and Hannah in T.U.S.D. schools for the 1999/2000 school year. We have had nothing but problems from T.U.S.D. and the Tucson Police Department since then. Let me state my

case.

On October 13th our son went to an outing to the Pumpkin Patch. He, along with a lot of other students, were denied food and water for quite some time. When we picked up our son he had a high fever, and we rushed him to the emergency room.

There the doctor backed up what I had already knew had happened. He had second-degree sunburn and was dehydrated.

The doctor also stated, since he was denied food and water and was exposed to the sun for that length of time that he would have a seizure. He did around 8:00 p.m. on October 13th. He has not been the same since then.

We called Tucson Police Department and they played around with it for a couple weeks and then turned around and said it was not their area. I then called Pima County Sheriff's Office to get the runaround from them as well. When I threatened to call in the FBI, that is when they called back and said that they would look into it.

Three days later I heard through the grapevine that the case was closed. I called the department. I was told that T.U.S.D. had said it never happened, and I asked if they had told the

department my son required medical attention. No, they said.

After a couple more no answers I suggested that they stop showing special treatment to the school district and follow the law. I have not heard from them since. It is my guess that T.U.S.D. lied their way through another one.

Then if that wasn't bad enough, our son started having problems with a black and Hispanic child constantly picking on him and bruising him.

The school didn't care. At that point they were allowing children to be illegally entered in the school using wrong or false names, so why should they care about this.

It's funny, though, because the child with the false name's father was living right next door to me, dealing drugs, and was on diversified probation at the time, and had pulled a 12-inch sawed-off shotgun on me just the week before. I called Tucson's finest eight times, MANTIS twice, and CRIME-88 twice, still no arrest.

Are you getting the same picture I am?

Now on to December 15th. The school

already has medical reports backing this about my

son's fragile condition, i.e., he had a cyst removed,

epidural cyst removed, one from the surface of his brain and cerebellum, tonsils were removed, one from the vertebrae.

November 14th he was struck by another, the same Hispanic child that had been picking on him calling him weird, funny and stupid, with a plastic blue ice — that tthing we all throw in our coolers in the summertime to keep things cool — where else, but on the side of the head, giving him a large hematoma. This happened around 12:30. We found out about it at 2:10 in the afternoon.

Mrs. Cheryl Bowman, who is his teacher, brought us a note from the nurse stating that she — containing things to watch out for after a head injury, and one of the children had smuggled this out from the lunch room to the playground.

On 1/10/2000 I sent the police to Erickson to file a report on (name deleted), the child that hit Shawn. David Overstreet lied, as well as Cheryl Bowman, saying that they didn't even remember the incident, then lied about Mrs. Launa Haggard and what she told them.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry. We can't use names. Can you refer without using the name?

Could you please delete that from

1 the --

MR. ORRELL: The principal decided to falsify information on 1/10 when they were there.

Investigating on our behalf it came to the Police Department's knowledge that the principal was given the knowledge that I was allowing my children to watch adult pornography since the beginning of Thanksgiving. That's well over the state mandated 48 hours that a principal has to reported any alleged child sexual abuse. It's just being filed 1/10/2000.

On 1/27, apparently the Tucson Police Department believed this horse crap and illegally removed Shawn and Hannah. A detective drove Shawn around then to a house with big bird in it. The order that the detective used was against the law because it was not filled out properly. CPS didn't give us the order within the state given time frame.

The detective and the level three specialist from CPS are guilty of medical neglect since they were told that Shawn never had — or Shawn needed his anti-seizure medications. When they detained me against my will at the Eastside Police Station and never read me my Miranda rights, we were told to bring along the medication, they would get

them to him. They never did and, of course, Shawn had a bout of staring out seizures that night.

They told me that I wasn't under arrest, but the detective could change it with a snap. They later told us that we could have our children back, that all charges were dropped due to the fact they didn't find anything.

They should have never taken them around 4:30 that afternoon. We had to go back to 1700 East Broadway, the CPS headquarters for Tucson. We were told the level three specialist would get them. Well, as he went out of sight we thought he was gone to get them, 45 minutes later he pops his head around a door and says for Sallee and I to come in. We thought he had the kids. Oh, no, we still have some paperwork to do.

I said, you know, I still have to give my son his medication. You know, here you are one of the governor's agencies to prevent child abuse, here is the case of neglect.

So five-and-a-half hours later, 5:30 that afternoon, we followed him over to Casa De Los Ninos. And first when we get the kids' clothes I was thinking, oh, my God, you didn't. Sure enough, they stripped them both down and bathed them and put them

in waifs clothing. There was nothing wrong with what they had on. The clothes were too small.

And the first thing the one sitting to the right of me said was somebody touched his tinky winky. And that is what he calls his privates. It made him upset that they put him in little girls underwear. Michael also said, Hannah was mad at us. Sallee asked why. He said that she was told that mommy was in the van and when they — when she got there they found out that she wasn't there.

When we got the kids back Shawn said that they told him that we were in jail and that they would have new parents. We hear this all the time over and over again. Shawn is back to not wanting to go to sleep without us in the same room, and every night asking me to put the couch in front of the door, and me to have my 12-gauge in case the bad guys with badges come back to get them.

Also Shawn has been dreaming at night and talking in his sleep. He started singing verses to The Party's Over. He won't let me and Sallee bathe him anymore. When I asked him where he learned that song, he said, at the cop's house, then clammed up and got very mad that I overheard him singing it. Shawn has had two nervous breakdowns since then,

tonight he had his third.

I called Providence Pact, who is the regional health behavioral authority through CPS. I told him Shawn doesn't want to go back there. He still says everyone hates him and kids all beat up on him. This would explain all the new bruises on him.

This state has, like all others, has a law called retaliatory conduct prohibited. It sickens me to no end T.U.S.D. would stoop this low to tear the heart out of a child. Shawn also says he doesn't want to go back to his special education teacher. She does things to make him nervous and takes him into a room alone.

This is, of course, the short form due to the fact I need to get this to all the agencies ASAP. What we are asking is that Shawn be able to transfer out of the corrupt school that he is in right now. Even one of your teachers there has been warned for touching young girls the wrong way. I suppose that wasn't reported to the principal as well. Who knows, maybe the special education teacher is taking lessons from the one who was just warned. Might as well, the Tucson Police Department wouldn't look into it.

The government states it's the law

1 children get a free education here in the United 2 States. Don't you think my children have already 3 paid enough in the short time they have been in Tucson? I think it really sucks, if you will pardon, 5 that no one oversees how the schools operate and hold 6 them to it. 7 And to the two agencies that were put 8 in place to prevent child abuse, I hope only God 9 forgives you for what you did to my children. You 10 caused this child abuse. And who puts you in jail? 11 I hope Tucson Police Department and 12 T.U.S.D. rot in hell. You are the very reason my 13 children have been abused; not by us, but by you. 14 The Tucson Police Department makes the Los Angeles 15 Police Department look good. Nice job, fellas. 16 In the U.S. a libel, lie, is something 17 false that makes someone who's hurt stand up and get 18 upset. Think about it. Did they do a libel to this 19 family? 20 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you, 21 Mr. Orrell. 22 Now, are there any questions from the 23 panelists? 24 I'm sorry. We don't have time. 25 I'd like to say we have our next two

speakers here, Doctor Parks and then Raul Grijalva.

And you have 20 minutes each.

MR. PARKS: Good afternoon. My name is Jim Parks, superintendent of PPEPTEC High School. I reside in Tucson at 7306 East Ciscada Circle.

I believe I'm here because my charter high school probably sought to serve more minority students in the State of Arizona than any other charter school. PPEPTEC is part high school, part human service agency, started 37 years ago by Doctor John Arnold to serve migrant seasonal farm workers throughout the State of Arizona. As a result of that many of our sites — we have 14 — are located in the border towns of San Luis, Summerton, Douglas, Avondale and the Marana area. Our program has been in existence now five years, and our minority population makes up about 68 percent of our student

body.

When I knew I was going to be asked some questions, I went back and gathered some information, but since our inception in 1996, our first graduating class, 65 percent of our students were Hispanic, and that held true for the past four years, graduating about 600 students in our program.

Early on we surveyed -- I surveyed the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

parents in all of our 14 communities at the request of my school board to determine whether they wanted us to do bilingual education or English as a second language, and that was about the time that the beginning of the AIMS controversy started. results of that survey -- as a result of that survey our board decided not to do bilingual education but to do ESL, because they were worried that their kids would not be able to pass the AIMS test.

So we adhered to that request and have an ESL program that's fairly effective. Because our program is totally individualized we don't do classes, and our students have ratios of approximately one to 15, with our identified limited English proficiency students also receiving tutoring at night, and we also have evening parent programs to teach parents English as a second language. So from that standpoint it's been fairly effective in working with our population.

That's kind of a quick overview of our Be more than happy to answer any questions that you might have. Also left brochures here for you that tell you more in depth about our program.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. I do have a question.

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have a population that's directly affected by first dropouts, and then they come back and they are proving themselves once again. How will the AIMS affect this group of students that you are working with?

MR. PARKS: We have — that's hard to say right now. We've taken on the major effort now of revamping our curriculum to include electives that we call AIMS-related English, AIMS-related math, AIMS-related this or that.

But it's going to be very difficult for many of our minority students whose primary language is often Spanish. But we're throwing all the resources we can, both in terms of staff and modified curriculum, to preparing them for that.

And we have also learned something after 27 years in education, should have known this, but many of our students do not have test taking skills, so we are now at least two hours a week in our program teaching our kids how to take tests, because they really don't have those skills. We didn't realize that for a long time. We are not teaching the AIMS test, naturally, but we are teaching them how to take tests, and that seems to be working well.

1 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Do other members of 2 the -- okay, Angie. 3 Doctor Parks, could you MS. JULIEN: 4 let us know approximately the number of students in PPEPTEC schools so we have the -- know how they break 5 6 down ethnically? 7 MR. PARKS: Well, as I said, we have 14 8 schools throughout the state. We have a large 9 migrant population, so we probably serve about 2,000 10 kids a year, but actually the kids that stay with us, 11 the number is closer to a thousand kids. 12 That's, again, spread all around the 13 In our larger metropolitan areas we have more 14 students. In our site in the rural border areas we 15 have approximately 35, 40 students in a program with 16 usually two instructors and tutors and folks like 17 that, volunteers. 18 Currently 56 percent of our students are Hispanic, 36 Anglo, with the other eight percent 19 20 being African American, Asian Pacific and Native 21 American. 22 MS. JULIEN: As far as graduation 23 requirements, about what percentage of your students 24 actually complete and receive a high school diploma? 25 MR. PARKS: Yes. We require 21.5

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

credits to graduate from our school. We meet all the state standards in all those kinds of areas that are required.

Where it's a little different or a lot different from traditional public schools, all of our electives are what we call career electives. are all centered around computer and software training. Our students take everything from Word Perfect to Power Point to Excel to Access, all of those kinds of things; and that we changed that as a result of, again, another survey of our students asking what they wanted and the parents because, as you know, we can hardly get a job in McDonald's anymore without some computer skills.

That's working well. In another year our kids will come out of the program not only with a high school diploma but also with mass certification, which is a computer certification, which will give them a head start on getting a job.

MS. JULIEN: Aside from their migrant status, what do you think attracts students to the PPEPTEC program? What is it about PPEPTEC that works for your students that perhaps didn't work in the public schools?

> It's totally MR. PARKS:

25

individualized. In our school we have freshmen, sophomores, juniors, seniors working side by side on their own individualized program, and they can be working on math while another is working on English while another is in social studies. And I think a big part of that is because there's no competition.

Another big part of it is kind of going back to the one-room schoolhouse concept. You know, with that kind of one-to-15 staff ratio our staff knows every kid, they most often know the families, they know where they are coming from, they know whether they are single parents, whether they are on probation. They get to know the kids real well so the kids don't have to go from teacher to teacher to teacher for different subject matters.

MS. JULIEN: It is a one-to-15 staffing ratio?

MR. PARKS: Yes.

MS. JULIEN: How do you feel about that number, does that seem to be the right number?

MR. PARKS: I think it's a great number. I never had a ratio like that when I was in high school, I don't think anybody did. That's where we try to keep it. Sometimes it deviates a little bit. The average is one to 15.

