HEARING ON ALLEGATIONS OF ELECTION-DAY

+ + + + +

IRREGULARITIES IN FLORIDA

THURSDAY
JANUARY 11, 2001

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 9:02 a.m., in the Capital Ballroom, Holiday Inn Select, 316 W. Tennessee Street, Tallahassee, Florida, Chairperson Mary Frances Berry, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, CHAIRPERSON
CRUZ REYNOSO, VICE CHAIRPERSON
CHRISTOPHER EDLEY, JR., COMMISSIONER
YVONNE Y. LEE, COMMISSIONER
ELSIE M. MEEKS, COMMISSIONER
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, COMMISSIONER
VICTORIA WILSON, COMMISSIONER

LESLIE R. JIN, STAFF DIRECTOR EDWARD HAILES, ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL

NOTE: This is an unedited transcript of the full and complete proceedings of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights in the matter of its "Hearings on Allegations of Election-Day Irregularities in Florida" held January 11-12, 2001. This is not a verified copy of the transcript. According to federal regulations, persons who have presented testimony at a Commission proceeding may ask within 60 days after the close of the proceeding to correct errors in the transcript of their testimony. Such requests shall conform to their testimony as presented at the proceeding.

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

PAGE OPENING STATEMENT Hon. Mary Frances Berry..... 8 Chairperson, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights STATEMENT OF RULES Vice Chairperson, Commission on Civil Rights WELCOME June Littler..... 21 Chairperson, Florida Advisory Committee ALLEGATIONS OF VOTING IRREGULARITIES Willie D. Whiting, Jr......44 James Wilson..... 75 Screven Watson..... 76 R. Jai Howard...... 82 Bruce A. Minnick..... 82 RESPONSES TO ALLEGATIONS AND ELECTION REFORM PROPOSALS Hon. John Ellis Bush......94 Governor, State of Florida LOOKING AHEAD: BEST PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES Curtis Gans..... 133 Jim Smith..... 138 Mark Pritchett......142 EXPERT PANEL ON VOTING RIGHTS AND ELECTION LAW Allan J. Lichtman..... 189 Jim Dickson..... 203

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## I-N-D-E-X (cont.)

<u> 1</u>	AGE
EXPERT PANEL ON VOTING TECHNOLOGY	à
Kimball Brace	261 278
PUBLIC FORUM	
Mary Knight	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This hearing of the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order.

Let me first point out that Gwen McKinney, who is standing in the back, is our press officer.

She's waving her hand -- wave your hand, Gwen. She will take care of the care and feeding of the press.

There will also be formal а press availability at 12:45, at which I will talk to the There may be other availabilities during the day at other time, but that will be formal ones. And if οf you need anything concerning your responsibilities, you may ask Gwen McKinney.

The next thing I do is to swear in the court reporters, clerks, interpreters and signers.

May I please have all of the court reporters, clerks, interpreters and signers come forward.

(Whereupon, the court reporters were sworn.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Also, before returning to your seats, could a sign interpreter ask if anyone is in need of interpretation at this particular time.

(Whereupon, the sign interpreter complies.)

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The answer is no. 1 Okay, thank you. 2 Good morning again, and welcome everyone 3 to this public hearing of the U.S. Commission on Civil 4 Rights here in Tallahassee, Florida. 5 I am Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson of 6 7 the Commission and I will be presiding over this hearing, scheduled for today and tomorrow. 8 Scheduled testimony will commence at 9:30 9 today -at 9:00 a.m. today what time 10 a.m. 11 tomorrow, counsel? ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 9:00 a.m. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 9:00 a.m. 13 tomorrow, and will conclude at 5:00 p.m. today and 4:00 p.m. 14 tomorrow, as indicated on the agenda. 15 Before I detail the purpose and scope of 16 this hearing, I would like to introduce myself further 17 and then allow other members of the Commission to . 18 19 introduce themselves. I have a day job as the Geraldine R. Segal 20 Professor of American Social Thought and Professor of 21 History and Adjunct Professor of Law at the University 22 of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania where I 23 teach courses in history of American law. 24

And now, I will ask the Commissioners to

25

grade a second

introduce themselves, beginning with the Vice Chair, 1 who is accustomed to introducing himself. 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: My name is Cruz 3 Reynoso, I'm accustomed to introducing myself. 4 (Laughter.) 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I teach law at 6 7 the University of California at Los Angeles and I'm associated as special counsel with the law firm of 8 Kaye, Scholer, Fierman, Hays & Handler. 9 And he is also a 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 11 former member of the Supreme Court -- Justice on the 12 Supreme Court of California, which he was too polite to mention. 13 Commissioner Lee. 14 COMMISSIONER LEE: 15 Good morning. Ι'm Yvonne Lee from San Francisco, California. I'm also a 16 17 consultant specializing in Asian community affairs. COMMISSIONER MEEKS: .18 I'm Elsie Meeks, I 19 was born and raised and still live on the Pine Ridge Indian 20 Reservation in South Dakota. I've been 21 involved in Native American community and economic development, both locally and nationally for about the 22 23 last 15 years. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner 25 Thernstrom.

1	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'm Abigail
2	Thernstrom, I am newly appointed to this Commission.
3	I am a Senior Fellow at the Manhattan Institute in New
4	York, a member of the State Board of Education in
5	Massachusetts where I live. I've been writing on
6	issues of race and ethnicity for more than 20 years
7	and indeed in 1987 published a book on the subject of
8	these hearings today, the book was entitled Who's
9	Votes Count, Affirmative Action and Minority Voting
10	Rights, a Harvard University Press book. And
11	subsequently, a co-authored book that
L2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
L3	Thernstrom, if you name all your books, all the other
L4	Commissioners will want to name all theirs.
15	(Laughter.)
L6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which will take us all
L7	day.
L8	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, I'll be
L9	happy to stop. I was simply going to name this one
20	other, <u>American Black and White, One Nation</u>
21	Indivisible came out a few years ago. That was going
22	to be the end.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you.
24	Commissioner Wilson.
25	COMMISSIONER WILSON: My name is Victoria
- 1	

1 Wilson. I live in New York, where I publish books for Alfred A. Knopf --2 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Don't name them 3 all. 4 5 COMMISSIONER WILSON: In fact, I was going 6 to ask you, Madam Chair, if I could name them all. 7 (Laughter.) 8 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And that would be 9 quite a list since I've been there for more than 25 10 years, where I am Vice President and Associate Publisher and a senior editor at Alfred Knopf. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. And 13 finally, I would like to introduce our Staff Director Les Jin, who is sitting right next to Commissioner 14 Wilson; and our General Counsel Edward A. Hailes, Jr. 15 16 Opening Statement 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Today, the Commission begins its formal investigation into allegations by 18 Floridians of voting irregularities arising out of the 19 November 7, 2000 presidential election. 20 This hearing is the first that the Commission is conducting in the 21 22 state of Florida to examine whether eligible voters faced preventable problems at the polls in casting 23

ballots and having ballots counted in this closely

contested election.

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

basis for remedies.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are here to find out what happened.

This hearing will help the Commission to determine whether allegations of irregularities at the polls are true and accurate. And if they are true and accurate, we hope that the evidence will lead us to the persons responsible for these irregularities and form the

This hearing represents a continuation of the Commission's commitment to ensuring that eligible persons have the opportunity for meaningful participation in the political process. The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has the statutory authority -- indeed the obligation -- to investigate written complaints alleging that the right to vote and to have votes counted has been denied.

person who teaches history American law, I am keenly aware of the fact that the history of voting in the United States is the history of barriers erected and erased. The struggles to gain the right to vote by those who were locked out have taken place in court, on the battlefield, within the family, in the streets, in state legislatures and in Congress. As a result of these legendary efforts, today at the beginning of a new millennium, African-Americans, women of all races and ethnicities,

minorities, with disabilities, language persons 1 list persons and even some without 2 proferter traditional homes or residencies have the right to 3 vote. the right to vote makes all persons, rich and 4 poor, weak and strong, educated and uneducated, equal 5 6 before the ballot box. Barbara Jordan, the 7 As memorable and οf 8 powerful conscience Congress, once "Citizens' participation is the author of democracy's 9 legitimacy. Voting individual 10 is the citizen's highest act." 11 know that in elections across 12 the 13 country, there may, from, time to time, be instances of irregularities, but these should be the exception 14 15 and not the rule. And we also should not take those 16 exceptions to mean everybody does it, so who cares. 17 And so in focusing on this highest act, 18 the Commission has received troubling reports of an inordinate number of low --19 20 (Brief power interruption.) 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me find where I 22 stopped. 4 5. 23 I had just pointed out that Barbara Jordan had said that "Citizens' participation is the author 24 25 of democracy's legitimacy." And that this Commission,

4.4

this highest focusing on act. in has received troubling reports of a large number of low moments, or an inordinate number of low moments in Florida on November . 7. 2000. involving problems at polling places, ranging from administrative inefficiency to intimidation and possible discrimination.

We also point out that five counties in Florida, because of a history of discrimination, are still covered by the Voting Rights Act and have to submit their changes in any matters having to do with voting to the courts or the Justice Department.

Anyway, sadly, these problems may have dashed the hopes of countless registered voters to cast ballots and to have their ballots counted in this historic election. I strongly believe that every sensible person agrees that in this democracy, every eligible, registered voter's ballot counts and should be counted. The very validity of our political system depends on this important principle.

This hearing will focus attention on many of the allegations that have been reported to the Commission. We will attempt to address such allegations as improper voter list purging procedures, balloting complications that may have produced overvotes and under-votes, outdated election equipment in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

poor precincts that may have led to racial gaps and voided votes, police roadblocks near polling sites, lack of language assistance when required and requested, accessibility difficulties for persons with disabilities, other and concerns that scheduled

witnesses will present at the hearing.

As required by law, notice of this hearing was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on December 13, 2000, having been previously made publicly available electronically on December 11, 2000. A copy of this notice will be introduced into the hearing record. It has been supplied to all persons scheduled to appear here today.

The authority of the U.S. Commission on ' Civil Rights to conduct hearings emanates from the 1957 legislation which established it as an independent, bipartisan federal agency of the United States government. Among the Commission's duties are to appraise the laws and policies of the federal government, to study and collect information, to serve as a national clearinghouse for information, all in connection with discrimination or denial of equal protection because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, national origin or in the administration of justice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the area of voting rights, the Commission is authorized to investigate allegations of deprivations as a result of any pattern or practice of fraud of the rights of citizens of the United States to vote and have votes counted.

To enable the Commission to fulfill its duties, Congress has empowered the Commission to hold hearings and issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents. Consistent with Commission practice, all witnesses within its jurisdiction have been subpoenaed to attend this hearing. It has nothing to do with whether people are willing to come, unwilling to come, afraid to come or whatever. All witnesses within our jurisdiction have been subpoenaed to attend this hearing.

So today, begin to examine we allegations of improprieties at the polls. We have about 30 witnesses for this two-day hearing. These witnesses have been selected due to their knowledge of and experience with the issues. We will hear sworn testimony from witnesses about their personal accounts ballots in seeking to cast in Leon County and surrounding counties. We expect to hear a great deal more testimony as we travel elsewhere in the state. In particular, we will have increased amounts

testimony from people of color, Latinos, Asian-Americans and the like, and other people of color, as we travel about elsewhere in the state, perhaps more than today.

The officials that we have here, large number of public officials, include the Governor of the state, the Secretary of State, the Attorney General for the State of Florida and the supervisors of elections for several counties.

In addition, we will hear from academics and other concerned specialists who have studied the topics of our investigation, so that we can use their information for our recommendations.

In addition to the scheduled witnesses, an important part of what we do is to have an open forum at the end of each day's session for people who would like to give testimony and who have not been slated as particular witnesses.

Today's hearing is only the first public phase of the Commission's work. Many of the witnesses have been compelled to turn over relevant documents to the Commission to assist with this investigation. The Commission will examine the documents and the sworn testimony in relation to the allegations for the purpose of making findings and recommendations that

will form the basis for corrective action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

B ..

Before I proceed, I want to stress the functions and limitations of this Commission. As the Supreme Court of the United States explained, Commission does not adjudicate, it does not trials or determine anyone's civil orcriminal it does not issue orders, does t liability, nor indict, punish or impose legal sanctions. It does not make determinations depriving anyone of life, liberty In short, the Commission does not and or property. action which will affect take any individual's legal rights. We can, in fact, find facts, which may be used for legislative or executive action or may be turned over to prosecutorial branches as it makes sense to do so.

I'm certain that my colleagues join with me in the hope that this hearing will lead to a heightened level of public awareness about voting rights, responsibilities, remedies, procedures, and will educate the nation on existing problems at the polls, and encourage remedial action.

And what we really want to do is to make sure that we aid in guaranteeing the right to vote, to have votes counted and to secure the maximum participation of citizens in this democracy.

Also, I need to say something about the technical aspects of the hearing. First, the record for including will remain open for 60 days materials sent to the Commission at the conclusion of Anyone who has relevant information, this hearing. who desires to submit it to us, may do so during this time period, in accordance with this rule.

Second, you may notice the presence of federal marshals in the audience. The Commission's procedures require their attendance at all of hearings. These marshals have developed security procedures that will help preserve the atmosphere of dignity and decorum in which our proceedings are held. Federal law protects all witnesses before Commission. It is a crime punishable by a fine of up to \$5000 and imprisonment of up to five years to interfere with a witness before the Commission.

I would also like to acknowledge the work of our staff. The staff has swiftly and diligently put into place the building blocks that were necessary for us to start this process, in record time.

I want to thank you for your attention and indicate that I intend to adhere strictly to all the times set forth in the agenda; that is, if all the electricity works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I now please direct your attention to Vice 1 Chair Reynoso, who will read a statement of the rules. 2 Vice Chair Reynoso. 3 Statement of Rules 4 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 At the outset, I would like to emphasize 7 the observations which are about to 8 concerning the Commission's rules constitute nothing 9 more than brief summaries of significant provisions. 10 11 The rules themselves should be consulted for a fuller understanding. Copies of the rules which govern this 12 13 may be obtained from a member Commission staff upon request. Scheduled witnesses 14 15 appearing during the course of this hearing have been supplied a copy. Staff members will also be available 16 17 to answer any questions that arise during the course of the hearing. .18 The Commission is empowered by statute to 19 20 hold hearings and act at such time and places as it deems advisable. 21 The hearing is open to all and the 22 public is invited and urged to attend. 23 As Commissioner Berry indicated, all

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

jurisdiction have been subpoenaed for this hearing.

appearing today within the Commission's

24

14

15

9

10

11

12

13

17

16

. 18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Everyone who testified or submits data or evidence is entitled to obtain a copy of the transcript on payment In addition, within 60 days after the close of costs. of the hearing, a person may ask the person to correct errors in the transcript of his or her testimony. Such request will be granted only to make the transcript conform to the testimony presented at the hearing.

Ιf the Commission determines that witness' testimony tends defame, to degrade orincriminate any person, that person or his or her counsel may submit written questions which, discretion of the Commission, may be put to the Such personal so has a right to request that witnesses be subpoenaed on his or her behalf.

All witnesses have the right to submit prepared by themselves orothers for inclusion in the record, provided they are submitted within the time required by the rules.

Any person who has not been subpoenaed may be permitted, at the discretion of the Commission, to submit a written statement in this public hearing. Any such statements will be reviewed by the members of the Commission and made a part of the record.

The Chair has already advised you that federal law protects all witnesses at the Commission.

These witnesses are protected by Title 18 of the U.S. Code, which makes it a crime to threaten, intimidate or injure witnesses on account of their attendance at government proceedings. The Commission should be immediately informed of any allegations relating to possible intimidation of witnesses. I emphasize that we consider this to be a very serious matter and that we will do all in our power to protect witnesses who appear at the hearing.

Finally, I should note that the rules were drafted with the intent of ensuring that the hearings Commission be conducted in a fair and impartial manner. In many cases, the Commission has gone significantly beyond Congressional requirements in providing safeguards for witnesses and other We have done so in the belief that useful, fair facts are best developed in an atmosphere of calm and objectivity. We trust that such an atmosphere will prevail at this hearing. Let me stress, however, that with respect to the document of every person in this hearing room, whether testifying or not, orders of the Chairperson must be obeyed. Failure by any person to obey the order of Chairperson Berry or the Commissioner presiding in her absence will result in the exclusion of the individual from this hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

room and criminal prosecution by the U.S. Attorney, if 1 required. 2 3 As previously noted, unless otherwise 4 indicated, each session of this hearing will be open to the public. All are indeed welcome to attend and 5 6 indeed urged to attend. 7 Thank you very much, Madam Chair. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, Vice Chair. 8 At this time, I want to invite our State 9 10 Advisory Committee Chair from Florida to come forward. The Commission has advisory committees in 11 12 all the states and the District of Columbia. 13 are citizens who give their time to the work of the Commission and who have been associated with work in .. 14 the area of civil rights, many of them for many years, 15 16 and we very much appreciate the work that they do. 17 So I would like to invite June Littler, I 18 before me, who is, in addition to 19 longstanding career as а cataloq and reference 20 librarian at Santa Fe Community College has been very 21 active in professional numerous and civic 22 organizations, including the American 23 Association, the American Association of University 24 Women, League of Women Voters. And she is, in terms

of party affiliation, she is a Republican --

	21
1	point that out.
2	Thank you very much for coming forward,
3	Ms. Littler, and please proceed.
4	Welcome
5	MS. LITTLER: Thank you and good morning.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good morning.
7	MS. LITTLER: On behalf of the Florida
8	State Advisory Committee, I bid you welcome to Florida
9	and especially to our State Capitol, Tallahassee. We
10	wish you well in your quest, both here and in south
11	Florida.
12	During our last regular meeting on
13	November 14 in Orlando, in the confusing early days of
14	the election mess, the Committee discussed some of
15	what had transpired. For example, in Jacksonville,
16	Duval County, 27,000 ballots were thrown out, there
17	were 5000 under-votes and 22,000 over-votes.
18	In Tampa, Hillsborough County, some blacks
19	received more scrutiny than others. More than one
20	picture ID was required.
21	In Gainesville, Alachua County, it was
22	reported that some military absentee voters were not
23	properly informed of voting procedures, particularly

Dade

County,

deadlines regarding absentee ballots.

Miami,

In

24

25

were

there

į V.

excessively long lines and waiting which served to discourage many voters. Two picture IDs were required when only one was really needed. Both problems were worse in the Haitian communities, along with language problems. Butterfly ballots were confusing. Overall, we saw the need for reform, nationwide and statewide.

Other feedback I get is that citizens are fed up with inefficiency in an area that can so easily be streamlined. Most of us all want to vote the same way and at the same time -- no staggered time zones please. We've had enough of the show biz media clamoring to be the first with the results. Let's get the vote done accurately and finished at the same time, no matter what time zone the voter is in.

Besides the matter of training election supervisors and poll workers properly, please note that the voters themselves bear responsibility of learning what is expected of them and how to do it properly. This is a government of the people, by the people and for the people. Voters who are confused should know, it is within their right; indeed it is their responsibility to mask for, demand and receive instruction for any new technology being used, and hopefully before election day.

As distressed as Floridians have been

votirig

because of the stranger than fiction turn of events in 1 the last election, we hope your efforts to determine 2 exactly what happened will bring about a national 3 consensus to reform electoral procedures. 4 Thank you for being here. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much 6 for being here and thank you for all the work you do 7 on the State Advisory Committee, we appreciate it. 8 Allegations of Voting Irregularities 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now we will have our 10 On the first panel, we will hear from 11 first panel. persons who experienced difficulties during the voting 12 will hear allegations of 13 process. We irregularities of various sorts. During this time, we 14 will also be asking representatives of the Florida

15 16 Democratic and Republican State Parties to

17 forward with documents that we requested by subpoeria

18 duces tecum.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to ask our General Coursel Mr. Hailes to call the witnesses who are here today.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Cocod Madam Chair, Commissioners. morning,

At this time we will ask Mr. John Nelson, Ms. Roberta Tucker, Mr. or Apostle Willie D. Whiting, Jr. to please come forward.

### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Nelson, Ms. Tucker
2	and Mr. Whiting, Jr., please come forward.
3	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Ms.
4	Roberta Tucker.
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: While they proceed, in
7	the interest of time, to ascertain the whereabouts of
8	the other panelists, counsel, do you want me to ask
9	the marshals to see if they can locate them or how
10	would you like to proceed?
11	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes, we
12	would like to locate the witnesses.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will ask that
14	efforts be made to locate them. And while we're doing
15	that, we will ask the witness present in the
16	interest of time, instead of waiting to stand and
17	raise his right hand and to give the oath. You are?
.18	MR. NELSON: John Nelson.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, Mr. Nelson,
20	could you raise your right hand while I give you the
21	oath?
22	Whereupon,
23	JOHN NELSON
24	appeared as a witness herein, and having been first
25	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
	NEAL R. GROSS

₹;\*,

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. 1 You may be seated. 2 Counsel, you may proceed with questioning 3 4 Mr. Nelson. EXAMINATION 5 BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES; 6 Mr. Nelson, again for the record, will you 7 give us your full name, your county of residence and 8 whether you are a registered voter in the State of 9 Florida. 10 John Nelson, Ι reside is -in 11 My name 12 Jefferson County and I am a registered voter in the State of Florida. 13 And you did speak to Thank you very much. 14 15 member ormembers of our staff about your experiences on election day. Will you please tell the 16 Commission for the record what your experiences were 17 on that day? 18 I did speak with a member of the staff and 19 the question asked basically were what did I observe 20 21 at the voting place, and basically I indicated that I 22 did observe a couple of -- well, actually one voting 23 place where I vote, there was a law enforcement 24 vehicle at that place, which was a little unusual, it was an FHP vehicle. And upon my leaving and going 25

1	back through town, at another voting place, there was
2	another law enforcement vehicle which was FHP.
3	Q Can you tell us the specific location of
4	the polling place?
5	A One was at the precinct 6 in Jefferson
6	County, which is on Water Street actually Tiger
7	Lane and the other would be on, if I'm not
8	mistaken, North Washington, if I'm not mistaken on the
9	address of that.
10	Q Okay, and the polling place on Water
11	Street is your polling
12	A It's Tiger Lane.
13	Q on Tiger Lane, that's your
14	A Yes, it's my polling place.
15	Q Okay, and what caused you to go to the
16	other polling place?
17	A Well, actually I have to pass through that
18	area going back, because I take the interstate coming
19	to Tallahassee and of course, again, I observed a law
20	enforcement vehicle at that place.
21	Q And can you describe the law enforcement
22	vehicle and the reason why you thought that was
23	unusual for it to be there.
24	A Yes, normally I usually vote early in the
25	morning before I come to Tallahassee, and of course,

1	once you vote there a number of times and you're not
2	accustomed to seeing a law enforcement vehicle, it
3	normally brings some kind of curiosity to your
4	attention. And again, going through downtown, you see
5	the same thing, it makes you wonder why the vehicle is
6	there, what is wrong.
7	Q And the vehicles, were they occupied or
8	unoccupied?
9	A They were unoccupied, I didn't see anyone
10	in the vehicle.
11	Q And again, could you describe the vehicles
12	more specifically?
13	A Basically, if you're looking at a law
14	enforcement vehicle, FHP, it's distinct in color, two-
15	tone color, black with more like a cream yellow and
16	normally would have FHP or state trooper on it.
17	Q Did you happen to talk to any official
18	about the presence of those vehicles at the polling
19	place?
20	A No, I didn't.
21	Q And again, you found that unusual?
22	A Yes, I've never seen it before during the
23	time I've been voting.
24	Q And approximately what time did you notice
25	those vehicles?

1	A Approximately 7:30, quarter to eight.
2	Q And the polling place was open at what
3	time?
4	A Normally in Jefferson County, they open at
5	7:00 and I'm usually there about 7:30.
6	Q Okay. And if you know, the racial
7	composition the composition or percentage of
8	registered voters in that precinct?
9	A I'm not sure of the racial composition of
10	the polling place; however, on most occasions, you
11	have a large number of African-Americans voting at
12	that particular polling place.
13	Q More than 40 percent?
14	A Well, I guess yes, possibly; I don't know,
15	I'm not sure.
16	Q Okay. And you actually did vote that
17	morning?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q And you were asked to produce
20	identification?
21	A Yes, as a matter of fact, there was a line
22	that morning. To my recollection, there was a person
23	that came outside that was asking the people in line
24	to produce two pieces of identification; one, the
25	voter registration and some other picture ID.

	Q Okay. And if you know, because you are
2	you're described by our staff as active in the
3	community, and if you know, is the type of
4	identification and the number of pieces of
5	identification that were requested usual for that
6	particular polling place?
7	A No, I don't think so, because generally in
8	prior voting situations, I've always just produced my
9	voter registration card and that has been sufficient.
10	And of course, asking for two piece of identification
11	was a little unusual.
12	Q So was this the first time you were asked
13	for two pieces of identification in order to vote?
14	A To my recollection, I believe so.
15	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam
16	Chair, I have no further questions of this witness.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, why don't
.18	we swear in the other witness who is here.
19	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr.
20	Whiting?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You're Mr. Whiting,
22	right?
23	MR. WHITING: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you please
25	stand? Would you raise your right hand, please?

Whereupon, 1 2 WILLIE D. WHITING, JR. appeared as a witness herein, and having been first 3 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. 5 Please be seated. 6 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 9 Q Will you please state for the record your 10 full name, your title and the county of your 11 residence. and tell us whether you are today 12 registered voter in the State of Florida? 13 Α My name is Apostle Willie David Whiting, " 14 Jr., born and raised here in Tallahassee, Florida. 15 Pastor, House of Prayer Church, 1515 Polarosa Road, 16 registered voter here in Leon County. 17 Apostle Whiting, you are a registered voter in Leon County at this time -- do you know .18 19 whether you're a registered voter in Leon County? 20 Α Don't know. 21 Q And the reason why you have a question 22 about it, according to your statements to your staff 23 is because you experienced some difficulty in voting 24 Can you describe your experience on on November 7. 25 that day at the polling place?

A Before the polls closed, in the evening,
November 7, my family and I went to John Wesley United
Methodist Church, is our precinct, and near the corner
of Blairstone Road and Old St. Augustine, precinct 42.
We normally vote there all the time, this is our
residential section, don't live that far from there.

So when we got there, my wife, my daughter and my son, we went to get in line. As we usually do, the polling clerks asked us for our voter registration card. My wife and daughter had new cards, my son and I did not. When we don't have our voter registration cards, we are allowed to use our driver's license for identification purposes. The polling clerks then check their records to see if we are listed there.

By me being the head of our household, I got in line first. But my name was not in the record book. The polling clerk asked me to see another polling clerk five or ten feet from me that had another master roll book. I went to her, my family proceeded to vote, under my directions, of course.

The polling clerk then checked her master book and she didn't find my name either, so she became concerned. She called Leon County, as far as I know, the courthouse, to check the records there and maybe after four or five minutes or so, she got ahold of a

He said

The supervisor did not want to speak to her, the supervisor wanted to talk to me. The supervisor then asked me for my name and I gave it to him. And he asked me had I been to I thought it unusual, but I had been to court, so I said to him yes, I've been to court. 7 well what were the findings, I said well, federal juror. He said well, no, you couldn't have been 10 11 12 civil rights. 13

because we have you listed as a convicted felon, you have been purged from our system, you've lost all your

So I asked him if I needed a lawyer. He said well, let me check further. He went away from the phone and after a few minutes, he came back to the phone and said there's been a mistake. There is a Willie J. Whiting born July 27, 1950, two days after I was, middle initial is J.

I said well, do we have the same social security number? Couldn't answer that. Do we have the same driver's license number? He then asked to speak back to the polling clerk again and he gave her a number that she could give to me or give me a card to proceed to vote.

So I did vote that night, but I was purged

### **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	from the system. I was somewhat concerned because he
2	said that this happened the 27th of October of '99.
3	I've never spent a night in jail anywhere. And my
4	concern was if I should have been stopped in between
5	that year, what could have happened.
6	So I don't know if I'm a registered voter
7	today, but I did vote that night and I don't know how
8	far it reaches when your name is purged from the
9	system and you lose your civil rights.
10	Q Apostle Whiting, let me ask you this, were
11	you required to bring any type of documentation to the
12	poll worker before you were allowed to register to
13	vote that day, did you have to produce your birth
14	certificate?
15	A Driver's license.
16	Q Just your driver's license. Based on your
17	driver's license alone, you were permitted to vote
18	ultimately you were permitted to vote that evening.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. Between October of 1999 and
21	November 7 of 2000, were you ever notified that you
22	had been purged from the voting list?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q You received no notice in the mail, no one
25	informed you that you had been your name had been

1	caken from the voting fist:
2	A No, sir.
3	Q And before you left the polling place that
4	night, were you told that your name would be
5	reinstated on the voting list?
6	A No, șir.
7	Q And that is the reason at this point, you
8.	don't know whether you're a registered voter, but you
9	were allowed to vote that day.
10	A Yes.
11	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Okay,
12	thank you very much, Apostle Whiting.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ms. Tucker?
14	MS. TUCKEŔ: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you please stand
16	and raise your right hand while I give you the oath?
17	Whereupon,
18	ROBERTA TUCKER "
19	appeared as a witness herein, and having been first
20	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.
22	Please be seated.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES:
25	Q Good morning, Ms. Tucker.
	V=

1	A Good morning.
2	Q For the record, will you please give your
3	full name and your county of residence and tell us
4	where you are employed and at this time, just
5	please answer those three questions.
6	A My name is Roberta Tucker, I live in Leon
7	County and I'm employed with the State of Florida.
8	Q Okay, and you did talk to a member of our
9	staff, did you not?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And you mentioned that what I believe
12	you characterized as something unusual happened C'n
13	November 7. Will you please describe to the
14	Commission for the record your exact experience C'n
15	November 7, 2000?
16	A I was on my way to vote and on Oak Ridge
17	Road between my house and where I go to vote, there
18	was a roadblock and there were approximately five
19	highway patrolmen there. They stopped me, he asked $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{le}}$
20	for my driver's license. I gave him my license, he
21	just looked at them and handed them back to me and
22	told me to proceed, to go ahead.
23	I went ahead and voted, I got to my jok, I
24	called the NAACP because I was curious about the road
25	stop. And I explained to them what had happened and

1	they said they would look into it, because I didn't
2	feel that it warranted a roadblock there and I was
3	intimidated by it and I was suspicious of it and
4	that's why I reported it.
5	Q And approximately what time was that on
6	November 7?
7	A I would say approximately about 10:00, a
8	little bit before 10:00.
9	Q And you were on your way to the polling
10	place?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And is that a route you generally travel?
13	A Only if I'm going like to the grocery
14	store or someplace like that.
15	Q Okay.
16	A Or to vote.
17	Q But have you seen a police presence at
18	that location before?
19	A Never.
20	Q And you were just asked for your driver's
21	license?
22	A That's all.
23	Q You were not asked for your vehicle
24	registration card?
25	A No. Nothing was checked, my lights or

1	signals or anything that they usually check was not
2	checked.
3	Q And you observed other cars being stopped
4	too?
5	A I can't say exactly because I had to look
6	for my driver's license and I was looking down and
7	when I gave them to him and he gave them back to me, I
8	went ahead. I don't know if there were other cars
9	stopped there or not.
10	Q Okay. Please describe in your own words
11	your sense of intimidation.
12	A Well, it was an election day and it was a
13	big election and there were only white officers there
14	and like I said, they didn't ask me for anything else,
15	so I was suspicious at that, you know, and I don't
16	know, it was just suspicious to me.
17	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam
18	Chair, I have no further questions at this time.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, let me 10-te
20	that Commissioner Edley has arrived and I gave other
21	Commissioners an opportunity to introduce themselves,
22	so you may do so at this timeSay who you are.
23	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Christopher Edley,
24	I'm a Commission member, professor at Harvard Law
25	School.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'll spare you the
3	rest.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You could name all
5	your books.
6	Now what we'll do is see if any
7	Commissioners have any questions for the witnesses,
8	and I am going to start with the Vice Chair.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes. Mr.
10	Nelson, you indicated or at least I have some notes
11	of an interview the staff had with you apparently
12	where you indicated that in addition to seeing a
13	police presence in your precinct, if I understand the
14	notes, they indicate that you saw a police presence in
15	other precincts also, or at least one other?
16	WITNESS NELSON: One other, there was a
17	vehicle. I didn't see the individual, just the
18	vehicle presence.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But that was at
20	a precinct other than your own?
21	WITNESS NELSON: That is correct.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And is that
23	what you described earlier as a car parked there, but
24	you didn't see any officers inside the car?
25	WITNESS NELSON: That's correct.

1	WITNESS NELSON: Well, I didn't get any
2	notice at all whether there was a change, and it was a
3	poll person, I guess a worker, that the person did
4	come out and advise all the people in the line that
5	they needed to have two forms of ID. Of course,
-6	again, that has never happened to me, because I vote
7	frequently and once I go into the poll, generally I
8	would produce my voter registration card and that's
9	sufficient. So to me, that was unusual and I guess
10	that's and I didn't see no one gave me any prior
11	notice to that.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, the Vice Chair
14	wants to follow up.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, I just
16	wonder, sir, whether you by chance have ever seen a
17	document published by the Florida Department of State
18	entitled "Florida Registration and Voting Guide: Your
19	Vote is Your Voice." I have a copy before me. Have
20	you or any members of your family ever received in the
21	mail or seen this a document entitled as I just
22	read it?
23	WITNESS NELSON: I have not seen it, nor
24	do I recollect my family receiving one at all.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:

25

Thank you for

1	the follow up question.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right.
3	Commissioner Meeks.
4	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes. For Ms. Tucker,
5	have you voted in the same precinct for a number of
6	years?
7	WITNESS TUCKER: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And had you ever been
9	stopped before?
10	WITNESS TUCKER: No.
11	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Have you ever seen a
12	checkpoint there before?
13	WITNESS TUCKER: No.
14	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
16	Thernstrom.
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, weite
18	obviously heard this morning of some irregularities
19	and obviously mistakes were made I suspect in every
20	state in the nation mistakes were made. Ms. Littler
21	referred to the need for reform nationwide and
22	statewide, and I commend Governor Bush for setting up
23	a bipartisan task force to look into irregularities,
24	with the expectation that there will be legislative

25

proposals and recommendations.

-J.

1	But the question is, of course, precisely
2	how much of an exception the Chair referred to
3	irregularities must be the exception, not the rule.
4	And the question, of course, is precisely how much of
5	an exception the problems in Florida were and the
6	problems to which you refer were. Are there patterns
7	and practices of voting rights irregularities.
8	Your testimony this morning does raise
9	serious questions of concern, but the question is are
10	they of an exceptional nature that is particular to
11	Florida and I wondered, you know, whether you have any
12	sense of a larger picture beyond the one that you have
13	the personal stories that you have so eloquently
14	spelled out.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are you directing your
16	question at a particular witness?
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: To any member of
. 18	the panel.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And your question is
20	are they aware of any irregularities that occurred
21	other places in the country?
22	
23	this part of a pattern and practice, because that
24	really is the bottom line question.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In Florida or in the

country?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: They would only know about Florida.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's your question.

Okay, are you familiar, any of you, with anyone else who either told you about, which would be hearsay, or aware from your personal knowledge of any other incidents that might have occurred?