1	MS. JULIEN: The ages for your
2	students, approximately 14 to 18?
3	MR. PARKS: Fifteen through 21 is our
4	required charter.
5	MS. JULIEN: Thank you.
6	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Do you have any
7	questions, Ramon, Paul?
8	MR. GATTONE: No, thank you.
9	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you
10	for your testimony.
11	MR. PARKS: That was very quick.
12	Perhaps you can get back on time.
13	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could we have a copy
14	of your comments for the record?
15	MR. PARKS: No, I don't have any.
16	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: You don't have any?
17	MR. PARKS: No, I don't, but I could
18	send you that.
19	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: We would appreciate
20	that.
21	MR. PARKS: I will do that.
22	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Thank you.
23	Okay. And the next speaker is Raul
24	Grijalva. I want to thank you for coming to meet
25	with us this afternoon.

Could you please state your name and your position or the organization?

MR. GRIJALVA: Thank you. Raul Grijalva. Presently, I'm a member of the Pima County Board of Supervisors representing District 5.

Do I begin?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes.

MR. GRIJALVA: Okay. First of all, I want to thank the Committee for the opportunity and the invitation to come and talk about some issues that I think are very relevant and timely in this community, and so I enjoy the opportunity.

I want to apologize at the initiation of the conversation that I did not commit these to any kind of writing that I can pass on to you; so I apologize for that.

Really important issues to talk about today, and I want to thank you for holding the hearing, and irrespective of turnout I think there is a great interest in education and some of the issues that are going on.

I want to speak about two points. I want to talk a little bit about dropouts and speak also a little bit about the issue of achievement as it relates to children of color and poor kids, in

particular kids that are economically disadvantaged in our community. And my perspective is not as scientific as it should be. It comes from experience and being in this community a long time.

As part of the introduction, in other lives I was a member of the Tucson Unified School District for 12 years as a governing board member, Assistant Dean for Chicano, Hispanic students at the University of Arizona, and a Director of Pueblo Neighborhood Center for years working with and being part of communities that — minority communities that value educational deeply and achievement deeply, and so maybe relating some of those experiences, and then opening up for whatever questions you have.

The education of children of color and poor kids is a perpetual issue both locally, statewide and nationally, the quality of the education and the needs in that area. And, unfortunately, in the last five or six years that whole issue of the discussion of education for that particular population has been dominated by what I call political prescriptions as to how to deal with that issue, political prescriptions on how to deal with the education of children of color, minority kids and poor kids, and I really believe that those

political prescriptions aren't necessary in the answer.

So your hearing, hopefully, will bring to the Civil Rights Commission and to this state other perspectives about how to begin to deal with this issue, because I think that the litany of problems that we have in this area of education are kind of rooted in some very basic things. They are rooted in discrimination, they are rooted in cultural, economic, social, racial, linguistic discrimination that, unfortunately, has been part of the educational process historically in this community and historically in this country. And so we've got to accept that as a historical fact and historical premise, at least I do.

And then I think the other fundamental reason for dealing with these problems has been the lack of equitable resource support, and that is the distribution of public resources to where the need is and addressing that need.

I think there is a historical pattern and correlation between achievement and dropouts that are linked to that resource support. I'm glad that now the discussion in the State of Arizona is being dominated by the question of education. I think that

is healthy, because we're talking about resources, we're talking about equitable pay, and we're talking about those kinds of issues that involve education that have been perpetual issues with us for a long, long time.

And I think there's also an attitude issue, a perception that has been very difficult to undo, but I think a very wrong perception, that there are those communities from which those children come that don't care about education, they are not concerned about education, that is seen as a secondary issue in their lives. And that is completely false. All the polling that has ever been done in minority communities in this state, and Pima County in particular, ranks education as the top issue in the lives of family, in the lives of parents in this community, and it continues to be that way. So there is a value both qualitatively and quantitatively attached to education in the minority communities.

When I talked about political prescriptions to solving the needs of education, they are just that, they are political prescriptions.

They are attempts at quick fixes and ignoring, I think, some more fundamental issues there. So some

4 |

of the examples that I have is increased graduation requirement that will magically — is going to make high school students learn more; set higher achievement levels; ban bilingual education; school vouchers; privatize schools; English only; AIMS tests. Those are political prescriptions to the issue.

And the debate over political education prescriptions completely misses the point, because the point has been and continues to be the fundamental issue, as I said earlier, of discrimination and resource allocation, and in some minorities resource deprivation where there is not enough to attend to the issues that you are facing. So let me talk about the two issues that I wanted to talk about today.

The first one is the issue of dropouts, again, an issue that confounds all of us in the community, frustrates us all in the community. It is particularly difficult for educators in the sense that that is where the highest level of frustration is. And I think that that issue, again, is a resource issue, it's an issue about bias and it's also an issue about taking a scientific approach and not reinventing too many wheels to deal with the

issue of dropouts, but dealing with some fundamental and, if you will pardon the word, simplistic approaches to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Funding, absolutely. If you are going to deal with the question of dropouts you need funding for prevention, you need funding for When I talk about intervention and intervention. treatment, I'm talking about recovery strategies, i.e., adult basic education where we recover people that have left the system but still have an opportunity to be in an educational setting, acquire the basic degree, acquire the basic educational standards, and still be able to enter the work force and enter a career path with that. So adult basic education I have always considered part of intervention; that you must have a strong support system in the community that retrieves and provides an opportunity for recovery for people that have left the educational system.

Early childhood education, critical and necessary. That's a way to prevent dropouts, to get to kids and to their families early in the process.

Family support to work with parents, to educate parents, and for them to become part of the circle that helps educate their children.

fundamental issue. Jobs, an opportunity for young people in a high school setting, in a secondary setting, in an after-school setting, in a summer setting to have an opportunity to be employed, to have the employment experience and to have the

gratification of, A, a job; B, income; and C, the

And the other issue is a very

experience.

I think I've always -- my experience as Assistant Dean at the U of A was the higher my retention rate was with the students I worked with the most were the kids I could place in part-time jobs and give them the opportunity to have some resources in order to be able to sustain themselves. And the economic side, that is a critical point, and I think relevant and culturally and ethically sensitive not only instruction but leadership at the schools that support to retain kids and to meet -- to provide them with a comfort zone.

There was a study done about satisfaction in schools. Once a comfort zone — if you create a comfort zone and satisfaction level for young people, for their families and for their kids, there is a higher retention rate, there is a higher satisfaction with the schools. And I think that's an

1 important point.

Okay. That's a community responsibility, that is not just the school systems'. That involves governments, like the one I represent, Pima County, like the state government, like City of Tucson, to begin to nurture programs, strategies that work with kids, that use the schools, that use the resources in the context of school to reinforce, to support families and their kids. We haven't done that well. Sometimes government tends to compete with each other as to how they deal with the problem instead of strategically plan together how they are going to confront a problem. And, hopefully, that mindset is beginning to change. I hope it is.

Achievement. The issue of — I really don't have any problem with standards, I don't. I think standards as a whole are helpful, they create a bar we should shoot for, but to place arbitrary standards for testing measures without the resources to fully prepare and fully educate kids is merely a process of pointing fingers. It does nothing with the root issue, which is to raise achievement.

So if you are going to talk about achievement, then you have to talk about resources and you have to talk about class size, you have to

talk about compensation, you have to talk about standardized tests that are culturally relevant and sensitive. You have to talk about a strong community that supports that process and you have to talk about early childhood education and full day care, kindergarten for kids, so that we can evolve to the point where achievement tests are not a threat but merely a reinforcement of what you already have been preparing children to do when they get to a certain grade. That goes back to the idea of community planning.

I think sometimes there is a perception that those of us, and individuals that are advocates for the minority community and children of color, that are involved in preserving and sustaining bilingual education, that are involved in raising issues about discrimination and other issues in the public schools are not for accountability and are not for measurable goals. We are absolutely for those things. We think those things are important. Our school systems need to be accountable, we need to be able to show that there are measurable gains occurring for our children as we go along, because that reinforces what we are trying to do as a whole in the community.

But I think the responsibility then is also a shared responsibility and a shared , accountability. It cannot only be on one sector of the community to be fully accountable and fully responsible for the measurement of their gains if there is not a shared responsibility with funding and resources at the state, local and national level. If we can sustain good educational achievement in this community with the resources that we need, then the debate over political prescriptions on education becomes moot, and questions that are being raised now and quick-fix solutions that are being proposed would become irrelevant.

Advisory Committee here in the State of Arizona, I think the issue of education — we should all be gratified that the discussion about education is dominating the public dialogue, because it should.

And I happen to be a person that actually supports Governor Hull's idea of a sales tax for education, and I do that because it represents resources. It doesn't represent — I have some problem with some of her accountability measures she put in that bill, but it represents resources and it represents an influx of resources on a yearly basis that would give us the

opportunity not only to be accountable but to be measured for.

But it goes back to the earlier points, maybe we can begin to provide those resources to where the real need is, because to do otherwise is to constantly point a finger, constantly wring our hands and constantly say to one another that we can't do anything about the problems of achievement and the problems of dropouts. They can be resolved. It is not a difficult issue to resolve. It requires money, time, and some really good leadership in this community to do it. I think Pima County and Tucson has that capability. All it needs is the resources to be able to carry that out.

That's the only testimony and -statement, I guess, more than that. Any questions?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. Yes, I
have a couple of questions.

Number one, recently our Mayor suggested that we have a children's march on the State Legislature demanding that there be more funding provided for education, and then a second suggestion was made just this week, as a matter of fact, that a plan be developed by Tucsonans and, specifically, by the Metropolitan Education

1

3

4

6

7

8

5

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

Commission, that would coalesce the Tucson community to go to the Legislature to ask for the additional funding, to demand it. Do you see that as a feasible way to approach what you are talking about as far as --

MR. GRIJALVA: Yeah, I think that's a viable strategy. I have no problem. I think it's a viable strategy because there has to be a perception and understanding on the part of political leadership at the Legislative level that this is not just a special interest issue, this is a community issue. And it's not just those of us who are involved in public education or care about public education or education in general that are being the advocates for this, that there are parents, that there are children, that's a communitywide issue.

I think if you put the parameters in that effort to get additional resources to Tucson where those resources are needed the most -- there was a time we had focal schools in T.U.S.D., target schools in T.U.S.D. where there was a special emphasis made at raising achievement and providing resources to that. That's part of the plan, I think that becomes inclusive, and I think more people would be supportive of it.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: My second question has to do with -- you suggested that jobs were an important component for minority children specifically to achieve and to not drop out of school. There are programs now existing in the Pima County area which are good programs that would provide a model across the community, one being -- we're sitting right here in Amphitheater High School, and Amphitheater High School has developed a complete program that takes kids into job preparation and link them to jobs during their high school years, and we

Do you see some way that we can more systematically --

know that many of these kids are at risk.

MR. GRIJALVA: We have two good examples. We have Amphi, Sunnyside, we have other examples where in-school job development programs and job support programs have been really good in terms of working with average kids, keeping them in school, getting their grades up.

Parents have to be part of — they have to sign contracts, they have to be part of what that child, that student does in school. I think those are good, they are great examples.

And I just think it's a question of

self-worth. I feel for a young person to be employed, to feel they are contributing in some way to themselves or to others just enhances their ability as human beings to kind of deal with what they have to deal with as students and as human beings. I think that's the key thing to jobs, not make-do jobs where you take up space, but jobs that have some substance to what they are doing.

Yeah, I would think employment is real important. Part of it's not brought into the discussion enough. I really think it is.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm asking specifically about a system that could be communitywide and statewide that really engages the education community and ties it more closely together to the business community, to the government, so that we really are getting synergy and we are really catching the minority youth.

MR. GRIJALVA: Like I said earlier, we tend sometimes to compete with each other where one section of government will do the jobs, you do the education, business, you provide the sites for it.

And if you can bring that together and it's cohesive, then it becomes that much more powerful, and you eliminate — trying to find the right word. It's not

competition, everybody's got their little territory.

And when we are dealing with kids at risk and kids
that are potential dropouts, the wise thing is to
eliminate those artificial lines.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. I would like to open up the discussion.

MR. PAZ: Just one thing. I've been asking all afternoon for your description, your analysis or statement on the English only initiative.

MR. GRIJALVA: I'm absolutely opposed to it. I was being more subtle when I talked about political prescriptions to solve problems. That's one of them.

I think the English only initiative, the banning of bilingual education in public schools are inherently discriminatory for a lot of reasons. You know, we historically already went through those problems already, had to deal with the consequences of those actions 20, 25 years ago, and I think it's being used as a political wedge in education when we need to be accepting our diversity and integrating it into what we do in our public schools. It's a wedge issue, it's an ugly wedge issue, and it's used only for political purposes. It has no relevancy to the education or the betterment of kids. I'm opposed to

it both on the political level and I think it's discriminatory. The topic we're talking about today, education, it's a wedge issue that divides schools.

Schools are becoming less homogeneous than they ever were. They are diverse centers, represent the communities, Amphi does. There is no high school that does not have a mixture of kids anymore, and to introduce those wedge issues into our school life, I think, is a detriment, and if they are successful we are going to pay the consequences of those decisions 10, 15 years from now in spades.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay.

MS. JULIEN: I'd like to just ask one thing, something we haven't touched on much today, is students entering post secondary education, what do you see — how would you evaluate the efforts of our university system to attract minority students, and have you seen any change in the last decade?

MR. GRIJALVA: There's been some change in the last decade. And that is not a concession, it's just an acceptance of the fact that I think in this instance the University of Arizona down here, has come to the realization that they serve the home taxpayer base of Pima County, not just a select part of the taxpayer base area.

I think a lot more can be done. I think the issues that remain for recruitment and retention of kids is the same issues we talked about earlier. It's financial assistance to get them there, get them into school, and financial assistance and support to retain them and keep them in school.

My experience when I was at the
University of Arizona is that we did a wonderful job
of recruiting, then we had a more difficult job of
retaining. And so I think that the University of
Arizona is beginning to — it's changed a lot in ten
years, and that is a compliment to the efforts of the
University of Arizona. I think what now the
University has before it as a challenge is not just
merely to bring the kids in, to keep them there, and
that is a whole other strategy.

there that weren't there when I was there, and they are healthy and they are helping kids, but I still think that the University of Arizona, ASU, NAU, community colleges do a good job of getting kids there, they do a great job of getting their kids there, provide the finances early and sustain it through the course of that person's career, I think we get more and keep more kids there.

1 MS. JULIEN: Thank you. 2 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Are there any other 3 questions? 4 I want to thank you. 5 MR. GRIJALVA: Thank you very much. 6 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Our next person to 7 testify is Lorraine Lee. 8 I want to thank you for taking the time 9 to meet with us this afternoon, and if you could 10 state your name and your organization for the record. 11 My name is Lorraine Lee. MS. LEE: 12 Vice-president of Chicanos Por La Causa in Tucson. 13 Anything else? 14 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: That's it. 15 MS. LEE: Well, okay, here we go. 16 Well, thank you very much for giving me 17 the opportunity to address you this afternoon. 18 interesting that we are here at the school and 19 talking about education and looking at everything. 20 think that one of the things that this school -- that 21 the school has done so well only recently has been 22 its openness to the community recognizing, as was 23 mentioned earlier by Supervisor Grijalva, the notion 24 of diversity within the Tucson community is in every 25 part of this community and it is not isolated to one

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

11

10

13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

particular part. It is in looking at that we move forward then in understanding what are the things and what are the needs.

I think that Amphi High School took a whole step this year in being able to bring students to the forefront in putting together what I understand to be the first mariachi concert that brought young people together, that organized it in doing that.

What does that mean and why is that so important?

I think we should go back and look at the idea of what our young people are looking at, what it is they need -- our children -- and understand that.

As Chicanos Por La Causa Community Development Corporation within the State of Arizona we have been involved in a whole variety of different areas from housing to homeless prevention, small business development, and everything in between. have recently, though, within the last few years embarked in the area of a charter school realm, the formulation of Calle Olin, that we embarked on this effort.

Why did we go forward in understanding,

trying to figure out why we want to develop a charter school?

What we found as we were working with young people was that our young people had a huge yearning to understand who they were and where they come from, and the lack of places and resources for them to be able to do that. So as we went through, tried to understand through the various high school visitations, talking within classrooms and looking, we really saw that this was lacking in schools. We feel that it was important for us to be able to provide that understanding, that knowledge to our young people, and the best way to do that seemed to be through the charter school and through the development of such a school.

I was very surprised to see how the charter school movement across the country really swept, really started to become a real focal point for a lot of states, then to be able to find that in the State of Arizona, we have become probably the leaders in the country among the charter school movement. Looking at that I asked ourselves, why is that, why is it that Arizona has probably the strongest effort of any state in the country in terms of charter schools?

\_ .

I think as we look — really look down deep in terms of that, it is because I think so many of our young people, so many of our parents find that there is very little that is being addressed for them, or that the traditional schools are not addressing their needs or the lack of — over and over again the lack of X, Y, Z, whatever that might be.

In regards to our community, why
Chicanos Por La Causa got involved with charter
schools, because as I mentioned our young people did
not find themselves within a school system, they did
not find themselves in a book, a history book. There
are stories over and over again of parents that say
they have gone into classrooms, opened up the book,
let's see our history. It is left to one or two
pages, if we are lucky, that will tell you a little
bit about the history of the Southwest, that will
tell you a little bit about the history of Latinos,
of Chicanos in Arizona, in the Southwest.

When you look at that and you look at little kids that grow up and try to see, where do I belong, everybody around them says, Johnny, Susie, you must get an education, this is what you must do, you must have goals, you must move forward, you must

learn, learn, learn. They open up a book, what do they see? They are not in that book. They are nowhere to be found in that book.

So what are we saying to our young people? You look at that young child in elementary, you look at them in high school, especially in Arizona and Phoenix, anywhere in the State of Arizona of young people with concerns that parents are having because of quote, unquote, youth violence. Why? The concern on the city's south side within recent weeks of the killings taking place. Why? Everyone is searching for an answer, trying to find out what can we do, how can we change this, why is this happening?

It is very, very simple if you look at the roots at what is going on, starting with our schools. Where are they in their books, where are they in the classroom? They are left with pinatas, mariachis, tacos and chalupas. These are the messages our young kids are getting. This is where they are searching to find themselves. This is where we expect them to find themselves. I think this is a huge problem.

When Chicanos Por La Causa embarked on the charter school movement, looked at developing the school, we went through to try and pull together the

information that we could that could provide us with history, that would stick with the curriculum, that met state guidelines, falling all of that into place, look what high schools are teaching along those lines. There was very, very little.

I sat on a committee of T.U.S.D. for over a year on the analysis of Hispanic studies, bilingual education. I sat on that committee and I am very sad to say — on the one hand it was positive in that they finally recognized that there was a need. Very, very sad to see that the amount of money that was committed to that effort was a token amount. It was a joke and it is a joke.

You look at the amount of students that are in that district, the amount of money committed to that effort. That is not to say T.U.S.D. is a bad school district. What it is reflective, what I would say, look at any district within the State of Arizona, it is probably very similar.

T.U.S.D. may be in many ways at the forefront, because at the very least it has developed and recognized the need in trying to institute and implement something. But on the face of it how many years has it taken to get to that point? What is that saying to our young people? Where do they go to

find out who they are, where they come from, how they are going to determine where they are going to go?

It is then looking at this we must recognize that there is a gap, a huge, huge gap that exists, and that we must then move forward to be able to implement and meet that gap. We as an organization are willing to do that. Why is that? I'm not here to say, geez, we are this wonderful organization, wonderful school. We should do things in partnership with school districts, educators in being able to meet those needs. We can work and deal with families, address family needs. School systems have to take care of education, and children's needs and educational needs of those children.

It is important then for us to look at that. Students are going to act out many times because they lack something, a sense of belonging, a sense of self, a sense of being. We are not answering those needs in whatever fashion anywhere, whether it's in media, it's in music, or it's in a classroom. We are not doing that.

And so what we get are students that act out, students that want to belong, whether it's affiliation to an honor society or it's an affiliation to a neighborhood group. People want to

belong, we all want to belong. They will move forward then in those efforts to do that in whatever way they can. Our schools then should be able to provide and be creative and understanding how to do that.

We have educators within our school systems that really move forward, made a commitment to teach, to teach Chicanos, Mexican American studies, Hispanic studies, on and on, but every one of those teachers I would venture to say, if you talked to them, have had to struggle in being able to implement that within the classrooms and trying to justify why they should teach what they are teaching, why it is important and how does it meet state standards and how they are complying with their curriculum.

Where is the positive reinforcement for them, letting them know this is a good thing and this is how we can work together and here are the resources, this is how we can develop it, at the very least collectively come up with solutions?

So I throw out to you the challenge that we all have as a community. As was stated before, it is a community issue. Dropout rates can be addressed, school quality and education can be

addressed, but we have to be willing to take the risk to say this is what the huge gap is and this is what we must do in order to address it.

And, yes, it is a lack of Chicano,

Mexican American, Southwest history, that it begins

there. It begins there and it doesn't end there. It

must be incorporated throughout. And there is no

reason why, whether you are African American, Native

American, Irish American, German American or Asian

American, why you should not know the history of the

Southwest.

Let me move on to the second issue that I have here today. That is the idea of language acquisition. The idea of bilingual education, it is not about learning Spanish, as many of us would be led to believe. It is about learning English, it is about integrating into the classroom and being able to maximize your education and being able to do that. Beyond that it is understanding we live in a global economy ever increasing, and that our children will compete in this society and we must equip them with the skills necessary in order to be successful.

As we talk about bilingual education and the attacks that have been put on bilingual education, whether justified, unjustified, depending

what side you're looking at, the point is look at the child and what that child needs in order to compete.

Why do we send children to school? We want them to learn. Ultimately, isn't it we want them to be successful adults, we want them to be able to take care of themselves, become productive citizens?

Our society — and if we are attacking them on language, if we are saying to them, you can speak this, you can't speak that, you can do this, you can't do that, they have nowhere to find themselves. I'm not hearing about history. On top of that, the history from which they came, the language from which they came is being attacked.

What is that saying to our young people? How do we expect them to compete in the global economy if, at the very least, what we are saying to them, you must converse in English only? What is that saying if we want them to become part of that Fortune 500 company? You better have a little bit more than just English. You better be able to relate and know at the very least how to deal with other people on a personal level and on a business, corporate level.

What are we saying to our young people

if we are doing this, if we are saying to our young people — well, the school district is going to wind up being the policing agent, as in California. Are we going to turn our schools into that? I think we are moving in that direction, and it is very, very scary as we look ahead.

The idea of the attacks on bilingual education are severe, they are real, and we know they are real, and they are sending messages to our young people. Regardless of whether they are Mexican American children or not, we are sending messages to our young people. Is that the message we want to send to them?

Move on, look at the AIMS and what is the AIMS test saying? We look at SATs, ACTs, Stanford 9s, these are all tests that are out there and thrown at our children. They are all, in my belief, racially biased, they are all racially biased.

How then do we expect our AIMS test not to be racially biased? I believe that it is. How can we rely on a test to assess a student's success, that one test will determine how our young people will move forward in this world and this society to become those productive students or citizens of our

community that we expect them to be, to go out into the world and compete, to have the skills that they need and be able to do that based on a test?

Why are we doing this to our young people? Again, what is the message we are sending?

It is a community issue and we are all part of that issue in moving together for the success of our young people.

It is sad to look at dropout rates that exist in all of this community. It is sad to look at what is with the issues from one side of the community to the other, when we look at monies, where they are going, how they are going to be used. I think we need to look very, very seriously at issues of accountability, whether we are talking about bilingual education or we're talking about whatever else it is, we must talk about accountability. It is extremely important. We must talk about expectations, it is extremely important.

But there must be equity in that discussion as we look forward, and we must look at the issues that are entailed, whether you are talking about a south side school, east side school, north side school, to talk about the various issues that are involved and the various elements that are there.

It is so important. And as we look at young people and look at their discovery of self and their discovery of who they are and where they are going as they ponder their direction and where they must go, that they should realize that their existence, that their purpose in this world is more than just two pages in a history book. It's far more than that. It is inclusive of this country, all those in it, but also inclusive of the world around them, from one end of the globe to the other.