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I mean we read to establish a record of a pattern of irregularities here. Isolated incidences, you know, are isolated events.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Thernstrom, we heard the question. Let me just say to the witnesses so that they're not confused, the Commission's authority reaches individual allegations of abuse of voting rights and not even pattern and of course, interested in pattern practice. We are, practice welcome and Ι your answer to tlie interested but we are just as tlie rights of an individual under our deprivation of statute, which specifically gives us that authority, as we are a pattern and practice. So any individual complaint that comes to our attention will get an nuch attention as numerous ones. But you may go ahead and

1	answer the question.
2	Are you aware of any others that you'd
3	like to tell us about, even if it's hearsay, since the
4	questions have been asked?
5	WITNESS NELSON: John Nelson, I'm not
6	aware.
7	- CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
- 8	WITNESS WHITING: Judge, I'm only aware of
9	what I heard.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right, what you heard.
11	WITNESS WHITING: Through the media.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
13	WITNESS TUCKER: And I've heard on the
14	radio where one particular individual had to drive all
15	the way to Tampa or somewhere like that to vote
16	because she couldn't vote here in Tallahassee.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Who else
18	yes?
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I just had a
20	follow up question. Have any of you been contacted by
21	the commission set up by the Governor to testify or
22	give testimony before them?
23	WITNESS TUCKER: I have not.
24	WITNESS NELSON: I have not either.
25	WITNESS WHITING: Nor have I.
	NEAL P. GPOSS

#\* \* V, ~

	ı <b>ı</b>
1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Commissioner
2	Wilson.
3	COMMISSIONER WILSON: This is for Roberta
4	Tucker.
5	Did you ever find out what the roadblocks
6	were for?
7	WITNESS TUCKER: They had an article in
8	the <u>Tallahassee Democrat</u> and it said it was a random
9	checkpoint and that it was not authorized by their
10	supervisor.
11	COMMISSIONER WILSON: It was a random
12	checkpoint but it wasn't authorized.
13	WITNESS TUCKER: It was not authorized.
14	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Okay, for Apostle
15	Whiting, my question is I have two questions for
16	you. The first is how did you feel when you were told
17	that your civil rights had been revoked? I'm just
18	curious to know what that feels like when you've been
19	told that you were a convicted felon and you no longer
20	have your civil rights.
21	WITNESS WHITING: Well, I reflected upon
22	African-American history, every last bit of it. So it
23	didn't feel good. I was slingshotted into slavery,
24	that's how I felt, and I thought of all the things

that had happened to African-Americans that I knew

1	about, and I thought of all the possibilities of what
2	could have happened had I been stopped by the wrong
3	police officer, the possibility of being a felon
4	that's what I thought about. Didn't feel good.
5	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you. My
6	second question is have you since found out or checked
7	to make sure that your name has been taken off that
8	list of convicted felons?
9	WITNESS WHITING: No, ma'am.
10	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Is there a reason
11	why you haven't sought that out?
12	WITNESS WHITING: There is. I believed
13	that I'd get an opportunity to share. I wanted to,
14	but I was opposed. Let me see if I can explain that.
15	Had I went and found out or tried to find out
16	maybe I wouldn't have but now something will come
17	of it, because you are asking the question. It'll
18	reach much further coming from you than from me.
19	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, then I'm going
20	to ask the question.
21	WITNESS WHITING: Yes. I didn't need to
22	find out any earlier, I don't need to know, because
23	you will find out.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is that your question?
25	COMMISSIONED WILSON. Voc

1	WITNESS NELSON: Okay. The vehicle that I
2	observed, it's distinct in color, again it's a two-
3	color vehicle, black and you would describe it as
4	cream yellow, of that nature. It stands out by
5	itself.
6 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Was it a highway
7	patrol vehicle, a sheriff's department vehicle or, to
8	your knowledge and information and belief, what kind
9	of vehicle do you think it was?
10	WITNESS NELSON: It was a highway patrol.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: A State Highway Patrol
12	vehicle?
13	WITNESS NELSON: Correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And this was tell
15	me again the time a State Highway Patrol vehicle at
16	
17	WITNESS NELSON: The time had to be
.18	between 7:30 and 7:45 at the polling place which would
19	be precinct 6 on Tiger Lane in Jefferson County.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. And so you're
21	sure it wasn't a sheriff's department?
22	WITNESS NELSON; No, it was not.
	The state of the s
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You've seen highway
23	

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, so you know them 1 2 when you see them. WITNESS NELSON: Yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. 4 thing that I wanted to ask is how did you feel about 5 observing this? What were your visceral reactions? 6 Well, quite naturally it 7 WITNESS NELSON: catches -- it gets attention because any time at a 8 polling place, there's a law enforcement vehicle, you 9 I know that a law enforcement 10 wonder why it's there. person votes just as well as any other individual, but 11 again, when you don't see that, as many times as live 12 voted, it catches your attention. 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: When you were inside 14 the polling place, did you see any state highway 15 16 patrolman voting or coming from voting, or anything 17 like that going on? 18 WITNESS NELSON: No, I didn't -- I did not. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You did not. Did 21 anyone -- you said that no one, you received no notice that the law in Florida had changed and now there vere 22 23 two pieces of ID required at the polling place, if I 24 heard you correctly; you didn't receive any notice 25 like that.

1	WITNESS NELSON: To my recollection, I did
2	not.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you know anybody
4	else who received a notice saying that the state now
5	required two pieces of identification?
6	WITNESS NELSON: I do not.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And when you described
8	the information about the identification, I thought
9	you said two pieces and something else, but I didn't -
10	-
11	WITNESS NELSON: No, it was two pieces.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Two pieces of
13	identification, and what would these two pieces
14	consist of possibly? À picture
15	WITNESS NELSON: A picture
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: a credit card or
17	what?
18	WITNESS NELSON: Well, first of all, voter
19	registration card and some picture ID is the way it
20	was described to me.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. And did anyone
22	say why they were asking for this?
23	WITNESS NELSON: No, they did not.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What had occurred to
25	make this something that was necessary? There was no

2 WITNESS NELSON: No explanation. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 3 This other 4 question I have is for you, Apostle. Let me just say 5 that as you described it, you made what I would call a concerted effort to get yourself in a position that 6 7 you could vote. In other words, you were told you 8 were a felon and were on the list, right, and you couldn't vote? 9 WITNESS WHITING: Right. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you didn't just say well, fine, I can't do anything about that and 12 13 you're wrong, and if you won't let me vote, I'm going 14 home. You then took two or three other steps in order 15 to put yourself in a position that someone would say 16 that you could vote. If I heard you correctly, you 17 mentioned whether you had to hire a lawyer. Did you 18 mention that to the supervisor? 19 WITNESS WHITING: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Was it at that point 21 when the supervisor went away from the phone, as I 22 heard you, and then finally came back and said okay, 23 you could vote and gave you some kind of number that 24 let you vote?

announcement or anything in the polling place?

1

WITNESS WHITING: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But as I heard you,
2	the supervisor did not ask you for any other kind of
3	information, did he, in making that determination?
4	WITNESS WHITING: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I mean, at that point,
6	did he ask you something else like where you grew up,
.7	what were you doing at different times of day or made
8	further inquiries on the day in question when you were
9	supposed to have committed this crime, where were you
10	and what were nothing like that?
11	WITNESS WHITING: Nothing, no.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just when you said do
13	I have to get a lawyer, he went away and came back and
14	said here's a number and you can go. And so as far as
15	he knew, you still could have been a felon, which
16	you're not, but he was now permitting you to vote.
17	WITNESS WHITING: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Whereas before, they
19	were not permitting you to vote.
20	WITNESS WHITING: That's exactly right.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me ask you this
22	let me ask you a hypothetical, which one should never
23	do, but I'll do it. And you'll answer it, I hope.
24	If someone else, who were less persistent
25	than you, and who were more easily intimidated or less

1	aware of things like hiring lawyers and this and that
2	and the other, came in and they told them they
3	couldn't vote because they were a felon and they were
4	sorry, and they called somebody and said you're a
5	felon, you can't vote. What is the likelihood that
6	this could have happened to somebody else who were
7	less who was less prescient than you and that they
8	might have been just turned away and gone away, as the
9	stories we have heard from people?
10	WITNESS WHITING: Well, it's very likely,
11	very well could have happened.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-huh. So that the
13	other complaints that we have from people that this
14	happened to them and they went away, based on your own
15	experience, you would say that there may be a
16	substantial likelihood that such a thing could have
17	happened?
18	WITNESS WHITING: Yes. Might I aeld
19	something?
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Please, you may aild
21	whatever you wish.
22	WITNESS WHITING: The supervisor may lave
23	been intimidated by me referring to an attorney.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-huh.
25	WITNESS WHITING: Therefore, he would la-ve

known that I was capable of doing that, just while 1 wouldn't have 2 mentioning it, he known if but that I had prompt a situation that 3 capable, 4 prompted him to realize that I had access 5 attorney. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-huh. 6 Well, one 7 thing we do know is that whatever system and procedure 8 that was used was so faulty that you could have been sitting here as a real felon and in fact they let you 9 10 go. 11 WITNESS WHITING: Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Based on what you 13 described, right? WITNESS WHITING: Yes. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that in terms of kind of 16 some rational approach to making the 17 determination that happened to you that day, .18 seems to be a decided sense of irrationality. Would 19 you agree with that? Do you agree? 20 WITNESS WHITING: Yes, he -- it could have happened to anyone, as you've said. 21 By the same 22 token, I could be sitting here today as a convicted 23 felon, because there was -- he still did not ask me 24 for my driver's license number, nor social security 25 number still didn't ask for those forms of

1	identification.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did your family
3	observe all this? Was your family there with you?
4	WITNESS WHITING: Yes. They observed it,
5	all of it, and other people there at the polling
6	observed it. They kind of even joked about the idea
7	of me being a felon, because it was you know, when
8	you're approached like that, you know, you're taken
9	back, I mean you're taken. Because this is what Ine
10	said and so, you know, it's a strange kind of feeling
11	and, Judge, if I were to say, you know, you're a
12	convicted felon, I mean
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In front of a lot of
14	people.
15	WITNESS WHITING: In front of a lot of
16	people.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And I can't vote.
18	WITNESS WHITING: I mean yes, it's Like
19	having a bad credit card, you know, when you're in a
20	hotel restaurant.
21	(Laughter.)
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That's worth
23	than being an ex-con.
24	WITNESS WHITING: I mean, it's pretty kad
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did your wife ask you

what crimes you'd committed?

وأذوح

witness whiting: Well, my family really thought that none of us should vote after that. See, when I was there first and my family didn't want to vote because they was not going to allow me to vote. But I encouraged them to go ahead and vote.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, do you think an appropriate reaction to all this that happened to you was for some people -- and if it happened to other people -- to decide that they just simply won't try to vote again? I mean if it's that much of a hassle and if people are going to say you're a felon when you're not and you're going to be embarrassed at the polls or asked for two IDs when you don't need them and you don't have them and nobody else is, that maybe you should just stay at home and not vote.

WITNESS WHITING: That's really one of the fears that I have for future elections, is that might as well just stay home, that it's not worth getting involved in.

I believe that -- I'm not a scientist and I don't know much about formulas, but someone is trying to create a formula, maybe they've already created a formula. Maybe it's been this way a long time, but if you can understand what I'm saying in

1	this language that I'm speaking, is that it seems I
2	mean if we use this, what happened in this past
3	election, could I do this again? Could this happen to
4	me next time? I mean if I stop people at the polls
5	before they get to the polls, if I set up all kind of
6	schemes and this and that. I'm not saying that we
7	will actually find this out, but if this was to happen
8	again, would it prove to us that someone has a
9	formula? You're not understanding me, Judge.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, I understand you.
11	I like to overstand
12	(Laughter.)
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I understand
14	perfectly, I understand what you're saying, but you
15	may explain it further if you wish. Go ahead.
16	WITNESS WHITING: Well,
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And if that were the
18	case, what?
19	WITNESS WHITING: Well, then like we would
20	be able to win again.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. All right, I
22	understand perfectly what you're saying.
23	I had a few questions also I wanted to
24	ask you what I'm trying to ascertain the vehicle
25	that stopped you, how would you describe it? Could

1	you describe the vehicle to the best of your
2	recollection? Ms. Tucker, I'm sorry, would you
3	describe the vehicle, to the best of your
4	recollection?
5	WITNESS TUCKER: I'll say there were
6	approximately
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It was a law
8	enforcement vehicle, right?
9	WITNESS TUCKER: They were on foot. The
10	vehicles were parked on the side of the road.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, did you see the
12	vehicles?
13	WITNESS TUCKER: They were Highway Patrol
14	vehicles.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They were Highway
16	Patrol. You're certain of that.
17	WITNESS TUCKER: I'm certain.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you don't think
19	they were engaged in a checkpoint to check people's
20	licenses and lights, because in Florida, they do have
21	this procedure whereby the State Highway Patrol
22	announces ahead of time through the media, that's what
23	the law says
24	WITNESS TUCKER: Correct.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and then they stop
	NEAL R. GROSS

Z ...... 30

the specific checkpoint. 1 people at And they're 2 supposed to be checking their lights and brake lights and all that sort of stuff, and licenses. 3 WITNESS TUCKER: Correct. 4 Did you CHAIRPERSON BERRY: hear 5 any be announcement on the air that there would 6 a 7 checkpoint at that place? WITNESS TUCKER: No, I did not. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You did not. 10 - after what happened to you, did you discuss this with anyone and had other people heard an announcement 11 that there would be a checkpoint and that you somehow 12 13 just missed it and didn't know? WITNESS TUCKER: No, no one else. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 15 But you are sure 16 was a Highway Patrol. 17 WITNESS TUCKER: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And why did -- tell me 18 again, why specifically would the presence of police 19 20 officers be -- or law enforcement officials who were Highway Patrolmen according to your testimony -- why 21 22 would that intimidate you since police are supposed to 23 be, you know, the quardians of all our rights and they were there, you would assume they were there for 24

some purpose to guard your rights that morning

whatever time of day -- what time of day was it? 1 WITNESS TUCKER: It was 2 approximately 3 10:00 in the morning. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ten o'clock in the 4 5 morning? WITNESS TUCKER: Uh-huh. 6 7 Why would you feel CHAIRPERSON BERRY: that there was something suspicious about it and that 8 you felt some intimidation and therefore, you even 9 10 went so far as to report it? 11 WITNESS TUCKER: On this particular highway, it's not a lot of traffic and I've never seen 12 a checkpoint there before and it was just suspicious 13 14 to me. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because you hadn't seen it before? 16 17 WITNESS TUCKER: No. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Was there some tension surrounding election day or something, or leading up 19 20 to it, or something you'd heard or felt or was there 21 some air of tension around this, or it's just that 22 this was unusual because you saw this in a place that 23 you hadn't seen it before and therefore, you thought 24 somebody ought to know about it and find out what was

going on?

1 WITNESS TUCKER: Right, that was exactly how I felt. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Not 3 any particular tension about the days leading up to the election or 4 election day or turnout or anything like that that you 5 were aware of. 6 7 WITNESS TUCKER: No. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 8 And do you 9 think -- the same question I asked the Apostle, do you 10 think that these kinds of incidents that occurred, 11 according to your testimony, the three of you, to you 12 and if they indeed happened to other people who have given -- who have filed complaints and allegations, do 13 you think that these are reasons for people to worry 14 15 about voting next time or that they shouldn't vote? It's the same question I asked him. What should be the 16 attitude about these situations? 17 WITNESS TUCKER: There should be concern, 18 should not stop you from going ahead and 19 but 20 But you should be concerned about it. voting. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, all right. Does 22 anybody else have anything else. Well, Vice Chair and 23 then Commissioner Edley. 24 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: For Reverend 25 Whiting, I was just curious in terms of your responses

to the questions by the Chair in terms of the roadway 1 -- the discussion you had with the supervisor. 2 remember your earlier testimony, you indicated that 3 the supervisor indicated to you that the individual 4 .5 who had been convicted of a felony was a Willie J. 6 Whiting, but you would be at best Willie D. Whiting, 7 would you not? WITNESS WHITING: That's right. 8 9 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Did he ask you 10 whether you were the Willie J. Whiting? And as I remember your testimony, that's before you raised the 11 issue of having to see an attorney. Did he ask you 12 13 whether or not you were the Willie J. Whiting? WITNESS WHITING: He asked me had I been 14 15 to court. Whether or not he asked me whether or not I 16 was Willie J., not pinpointing that. He did ask me 17 had I been to court. 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: He asked you whether you had been to court, did he ask you whether 19 20 you were Willie J. Whiting? 21 WITNESS WHITING: I don't remember. He 22 said -- when the lady put me on the phone and he asked me was I Willie Whiting, but not Willie J. nor even 23 24 Willie D. 25 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay, but later

1	and before you mentioned having to see an attorney,
2	you did as I heard your testimony, you indicated
3	that he made clear to you that it was Willie J.
4	Whiting, is that right?
5	WITNESS WHITING: He said, when he came
6	back, there is a Willie J.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And at that
8	point, did you indicate to him that you were Willie
9	David Whiting?
LO	WITNESS WHITING: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And he didn't
12	ask you whether you were the same or whether you ever
13	went by the name of Willie J.?
14	WITNESS WHITING: No, no. No, not that I
15	went by.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: It's just
17	unless you also went by the name of Willie J., it just
18	seems odd to me, frankly, that (1) that you were
19	dropped from the rolls when you're Willie D., not
20	Willie J., and (2) that the supervisor at that point
21	would not have said, gee, apparently we've made a
22	mistake since you're.Willie David and the ex-felon is
23	Willie J. So I just wanted that clarification. Thank
24	you, Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right.

25

1	Commissioner Edley.
2	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Ms. Tucker, please,
3	if you indicated this in your testimony before I
4	arrived from the airport, just say so, but could you
5	talk a little bit about the NAACP, why you decided to
6	call them rather than, for example, calling some
7	government office?
8	WITNESS TUCKER: I guess because I felt it
9	was a civil rights issue, you know, and they were the
10	first person the first organization that came to
11	mind, was the NAACP.
12	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Have you been active
13	yourself in the NAACP?.
14	WITNESS TUCKER: No, I have not.
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Had you heard any
16	information from the NAACP announcing to the public
17	that if they encountered problems to call?
18	WITNESS TUCKER: No.
19	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right
21	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And I'm sorry, let
22	me what contact have you had with the NAACP since
23	you made that phone call?
24	WITNESS TUCKER: I've got several calls
25	from them.

65 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Really? 1 WITNESS TUCKER: And I've 2 sent them statements about what happened. 3 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay, interesting, 4 5 thank you. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And so when 6 you 7 thought of civil rights, you thought not of some state calling the NAACP. 8 but of That's ve: y 9 interesting. just 10 WITNESS TUCKER: Ι thought they should be aware of it, because I felt like it was sort 11 of discriminatory and that's why I called them. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me ask then in that -- because I have another couple of minutes I can 14 ask questions. All of you -- this brings to mind for 15 16 all three of you, what agency in the Florida state government would you call up if -- or advise anybody 17

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me ask then in that -- because I have another couple of minutes I can ask questions. All of you -- this brings to mind for all three of you, what agency in the Florida state government would you call up if -- or advise anybody else to call up -- if they had a civil rights issue or problem or something happened under civil rights? Do you know what agency in Florida to call up, or is there some place to call people and ask them to do something? Are you aware of what part of the Florida government or your county government or whoever it is that you would call -- if you wanted the government -- we have a booklet at the U.S. Civil Rights Commission

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

called "How to get Uncle Sam to Protect your Civil Rights." That's the feds. Who in the Florida government, what's the agency, who's the person who stands out in your mind as the one to complain about if there's a civil rights issue that you have, or problem? Are you aware of who that is, any of you?

WITNESS NELSON: No, I'm not aware, but I basically would seek the information, if I needed to find information on who is who and who handles what in state government, basically I believe there's an information number that you can call and they would more than likely direct you to that particular agency.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-huh.

WITNESS NELSON: Of course, if I had a problem being involved as a community leader, I know who locally to deal with those kind of problems, basically I would refer it to them and in turn what would happen, they would normally be referred to an agency in state government if needed.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And since the election with all of the publicity about allegations of voting irregularities, has anything come out from the Florida state government to citizens reminding them that there's someplace you can complain to if in fact you feel that your rights have been violated, and that you

.18

1	don't just have to go to the NAACP or whatever, that
2	there's this Florida office that's out there to serve
3	the citizens that would be happy to hear from you if
4	you've got have you gotten a flier in the mail or
5	an e-mail message or voice mail message or anything
6	else from any kind of government agency reminding you
7	of this and telling you please get in touch with us if
8	you have a problem?
9	WITNESS WHITING: No, I have not.
10	WITNESS TUCKER: I have not either.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: None of you have?
12	WITNESS NELSON: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, does anyone
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I have one last
15	question on this specifically. Ms. Tucker, your
16	failure to call a state agency suggests that you had
17	some distrust of state authorities. Is there some
18	specific reason why you didn't trust that process of
19	going to a state office?
20	WITNESS TUCKER: Are you directing that to
21	me?
22	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You do not have to
24	agree with her that you distrusted the state
25	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: No, you don't.

(Laughter.) 1 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 2 No, you don't have to agree obviously, but not calling them could 3 4 suggest that. 5 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Nor do you have to -- excuse me -- nor do you have to accept that it was a 6 7 failure to call. It was a decision on your part to call. 8 9 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: A decision, your decision. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you may answer 12 however you wish, there's no caveats. 13 WITNESS TUCKER: I just felt like I would 14 get more results if I talked to them and they would 15 probably follow through more so if I contacted anyone else. 16 17 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And you felt 18 that -- had you had some specific experience in the past of contacting state authorities that you felt you 19 20 would not get an adequate response? 21 WITNESS TUCKER: No, I haven't. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay... Anybody else have anything else? Anything else come to mind while 23 24 we're here? Commissioner Wilson? 25 COMMISSIONER WILSON: No.

A 36 16

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Vice Chair. 1 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I do have one follow up question for 3 again Ms. Tucker. You indicated you called the NAACP because you felt that 4 5 it was a civil rights issue, but you indicated also in 6 your testimony that you weren't sure whether other folk were also being stopped as that was a street that 7 was not really that well traveled. Why did you think 8 it was a civil right issue? Is that an African-9 10 American community? 11 WITNESS TUCKER: Yes, it is, it's predominantly a black community. 12 13 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Is that why you thought --14 WITNESS TUCKER: And that's the route that 15 most of them have to take to go to that voting poll 16 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 17 Oh, okay, so there was a precise reason why you thought it 18 19 was civil rights --WITNESS TUCKER: Right. 20 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 21 -- i.e., not 22 much traveled, certainly there was a police stop and 23 that's an area where it's predominantly African-24 American and the voters predominantly African-American 25 have to travel -- go by that street to go to the

. 1	voting place.
2	WITNESS TUCKER: Correct.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay, I just
4	it was not clear to me earlier. Thank you very much.
5	WITNESS TUCKER: May I ask a question?
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, you may ask a
7	question.
8	WITNESS TUCKER: I was contacted by the
9	Florida Highway Patrol, that they were doing an
10	investigation themselves. Does any of you know if
11	that was completed?
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we have
13	testimony from the Florida Highway Patrol tomorrow and
14	we will ask the Florida Highway Patrol about that.
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I have a question
16	for you. You were contacted by the Highway Patrol?
17	WITNESS TUCKER: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And what did they
19	ask you?
20	WITNESS TUCKER: They wanted to set up a
21	meeting with me.
22	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And did you
23	WITNESS TUCKER: No, I did not go through
24	with it.
25	COMMISSIONER WILSON: You said I decline
	NEAL R. GROSS

1 to have the meeting? WITNESS TUCKER: 2 No, Ι didn't say I declined, I just never called them back. 3 COMMISSIONER WILSON: 4 5 (Laughter.) 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we will ask the 7 -- someone has to remind us to make sure -- let's all remind ourselves to ask the Florida Highway Patrol 8 9 person when we come back tomorrow. We might also ask the Governor since I think the Florida Highway Patrol 10 reports to him, if I'm not mistaken, since he's the 11 12 chief executive officer of the state. 13 Does anyone else have any questions for Yes? the witnesses? 14 15 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: This last thing about 16 call from the Highway Patrol, what was the 17 content of their message to you? I mean did you feel .18 as though this was a good thing that they were trying to figure out what had happened, did you feel -- or 19 20 did you feel that this was intimidating in itself to 21 hear from them? No, I felt they honestly 22 WITNESS TUCKER: 23 really wanted to find out what happened. 24 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: What had gone on,

okay.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, well let me thank the witnesses very much and there are sign-out procedures that -- yes, did you want to say something else?

WITNESS WHITING: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, you can. Go right ahead.

WITNESS WHITING: I want to say this, a few days, and I don't remember, maybe it was a week or so later, maybe you can help me understand the purging system in your fact-finding. I knew that after I heard there was a report on the news that there had been a contractor to purge the system. So I knew that -- I felt then that I was a part of that system. That's one thing.

The other thing is like I'd like for you to say tomorrow when you speak to the Florida Highway Patrol, I grew up in Tallahassee, haven't always been here but I grew up here as a little boy. And I believe -- now I don't know this to be a fact, but the way that I feel -- I believe that most of us in Florida have always had high respect for the Florida Highway Patrol. Maybe not like any other law enforcement in the state of Florida, but certainly the Florida Highway Patrol. I've never known them to act

١ 1

.18

14.24

But somehow or another I feel now that 1 they've touched that feeling as to certainly how I 2 feel about the Florida Highway Patrol. 3 say this, if you can 4 Let me imagine 5 growing up in your state or your city, how you might 6 feel about law enforcement. I've always felt good 7 about the Florida Highway Patrol, even when I got a speeding ticket, I felt good about it. I've never saw 8 9 them under that light, them saw as being 10 professionals. 11 But that's been tampered with, so I'd like 12 for you to tell them that. 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, we can ask them about those feelings. 14 15 WITNESS WHITING: You can tell them that. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the contract that 16 17 you mentioned about the purging, we have subpoenced 18 the contract and we will have testimony concerning 19 that contract for the purging during the course of 20 this hearing, so we're following up on that. 21 WITNESS WHITING: I thank you. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will make sure of that. 23 24 All right, anybody else thought of 25 anything?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Before I say again, thank you very much for your testimony and taking the time to come to us. You are now excused. We have some sign-out procedures for witnesses and a member of our staff will assist you through them. Thank you very much for coming.

WITNESS WHITING: Thank you.

WITNESS TUCKER: Thank you.

(Witnesses excused.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will have a break for 10 minutes -- oh, we can't do it, we've got the documents, sorry, we cannot take a break. Somebody wanted to, but we can't.

Okay. At this time, we request that the representatives from the Florida Democratic and Republican Parties, both of whom were subpoenaed to provide documents for the Commission, come forward to present these documents.

Mr. Hailes, please proceed in accepting the documents and entering them into the record.

two officials from the Democratic and Republican
Parties come forward at this time?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you give us your

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	names please?
2	MR. WILSON: My name is Jamie Wilson, the
3	Executive Director for Republican Party of Florida.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
5	MR. POE: Bob, Chairman of the Florida
6	Democratic Party.
7	MR. WATSON: Screven Watson, Executive
8	Director of the Florida Democratic Party.
9	MR. BEERMAN: I'm Eric Beerman, General
10	Counsel for the Republican Party of Florida.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, could you
12	please raise your right hands.
13	Whereupon,
14	SCREVEN WATSON
15	BOB POE
16	JAMIE WILSON
17	ERIC BEERMAN
18	appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first
19	duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much,
21	you can be seated. Mr. Hailes.
22	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam
23	Chair and Commissioners, these persons have come
24	forward not to present sworn testimony except as it
25	relates to the subpoenas duces tecum that were served
	The state of the s

1	on their respective offices and we simply want to ask
2	each of them again for the record to identify
3	themselves and their titles at this time.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do it again, please,
5	starting on the right.
6	WITNESS WATSON: Screven Watson, Executive
7	Director of the Florida Democratic Party.
8	WITNESS POE: Bob Poe, Chairman of the
9	Florida Democratic Party.
10	WITNESS WILSON: Jamie Wilson, Executive
11	Director of the Republican Party of Florida.
12	WITNESS BEERMAN: Eric Beerman, General
13	Counsel for the Republican Party of Florida:
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right.
15	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And again,
16	Madam Chair, just for the record, I want to mention
17	the specific documents that we requested, and it's for
18	both parties.
19	Any and all documents and communications
20	describing any allegation or allegations of the denial
21	of the right to vote or allegations that this right
22	was in any manner impeded in the September 5, 2000,
23	October 3, 2000 and November 7, 2000 elections.
24	Any and all documents and communications
25	regarding the number and categorization of complaints

by type of allegation and/or type of complainant. 1 Any and all documents and communications 2 comparing the number and categorizations of complaints 3 by type of allegation and/or type of complainant in 4 5 other elections. BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 6 And I would just like to ask each of you 7 to indicate whether you have produced the documents 8 that have been subpoenaed. Mr. Poe first. 9 Α (Witness Poe) 10 Yes, we have. 11 And they true and accurate are 12 representation of the documents we did request by 13 subpoena? Α (Witness Poe) Yes, they are. 14 And will you produce them at this time to 15 Q our records clerk, Ms. Jenny Kim Park. 16 (Document were proffered to the clerk.) 17 And did you produce the documents that .18 19 were requested by subpoena? 20 (Witness Wilson) Commissioner, Α Yes, have some documentation here, keeping in mind that we 21 22 were subpoenaed late last week, and we have done as 23 much as we could, a diligent effort to produce as much as we can right now. We also have further requests of 24 25 staff, we've had some staff changeovers as happens

1	after elections, and we've also had some folks on
2	vacation, so we would like to be able to provide the
3	Commission with additional documentation as we receive
4	it.
5	But we have provided as much as we
6	possibly can right now.
7	Q Okay, and can you give us a time frame for
8	when you will complete
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No longer than the
10	next 30 days.
11	A (Witness Wilson) We would hope to have it
12	by next week.
13	A (Witness Beerman) We've been in touch
14	with Mr. Foreman of your staff about it and we're
15	working diligently with him and we have pretty much
16	worked it out within the next several days
17	probably.
.18	Q Can you both give us just a very general
19	brief description of the documents that you have
20	produced today?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The type of documents.
22	A (Witness_Wilson), The types of documents
23	that we have produced have been requested of our
24	staff, anyone that received either faxes, e-mails,
25	written any letter in written format, to either

advise or complain about any problem that they thought 1 2 happened in the past election. Most of the documentation that you will 3 receive is predominantly post-election. You will be 4 5 able that most of this information to see are complaints, from Republicans, 6 some some from anything 7 Democrats, about that happened in the And very little documentation from anything 8 9 prior to the election. So we have just done as much as we could 10 to provide you with some information. 11 And anything that we received around the election time and the five 12 weeks of fun that we had afterward. 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you will in fact 14 produce the remaining documents within the next 15 16 days? 17 WITNESS WILSON: We certainly -well. 18 we'll be prepared to do that, yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. 20 BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 21 0 Mr. Poe. 22 Α (Witness Poe) Yes, we received numerous 23 complaints from around the state voting irregularities, people who were denied access to the 24 25 polls, people who had problems in voting,

1 issues, access issues. have given 2 And we you most of the documents -- we've given you all the documents that we 3 have here in Tallahassee. There are some documents in 4 5 south Florida which we are getting and should have to you within the next 10 days. 6 And some of those are 7 raw complaint data, others we had affidavits 8 taken, so there's a variety of types of documents that 9 we have given you today. 10 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you 11 very much. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, thank you very 13 much. The witnesses are excused. And there are signout procedures for withesses and a member of our staff 14 15 will assist you through them. Thank you very much. 16 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And I 17 should say for the record that we would like, Madam Chair, at this time to receive those documents into 18 19 the record and have them numbered consecutively by the 20 records clerk. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Without objection, so 22 ordered. 23 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Madam Chair, may

officials, will there be an opportunity to ask them in

questions

iust

ask,

if

we

have

24

25

state

for these

1	writing or some way?
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: In other words,
4	beyond these documents, I was just interested whether
5	they've been at all involved in advocating an increase
6	in resources for voting machinery and the like,
7	whether the parties have been active in that arena.
8	Is that something we can handle after the fact through
9	the staff?
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can we handle this by
11	writing or do we need to ask them here?
12	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: We can do
13	it in writing for the record. The record is open for
14	60 days.
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You may receive
17	inquiries from us in writing concerning the matters
18	that Commissioner Edley referred to.
19	WITNESS BEERMAN: We'll be glad to help
20	with any questions that you have.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, thank you
22	very much.
23	(Witnesses excused.)
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could we have Mr.
25	Bruce Minnick and Mr. Jai Howard come forward?

1	What we're going to do is could you
2	please raise your right hands?
3	Whereupon,
4	BRUCE MINNICK
5	R. JAI HOWARD
6	appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first
7	duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. You may be
9	seated. Counsel proceed with questioning.
10	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam
11	Chair and Commissioners, Attorney Advisor Joyce Smith
12	will ask questions of these witnesses at this time.
13	ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH: Thank you, Madam
14	Chair.
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH:
17	Q Good morning, Ms. Howard, Mr. Minnick.
18	A (Witness Howard) Good morning.
19	A (Witness Minnick) Good morning, Ms.
20	Smith.
21	Q Thank you for joining us today.
22	Ms Howard,Iunderstand that you've
23	prepared a statement that you'd like share today?
24	A (Witness Howard) Yes, ma'am.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you speak up

just a little bit, so I can hear you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS HOWARD: Would you like me to go ahead and read that?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Please do.

WITNESS HOWARD: Good morning. I stand before you today representing over 12,000 students at Florida Agricultural & Mechanical University. I am R. Jai Howard, a senior biology, pre-dentistry student and I currently serve as the Vice President of the Florida A&M University Student Government Association.

I'm here today to inform you of the preparations, actions and reactions of many FAMU students regarding recent events surrounding the 2000 presidential election.

FAMU students have always been very active in registering students to vote; however. the importance of this presidential election led to more organized efforts by student clubs many and organizations. The Student Government Association was integral part of such preparation with execution of a program called operation Big Vote. Just summarize this, with the help of other students, we -- it began as an effort to increase both voter registration and voter education throughout our campus, began as early as July 2000 with a program called TOPS, which is a Total Orientation Program for new Students, in which incoming freshmen come for a week and we register them to vote. Resumed in late August once we began school and it lasted up until October 10, the last day to register.

It consisted of dorm storms where students go and knock on the doors of all the dorms and campus apartments through campus, as well as citywide to different apartment complexes that have a high percentage of college students living there. We took registration cards daily to the courthouse, sometimes even twice a day if we had a lot, so that this information could be processed in a timely manner.

In addition, Reverend Jesse Jackson was a visitor to our campus on October 10, which was the last day of elections, and Ion Sancho even came to our university that evening and extended the time from which I think it was 5:00 to later on during that assembly, which lasted 'til about 10:00. Since he was there, we had other students who were able to vote.

We also had a joint effort with Arrive with Five, the AFL-CIO, the NAACP and other campus organizations with all students from Florida A&M, Florida State University and Tallahassee Community College who went to vote one week early on October 31

.18

at the county courthouse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The students of FAMU decided to take action on the day following the elections due to many concerns that a large number of our students had concerning discrepancies in the vote. On November 8, we had a town hall meeting, which is a regularly scheduled meeting, Student by our Government Association, where we had large numbers of students with problems, who had problems in voting, including one student who had two voter registration cards with two different precincts, some students who received no voter registration cards, switching of precincts notification, missed information prior precincts and students who had attempted to register numerous times and never received registration and were never entered into the system.

led to a silent protect our state's capitol two days following the election, where we went to just seek some answers about what we could far as the large number of students on our who had so many problems. We were able to briefly with State speak Attorney General Bob Butterworth and made attempts to speak with Secretary of State Katherine Harris.

The reactions after our protest included

no information received by our Secretary of State, and 1 2 are currently seeking ways to assist other we 3 organizations besides yours and state attorneys and 4 advocacy groups, as well our organization of as 5 Operation Big Vote, Part 2, for the upcoming election. 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, very much. Minnick 7 Could we have Mr. make his 8 statement if he has one? 9 BY ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH: 10 0 Minnick, Mr. have you prepared 11 statement? 12 Α (Witness Minnick) Not specifically, but I, 13 would be -- I can give you one shortly, a very short, " 14 brief statement and explain to you why I'm here, if 15 you would like me to do that. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, why don't you do 17 that in summary form. -18 WITNESS MINNICK: I've been subpoenaed to 19 be here before you at the Commission here. I'm an 20 attorney, I'm a civil rights lawyer in Tallahassee, 21 Florida, formerly an assistant attorney general, 22 served under Jim Smith. You'll hear from him later. 23 He's presently chairing the Governor's commission --24 bipartisan commission looking into the voting problems

in Florida.

I have been involved in civil rights for 20 years and am currently both defending and prosecuting civil rights claims and have also been involved in the elections and Voting Rights Act area for 30 years.

In that capacity, I was retained by an organization in Fairfax, Virginia called the National Coalition of Students with Disabilities, Education and Legal Defense Fund, to bring an action in Floridation the federal district court here, Northern District, Tallahassee Division, against the election officials of Florida, which include the Governor Jeb Bush and the supervisor -- excuse me -- the Secretary of State Katherine Harris, and the Director of the Division of Elections, who works for Ms. Harris in the Department of State. His name is Clay Roberts.

also included The original suit Bob Crawford, who is Florida's Agriculture Commissioner, because he had, at that point in time when we sued Florida, when we sued these people, Mr. Crawford had been designated by the Governor as a fill-in or a stand-in for him as Chairman of the Florida Elections Canvassing Commission. Bush, Governor Mr. Bush, declared that he thought he had a conflict being the Chairman of the Elections Commission -- Canvasising

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commission. That's the commission that actually certifies the vote in Florida, the final authority in Florida. It's a three-member commission, it's composed of the Governor, the Secretary of State and the Division -- the Director of the Division of Elections.

Crawford, who is the Department of Agriculture, Commissioner of Agriculture in Florida, was designed as a stand-in and he was on the masthead and a defendant in that action, but not very long, because the District Court the day -- two following the filing of the complaint in the Northern District Court, denied our ex parte motion for a temporary restraining order to stop the Commission, Canvassing Commission, the fromcertifying the elections on behalf of the class of people that my client -- that I represent, including my client, and a class of individuals, disabled people in Florida, of which there are some, I think you'll hear later this afternoon, some 99,100 disabled unregistered voters in the state of Florida.

. My corporate client, the National Coalition of Students with Disabilities, represents primarily students that attend universities and junior colleges throughout the nation. They have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	involved for several years trying to encourage states
2	such as Florida to implement and otherwise fully
3	comply with the National Voter Registration Act of
4	1993. In 1993, the Congress enacted the NVRA and gave
5	the states three years to implement its provisions.
6	It's commonly referred to as the Motor Voter Act and
7	that is a misnomer in many regards. And in fact,
8	Florida has enacted a portion of that or has
9	implemented a portion of that act, the motor voter
10	part of it, which allows people registering to drive
11	in Florida to also register to vote in Florida. That
12	is one aspect of the National Voter Registration Act.
13	There are many other aspects and the part
14	that concerns us in our lawsuit is that part
15	BY ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH:
16	Q So Mr. Minnick I'm sorry to interrupt -
17	-
18	A (Witness Minnick) Excuse me.
19	Q then the point of your lawsuit, the
20	specific allegation that you've raised in federal
21	court would be what?
22	A (Witness Minnick) Is that there are a
23	substantial number, approximately 50,000 unregistered
24	disabled people in Florida who are not being
25	adequately served by the State of Florida in

accordance with the provisions of the National Voter
Registration Act.

The National Voter Registration Act requires Florida to designate offices all over the state as places where people who are disabled, and actually received services for disabled people, those offices must be designated by Florida as places where those disabled people may get help and assistance in registering to vote.

relates to my client's it student disabled, there are offices of student disability services on every campus of the ten universities and all of the junior colleges, to my knowledge. Very few of them, if any, are making any effort whatsoever to inform their disabled students, as they are required to do under the Act, that that office will assist them in registering to vote in Florida. Some of them are out-of-state -- some of these students are out-ofstate residents coming to Florida to attend her universities, but most are in-state students. students -- excuse me.

A These students are -- the Act requires Florida to provide the actual documentation and instructions and assistance to register these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They may want to register absentee from the 1 students. counties in Florida that they live in, they may want 2 to register in Leon County, for example, if 3 they attend FAMU or Florida State University. 4 My client, the National Coalition, 5 made extensive investigations and review and has found 6 that there are few, if any, universities in Florida 7 that are doing anything in that regard. And that is 8 one aspect of the suit. The suit is much broader than 9 10 that though. So then it would be fair to 0 Thank you. 11 assume that your litigation is going to have far-12 reaching impacts, you said some 50,000 students at 13 least could be certified as members of your class? 14 (Witness Minnick) That's what we -- the 15 Α National Organization Disability, 16 on the Vice President of which organization will testify here 17 today, will tell you that there's somewhere in the 18 neighborhood of 100,000 disabled people in Florida who 19 20 are not registered to vote. 21 Thank you. 0 22 Α They are in fact included in this class of in addition to the students that 23 are representing through the National Coalition. 24 As I

said, the suit is much broader than just disabled

92 students, it is disabled citizens, under the theory 1 that disabled people who are blind, in wheelchairs, 2 whatever, need assistance. Wе do assist them. 3 Florida does a magnificent job of painting 4 5 parking stripes on the lots outside so they make sure 6 they can get up close to the buildings. We think that voting is equally as important as getting -- being ..7 able to park your vehicle close to a building. 8 9 ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you want to thank him? 11 12 ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH: Yes, I'd like to

ATTORNEY ADVISOR SMITH: Yes, I'd like to thank you, Mr. Minnick, for your testimony and if there are any other documents or any other information that you'd like to have submitted to the Commissioners, we would accept that at this time.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah, let me just say that we wanted both of you here, because the disabled issue is often overlooked in this discussion about the voting and that's why we'll have other testimony today. And so we wanted the Commissioners to be informed, and the public, about this issue. And, of course, the issue of the students at FAMU is a major one, so that's why we had your testimony here.

We're not going to have any questions for

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	you at this time but we will send you some questions
2	and put your testimony in the record and proceed.
3	We want to thank you very much for coming
4	and there are some procedures on the way out. Counsel
5	if you will see a lawyer on the way out and you may
6	put in the record anything that you have.
7	WITNESS MINNICK: I would like to put a
8	copy of the amended complaint class action demand for
9	jury trial in the record, as you speak. It may be
10	subsequently amended, but we are in the very, very
11	early stages of the case right now and but you will
12	hear a lot more about it. It is our goal to register
13	all 100,000 unregistered disabled people in Florida
14	within the next six months.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Mr. Minnick, if
16	you would like to prepare a more formal statement and
17	submit it for the record, you may do so.
18	WITNESS MINNICK: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much
20	for coming.
21	WITNESS MINNICK: I appreciate that.
22	(Witnesses excused.)
23	Responses to Allegations and Election Reform
24	Proposals
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Our next witness is
ŀ	1

the Governor of Florida, the Honorable John Ellis 1 2 Would you please come forward, Governor Bush? Could you please remain standing for the 3 moment and raise your right hand while you take the 4 oath? 5 6 Whereupon, JOHN ELLIS BUSH 7 8 appeared as a witness herein, and having been first 9 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. Please be seated. 11 Normally Commissioners ask 12 questions. 13 We've agreed that for this session, which is truncated 14 in part -- that is shortened -- because we had asked 15 Governor Bush to come tomorrow, but he is busy all day 16 at the good work of Martin Luther King celebrations, 17 and today, he only had a limited amount of time to 18 come. 19 And so counsel has a number of questions 20 that he needs to ask, so we have -- we're going to move to the questions, given your time constraints, 21 22 and ask Commissioners to hold any questions they might 23 have or give them to me and I'll see if I can sort 24 them out or we will submit them later.

Also, could we ask people in the back of

the room not to let their cell phones 1 if 2 possible, have them vibrate or do something. We would appreciate that. 3 Counsel, please proceed. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 6 7 O Good morning, Governor Bush. Good morning. 8 Α We did issue a subpoena for documents that 9 0 we believe are under your control and possession. 10 you bring those documents with you to this hearing? 11 I believe we have brought some documents. 12 Α 13 We have been working with your legal staff to comply with the wishes of the entire set of documents and I 14 15 believe we don't have everything, we're still particularly on the e-mails, we have received, I think 16 17 only exceeded by the Secretary of State in terms of the input that we received about the election, we're 18 received thousands of e-mails and we're still sorting 19 20 through those but we have documents that we provided you and we will continue to work with your 21 22 staff to provide all the information you need. We would like to receive into the record 23

the documents that you did bring, if you will attest

that they are a true and accurate representation of

24

1	• the documents that we subpoenaed and to have a time
2	frame for receiving the additional documents. Can you
3	tell us how long you believe you can consult
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We need a date certain
5	by which we will receive them.
6	WITNESS BUSH: Well, the letter that I
7	believe we received was 30 days. If we can get it
8	done earlier than that, we would obviously do it as
9	quickly as possible to help you with your work.
10	We're delighted that you're here, by the
11	way.
12	I didn't get to have an opening remark,
13	but I'm pleased that you're here and
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If you have any
15	WITNESS BUSH: we welcome you to
16	Tallahassee.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If you have any
.18	opening remarks, you will submit them for the record,
19	and we're sorry we don't have time.
20	Go ahead.
21	BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES:
22	Q I have three categories of questions. The
23	first concerns your authority, in the position of
24	Governor, over elections. And Article IV, Section
25	1(a) of the Florida Constitution vests the supreme

executive power in the Governor and provides that the 1 Governor shall take care that the laws be faithfully 2 executed. 3 Given these mandates, what, if anything, 4 5 did you do to ensure the election laws were faithfully executed during the November election? 6 Well, to be clear about this, the statutes 7 in our state and our Constitution provides the direct 8 supervision of elections to the Secretary of State, 9 10 who traditionally has delegated that authority to the Division of Elections officer. 11 addition In that, •7 12 to we have 13 constitutionally elected supervisors of -- I guess 6 are elected and one is appointed -- but 14 constitutionally endowed to carry out the elections at 15 the local level. 16 duties As Governor, my relate 17 to certification of the election as well as was mentioned 18 before, being chairman of the canvassing board, which 19 20 I recused myself on. Given the unique circumstances this election in November, Ι felt 21 was 22 appropriate to do so. technical 23 So, from the orfrom tle implementation side of this, it is the responsibility 24

of the Secretary of State, as part of our Constituition

-- we have a little bit of a unique situation here, we have seven independently elected constitutional officers of this state that have different departments that report directly to them. Our cabinet system is a vestige of the 19th century and we're actually reforming it now, but it puts us in a fairly unique situation.

As it relates to general laws, governors have the moral authority I think to make sure that the laws, not only the state laws, but I believe also federal laws, are upheld, which is once again why I'm delighted that you're here to sort out any of the discrepancies that might exist, so that we can work together to build a world class election system for Floridians that might be a model for the rest of the country.

Q Yes. And my question still is, what, if any, actions did you take to ensure that those laws were faithfully executed? I presume the Secretary of State reports both to you, as a member of the cabinet, and her constituents.

A No, no. The cabinet presides over the Department of Motor Vehicles jointly, the Department of Revenue, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement, but the Division of Elections, the Secretary of State

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is responsible for that department directly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now. again, Ι mean, the Governor has responsibility for funding, but in this case again, in our state, the funding to provide for adequate training or for the machines that would be used to count the votes have been done by local county commissions, have funded this. Now we're going to change this I hope with the election task force that we've set up, recognizing that some counties handled this job, because of their machines, in a way that yielded a dramatically different result than others, and are assuming responsibilities we some that historically have not been the responsibilities state government.

So you will see, I hope, in the Florida legislature this year a response to what happened in November and I believe that the task force recommendations will be carried out and we will have a different system than the one that we've historically had.

Q So we understand for the record that, as it relates to the November 7 election, you had no authority and no responsibilities and took no actions with regard to the election?

A The preparation for the election?

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25

2	A No, the again, the Secretary of State
3	and the 67 supervisors of election were responsible
4	for that and they carried out their duties.
5	Q Did you have any communications with the
6	Secretary of State before the election regarding
7	preparations for the election?
8	A I don't recall having any conversations
9	with her, no.
10	Q And any member of your staff have any
11	communications with the Secretary of State or the
12	A Prior to the election?
13	Q That's right.
14	A I'm not aware of it, but it could have
15	very well happened.
16	Q And you are aware about the substantial
17	increase in registered voters in Florida prior to the
18	November 7 election?
19	A Very encouraged by it. And in fact, this
20	election as a practitioner now, not as a governor -
21	- was quite exciting in terms of the passion and the
22	enthusiasm and the turnoutIt was extraordinary on
23	both sides, and there was as is normally the case,
24	by the way, during presidential election years
25	there was an increase in voter registration. I don't

Yes.

1	remember the exact percentages, but it was, as it has
2	been I think probably in almost every case,
3	presidential elections do drive people to be
4	interested in the political process.
5	Q And as it relates to that anticipated
6	substantial increase in voter turnout, you had no
7	reason to have discussions with the Secretary of State
8	or persons in her
9	A No, because I think the increase was no
10	different than in previous years, if you take an
11	average, I'm not sure what was different was the
12	turnout itself, the percentage turnout, the number of
13	the increase in registered voters I'm not sure was
14	significantly different than previous election cycles.
15	Q When did you first learn of alleged
16	irregularities in the November 7 election?
17	A I think the first time I learned of
18	alleged irregularities was when I on the day after
19	the election, where there were some reports of in
20	fact, you've had witnesses here related to the Florida
21	Highway Patrol that was the first evidence or first
22	concerns that came to my attention. And in fact, I
23	met with Attorney General Butterworth that afternoon
24	as part of a joint press conference where I recused

myself as a member of the canvassing board the day

1	after the election. And in my conversation with him,
2	asked him if he was going to investigate this, and he
3	had already, he'd already done the Attorney
4	General's office had done a preliminary investigation
5	and the report was that there was no organized.
6	wrongdoing. That's not the exact term, but there was
7	a term that was used. And so then there was a full
8	investigation of the done by the Florida Highway
9	Patrol that I believe you have a copy of now, where
10	they went into had interviews with all of the
11	people that were cited, a majority of whom were white,
12	two miles away from the voting in Wakulla County, I
13	believe.
14	So that was the first incident
15	Q I'm sorry, the persons who were stopped
16	A Not the persons stopped, the persons that
17	were received citations.
18	Q Okay, so you don't know the number and
19	A It's
20	Q races of persons who were stopped
21	AI think it's in I'm sorry.
22	Qat .thecheckpoint You just know the
23	number of persons that were issued citations?
24	A That basically I learned that after the
25	fact. This report, I received a summary of it just

1	recently, and I think many more were stopped, say 110
2	or 120. There were citations given and I believe the
3	Florida Highway Patrol went back, at the request of
4	the Attorney General but you obviously will ask him
5	that to determine what happened in those when
6	those folks were stopped.
7	That was the first incident of alleged
8	wrongdoing. And then, you know, it would be very
9	difficult during the course of the 40 days that ensued
10	thereafter, not to hear of allegations because we were
11	24-7 here in Florida, we were ground zero for the hews
12	media and there was all sorts of speculation and
13	allegations made.
14	Q Well, apart from media accounts, did you
15	receive any official reports from any state officials
16	about alleged irregularities in the election?
17	A From officials?
18	Q Yes, elected and appointed officials.
19	A There may have been some correspondence
20	that I'm not aware of, but we will make sure that we
21	receive we will send you those as part of the
22	request for information that you received.
23	I had no conversations with any officials
24	about alleged wrongdoings that I can recall.
25	Q Any party officials, either Republican or

Democratic Party officials, did you receive 1 any 2 accounts from any party officials? 3 Α Again, Ι don't remember having 4 conversations specifically about alleged 5 irregularities, but as I said, Ι didn't have to receive any direct communication, because there were 6 enough in the newspapers to be concerned about it. 7 And as a result of these allegations, you 8 9 took some actions. Will you describe the actions you 10 took in response to the allegations that you heard 11 about? 12 Well, I've asked for a briefing on the alleged concern that felons were voting and that non-13 14 felons were not allowed to vote because they were 15 allegedly felons. And I received a briefing on that 16 to see how the statute that was passed two years ago 17 in response to some concerns in the City of Miami, was 18 carried out. And I had a briefing with the supervisor -- or the Division Director of Elections, Mr. Roberts, 19 20 and the Director of the Florida Department of Law 21 Enforcement, concerning that. 22 Ι concerned, that people 23 restricted their

I was concerned, that people were restricted their right to vote, that they were allegedly a felon, and the process may not have worked. And also very concerned about people who were

24

felons that were allowed to vote because the process didn't work.

I believe tomorrow you have a chance to meet with the people who were obligated or who had the responsibility to carry out that statute and you can see exactly what the process was for a year period prior to the election, about how the lists were created for each county.

Q Were there any other allegations that specifically prompted you to launch the task force?

A No, because again, I was confident that with the Attorney General investigation that's ongoing and the Department of Justice investigation that is ongoing, that those were the proper authorities to deal with these issues. From my perspective, my responsibility was to look into the future and see what flaws in our election system could be rectified by statute.

And so from early -- after the election, shortly after the election, I began to think about how we could quickly build a bipartisan task force to deal with the issues of machines for vote counting as well as some of the inconsistencies related to manual vote counts and the inconsistencies that came up during the judicial process about inconsistencies in the laws

.18

2 courts. And so several -- I think probably 10 days 3 after the election or maybe even earlier, we began to 4 5 discuss with people around the state the possibility of a task force that would deal with these issues ٠6 7 after the eventual -- after the process ended and a 8 candidate was named president. 9 Q A candidate? 10 My brother. 11 Your brother. 12 Α In case you didn't know, it's --13 (Laughter.) Just for the record. 14 Q 15 That's right. I don't look much like him. Α 16 I look like my mother. 17 I see. Will the task force have the 18 opportunity and the obligation to examine allegations 19 that people of color were disenfranchised during the 20 November 7th election? 21 I believe they will. I think that the main -- the executive order we signed, the focus is on 22 23 the three things that I mentioned, which relate to the 24 machines, because the counties that had -- used, for 25 example in Leon County, you're going to hear from the

that created the conflict that was played out in the

1	supervisor here, Leon County uses an optical scanning
2	device that's a paper ballot filled in by pencil.
3	It's sent into a machine and it's rejected if there's
4	an under-count or an over-count, as I understand it.
5	And so therefore, there's an automatic built in part
6	of the process to provide some built-in education, if
7	you will, for voters. In addition to that, they have
8	modems that go from the precinct this is done at
9	the precinct level and as I understand it, they
10	have modems that send this information, once the polls
11	close, directly to the central office and their
12	results were done with very small error rates over I
13	think by 7:20 or 7:30 in the evening.
14	That provides a stark contrast to other
15	counties where they were using these punch card
16	machines and the counts were ongoing through 3:00 or
17	4:00 in the morning. So
18	Q When you say other communities, are you
19	referring to people of color communities?
20	A No, I'm talking about counties that,
21	again, the supervisors of elections and the county
22	commissions are responsible for the voting equipment.
23	And they've had, historically as I said, in our state
24	the responsibility to fund the elections, both the

machines as well as the education and the supervising

of the elections. 1 2 And the task force, do you know the budget 3 that is in place for this task force? 4 Α I'm afraid I don't. 5 Q Okay. 6 By the way, I do think -- they are having Α 7 public hearings. They held one already this Monday 8 and Tuesday and they're going to hold hearings, as I 9 understand it, in three other cities in the state, I 10 believe Jacksonville, Miami and one other city. 11 Have you put any restrictions on the work of the task force, apart from the time frame in which 12 13 they are to report back to you? 14 No, it's fairly flexible. I believe you 15 have the executive order as part of the information that we provided and it provides a guidepost, if you 16 17 will, for the task force to act. .18 But again, the main focus is to recognize 19 that if we can bring clarity to this, use the proper equipment, machines, fund it because it's going to 20 require -- it is a high priority in our state and it's 21 22 going to require the legislature, in conjunction 23 hopefully with Washington, D.C., but if not, we'll go 24 it alone here, with local governments to build a world

class election system that is using equipment that

makes it easier for people to vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And again, two other issues that I think are important is that in the case of a very close it seems to me that we should election, have a consistent standard based on some of the judicial rulings that were created as a part of this election, there should be a consistent standard across the state so we don't see these agonizing pictures of volunteers or members of local canvassing boards with what appear to be excruciating painful expressions on their face trying to determine the intent of a voter that may have been -- taken place 30 days before. We can consistent standard I create a think that will alleviate that.

And then finally in our particular case in our state, as was evidenced by some of the judicial rulings, there are two statutes that came in conflict in -- during the course of this, and I think it's appropriate to make some recommendations there.

So that's the principal mission of this task force and I think it will alleviate a lot of the problems if the legislature, and I believe they will, will fund their recommendations.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have three questions that I've been handed by Commissioners that I need to ask before we let you go, Governor.

The first question is that in the answers to counsel's questions, you emphasized your lack of responsibility or the absence of responsibility under the Constitution of your state for the implementation of the right to vote and election procedures, pointing out to us that the Secretary of State and the county supervisors are responsible for the implementation and that you have a moral authority, if I heard you correctly, to be concerned.

WITNESS BUSH: Well, I just want to make sure that I'm clear on this. What I -- in response to the question, the duties to carry out the election itself are not the responsibility of the Governor. I love -- hey, I have lots of responsibilities, I love my job, I'd love to take that on as well, but this is not what the -- what our system has created. I have duties as Governor that go beyond the mechanical aspects of elections and that's the point I was trying to make.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Another question, first of all, did you have any conversations with your brother, the Governor of Texas, in the days leading up

1	to the election about the heavy registrations in some
2	districts in Florida or on the day of the election or
3	that evening, about turnout and any difficulties that
4	may exist during that period?
5	WITNESS BUSH: Well, as was mentioned
6	previously, the registration process in our state ends
7	a month prior, so we didn't I did not have any
8	conversations with my brother about the level of
9	registration.
10	We did talk about the election, I was the
11	chairman of his campaign, he was interested in what
12	was going on in Florida, as was I. There was a little
13	pressure put on me, you may remember, in the press
14	about doing my part. And just as Attorney General
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Having to do
16	with Thanksgiving and a dinner.
17	WITNESS BUSH: There you go, exactly
18	right.
19	And he was here a lot and we talked a lot
20	about the campaign, because it was
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I was not interested
22	generally, the question was not generally
23	WITNESS BUSH: I'm glad.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: about conversations
25	with your brother. The question was did you have any

1	specific conversations about the high degree of
2	registration in some particular precincts where there
3	were large numbers of people of color, or did you on
4	the day of the election or in the days after that
5	before the count was concluded, did you have
6	conversations with your brother, the Governor of
7	Texas, concerning the allegations about irregularities
8	in voting? That's the question.
9	WITNESS BUSH: No. No, I didn't.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You did not.
11	Okay, the other question is did you cause
12	your staff to have any conversations with the
13	Secretary of State or her staff concerning
14	preparations for the election or the GOP party
15,	affiliates, did you cause any of them, officials, to
16	contact her concerning preparations for the election?
17	She was co-chair, as I understand it, of the
18	campaign, is that right?
19	WITNESS BUSH: She was, among I think
20	there were six co-chairs.
21	No. I think I answered that question,
22	maybe I didn't, I thought I did say that I had not had
23	contact with her related to the preparation for the
24	election.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

25

*-:*·

Did you cause any

member of your staff or are you aware --1 WITNESS BUSH: No. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- that any member of 3 your staff --4 WITNESS BUSH: No, no. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- or did you have any 6 of your staff who is a liaison with the 7 Secretary of State in her official capacity, or do you 8 have no one on your staff who involves him or herself 9 10 at all with what the Secretary of State is doing? Well, Reg pointed out that WITNESS BUSH: 11 as it relates to -- the only contact we had was 12 13 related to the certification of electors, which is part of my official duties, and again, I believe we 14 provided that information that we certified both the 15 16 slate, the Democratic Party slate of electors as well as the Republican slate, and sent that letter to her 17 as part of my official duties. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: On a routine, daily, 20 monthly, weekly or on a routine basis, is it the case 21 that there is no one in the Governor's office or staff 22 anywhere on the Governor!s who concerns 23 themselves with keeping abreast of matters having to 24 do with the province of the Secretary of

including voting and voting procedures and what might

be going on there?

.18

office in some cases. We have -- again, in our cabinet system, we have -- I have a cabinet aide that interacts with the cabinet aides of each of the elected officials that comprise Florida's cabinet.

And so Colleen Castille, who would be the chief cabinet aide for the Governor, would be someone who would have routine contact with the Secretary of State's office. I'm not aware of -- go ahead.

whether included in the communications that we asked you for under the subpoena duces tecum are any communications that Cölleen Castille had as a member of your staff with the Secretary of State or her office during the 30 days leading up to the election, concerning the election itself? And if not, we may vote now to subpoena them, if you have not in fact included such or if you're not willing to.

WITNESS BUSH: No, I'm more than happy to, but there aren't any.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: She had no communication with the Secretary of State during that period or the office --

WITNESS BUSH: You asked for letters. We

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	have checked the request that you made was not
2	exclusive of anybody, so our efforts are to provide
3	all the information you've asked for. And as I said,
4	I'm not aware of every conversation that people on my
5	staff have had with the Secretary of State's office.
6	I guarantee you that in a month's period of time, that
7	Colleen Castille, as the chief cabinet aide, would
8	talk to the chief cabinet aide of the Secretary of
9	State, just as she would have talked to the chief
LO	cabinet aide of the Attorney General and the
11	Comptroller and others.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We also asked for
.3	phone calls, Governor, logs of phone calls.
4	WITNESS BUSH: Well, we
.5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that would include
6	any phone calls that Colleen Castille had with the
.7	office of the Secretary of State.
8	WITNESS BUSH: And we will provide that.
.9	As I said, this is work in progress because of the
20	volume of the request. And I assure you that we have
21	every intention of complying with your request.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. And Governor,
23	if you, as I understand your testimony, and I
24	understand it from reading the Florida Constitution
25	too and listening to our counsel have no direct

responsibility for the matter of voting and the election system, why did you involve yourself in the felony purge issues, which has to do with the election system and, as you testified, asked for a briefing on it and no other part of the --

BUSH: Well. WITNESS because I have a responsibility as a leader to deal with problems as they arise. I don't have the direct responsibility, for example, to deal with the issues of canker that occur; that is, the Secretary of the Department --Commissioner of Agriculture, but that does not mean as Governor of this state, if residents are concerned, about people coming in to take away contaminated trees the citrus industry is concerned about contamination getting into the commercial groves, I have a responsibility to provide leadership.

So in the case of the felons, the felon after the election, it was clear, based on press reports, that this was an area where there was some concern. Supervisors of election, according to press reports, did not accept the lists that were vetted by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement and this entity that was contracted with by the Department of State. There was allegations, mentioned, of non-felons not being able to vote. So I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was interested in this and I wanted to make sure that if the law that was passed by the Florida legislature several years ago needed to be dealt with in a different way, if there were some inconsistencies or problems, it's incumbent upon me, even though I don't have the direct responsibility to deal with these issues, to show leadership, and that's why I had the meeting.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Pursuant to Section 102.109(1) of the Florida statute, you are empowered to appoint special officers to investigate alleged violations of the elections laws. Have you appointed any special officers?

- A No, I haven't.
- Q Do you intend to appoint any?

If there is a reason to do so, I will, but Α given the fact that the United States Department of Justice is investigating these matters, that you all are here, which we're very grateful for, and that the Attorney General is doing the same; until findings come out, I believe that we're on the right And again, if the task force does its appropriately, which I believe it will, and the legislature responds, we will have a chance to deal with what we've learned over the last 60 to 90 days

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	and improve our election system and allow it to be a
2	model for the other 49 states.
3.	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have no reason to
4	believe, perhaps you know something we don't know,
5	that the Attorney General you mean of the United
6	States or the State of Florida, which attorney general
7	
8	WITNESS BUSH: State of Florida.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: are you referring
10	to? I thought you mean the U.S. Attorney General.
11	WITNESS BUSH: My friend, Bob Butterworth,
12	here in Florida.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, we'll ask
14	him about that.
15	The other thing is I asked you about the
16	felony purge issue because if you pick that one as the
17	one that you would look at for the concerns for the
18	reasons you gave us, is it that you consider the other
19	allegations so insubstantial that they do not merit
20	you giving them the same kind of time and attention,
21	or why did you select that one from among all the
22	other allegations that have been made?
23	WITNESS BUSH: Well, because this one is
24	one that was a statute was created to deal with an
25	issue of a previous election and there were

 $\bar{z}^{\frac{7}{4}}$ 

allegations made, I remember one particular article that went into length about concerns about the implementation of that statute. And that is not to say that this was done at the exclusion of other allegations, not at all.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There's also Article VII, Section 8 of the Florida Constitution that says that state funds may be appropriated to the several counties as may be provided by the general law.

Have you requested in your various budgets that you submitted to the Florida legislature, specific funds be provided to to counties to supplement the budget for voting systems in view of the fact that it has been documented that there are disparities in the kind, type of equipment that is available and some counties feel that they are fiscally more able than others, being poorer than others and having lower tax base -- have you at any time made a specific request for appropriations from the legislature for this purpose?

WITNESS BUSH: We intend to do it based on the recommendations of the task force that's been created. Our legislative session starts in March and I'm waiting for the recommendations of the task force to deal with the statutory changes that I mentioned as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

120 well as suggestions of funding. And based on those 1 recommendations will work with the Florida we 2 legislature to fund those -- that machinery. 3 just as an aside, the place where 4 these machines are that have created the problems are 5 6 not necessarily in the poorer parts of the state. 7 They're in some large urban counties, but no one would suggest that Palm Beach County is an impoverished 8 9 county, thankfully for the residents there, they do quite well. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Depends on what part 11 12 of Palm Beach you're in, as you know, whether it's West Palm, Palm or where. 13 Well, the people that are WITNESS BUSH: 14 15 in charge of the funding of the programs, 16

it's a county that has grown and they have ample resources. It's not from a lack of resources, it's that county governments have belief that this was not as high a priority as funding children's programs or doing other things that I consider to be important as well.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do I understand your answer, . Governor, . to be that the counties in question have sufficient resources to do this on their own and that is why you have not requested any appropriation in the past, but you may do so now?

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS BUSH: No. It's been the

tradition of this state that the implementation of the supervising and the implementation of elections have been done at the local level. And based on what we have learned, it is clear that the state needs to act to create, I believe, a more standardized approach to go against that tradition. And as you mentioned, we have the authority to fund these programs. Even if we didn't, we could change the statute I believe to allow us to do so and we intend to do that, based on the recommendations of this bipartisan task force.

How that -- the form in which that will play out in the legislature, I think will first be determined by the recommendations of the task force. They just started their work. They will complete their work early enough for the legislature to make their -- to deliberate on this and I'm confident, I'm absolutely confident, that there'll be strong bipartisan support for funding for machines that will lessen the problems that we faced in this election. And I might add I think that that will be a model -- I hope a model for other states as well, that were it not for the fact that the election -- if the election was as close in those states as it was in our state, you would see these similar problems emerge probably

in other states as well.

So this is a national issue and I hope that we'll be a part -- a participant in creating a national solution.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that your attention to these matters has been riveted by what happened in that, if I understand you correctly, you had no reason to believe before the election that matters having to do with voting in the state of Florida were matters that you should, as Governor, make a high priority and sit down and review, because you had no reason to believe that there were the kinds of issues that had been raised as a result of the election. Is that basically it?

WITNESS BUSH: No. If it relates to the mechanics of voting, going to the polls on election day and counting the ballots over a period of time, to be fair about it, the answer to your question is no. But no one else that I'm aware of in this state came to me and said Governor Bush, we're going to have a very close election and we're going to spend 45 days having the entire world look at us and you're going to be counting, you know, pregnant chads and indented chads -- no one that I'm aware of came to me and said we have a serious problem we need to deal with in

advance of this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have responded to the realities of what we were confronted with on November 7 with a thoughtful plan to I believe make recommendations to the legislature to deal with the problems.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I would be happy to let you go with that answer, except that I must ask you did the Secretary of State or the Division of Elections call to your attention, since they keep the files registrations, that there this concentration in certain areas of the state, there were these increases and that, in connection with the machine problems, the mechanics, might create some big problems in the state -- did any of them alert you or your staff cabinet liaison --

WITNESS BUSH: Colleen Castille.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- that in fact this was something that you ought to pay attention to?

Because we're going to ask them whether they alerted anybody. So did they send a memo or an e-mail or call up or say, hey guys, you know we're cruising for a bruising here.

WITNESS BUSH: That would have been a term that we probably would have used.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, maybe not, I'm

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

sorry. I mean we might run into problems --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS BUSH: That's a technical term.

No, I did not have any direct communication with anybody from the Department of State's office about this.

Now in review of the documentation that we're preparing, you know, I doubt that we did, but if we did, we'll provide it for you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right, we are, as you know, just beginning our investigation and we had wanted to have more time with you, but we were willing to accommodate you and reached accommodation, and we're grateful that we did, when we have our hearings in other parts of the state, are you willing to come voluntarily, even though you are in Tallahassee and that's where our subpoena power which is why we're here today for purpose, within 100 miles -- are you willing to answer questions that will be put in writing to you concerning these matters after examine we the documents and are you willing to submit to further questioning at a later time if need be.

WITNESS BUSH: If I can help you in your efforts, I'd be more than happy to do so. Obviously if you ask questions in writing, I'm more than happy

	Co answer those as werr.
2	As I said, I'm committed, along with our
3	partners in the Florida legislature, with the input
4	from practitioners at the local levels,
5	superintendents, the judiciary, other people who have
6	an active interest in the voting process, to make
7	recommendations to our state that will be a model, and
8	that's going to be where my focus is and obviously
9	along with my regular duties as Governor of the state.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you
11	very much, Governor, for coming.
12	WITNESS BUSH: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There are some sign-
14	out procedures. We thank you very much the lawyers
15	will tell you that.
16	And we will have a break for ten minutes.
17	(A short recess was taken.)
18	Looking Ahead: Best Practices and Procedures
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you please stand
20	and remain standing and raise your right hands?
21	Whereupon,
22	JONEL NEWMAN
23	CURTIS GANS
24	JIM SMITH
25	MARK PRITCHETT

appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first 1 duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows: 2 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much, please be seated. 4 Counsel. 5 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Good morning. I'm going to ask each witness to now state 6 7 your full name and your title and your organizational affiliation for the record. 8 9 WITNESS NEWMAN: Good morning. My name is 10 JoNel Newman, I'm an attorney with the Florida Justice 11 Institute in Miami. I am also here on behalf of a 12 newly formed Florida Equal Voting Rights Project, of. 13 the ACLU, which is undertaken in conjunction with the 14 Florida Justice Institute and Florida Legal Services. 15 WITNESS GANS: My name is Curtis Gans, I'm Director of the non-partisan Committee for the Study 16 17 of the American Electorate based in Washington, D.C. .18 WITNESS SMITH: My name is Jim Smith, I'm 19 a Tallahassee attorney, co-chair of the Governor's Task Force on Election Procedures, 20 Technology 21 Process. 22 WITNESS PRITCHETT: Мy name is Mark Pritchett, I'm Executive Director of the Governor's 23 24 Task Force on Elections and also Executive 25 President with the Collins Center for Public Policy,

1	which is staffing the task force.
2	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr.
3	Pritchett and Mr. Smith, you were asked by subpoena to
4	bring documents with you to this hearing. Are those
5	documents in your possession?
6	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Yes, sir.
7	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And you're
8	prepared to submit them to the Commission to be made a
9	part of our record?
10	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Yes.
11	WITNESS SMITH: Yes, sir.
12	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And they
13	are a true and accurate depiction of the records that
14	we did request by subpoena.
15	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Yes, sir.
16	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: At this
17	time, Madam Chair, I would ask that those documents be
18	received into the record and that they be marked by
19	the records clerk consecutively.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Without objection, so
21	ordered.
22	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And all of
23	the witnesses, Madam Chair and Commissioners, have
24	been asked to discuss election reforms and best
25	practices and we'd like at this time for them to

briefly discuss election reforms and best practices, 1 2 beginning with you. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do we have a time limitation before we 4 begin the questioning, Mr. 5 Hailes? ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes, given 6 7 the time frame, we're running a little over, I would 8 suggest three minutes, and we do have questions. 9 you can respond to and present some of the information 10 that you want to discuss in response to questions from 11 both me and the Commissioners. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And your statement 13 will be included in the record. 14 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: That's 15 right. 16 WITNESS NEWMAN: Good morning. I'd like 17 to thank the Commission for the opportunity to address 18 it. 19 The project that I am working on 20 designed to devote significant public interest 21 resources in the state of Florida to comprehensive 22 statewide electoral reform that is sensitive to the 23 concerns of Florida's racial and language minorities 24 and to those who live in poverty, considerations that 25 are probably long overdue in this state.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coming out of the November 7 election, we heard two overriding categories of complaints. that not every eligible elector who went to the polls to cast a vote on election day was permitted to do so. Second, that not all the votes cast by the eliquible were counted. Both of these electors practices disproportionately excluded racial and lanquage minorities from the election process in Florida.