The message that we must send out to them is that the more you learn, the better you must comply, obviously, to some standards, quote, unquote, that must exist there at a minimum. But it is far more than a test, it is far more than lines on a piece of paper, but it is conversing with people as people and learning to coexist and learning to pull the richness from each other, to be able to pull that, to learn and be able to move forward and walk out the doors of any high school, to move on to higher education, know that those doors are — that the link between post secondary education and high school exists, it must be within reach for all, to be able to know they can attain it if they want because they have a right to be able to do that.

We have students in our community that have never been on the University campus, that have never been there. What's even sadder, they really don't want to go there because they think it isn't something for them. But if we could reach out to them, be able to expose them, be able to look at that, how much better they will be for no other reason to know that it exists in our community, to know that it's there. But it takes people in positions of power with resources to create and take the risk to pull those students from where they are

I'm not sure how much more time I have here. I will close with that and entertain questions you have.

and give them that exposure.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. I have one question. I gather Calle Olin Academy is grounded in history and that you are giving the deep roots for the students who come to your charter school so that they can form a foundation for themselves to grow and mature and actually become good students. Now, are you — do you give them the AIMS test, do they have to take that, or is that something that —

MS. LEE: Oh, absolutely. The charter school is recognized as any other high school within

the State of Arizona, therefore, it must comply with the AIMS testing.

The sad thing about it, for every school — you talk to any school teacher, we are now teaching to the test.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Oh, you are?

MS. LEE: It is so sad. You wind up — you have no choice, you have to. If you don't teach to the test, how are you going to ensure success for your students?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Can you do that and still include the history and culture as part of their classroom experience?

MS. LEE: Yes, we have. Because of our commitment to what our philosophy is and the emphasis of the school we try to incorporate it, but it becomes even harder as the AIMS test comes up and comes forward. And for charter schools it's even harder to be able to reflect those test scores because we have open enrollment. A student will come in at any point in time, so by the time they are going to take the AIMS test we may have a student only for three weeks, yet they are expected to take that AIMS test. We have three weeks to prepare somebody for a test that they have walked through the

door with ten years already under their belt of a school system from wherever and they have to sit there and take that test.

And then I know that people have been looking at the results of the charter schools, going through these scores, looks really sad comparatively speaking, but you have got to look at what the charter schools are walking into it, at what point are we getting them to be able to prepare them?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you.

Do we have questions from the other members?

MS. JULIEN: When you talk about incorporating the history of the Southwest in the curriculum, from what I know of the work in T.U.S.D. there was a lot of talk about creating what appeared to be sort of a separate curriculum. Am I clear in understanding that you would prefer to see that history incorporated in the curriculum every student takes?

MS. LEE: First and foremost up front,
I am not an educator, so let me state that. So as we
talk about lingos, I'm not totally versed in that.

MS. JULIEN: The difference between offering a section of Latin American history versus

making sure that Latin American history is part of American history.

MS. LEE: I think that it goes both ways. Those are two things, I think both are very, very necessary. It should be an integrated curriculum throughout, from kindergarten, all the way from K through 12 in looking at that.

opportunities then to be able to give more stronger courses that will speak specifically toward specific areas of history, whether it's literature, or it might be the arts, or whatever it is. But at the very least, I think first and foremost, it would be so wonderful if it were integrated. It would be wonderful if it could be integrated in doing that, and that is what I think we should strive for. But I know that at the very least there must be courses that are in place, departments that are developed to ensure that curriculums comply, obviously, with state standards, and they do exist and that it is possible and it is being done.

It needs to be augmented and expanded, and it must be given the support, because you can go — there are teachers that kill themselves putting together those curriculums and trying to make sure

that they comply with every single piece, and it does, but what it has taken to get there and to be able to stay there and continue to enhance those curriculums, it is very, very difficult and it's very trying. And it has to be an individual or individuals that are very, very committed.

MS. JULIEN: When you worked on that committee did you look at textbooks?

MS. LEE: We did not get into specifics at all in terms of looking at textbooks, because we did discuss the importance of being able to look at the training of those teachers.

You can't just take someone because they are Hispanic and throw them into a classroom and expect them to teach Southwest history or Chicano history and they are going to know it. It really has to be someone that has the training and understanding, true understanding of what that is.

MS. JULIEN: One of your statements was that the funding that was available to put this together was not nearly enough. Can you elaborate a little bit on what you would think would be -- would need funding and what appropriate funding would be?

MS. LEE: I cannot give you specific numbers to say, geez -- I think there are a lot of

elements that need to be taken into account. The size, amounts of implementation you are willing to put in place to do that, what the cost would be.

I can say, though, in looking at the amounts of dollars in this particular case that had been committed previously to Native American studies, the amounts of dollars that were given to African American studies that go back many years of continual funding, and then the token amount that was finally given to Hispanic studies was very lopsided.

MS. JULIEN: What you're saying, that comparatively — when you compare the funding to the other studies, the Native American, the African American, this funding was not comparable?

MS. LEE: It was very, very lopsided. But when we look at the percentages of enrollment of children it was quite the opposite. It is quite the opposite.

MS. JULIEN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PAZ: We know the impact that the English only initiative will make on education. From your organizational point of view in the areas that you cover, development and all that, how would that impact all the other programs that you have in Chicanos Por La Causa?

MS. LEE: Again, I think in terms of my opinion of it I think it's ludicrous, it's absurd, it makes no sense.

Going back to what we are talking about a global economy, for us within our organization, what we do in the delivery of services that are very diverse, talking about small business development — and, yes, the push is how do we create — the whole NAFTA deal, how do we create the business, the maquilladores, how to build those relationships together, the effects of that. If you do not have that language or at least an understanding, the idea of looking at our families that we deal with every day, prevention of homelessness and dealing with them, the challenges, the skills and lack of skills that they have in order to be able to compete is tremendous.

needed, whether it's college, high school or special skills, whether they are in the trades or otherwise — we have been working so vigorously with many of the laid-off miners and their families who have had a tremendous blow to them after 20 years.

Some of these individuals have worked there that now find themselves trying to figure out what they do

1 next.

And the challenge is not just to that individual that works there and how that deals with their own self-perception and morale, but to everybody in that family, from the young children, all the children, to the spouses. And the impact that it has, where do we give them jobs, are they going to sit in a telemarketing job and answer phones at minimum wage part time?

That's not to say all those jobs are terrible and there's not a place for them. If you have been a miner, that's kind of hard to accept that. You have got to develop those skills when you are going through — some may have limited English—speaking abilities and get doors slammed in their faces.

We get cases in the workplace where they are told not to speak English because it makes the other coworkers feel uncomfortable. That's a violation of their rights, their Civil Rights, yet we hear over and over cases where signs are actually posted for them.

You have a parent that gets that at their work, they come home, their young children, their kids hear that, what does it say to them? What

1 does

does that do to their self-perception?

We have young people that we work with at Corozon Atzalan that go through this leadership program. We have a tremendous number of students that come from 14 different high schools in this community, so they are not just coming from one area, and they flock to us. Why? Because they are searching for something.

The emphasis is on culture, history, being able to teach them and expose them at the very least to what's there. They keep coming and they keep looking because there is a yearning, a hunger to know, to learn. And there is very little — there are very little places which they can go to to be able to do that.

You see splashed on newspapers attacks about equal education, you see splashed on newspapers certain attorneys that only speak English in this office, or whatever it is. What are the messages? So there is an anger, there is a big, tremendous anger among our young people, all of our young people, not just among Latinos, to go out there. We keep continually, directly and indirectly, sending messages to young people, so when they go out on the street a lot of those impressions are already there

and you get people acting out, and very little is there to give them direction on where to go. It sure isn't in our schools, or at least — at the very least it is not to the extent that it needs to be in our schools.

For all of our children English only is a bad thing, it's a bad thing, and it sends a bad and dangerous message to everyone. And English only says, I believe it's okay to be racist, it's okay to tell people that they can only speak one language because we feel uncomfortable or because we're afraid.

Let me tell you, the history of this country has based itself on fear many times. Just ask the Japanese internments, ask what is impossible. We would never do that, we would never take any rights away from our people. It happened, it happened, so why can't it happen today? American citizens were yanked out, everything taken away, put in camps. There are phobias, those phobias, and it exists.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Are there any other questions?

Thank you very much. And do you have any comments that you can leave with us?

1 MS. LEE: I don't have them today, but 2 I certainly will put some together and send them to 3 you. MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: We are going to take . 5 a ten-minute break. 6 (Recess.) 7 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: The next speaker 8 with us this afternoon is Laura Penny. 9 And if you could please state your name 10 for the record and also the organization that you 11 represent. 12 MS. PENNY: Yes. My name is Laura 13 Penny. I represent the Arizona Department of 14 Education. 15 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: And this is being 16 run on 20-minute intervals, so you have 20 minutes to 17 present, and then there will be questions from the 18 panel. 19 MS. PENNY: I promise to take less than 20 that. 21 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. 22 MS. PENNY: Thank you for inviting me 23 to be here today. 24 Unfortunately, what I have to report is 25 not good news. By any educational measure we are not

doing well by our minority students in this state.

The causes for some of that are unclear, which makes it difficult to develop policies that will address the statistics that I'm going present to you today.

I would like to speak first about the dropout rate. At the high school level minority students drop out at twice the rate of our Anglo students, with the exception of our Asian students. We have overall, for high school, an 8.5 percent dropout rate for Anglos, 15.4 percent dropout rate for African American students, 17.6 for Hispanics, 19.1 for Native Americans, and 8.2 percent dropout rate for Asians.

Part of the difficulty for us is that a large number of these dropouts are considered status unknown, which means we don't know where they went or why they left school. We need a better way to track students to find out if, in fact, they have moved on to another school and somehow we didn't find out about it, if they moved out of state, exactly what happened to these students that we show as leaving school.

We have a proposal for a student accountability and information system that would help us not only track students and dropout rates but also

track achievement. We will see if we can get funding out of the Legislature for that.

We did seek increased funding this year for dropout prevention funds, however, our bill was cut significantly, and a mere \$50,000 was proposed for that dropout prevention. That's on top of the sum for dropout prevention programs the state currently funds, but for the most part dropout prevention efforts are a local issue.

Schools know best how to teach their students and how to retain them in school. The best we can do is provide money for them to do that in ways that they have shown to be effective.

Unfortunately, we have not seen that funding forthcoming from the Legislature.

In the area of achievement there are a couple of things that we've looked at. One, of course, is the AIMS test, which was given for the first time last spring, spring of 1999, and with the exception of mathematics Anglo students outperformed all minority students on last spring's administration of the test. While much has been said about the math portion of the test, it is shocking that 65 percent of Native American students, 63 percent of Hispanic and 55 percent of African American students could not

questions about its content.

One of the things we are doing at the state level is to address this issue of academic standards. We believe that by setting strong, rigorous standards which all students must learn and which all schools must teach, we will be providing all students the opportunity to learn challenging course material.

read and comprehend it well enough to answer

One of our concerns is that when students start out there are certainly some inequities in the early elementary grades. Those disparities increase over the course of a child's education. We're not sure why that happens, but it almost seems like the system has lower expectations of minority students than it does Anglo students, and for that reason students are not presented with challenging material, and they continue to lag farther and farther behind as they progress through the system.

We also see something like that on the Stanford 9 where we actually see declining student performance from grades 2 through 11 in virtually all ethnicity categories, including Anglo students. In other words, we test students in second grade and we

1

3 4

5

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

test them in 11th grade every year through those grades, and scores on Stanford 9 for 11th graders are actually lower than scores for our second graders. This is a mystery to me how we manage to have students in our schools for nine or ten years and actually have scores decline over that period of time when measured against a national standard.

It's this result that has kind of led us to look at year-over-year academic progress as an indicator of how students and schools are doing. With the Stanford 9 being given annually we can compare a student growth -- academic growth over a one-year period. We look at the individual student, that way we screen out factors like ethnicity, socioeconomic status and comparing the same child from year to year. When most of those things won't change over the course of a year we have been able then to control for some of those external factors that are often blamed for low student achievement.

What we find is that some schools in our state are doing a fabulous job. They are moving kids more than one academic year in the course of a school year. They are taking children wherever they are when they walk in the door and challenging them to make more progress than would ordinarily be

expected. That is happening across ethnic lines.

What we need to do is identify how those schools are doing that, how they are moving kids in that way, and we need to replicate that in every school across the state.