Many people, most of the members of this panel, are addressing the second concern, which has to do with technology, and rightly so. But I wish to focus on the first, that not every eligible voter was able to vote in the last election because it would be a serious mistake for anyone to believe that the problems we have seen in Florida can be completely resolved by investing in new technology alone.

The problem of not every voter being able to cast his or her vote is the most insidious because it's the least obvious, it's the hardest to quantify and it has the most lingering discriminatory impact. When new or vulnerable voters from traditionally disenfranchised groups are wrongly prevented from going to the polls and from voting, they feel often a humiliation and a stigma or a disaffection that has the effect in many cases of causing them never to

return to the voting booth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The complaints we heard concerning barriers to voters being prevented from voting include the following:

The first was numerous individuals who were refused the opportunity to vote when they arrived at the polls because they weren't on the list of it In many instances seems that this occurred either because election officials had not met their obligation to process their mailed registration applications in a timely manner orbecause the voters were wrongfully purged or placed on an inactive list and there was no adequate system in place in many precincts to determine those voters! ultimate eligibility to vote.

Second, eligible many voters were prevented from voting by election officials who abused voter identification requirements. Elections then illegally refused to permit those voters to sign an affidavit and vote as required by Many language minority voters were Florida statute. effectively prevented from casting a ballot because elections officials refused to provide bilingual ballots or assistance on election day and many persons who were not literate were denied adequate assistance

in casting their ballots, and remarkably many were forced to vacate the voting booth within five minutes, which is in fact provided by Florida law.

Because practices and rules have been permitted by the State Department of Elections to vary so widely among counties, it is likely that the obstacles faced by voters also vary quite widely. because of this, we have begun our own investigation, looking separately at all 67 counties. We have done a Public Records Act demand of all 67 counties practices determine what the what the were and faced particular barriers were in different jurisdictions.

But even in advance of our completing this, there are a number of practices and changes that could and should be implemented uniformly throughout the state, that would go a long way toward eliminating the barriers to voting faced by many groups in Florida who are isolated by race, language or poverty.

The necessity for each of these reforms can be summarized by a single message. It should not be difficult to vote in this country. Voting should not require running a gauntlet. Extensive poll worker training is absolutely essential and uniform enforcement, as well as uniform standards regarding

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

permitting electors is vital. to vote Florida need to be clearer and more generous regarding permitting electors to vote by affidavit or provisional ballot in instances in which they do not appear the rolls ordo not have on photo identification.

Election day registration should be Florida statutes and practices need to be carefully correlated the National more Voter Registration Act with respect to maintenance and availability of the inactive list and the registration The language process. assistance that is important, and other necessary assistance needs to be provided at all polling places.

We need to increase the poll hours, we need to develop, through the Division of Elections, a voter bill of rights that should be displayed in every polling place and should be displayed in languages as necessary. The five minute limit on the voting booth time must be repealed. And finally, ex-offenders should have their civil rights restored in this state. Elorida leads the nation in disenfranchising felons and in prosecuting children as felons.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you very much. And in response to questions, you may be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

able to give us more from your statement --1 WITNESS NEWMAN: Thank you. 2 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: -- which 3 will be received in the record. 4 Mr. Gans. 5 WITNESS GANS: Madam Chair and members of 6 7 the Commission, I am very grateful for the inquiry. Counsel will have to decide as to whether -- it is 8 likely to exceed three minutes -- it will be worth 9 10 hearing. I do not have written testimony, although I will be delighted to provide some at a later point. 11 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 12 13 WITNESS GANS: Out of every bad, some good can come, and I think one of the goods that will come 14 is that people will seriously look at our election 15 system and try to make changes. 16 have, 17 and I told both counsel, anything to add specifically on the issue of 18 Florida. But it does strike me that a lot of 19 the 20 problems that exist in Florida exist all over country and need to be addressed. 21 22 Understanding that elections will be 23 state and local matter because the a 24 majority of elections what is up is state and lodal, 25 not federal. On the other hand, it is clear that one of the things we need is a standard for ballots. I hope Congress establishes a standard for federal ballots, not a standard ballot because we're going to have different systems, but size of type, spaces between candidates, size of places, you know, to mark. I don't think Theresa LePore was badly motivated but I don't think we want another election with butterfly ballots.

I think we want to move, and I hope both federal and state governments will provide the resources to move to the better technologies. I don't say the best technologies, but what we need to do is make sure that the 2002 election -- not even the 2004 election -- is devoid of punch card ballots and perhaps also voting machines. What we need in terms of a standard is something that will both accurately count and leave a paper trail and I will leave it to people better than I, you know, to decide on what those systems are. But we need the resources for everybody to adopt one of those systems.

We need some standard for what will trigger recounts, what type of recounts, you know, and what will govern those recounts -- 35 days were spent on that issue and it was never resolved in this state.

We need monies for the training of poll

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.. 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

workers and the hiring of poll workers so that (a) they can render assistance and then there will be adequacy of poll workers.

In this election, thousands of people, not only in Florida, but in other places, who registered at motor voter places, motor vehicle license bureaus and in social service agencies were not on the rolls when they came to vote. We need to find out what that problem was, whether there was any systematic problem and, you know, indeed find out how to address it.

On the obverse of that coin. millions of people on the voting rolls across the country who should not be on because they have died or We need to create an equitable system of moved. cleaning the list by state run agencies and not by private contractors, you know, that will quarantee that the lists are accurate on election day. And in order to do that, I think we need the monies so that each state has a computerized centralized voter file so that you can do both the list cleaning and the updating that is part of the NVRA, which is, you know, as people move, that they are automatically moved to their new address, if they move within the state.

We have several things that we need to do with regard to polling places. We need to make them

handicapped accessible. Jim Dickson will talk about the miserably low percentage of places that are not handicapped accessible, you know, at this time, despite a law that is aimed at making them handicapped accessible.

We should have longer hours. You know, people want to create election day holiday, I think that will hurt turnout, absent data to support that, but ideally every state ought to have New York's hours, 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., three hours on both sides of the work cycle.

We ought to have adequate polling stations within polling places. There is no excuse for long lines. The only reason we have long lines is we have too few people -- too few polling places.

And I agree with my colleague on the left, that essentially people who have served their time ought to have the right to vote.

We have problems with voter information.

North Carolina and several other states distribute free of charge to every registered voter information about how to vote, what your rights are with voting and that ought to be done in every state. California, Oregon, Washington and Alaska provide voter information pamphlets that tell people the biographies

: 6

1	of the candidates, their self-described issue
2	positions, the pros and cons of ballot propositions by
3	proponents and opponents distributed to every
4	registered voter. That ought to be adopted
5	nationally.
6	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr. Gans,
7	let me just ask you at this time to
8	WITNESS GANS: Can I just do one more
9	point?
10	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: One
11	additional point, thanks.
12	WITNESS GANS: The one additional point is
13	that we have got to get the networks to stop declaring
14	winners based on exit polls and sample precinct
15	analysis. And that does not mean uniform poll
16	closings because they declared George Bush the winner
17	in Florida six and a quarter hours after the polls
18	closed. They declared Al Gore the winner in New
19	Mexico after the polls closed. Uniform poll closings
20	will not solve the problem, we need not revise our
21	entire electoral system for the convenience of the
22	networks, to do what they shouldn't do in the first
23	place.
24	Thank you.
25	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you

very much.

Mr. Smith.

WITNESS SMITH: Madam Chairman, members of the Commission, I'm delighted to be here. I served as Florida's Attorney General from 1979 to 1986 and as Secretary of State from '87 to the end of 1994. Each year as Secretary of State, I was required to submit a report to the legislature and each year, my number one priority was election reform.

I found out quickly that everybody in elected office loves to talk about election reform. When it really gets down to the business about doing something about election reform, it's pretty much lip service. And so we find ourselves now, after what I like to characterize as a 150-year storm event, with a post-election mess; but really an opportunity, I think a very unique opportunity, to have certainly our legislature and hopefully the attention of other states and other legislatures around the country focus on elections.

I suspect that had what occurred in Florida happened in most other states in the United States, we would have found very similar problems and perhaps in many states worse situations than existed in Florida.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

corrected.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Governor Bush called me after the election and asked would I agree to co-chair a task force to report back to him and the legislature by March 1. Because of my history, I was frankly delighted to be asked and happy to have, as I have said, this unique attention opportunity to bring to the of our legislature deficiencies which hope will be

We had our first meeting this past Monday and Tuesday and I am happy to report that I think already we -- I sense a real cohesion of the group that we will recommend a uniform technology, that we have the same kind of voting equipment statewide. Ι think probably we're moving in the direction looking at the opt-scan system at the precinct level, which exists in some counties in Florida. those counties, they have had excellent results terms of abnormalities. In fact, the only aberrations they've had have been in counting absentee ballots. They've been virtually perfect in recording votes. Certainly the punch card system in Florida, I think, has reached the point where it's lost any public confidence that it ever had. I think we're going to see that system by the boards quickly.

On the issue of people showing up to vote

feeling like they were registered and being turned away, I'm hopeful that we would recommend what some states do now, and that is have a provisional ballot where, without any question, they could go ahead and vote. Those votes would be put -- the ballots would be put in an envelope off to the side and then counted -- ascertained whether or not the individual really was properly registered -- and then they could be counted.

And I can go on and on. For the most part, I agree with what the other speakers have said, 90 percent of what you all have alluded to we talked about in our first task force meeting. We have meetings scheduled for Fort Lauderdale, Orlando and Jacksonville. We have two hours of public testimony at each one of those meetings. But our thrust really is not to try to answer a question or maybe anticipate one. You know, we're really not conducting an investigation.

specific Certainly if hear of we occurrences that need to be investigated, we would refer them to you or, if appropriate, to a U.S. Attorney. We do not have any subpoena power. You know, we really don't have investigators, we are really trying to focus on the process of clearly we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

had 37 days of 24 hours a day TV that showed us 1 vividly where we have deficiencies in the system. 2 We're going to focus on those situations so that we 3 4 can report to the Governor and legislature and have action taken in the legislature this year. 5 6 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr. 7 Pritchett, before you begin -- Mr. Smith, let me just ask you, as a former Attorney General and Secretary of 8 9 State, do you believe you have the authority as a now task force to ask the Governor or the legislature for 10 subpoena authority if you believe you need it? 11 12 The Governor, in his earlier testimony, said that this task force has an opportunity and an 13 obligation to look into allegations that people of 14 color were disenfranchised in the last election. 15 Could you request, can the task force request subpoena 16 authority? 17 WITNESS SMITH: You know, I'd have to go .18 look at the -- do some research on that. I'm not sure 19 20 that we could really be empowered with subpoena power 21 without some action of the legislature. 22 You know, additionally, I think given a 23 March 1 lifetime for this task force and, you know, as 24 former Attorney General knowing how long

investigations sometimes take, I think -- you know I

-	raily chills we can provide a better service by looked
2	at recommendations to be made about technology, about
3	the process, about how we conduct elections, and leave
4	investigative matters, you know, to you, to the U.S.
5	Attorneys, to other agencies that really are equipped
6	with resources and the training. We have to go hire
7	investigators, I mean there would be a whole lot of
8	things that I think realistically with a March 1
9	deadline that we could not do very well.
10	I think the issues though that I've
11	touched on, we can do well. I think we're going to
12	have consensus and we can do that by March 1.
13	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr.
14	Pritchett.
15	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Thank you, Madam
16	Chairman and members of the Commission, thank you for
17	the opportunity to speak before you and to answer your
18	questions about looking ahead, best practices and
19	procedures in Florida's election process.
20	My opening remarks will be brief and focus
21	on the role of the Collins Center for Public Policy,
22	as staff to the Governor's Select Task Force on
23	Election Procedures, Standards and Technology.
24	I am Executive Vice President of the
25	Collins Center for Public Policy, Inc., a private,

non-partisan, non-profit corporation with our primary offices located in Miami and Tallahassee. President Rod Petree is in Miami and I handle a lot of the affairs here in Tallahassee. That's why I'm speaking before you today.

Collins Center also has The a sister organization which is a statewide research center hosted by Florida State University. Our non-profit corporation is conducting the work of the task force. The Collins Center was named after the late Governor Leroy Collins, Governor of Florida during the 1950s and 1960s. As many of you all know, Governor Collins was a courageous leader. After his death in 1991, the legislature Florida recognized Leroy Collins ЭS Floridian of the century for his statewide national leadership in civil rights, education, economic development and public television.

The Collins Center continues Governor Collins' legacy of uncompromising integrity in government and business. The quality and objectivity of our work exceeds the bounds of a traditional think tank. The Collins Center has earned the respect of Florida's leaders and funding from such prestigious organizations as the John D. and Katherine T. McArthur Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the John S. and James

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Knight Foundation, along with major corporations 1 and government agencies. 2 The Collins Center work is organized into 3 four broad headings 4 increasing -economic opportunities for all Floridians --5 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 6 Can you 7 tell us more about the work of the task force? That's 8 why we asked you to come today. WITNESS PRITCHETT: I sure will. 9 10 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thanks. 11 WITNESS PRITCHETT: The of work the 12 Governor's Select Task Force is part οf our Revitalizing Civic Institutions Program and our work 13 around meeting preparation, communication, 14 15 research coordination and report writing. 16 Our staff is well-qualified to conduct 17 this research. Already we have focused our research, .18 along with the work of the Task Force, into nine major 19 areas -- voter education and responsibility, voter 20 registration, election dates and times, ballot design, 21 voting systems, polling places, absentee ballots, recounts, contest certification of election results 22 23 and election administration. That will be the focus of our research 24

over the next 50 some odd days.

25

٠.٠

1	This concludes my opening remarks and
2	thank you again for the opportunity to address the
3	Commission.
4	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Let me
5	just ask you real quickly
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Without objection,
7	we'll put your remarks, your statement in the record.
8	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Thank you very much.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES;
11	Q You said one of the categories that you
12	will be focusing on will be polling places
13	A (Witness Pritchett) That's correct.
14	Q in the sense that there may be
15	inconvenient locations for
16	A (Witness Pritchett) We'll be looking at
17	locations, directions to polling places, training of
18	polling workers poll workers in the polling place,
19	those kinds of issues that have been raised.
20	Q And do you have on your staff voting
21	rights experts that are familiar with Section 5 of the
22	Voting Rights Act and its application to locations of
23	polling places and notification requirements?
24	A (Witness Pritchett) For our next meeting,
25	we'll be focusing on that process and we feel like we

can ask national experts to help us as well as a lot 1 of our Florida supervisors of elections that are well 2 versed in this area. 3 4 Thank you very much. 5 (Witness Pritchett) Thank you. Mr. Smith, let me ask you this, when were 6 Q 7 you first contacted by the Governor's office to be one of the co-chairs of this task force? 8 9 (Witness Smith) I don't remember the Α 10 precise date, but it was shortly after the election. 11 I think as time went on, it became very obvious that 12 the public in Florida had certainly lost 13 confidence in the punch card system and I think the 14 Governor, you know, wanted to move quickly, obviously 15 wanted to have recommendations to present to 16 legislature this year so that as we approach the 2002 17 election, at least we can have equipment and polling 18 places that will record votes accurately and if we do have a recount situation, that can be done in a timely 19 20 way. And you know, hearing his remarks a little 21 22 bit this morning, you know, Florida has, really by

design -- it's been debated many times -- had a very decentralized voting system, and I think it's become obvious as we move into the 21st century that that

23

24

. 25

1	decentralized system just won't work with a population
2	of over 15 million people and a need for speed and a
3	need for accuracy and those kinds of things. In this
4	election, we had the unfortunate circumstance that the
5	margin of error that really I think this would hold up
6	in every state of, you know, two-three percent error
7	rate, you know, greatly exceeded the closeness of the
8	election, which was 6 million votes margin I think
9	it was 544 votes. You know, we've got to have a
10	better system and we can do that. I think the
11	technology is there. We're going to be careful not to
12	be locked into a technology. We need to have, I
13	think, reports to the legislature every two or three
14	years advising them of changes in technology and cost
15	and fortunately, through the Opti-Scan system that I
16	mentioned earlier, can probably be put in place in
17	Florida at a cost of \$35 or \$40 million. And with a
.18	\$50 billion state annual budget, you know, \$35-\$40
19	million is not a staggering amount of money. So I'm
20	very confident we can do that.

Q And did the Governor contact you directly or someone from his staff?

A (Witness Smith) I had a call first from Cory Tilley, who is the Deputy Chief of Staff I think, to ask would I come over and talk to the Governor,

21

22

23

24

-	which I did, and again, given my history as secretary
2	of State, I was delighted to have this very unique
3	opportunity.
4	Q And were any limits, restrictions put on
5	the work that the task force would perform?
6	A (Witness Smith) No. I think the
7	Executive Order is plain and I think it's very
8	understandable, and I think its intent is to try to
9	focus us in the areas of technology and the process,
10	so that we could meet a very, very short time line to
11	report to the legislature by March 1.
12	Q And how was the decision made to select
13	certain sites for the meetings you will hold around
14	the state?
15	A (Witness Smith) The co-chair Tad Foote,
16	who is the President of the University of Miami, he
17	and I and Mark, basically talking in conference calls,
18	you know, chose dates and places.
19	We felt like Fort Lauderdale, because it's
20	halfway between Dade County and Palm Beach County
21 .	where we had problems, would be a convenient location,
22	so that we could have people that wanted to come and
23	appear in the time for public testimony, to do that.
24	We chose Orlando because it's central
25	Florida, that's a reasonable location.

1	And the task force, in the meeting this
2	week, decided that we really should hold a meeting in
3	Duval County, which we will do.
4	Q And why did you reach that decision
5	regarding Duval County?
6	A (Witness Smith) Some of the task force
7	members had strong feelings that because I think Duval
8	perhaps had more ballots about 27,000 in raw
9	numbers that didn't make it through the machine,
10	that we ought to provide an opportunity there for
11	people that want to testify about that to do so.
12	Q Thank you very much.
13	Mr. Gans, over the years, you've looked at
14	voter turnout figures. Were you surprised by the
15	turnout in Florida in the November 7 election?
16	A (Witness Gans) Well, you have to start
17	off with the fact that the Florida turnout was not
18	particularly high. It was a little higher than it was
19	two years ago, but it was not particularly high.
20	Q Was it higher in particular communities
21	than others?
22	A (Witness Gans) I can't look at that. And
23	the reason you can't look at that is you don't have
24	very good, you know, denominators for the community.
25	But as a state, despite the closeness of the election,

it was not a significantly high turnout and surely not a record turnout for Florida. It was higher than '96 but '96 was a low-interest election.

In fact, nationally, our turnout only went up 2.2 percent, despite the fact that in percentage terms this was the closest election in 125 years and in numerical terms, the third closest election in 125 years.

Q What are some of the factors, if you know, about even what you characterize as a slight increase from '96 to 2000?

Α (Witness Gans) Oh, I think it's evident that most of the increase was held in the 16 or 17 battleground states and leads that you the conclusion as to what was different about the battleground states and the non-battleground states and what seemed to be different is that in battleground states, you had significant grassroots mobilization around various groups; you know, African-Americans, Christian Fundamentalists, labor unions, you name it. And I think when the only figures that I trust, ...which are the ...Census -- Bureau's current population survey on registration and voting, come out and that's unfortunately anywhere from eight to 18 months from now, it will show that the increases are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

largely going to be amongst those groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Ms. Newman, let me ask you this, what specific agencies of the state do you believe have the responsibility to respond to some of the recommendations that your organization is pursuing?

Newman) The Division Α (Witness ofElections, which is presently within the jurisdiction the Secretary of State, has a great deal more authority than it uses. There is -- there was a very good example coming out of this election, which has to do with voter identification rules. The statutes were amended in 1998 to say that the Division of -- persons should be required to show photo ID at the polls, such as a Florida driver's license or a state issued photo ID card, and then they left it to the Division of Elections to come up with a list of all photo ID cards which would be acceptable. The Division of Elections never exercised that authority and in fact left it up to the individual supervisors in all 67 counties to come up with their own lists.

In the meantime, in the five preclearance jurisdictions, the Justice Department said we have to see the final list from those five counties before we can preclear it. So then the Division of Elections recommended to the supervisors of elections that they

not enforce it at all. That didn't happen, and it certainly didn't happen within any regularity.

So the Division of Elections has a great deal more authority than it needs to assume and it needs to exercise. Some of the proposals, such as repealing the statutory five minute time limit in the voting booth obviously called for legislation. But there are a great number of areas of authority within the state Division of Elections that have not been used.

A (Witness Smith) Perhaps one of the things that y'all might want to inquire about that would be really helpful, would be to try to find out why the -- I think over the last four years -- the number of employees in the Division of Elections has shrank from 65 to 37. And I think that clearly makes the ability to supervise and give direction, you know, very, very difficult. And there may be good reasons for that, but that is a fact and perhaps ought to be looked into.

Q Ms. Newman, did you have anything else to add regarding the ongoing investigations by the Attorney General's office? Do you have any information -- has your organization been contacted by the Attorney General and asked for information?

1	A (Witness Newman) You're speaking about
2	the State Attorney General's office?
3	Q The State Attorney General's office.
4	A No. In fact, until today, I was unaware
5	that there was an ongoing Attorney General's office
6	investigation. But I welcome it, I think it is
7	certainly due.
8	Q Okay. Mr. Pritchett, how will members of
9	the public access the findings of the task force?
10	Will that information be housed by the Collins Center?
11	A (Witness Pritchett) Mr. Hailes, during
12	the process, we have a website that's devoted to that.
13	In addition, we have a telephone number
14	unfortunately it's not a toll-free number, there
15	hasn't been enough funding designated for that but
16	we have an e-mail address and we're constantly in
17	communication with newspapers throughout the state to
18	keep everything open as possible during the process.
19	And then after the process, after the
20	report is written, we will be submitting that to the
21	Governor, the legislature and the Secretary of State,
22	and I'm sure they'll be publishing that in mass
23	numbers.
24	Q Okay. What is the budget of the task
25	force?

1	A (Witness Pritchett) I have proposed a
2	budget, I have no official approval yet on the budget,
3	but in discussing this with our co-chairs, they said
4	it's fine and it's around \$200 to \$250,000 for the
5	four meetings, for the report writing and that type of
6	thing.
7	Q Okay.
8	A (Witness Pritchett) It's a budget, that's
9	it, nothing is approved yet.
10	Q Mr. Pritchett or Mr. Smith, just a brief
11	question, either one or both of you can answer
12	regarding the research methodology that you will
13	utilize in reaching findings and making conclusions.
14	A (Witness Smith) I happen to be a member
15	of the Collins board and I'll let Mark respond, but my
16	suggestion, you know, was to use the Collins Center to
17	staff this because of the really tremendous job they
18	do in the research area.
19	A (Witness Pritchett) Thank you, Jim.
20	There is some pressure here to do a good
21	job. We feel it at the Collins Center.
22	Basically we provided the nine areas, the
23	framework for looking at all these different areas,
24	and what we're trying to do is somewhat of an
25	inductive method and that is pull information from the

research, we're pulling information from our task force members, from various organizations around the state, citizens that contact us that have suggestions and we try to out them in these different areas. And in terms of research we're also bringing in experts throughout the country to provide us with information for us.

So we're pulling this all together and then organizing it under these main headings, reviewing this with our co-chairs, and then creating agendas and an outline for future writing of the report.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam Chair, I have no further questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, I'm going to recognize Commissioners, but before I do that, I'm going to point out on the numbers issue, we have information that black voters numbers increased by nearly seven percent statewide and that white registration grew by 3.6, and that the turnout 16 Florida of black voters increased to percent compared to 10 percent in the last presidential So that is what Florida was faced with, which may or may not have been the same in some other states.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_	net me turn to commissioners.
2	Commissioner Edley, do you have any questions?
3	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	What statutory penalties, if any, exist
6	under Florida law for the kinds of violations let
7	me put this to you, Ms. Newman the kinds of
8	violations that you think may have occurred? Are
9	there civil penalties, are there criminal penalties?
10	I mean, for example, an official in a polling place
11	who does not make an affidavit ballot available.
12	WITNESS NEWMAN: I think absent any
13	intentional allegations regarding that polling
14	official's intent versus being poorly trained, poorly
15	educated, poorly informed as to what the proper law
16	and procedure to follow were, there is probably only a
17	declaratory or injunctive relief available under
18	Florida statutes, but I'd much like to duck this
19	question and refer it to the former Attorney General
20	and Secretary of State
21	(Laughter.)
22	WITNESS NEWMAN: of-Florida-for his
23	based on his vast expertise.
24	WITNESS SMITH: Thanks.
25	(Laughter.)

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people, poll watchers, are minimum wage, it's hard to get them. And so all of a sudden you have stiff penalties, you know, you're not going to have people. But one of the things that has become abundantly clear is the really poor job that's being done in training of poll workers. You know, that's got to change, it's going to take some resources.

You know, but you go after election after election after election and nothing happens, and so people just kind of take it for granted. And the training of poll workers is going to receive a lot of attention, a very strong recommendation that supervisors be provided the resources that are needed so that there can be proper training.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well. just on that issue, we heard quite a bit from the Governor about tradition of local this financing and local And this seems a little mysterious to responsibility. Why such a tradition? me. What are the alleged benefits of that practice and why has the practice, in your judgment, persisted in the face of what must have been apparent to most observers that there were disparities as you moved between communities in terms of the quality of the infrastructure for democracy.

So why this emphasis on local control when the right to vote is far from a matter of local sufferance?

witness smith: Well, I think, you know, unfortunately, many southern states had a history of wanting a very fragmented system of government growing out of reconstruction. Our cabinet system came from that. We've had, you know, a history in this state, you know, of county officials -- you know, supervisors of election, for example, ought to be non-partisan offices. Okay? They're not now. And that I think would be another recommendation of this task force, that they be non-partisan offices. And I think this year that's going to be accepted.

But it's not been an easy struggle. As the Governor said, we have in recent years, you know, seen serious reform of the cabinet system. Most people have felt for many years that the Governor of the state, you know, should have much greater power than the Governor of this state has. When I started in state government in 1968, the Governor had to have his budget approved by an elected cabinet, the Governor couldn't even submit his budget or here budget to the legislature, it had to be approved by the elected cabinet. That was changed with a revision of our Constitution in 1968, and we have seen since 1968, I

think, steady progress towards trying to modernize the system of government in Florida. We're not quite there yet.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Let me just ask, the premise of my question I suppose was that people -surely people must have realized, observers must have realized that there have been disparities in the way -- in the quality of the infrastructure as you move from community to community. Is that the case? Were people generally aware of it and also relatedly, is there anything -- is your task force in its research going to do anything more than what we've seen in document the magnitude accounts to the disparities, including class any or racial correlations?

WITNESS SMITH: We, sir, -- you know, as we develop information like that, we will certainly report it. You know, in fairness to supervisors of election, it's a mixed bag and we've heard already some testimony of supervisors who have asked county governments to give them, you know, more modern voting equipment and those requests have not been accepted. And we have, frankly, I think many that, you know, just kind of rock along and in truth, you know, these issues haven't been talked about a lot until after

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this election.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's why I say we are in a very unique time and a unique opportunity, I think to make some very, very significant changes.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Have you formulated a view as to the implications of the principle of uniformity that the United States Supreme Court managed to discover in the equal protection clause with respect to voting procedures? What do you think the implications of that principle might be for your work?

WITNESS SMITH: Well, I don't think the U.S. Supreme Court or the Florida Supreme Court ordered, you know, for example, that we have uniform technology, but I think they strongly suggested and going to strongly suggest to the legislature that we need uniform technology statewide procedures, you know, or we're going to likely have; as we have close elections, challenges where equal protection will be an obvious problem.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Apart from the machines, what about waiting lines? - What about differences in the way in which identification is requested? Do you think that those things fall within the scope of --

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS SMITH: Sure, absolutely. Yeah. and we had, you know, apparently -- I've read press reports and I haven't heard any testimony in the task force about this, that in some counties at 7:00, you know, the poll people would say okay, that's it, you can't vote. And clearly, the intent is if you're in line at 7:00 those lines stay open until you have the opportunity to vote. And in some counties in Florida, that in fact happened. But we need to make it abundantly clear, I think, you know, about situations.

You know, clearly our state -- our statute for contesting election results and all never contemplated a close election in a presidential race or a statewide race. And we have the Division of Elections working now on a rewrite of that to anticipate that that may occur in the future.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okav, and just quickly, do any of you have information for regarding the prevalence nationally of the practice of contracting out to private firms the business of purging voter rolls or checking for felons? Any of you know anything about the national practice in that regard?

WITNESS GANS: No, but you have people who

will be here this afternoon who do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay, and let me ask you,  ${\tt Mr.}$ Gans, nationally recognize as a internationally recognized expert on these issues, do you just impressionistically agree with the assertions that we heard from the Governor, and I think we've people, that the problems heard from several Florida probably are not different in magnitude from the problems that would be revealed by an excruciatingly close election elsewhere?

WITNESS GANS: Well, I think if the election had hinged this time on New Mexico --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right.

WITNESS GANS: -- you would have had, you know, the same problems and perhaps more.

There places whose elections are considerably more smoothly, but -- and probably places in which there are not quite many potential as barriers for minorities. But there are -- many of the problems having to do with lines, machines, inadequate training of, you know, poll workers, differential types of ballots, inadequate hours, inadequate training. When I mentioned about the differential between people registering at motor voter and not being on the rolls, I got reports from at least 12

states that that had occurred. The inflation of registration was -- I mean, I think you would find that in lots of places.

There are issues here, you know, that were raised that need investigation, particularly by this Commission but they're probably not the technological issues. I mean, you know, they're more of the roadblock, the felon, you know, whether people were asked at the polls or told at the polls that if they didn't -- hadn't provided accurate information and then voted, they might be guilty of a felony. I don't know whether those things happened, you're going to be investigating them, but you know, those would be things specific to this situation. You know, the rest of what we are talking about is not specific.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Let me just close recommendation to your task force. Mı. Pritchett, you suggested that you believed that a great many of the county supervisors probably have expertise with respect to the Voting Rights Act and Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. That strikes me extraordinarily implausible because it's as complicated area of law and so I would just -- that might be one thing that the task force would want to look at, whether that is the case or whether it needs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to be the case.
2	WITNESS SMITH: Could you give us a name
3	could you give us a name of a
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Not in he's not
5	going to hookster a particular name in this setting,
6	but I'm sure he'd be willing to speak to you after
7	this.
8	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I mean, do they in
9	fact know what the Voting Rights Act requires, that's
10	all I'm asking.
11	WITNESS SMITH: Sure
12	WITNESS PRITCHETT: That's going to be at
13	our next meeting, we'll cover that area.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Wilson.
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you, and thank
16	you all for coming.
17	Mr. Smith, when you were the Secretary of
18	the State, did the issue of voting reform come up and
19	specifically the creation of statewide standards?
20	WITNESS SMITH: You know, I'd be very
21	happy to give you all my annual reports in my eight
22	years as Secretary of State and I think you'd be
23	pleased at the far-sightedness of the leadership in
24	that office at that time.
25	(Laughter.)

think CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I we'd be 1 interested in seeing it. 2 WITNESS SMITH: And I've got to tell you, 3 I took my jobs, all of them, very seriously, in my 4 public service and I was very disappointed that while 5 we had great lip service, we didn't get much action. 6 But on your specific question, honestly 7 I'd have to go back and look. I doubt that we looked 8 at that subject, but you know, I recommended same day 9 registration, I was a big supporter of the motor voter 10 legislation. You know, with the late Governor Chiles! 11 help, we for statewide races do have a campaign 12 finance law in this state that most states don't have. 13 So, you know, we did make some good progress. 14 15 you know, we just didn't get a lot of support COMMISSIONER WILSON: 16 Let me just ask a couple of other questions to Mr. Smith. 17 What's the budget now covering elections, 18 voting machinery, do you know what it has been, if it 19 20 has gone up or down? WITNESS SMITH: That would be county by 21 it would be a mixed bag. 22 We have 23 counties that have, you know, equipment that has 24 worked very well. About half of them have had severe 25 deficiencies. I think the Secretary of State need: to

1	be authorized to basically dictate the kind of
2	equipment that will be used. And I think with that
3	responsibility, the legislature, you know, should be
4	willing to provide some significant share of those
5	resources. We have some counties that can afford to
6	spend that kind of money, we have a 10 mill cap in our
7	counties and many of the smaller counties just don't
8	have the resources to do it, so the state is going to
9	have to pick it up.
10	COMMISSIONER WILSON: So there isn't an
11	overall state budget, it's definitely county by
12	county?
13	WITNESS SMITH: For voting equipment and
14	those kinds of things, it is at the county level.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will ask staff to
16	get the information.
17	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And I'd be curious
18	to know how it has increased or decreased as the years
19	have gone on.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
21	WITNESS SMITH: Some machines are more
22	than 30 years old. I mean some of them hadn't been
23	cleaned in years. I think part of the what they
24	found out in Dade County, one reason they had a
25	significant problem with chads is the machines hadn't

been cleaned, maybe ever.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair Reynoso.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, Mr. Gans and Ms. Newman listed a whole list of difficulties that the Commission should take a look at, and in the delineation of the nine items, it dealt with most of the concerns raised, but not all. And I think that the nine items did not deal with the issue of those who don't vote; i.e., you're going to look at problems of how ex-felons were identified but are you going to look and make recommendations as to whether or not there ought to be a law that prohibits ex-felons from voting? that has been raised very often, particularly in terms of its impact on African-Americans.

And I was pleased to hear your comment on same day registration. Is the Commission going to look at suggesting statutes of that sort, because that clearly would deal with an issue that I think all of us should be concerned with and that is that 50 percent of the potential voters aren't even registered to vote. So I just wondered if the Commission was going to look at those issues also, because I didn't find them in the list.