I know you have had a great deal of testimony today about bilingual education. The state lost a court case earlier this year called the Flores case, and the judge basically said that the state is underfunding English acquisition and bilingual education programs. We are in the process of working within the school district to come up with a formula that would provide us with an adequate amount of money to fund those kind of programs. Again, we have not seen a lot of action from the Legislature on that issue, and I don't know if we'll be able to get them to address it. Clearly we need to do better.

Statistics from last year show that there are about 1,400 students in high school who have been in some kind of bilingual or ESL instruction for their entire academic careers before they are moved to proficiency in English. They have been in programs for nine, ten, 11, 12 years. We need to do better than that, because no matter how many languages our students speak, and we believe

that multilingualism is a gift, that we believe they need to be proficient in English if they are going to succeed in this country.

Some of those students who come to us with limited English speaking proficiency come in again and test on the Stanford 9 at like the 27th percentile, the 24th percentile, depending on which program they are in, in the second grade, and by 11th grade we've moved them to the 22nd percentile and the 14th percentile. Then we are not doing enough to move these students to proficiency in English, and, in fact, their scores are going down on standardized tests over the course of their education.

I again will lobby the Legislature for more funding for these kinds of programs. But, once again, we also have a dearth of information about what works and which programs are most successful at moving students to proficiency without being punitive about their native language. We need to find out what does work and how we can replicate that in other schools.

Part of the problem is one of, I guess, definitions. We have some schools that offer a program called transitional bilingual education.

What that means in one school may mean very different

things in another school. So actually trying to find out the mechanics of what goes on in those programs is going to be increasingly important to us in order to make some informed policy decisions about how to reach these things and bring them up to proficiency.

I feel like I've just handed you a bunch of bleak statistics, but our belief with the focus now in Arizona on student achievement, we are going to begin to see more of an emphasis on providing all students with a rich curriculum, challenging curriculum, and that, in fact, we'll see that achievement gap close. We have seen that happen in Texas where they have had a testing program for a number of years and many districts have been successful in closing that achievement gap through the use of standard-based instruction.

I hate to point to Texas as a model, but there you have it. We will be continuing to analyze the results of our testing here in Arizona to find out what we can do to close that gap.

And I don't have any other bad news for you, but I am willing to answer questions.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you.

Recently the Washington Post ran an article on the Texas program and asked questions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

specifically directed towards minority students, and I gather that there has been a higher dropout rate in Texas by minority students because of the testing process, and I don't know if you know anything, if you can fill me in on that in any way, but my question is in working with language -- and I had to use Spanish in my Master's and my Doctorate, it was a language of choice for me as I was moving through my I could read it very well and I could use program. it for research, but if I had had to use that language in my prelims I would have flunked. that's the problem that I see, if a child comes into a program and has one year to learn English, I wonder if anyone could do that in a year's process or two years or even three years.

MS. PENNY: We do have a three-year exemption in the testing program. I realize that for some students that may not be enough time to become proficient enough in English to be tested in it. I think our concern has been that there are a number of students who don't get assessed at all, they get exempted from testing. And if we didn't have some mechanism to evaluate their progress that we would never know what kind of progress they are making.

I will agree, this is an imperfect

system, but we don't have the resources as a state to provide the test, any test, in the 43 different languages that are spoken in Arizona.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. That moves on to the second question I have that has to do with funding. And I know that the AIMS was required by the Legislature and that it is something that our school systems will need to deal with, but as in any other imperfect system at the present time we don't have the resources to really implement this test. And how do we go about getting those resources so that we can work with the test that has been mandated?

MS. PENNY: Boy, if I had the answer to that I would be rich and retired.

You know, we are at the Legislature advocating for increased funding for education. The Governor and Superintendent have a proposal on the table right now for a dedicated revenue stream of funding for education that the Legislature has not seen fit to move on yet.

When we first started discussing this test that the Legislature had mandated, we set standards in 1996. Those students who will be subject to the graduation requirement were in the 7th

grade at that point, so our belief was that by 2002, when they had to graduate, that they would have had six years of standard-based instruction. AIMS is designed to test the standards, and the standards are designed to be taught in our schools. I realize some schools move with more alacrity than others in that process.

Even before we had academic standards we had the essential — something called essential skills. Essential skills were required to be taught in all schools. Those essential skills included reading, writing, mathematics; so those essential skills should have been in place prior to the standards, which would have been while the class of 2002 was 1st through 6th graders.

And so I guess my question is, what were we teaching children during those six years if not reading, writing and math; and, second, will we ever get to a place where the instruction that's provided during the course of a regular school year is, in fact, standards—based instruction and, consequently, sufficient for students to do well on the AIMS test.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you.

Are there other questions?

MS. JULIEN: One of the questions that came up regularly among students as they took the test was, especially in this neighborhood where we have a rather large private school nearby, private schools right now are not required to take the test. Would you, for the record, explain why private schools don't need to take the test? Because it does seem to be somewhat discriminatory that some kids can buy a high school diploma.

MS. PENNY: There is a statute, a law that says that our department and Superintendent have no jurisdiction over private schools. The state does not — they have required the schools to offer at least the same amount of instructional days as the regular public school, but, otherwise, the state has chosen not to impose any kind of requirements or mandates on private schools.

Private schools can take the AIMS test, the State Board has offered it to them, and there are some private schools in the state that did choose to take advantage of that. The little catch is that they have to publish their scores just like regular high schools do.

I think that has intimidated some schools from participating in the process, although I

1 have talked to a number of parents of students at 2 that large private school in this neighborhood who 3 have all said they would like their students to take 4 the test. They would like to know if they are getting their monies' worth, basically. 5 6 MS. JULIEN: If the day comes that 7 voucher money goes to private schools, will that 8 change the situation, because that would then be 9 public funds going to a private school? 10 MS. PENNY: The Superintendent's 11 position all along has been that if a voucher 12 proposal did pass that students who chose to attend a private school using public funds would be subject to 13 14 the state's testing program. 15 MS. JULIEN: Just those students? 16 MS. PENNY: Just those students. 17 MR. PAZ: The involvement or the 18 participation of ESL schools taking the AIMS test, or 19 having to take the AIMS test, is that an ADE 20 initiative or is that a Legislative mandate? 21 MS. PENNY: That is an ADE initiative. 22 MR. PAZ: If the Department of 23 Education recognizes -- aside from monetary resources 24 that are lacking to train teachers to deal with the 25 standards, why can't they recognize the problems of

some districts that don't have bilingual education or 1 2 very strong ESL program cannot be considered to 3 address that situation? I'm not sure I understand 4 MS. PENNY: 5 your question. MR. PAZ: Well, we do know that the 6 7 test will teach the standards and many young people 8 in ESL barely struggle to learn the language, but now 9 they are put in a category of all kids, so I don't 10 understand why no concessions were made to address 11 ESL students differently. 12 MS. PENNY: At the high school level 13 you're talking about? 14 MR. PAZ: Yeah. 15 MS. PENNY: Because we do offer AIMS in 16 Spanish at grades three, five and eight. We tried 17 also to get tests developed in Navajo, which is the 18 second largest nonEnglish language spoken in this 19 state. We could not find a test developer who would 20 do that. 21 So at those grades we have, to some 22 extent, accommodated ESL. 23 MR. PAZ: But a lot of young people at 24 the high school level that know neither English nor 25 Spanish.

MS. PENNY: Right.

of standards, that's prevailed here, that everybody

wants standards, but, again, the resources or the

concessions or the information of the test to address different types of people — I don't want to categorize, saying like special education, neither has not been made, which does kind of mess up the results. I cannot understand why that was not considered at all, or at least thought upon more.

MS. PENNY: It was considered. It was

a topic of many, many anguished discussions, I have

to say, in our department and at the State Board.

MR. PAZ: Everybody's arguing the idea

I know that the Legislature has not lived up to their responsibility to fund education and to provide you with the resources that you need to teach these students. I guess at that point do we back off and say, okay, because the Legislature hasn't lived up to their responsibility we're not going to live up to ours and we are going to allow some students who are not proficient in English to earn a high school diploma. And I guess the question becomes, what is the community's expectations of a high school diploma?

MR. PAZ: Well, the community's

perception is perhaps that ADA might be endorsing the English only initiative and, thus, create a different kind of a problem, because we can blame the lack of funding — not a blame, it's a reality that's true. But, in essence, we are dealing with children that still need different teaching styles to be taught, and before we get into the area of standards we must — they must have a sense of belonging at that school and not feel like the minute they come in they are going to be out.

MS. PENNY: For the record, the Superintendent and the department have opposed the English for the Children proposal. We do not believe in a one-size-fits-all approach to bringing students to English proficiency. We know children learn differently, benefit from different programs.

I think we do understand the frustration of some people who see students in language programs, language acquisition programs for nine or 10 or 11, 12 years not because — not for any kind of political reason, but simply because they feel like the students are missing out; that if there is a way for us to bring them to English proficiency more quickly students will not miss out on so many educational opportunities that would be more

available to them if they spoke English.

It is an issue that we struggle with a lot. I understand a student who comes in in the ninth grade not speaking English and then the next year they are thrown — this test is thrown at them in English, we need to have better programs for those students. But I guess the question also becomes, could those students — are there programs out there that could bring those students to English proficiency by their senior year?

MR. PAZ: No, I understand your efforts, I applaud them, to be sensitive to some of the things that we've talked about.

I just — the last time when I last read that the State Chief was going to perhaps consider mathematics, either throw it away or whatever, start all over again, well, that's perhaps the most proficient area for Hispanic kids that come up from Mexico, perhaps that might be the only test that they might do well, and it was thrown away. So there's a lot of perceptions.

Perhaps what I would recommend -- I

don't know how much involvement of the Hispanic

Latino community educators have been involved in the

formation or the talks that you've had regarding ESL

students or students that are brand new to us for economic reasons, or any of this stuff, but perhaps involvement of educators that know linguistics, that know how kids learn could really help in finding the right resources once we get the money.

MS. PENNY: We would welcome a list of recommendations from you in that regard.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I just have one more comment.

We in Pima County have a special concern, because we — the dropouts that you talked about Pima County ranks first, and we rank first as far as minority students are concerned, and that is a concern of all of us. Is there any plan from the Department of Education on how a county with a high statistical rate like that can handle minority students who are dropping out because of the high-stakes test that we are facing?

MS. PENNY: I don't know that we've seen any students drop out because of a high stakes test. We've had a very high dropout rate in the state for years before AIMS and in this county for years before AIMS. So I don't know that we can attribute it to high-stakes testing.

I guess I don't know if it's a county

level or state level response. I say that not to pass the buck, but rather to say that intervention strategies that work in a large urban district like T.U.S.D. might vary greatly from intervention strategies that would work in a more outlying district such as Vail. The students might be leaving school for different reasons. There might be different opportunities available to those students after they leave school.

And we don't have a handle on that right now. We're not sure why these kids are leaving school or where they are going, so it's really hard to make informed policy recommendations about what can be done simply because we're not sure of the causes of these students leaving school.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Well, I can truthfully say that we had seen a drop in dropout rates between the eighth and ninth grade for Latino students at a certain time, because there has been a stronger emphasis on their continuing on to high school. And the fear many people in the community have is that this will diminish that desire to continue on to high school and to complete it, and that's the reason I was raising the question.

MS. PENNY: I understand that fear. I

1 guess time will tell. 2 We are choosing to be a little bit 3 more, I think, optimistic about the impact that this 4 test will have, and say that now we're spelling out 5 for students exactly what they need to know and be 6 able to do. We've given them a road map to 7 graduation, and that perhaps now understanding what's 8 expected of them they will follow that course. 9 We'll see what the next few years 10 bring. Our desire certainly is to raise achievement 11 for all students, not to force some segment of the population out of school. 12 13 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 14 MS. PENNY: Thank you. 15 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: The next speaker is 16 Ana Doane. 17 Good afternoon. Thank you for coming 18 this afternoon. Could you please state your name and 19 spell your last name for the reporter and the 20 organization you represent? 21 MS. DOAN: My name is Ana Lizabeth 22 Doan, D-O-A-N. I'll spell Ana Lizabeth just for fun. 23 A-N-A, L-I-Z-A-B-E-T-H, formerly Woolfolk. 24 Thank you very much for having me here 25 today and for taking the time to listen to me.

will try to be as brief as I can, because I know I only have 20 minutes. But I also have copies of the two main things that I would like to discuss, and that is the Arizona Statutes for — Arizona Revised Statutes for language minority students, also the case Flores versus Arizona. And that was a federal case won by the parents of the Nogales Public School District, and this case was won in January.