WITNESS PRITCHETT: We lumped those, Mr. Commissioner, under our voter registration piece and

both of those issues were discussed at our 1 Monday 2 meeting. We appreciate you bringing that to attention. 3 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 4 VICE Very good. Thank you, Madam Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee. 6 COMMISSIONER LEE: I have a question for 7 8 Ms. Newman. You testified earlier that language minority voters encounter a whole host of problems 9 Are you aware of any plans that the 10 during election. state has developed to meet the Voting Rights Act 11 Section 203 requirements? 12 WITNESS NEWMAN: Again, and I think this 13 is central theme to what the Commission is 14 think if those are left to 15 discovering. I 16 individual counties that are 203(b) designated, it may 17 be that after the 2000 census our entire state will be 18 designated, but at this time, it is not. And it is a 19 list of counties and that kind of compliance has been 20 generally left to the individual counties, it's my 21 understanding. 22 Also the state's central, for instance, . 23 mail-in voter application form, those kinds of forms that are developed are developed with English and 24 25 Spanish. There other are some concerns about

74°.

languages in Florida including Haitian Creole and some 1 Native American languages. 2 COMMISSIONER LEE: How about any state 3 efforts to provide education to would be voters who 4 are language minorities, are there any efforts to make 5 them aware of bilingual assistance availability during 6 elections? 7 WITNESS NEWMAN: Again, that would be done 8 9 on a county-by-county basis. Our impression -- we sent these requests out before the new year and we are 10 11 getting slowly the information back from all 12 counties. Our impression is that unless someone is -13 - unless a particular county has been designated in the regulations implementing the Voting Rights Act, 14 that by and large the counties are completely unaware 15 of any obligation whatsoever. And that compliance 16 with respect to those designated counties has been 17 somewhat spotty. And certainly affirmative efforts go 18 beyond what I think most supervisors of elections view 19 20 as their role or view as something they have any 21 budget or staffing for. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. 23 Commissioner Meeks, do you have a question? 24 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: On the issue of voter 25 education, how much, Mr. Smith, did your office focus

1	on that when you were Secretary of State?
2	WITNESS SMITH: A lot. We made
3	recommendations in that regard every year. And there
4	are no monies provided at the state level.
5	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Do you know whether
6	that was, you know, focused on in this in the past
7	four years, for instance,
8	WITNESS SMITH: It has not been. If we
9	for example, if we go to uniform technology, with that
10	has to be I think a very significant education
11	program.
12	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And so that will be a
13	really strong recommendation?
14	WITNESS SMITH: Yes, ma'am. And education
15	generally, we need to do a much well, it used to be
16	when I was growing up, you know, they taught civics in
17	school. We don't teach civics in our schools, kids
18	don't learn a dadgum thing about voting in Florida
19	schools.
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Shame on you.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
22	Thernstrom.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I actually have
24	a question for Ms. Newman.
25	You talked about the disproportionate
i	

impact on minority voters. Do you know whether most of the election officials with whom these voters allegedly had problems were white, raising questions of intentional discrimination, or was this a problem with white, black and Hispanic election officials? And also, do you know whether there were similar problems in previous elections and if so, did you or somebody else lodge complaints about these problems?

WITNESS NEWMAN: In response to the first question, I do not know the demographics of poll workers and election workers around the state. One of the things we've asked for are -- which would get at least to language minority issues are all workers at any precinct in any election who were fluent in a language other than English. But we didn't ask for race data and I frankly don't have it. I mean, there are of course anecdotal reports, but other than that, I don't know the answer and I don't know that we ever would know that answer.

With respect to the second question about the problems, some of the problems I believe have arisen -- no, we have not, is the short answer. And the longer answer is that many of these problems I believe have arisen as a result of a series of legislation that was passed in this state in 1998 that

dealt with voter identification procedures at the polls and with the provision for an inactive list.

And if I could just take one moment to explain what the problems as we perceived them were with an inactive list. The problem appeared to be that a number of people, for whatever reason, having to do with address verification process from the supervisor of elections, got placed on something called an inactive list. Now they have an absolute right both under Florida law and under the National Voter Registration list to vote. It doesn't mean anything about having been purged, but those lists were not available in precinct after precinct around And the individuals who were on that list the state. were not permitted to vote, absent having verified through the central registry. And in lots οf instances, there were lines of 20 or 30 people waiting for someone to make a call to the central registry to ascertain whether those persons were able to vote or not.

Those are things that have changed in the last two years in Florida, and I think they have led to some of the problems that the Commission is hearing about.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Thank you.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have one question or 1 two and then we'll finish this up and recess. 2 Let me just, first of all point out that 3 the range of problems that your task force is dealing 4 with are very important problems, but if some people 5 were not able to actually get to the polls because of 6 barriers, it wouldn't matter what kind of equipment 7 was in the polling place once they got there. 8 Also, if some people were prevented from 9 voting because they were wrongly accused of being a 10 11 felon, for example, it wouldn't matter what kind of equipment was there. 12 So there are a whole array of problems, 13 and I was happy to hear Mr. Gans say that while there 14 might be problems elsewhere in the country, that there 15 is another category of issues that we are hearing 16 17 here that are precisely pertinent 18 situation. So that your task force then is dealing 19 20 with important issues, some of which may be relevant 21 in other places around the country -- technology, 22 machines, equipment and so on -- but the question is, 23 is it going to deal with these issues. I was unclear about the Governor's 24 25 testimony. He first testified, as I understood him,

that there are other investigations of these allegations. And then later on, he said that your task force could look or would look at these. So what is the answer?

WITNESS SMITH: We have talked about the felon issue, we are looking at that and I'm certain we're going to be making a recommendation in that regard.

When I was on the Clemency Board, you know, I think the policy was that it was an automatic restoration after five years. I think the law has since changed and now you have to be proactive; you have to go and petition, and I think most felons have to hire an attorney to get through that process, it costs -- it's not cheap and I really strongly feel there ought to be some automatic restoration after a certain time frame. But we will certainly be looking at those kinds of issues. And I agree with you, I mean it doesn't -- if you don't get there and you can't vote, it doesn't matter if you've got great equipment. We certainly will be looking at those things.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The other thing is you've been a Secretary of State, among other things, and we were told that the Secretary of State, by the

Governor, he emphasized the responsibility of that office, although you have also told us about the small staff in the Division of Elections. Did you have conversations with the Secretary of State about the task force being set up? Was the Secretary of State involved in the discussions with you and the Governor about its establishment since the Governor so much emphasized that this was the role of the Secretary of State, or have you had further discussions, keeping apprised the Secretary of State or her staff what you do as you go along? What is the relationship there?

WITNESS SMITH: Well, her staff, you know, were present, you know, for the full time Monday and Tuesday, they're participating with us. For example, I asked at the hearing I think it was Tuesday, that Clay Roberts, the Division Director, you know, come back with a draft and some suggested time tables for the election contest period and those kinds of things, because he lives with it and he's got a better feel obviously for what needs to be done there in a corrective way than we would.

So, you know, they're working with us and I talked to Secretary Harris when the Governor asked me to do this, that we wanted to, you know, certainly be cooperative and work with them.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Was she present when
2	you met with the Governor?
3	WITNESS SMITH: No, ma'am.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: To discuss this?
5	WITNESS SMITH: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did she ask you to do
7	it?
8	WITNESS SMITH: She didn't ask me
9	anything. He did.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because I understand
11	she was responsible well, anyway, I guess asked and
12	answered is the way we have to put it.
13	Now in terms of the budget, do you
14	believe, Mr. Pritchett, that \$200,000 is a sufficient
15	amount of money to fund this task and you are entirely
16	satisfied that all aspects of this task force can go
17	forward and be done efficiently and fairly without any
18	additional resources? .
19	WITNESS PRITCHETT: Madam Chairman, as
20	I've read the Executive Order and then followed up
21	with a meeting with the Governor's staff and given the
22	short time frametocomplete our work, I thought it
23	was sufficient at the time. I did a first draft that
24	was under that and then I expanded it as the first

meeting approached.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Smith, are you familiar with Section 102.091 of the Florida statute empowering the Governor to appoint special officers to investigate alleged violations of election laws?

WITNESS SMITH: I am now.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just wondered why, when you said you would turn over any information you guys got, if you got any, on the task force about violations, why you would turn it over only to the Attorney General and not turn it over to the Governor to see if he wanted to appoint a special officer.

WITNESS aware of SMITH: Being certainly that -- you know, in my Attorney General days, when -- we had a very cooperative relationship with federal agencies and when they were conducting an investigation in Florida, our policy was to let them complete their work. And then if there were things that were clearly state matters, that we would take And I would -- you know, you're here, we're glad you're here; you know, we want to cooperate every way that we can with you and I know you'll do a great And I think when you're done, there may well be areas that the state should look into, I'm certain there will be. But my experience has been, you know,

1	you don't need two or three investigations going on at
2	the same time. That can be very difficult.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, let me just tell
4	you, Mr. Smith, for the Commission and I'm sure that
5	the Commissioners will not disagree with me, if you
6	identify some specific violations which need to be
7	brought to the attention of the prosecutors, please
8	don't wait for us, we don't prosecute.
9	WITNESS SMITH: I understand, I
10	understand.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just wanted to make
12	that clear.
13	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I just have one more
14	question.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
16	Wilson.
17	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
18	Mr. Smith, during the time that you were
19	Secretary of State, would you have had cause to
20	discuss the election with the Governor during the 30
21	days before the election?
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: He-was not Secretary
23	of State.
24	COMMISSIONER WILSON: He was
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, no, he was
	NEAL P. GPOSS

1	Secretary of State when?
2	WITNESS SMITH: To '94, I haven't been
3	since '94.
4	COMMISSIONER WILSON: When he was.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, when he was.
6	COMMISSIONER WILSON: When you were
7	Secretary of State.
8	WITNESS SMITH: You know, I have no
9	specific recollection of any discussions like that.
10	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I mean, you wouldn't
11	discuss an election, an upcoming election?
12	WITNESS SMITH: Well, I mean, you know, I
13	hope Joe wins or I mean, I don't know what $y_0$ ou
14	mean.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Turnout, registration.
16	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Any of
17	WITNESS SMITH: Sure oh, yeah, okay.
18	Yeah, we were proactive very much in trying to
19	encourage people to register. You know, I was rery
20	concerned, you know, in those days that a lot of folks
21	wouldn't register to vote because they used the voting
22	lists for people for jury duty. And we changed that,
23	that was one of the changes we made. So we were very
24	proactive and probably with Governor Graham, B.ob
25	Graham was Governor when I was Attorney General and

1	Lawton Chiles and Bob Martinez I would have no
2	doubt asked them for their assistance and visibility
3	in helping me promote registration and those kinds of
4	things, and they would have certainly and I'm sure
5	were helpful.
6	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, well, I want to
8	thank the witnesses. There are some sign-out
9	procedures that our staff will assist you with.
10	(Witnesses excused.)
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We are now recessed
12	until 1:30. Thank you very much.
13	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
14	
15	
16	
.17	
18	
19	
20	
,21	
22	عاقصیه فرست به سر عدر ال
23	
24	
25	

### A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As we return from recess, are there any sign interpreters or court reporters or clerks, signers, who have not been sworn in and if so, could you come forward so that I may swear you in?

(Whereupon, a sign interpreter was sworn.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And could you please ask if anyone needs sign interpretation at this time?

(The sign interpreter complies.)

INTERPRETER: I see no one.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If anyone does, they may see you. Thank you very much.

INTERPRETER: Thank you.

## Expert Panel on Voting Rights and Election Law

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This panel is made up of experts on voting rights and election laws and the witnesses who need to come forward are Mr. Jim Dickson, Mr. Jackson Chin, Mr. Allan Lichtman and Mr. Darryl Paulson.

Mr. Lichtman or Professor Lichtman I do see here and Professor Paulson, I do indeed see here:

And I assume that Mr. Dickson and Mr. Chin are both somewhere in the vicinity, but let me go forward to present the oath to the two witnesses who are here.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Could you please stand and raise your right hand?
2	Whereupon,
3	ALLAN J. LICHTMAN
4	DARRYL PAULSON
5	appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first
6	duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Please be seated.
8	Counsel, would you prepare to begin the
9	questioning of these witnesses or allow them to make
10	an opening statement?
11	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes, thank
12	you very much.
13	We're going to ask at this time for both
14	witnesses to present your name and your title for the
15	record and then to present opening statements of
16	approximately five minutes.
17	WITNESS PAULSON: My name is Darryl
18	Paulson, I'm a professor of government at the
19	University of South Florida.
20	WITNESS LICHTMAN: Allan Lichtman, Chair,
21	Department of History and Professor of History,
22	American University in Washington, D.C.
23	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Dr.
24	Paulson.
25	WITNESS PAULSON: Madam Chairperson,

Commission members, I'm pleased to be here today. I 1 do have a document to submit, approximately 25 pages. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It will be included in 3 the record without objection. 4 5 WITNESS PAULSON: Thank you. Approximately the first three-quarters of 6 the document deal with the history of 7 8 discrimination, primarily against African-Americans in the state of Florida, covering a time period from 9 approximately the mid-1800s to about 1965. 10 quarter of the document deals specifically with the 11 2000 election and my views with respect to the 2000 12 13 election. 14 One of the things I'm concerned with, of were blacks systematically or specifically 15 targeted for exclusion and were turnout results 16 17 Florida different in any way from results in other initial conclusions would lead me 18 states. Mv conclude no to both questions. I think in my view 19 20 what we have is a system failure without systemic discrimination and I'll talk about that more in just a 21 22 moment. 23 We did have 180,000 votes in Florida that 24 not counted. If you look at those votes were

throughout the state of Florida, it was almost equally

divided between counties that were won by Jeb Bush (sic) and Al Gore. Across the United States, there were 2.5 million votes that were not counted. And whenever you have an election system that requires 105 million people to vote essentially in a span of 12 hours, you have created a system guaranteed to have voting problems.

Now obviously everyone, including the State of Florida can come up with ways to minimize those problems, and no doubt there are substantial problems that I have indicated in my document as well.

One of the things I do is to take a look some of the specific complaints that have been raised by minorities, particularly African-Americans in the state of Florida and give a number of examples of where I think perhaps some of the complaints were really complaints, not that local officials, especially supervisors of elections, who. had the responsibility under Florida law and Florida Constitution, were in fact carrying out what the laws of the State of Florida require them to do -- things about people being rushed at the polls. And I refer to the specific segments of the Florida legislation, which in fact impose certain requirements on election officials to meet certain guidelines on the election

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the problems I have with dealing with this question of systemic discrimination or the intent to discriminate against minorities is for this discrimination to occur, it seems to me it almost implies some sort of collusion among public officials, some sort of agreement in principle, some sort of mechanism to impose. And I just don't see that evident in the state of Florida.

Now in my history of discrimination in the state of Florida, I've testified a number of times for the NAACP on voter discrimination cases. It's quite obvious that there was collusion among state officials and local officials to prevent African-Americans from voting. I do not see the same pattern evident in this particular election. Florida is a different state today than it was in 1965 or 1935 or 1895. Florida now has a competitive two-party structure that I think makes it extremely difficult in many ways type of discrimination to occur systemic against minorities.

If the intent of state officials was to discriminate against African-Americans, I would argue it's a dismal failure. There was a record number of African-Americans who participated in Florida's

This is a 65 percent increase election, 893,000. turnout from the 1996 presidential election. 1990s have also seen a tremendous explosion in the black elected officials throughout the state, which I think testifies to the progress that the State of Florida has made with respect to this issue of race relations. We now have a record number of African-Americans in the state legislature, there are 15 in the House of Representatives, there are six in the state Senate, roughly proportionate to African-American percentage in the population. Wе have three members in Congress elected in 1992, who have been consistently re-elected. We now have record city councils, school boards, So it's hard for me to make a case that commissions. state officials were somehow able to deny African-Americans an effective vote at the presidential level while African-Americans have demonstrated such power and such clout at the state and local level.

There are two problems that have been alluded to before. One is the State of Florida does disfranchise a large percentage of felons, anywhere from 25 to a third, depending on which numbers you use. Once again, Florida is not alone in that regard, not to say it's right, but there are 13 states which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have the same policy that the State of Florida has.

And perhaps the most significant problem issue of the purge and the state using a 173,000 individuals. private firm to purge In Hillsborough County, the supervisor of elections estimated that 15 percent of those purged were purged disproportionately African-American. error, Another source estimated that 7000 voters, mostly blacks registered as Democrats, were removed from the list.

So in conclusion, I think the real scandal in Florida -- Florida's election was not necessarily a conspiracy against African-Americans to diminish their impact in the state's electoral process, but the inequities that existed from county to county. Disparities between wealthy and poor counties was reflected in the types of voting machinery used. And poor counties, whether in Florida or elsewhere, have always had a disproportionate number of votes not counted.

And the last statement, this morning's panel talked about why is this so -- why is so much discretion going to these supervisors of elections.

The State Constitution requires it, for one reason.

Supervisors of elections are one of six constitutional

1	officers under the Constitution of the State of
2	Florida. So if you change that structure, change their
3	power, change that position from partisan to non-
4	partisan, you're talking about changing the State's
5	Constitution.
6	Thank you very much.
7	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Dr.
8	Paulson, you said in your statement you talk about the
9	history of discrimination in the state of Florida as
LO	it relates to the voting rights of African-Americans?
LI	WITNESS PAULSON: Right.
L2	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Okay.
L3	And that is in your statement which is why we called
L4	you to testify.
L5	WITNESS PAULSON: Right.
L6	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And that
L7	is in your statement?
18	WITNESS PAULSON: Absolutely, about three-
L9	fourths of the paper outlines the state's prior record
20	with respect to some of the discriminatory, policies
21	adopted in the state.
22	"ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Did you
23	have an opportunity to talk to our staff about your
24	conclusions about the relationship of this history to
25	the November 7 election?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS PAULSON: I haven't talked to anybody on the staff directly concerning that yet, no.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Okay, thank you very much.

Dr. Lichtman.

witness lichtman: Yes, thank you very much. Madam Chairperson and other distinguished members of the Commission, thank you very much for this opportunity.

talk What I going to about amthis afternoon is the application of the Voting Rights Act to the election in Florida and more broadly, to elections anywhere in the United States, because it may well be that the situations that arose in Florida are not unique to the state and that there may well be infractions of the Voting Rights Act occurring perhaps in states with significant Florida and minority populations across this country.

And before getting into any detail, I want to stress that when we're talking about violations of the Voting Rights Act of the kind I am going to discuss, we do not have to demonstrate an intent to discriminate. We do not have to demonstrate that there was some kind of conspiracy against minorities or that anyone involved in the administration of

elections today or yesterday had any intent whatever to discriminate against minorities, because indeed under the Voting Rights Act, practices can be illegal so long as they have the effect of diminishing minority opportunities to participate fully in the political process and elect candidates of their choice.

Ever since the 1982 amendments to the Voting Rights Act, it is not necessary to prove that either a system was adopted with the intent to discriminate or that a system was maintained with the intent to discriminate. The key is whether a system, regardless of why it was adopted or why it was held in place, has the effect of diminishing minority voting opportunities.

The Voting Rights Act, of course, as you all know, was passed in 1965 to carry out the proposition that all Americans, regardless of race or ethnic background, should have equal opportunities to participate fully in the political process and to elect candidates of their choice. It has had broad bipartisan support over the years. Indeed, Ronald Reagan called it the crown jewel of American civil rights legislation.

The Voting Rights Act, of course, in one

sense was designed to make sure that all individuals 1 would have the opportunity to register and have the 2 That's basic, that's fundamental opportunity to vote. 3 to our democracy. But the Voting Rights Act, of 4 course, has additional provisions. Section 2 of the 5 Voting Rights Act is designed to guard against the 6 7 dilution of minority votes. Section 2 is designed to quard against the fact that even if minorities can 8 9 register and vote, that their votes should be counted no less than the votes of white Americans. 10 In other words, for example, a system of -- a system that drew 11 legislative plan for that 12 a state, submerged 13 minorities in districts dominated by whites, broke up minority communities, could be violative of 14 Section 2 because even though those minorities could 15 16 vote, they would not have an equal opportunity for their votes to count to elect candidates of their 17 choice and it wouldn't matter, in terms of the Voting 18 whether 19 Rights Act, there was intent an to 20 discriminate against minorities in the development of 21 such a legislative plan.

I would argue that this effects test under the Voting Rights Act, to make sure that minority votes are not diluted, has direct applicability to what happened in Florida regardless of intent. I

22

23

24

would argue that a violation of the Voting Rights Act occurs in the administration of elections if two criteria are fulfilled. If, number one, there are differences in voting technology or voting procedures that are correlated with the race of the voters. In other words, that there are differences in voting procedures and voting technologies between white areas and minority areas. That is to establish that there is a pattern of difference, regardless of intent.

Secondly, the second criteria would be if procedures technologies and voting those voting operate such that minorities -- the procedures used in minority give minorities οf the areas less an opportunity to have their votes counted.

And indeed, while I've done no study of my own, I've handed out to you a New York Times article from November 29, which does show that in fact there are disparate election systems used in the State of Florida. Those systems are correlated with race and in fact, the systems that tend to be used in the poorer, more heavily minority areas, are less likely to allow a voter to cast a properly tallied ballot. In other words, minorities perhaps can go to the polls unimpeded, but their votes are less likely to count because of the disparate technology than are the votes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of whites.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In my view, that is a classic violation of the Voting Rights Act. That is the very thing the trying to avoid that, Voting Rights Act was whatever reason and whatever the intent, the Voting Rights Act is trying to avoid different treatment of whites and minorities when it comes to having one's vote counted. And in a sense, not having your vote tallied at all is even a more egregious violation of the Voting Rights Act than the traditional violations that come, for example, from gerrymandered districts that make it difficult for African-Americans to elect candidates of your choice, because here it is like the old days when you couldn't vote at all. If your vote isn't being tallied, that in effect is like having your franchise denied fundamentally. And as I said, it doesn't matter whether there is an intent or not to discriminate.

And there are remedies here. There would be remedies available under the Voting Rights Act and those remedies clearly would be to equalize the technology across all of the voting places in the state of Florida and more broadly really within every state, to have technologies equalized such that there are no systematic correlations between technologies

1	and whites and minorities and a minority vote is as
2	likely to be tallied as a white vote. This would apply
3	to elections from president all the way down to city
4	council and the most local elections in the United
5	States. The Voting Rights Act knows no limits when it
6	comes to the type of elections to which it applied.
7	And while this may require spending some money on the
8	part of states, frequently remedies are ordered in
9	under the Voting Rights Act. For example, redrawing
10	of a district plan that may well require the
11	significant expenditures of money. So that should be
12	no barrier to remedying this.
13	In other words, what we really need in
14	this country, not only an investigation in Florida of
15	the relationship between voting technology and voting
16	procedures and race, but really across the United
17	States to make sure that the Voting Rights Act is not
18	being violated and to make sure that every American,
19	regardless of race or ethnic background has an equal
20	chance with every other American to have their votes
21	properly tallied.
22	Thank you very much.
23	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you,
24	Dr. Lichtman.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me swear them in.

1	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Chin and Mr.
3	Dickson, would you mind standing and raising your
4	right hand? I would like to swear you in.
5	Whereupon,
6	JACKSON CHIN
7	JIM DICKSON
8	appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first
9	duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much,
11	you may be seated.
12	BY ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES:
13	Q Before we go to the next two witnesses,
14	Dr. Lichtman, I want to ask you, if as you argue that
15	disparate technologies and procedures correlated by
16	race may result in a finding of a violation of the
17	Voting Rights Act, would that finding be vitiated by,
18	as Dr. Paulson suggests, a substantial participation
19	of African-Americans in an election?
20	A (Witness Lichtman) Not at all not at
21	all, because a significant participation of African-
22	Americans is obviously voluntary acts on their part
23	and when African-Americans participate at whatever
24	level, it doesn't matter, they, in my view, under the
25	Voting Rights Act, should have an equal chance with

rights to have their votes properly tallied.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, it's one thing to say we can go to the polls; it's quite another thing to say when we cast our ballot, our ballot is going to be And if there is a higher hurdle for minority counted. ballots to be counted than for white ballots to be counted, that operates independently of levels turnout and the violation of the Voting Rights Act would still be present. Imagine, for example -- we'll take something real simple -- imagine if in white areas, assuming the two communities were equal their density and distributions. terms of In a comparable white area, you had 20 polling places and in the comparable minority area, you had only one polling place. Well, no one would think that wasn't, you know, a violation of basic voting rights, technologies and procedures can do the same thing, make it more difficult to have the votes of minorities counted as compared to whites.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you.

Mr. Chin and Mr. Dickson, we're going to ask the two of you first to state your name, your organizational affiliation and to give opening statements of approximately five minutes, beginning with you, Mr. Chin and then Mr. Dickson.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and members of the Commission, my name is Jackson Chin, I am Associate Counsel at the Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund in New York City. We were founded in 1972 and we are a national civil rights and not-for-profit organization that promotes civil rights and equal protections of Latinos and Hispanics in the United States, through litigation, through policy research, advocacy and educational service programs.

Our high courts have reminded us that the right of suffrage is the preeminent right in a democracy; the right to vote is the right to participate, it is the right to speak, it is the right to be heard.

The Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund finds that this most fundamental right to be heard is not protected and not enjoyed by all. Immediately after the November 2000 election, PRLDEF responded to a groundswell of complaints from local Latino Floridians. We dispatched a team of lawyers to investigate and to assess multiple complaints from date, we have conducted field Latino voters. To interviews in the central Florida, Orlando area on two separate occasions to speak with Latino complainants and our office is still continuing to gather facts in 1 |

anticipation of possible voter rights litigation.

As compelling as some of the previous testimony has been on the African-American vote and their massive disenfranchisement, I think that the lesser known groups have been the new Latinos in Florida. And based on our preliminary investigations and review of election practices in precincts in central Florida, we believe that certain election practices and policies have led to the widespread voter disenfranchisement of possibly up to several thousands of Latino voters.

Now I'm here to share with you some of the situations and barriers which have deprived Latinos from casting a vote and some of the barriers that they have encountered in their attempts to vote.

We ask ourselves, well, why would voters be turned away. I mean, we've been focusing on machines and the chads and the double counting and over-counting, under-counting. The earlier question was what about the people who couldn't even get into the voting booth. And this is where I'm trying to address that issue, which cries for attention and scrutiny.

Now these problems can be generally classified as due to illegitimate reasons or factors

or legitimate reasons or factors as to why people are ballot booth. The only awav from the that feel would be due legitimate cause we Illegitimate causes individual voter error. include administrative agency error and nefarious or illegal or discriminatory factors.

Now recent study by the political scientist professor Ronald Hayduk entitled "Gatekeepers the Franchise: Election to Administration and Voter Participation in New York" is very instructive to all of us who are concerned about this. It documents various forms and patterns of disenfranchisement. Both agency caused error or policies and practices are among the kinds of causes that can result in impermissible and illegal, from our point of view, outcomes since voters are indeed denied the fundamental right to vote.

Now this unique study shows patterns of disenfranchisement throughout the United States. In nearly every election, in nearly every political jurisdiction, the victims of disenfranchisement are disproportionately harmed and they tend to be people who are low income, minority communities of color, first time voters, the very young, the very old, the disabled, those whose literacy and education levels

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are much lower, and language minority voters who receive no or inadequate bilingual assistance as required by law in certain covered jurisdictions.

Now election officials, poll workers, even the DMV personnel, they exercise wide discretionary authority in the processing of registration applications or in the election administration. discretion -- this discretionary capacity allows for impermissible disenfranchisement that often goes unanalyzed and unremediated. The main point is that there are numerous instances where voters are turned away or denied the franchise based on no fault of their own, but due to agency or governmental personnel error or other reasons, they will be turned away and then there is clearly a denial of the basic franchise.

We have documented cases that fall into this latter category and this is regardless of whether there was default or by design, and we have found that (1) Latino voters and residents, largely of Puerto Rican descent and recent Latino immigrants, constitute a growing and significant portion of the total adult voting population in Orange,—Seminole—and Osceola Counties. (2) Orange County, which is a Section 203 covered jurisdiction under the federal Voting Rights Act, has failed to meet its legal obligations to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

guarantee meaningful electoral access to its growing Spanish-speaking language minority voters. (3) We also believe that significant numbers of Latino residents in Orange, Seminole and Osceola Counties were disproportionately harmed and deprived of their right to vote, in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, in addition to other federal and state laws which were violated.

drawing from the Exhibit of Now illustrative cases which are attached to this submission, which I hope you have, Latino voters' complaints were outlined in a number of scenarios. Ιt is a 10-page exhibit, it covers some of the people that we personally interviewed and I think that we can discuss that afterwards which I make just a few more comments.

We have registered Latino voters who voted in immediate past elections, who went to the polls, were told their names could not be found on the voter lists; consequently, they were not allowed to vote, some were sent home -- many were sent home. Some were required to wait for many hours while election poll workers struggled unsuccessfully to contact supervisors for approval to allow these voters to cast their votes. So these grievances were effectively

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ignored.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(b) Among those not found on these voter rolls, voters ultimately were not able to cast their vote, in violation of federal and Florida laws. Election poll workers did not even offer the alternative method of voting, which would have been the confirmation paper affirmation ballot possibility, which is provided under state law, as well as under the National Voter Registration Act.

(c) Registered Latino voters who went to their usual voting poll sites, who were told that their names could not be found, were given proverbial run-around. And what I mean by that is they were told to go to other voting sites miles away and once arriving at these other sites were not found on these lists, were ignored and refused the right to Often they became frustrated, confused and they basically gave up.

New Latino voters, which is another subgroup, who registered in a timely manner, before the election day, they were not processed, these applications were obviously not processed by government agencies, and receiving no voter registration identity or cards and no assignment to the particular voting poll site precincts and

addresses, they could not have voted, they did not 1 2 vote and therefore were deprived. ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr. Chin, 3 let us do this, because we will receive your full 4 5 statement in the record and in responses some questions, you may give us more information. 6 WITNESS CHIN: 7 Sure. ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: I would 8 like at this time to ask Mr. Dickson to testify. 9 10 WITNESS CHIN: Thank you. ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Thank you. 11 WITNESS DICKSON: Thank you. Madam 12 13 Chairman, distinguished Commissioners, it is an honor and a privilege to speak before you. I'm Jim Dickson, 14 15 Vice President of the National Organization on Disability. 16 co-founder of Project 17 was privileged to be part of the leadership team which 18 past the motor voter law and in this election, led the 19 first ever voter mobilization effort amongst voters 20 with disabilities. We had 36 national disability 21 22 organizations participating and based on polling data 23 from the Lou Harris Associates and the Pugh Research 24 Center, nearly three million disabled Americans voted

for the first time in this election.

1	People I am blind and I was
2	particularly offended during the discussion about the
3	voter irregularities in Florida, where citizens who
4	had voted were generally accused by the media and
5	others of being ignorant, sloppy, failing to follow
6	directions.
7	The entire nation's voting system is
8	inaccessible to people with many disabilities. The
9	punch card system in particular is difficult to
10	impossible for many people with disabilities in
11	Florida to use. It wasn't that people can't follow
12	directions, it was that the system is inaccessible and
13	people were struggling to perform their civic duty on
14	a system that is inaccessible.
15	I got up this morning by myself, took the
16	bus to the metro, used the metro elevator by myself at
17	National Airport
18	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: In
19	Washington, D.C.
20	WITNESS DICKSON: in Washington, D.C.
21	Got to the plane by myself. I cannot go into a voting
22	booth by myself and vote. Every time - have voted,
23	somebody knows how I vote. That is not acceptable.
24	There are 524,000 Floridians, based on 1998 census

data, who cannot read print.

1	A few years ago, I was voting, my wife was
2	casting my ballot. Like many married couples, we
3	sometimes don't quite see eye to eye.
4	(Laughter.)
5	WITNESS DICKSON: As Renee was using the
6	punch card, she said to me, Jim, I know you love me;
7	now I know you trust me, because you think I'm voting
8	for that idiot.
9	(Laughter.)
10	WITNESS DICKSON: I've voted in four
11	states. This was awhile ago, but I have talked to
12	people who voted in Florida who are blind or visually
13	impaired and in Massachusetts and in Rhode Island, on
14	separate occasions in separate years, I had to rely on
15	poll workers to cast my ballot. In two separate
16	primaries, I chose to vote for candidates who were
17	controversial. Twice, I have had the poll worker
18	helping me to cast my ballot say are you sure that's
19	who you want to vote for?
20	(Laughter.)
21	WITNESS DICKSON: This is a Braille stylus
22	and this is a Braille slate. You make Braille dots by
23	punching little dots. Anyone who has been taught
24	Braille has known about dimples.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(Laughter.)

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

WITNESS DICKSON: We have had drilled into

our heads by trainers and teachers that you must hold

the stylus 90 degrees to the paper or you get a

dimple, not a full Braille dot. In 20 years of

working around elections, I have never once heard

·

instructions to a citizen about the importance of

holding the stylus 90 degrees to the paper. If you

don't, you get a dimple. I would encourage the

Commission -- I think it would be possible to do some

fairly simple tests that could measure that.

Regarding the famous butterfly ballot.

This is a classic case of blaming the victim.

Commissioner LePore announced that she designed the

butterfly ballot to provide assistance for voters with

low vision. There are in excess of 60,000 people in

her county who admit that they have trouble reading

small print, and there are probably another 30 or

40,000 who have trouble reading small print but don't

admit it.

Ms. LePore, not only failed to request the

assistance of those who know how to develop effective

large print media, but she consistently rebuffed the

offers from activists in her county to provide

assistance. The butterfly ballot, not only made it --

made it -- it made it more difficult for a person with

low vision to cast a ballot with the butterfly than 1 with a traditional ballot. For persons with 2 vision, there is a difficulty called tracking. You 3 may be able to read the print, but you have to track 4 This is why you might often see across the page. 5 somebody holding something underneath the line or 6 using their finger, or using their pen point. 7 are particularly difficult for people with low vision 8 We are told, trained by independent living 9 to use. specialists and low vision specialists, when using a 10 column, you don't go across, you count down and then 11 you go back to the top to find the next column and you 12 count down the appropriate number. The butterfly 13 ballot took what would have been a two column problem 14 15 and made it into a five column problem. The name of 16 the candidate, an arrow, which is a column, the holes where you were supposed to punch the ballot, another 17 arrow and another name of candidates. 18 Absolutely irresponsible to say the least. 19

A low vision person voting in Palm Beach County who chose to vote for Vice President Gore would have counted down in the first column twice for Al Gore, gone back to the top of the page, gone over to the third column, counted down two holes and voted for Mr. Buchanan. And I wish to repeat if the election

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

commissioner had asked anybody who knows about low vision, she would have been told that would have been the result of her design.

I want to just touch on a couple other in Florida, over 100,000 quick things. There are, voting age disabled citizens who are not registered to The National Voter Registration Act requires all disability agencies to offer voter registration to the National Organization on their clients. Αt Disability last May, we did a survey with Lou Harris & Associates, that reports back to us that 42 percent of all people with disabilities have never been offered the opportunity to register to vote by the disability service provider from whom they receive service. That's a nationwide study, that number holds Florida. There are more than 14 million unregistered disabled voters across the country, who their service providers are registered because in violation of the National Voter Registration Act.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr. Dickson, let me just ask you this, and we will give you an opportunity to present a statement while the remains open and some additional points you wanted make, to you can do so in response to questions.

_	
п	
_	L

#### EXAMINATION

BY	ACTING	GENERAL	COUNSEL	HAILES:

- Q But I would like you to tell us for the record what the percentage of registered persons with disabilities, those who have registered to vote -- what is generally their participation rate in elections?
- A. (Witness Dickson) In 1996, the participation rate was 30 percent. This year, the participation rate was 40 percent.
  - Q Okay.
- A (Witness Dickson) Or considerably below what it is for the able-bodied.
  - Q Okay, thank you very much.

Mr. Chin, I have one question for you. You mention in your testimony that one of the counties that your organization is really focusing on is covered by Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act. For the record, will you give us a description of Section 203, what the requirements are, how a jurisdiction comes under Section 203 authority and any other information about Section 203.

A (Witness Chin) Sure. The Section 203 designation for Orange County or any other county that is covered reflects the fact that a certain percentage

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

of the voting population, adult aged voting population is limited in English, and that means that they cannot adequately speak or read or write English in order to access the electoral process.

These political jurisdictions which are so it has been determined that, through a designated, number of different possible formulas, but one formula indicates, for example, that if more than 10,000 of its voting age citizens are members of language minority group and who are limited English proficient and that in this group the illiteracy rate of this language minority group is higher than the is within, the national literacy rate, then that should be covered jurisdiction and and then they exercise affirmative duties to ensure that these language minority voters can participate, whether they seek to run for office, whether they seek to register and the entire continuum. to vote, And the "meaningful wording is participation." And traditionally it has been monitored through the Justice Department and of course private action can also ensue, and that is why we are looking at some of the questions in Orange County.