I would like to begin by stating that quality programs outweigh the effects of language barriers and poverty. Quality programs involve trained teachers, trained administrators, resources and equal education opportunities for all children.

As far as the Arizona Department of
Education and the Arizona Legislature, I'd like to
explain that the statutes themselves outline what
should happen with language with minority students in
our schools. The statutes were changed in 1999, this
school year, so the law has changed, though the
Arizona Department of Education told us we did not
have to follow the requirements of the law because
they have not set their assessments in place.

But in the previous law that began in 1984, parent notification letters were sent to each parent concerning alternative English language

programs. If their child was limited English proficient — I prefer to call them English learners in our case because we are on the U.S./Mexico border. They are Spanish thinkers. I myself am a Spanish

thinker, and I am still learning English.

The present law states that the parent is sent a notification and must sign a notification stating that they want their child in such a program. In the meantime these children will not have education in an alternative English language program. Within the alternative English language program you could have English as second language or bilingual education.

The state would — people from the state talk about services for limited English proficient students. Many times they say it's a bilingual program all the way through, but in essence parts of it is bilingual education, part of it is English as a second language.

I'm sorry. I'm going to go back a little bit and define Nogales Unified School District, because I think this information will help you with the information I have to share. Everything I have to share is research based. Nogales Public Schools actually has national researchers from George

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

10

11

9

12

14

15

13

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Mason University working with our data, Virginia --Doctor Virginia Collier and Doctor Wayne Thomas.

In Nogales we have 6,200 or more We're on the U.S./Mexico border. students. Ninety-five percent of our students are language minority, 70 to 80 percent are at the poverty level.

We have -- as far as our school attendance, at our alternative high school our students attend school 89 percent of the time. Αt our high school our attendance is 96 percent. children go to school. At the elementary schools our school attendance is 98 percent.

Eighty percent of our students are English learners, limited English proficient, Spanish Eighty-five percent of our high school thinkers. graduates are bilingual. They can speak English and More than 50 percent of our high school Spanish. graduates are biliterate. That means they can read, write, speak both English and Spanish.

The students that are in the international baccalaureate program start French in the ninth grade. They can't go into French until they are proficient in Spanish. Many, many of our students, through their international baccalaureate, are trilingual and have won state competitions in

1 French.

Sixty-five percent of our students go on to higher education. Unfortunately, the higher educational institutions do not receive our students with open arms. And, unfortunately, you can almost tell by the color of their skin who will make it and who will get support and who will not.

Our children are very multicultural.

They have been brought up in an international community. NAFTA, the twin plants, are flourishing, international trade is flourishing, tourism.

And I'd like to state that on the AIMS test last year our tenth graders took the AIMS test in English, and on the writing assessment our tenth graders scored at above the state level in English on the writing assessment, the six traits of writing.

We have used our own district
resources, federal resources, to be able to support
that. Our students did better than the state average
in math also. And your comment about Mexican
students — because we're not talking about
Hispanics. We have very few Puerto Ricans, Cubans,
Argentinians, we have Mexican students — are very,
very good in math.

And our dropout rate, I think we've

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

17

20

22

21

23

24

25

gone over it several times, 33 percent national level for Hispanic students, 18 percent at the state level for Hispanic students, ten percent general dropout rate for Arizona students. Nogales High School has a three percent dropout rate.

We educate our students. We have a bilingual program. Our students are in bilingual education an average of 2.5 years. The rest, when we do report to the state, they are in an ESL program from up to nine to ten years.

So we do support the education, we monitor the education, we identify all of our students. They are all identified with an English efficiency quotient based on the referenced test, the writing test, based on the state AIMS six traits of writing, a national assessment, the language assessment scale for their oral speaking abilities. Every student, whether they are English dominant or Spanish dominant, has been identified. Every teacher knows what the strengths and weaknesses are of their children.

And our parents' participation is fabulous. As far as the parent meetings, they come to -- it's almost 100 percent in kindergarten. course, at the elementary level it's between 80 and

2

3

90 percent. And we have parent liaison. If the parents were unable to attend the parent meetings, we go to the home.

4 5

participation again is very high, 70, 75 percent. the high school, for our parent meetings we get about

The middle school, our parent

In our community education is very

7

6

65 to 70 percent of our parents there.

8

9

important. We will send our students to school.

10

if our children do not pass the AIMS test, they will

11

be there until they are 21 years old, 11 months and

12

29 days. Our parents want their children to be

13

educated.

14

We took a survey of the parents and if

15 they wanted their children to be bilingual,

16

85 percent of the parents said that they did, which

17

correlates 100 percent to our graduation rate.

18

Eighty-five percent of our children are bilingual.

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

And as far as the class of '99, we wanted to see what the success rate was of an English only program versus bilingual programs, and what we found is that those students that were in English only programs who are reclassified at only three percent, and that means that they became English proficient -- only three percent of the students in

an all English program became English proficient. As far as — I'm talking about grade—level proficiency in reading, writing and speaking English based on the Stanford achievement test and the state writing assessment and the language assessment scale for their English speaking abilities.

Twelve percent of our students were reclassified as English proficient from the bilingual program. So it is obvious that our success is four times greater for the students that are in the bilingual program. I think the state average reclassification is four percent; and so we do lower than the state average in our English only programs and three times the state average in our bilingual education programs.

Back to the Arizona State Statutes and how difficult it is making education for us and at the same time the issues that we find with that. The parent consent, we try to follow the law, though we were told we had a one-year hiatus on the law and we — my department, nine or ten people, stood there at the parent conferences and tried to get parents to sign these notification letters. We only got 12 percent of our parents to sign them. For many reasons.

This is an extra step. This is —
explain it to me who has the time — and not because
our parents are not concerned with education, because
everyone else has proven that they are. Previously
the state statute said if a parent did not want their
child in a program, then we had to give them a
systematic individualized program.

With the new law, the law states that the child — if the parent denies services the child will not participate in either English as a second language instruction or bilingual education program offered by the school district. That is against federal law for equal educational access, and this conflicts with the requirements for the systematic individualized program.

The systematic individualized program reads that, when necessary — okay, I'm sorry. It says: To ensure equal education opportunities for the pupil by promoting English language development and by sustaining normal academic achievement through the whole language to the extent possible. So in this statute one part of the statute says this, but the other one definitely says that the children will not participate if the parent does not opt the child into a program. It used to be opt out, now it's opt

l in.

And because of our numbers — we have 5,500 students who are English learners. I will explain one thing in my next step. In the new law it says, if the district — the way the state is set up, if a district does not have a program they do not have to do any of this. If you do not have a child in a program you don't have to notify a parent that they are limited English proficient. The only reason you notify the parent is to ask them if they want their child to participate in the program.

The law should state that districts are required to notify their children that they are limited English proficient and that they may get support in the classroom from their teachers to make them successful, but the way the law stands, if you have no program you are rewarded, you don't have to do all this paperwork.

In the previous law there was — it was open to a district deciding what they would like to use as their language, their reading, writing and their oral assessment. Because we were very kindly visited by the United States Department of Education Office for Civil Rights, we followed their guidelines versus the Arizona statute, the previous and the

current. Because what's required by the Constitution is much more than what is in the statutes.

And so we used, again, the language assessment scale for the oral; for the reading we used a CLOZE test, C-L-O-Z-E, and it's a recognized reading comprehension test. We worked with Doctor Maria Montana-Harmon from California State-Fullerton. She worked with our CLOZE test. We have the CLOZE test in 2nd through 12th grade.

As far as the writing test, we use the state six traits of writing. We expect all of our students to be able to write using ideas and content, conventions, organization, sentence fluency, voice and word choice. Those are the six straits of writing that we expect our English learners to be able to master to become English proficient.

Currently, there is a committee meeting through the Arizona Department of Education to determine the assessment —

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry, I'm going to have to ask you, if you can, synopsize.

MS. DOAN: Okay. What the state is requiring is going to be mediocre. It's not going to be what's required in the U.S. Constitution. I've seen the assessments they have reviewed. It's a

mediocre level of literacy, it's not literacy. I've asked the State Superintendent to consider the six traits of writing for assessment. She definitely said it's going to be a can't program.

The Flores versus Arizona case was a case that parents'from the Nogales Unified School District had against the Arizona Department of Education because of the lack of funding, the lack of monitoring, the excessive assessments involved in educating English learners. What they found in the Flores versus Arizona is that the state was arbitrary and capricious in the funding of minority students, and it bears no relationship to the actual funding needed to ensure English learners are achieving mastery of the state essential skills, especially those assessed on AIMS tests. That's the wording, I have the copy right here, of the whole thing.

Presently, we get \$150 per limited

English proficient students if, one, they are in a program; and, two, they are with endorsed teachers.

In 1987 the State Department of
Education made a study how much it really cost, and
in '87/'88, they found it cost \$450 per student with
no stipulation if they were with an endorsed teacher,
or in a program, translated to a hundred students.

<sub>1</sub>13

That means if we have a hundred students, 50 are with endorsed teachers.

On the present funding our district gets \$7,500. On the 1987/'88 state study for a hundred students we would have gotten \$45,000. So compared to what it is — I go back to my initial statement, quality programs outweigh the effects of language barriers and poverty.

versus Arizona case, which has nothing to do with funding, and I know the State Department person said they have been working with our district, but they have not worked with me. I am the expert in my district. They have not worked with my Assistant Superintendent, she depends on me. He is an expert at educating minority students. Aside from being an expert, he cares so much about the students.

Nobody's talked to any of us about this issue.

Aside from — the funding is one thing, but one of the other things they found is there were too many students in the classroom, not enough classrooms, not enough qualified teachers, not enough qualified teacher aides, inadequate tutoring programs, insufficient teaching materials. Presently the local school board are able to remove bilingual

education and ESL programs. There is no state monitoring in the Flores versus Arizona case. That was just outrageous, the fact that they do not monitor districts; so if they do not have programs, they are home free.

Minimum standards are presently being set by the state for the initial assessment and reassessments. Graduation for English learners presently taking the AIMS test have not had adequately funded programs since they entered in kindergarten.

We got the state standards in 1996. We have changed our instructional modus operandi. We have our state standards out — I took them off the web personally, I gave them to every teacher by grade level in 1996/'97. We have worked with the standards, we have CRTs, we have bilingual education, we have the parents who care.

We have not gotten the support from the Department of Education that we need to educate our children. The state — let's say the funding is one issue.

Flores versus Arizona, the state does not provide any other forms of any kind assistance to offset the base levels of deficiencies. Much of our

programs are funded by federal monies and not state monies. The state has not designed any programs to supplement or supplant district level services.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm going to have to ask you --

MS. DOAN: On my last one, the state has not implemented any practices, committed any resources, they have not assisted N.U.S.D. in any way to increase the endorsed teachers, and they have not monitored our programs since 1992, and they have not taken any action to remedy the language barriers or the poverty issues in N.U.S.D.

Discrimination is not based on race, color, national origin, it's based on language background and socioeconomic level; so I understand that the Civil Rights Act does not support language background and socioeconomic level.

Excellent programs outweigh the effects of language and poverty. The Student First Bill has no stipulation either for adjustments in capital and buildings to improve the terrible present conditions for language minority students. And their formula does not include increases in language minority requirements to make education equitable.

And as far as the exemptions that was

well put.

said about the State Department, our students are not exempt from the test. Our students are required to take the test in Spanish for three years. The state — the current State Superintendent has not published the results from the Spanish tests, probably will not publish the results of the Spanish AIMS, but our children do very well. They do above grade level in reading, writing and language in their native language. Our students, by fifth grade, all speak English. Our students are higher in academic — academically when they are reclassified and they have two languages.

Thank you very much.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Are there questions?

MR. PAZ: Ana, just one. That was very

The latest newspaper article regarding the tremendous amount of kids from Nogales, Sonora, coming into Arizona, just make a comment on that.

MS. DOAN: The students that we have found to be not living in our attendance area we have dropped from our records. And all of them, 100 percent, are U.S. citizens. These are not Mexican nationals coming to our schools. These are U.S. citizens living in Nogales, Sonora.

1 The poverty rate is very high in 2 It's cheaper to live -- be with your family 3 in Nogales, Mexico. MR. PAZ: Has there been any attempt by 4 5 ADA to reach out to you to assist them in how to 6 address the Spanish speaker or ESL student in the 7 development of the AIMS test? 8 Neither Arizona Department MS. DOAN: 9 of Education or the Arizona Legislature. 10 testified at every open meeting, I have asked to 11 participate in every thing that they have done. I 12 have participated since 1985 under the previous 13 Superintendent and the present Superintendent on the 14 AIMS test, the Spanish test, English test, the 15 writing, but the state has not assisted us. 16 have written -- I've even been sent a message by the 17 State Superintendent that I had some nerve to tell 18 her how to find an initial assessment aligned to the 19 requirements in the U.S. Constitution. 20 So definitely, no. I've had the door 21 shut on me many times from the State Department. 22 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Thank you 23 very much. 24 MS. DOAN: Thank you very much. 25 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Our last speaker is

1 Dolores Baker.

Could you please state your name and confine your remarks to 20 minutes?

MS. BAKER: Trust me, it will be even shorter.

My name is Dolores Baker, and I work for the Tucson Urban League Charter Academy. I just — only issue I wanted to address was the low scores and scores on the standardized test.

We at the charter school have 155 students from the 6th grade to the 12th grade, and our school has been open for four years.

One of the major things that we have noticed is the low scores, okay, but our main concern about these low scores are the students that come to us, they come already with the low scores, and that makes our job real difficult. We've been cut down real bad in the newspapers when they show those scores.

One of the things that a lot of people don't understand is these children come to us already with a low grade level. And what we have done over at the Tucson Urban League, because we know we have that issue, we have tried to implement better avenues on bringing up those grade levels. One of the things

that has helped us a whole lot is we have daily tutoring. That's helping to bring up the scores, daily tutoring in all subjects. We have a mentor group from the University of Arizona that come every day to help the students with their reading, their English, and their math skills.

Ninety percent of our students are Hispanic. All of them speak English fluently. We don't have an ESL program as of yet, but we're working on it.

Ninety percent are Hispanic, five percent African American, three percent White, and two percent Native American.

We've noticed that the scores are lower in our Hispanic group. We don't necessarily believe it's so much of an ESL problem. A lot of it we found is social. And the community that we serve is in the low income, poverty, and a lot of social, economical problems in the home. So to help address that, also our meals are free in our school. That's an issue in some of our families.

We also have a prevention/intervention group, which is a counseling group after school every day for our students.

We have a lot of volunteers that come

to us from the University and from other schools to
help our students, and we have found that that is
helping. It's not pulling it up 100 percent, but
it's helping. The scores are rising. But it's an
issue when they come to us already, a ninth grader

with a first grade reading level.

Funds is always an issue, resource is always an issue. Some of our monies is state funded, some federal charter funds and donations, but we're going to continue to keep doing what we are doing. Every year we try to add something a little bit more to help these students.

They stay with us, too. We know we are doing something right. They are staying with us and they are graduating. They graduate on their correct grade level.

We just had a graduation with our charter school. We had five seniors. All five seniors passed on their grade level.

The Tucson Urban League also has an alternative school program, and there's 19 students over there, and 16 graduated on time a couple days ago. We have three more getting ready to graduate in July.

The most things that we are proud about

)

1 over there is the one-on-one attention, the smaller 2 classroom and that one-on-one attention. 3 classroom can't go over 20. We try to hold it to 20. 4 Each classroom has a teacher aide. That one-on-one 5 tutoring, that mentoring, the counseling, all that is 6 helping, and the meals is helping to raise up those 7 scores. 8 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Thank you. Ι 9 have a question. 10 And the school is based at the Tucson 11 Urban League, but you have a high number of Latino 12 students? 13 MS. BAKER: Yes. 14 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: And five percent 15 African American students -- I have a question about 16 African American students, and generally in Tucson 17 and Pima County, because we do have a dropout problem 18 with African American students. 19 Could you give us a perception of why 20 that is happening with the African American 21 population? 22 MS. BAKER: Well, what we're finding is 23 in the population that we serve over on the south 24 side, some of it is due academically, a lot of it 25 socially, economically, home lives, not having their

1 proper support. Some of these students don't live at 2 home, some are drifters, some are staying with 3 grandmas, aunties, so on and so forth. The only 4 support system they are getting are those from the 5 school, and it's difficult. 6 We seem to be able to keep them through 7 the middle school years. Once they reach the high school level, we've noticed also the drop. 8 9 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Thank you. 10 Are there other questions? 11 MS. BAKER: Thank you. 12 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very much. 13 We have a call to the audience. 14 to have the -- just a minute. Got the forms here. 15 This is a call to the audience. This 16 will be in five-minute increments. And the first 17 person is Jennifer Herrera. 18 Could you please state your name for 19 the record? 20 Jennifer Herrera. MS. HERRERA: 21 bilingual educator. I currently teach second grade 22 here in Tucson Unified School District at Maldonado 23 Elementary within T.U.S.D. 24 The reason I chose to come today was 25 just to reinforce things I've basically heard

mentioned brought up before you previously. So I feel fine with five minutes.

My background is from a farm in Indiana, a German community. We have too many teachers in Indiana, and they offered me a job in Nogales, Arizona, which I had no idea where it is or what it was. I came out for the adventure of it and saw a big disparity in the education that those kids were receiving versus what my nieces and nephews were receiving in Indiana, and just based on the language, the massive shortage of materials, and all the things the lady before me said from Tucson, you know — I mean, from the Nogales Unified School District, I can testify that's what I experienced when I taught there for two years.

Let's see. So after I experienced that I chose to go to the U of A and get a Master's in bilingual education and start doing what I could do to address the problem. I like speaking Spanish, that's how I got interested in it, and married a Mexican American man; and so for that reason I'm involved with the issues at hand.

The three things that I would just like to reinforce as a resident of Arizona, and hoping that you will take this back to the Legislature, is

that we really need to avoid doing what California did with the Unz movement. It's a shame that we passed the proposition for English only in Arizona several — like nine years ago, whatever that was.

Those Legislature decisions do impact the children in a negative way. I've seen it firsthand myself, and just want to reinforce what others have said, that that's a bad thing. Please don't advise the Legislative decisions to be in line with what California has done.

We definitely need an increase in bilingual funding. I just taught six children who need Spanish. They are not monolingual Spanish speakers, but in order for them to further their academic growth they needed to have reading in Spanish. And it was a real job rounding up enough books with my colleague up there, we had a hard time finding the resources that we needed in Spanish. I'm at a well established federal school. If I'm having trouble, how much worse are these other agencies, organizations, charter schools and other people?

The other thing is the test, the AIMS test. My nephew and two nieces are sophomores, and they are all Hispanic, their first language was Spanish, and they are scared to death of the AIMS.

And my nephew has decided to drop out because he doesn't want to have to take the AIMS. He felt like he was hanging on by a thread anyway, and that AIMS failure for him he just couldn't take, so he's dropping out. So I know from firsthand case that it is affecting the kids. And he is not from such a poverty background, and he's just a kid who doesn't really care much for academics.

So that would be my testimony today; that we need to avoid the Unz movement; increase bilingual education funding, we teachers who are certified to address the bilingual student need to have what we need when we need it; and to definitely rethink this testing.

A good example that I could give is the Language, Reading and Culture Department at the University of Arizona has an awesome system for assessing their Master's and Doctoral students.

There's portfolio methods, all kinds of methods.

I can say as a total Anglo American I wasn't really influenced by the German spoken in our community at all. I never would have passed easily the AIMS. I probably would have been one that had to retake it. I don't take tests real well. One thing I can do, I can write for my Ph.D. That's what saved

me. 1 But if they gave me a multiple choice test like some of the test kits contain, I never would have 2 passed. Alternative methods of assessment is 3 It's not fair for these high school kids 4 essential. 5 to say, this is your road map. That should not be 6 your road map. It will oust a lot of people like me, 7 not only minority, also some Anglo kids. 8 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 9 Are there any questions? 10 Thank you very much. 11 Okay. Next person is Cora Esquibel. 12 MS. ESQUIBEL: Thank you. I know 13 you're all tired. 14 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you please 15 state your name for the record? 16 MS. ESQUIBEL: My name is Cora 17 I'm from the Town of Catalina, which is a Esquibel. 18 rural area. 19 We are a social service agency that 20 does provide housing. We just gave 60 houses that we 21 built, 60 houses in which we showed the families how 22 to build their homes on West Valencia. What we do, 23 we build communities. 24 Where I'm coming from -- we are from 25 the Town of Catalina. I want to talk about my

community, my school that — we built that community back in 1990.

We relocated a lot of miners from San Manuel to the Town of Catalina in 1990. In the high school of CDO there was only ten Hispanics that graduated that year, so when the Hispanics went into Catalina things started changing. The community started calling the area that they moved in Little Mexico, even the school called it Little Mexico.

The problem that we have right now in that school and sitting down here and hearing everybody talk — and I think one gentleman was asking, you know, why does it happen. Well, in this school what has happened, the little area in which a lot of these people moved in was branded as Little Mexico by the school. The kids that go from that area, when they go to school they are harassed by the staff.

You have a staff of nothing but White administrators. The only staff that is Hispanic in that school is the janitors and the kitchen help.

You don't have nobody there to really explain to a family who doesn't know English. Up front you walk in that office you will see no Hispanics whatsoever. That is the problem that we have out there.

And that is what causes some of these children to start dropping out. Even us that speak the English language, or even the White family that lives in that area gets harassed, right away gets branded because they live in an area which is considered low income.

By them being branded, they are being forced out of school, by putting them as troublemakers. Every family that I have known, which I know almost everybody there, comes to me, and it's sad, because these kids end up in jail, because what happens is that you have the rich kids, which if any Hispanic does anything, or other color, to one of these kids, right away the teacher will discipline the Hispanic or the people from that area.

So what happens is that the kid gets harassed and harassed, pretty soon they are called troublemakers. So what happens with this child, pretty soon they leave, they don't want to study, don't want to go to school. It's a hostile environment, and when you have a hostile environment it creates kids that are not going to learn, kids that are always in trouble.

Even though it wasn't their fault they get disciplined, because they are put on suspension.

Especially right now just before graduation I know of three kids that are out there on suspension and they will not be able to make up their grades even though they are already found guilty. And to me, under the Civil Rights, under our Constitution, you are not guilty until proven guilty, but yet these kids are not going to graduate because of the fact that they got suspended, and only because of hearsay.

So this is a problem I don't think nobody has addressed while we have been sitting here.

I've sat down here since 1:00 o'clock.

When you have an all-White administration nothing is enforced by Civil Rights or anybody, not even the school district. This has been going on for years. I've been there since 1990, I've been working in there since 1990 and nothing has happened. It has never changed.

You got a lot of people that are working, that are not helping the students get anywhere other than to be kicked out of school. So pretty soon these kids are going to be dropouts.

Right now I think what you need is for Civil Rights to check the schools that do not have the staff there. You don't want to make it an all-White or all-Hispanic or all-black school. You

want to make sure you have an equal opportunity there, and I don't think we have it.

So I think that is one of the big steps that we have to start doing so we'll have these dropouts because a lot of the kids that drop out is because of that.

Second, the other part is that children are being mentally abused by staff, especially the incident in Colorado, teachers are getting more and more —

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry. We've reached the five minutes. Can you complete your -
MS. ESQUIBEL: Yes, I will complete it,

but Mr. Sproule wanted to say a few things.

Anyway, the whole part, I think that we as a social agency, we would like to have been invited, because we do — it would have been good if we would have been here.

Mr. Paz does know me from the past. I have done a lot of Civil Rights. But the money is not there for a person to file a lawsuit, as you say. I mean, there's no lawyers either. So the parties that — we need to make sure that we start enforcing complaints in a better manner, especially when it comes down to how it affects our children.