Orange County, by the way, which is Orlando, the greater Orlando area, in the last number

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	of years has expanded, population-wise, exponentially.
2	It has a growing, very significant population of
3	Latinos.
4	Osceola, I am told in some of the reports
5	that I've seen, has up to 30 percent of its
6	population, which is Spanish-speaking, Latino
7	Hispanic. They are not covered under this Act and may
8	become covered. I think that's a situation that will
9	depend on the final results of the U.S. census as they
10	become known to the federal authorities.
11	Q How many counties, if you know, are
12	covered by Section 203?
13	A I believe there are from the list there
14	are possibly six to eight counties. I don't know
15	exactly, but certainly Orange County is one of those
16	counties.
17	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam
18	Chair, I have no further questions.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
20	Thernstrom.
21	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I have a
22	question or a couple of questions for Professor
23	Lichtman.
24	You referred to a classic violation of the
25	Voting Rights Act. We do have a Voting Section of the

hint

Civil Rights Division of the Federal Department of Justice that has a long and distinguished record of attention to violations that don't even rise to the level of being classic. But you know, violations of the Voting Rights Act and yet in this case, at least so far, they certainly have not come out to say wait a minute, we have got a situation here of blatant discrimination of a dilution of minority votes. I've got a couple of other questions, but maybe we should just take that one. WITNESS LICHTMAN: Let me respond. COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yeah. WITNESS LICHTMAN: Thank you very much, Commissioner Thernstrom. Indeed, I believe that this is something that the Voting Rights Section of the Civil Rights Division should be investigating and indeed this may well be something that they might investigate, but go ahead and bring litigation. fact that they haven't done it to this point does not mean (a) that they're not investigating or (b) that they will not move in this matter. I would hope that they will -- I mean, they may already have begun looking into this, I hope they will, I hope they will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not

only

The

1	continue to do so after the change of administration.
2	And if indeed it is found that there are these
3	disparate technologies correlated with race that do
4	give minorities less of an opportunity to cast their
5	ballots than others, that the Voting Rights Section
6	would vigorously pursue litigation to remedy this
7	factor.
8	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, is it
9	possible that one of the things that is I mean
10	usually they're very quick to act that one of the
11	things
12	WITNESS LICHTMAN: Oh, they're
13	bureaucrats, you know, they're not that quick.
14	(Laughter.)
15	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: My you know,
16	my experience with them, long experience with them, is
17	that they do act rapidly.
18	But is it possible that one of the
19	problems here is that there is no case law that they
20	can cite involving vote dilution on the basis of the
21	use of one voting machine versus another. You know,
22	this has just not been the subject of Section 2
<b>2</b> 3	litigation.
24	And then (d) it seems to me that what
25	you're saying is that in a district that is, let's

1	say, racially and ethnically mixed, if there is say a
2	punch card system, that all voters black, white,
3	Hispanic, Asian that all voters are disfranchised,
4	that their votes are not counted because there's
5	something inherently disfranchising about a punch card
6	system, and that that too is part of the package of
7	what is troubling the attorneys in the Voting Section.
8	WITNESS LICHTMAN: Well, let me make
9	I'm not sure anything is troubling the attorneys in
10	the Voting Section we don't know that.
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: No, we don't
12	know that.
13	WITNESS LICHTMAN: We're speculating.
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But it is
15	possible, yeah.
16	WITNESS LICHTMAN: We don't know that at
17	all.
18	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Right.
19	WITNESS LICHTMAN: Let me say a couple of
20	things. Some cases are indeed cases of first
21	impression as we just saw with the Supreme Court
22	decision in <u>Bush v. Gore</u> Not allegess are
23	necessarily rooted in prior case law, and it strikes
24	me that if indeed, given the logic of the Voting
25	Rights Act and given the two criteria that I laid out,

that there is a possible or probable violation of the 1 Voting Rights Act, that this is precisely the area 2 that we need to forge ahead and create a case of first 3 that would remedy that kind of 4 impression discrimination. 5 Secondly, I couldn't agree with you more. 6 This is not an issue, even though I believe if there 7 are these two criteria fulfilled it does violate the 8 9 Voting Rights Act, this is not an issue that only minorities should be concerned about. Every American, 10 11 regardless of their ethnic or religious background 12 should be deeply disturbed about voting technology 13 that does not properly and fully record their votes. I couldn't agree with you more, Commissioner 14 15 Thernstrom, that we should move promptly to eliminate technology wherever it may exist 16 and bring election administration into the 21st century. 17 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 18 Good. Well, I certainly --19 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner 21 Thernstrom, we're going to have to take other people, 22 so I will let you have one more question. 23 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'd love 24 more question to Mr. Dickson. Thank you very much,

Madam Chair.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think, Mr. Dickson, what you're saying, among other things, is that there is nothing unique to Florida about the difficulties that people who are blind are having in voting and that we indeed need better education for election officials and those who I think that is what is going to come help voters. out of the Governor's task force. And I also think you talked at length about the butterfly ballots, I believe we have seen the last of the butterfly ballots.

So in that sense, the first is, am I correct in saying look, this is not a unique to Florida question and my second is simply a remark that I think that the serious problems you pointed out are going to be addressed -- or that is my understanding.

WITNESS DICKSON: It is true that it is not unique to Florida, though it is also true that we received on election day many complaints.

But it is also important to emphasize that many of the systems that have been touted as better than punch card are still in accessible for the blind and people with hand, arm, motor coordination problems, which is something more than nine million Americans. The State of Texas, interestingly enough, has legislation signed by President select -- I mean

	217
1	elect Bush
2	(Laughter.)
3	WITNESS DICKSON: that went into effect
4	in September, that requires any new purchase of a
5	voting system be allow for an independent and
6	secret ballot. A computer touch screen does not do
7	that. There's a need for computer systems to offer
8	both large print, voice output and for persons who can
9	get their wheelchair up close but maybe can't reach
10	up, to have a push button device, so they can cast an
11	independent ballot.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Commissioner
13	Meeks, do you have a question at this time? I'll come
14	back to you.
15	Yes, Commissioner Lee.
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Madam Chair,
17	I have a couple of questions. The first one is to Dr.
18	Paulson.
19	You mentioned the issue of purging.
20	Earlier we heard testimony about Florida contracting
21	out that job to private companies. Have you heard of
22	similar situations with other states where they hire
23	private contractors to do this kind of work?

I really don't know with respect to the issue of

WITNESS PAULSON:

24

25

That's a good question.

cleansing the voting lists. I mean obviously there are many state governments that have engaged in all sorts of contracting for private services because they believe the private sector can do it more efficiently or economically than can the public sector. I think perhaps that's one of the recommendations the state commission may want to look at. I think it is a state responsible and state function. The supervisor of elections and the Secretary of State in Florida have the responsibility for making sure that the voter rolls are accurate and I think inherently it should be their responsibility to make sure that those records are accurate. And I think this episode that we've had in the past year with contracting out indicates some of the problems.

And once again for citizens, I think it also indicates the lack of recourse that they may have had. Government, one can reasonably argue, has an obligation to make sure that the voter rolls are accurate, but at the same time, government has an obligation to make sure that any citizens whose name are challenged and particularly purged are informed about that and have the right to challenge that and correct the record if it proves to be inaccurate prior to the election taking place, so that we don't have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the problem that occurred on election day in Florida 1 with people coming in and finding out their names have 2 been eliminated from the list. 3 COMMISSIONER LEE: Let me ask one more 4 question. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Surely. 6 COMMISSIONER LEE: This is for Mr. Chin, 7 regarding 203 covered counties. 8 For those individuals who have gone 9 10 your organization to file complaints regarding the problems they had in voting, do you know what kind of 11 12 language assistance these covered counties have provided to the eligible voters pre-election and also 13 on election day? 14 Well, 15 WITNESS CHIN: this is complex question, and I have in my submission Exhibit 2, which 16 is a letter that -- well, let me answer it this way. 17 18 Generally speaking, there should be a dialogue between the language minority 19 community and its 20 representatives and the county and I don't believe that has happened or is maybe going to happen. 21 22 The issue of what type of tools, what type 23 of -- are there bilingual signs posted? These are all at the poll sites, but we're also talking about the 24

fact that in many of the precincts, there were

English -- there were no Spanish-speaking election workers at all, and therefore, many people ended up getting put on secondary lines waiting for hours and also we understand that -- for example in the Exhibit 2 that I have, a letter was issued and I think this is part of the purging problem, it seemed to suggest, and confused our complainant, the text of this letter was sent out one week before election day, suggesting that there were voter discrepancies -- discrepancies in the voter records or that there needed to be an update.

Now all of that text was 90 percent in English, only one sentence says "If you need help" in Spanish.

But this basically suggested to the voter that she was not properly registered. In fact she was, because in the end, she spoke to someone more experienced -and here is another thing, we're talking about people who are not experienced, who are very intimidated by the new process and another person would have said, oh, I got this letter from the Board of Elections saying there's something wrong with my voter records, I guess I can't vote.

. Well, she in the end did go vote and she did find that there was nothing wrong. The question is why should a letter of this sort -- and how many letters emanating from the Board of Elections on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

eve practically of elections, questioning voter record 1 accuracy went out in Orange County. That's in Exhibit 2 3 2, I hope you have an opportunity to look at that. COMMISSIONER LEE: Please educate me, is 4 requirement that you have to provide 5 it 203 6 translators on the day of election at those polling 7 sites? WITNESS CHIN: The regulations don't speak 8 9 specifically about actually having how many and -- but in terms of Ms. Thernstrom's previous question about 10 Section 2 litigation and 203 litigation, the Justice 11 Department has in fact filed cases on 12 these very failure counties' 13 issues of on part to provide bilingual aid and assistance. 14 And oftentimes what 15 happens is there is a consent decree that issues and 16 therefore, in your legal research, you may not find any legal decisions. 17 18 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: No, I was aware of the 203, I was focusing solely on the Section 2 19 20 question. 21 WITNESS CHIN: But I think the issue here 22 is you're looking at a number of factors -- how many people in a given precinct tend to be not English-23 24 speaking does not obligate the county to have 25 available at its precincts bilingual signs,

individuals who can speak to individuals who cannot who need to speak to a Spanish-speaking read, individual or other language minority. These are just samples of the possible ways in which a county can meet their obligations under Section 203, including all the training that must go into the poll workers and also what is it that a person who wants to vote needs to know in terms of casting their vote. There's a huge spectrum of possibilities that the county must obligated to and sensitive to and make accommodations for.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: The testimony from Dr. Paulson indicated that in his studies, the technology discrepancies was more on the basis of those jurisdictions that were rich and those that were poor, and my question is the following -- this relates both to Dr. Paulson's testimony and Dr. Lichtman's. What if the evidence also shows that those poor also jurisdictions are predominantly minority therefore also has an effect on minorities. Is that the type of disparity that would bring into effect Section 2? So often you hear folks say well that's not a matter of race discrimination, that's a matter of economic discrimination. I'm interested in your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	views on the relationship.
2	WITNESS PAULSON: Well, I think you've got
3	some of both parts, to answer that question. I mean,
4	you do have one of Florida's 67 counties that still
5	uses paper ballots and it's probably one of the
6	poorest counties in the state of Florida. And even
7	though they only had some 4000 ballots, it took them
8	forever to count that, as you might imagine, a system
9	that's extraordinarily prone to error.
LO	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, is it
L1	statistically prone to error? I've talked to experts
L2	who say the most accurate ones are, what do they call
L3	it, low tech
L <b>4</b>	WITNESS PAULSON: Well, it would seem easy
L5	
L6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: and high
L7	tech, and it's the middle tech that is bothersome.
L8	WITNESS PAULSON: Well, it seemed to be
L9	prone to error this year, let's put it that way.
20	(Laughter.)
21	WITNESS PAULSON: But in the case of the
22	electoral systems used or the voting systems used,
23	oftentimes it is an issue of wealth or class
24	distinctions. You know, Leon County, where we are
25	today, uses an optical scanning system, which had very

low rates of errors and double counting, this sort of Where I'm from, Pinellas County, Hillsborough thing. County area, these are two urban, rather affluent compared with Florida's other counties. They use a punch card system. They've used that system for 30 years. Why do they use it? It's not because they're poor, it's because there's almost a process of political inertia that takes place. systems were adopted 30 years ago when the system was new technology and it was cheap, and once again, with the supervisors of elections having to pay for new systems, it's very expensive for them to do that. They don't have the budget to do that. So they're politically constrained in terms of what they can do.

But I will say this, for example, Pinellas County, Hillsborough County, Pasco County, six months before this past election, all knew that their systems were antiquated and needed to be updated, and they let's go together, let's pool our resources, those three counties, and let's get more technologically sophisticated system, and that's what they were planning to do after this welection. Obviously I'm sure they wish they had done it before the election. But they are faced with real political constraints.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

**4** 5

6

8

9

7

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS LICHTMAN: Let me respond to your question in terms of whether there was a violation of the Voting Rights Act.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, sir, that's my interest.

witness Lichtman: Yes. And I made a very careful distinction, you'll recall, in my testimony between cause and effect, that under the Voting Rights Act, it's not the cause that's critical, it is the effect.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.

WITNESS LICHTMAN: And whether it is indeed caused by class, if the effect of the system, because class and race are correlated, is to give minorities less of an opportunity than whites, average, -- obviously there are going to be exceptions all over the place -- but on average, statistically, if minorities have less of an opportunity than whites to have their votes counted, in my view, that violates the effects test of the Voting Rights Act. anyone ever intended that outcome or not, whether it is because of a class correlation or not, it doesn't matter, you are still violating the Voting Rights Act and there obviously is a remedy here. Ιf it's a problem of money, then money can be provided.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And with respect to 203, I assume that's the same rule that would apply there, Chin.  ${\tt Mr.}$ It doesn't matter there intentionally was a service provided, if it wasn't provided, if the discussions didn't take place, as you indicate. And I take it the law applies both to those counties that are covered and those that are not covered.

WITNESS CHIN: Absolutely. Section 2 applies to all counties.

WICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So it's just a matter of whether there have been sufficient objections that an action is brought by Justice, but the law really covers everybody, and again, whether it's by omission simply because of resources or because of inattention, if those services are not provided, I take it there's a violation of the voting rights statute.

WITNESS CHIN: And even a choice as to how you allocate your resources is an election practice and is a policy, all under the scrutiny of the Voting Rights Act and I agree with the Professor, his analysis is apt. We are not looking for intention of discrimination. The effect if someone is to be turned away or unable to vote when they sought to and are

eligible, that is in itself a violation de facto and 1 2 de jure. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right. And I 3 take it that applies also to the disabled, whether 4 it's sight or whether it's movement or whatever, if 5 there's been sufficient, I'd say, inattention, that 6 7 those matters have not been cared for, that too would be a violation. 8 9 WITNESS CHIN: Those would probably the disability rights federal 10 legislation, Americans with Disabilities Act. 11 12 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, yes. WITNESS CHIN: Section 504 --13 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 14 VICE Not covered 15 specifically by the Voter Rights Act, but the ADA 16 requires similarity of services, so if that hasn't 17 happened -- is that your interpretation, Mr. Dickson? 18 WITNESS DICKSON: Yes. Though I would also point out that the incidence of disability for 19 African-Americans is double the incidence for white. 20 And the incidence of disabilities for Hispanics is 50 21 percent higher than for whites, 22 and that's all 23 disabilities, severity, minor, it's all across 24 So if you're in an African-American community, 25 16 percent of the African-Americans in that community

are going to have a disability. 1 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. 5 Commissioner Edley. 6 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Madam Chair, first 7 I'd just like to take a moment and clarify the mind of 8 my fellow Commissioners but especially I think the 9 audience that we have at least two parallel 10 discussions going on here. One is an inquiry into 11 whether there may have been violations of particular 12 statutes that seek to guarantee voting rights. 13 A second is a somewhat broader inquiry 14 under this Commission's statutory authority, into whether or not any individuals or groups have been 15 16 denied a right to vote, whether or not that denial was 17 -- flowed from discrimination. So I just want to make 18 sure that everybody understands that as the Chair 19 pointed out at the beginning this morning, that the scope of our inquiry here is rather broad and reaches 20 21 beyond violations of anti-discrimination statutes, 22 looking to the effectuation of people's right 23 participate in the democratic process. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you for that. 25 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Now I think that it

is -- I was troubled and perhaps -- or at least confused, Professor Paulson, by some of your testimony, because certainly I believe that Professor Lichtman's statement of the law with respect to the effects test was correct.

And therefore, when you suggested that one cannot make a serious argument about the possibility of discrimination, because of the turnout, the participation rates of African-Americans in this past election and because of the numbers of black elected officials in Florida, that struck me as just flatly wrong, an incorrect inference, given the possibility of finding discrimination using an effects test.

So could you clarify for me what you meant?

WITNESS PAULSON: What I'm trying to point to obviously is that in an election system like this that we went through, there are a lot of unanticipated events that occurred, and one of those was we talked - one of the earlier panels this morning talked about the turnout rate in Florida, which was not much more than the average turnout in Florida. The exception was the extraordinarily high turnout in the African-American community that I mentioned previously, up 65 percent from 1996.

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

**1**5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Oftentimes, election officials who have to deal with the election process deal also with patterns behavior that occur in prior elections. An election official who is going back and looking at what happened in 1996 or what happened in 1992, based on traditional voting patterns, is likely to make some plans or expectations based on those figures. you also combine that with the fact that not only did you have a 65 percent increase in African-American participation, but 40 percent of the African-American voters who turned out were first time voters, first time voters whether whites, blacks, whatever.

There is a greater obligation, you might say, on the part of government officials to make sure that first time voters are informed about the processes, what to expect, so that when they go down to vote on election day, they can cast an effective vote.

So Ι agree fully with what Professor Lichtman is talking about in terms of this separation between cause and effect, I'm simply saying that on a practical kind of basis, there are a lot of political considerations this election cycle, the extraordinarily high turnout in African-American precincts in particular, that I think caught a lot of

these election officials off guard, they weren't prepared to deal with that.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I understand. But I think it is important for us to keep to between principles of distinguish public administration, which is what you seem to be talking about, versus questions of law. And there's nothing in the Voting Rights. Act that says you may have suffered discrimination, but oops, it doesn't count if it flowed merely from inadvertence or if it flowed from officials having been surprised. That's a Ι think issue and it's important to distinguish them.

Finally, let me ask I suppose Mr. Chin, Commissioner Thernstrom suggested that it would be surprising for the Justice Department, or we should note that the Justice Department has yet to file suit here. And I guess that is not at all my experience of the way Justice Department investigations into these matters proceed, particularly if we're talking about an investigation of something far more complicated than a simple legislative act, but rather something that involves investigations on many possible theories in dozens of counties.

So my understanding is that there are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Justice Department investigators present. So could you comment on your sense of whether or not -- let me say I'm very disappointed that the Justice Department has not moved more quickly, but I do understand why they haven't.

WITNESS CHIN: And I believe -- I think Professor Lichtman is correct that there bureaucratic cultures and they are plodding, but they I believe the Justice Department is are meticulous. investigating, we have been in communication them. Ι understand it, they only have As attorneys appointed to Florida on a normal basis :-they may have more. They tell me that they are investigating and I take them at their word.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Why haven't you filed a suit yet?

WITNESS CHIN: We, as I mentioned earlier remarks, in still my are in preliminary investigatory phase. We have contacted 50 people more We've been able to get detailed declarations from a number of those and this has about 26 examples. It is a very difficult process. If you are trying to prepare for litigation and you're not interested in grandstanding, you need to put in the time, you need to sit down and speak with the individuals, you need

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to also get some information from the counties. We have submitted document requests under the Florida Public Records Act, and these are records which have not yet been produced, but I believe that the counties have been quite busy with other requests. So we look forward to receiving that information and doing the analysis.

post-election, These issues, have been ignored from election to election, and this is the very first election I think in many, many years in the country, where we begin to sort out the records and looking at the purged records and looking at what protocols or lack of procedures or election practices exist at the various counties. And again, we are focusing on the three counties in central Florida where we find a significant Latino presence. And just to correct the record, I'm here to present to you information about those who speak no English who were turned away or had difficulties at the polls, but also Puerto Ricans and other Hispanics who did English and still had the same problems getting into the polls, being told they were not on the list, probably being purged for no reason. And who had incredible barriers.

Now again, people who are used to voting

and know what their rights are, they're going to 1 insist, they're going to get their way and in some of 2 our cases, they managed to get in and voted. 3 But a number of people who knew their rights still could not 4 get election officials to give them the affidavit 5 And we find that very 6 ballot as an alternative. disturbing. 7 And how many more people throughout these 8 counties in the precincts where Latinos and African-9 10 Americans have a high percentage of voters were turned away in that very manner. 11 WITNESS LICHTMAN: Madam Chairman, may I 12 13 add something to this? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 14 Yes, please do. 15 WITNESS LICHTMAN: I've served as an 16 expert witness for the Justice Department in numerous 17 Section 2 cases, Commissioner Edley, and you make the 18 excellent point and Commissioner Thernstrom made the 19 excellent point, that you're in relatively 20 uncharted waters here. There is not well-established case law with respect to the issues that we're talking 21 22 There may not even be a single case: 23 My experience with the Justice Department is because they do represent the United States and all 24

of its people is that they do take their time and

25

before they bring litigation, before they actually 1 with case, they do very out a 2 come investigations and they really want to have the case 3 So you may be disappointed in the fact they 4 haven't moved more quickly. That doesn't mean that 5 the mills of justice aren't grinding and that there 6 might not be litigation brought in this matter. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the wheels of 8 justice may grind very much indeed. 9 I have one question myself and then 10 we I'm alerting everyone that there will 11 have to break. be a break right after my question and then we will 12 reconvene at 3:15 as we are scheduled to. 13 My question is whether Mr. Chin, 14 PRLDEF is a national organization and you keep track 15 of matters and get complaints from Puerto Ricans and 16 other Latinos nationally, did you get a similar number 17 election day 18 complaints on from Latinos Hispanics all across the country that they were in 19 fact being denied assistance, asked for two or three 20 IDs, all of the matters that you have included in your 21 22 testimony that you submitted to us? 23 WITNESS CHIN: As I stated earlier in my testimony, these problems are universal and endemic 24

throughout the various jurisdictions. We did receive

1 complaints from voters in New York City alone. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 4 WITNESS CHIN: Where people were 5 complaining about problems of accessing the polls, 6 being turned away, not found on the lists, machines 7 breaking down, waiting for hours, being turned away at 8 closing time, which also occurred here. 9 So, given the resources that we do have, 10 we believe that these problems exist throughout the 11 United States. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And what number to 12 date, comparatively, of complaints did you get about 13 14 Florida and what is the comparative Puerto Rican 15 population of New York City and Florida, to your 16 knowledge? Are they similar? I believe that New York 17 WITNESS CHIN: City is the largest Puerto Rican population outside of 18 19 the island of Puerto Rico and Florida and the central 20 Florida area, that would be the second 21 population of Puerto Ricans. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- Okay. - That-tells me -23 - now Mr. Dickson, being a national organization too, did you on election day receive a similar number of 24 25 complaints from people with disabilities across the

1	country about the various matters that you talked
2	about, given populations and where they are, as you
3	got in the state of Florida?
4	MR. DICKSON: Our operations are highly
5	decentralized, so we did not receive a lot of calls in
6	Washington. In Washington, D.C., we received five
7	calls, two from Florida, one from Virginia and I think
8	the other two were I forget the state.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And in your
10	decentralized operation, are you aware of anyone
11	reporting in other states that they received
12	complaints?
13	WITNESS DICKSON: My sense is we had a
14	disproportionate slightly more complaints from
15	Florida than we had from any other state.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right, with
17	that, I have no further questions and we are going to
18	take a break here a recess for 15 minutes and we'll
19	come right back with the next panel. Thank you very
20	much and there will be some sign-out procedures that
21	the lawyers will engage the witnesses with. Thank you
22	very much.
23	(Witnesses excused.)
24	(A short recess was taken.)
25	Expert Panel on Voting Technology

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will call the next
2	panel, Mr. Kimball Brace, Mr. Douglas Jones and Mr.
3	John Ahmann.
4	While you're getting ready, I need to
5	swear you in. Can we do that or do you need to do
6	whatever it is you're doing?
7	VOICE: No, that's fine.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, you have to stand
9	up.
10	VOICE: All right.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you raise your
12	right hands?
13	Whereupon,
14	KIMBALL W. BRACE
15	DOUGLAS W. JONES
16	JOHN AHMANN
17	DAN GLOGER
18	appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first
19	duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Please be seated.
21	Okay, counsel.
22	ACTINGGENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: While
23	we're waiting, Mr. Brace, for you to complete your
24	preparations, I'll ask each witness to identify
· 25	themselves for the record, beginning with you, Mr.

eren e

	Brace.
2	WITNESS BRACE: Yes, I'm Kimball Brace, B-
3	r-a-c-e, with Election Data Services.
4	WITNESS JONES: Douglas W. Jones, I'm an
5	Associate Professor of Computer Science at the
6	University of Iowa and Chair of the Iowa Board of
7	Examiners for Voting Machines and Electronic Voting
8	Systems.
9	WITNESS AHMANN: I am John Ahmann, my wife
10	and I have a little company we call Election Supplies
11	out of Napa, California and, for the record, contrary
12	to the notations here, I am not the inventor of the
13	Vote-O-Matic punch card machine.
14	(Laughter.)
15	WITNESS AHMANN: That belongs to Professor
16	Harris, who is no longer with us. Professor Harris,
17	yes.
18	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And you
19	are accompanied by?
20	WITNESS GLOGER: Yes, sir, I'm Dan Gloger,
21	I am with John Ahmann and I am with Melbourne
22	Technical Services out of Melbourne, Florida.
23	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And are we
24	now prepared to proceed?
25	WITNESS BRACE: Yes, I believe so.
i	

. ...

1	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And just
2	so the Commissioners know, Mr. Brace is going to give
3	an opening statement accompanied with the overview
4	projection slides and we will ask questions of him and
5	then he will shut down his system so that we can then
6	allow Mr. Ahmann to get the power he needs for his
7	demonstration. So we will begin first with Mr. Brace,
8	ask questions of him, shut his system down and then
9	pull up Mr. Ahmann's system.
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And is it
11	possible to do anything about the lights so that we
12	could have better visibility there?
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Probably not.
14	Unfortunately not.
15	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr. Brace,
16	will you proceed, please? Even as there are attempts
17	made to darken this room.
18	WITNESS BRACE: Darken the room or
19	whatever, fine.
20	Thank you very much, Madam Chairman.
21	I am here to talk about what we may have
22	learned from Florida. As I said, I m Kim Brace with
23	Election Data Services. We're not the guy with the
24	funny ears, that's the other EDS.
25	(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

8

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS BRACE: EDS is a 22-year old bipartisan consulting firm that specializes in reapportionment, the census, election administration and redistricting all around the country.

For election administration, we do an lot of information, we compile what type voting system is used in every single jurisdiction in the country, we study different types of systems in use, we collect election returns for the entire nation, we study voter registration systems and also provide a GIS application, a geographic information system application, to help jurisdictions county governments change precinct boundaries around the country.

What I'm here to talk to you today about is really what I'm talking about, the 10 lessons we learned from Florida. First of all, we're all I have here a map that we produced. different. We compiled what type of voting system is used in every single county in the country. We have been doing this since 1980 and as you can see, at the county level is where voting equipment is purchased, and there's a wide variety of different types of voting equipment. The most notorious following Florida, is of course the punch card and that's in red, the deeper red. But of

course we still have a number of different jurisdictions using the old lever machines from -- started in 1892. A lot still with paper ballots across the midwest part of the country. The newer ones being the optical scan and the electronic systems in purple, optical scan in green.

What we have done is taken a look at the different types of systems and how they compare. keep track of not only what kind of -- how many counties use the system, but how many registered voters there are using the system, and you'll differences here. For example, for this first one, which is the data vote, it's used in 1.8 percent of the counties, but 3.3 percent of the registered voters, because it's slightly larger areas that are using it.

In terms of the lever machines, it's 15 percent of the counties, 18 percent of the registered voters.

As far as paper ballots, it's 13 percent of the counties in this country that are using paper ballots, but it!s less than two percent of the registered voters, because it's all those small jurisdictions that are still making use of paper ballots.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The biggest one is of course this new optical scan, 38 percent of the counties in the country make use of optical scan, but they are the smaller jurisdictions, so it's only 27 percent of the registered voters, as a result.

As far as the electronic systems, eight

As far as the electronic systems, eight percent of the counties, nine percent of the registered voters.

And then finally, the mixed systems, which tends to be up in New England, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, four percent of the counties, but they're larger counties, nine percent of the registered voters.

So we can see that there's a wide diversity around the country in terms of the types of voting systems that are in use.

The next lesson that we've learned is that things do change over time. In looking at registered voters and the type of voting equipment over time, we see that in fact for the mixed systems, it's gone down since 1980, it's still hovering about 10 percent. As far as the electronic systems, that's really come up in this marketplace, particularly since 1990, from about 4.5 percent up to about 10 percent by the year 2000. The biggest change, and I'm not sure if you can

see this, is actually the optical scan. 1 It was a small element, but since 1990, it's gone from eight 2 percent up to almost 30 percent of the registered 3 It's again the smaller jurisdictions that 4 have gone from paper ballot to the optical scan. 5 in fact, because of that, we see the decrease in paper 6 .7 ballot from 10 percent down to less than two percent, as I said before. 8 As far as the other big change, it's the 9 10 machines. lever Twenty years ago, more 11 percent of the population of the registered voters 12 used the old lever machines. That's now under 20 13 percent, so a big decrease over time. 14 The data vote punch card has 15 relatively similar and then the punch card itself is 16 hovering about 30 percent over the past two decades. 17 Unfortunately inlooking at this information, the other lesson that we've learned is 18 19 that we don't have all the numbers in order to help 20 evaluate the problem. For example, I would ask you 21 how many -- what was the total number of people that 22 voted in the .last presidential election? Anybody 23 know? This is a truck question, I must say. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ask the panel. 25

(Laughter.)

And those are in

1

3

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I thought there were

2 | nine.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

25

WITNESS BRACE: Nine? Well, we don't know and we'll never know, because there are 11 states of this country that don't tell us how many people went

white there. And so we don't know the total number  $\circ$ f

to the polls, that simple number.

people that showed up on election day until those 11

states change their practice and provide that kind of

data.

Now why is that important? Why is the number of people that went to the important? Because it allows us to calculate the one number that we can find out, and that is what we call Okay? And drop off is -- let me give you a drop off. definition so we can talk the same language here in Drop off is equal to the difference this regard. between the number of persons who went to the polls and the total number of ballots that were cast for that particular office. You, of course, can have drop off for a lot of offices as we go down the ballot -dog catcher is way down there obviously. But drop off Drop off is is one key thing that we can find out. not under-votes, drop off is actually the combination of under-votes and over-votes, okay, or spolled ballots.

That is one of the keys in terms of understanding the data that we have. We can calculate the drop off across the country. That is the one number that we can calculate for at least those states that report total number of people that went to the polls.

And you can see in 1996, it varied across the country. Florida was 2.58 percent drop off for the vote for highest office, which in 1996 was the office of president -- 2.58 percent of the people did not have either a ballot being cast or a vote being recorded in the state of Florida in 1996. Nationwide average was 2.04 percent, but you can see there's some wide differences across the country.

Now we do know, because we've compiled data and do that task for past times also, that not everyone, as I said, does vote for president. And in fact, since 1948, we know that for the presidential elections, which is in pink, it does average about two percent of the people that don't vote for president or don't have a vote recorded for presidential office. In off-year elections, it goes up somewhat.

Now what we have been studying because we do compile the type of voting equipment across the

country and we do compile the election returns, we can start looking now at whether or not voting equipment makes a difference. And we do find that it does make a difference. The drop off across the country in 1996 varied by the type of voting equipment. Punch card, both the straight punch card like what we had and the data vote punch card was the highest of the different voting systems in use in the country. Lever machines was actually down below, a lot of votes were being cast on lever machines. But you can see the impact of punch cards over here on the left-hand side, with both of those types of punch cards.

Now the other thing that we know is that within Florida, drop off varies greatly. And in fact, when we look at drop off at the county level across the state of Florida, we see a lot of difference. And this was from 1996. We, of course, don't have all the for 2000, but you can see a dramatic numbers yet difference. I said, the statewide average was As 2.58, that's in blue. Anything in reddish color is above the state average. And in fact, Dixon County had the highest drop off in the state of Florida, 19 percent -- Dixie, I'm sorry -- 19 percent drop off. As I understand it, Dixie had a new voting system in 1996 and did not do a lot of training on that new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

voting system, as I understand it.

But in terms of then looking in terms of the year 2000, we find so far in the data that we've been able to collect, a higher degree of drop off, particularly in the state of Florida across the board, the average went up to 3.79 percent of the people that went to polls did not have a vote being counted or cast for president -- this is president here, this is the top of the ticket. Okay? And we see again the lower ones in blue, but the higher ones in red. Similar pattern, panhandle area, lower income, lower population, SES scale, up in this neck of the woods up here.

Indeed, what we've ended up finding then is that some of the most important data is not being compiled, unfortunately and that important data is the difference in drop off. We focus on drop off because that data is available, as I said. What would be nice is to have under-votes and over-votes. But in many instances around this country, many of the vendors have discouraged the use of the reports to create those, unfortunately. And so we don't know nationwide how many under-votes there were and how many over-votes there were. All we do know is drop off. Okay? The combination of the two.

1

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when we do have access sometimes to

some of those reports, what we tend to find is that a

vast majority of that drop off is under-vote, okay?

Upwards of 85 to 90 percent of the drop off is an

under-vote. That is to say that there was no ballot

being cast or picked up by the machines. May be

choice of the voters in fact, but it was not an over-

vote in most instances.

But the number one less on that we've learned from Florida is that Florida is not the norm. Part of the reason it's not the norm is because in the year 2000, Florida had one of the highest number of presidential candidates on the ballot. There were four other states that had 10 presidential candidates on the ballot, no other state had more than 10. So Florida was faced with a task of having a people on the ballot. And what we've seen then is within the state, is that -- here we go -- the overvote share, we've been able to get some data. The share of the drop off being cast by an over-vote -not an under-vote -- is extremely high in this state. It is just the opposite of what we normally observe. It's not the five percent or less, but in fact, as you can see, sometimes it's 75 percent of the drop In Palm Beach, 64 percent of the drop off was an off.

1 over-vote circumstance. In Duval County, 81 percent of the drop off was an over-vote, an invalid ballot 2 3 being cast. Okay? So one of the things that we've also taken 4 5 a look at is particularly Duval County. And there, I have a graph here that shows the concentration of 6 7 black population by precinct. And when we overlay 8 where the drop off is, we end up seeing a very similar pattern, that in fact, the drop off when you look at 9 10 by precinct does follow very closely to 11 minority population. In fact, we're seeing a very high correlation between those two. 12 13 And that's what I wanted to bring to you So thank you very much and I'll be happy to 14 15 take questions and turn over the electrical information to my friend John Ahmann. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Before you do that, 18 could you put the last screen back up and repeat, what 19 you said about it? 20 WITNESS BRACE: Sure. Okay. What we found in Duval County, if we look at where the drop 21 22 off concentration. is, where's - the highest 23 concentration, it follows very closely to where the minority concentration is or the black population is. 24 25 In fact, that drop off is very closely aligned with

1	minority population.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, now could
3	you leave that up please?
4	WITNESS BRACE: Sure.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're going to take
6	questions for you before you turn that off.
7	WITNESS BRACE: Okay.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does any Commissioner
9	have any question?
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes, I do have a
11	question.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
13	Thernstrom.
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Mr. Brace, what
15	factors, other than machines, might account for high
16	or low drop off rate and you do point to a correlation
17	in Duval County, but of course that is not a statement
18	about causation.
19	WITNESS BRACE: That's correct.
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But obviously is
21	somebody's state of mind. But the first, I'm
22	interested in what factors other than machines might
23	account for the high or low.
24	WITNESS BRACE: There are a number of
25	different factors and in fact, one of the things that

we're investigating right now is we're taking a look at the various ballots that were in use on election day. I have, courtesy of my last testimony here in Tallahassee, the actual Palm Beach ballot and I also have the Miami ballot, but I brought along a couple of other kinds of ballots, particularly the optical scan ones.