1 MR. PAZ: Do you know there's an EEOC 2 officer with the district? 3 MS. ESQUIBEL: Yes, I do, but the 4 problem is that it doesn't do us any good. I think 5 what's going to happen right now, we're just going to 6 file a lawsuit. 7 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you please 8 state your name? 9 MS. BERNAL: Yes. My name is Judith 10 Bernal, parent of four students at T.U.S.D. 11 I've given you forms in front of you. 12 For the record, I'm speaking to three Commissioners. 13 The rest of them seem to have disappeared. 14 The form in front of you is a magnet 15 continuation form. We're talking about a Civil 16 Rights Commission here. We spent a lot of time on 17 bilingual education. I do want to make this point. 18 I support it. All of my children were educated at 19 Davis, Roskruge bilingual education. They were 20 magnet students. 21 I want to make this clear for the 22 record, 48 million dollars a year in federal money is 23 in this school district supposedly for desegregation. 24 I'm here to tell you that we have been reduced to 25 picking our schools off a form that says White,

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

nonWhite, pick your school. In front of you you have the forms. Clearly Whites get five choices, nonWhites three. Which color would you rather be?

I want to let you know for a fact that I filed numerous grievances that David Cherry and the school district board have ignored them. I have gone to federal court over there, and I want you to know that the magnet program at T.U.S.D. is nothing more than a sea of nepotism.

The magnet program -- this form is given to my children, and my daughter was told, quote, Hispanics are White but Chicanos aren't. could not go to Utterback Middle School and follow her friends because her father had a Yaqui pending I'm adopted. I've been called mongrel, number. half-breed, the latest one by TPD, hybrid. plant.

Okay. I think it's time to end race-based education. I think it's indicative of the fact that there are only three people here to hear this testimony. And I would urge you to forward these materials to the appropriate source.

If you will turn the page you will notice that after I filed complaints over these forms my daughter had to get up in fifth grade and state

whether she was Hispanic, Chicana, Catholic, Jewish, Christian, and the last one don't forget, who believes in God.

This T.U.S.D. is nothing more than a crony setup. If you take the 48 million and educate the children instead of letting high ranking district employees and their cronies cheat to get into magnet schools — there is nobody policing this. The fact that if you are Native American or black, you're screwed.

And I want you to know — I brought this up yesterday — that someone doesn't want to deal with Hispanics' supremism. The fact we've got a culture that's come here — and there is a long history in Mexico of discrimination based on color, okay, so I think that it's time for this Commission to take a stand. If your Commission can vote to write letters to the Director of the INS, it seems to me that you can write letters to the Director of the Civil Rights Commission, because the Civil Rights Act clearly prohibits discrimination based on color.

When I filed these complaints my daughter was drubbed right out of T.U.S.D. She is now home schooled. This was not by choice. She was harassed and harassed by prominent

Hispanics in this district for getting up and saying that she was — if you turn to page three she wrote out her own complaint. She had to get up and say whether she was Chicana or Hispanic.

The U.S. census form has a line for Chicana. I wish someone would have informed me Chicana in T.U.S.D. means gang member or maybe dog. I don't know.

I'm really sick of this. I have sat here for two days. I have seen prominent people in this community who cry constantly about racism who will not touch this form because they are utilizing this form to their own personal benefit.

The fact is we've got Hispanics in this community who are White when they want to be. So you tell me, you're on the Commission, are Hispanics White or nonWhite. As long as you embrace your European heritage and deny your Native American heritage, then you're on equal footing with White people. This is repugnant. I'm telling you as an adopted person who has no racial status, therefore, no Civil Rights, I gave up my Civil Rights when I married my husband, Julio Bernal, who has been recognized by the United Nations for his activity on behalf of indigenous people, this is an outrage.

-1

There needs to be an investigation. We're talking about top-level T.U.S.D. people, we're talking about \$48 million a year, and it's nothing more than a scam.

Prominent people I found — my daughter's friend bragged, oh, I got into Utterback, my dad pulled some strings. What does that tell my daughter? That if you cheat — I'm talking about a principal right now at Valencia Middle School. This is an outrage. And the fact that none of your Commissioners except you three — and I applaud you for sitting through this, nobody seems to care about it.

Again, prominent Hispanic activists, I have taken this form to them, people that sat at this table yesterday that are friends of mine, whose kids went to school with my kids, and, oh, gee, Judy, we don't want to take on the Hispanic community. So it's a real trip, the White people for racism and the Hispanic community is operating like they are in Sonora in 1953.

This is the United States, and my daughter should not be told to pick her school based on her color. And it's bad enough when they slough it off and say, well, it's a federal court order.

1 Then I'm here for the record to tell you that 2 high-ranking T.U.S.D. employees are repeatedly violating federal court orders by allowing themselves 3 4 and their children to cheat to get into magnet 5 schools. 6 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very much. 7 MS. BERNAL: I assume you have no 8 questions. 9 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: We can't ask 10 questions. 11 And the next person is Kathy Amanti. 12 State your name. 13 MS. AMANTI: Kathy Amanti, A-M-A-N-T-I. 14 I hadn't planned to speak. Nothing I say will be 15 Present it from a different perspective. I'm, you know, a Tucson Unified School 16 17 District bilingual education teacher, I teach Spanish 18 second to sixth grade. All my experience has been in 19 language minority and minority students, so I think I 20 have something to contribute here. 21 You have heard the data and heard the 22 statistics, so I just want to focus on a few things 23 that I think have a huge impact on the learning 24 outcomes of the students that I work with. 25 Number one, as Ana Doan said, quality

1

3

6

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

programs do everything to overcome any other factors, and quality programs need quality teachers. And I believe that one of the largest factors impacting outcome of minority students is the lack of trained teachers, particularly in the area of linguistics, in second language, and in sociolinguistics, second language, learning theory.

When teachers don't have that background and they have students who are coming to them, native speakers of another language or even students speaking nonstandard dialects of English, they too often are too quick to label the students as learning disabled and do not have the theory and the knowledge to help the students bridge the gap between the language. The linguistic abilities -- all students come with linguistic ability, perfectly competent in their home context, but too often teachers do not recognize this, and we only have a small number of endorsed teachers in this state. believe all teachers in this state need to have this type of training and this type of background, because really I believe that the minority -- only a small number of minority students actually come to school speaking Spanish, that really minority students actually are in a bilingual education program.

So I am a strong proponent of bilingual education. Obviously, that's been my experience. It works. You can take it from me as an educator, I've seen it work.

Last year in my second grade classroom my very best English reader was Lisa Molina, and she was reading in English at probably a fourth or fifth grade level as a second grader, but she first learned to read in Spanish, her native language is Spanish. It works. The fastest way for students to learn English, to read and write in English, is to first learn to read and write in their primary language.

The other thing that I wanted to touch on is about bilingual education, is that it makes sense, and opponents of bilingual education obviously are not opposing the pedagogy. It makes perfect sense, the two primary goals of bilingual education, to teach students English and also to have them achieving at or above grade level. The same curriculum is used in a bilingual education classroom as a monolingual ed English classroom.

But how can students achieve at or above grade level if they are just beginning to learn English? If they are not taught other academic subjects in their primary language they will not be

able to achieve at or above grade level until they have reached a certain level of proficiency in English, where they are able to do their academic work in English. So I strongly believe that all teachers, as I say, need linguistic training, particularly in sociolinguistics.

And then something else that really impacts the learning of minority students is the fact that education is too often irrelevant and does not engage them. I think back to my own experience growing up as a female, that I felt very alienated from the curriculum. It was male history and in English we read male writers, and that was it.

aspirations. There were many professions that I did not aspire to. I myself am a college dropout. I don't mean to equate my experiences as a White female with the impression that is experienced by people of color, but if I felt like an alien in the schools, if I felt completely alienated by the curriculum, you can imagine what our students of color must feel.

And despite how much we talk about multiculturalism, it's not really a part our curriculum. We've talked about it for a long time. The multicultural curriculum that I see is making

Wе

1 enchiladas, dressing up for special holidays. 2 need to have relevant, engaging multicultural 3 We need to not just talk about food and curriculum.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

costumes.

This is a beads-and-feather approach to multicultural curriculum, which is too often what we see in the classrooms. We need to have our students engaging in dialogues with people of all different background, the history, the heros the world views. In particular, all groups need to be explored.

Am I running out of time?

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes.

MS. AMANTI: People have talked about I want to say one particular thing about AIMS, the fact that there is an incredible pressure.

There is more and more testing being done, more and more time spent practicing for these This is taking up an inordinate amount of the school year. And I can just imagine that the first thing to go from the curriculum is going to be multicultural curriculum, because more and more time is being spent on the testing, and I just imagine that that will be the first thing, not that we have really had it, but now there's no hope.

MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very much.

The next person is Johann Sproule.

Could you state your name for the

3 record?

MR. SPROULE: My name is Johann Sproule, S-P-R-O-U-L-E. I'm a parent, community activist, also serve as chairman of the board for three nonprofits.

Recently I really was upset, I saw an article of the people that were graduating in the Tucson area. I started looking at the number of students graduating. I started looking at the list. What you saw was 250 students in each — I saw CDO with 600-plus students graduating and, you know, I think sometimes we look at the problem as just a testing thing and that, but I think that we are failing to provide something to the students, especially minority students, when you have a large population of CDO, has the opportunity to participate in a lot of activities. It's not there for them, they are not able to participate.

I know a lot of students that are attending CDO that were never assessed because they had a Spanish surname, they were automatically put into the bilingual program. And they were doing well, all of a sudden they are not doing well because

a certain picture got painted about them.

I know a lot of students that don't have a Spanish surname, that are Latinos, Hispanics, Mexicans, whatever you want to call them, that are not in the program and need to be in the program.

It's kind of funny. They increase the number of resource officers, but they won't increase funding or programs for minority students, alternative school.

I speak to you as a father also. I have my son home schooling. My son fell into a special category, he didn't get the help that he needed. As much as I intervened, I did not get the help for my son, so I had to provide home schooling, paying out of my pocket for it. Okay. At the same time, you know, they are not providing any more funding for alternative programs, any other programs that serves the needs of minority students.

You know, the only recommendations I'm going to make is you need to look at — if you are going to have bilingual programs, something transitions into English, that you need to define — distinguish what will be the measures across the street. This district to school to school doesn't work. You have — you need to have diversity in that school administration and teaching staff, and you

1 need to include followup for absences. My kid didn't start --- he ended up not 2 3 going to CDO anymore. I started giving him home 4 study schooling. I never got a call, even though he 5 falls in a special category, and I know a lot of 6 other students that dropped out or just did not go. 7 No followup ever happened. 8 And so pretty much -- I apologize, I 9 wasn't really prepared. I got this as a late notice. 10 I wish I would have known ahead of time. 11 But, you know, this state is really 12 failing. It's not only the local schools, it goes 13 all the way to State Legislature. 14 Why would the State Legislature put in 15 new testing, AIMS, and only test it twice, have no 16 measure in between to find out where the student 17 falls in or doesn't fall in? There's no follow 18 through. 19 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'd like to make one 20 point of classification here. 21 CDO, you mentioned the school. CDO 22 stands for --23 MR. SPROULE: Canyon Del Oro. 24 MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: High school? 25 MR. SPROULE: In Tucson.

1	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: In Tucson.
2	MR. SPROULE: In Amphi School District.
3	I apologize.
4	MS. ESQUIBEL: I do want to say
5	something. I would like to make sure that under my
6	Civil Rights because what happens when you talk
7	out about schools or anything like that, that they
8	might retaliate against my grandkids. I want to make
9	sure that that is noted, that I want to make sure
10	there is no retaliation for my comments.
11	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: That's that pro
12	forma. That's part of the that falls under the
13	Act.
14	MS. ESQUIBEL: Just want to make sure
1\5	because, you know, sometimes they do those things.
16	Retaliation does come heavy. I've dealt with a lot
17	of Civil Rights cases, especially in schools, okay?
18	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yeah.
19	MS. ESQUIBEL: That's Cora Esquibel for
20	the record, making sure.
21	MS. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. If there are
22	no other persons who wish to speak at this time,
23	we'll adjourn the hearing.
24	
25	* * * *

CERTIFICATE STATE OF ARIZONA ) SS. COUNTY OF PIMA I, ANTHONY C. GARCIA, a Notary Public duly commissioned under the laws of the State of Arizona, in and for the County of Pima, do hereby certify that I took down in shorthand the proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of said proceedings. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL at Tucson, Arizona on this 5th day of June 2000. ANTHONY C. ANTHONY C. GARCIA