One of the things that we were in fact seeing in a number of optical scan jurisdictions was a very similar circumstance like what was happening in Palm Beach and Duval County. This is an optical scan ballot. A voter comes along and uses a number two pencil and fills in the, in this instance, the little circle next to the candidate's name.

In Florida, in this county, with 10 candidates that theÿ had for president, that presidential ballot starts here in this column and two additional presidential candidates of those 10 are in the middle column. That middle column, nowhere does it say this is a continuation of the vote for president. Nowhere on the bottom of the first column does it say go to the next column. And nowhere does it say vote for one. All three of those languages are ones that you would expect to find on types of ballots, and I found that again also in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Beach ballot that neither of those three Palm 1 instances were there. 2 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But I had in 3 mind factors like levels of education, socio-economic 4 5 status and so forth, which are -- and it's hard to disaggregate those, from --6 WITNESS BRACE: You're right. 7 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: of 8 use particular ballots and so forth. 9 10 WITNESS BRACE: Right. We are taking a look at those other socio-economic indicators. 11 course, are anxiously awaiting the new census data, 12 13 which should be here momentarily, because it is, even the slide that I had on the percent minority, was 10 14 But we are planning on pulling together a 15 years old. 16 lot of that data to kind of see what other correlations there might be. 17 One of the other factors that we've seen 18 in studying across the country is that there is some 19 degree of correlation with drop off with what I would 20 21 refer to as smaller size jurisdictions. It seems to 22 me -- and we're testing this out. I don't know it for 23 a fact yet -- but it seems to me that in smaller size jurisdictions where they don't have the kind of money 24

to provide training and that kind of resources to both

1	polling place workers as well as to the voters, we're
2	finding a larger degree of drop off. I can only
3	imagine right now that it might be related to the lack
4	of funds as opposed to anything else. But we are
5	taking a look at those kind of things also.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does anyone else have
7	any
8	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I have a few
9	questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Wilson.
11	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And should we have him
13	turn off this machine, so this one can be fired up now
14	or how long will it take
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, let me just
16	ask
17	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Well, we
18	wanted to see if they had questions about the screen.
19	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, that's all
20	right actually, you can turn it off.
21	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Well,
22	unless other Commissioners
23	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I just wanted a
24	point of clarification. When you say drop off, is
25	that number the number that's arrived at by looking at

the previous election or by looking at the population, the present population today and how many people vote

WITNESS **BRACE:** No, neither of those, drop off is taken from Commissioner. That number official election records where they're available. Ιt is the difference between the number of people that went to the polls, so that's turnout, okay, and as I said have actual turnout in 39 we states. The difference between that number and the total number of ballots that for a were cast particular office, usually the highest office, and in this instance for So drop off is the difference president. in the people that went to the polls, but didn't vote for the various offices as they went down the ballot. And as I indicated, drop off will increase as you get further down the ballot. But one of the surprising things is that everybody thinks that with presidential election, everybody is going to vote for president. We know that's not the case, two percent of the population doesn't cast a ballot or has spoiled in a presidential election.

COMMISSIONER WILSON: Okay, I have two other questions.

WITNESS BRACE: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER WILSON: You mentioned that
the vendors did not give you reports of the number of
voters. Could you explain why?

WITNESS BRACE: What I have observed -I've been observing elections for 30 years now. I

I've been observing elections for 30 years now. I have been in a number of different jurisdictions around the country, many times on election night, and I have heard first-hand some vendors telling county clerks, there is an over- and under-vote report on your system, but it's very confusing, people don't understand it, don't worry about running it. And so in most instances, unfortunately, we don't have the distinction of what is causing that drop off. Now that is not uniform, there are some states that do provide that. But the vast majority of states and the vast majority of local jurisdictions, unfortunately, don't run that report.

COMMISSIONER WILSON: But if you had those figures, you would be able to come up with the total?

WITNESS BRACE: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER WILSON: All right, my last question is -- this may be connected to the one I just asked you, but how do you -- when we looked at the graph of the -- or the image of all of the states, like Texas and primarily a lot of the southern states,

. 8

who don't account for the number of those people who 1 voted, can you explain that? Because I find that 2 mysterious. 3 WITNESS BRACE: Well. 4 Ι have some 5 encouraging news. When we started compiling the data back -- and pulling it together -- I wasn't around in 6 7 1948, but we pulled together the 1948 data, we only 8 had 18 states that reported that. We are now up to We're not at 50 yet, but we are at 39. 9 39. In some instances, it is difficulty of securing vote history 10 and putting it onto the voter records. More and more 11 jurisdictions are in fact doing that, but sometimes it 12 13 takes time to do that. For example, the State of 14 Colorado did not have their actual turnout numbers last election until June of the next year. 15 that long to find out actually how many people went to 16 17 the polls on election day. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. Commissioner Edley. 19 20 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So the drop figure is computed, the turnout figure, 21 for these purposes is computed just in terms of who signed in? 22 23 WITNESS BRACE: Yes, that's correct. 24 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Let me ask you this in simple terms if you can just distill it, it seems 25

least intuitively I believe that these 1 in drop off, whether by geography variations 2 temporally, are a rough index of voter confusion, that 3 apart from a base line of lack of interest in casting 4 5 a ballot for a particular office, that the variations above that base line are perhaps an index of voter 6 7 Is that how it is commonly understood? WITNESS BRACE: Well, I mentioned. 8 as in many instances, 9 Commissioner, that drop off 10 mostly simply no vote being cast. Now that may be a choice by the voter not to cast a ballot for that 11 12 particular office. And as I indicated, in the vast 13 majority, it's an under-vote as opposed to an over-The confusion I believe would be more so if you 14 15 have much more over-vote. And in fact, that is what 16 we're finding here in the state of Florida, a lot of 17 over-votes, many, many more than one would normally 18 expect. 19 COMMISSIONER WILSON: I just have one more 20 question. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are you thinking, 22 Edley? You're a thinking man, so --23 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yeah, but I'm thinking but not to a conclusion we're going to get to 24 25 any time soon.

1	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, I'll be your
2	filler in while you think.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Vice Chair has
4	questions.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I just have
6	again a follow up question.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And remember, we've
8	not heard the other witnesses.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, ma'am.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So maybe I should ask
11	whether there are questions that are pertinent to the
12	material that's on the screen and requires it to
13	remain on the screen.
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yeah, I do have
15	a question pertinent to what's on the screen and it's
16	a very fast question as to what's been on the screen.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because then I'm going
18	to let the other witnesses testify and then we'll come
19	back and finish the questions.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Does
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a second. Do
22	your questions require the screen?
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Then would you mind
25	holding them?

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Not at all.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you be very
3	fast?
4	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I will be very
5	fast. It actually has to do with a previous screen,
6	that is the drop out rates in South Carolina were
7	higher than in Florida and California looked much the
8	same.
9	WITNESS BRACE: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I am still
11	bothered by my earlier question, which is the fact
12	that, for instance, there could be the strongest
13	correlation between drop off could be between drop
14	off and, for instance, education levels, because
15	you've got other states to explain as well as the fact
16	that you haven't sorted that data out.
17	WITNESS BRACE: It is possible that there
18	can be some other factors there and that's what we're
19	attempting to investigate, to see if there are other
20	factors.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, thank you very
22	much.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Thank you, Madam
24	Chairman, that's all I have for that.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could we unplug you
1	+

ادة بالآثام الأمراد الذ

and let Mr. Ahmann be plugged up. And should we have 1 him next or have --2 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: 3 Yes, Mr. Ahmann and then --4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then Professor 5 Jones. 6 7 WITNESS AHMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 8 I would like to apologize for the counties 9 10 and the voters in the United States for the spectacle which we all saw this last year, this last November, 11 but as the engineer who helped develop the four 12 13 computer elections systems, the equipment, I really can't take the full responsibility for setting up the 14 15 state law that ended up not being established in the state of Florida, which caused the problems we had 16 17 with recounting punch card voting ballots. That was 18 something that happened a long time ago. Had there been a proper law in place in the state of Florida, 19 20 which specified what was a legal vote on punch card 21 voting, rather than whatever the intent of the voter was that might be determined by 67 different boards 22 23 and three members on each board, I don't think we would be sitting here today, at least discussing the 24 25 voting equipment. We might be looking at optical scan

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

election.

But because of the fact that there was not that law in place, we did have a problem and everybody saw it and we had direct manual recount and there

to be addressed. That was, as I see it, the major

definitely is a loophole in the law and that law needs

instead of punch card, with the closeness of

problem that we had as far as counting and recounting

in this election.

The punch card voting system, was television explained on the other day by the Governor's Committee, is the most economical system, has been around for a long time and in most states it works quite well. Many of the states that use it have never had a close election like you had here, but some of those states have and those states that have had those close elections have rules in place to address the situation we have here. And I just today received a facsimile from the previous Secretary of State, Jim Schumway, of the State of Arizona and I have handouts here, a copy for each of you which you may have, which gives the rules and regulations for conducting elections in the State of Arizona, and how they handle chad and how they take the chad off in the precincts before they ever get to the central count. And I also

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have the cover letter, the first part of it, that Jim sent to us, was the 1992 votes for president -- no votes for president in '92 and in 2000 for Maricopa County. They were on punch card voting in 1992, they had 1.5 percent of the votes in Maricopa County, that was out of 890,000, and there was no votes for president.

They went to optical scan and that's with the precinct count and they had 914,000 votes cast for president -- or that voted, ballots cast in 2000, but there were 15,000 that had no votes for president, and 1.7 percent, slightly higher. But the fact is, you still had substantial amounts -substantially the same number of votes not cast for president in those two elections but on two different voting systems. One of them was precinct count, the other was central So there was basically no change. You could say the extra .2 percent was because of demographics -- not demographics but because of the fact that there was voter disinterest. They didn't want to vote for either one of them -- Mr. Gore or Mr. Bush -- being as they're that close. ~I'm not going to say that twotenths of a percent means that optical scan is worse than punch card. There are other things that we've had here in this state which point out there are other

factors to be considered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I wanted to give this to you along with the -- Dan, if you want to hand that to the panel up there, so they can have it. I wanted to give that to you so you could see what at least one state, how they have done it, and also Mr. Schumway was kind enough footnotes alongside put the to state specifications and regulations. On the left side, you'll find his explanation of why they have done what they have done in that state. So I thought this might be of value to you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will distribute it.

Thank you very much. And keep it for the record.

WITNESS AHMANN: We thought that inasmuch as one of the things I've looked at and I have a paper here also after testifying in Judge Saul Sanders' -- Sander Saul's court, I kind of got the writing fever, I had to start writing down some of the things that I saw that I didn't think were right and also the things that I thought might help, improvements.

explanations, observations that I've made as to what got us into the situation and then I also have another one about punch card voting, how the equipment worked

~~

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and my recommendations for improving the voting system here in Florida and also could be nationwide.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That will be made a part of the record.

WITNESS AHMANN: And last but not least, Saul's court after Judae Sander the trial. the attorney got out and made some wild accusations that I had made statements and I have a release that was put in the Napa Register in California which tells the truth of what I actually said, not what the attorney went out in front and told the media. So I have a copy of that for you as well for the record.

Also for the record, I'd like to say that Dade County, in the mid 1980s installed all new punch frames, per my patent, in their voting devices and that new patented punch frame -- it was an improved punch frame over the rest of them; however, the rest of the state basically has the Model 3 voting device which doesn't have a problem with chad build-up which was reported as being a problem. Also we have in there the report by a rubber company, an analytical company, which attests to the fact that the T-strips that are being used and are used in the state as well as nationwide are very sufficient and do a good job. They don't wear down -- I shouldn't say wear down --

they don't harden with age, which was one of the accusations that was made and attributed to being the cause of hanging chad or dimples. It's just not true.

That report is in there as well.

So I wanted to bring this information to and present it to you and if you have questions about the equipment, there's one other factor that I wanted to point out that we need to compare apples to apples and not apples to oranges when we're discussing punch card voting versus optical For the last year, this unit has been scan voting. certified in this state to be used. There were several counties that were interested in buying this unit but didn't have the funds and there wasn't the time to put it in place. This is the PBC-2100, it's a precinct counter, does the same thing as an optical scan precinct counter, made by the same company. only thing I regret to say is they didn't put it out 10 years ago. We originally developed the precinct counter, PBC, in 1976 for Cook County, instrumental in helping develop that. I was involved with the quality control as well as design of the reader and so forth. And now Cook County, City of has purchased \$30 million worth of these precinct ballot counters, the same as you see right

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

4

3

--

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here, and it's perfectly capable of doing for your punch card voting what the optical scan Eagle or the other type of Accu-Vote units do for the precinct count in much of Florida.

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And if you know, all the precincts in Cook County have or used those?

AHMANN: sir, they did. WITNESS Yes, There's one thing they didn't use, however. It has the capability of over-vote and under-vote protection, but Cook County and City of Chicago were afraid that they would be sued by the rest of the state \* And I understand the Republican Party made some overtones of They did not want them using it because objections. of the fact it would give them an unfair advantage over those counties or those precincts in Illinois capability. which did not have this Inverse discrimination, if you want to call it that. iealous, Ι presume. They didn't want were the people's votes in Cook County and the City of Chicago to have the over-vote protection. But it has that capability and it also has telemetry capability, it scrambles the signal at the end of the results can be transmitted to the election central without phones. It has its own type of transmitter

the same as your cell phone, but it scrambles so no 1 one can intercept it. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are you going to show us something with this machine or --4 WITNESS AHMANN: This is Dan Gloger. 5 Dan can also --6 7 WITNESS GLOGER: Can I add one thing to what you said, John? 8 9 WITNESS AHMANN: Sure. 10 WITNESS GLOGER: We've also found that 11 unit to be very valuable. Unfortunately, in Illinois, there's actually Illinois law that prohibits punch 12 13 card jurisdictions within the State of Illinois from 14 having the capability on that PBC of kicking out an 15 over-vote back to the voter. They're trying to get 16 that law changed, but it's right now in place within 17 Illinois law. The other fact that we did see here in the 18 State of Florida, as it relates to those PBCs is that 19 20 the drop off rate when you have a precinct-based counting system for optical scan was only .8 percent. 21 Okay? When you had a central count where you didn't 22 have one of those units but you took the ballots down 23 24 to the county courthouse, meaning at the end of the 25 election day, to be counted, the drop off rate in

1	those jurisdictions in the State of Florida was 4.8
2	percent dramatic difference, dramatic difference.
3	Those units are well worth the price. They're pricey,
4	but they're well worth the price and I think Florida
5	demonstrated the usefulness of that.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now let's not get
7	totally out of control here. Are we about to see
8	something here? Counsel, what is going on?
9	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Why that change in
11	the drop off rate if there's no over-vote protection?
12	I don't get it.
13	WITNESS BRACE: In Florida, there was that
14	over-vote protection. In the PBCs, in the use in
15	Florida, they did have that capability, so that when a
16	voter came in and voter an over-voted ballot, it
17	kicked it back out to them to say, you know, you've
18	got an invalid ballot here for an office, do you want
19	to cast one that is correct.
20	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I see.
21	WITNESS BRACE: And it did increase that
22	drop off, dramatically.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you want to pursue
24	that?
25	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I just want to

1	make sure we understand what you're saying.
2	WITNESS BRACE: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So the effect of
4	having the over-vote protection was essentially to
5	give four percent of the voters back their franchise.
6	WITNESS BRACE: That's correct. That's
7	right.
8	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: That's a big deal.
9	WITNESS BRACE: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And where did this
11	happen and where did it not happen?
12	WITNESS BRACE: There were a number of
13	jurisdictions here in the state of Florida that had
14	the precinct-based tallying system, but a number of
15	other jurisdictions in the state of Florida that did
16	not have that precinct-based tallying. And those are
17	where the differences are.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And that's where the
19	people of color that you were talking about earlier
20	didn't
21	. WITNESS BRACE: Not necessarily because of
22	that, as it relates to color or not color. Just a
23	straight observation that it in fact allowed everyone
24	a shot at not having an invalid ballot. And it did
25	prove worthwhile.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Who had it and who
2	didn't?
3	WITNESS BRACE: I don't have I do have
4	that data, but I don't have it right here with me.
5	But there were a number of different counties within
6	the state of Florida that did, and others that did
7	not.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We would need to have
9	that information.
10	WITNESS BRACE: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I just have one
12	quick question.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Did it also, for the
15	under-vote, kick it back out and say you didn't vote
16	for the following?
17	WITNESS BRACE: In most instances what we
18	found is that under-votes do not kick back out simply
19	because you do have that opportunity of not casting a
20	vote. That way I mean if it did kick out, they'd
21	be having all those dog catcher elections being kicked
22	out again.
23	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Okay, so it's
24	primarily for over-votes.
25	WITNESS BRACE: It's primarily for over-
İ	

1	vote, yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, proceed,
3	counsel.
4	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes. We
5	have a demonstration.
6	WITNESS GLOGER: Yes, sir. The machine
7	has been powered up and it's been cleared out to be
8	set for zero. I'm going to give you one of the
9	printouts if I may real quick.
10	WITNESS AHMANN: While he's going around,
11	I'll show you, this is the handicap voting booth that
12	is used for punch card voting, and we also have in
13	here the handicap stylus, patented, which has a cutout
14	on it and grips, allows the voter to grip. And we've
15	received a number of commendations on this from
16	paraplegics and people that have difficulty gripping
17	things, that this with the cutout on the side is quite
18	good. We did receive a United States patent on it and
19	some of the counties here have it, Los Angeles County
20	has it countywide, and other places too.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How many counties in
22	Florida have it?
23	WITNESS AHMANN: I don't recall, but it's
24	been about 10 years since we sold any here, because

all of the precincts got outfitted with one and they

don't come around buying them again because they usually keep it in the precinct supply cabinet for --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll find that out.

WITNESS GLOGER: Now this unit has been zeroed out, it has a digital readout here and as each ballot is inserted into it, it will print out -- it will state that one ballot has been counted and it says the polls are open, ready to read ballots. I've got the ballots that I've used up here for the printout I've just given y'all.

Now what it'll do, it says thank you for voting, ready to read next ballot. One ballot has been cast. This ballot has gone into a ballot box, this machine will be sitting on a ballot box.

When you get a write-in ballot, you'll still have the stub on it and they will write it in. There's the second ballot has been cast, the third. But it will separate the write-in ballots in the bin down below, so at the end of the day when they close the polls, the write-in ballots will be taken out and transferred to the precinct, to the central count system where they will be able to have the ballots already separated, which I don't believe the scanner ballots do, they don't separate them.

Now this would have been a write-in

1	ballot, it says write in ballot. It went forward to
2	me into another section of the bin. There was another
3	write-in ballot. This ballot was rejected, over-voted
4	ballot. This ballot had voted twice for president, so
5	it's kicked back out to the voter so they can get an
6	unspoiled ballot and revote. This one says no vote,
7	blank ballot. The guy chose not to vote the ballot at
8	all.
9	At the end of the day
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Excuse me. You
11	would tell the voter that, you would tell the voter
12	that this shows
13	WITNESS GLOGER: Yes, sir, it kicks it
14	back out to him, he gets his ballot back, you did not
15	vote. So he knows he did not vote.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And when he over-
17	voted, it comes out saying you voted for George
18	Washington and John Adams, right?
19	WITNESS GLOGER: Yes, ma'am, I sure did.
20	(Laughter.)
21	WITNESS GLOGER: I'm guilty.
22	It is a very good unit. I'm new on it,
23	I've been going through it, we've been testing it
24	because we're looking at should they use this in
<b>2</b> 5	Florida. We would probably maintain it free. It's a

1 445 F

very simple unit to service, there's four major units in it, you pull it apart, service. And the nice thing about it is if you come to the point where you need to do a recount, you can take the ballots, go back to your central location and run them on the existing system you've got with the software that this uses. So your recounts would be very speedy.

If you look at Seminole County on the optical scan, State of Florida had a mandatory recount this year. Seminole County took and processed approximately 130,000 ballots back through the optical scan machines. It took them 28 hours to recount 130,000 ballots. They were off by 670 votes on the recount.

Volusia County is on an optical scanner.

Deanie Lowe took it upon herself and they hand-counted every ballot rather than put them back through the scanners. Not until they hand-counted the ballots did they find out one of the precinct counters had powered down, powered back up and reset itself back to zero, 320 votes were lost.

Florida, we are never going to have true statistics to the accuracy of optical scan. Seminole and Volusia County together processed approximately 315,000

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ballots on scanners, they were off by 1054 votes when they did their recount, processing the ballots either manually or electronically on the scanners. Dade County

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

processed 600,000 over ballots, they were off by only 100 votes approximately when they did their recount on the machines.

Pasco recounted their County, they ballots, Mr. Kirk Browning recounted the punch card ballots in approximately two hours and I think 17 minutes for a recount.

are probably, I estimate, going through what I have seen pulling off the internet and calling a few supervisors in the state of Florida, I estimate that on optical scan on the Florida recount that was required, that there's probably 1.7 million that were never reprocessed, the ballots themselves, back through scanners. The optical scan system is designed -- and this feature has 'the same thing, it has a memory pack in it -- they are pulling the memory packs out, they are reading one precinct, some of the counties are, for a recount and then they will take the memory packs and they will plug into a PC and read the memory packs from the day of the election and the ballots are not reprocessed.

I have a news article here from my home

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

county, Brevard County, and they admit -- the Democratic Party finally went and approached him -- and he has said I had some precinct counters that went down on election day; yet, on TV I saw and they know he processed one precinct and he did not process other precincts through the ballots themselves. So we will never know the true statistics as to the accuracy of optical scan.

Now on the punch cards, we do service them throughout the state of Florida, every punch card system in Florida. Our company goes in there, machine central check every count, we put an oscilloscope on it that's been calibrated every year by a U.S. company to meet government standards. We put a volt meter on it, we check data going across the read head before every major election, we check every machine. We also turn around and we do the service when they call us in to do it, but we verify that it meets all manufacturer specs. All counts on punch doing L&Aonevery machine processing punch cards at the central count, accuracy before the election. They will also do the L&A the night after the election.

On precinct counters, on the scanners, they might choose one out of ten and then those things

1	go to the field. I have letters in here that have
2	been written by two supervisors to an optical scan
3	company stating what a wonderful system was
4	demonstrated and how it was supposed to be so great.
5	Yet on election days, going in, the equipment fails,
6	it doesn't last, they have outages at the precincts.
7	Yet these things have never been recounted on a
8	recount. And according to one article
9	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr.
10	Gloger, thank you very much. We may have questions
11	that relate directly to the technical expertise.
12	WITNESS GLOGER: Oh, I'm sorry.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think we got the
14	point.
15	WITNESS GLOGER: Thank you.
16	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: And we
17	would like to hear from Professor Jones at this time.
18	WITNESS JONES: Thank you.
19	I've been a member of the Iowa Board of
20	Examiners for Voting Machines and Electronic Voting
21	Systems for the past six years. In the fall of 1999,
22	I was elected Chair of the Board. In these years,
23	I've examined voting systems made by Micro-Vote,
24	Election Systems & Software, Global Election Systems,
	II

Fiddler, Doubleday and others.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Old timers tell me that not too long ago, there were only two vendors of lever voting machines and the only other choice was paper ballots. This time is long past. We have six systems approved for use in Iowa today and I know there are vendors we have not heard from.

short, we're in the midst of revolution in the way we vote. This revolution started in the 1960s with the introduction of punch card voting and not long after that, optical mark sense voting. In the last 10 years, the rate of change has increased. This revolution offers wonderful opportunities but the technology we use has left our laws and regulatory structures far behind, and this poses real perils for our democracy.

In the next few minutes, I'd like to briefly outline the technologies available, along with the problems these pose, but first I'd like to address some broader issues.

It's extremely important to emphasize that voting technology cannot be evaluated in isolation.

Each voting technology, whether it rests on handcounted paper ballots or modern electronics is part of
a system. Some of the system components may be
mechanical or electronic, but others are laws and

administrative rules. And none of these can be ignored when evaluating the technology. Thus, whenever hand vote counting plays any role, whether it's in the first count or in the recount, we must examine the laws and administer the rules governing the count. When any mechanical processes are involved, we must ask how the mechanisms are prepared, maintained and checked for proper operation and when computers are involved, we must ask about the rules governing their programming.

I am particularly concerned by the claim repeatedly echoed in the media last month that a machine count is inherently unbiased and should not be subject to dispute. Machines can be unbiased and accurate, but we can only trust machines as far as we trust those who maintain and program them. There are two primary ways to ensure that a machine count is trustworthy. One is to routinely hand-count some fraction of the ballots, as a matter of quality control, and the other is to require a complete public disclosure of the mechanism and software used in the count.

The suggestion that an honest electoral system must be based on trust is a dangerous one. We must not trust any particular participant, mechanism

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In fact, we must expect every or computer program. participant, the maintainer of every mechanism and the designer of every computer program to be a partisan. The way that we assure that the system itself trustworthy is to construct an adversary system where each step in the process is carried out not only in in the presence public, but of and under the supervision of representatives of opposing parties.

Each of the 50 states, as you've already acts very independently. The example of Florida is not terribly distinctive. In Iowa, we have essentially the same structure and we certainly can't blame it on reconstruction. We have a Secretary of State who is the State Commissioner of Elections and county auditors who are the county commissioners of with very similar autonomous elections, а structure. The funding for voting machines is at the county level.

role federal has The been extremely limited, largely limited to civil rights and promulgating voluntary standards. Currently the Federal Election Commission's January 1990 standards document governs essentially all voting systems other than paper ballots and lever machines, but it's a voluntary standard and unfortunately the standards are

far from perfect, they are significantly out of date, five years before they were adopted, and the number of states that don't even write any reference to the standards into their state law governing voting machines is embarrassing.

There are five broad classes of technology used today -- paper ballots, lever voting machines, punch card ballots, optical mark sense ballots and direct recording electronic voting machines. Each of these has its strong points and each has significant weaknesses.

In summary, the great strength of paper ballots, including punch card and optical mark sense ballots is that they offer a physical record of each vote cast, and therefore, if there's any question about the trustworthiness of the original count, a recount is possible.

This is not true of lever voting machines or direct recording voting machines. With these, even if they purport to keep a record of each voter's ballot, this record is only indirect evidence of the voter's expressed preference. It's only as trustworthy as the mechanism used to transcribe the vote.

The great weakness of paper ballots,

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

including punch card and optical mark sense ballots, is that they are subject to interpretation, either by a person or by a machine. Most voters are very conscientious about how they mark their ballots, but even so, some marks or punches are marginal. The rules governing the interpretation of these marginal marks have been manipulated for partisan advantage for at least a century, and yet there are straight-forward reforms that would make such manipulation extremely difficult.

Voting machines. both the old lever machines and modern direct recording electronic voting machines, offer an immediate vote total as the polls are closed and they eliminate the need for, and in most cases even the possibility, of a recount. most obvious problem with voting machines is their sheer complexity -- hundreds of springs and levers, thousands of gears or worse yet, a microprocessor with complex software inside. How do we ensure ourselves that such systems operate correctly? Typically we must simply trust the designers and maintainers of the machines and it's dangerous to rely on -trust when conducting elections.

I believe the no voting technology available today is good enough to be adopted as a

1	national standard and I believe that we benefit from a
2	technologically diverse marketplace offering many
3	different voting technologies. I believe, therefore,
4	that the current press for uniformity should be
5	directed towards uniform performance and
6	accountability standards, and not the creation of a
7	single standard voting system.
8	In my written comments, I've offered more
9	detailed examination of each of the available voting
10	technologies along with detailed suggestions for
11	reform that I feel are needed. I will gladly answer
12	questions you have about these technologies, their
13	weaknesses and the reforms.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Does anyone
15	have any questions for the why don't we start
16	Cruz, I promised you'd be first.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, thank you
18	very much.
19	I wanted to ask Mr. Brace, does the drop
20	off and I'm talking now about the vote for
21	president does that include spoiled ballots for any
22	reason?
23	WITNESS BRACE: Yes, it would include
24	spoiled ballots, so drop off is both over-votes, which
25	would be spoiled ballots, or other kind of spoilage

22

23

24

25

besides an over-vote.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.

WITNESS BRACE: As well as under-vote.

WITNESS JONES: But it should not include a spoiled ballot if the voter than got a replacement and voted the replacement.

WITNESS BRACE: That's correct.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: In fact, that leads me to my second question, which I put to all of I was quite encouraged by the development of a kick back the system that can over-vote; quite distressed that some folk would not want a machine that would kick back an under-vote, because it seems to me it'd be a very simple matter to have that kicked back and have the official say it's been kicked back because apparently you didn't vote for everybody; was that your intention? Yes; fine. No; well, you'd better do it again.

It seems to me that we need to concentrate on protecting the individual right of each voter to vote and to have his or her ballot counted. So my question to all of you is the following is there presently a system or can a system be developed that does precisely that; that is, once the person has voted, it can be -- it can go through a machine or

some other system that assures the voter that his vote will be counted. I know there are legal mechanisms for doing that, the affidavit ballot, for example, where if a person is not on the rolls, they at least vote and then later decide whether or not that vote can be counted. So there are legal mechanisms for doing some of that. But I'm just interested in the mechanics of it.

Is there now that type of mechanism?

Because it seems to me it would be so helpful if a person over-counts -- over-votes or whatever, to have it kicked back immediately and then get another ballot.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or under-votes.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Or under-votes.

MR. AHMANN: If I may answer that. If you take a look at the laws and the rules that are in effect in the state of Arizona, that's exactly what they do and they have the mechanism in there that if a person comes into the precinct and they don't know who it is or they don't know if they're fully registered, they go ahead, let them wote and then that vote is put into a separate envelope so that later on -- it doesn't get merged with the rest of the ballots so it can be recognized and verified that that person is

1	legitimately allowed to vote in that precinct, instead
2	of turning him away at the door. Plus, they can use -
3	- they have the opt-tech unit that has this over-vote
4	capability and the kick back capability in Maricopa
5	County right now, as an example.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But do they have the
7	under-vote that was the other part? I guess not from
8	what we've heard.
9	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Madam Chair.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I will recognize you
11	in just a second.
12	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Will you try to answer
14	this question? Are you going to follow up on that,
15	Commissioner Wilson?
16	COMMISSIONER WILSON: No, it's another
17	question.
18	WITNESS AHMANN: I believe it does have
19	the under-vote capability too.
20	WITNESS JONES: I was going to follow this
21	up. The problem with kicking back under-votes is I
22	believe the vast majority of voters don't vote in one
23	or another of the numerous tail of the ballot races in
24	the general election.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Judges and so
	NEAL D. ODGGG

1 || on.

- []

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

بيني بيسير

## (Laughter.)

WITNESS JONES: County soil and water conservation district board members is a classic example we have in Iowa, a mosquito abatement board might be the Florida equivalent.

The problem is to design a voting system where the errors in the mechanism scrupulously count even marginal marks as votes so that bottom of the race -- bottom of the ticket races make it a little of national inaccuracy, those aren't importance, they're of local importance and those marginal marks are not a major -- aren't going to shake the country. But top of the tickét races -- if I make a faint tick, that should be counted as a vote. If I make a dimple, that should be counted as a vote by machine. And if the machine introduces extra votes on the top of the ticket race, those become over-votes which can be kicked back quite fairly

Whereas if we kick back under-votes, we're going to kick back 90 percent of all voters' ballots, probably many more, because I don't know what percentage of voters actually vote every race on the ballot, it must be very rare.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: On this question,

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 counsel has a follow up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Would it help to design a ballot that would allow the voter to mark some intent not to vote for all of the candidates on the ballots? Would that help?

WITNESS JONES: That might be helpful; that isn't an option that I've considered, but there are some research very similar to what you've done, having to do with the effect of technology on the drop off at the bottom of the ticket, and that research almost contradicts the top of the ticket results you presented, because what it shows is that for lever machines, old classic iron the warhorse technology, the people will vote at the top of the ticket, but when they reach the bottom of the ticket, maybe their arms are tired from holding their hand up like this for so long, it may actually disabilities issue, but the drop off rate at bottom of the ticket on lever machines is extremely When you compare that with paper ballots, they have a much flatter decline in the drop off as you move from the top of the ticket to the bottom of the ticket.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm going to recognize people in just a second, but to follow up with what --

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	counsel asked you a question and, Professor Jones, you
2	said I really hadn't thought about that, when counsel
3	asked you if it were possible to devise where one
4	could mark that one
5 .	WITNESS JONES: I'm sure the answer is
6	yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you think that
8	that would make sense if under-voting is a problem and
9	if trying to figure out all the people who didn't want
10	to under-vote
11	WITNESS JONES: It might make excellent
12	sense and it's sort of like having people raise their
13	hands for abstain in a parliamentary election.
14	WITNESS BRACE: There is one state in this
15	nation that does provide for that. It's the State of
16	Nevada that has a category of none of the above. And
17	a couple of times that entity has won.
18	(Laughter.)
19	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Madam Chair, I
20	have a follow up.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Wilson.
22	COMMISSIONER WILSON:Thank you.
23	I'd like to thank each of you for coming -
24	-
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, just a minute,
	†

1 .	let me see if somebody wants
2	COMMISSIONER WILSON: No, I have a
3	question.
4	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I do have a
5	follow up on this specifically, the question of under-
6	votes, if you would permit me.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you have a question
8	about this or do you have a question about something
9	else?
10	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, by this point,
11	it's gone out of my head, but I did have a question,
12	yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you recall the
14	question?
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: No. So for the
16	moment I'll get it back, so I'll defer to my
17	colleague.
18	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Let me just do
19	the follow up. On the under-votes, isn't there
20	another question with respect to counting kicking
21	back the under-votes and that is wouldn't there be a
22	problem of privacy if an official asked
23.	about an under-vote, didn't you want to vote for
24	either presidential candidate? You know, invasions of
25	privacy are a concern to me and I wonder how you react

1	to that.
2	MR. AHMANN: I think you're absolutely
3	correct and to many people this would be. But then
4	again, it depends on how your state law or how the
5	federal law might be written, or as a guide or maybe
6	it would be permissive but maybe not a requirement
7	there's different ways of writing.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you all agree with
9	that, and do you all think that that is any more of an
10	invasion of privacy than asking why someone chose to
11	vote for two people? I did that once.
12	(Laughter.)
13	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, wait a
14	minute
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why is it more of an
16	invasion of privacy to be
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Because one is
18	clearly a mistake.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
20	Thernstrom, I'm asking a question.
21	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Sorry.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRYPlease indulge me.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes, I'm
24	terribly sorry.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why would it be more

1	of an invasion of privacy to ask me why I didn't vote
2	in a category than to ask me why I chose to mark two
3	people, and did I notice that and don't I want to do
4	it again?
5	WITNESS GLOGER: Well, the machine did
6	kick it out.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The machine is not
8	God, and is not the law. So I'm asking you tell me
9	why it's more
10	WITNESS BRACE: There is a median point
11	here, Commissioner, that you could have and there
12	are some systems that would kick out, for example,
13	what Dan just demonstrated, when it is totally blank,
14	it would kick out because obviously that is probably
15	an error. It could also be configured that if one
16	side of the card in some cards where you could vote
17	both sides of the card, if one side is totally blank,
18	it could be programmed to kick that out.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee has a
20	question and then Commissioner Meeks and then I'll
21	come back to Commissioner Wilson.
22	COMMISSIONER LEE: This is actually a
23	follow up question. What happens if only the voter
24	puts in her or his ballot in the scanning machine,
25	with the prior education that if it kicks out for

over-vote or under-vote, then you decide whether you go back and get another vote. So that provides privacy protection but at the same time the voter will know whether his or her vote is being counted. Does that work? Because right now we have problems in some counties that you have to hand your ballot physically to another person to submit it to --

WITNESS BRACE: To the machine. It varies according to different state laws. Some of them do do that. The key is, however, you're alluding to the need for education. We have all gone through a big education exercise in the last three months, since the election. I was talking to a couple of election officials a couple of days ago. They all tend to think that boy, this next election, we'll all be checking for the hanging chads and everything else to make sure that our ballot is cast properly.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Meeks:

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes, and this just goes back to the slides that you had -- and I wasn't asleep -- but I just wanted to make sure that I understood. What was the percent of over-votes in Florida?

WITNESS BRACE: The county-by-county ones that I was doing was a percent of the drop off that is

-----

I

1	under-vote, so it's not what percent of under-votes
2	there are for the entire number of people that went to
3	the polls. What I was attempting to say is that when
4	you look at just that drop off, normally you find that
5	most of that drop off is under-vote, not an over-vote.
6	That is just the opposite of what I'm finding here in
7	the state of Florida. That in most instances it was
8	in fact an over-vote situation and I believe it's
9	partly the bad ballot design the Palm Beach ballot.
10	The Duval County ballot where you have an office that
11	goes across both pages. Now there's been alluding to
12	butterfly ballots being in other states. They don't
13	really have a true butterfly ballot in the state of
14	Illinois, they have an overall office that goes with
15	multiple races for judgeship. That's different. If
16	you had, for example, in a judgeship retention race,
17	it's a vote of yes or no; if you had a yes on one page
18	and a no for that same judge on another page; that
19	would be a butterfly ballot. But not when you have a
20	yes/no; yes/no; and then another set of
21	yes/no; yes/no for other offices for
22	judgeship. That's not-technically a butterfly ballot.
23	WITNESS JONES: With all the disadvantages
24	of one.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

Just a moment.

	didn't hear what you said, Professor Jones.
2	· WITNESS JONES: It has all the
3	disadvantages of one, that Cook County ballot was an
4	atrocious ballot that raises all of those disability
5	issues that were so well discussed in the previous
6	session, with having to try to find if you're going
7	to count down columns, there was no way to do it
8	coherently on that ballot.
9	WITNESS BRACE: And unfortunately, Cook
10	County was caught in the circumstance of having all of
11	those judgeship races. They had to go out because
12	their physical ballot, the 312 card that they had, did
13	not handle it, they had to go out, as John alluded to,
14	and buy a whole new set of ballots to allow more
15	candidate possibilities on that ballot.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Wilson.
17	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
18	I would like to continue to thank you all
19	for coming here
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER WILSON: and to say how
22	illuminating, as far as I'm concerned, each of your
23	testimony has been.
24	Before I get to my question, I was about
25	to comment on the under-vote issue because when I

1 think about it, were I in that situation, I don't think I would want to answer the question if I were 2 3 asked "or none of the above." I would probably say well, that's none of your business. But on the other 4 5 hand, -- I mean I think it's a valid -- I think it is 6 an issue and I think it needs more thought. 7 other hand, perhaps if I was given a breakdown of 8 choices where I was asked, you know, did I not want to 9 vote period; was I not voting as a protest vote. Then you could be making a point 10 in answering those 11 questions. COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 1.2 above, you mean. 13

None of the

COMMISSIONER WILSON: Other than the none of the above. Also, of course, there is the other issue which I may be overstating, which is the intimidation factor, when people are in that booth anyway, they're jangled, I think under the best of circumstances they're jangled, they know other people are waiting, they want to get out of there, so there's that issue.

My simple question was -- this machine is very interesting and I think is a machine that's sort of full of hope and possibility. But my simple question was how much is it and is it really too

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	expensive for mode districts.
2	WITNESS GLOGER: You would have to talk to
3	the manufacturer of it, but I think if the people were
4	really interested, they are looking to put this in the
5	state.
6	COMMISSIONER WILSON: You mean they'd work
7	out a deal? It's not that I want to buy it myself.
8	WITNESS GLOGER: You need to talk to a Mr.
9	Gene Cook.
10	MR. AHMANN: I believe those
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a moment, please
12	do not talk at once.
13	WITNESS JONES: It's cheaper in volume.
14	WITNESS GLOGER: They are cheaper in
15	volume.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I will recognize you,
17	please. I just can't hear with everyone talking at
18	the same time.
19	WITNESS GLOGER: You need to talk to ES&S,
20	a Mr. Gene Cook.
21	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Well, I mean I was
22	really just saying that I hope that it would be
23	affordable.
24	WITNESS GLOGER: I believe it is.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Ahmann.

WITNESS AHMANN: I believe the quantity
one price that I was given was approximately \$6500,
quantity one.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Brace, do you
agree with that?
WITNESS BRACE: That's what I have been
led to believe, somewhere around \$5-6000. The problem
is that when you have 3000 precincts in your
jurisdiction, that's a hefty price tag.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.
WITNESS BRACE: That's the problem.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did you want to do
you agree with that or do you want to comment?
I see you, Mr. Dickson, and I'll recognize
you in a minute.
WITNESS JONES: I was wondering how that
compares with the price of things like the Optic Eagle
which is the same vendor.
WITNESS BRACE: It's a very similar price
for those kind of for the optical scan precinct-
based counting system, very similar price.
WITNESS JONES: This one of the
patterns that we see is that it's the small rural
counties that adopt new technology soonest. And the

	in their voting technology and frequencry hang onto
2	old systems long after they should have been replaced.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could someone pass a
4	microphone to Mr. Dickson, who is behind you, in view
5	of his disability. He testified earlier I don't
6	know if it'll reach somebody see if we can if we
7	can't, then we'll figure out something else. That's
8	all right, he's coming over. And he asked to comment
9	on this, so we're going to since he is a witness
10	let him do so.
11	You're still under oath, Mr. Dickson.
12	WITNESS DICKSON: Yes, I am. Thank you.
13	That machine was impressive, but if I
14	understand it correctly, it still would not allow
15	either myself or over 500,000 other Floridians to cast
16	a secret and independent ballot.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
18	WITNESS DICKSON: Am I correct in that?
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: With a disability, in
20	his case, it's blindness.
21	WITNESS GLOGER: I believe he's well,
22	yeah
23	WITNESS BRACE: He's correct. I mean he's
24	still caught at the beginning of the process not being
25	able to follow the punch card system.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So he would still
2	require assistance.
3	WITNESS BRACE: That's correct.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. That's your
5	point, right?
6	WITNESS DICKSON: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, thank you very
8	much, Mr. Dickson.
9	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Mr.
10	Dickson also informed our staff, and my question is
11	directed to Mr. Ahmann, that there are certain voting
12	booths that are not accessible for persons with
13	disabilities, maybe paraplegic or quadriplegic,
14	because of the height of the table and he gave me a
15	very vivid illustration of a person who went to the
16	booth, had to lift themselves up on one arm and then
17	try to use the other arm to punch a card. And you
18	mentioned that this was a voting booth that was
19	specifically designed to assist persons with
20	disabilities. In what way does that help the person
21	who is a quadriplegic or paraplegic?
22	.WITNESSAHMANN:
23	definition, I'm assuming they would have at least a
24	hand where they would be able to punch, that was
25	available, and being in a wheelchair. This is what we

i	
2	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Because of
3	the height of the table?
4	WITNESS AHMANN: The legs have been
5	shortened by at least 10 inches to get it down to
6	table top height, and wheelchair height, the
7	wheelchairs actually need a little higher booth than a
8	standard table you would sit at. So it's been
9	designed that way and then also we have the
10	handicapped adapters. These adapters that you see
11	spread out in the front, would allow most wheelchairs
12	and the person with wheelchairs to put their legs,
13	slide up underneath and be able to roll the booth
14	not the booth roll their wheelchair up to it or
15	either sideways or underneath. And this is usable on
16	any one of the 300,000 plus voting booths that are in
17	use today for punch card voting.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee, did
19	you have a question?
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: Just a general
21	question.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: "It'sa ~ general
23	question, not about this.
24	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: No, I have a general
25	question.

call the wheelchair accessible booth.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: General question.
2	Commissioner Meeks, do you have a question about this
3	or about something else?
4	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, actually about
5	the machine.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, well go
7	ahead, you ask your question.
8	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So would one machine
9	be sufficient for one precinct?
10	WITNESS AHMANN: Yes, normally that's the
11	
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee.
13	COMMISSIONER LEE: This is a general
14	question. Since some of the states are now
15	experimenting on computer voting, I'd like to get your
16	comment on your assessment, other than the
17	accessibility issue that some of the counties may not
18	be hooked up or some communities may not have
19	accessibility to it. I'd just like to get your
20	comments on how this is going, computer voting.
21	WITNESS BRACE: It is moving forward, but
22	at a slow pace and probably should at a slow pace.
23	There's a lot of still secrecy issues involved in that
24	as well as I've been out in a lot of different county
25	election offices and some of them don't have their own

ak.

PC, so how are they going to take the ballots in? We are not quite ready in many different jurisdictions for that. It may be coming, but we're not quite ready yet.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Thernstrom.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Just one brief comment and then a question. I had assumed that the over-vote would not have the same invasion of privacy problems simply because it's so clearly an error, whereas an under-vote is that personal decision. But here's my question. Mr. Jones, you talked about the federal role, you said no national standard uniform standards with respect to performance accountability. Now obviously I haven't seen your full statement, but it seems to me there's an awfully thin line between the two on its face at least, that once you say look, we want uniform standards in terms of performance and accountability -- what is the argument to going to a national standard, because in order comply with to your performance and accountability standard, you are going to have to -- I mean that compliance is going to be also flawed. said well, you've got error, inherent flaws so we don't want to pick for a national standard a system

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that, you know, is not perfect, but no system is going to be perfect. 2 What's the heart of the difference between 3 the two and the argument against federal standards 4 5 here? The differences between 6 WITNESS JONES: 7 the current state of affairs and what I would hope would come to be --8 No, 9 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: the difference between what you hope and what you don't 10 11 want, which is a federal standard. 12 WITNESS JONES: Ah. I don't want a" 13 federal standard voting technology or essentially I 14 don't want to create a monopoly. What I do want are performance standards that state that machines must 15 16 live up to certain standards and as far as the formats used for reporting data for the canvassing purposes, I 17 those 18 want to see over-votes and under-votes mandatorily included in the canvass of the election. 19 20 I'd like to see the total number of people 21 requested ballots as distinct from the number of 22 ballots found in the ballot box, because that's an 23 important component of the problem. 24 I want these numbers because they disclose

numerous voting abuses, they make it very hard to

hide. Whereas if you only report the number of votes actually counted for one candidate and actually counted for another, all kinds of dirt can be hiding in the corners.

uniform standards for want. to see interpretation of marked ballots and I want those standards to be based on objective criteria. some simple games with an old punch card ballot. I simply sat there and started poking at it and made a couple of observations. One of them is that without a backing, you get a trap door every time. Another one is that the force required to create a dimple in card stock is immense compared to the force required to If you're going to count trap create a trap door. doors, then you should count anything that requires. more force than is required to create a trap door. mean creating the dimple that I just created in this ballot stock, I had to push a whole lot harder. This isn't a ballot that was used in Florida, this is a 10year old Democratic Convention ballot from a state Democratic convention in Iowa.

...So..my experiments have to be taken with a grain of salt, but nonetheless, the point is we should have standards organizations that are in a position to make scientific studies, providing the data on which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we can base these kinds of standards and not seat of the pants guesstimates being made by county election officials who have not the apparatus or the scientific training to make those kind of judgments.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I totally agree with that, I just don't know why you don't go all the way and say look, that in effect amounts to a federal standard, let's have a federal standard.

WITNESS JONES: Partly because I don't know whether we'll succeed in getting one. It's hard to push the federal government to impose standards, particularly when we have strong partisan arguments in favor of a certain degree of decentralization, which is frequently described as local government but actually is states' rights.

The other side of it is that my experience with the Federal Election Commission standards we've got is that there have been many occasions when I've seen machines that passed the FEC testing with flying colors, which we haven't approved in Iowa because we found that they didn't live up to the standards that were imposed. I would like the option of imposing tougher standards and in Iowa we've used that two or three times in the last couple of years, depending on whether I count one machine coming back for re-

1	examination and being turned down twice.
2	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But they would
3	live up to the standard of uniform performance and
4	accountability that you want.
5	WITNESS JONES: I would love to see
6	minimum standards at the very least that were binding.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Commissioner
8	Wilson.
9	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I just have one more
10	question to get your response to what you were
11	discussing with Commissioner Thernstrom. Before, you
12	said very passionately said I don!t want to see a
13	monopoly.
14	WITNESS JONES: Right.
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Okay. Do you want to
16	just expand on that?
17	WITNESS JONES: Monopolies pose risks of
18	manipulation by whoever it is that is running the
19	monopoly. If it's a government run thing, you'll have
20	to ask what happens if we get a political machine in
21	power that is going to use the machinery of its
22	government run bureaucracy to impose its own will. If
23	it's a privately run monopoly, we have the possibility
24	of that private institution becoming corrupted.
25	In addition, if we have a single monopoly

1	technology and we discover 10 years down the road that
2	there's something horribly flawed about it, we don't
3	have anything to fall back on. Right now, if we
4	decide that we want to rule out punch card voting, we
5	have multiple vendors, each of which offers multiple
6	alternatives to punch cards, and are in production,
7	they're approved for use in many states and they can
8	easily provide the replacements.
9	Were we to move to a position where we had
10	a monopoly and 10 years down the road discover we've
11	made a horrible mistake, what would we do? Where
12	would the replacements be?
13	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner.
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay, this is a
16	general question, and I guess I want to pose it to
17	Professor Jones and then Mr. Brace.
18	I listened to the descriptions of the
19	alternative technologies and the possibility of doing
20	better, but it leaves me with the following problem.
21	We have a lot of people that we are all trying to
22	bring into the .electoral process, trying to get them
23	to participate in our democracy, and a lot of first
24	time voters. We heard the stunning numbers from Mr.
25	Dickson about participation from the disability

And yet we see these problems in terms of 1 community. under-votes and over-votes and all the rest of it, and 2 I can imagine two different stories. 3 One story is 4 sprinkled around the country, we have wonderful, civic-minded people at the state and local 5 level who are doing their best to find voting systems 6 7 that will ensure that everybody's vote is counted. 8 There's another story that is my goodness, look at all 9 these variations, look at the situations and people seem to be insistent upon selecting voting systems 10 11 that predictably will result in high under-counts. high over-counts, high rates of voter confusion. 12 And indeed, differences in rates of voter confusion based 13 14 upon things like class, education, race, cleverness, 15 you name it. 16 So I've got two different stories. 17

So I've got two different stories. One in other words of civic-mindedness, high-mindedness, and for some reason they're just not finding their way to better policies and practices. And another story that really is a frightening one of kind of selected indifference, a willingness to tolerate disenfranchisement via technology.

. My question is to the two of you, since you work day in and day out, have contact with election officials around the country, which of those

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

two stories do you think actually characterizes the culture of election officials?

WITNESS JONES: The first --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Go ahead.

WITNESS JONES: I think the answer is over the past 100 years, both stories have been true many I think that to the extent we succeed in times. building a system where fraud is difficult, we develop a culture of honesty; and to the extent we develop a system where manipulation of the vote isn't terribly with, it rewards dishonesty hard to get away tremendously. Little details can have a huge impact.

For paper ballots where we have basically 150 years of experience with the modern Australian paper ballot, which is actually not old technology, it was developed around the time of the Civil War, governments have had a long time to learn how to manipulate those and one of the classic ones is simply writing laws that set uniform standards for ballot interpretation that are almost impossible to live up to, and then making sure that your vote counters, representing your party, are extremely well trained in the application of those rules, and trying to make sure that you get relative newcomers to the counting business representing the opposing party, this almost

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

gua:
2 and
3 yea:
4 And
5 uns

guarantees that the machine will stay in power forever and I'm pretty sure that the old city machines of 100 years ago in the United States did this routinely.

And it was pretty effective. Those machines were unstoppable.

Lever machines were introduced in part because of this. There was a national scandal with -- there were jurisdictions where 40 percent of all ballots cast were invalidated because, for example, the X just crept outside the border of the box or didn't connect the corners of the box or the lines of the X weren't straight, or arcania like that.

We need a standard which says that any -that if one election judge says that the ballot
contains a clear indication of the intent of the
voter, that that ballot should be set aside even if
the other judge says it violates some standard, for
example, the standard that the punch must go all the
way through and completely remove the chad, or some
such.

We need a way that ballots that contain an apparently clear indication of the voter's intent can be reconsidered if their number exceeds the margin in the election. Those kinds of rules can make it very difficult to pull off a fraudulent election or a

recount which is strongly biased in favor of one candidate or another.

And I feel very strongly we need those kind of reporting rules through the system, no matter what the technology is. It makes a big difference in the ability of the -- in the vulnerability of the system to manipulation and the difficulty of hiding what you've manipulated.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Mr. Brace, can you help me? I mean we've got a population that feels tenuously connected to participation and some election official saying we can't be bothered adopting a system that'll help four percent of you get your votes counted.

witness brace: Well, I know an awful lot of these election officials all around the country. And I think I am safe in saying that the vast majority of them are very good people and during the beginnings of the Florida controversy, before I came down here to testify, I was quoted by the press many times saying if I had this to do any place, I'd rather have it done in Florida, because they are so much more of a professional nature here, the elections supervisors, than elsewhere in the country. One of the main things is that they are more educated and they participate in

extensive classes that their organization conducts and they do a lot of training of their own self and their staff, which you don't see in other jurisdictions unfortunately. Training is one of the key things and education is one of the key things. seeing change within are а sea the election administration community of some of the older people that have gray hair like myself retiring and being replaced by young whipper snappers, as I used to be, that are bringing forward new ideas and a lot of very good innovation.

So I'm very encouraged from that standpoint, but it's not to say that there aren't some bad apples out there also. I think the key for any of this is public disclosure. You know, when we had all of the recount operations going on down here, yes, there were varying standards, but it was done out in If it was done behind closed doors or if the open. people were taking the ballot someplace, some dark someplace, then I would have been very concerned. But that was not the case here. very open process and that s how you protect it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I had a few questions myself. Did you have any others, Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, I just

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to make the observation there's a certain irony testimony we've heard this in the afternoon. particularly from Professor Jones, that the intent of the voter ought to be the key or testimony of Mr. Brace that we have high professionalism in this state, inasmuch as the U.S. Supreme Court said that the intent of the voter was something that nobody could perceive, and therefore it failed constitutionally. So I just couldn't help but be impressed by the distinct interpretations of what happens in the real world.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I had --

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I think we have a response.

WITNESS JONES: I would very much like to I think different technologies make respond to that. it very much easier or harder to determine the intent of the voter. If I'm making marks on paper with pencils and pens, I'm using a technology where all of us have been doing it since kindergarten; if we went to school, and most of us have been doing it even if we didn!t go to school. We wall whave behind us a lifetime of experience marking on paper with pencils and pens. It's very easy for to tell the us difference between a mark that looks intentional and a

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

mark that looks like an accidental pencil 1 point touched the paper while I was reading the item beside 2 It's very easy for us to tell a smudged erasure 3 from a clearly made mark. 4 5 On the other hand, if I had one of these blasted punch cards, it's not an intuitive thing, we 6 7 haven't been -- well, I've been using punch cards 8 since 1969, but that's because I'm in the computer 9 business and I fortunately stopped using them many 10 years ago. 11 But most of us don't have much experience with chad. For most people, chad is a foreign term '--12 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Not any more WITNESS JONÉS: 14 And there's no intuition 15 we can use -- we can fall back on about the meaning of, a slightly dimpled piece of chad. We have to rely on 16 17 obscure experts in an arcane area. This is one reason 18 why I have a very strong preference for methods, that " 19 can be done with paper and pencils. 20 Incidentally, the new mark sense scanners 21 do live up to the claims that they can read anything 22 you're likely to be carrying in your pocket. 23 ones didn't and this difference between old and new 24 mark sense technology is quite startling when you

start doing testing. The new ones, for example, some

of them that I've tested will detect -- if you circle 1 the place where it says you should be filling in the 2 oval, it still detects it and says that's a vote. 3 I find that very encouraging, because it means that we 4 can still tell people use number 2 soft lead pencils 5 and fill it in as follows, but if they vary from those 6 rules quite significantly, we can still detect their 7 intent which machinery. I like that. 8 9

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Am I now permitted to ask a question?

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And let me just say before I ask -- well ask my question -- don't you think that it is important not to privilege some people's testimony and to not take seriously that of others?

When we were asking the question about over-votes and under-votes and I think the panel gave an answer that it was all right to count over-votes because under-votes had some kind of system one would be asking questions related to privacy matters and then I asked whether indeed with would also raise privacy matters to ask someone about over- or under-votes. And I think that later on in the questioning the point was made that -- and I pointed out that I

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have indeed over-voted deliberately and that I would 1 consider it an invasion of privacy for someone to have 2 asked me why I did it, as much as if I did not vote 3 and later on in the --4 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Why did you? 6 (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 7 It's none of your business. 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's precisely my 10 11 point. And I have known other people who have said 12 that they had done the same thing for the same reason 13 I did it, which is none of your business. But the point that I'm making is later on 14 15 in the testimony, there was some comment that overvotes can be ignored because they are obviously a 16 17 mistake and all the panelists nodded their heads. 18 So I'm asking why would you privilege 19 someone's testimony or your analysis that under-votes 20 raise questions -- questioning people about under-21 votes raise privacy matters but questioning people 22 about over-votes do not and may not even though I have 23 told you that my own experience -- and I stand here to 24 give testimony, as they say in my church -- that -- I

want to testify that that is the case.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what is the reason for privileging one person's testimony or do I need to bring more people, since you were only answering hypothetically, as I understood it, about the under-votes?

Well, if I can go forward. WITNESS BRACE: We are, in this country, a country of laws and most state election laws do prohibit an over-vote from being counted because they don't know, it candidate A you wanted to vote for or candidate B. Particularly you see many more over-votes when you get into a vote for two or a vote for three kind of an office circumstance. And so it is by law that you kind of seek to eliminate those over-votes.

Now what intrigued me the most is the last two weeks, there was an article from one of the papers down here of some of their investigations of the ballots, that in fact there was a circumstance that hadn't been thought about of an over-vote. That is where someone went and voted for Gore and then on the write-in, marked the write-in and wrote in the word Gore.

Gore and for write-in, but you know, should be allow that to be a vote for a Gore or for a Bush? That's a very interesting question. I mean it was a new kind

of an over-vote circumstance that most of us hadn't thought about before.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. And that was my only -- I have other questions and I just think that while we are thinking about proposals and the subject was proposals such as ways to deal with undervotes, we might also think about proposals in that area.

But my larger question is to bring us back to the folks who are not sitting here, who are the people who are testifying at this point, and they are the people who had trouble or have given sworn testimony and have filed complaints that they had trouble getting to the polls or getting inside to use these nice pieces of machinery of various kinds. And I'm wondering how that affects the kinds of analysis For example, Professor -- Mr. Brace, you have made. you talked about people showing up at the polls and how the states report those. Do they report people who show up and are turned away in those numbers, or do you mean by showing up, people who actually voted? What do you mean by showing up at the polls?

WITNESS BRACE: Showing up at the polls in most states is a physical act of signing a poll book, for example, or stating to a poll worker that you're

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 here to vote and they mark you in. Once you are marked in, via your signing or them marking, that is a 2 count of someone there at the polls. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you are counting 4 people who didn't vote or who came and who were turned 5 6 away. 7 WITNESS BRACE: That's correct. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you obviously are not counting those people who never got inside the 9 polling place. 10 WITNESS BRACE: That's correct. 11 12 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm / sorry, Madam Chair, I think that was confusing. You said does it 13 count people who came in, stood in front of the poll 14 15 worker, said I'm here to vote, I want to sign in, at which point the poll worker says no, you're a felon, 16 17 go away. 18 WITNESS BRACE: At that point, no, that would not count. 19 20 BERRY: Well, CHAIRPERSON that's mγ precise question. That's my precise question, whether 21 22 in fact you are including all the people who have made 23 complaints or given sworn testimony about the kinds of problems that they have had in that number when you 24 25 say showing up at the polls in the charts that you

showed us up here.

WITNESS BRACE: In most instances most states do not record that separately. It would be nice if they did and that could be one of your recommendations certainly, but it's not something that most states keep track of.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that the analysis that we hear about and discuss here may be skewed by the fact, many of them, by the fact that the dirt lies in the corner or however Professor Jones talks about it, and i would consider that part of the dirt and debris, those who were excluded and didn't get an opportunity. They wouldn't be included in this kind of data that we're talking about here.

WITNESS BRACE: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Professor.

witness Jones: There are straight-forward procedures that would include these people in the count. We use such a procedure in Iowa where the voter, as they reach the poll table, is handed a sheet of paper, they sign their name on that piece of paper, speak their name out loud and hand the piece of paper to the clerk who then looks them up in the poll book to see if they're entitled to vote. That counts the people who arrive requesting a ballot independently of

whether they get one or not. And I think that that count really needs to be reported and carried through a measure of turnout along with the number of actually encountered and the challenged ballots which didn't go in the ballot box because they weren't resolved whether that person was entitled to vote or not. And nobody should ever reach polling place without the at least getting challenged ballot.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, Mr. Ahmann.

WITNESS AHMANN: Yes. I just wanted to put in the two cents worth here that I have over the years encountered people who say well I came to the polling place to keep my voter registration current, and therefore, I don't really know anybody that I want to vote for, but I'm getting my ballot and I'm putting it in the ballot box blank. This happens.

And also, as mentioned by Mr. Jones; if you look in Jim Schumway's report there, one of the things Jim was mentioning to us yesterday evening was the accountability of ballots issue, how many ballots were in the polling place, how many were rejected.

This count has to be very strictly maintained because he's very much afraid that many states do not require and maintain a good accountability of the ballots that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

actually are issued, that go to the polling place, what happens to all of those after they're counted, after they're recounted. Do they still have the accountability of all those ballots, where are they, how many were spoiled. So this was the point he wanted to make.

I had in my observations here about four recommendations, one of them was that you need to do something about the law as far as what constitutes a vote. Second, precinct counters should be employed, I believe, wherever possible and wherever the funds can be found to buy them. I think voter education needs to be greatly improved, whether it be punch card or be optical scan, and if it's punch card, I personally feel after 30 some odd years that the voter should be asked to actually punch a sample ballot so at least he gets the feel of punching and knows that he has to go all the way through. And that would go a long ways, I think, to eliminate a lot of the so-called dimpled ballot problems that we've run across.

Two other areas were that the stylus that we've developed and have been using for about the last 10 years is called needle point. There's one county in Florida that used it this election that I know of and that's Dade County. They had very low problems,

1.8

1	few problems with actually hanging chad or with chad
2	that was caught or found in the recount number of
3	votes that they generated, you might say, in the
4	recount. And that's because this little stylus with
5	it's little dimple at the end not a dimple but a
6	little needle point the little needle point
7	actually spears the chad and pushes it on through,
8	even if your humidity is high, even if the temperature
9	is low and you're off registration. If it can see any
10	part of that chad up or down or sideways, it will put
11	a pin in it, it will push it through. I would
12	strongly recommend that any jurisdiction in the United
13	States every jurisdiction be equipped with
14	either needle point or with the micro point, the
15	little needle type pin stylus.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We are going to thank
17	the panel and we have one other small piece of
18	testimony before we break. But i want to thank the
19	panel and ask you to please check out with the lawyers
20	and we very much appreciate your testimony.
21	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you very much.
22	.(Witnesses excused.)
23	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Our next
24	witness is Senator State Senator Kendrick Meek.

Will you please come forward at this time?

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then we're going
2	to break after that for a time before we have the
3	forum at 6:00.
4	MR. JIN: Can I make a quick announcement?
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
6	MR. JIN: In terms of dinner, if any of
7	the Commissioners want us to get dinner between the
8	5:00 and 6:00 hour, if you can give me that sheet that
9	we passed out.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Senator Meek.
11	Whereupon,
12	KENDRICK MEEK
13	appeared as a witness herein, and having been first
14	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.
16	Be seated and wait just a second while the \$6000,
17	\$8000 machine, whichever one it is
18	COMMISSIONER WILSON: \$6500.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: \$65,000.
20	COMMISSIONER WILSON: \$6500.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Counsel, will you
22	please proceed?
23	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Senator
24	Meek, will you please provide your name your full
25	name and your position for the record and then proceed
	NEAL R. GROSS

with a statement of approximately five minutes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS MEEK: Thank you. My name is State Senator Kendrick B. Meek. I represent the 36th District from Miami, mainly north Dade, representing the communities of Liberty City, Little Haiti, Little River, Carroll City, Bunch Park, Scott Lakes. Opalocka, some small parts of Hialeah and the City of Miami, all of Miami Shores and North Miami.

I just, first of all, want to thank you and I want to thank you, Madam Chair, for allowing me to come early because I have a flight to catch back to Miami later on this evening. But I just can't tell elated that I appreciative am and that the Commission would not find it robberv find to yourselves dealing with another Florida issue within the last 15 months. And I also bring you greetings on behalf of the Chair of the Florida Conference of Black State Legislators, Dr. Fredericka S. Wilson, who was not able to be here today but in your later testimony? that you'll have within your public hearing later on this evening, you will hear from a few other members from the Florida Conference of Black Legislators. But I wanted to thank you for being here and I'm going to be quick.

I have a copy of my statements here and

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

what I'm going to do is just hit some points on the statements.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That will be included in the record.

WITNESS MEEK: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

First of all, I just wanted -- I really have only four words for the Commission that I want you to definitely remember for the time that you're here in our capitol city and while you're here in Florida and while you're looking at this issue. We need your help, here in the State of Florida.

I guess I want to go from the point of not really dwelling on the fact of disenfranchised voters that I've seen personally and that I've heard personal stories from and on election day actually being on the ground in south Florida and seeing some of the frustration that took place, being on statewide radio throughout that day. I know that you've had testimony and some folks have spoken to the fact that there was plenty of notice to know that people of color were going to show up at the polls in record numbers on this November 7 election, for more reasons than a political one. One is a struggle for principle and one is a struggle for equality and justice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

And I think that a lot of that fed into the disenfranchisement of these individuals. One may say that it was coordinated. I say that it was pretty subliminal, from the top.

Here in Florida, what I may call the that be really, in my opinion and several people of color and people of good will's opinion, really don't have a forward lean to bring about the kind of changes that should take place in future elections and I just want to talk about the future and the opportunity of individuals that were left behind in this election and have a chance to have a fair shake at voting in the next election. I know that you're going to have testimony from key people that you can draw your own conclusions, but I know that you're going to have a final report that's going to help you help us here in Florida understand our responsibilities.

Over the last two years here in Florida, we have been experiencing what I call a Selma kind of experience, not one that you may say that the fire trucks in the fire station right—across the street are lining up to hose certain individuals down, but definitely at the end of the day, you wonder why your shirt is damp, as it relates to not being heard in

this process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that you will hear testimony while you're here in Florida of people, the reason why they didn't go to the officials to change anything, because they don't feel that any change will be brought about, based on the past history.

As it relates to the elections process here in Florida, it started many months before that.

Over the last two years, as it relates to the issue of equal opportunity, affirmative action, African-American voters were not heard in this state, period, by the powers that be.

As it relates to the accounts of blatant, in my opinion, violations of what you may say law in the state of Florida that went unenforced, brought about the kind of feeling of voters in Florida that, you know, it doesn't matter. Why should you go and say anything about anything because it's just going to happen. This is not necessarily the kind of environment that we should have in future elections. And I believe if it goes uncorrected, that it will definitely set in voter apathy and voter disappointment in thinking that their vote will count in this state.

I just wanted to make those opening

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

331 comments because I know that you may have a few 1 We do have -- I do have questions. some 2 comments that I want to share with you, but I see the 3 red light blinking and I don't know if that means stop 4 or that means maybe stop. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 6 It means maybe stop. I'll give you another minute. 7 Madam Chair, if I could WITNESS MEEK: 8 just share just two other things with you. 9 10 One may say on the Commission why are you

One may say on the Commission why are you talking about issues that may be a policy issue or a political issue. It feeds into the very reason why the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is here today. The fact that voters did not find it robbery -- the unlikely voter did not find it robbery to go out and cast their ballot on election day or what they thought they were doing.

In my district, one out of 11 votes were thrown out due to the fact that some news reports have? said that we had the oldest machines in the county in communities, the black in Little Haiti. No translation -- they're supposed to provide translation for these first time, maybe second time voters -- were not there. Lines were clogged. Some people may say well you have to work out your state statutes. That

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | may not necessarily be the case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now let me just talk a moment to talk about the state statutes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: One more moment.

WITNESS MEEK: One more moment.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: A minute.

The Commission that has WITNESS MEEK: been put together here in the state of Florida to correct the wrong, in my opinion and the opinion of many of my constituents and people within the Florida Conference of Black State Legislators, really that it's another opportunity to run the clock out. I believe that some issues will be resolved from this Commission and the legislature, but I believe some of the issues -so that we can do the new voter education that must take place in this state because of some of the statute changes, because of some of the procedural changes, because we have to go out to the grassroots community so that they can go out and share this new information, must and should happen session of the Florida legislature for us to be able to bring about ultimate accountability to those voters that were disenfranchised.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, thank you very much, Senator Meek. And I know you have to go,

but is there any Commissioner who has a question that 1 they feel they should absolutely ask the Senator and 2 that they cannot resist. 3 WITNESS MEEK: My flight is two hours 4 away, but I know that I'm standing in front of dinner, 5 6 so --7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does anybody want to--(No response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, well, then 9 10 let us thank you -- you have a question? 11 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: If I may. I think 12 it's very important. You have spoken more directly I think than any of our witnesses today about your sense 13 that this is about discrimination, that this is about 14 15 the effective disenfranchisement, particularly 16 people of color. Let me press you, 17 if Ι may. Do you it 18 believe that was intentional? And if SO; 19 intentional on whose part? I don't believe that there 20 WITNESS MEEK: 21 is a memo sitting around that we would find as it relates to intentional disenfranchisement. I believe 22 23 that, like I said, it's been more subliminal, due to 24 the fact that certain folks can do certain things in 25 the state of Florida and if you want me to go further,

I will, but I don't believe that we have time to do so. And that they can go uninvestigated, unfounded, unresolved.

The mindset that was set going into this election was one of people of color in this state are not going to necessarily get a fair shake from state government or from any other government, but the tone in Tallahassee was set here as it relates accountability for people of color here in this state. We have had more civil rights marches in this state in the last two years or 15 months than we've had through my entire 35 years here in Florida, as far-as I'm concerned. We have had more protests as it relates to equal rights and equal opportunity here in this state due to what came out of the state capitol, and will continue. Just think about it. This is the second time the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights had to deal even with the Florida issue. First the affirmative action question; second, this issue.

And Madam Chair, I've heard rumors that y'all may not be able to get to certain parts of the state, but I definitely encourage you to with vigor please move to those other parts of the state to hear from those disenfranchised voters that may be there.

I don't think that there is a memo or an e-mail saying

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

how do you disenfranchise the votes of people of color 1 and then again, it's hard for me not to speak of the 2 it's political question Ι don't think more 3 it's party-oriented than ethnicity, Ι think more 4 5 anything. COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, let me just be 6 7 sure that we understand you, Senator. Are you saying 8 that you believe that there were certain officials, state and/or local officials, who for partisan reasons 9 10 ignored warning signs that more care should be taken, more investments should be made in order to see that 11 the voting process ran smoothly? Is that what you're 12 13 saying? WITNESS MEEK: No. What I'm saying is --14 you're talking about prior or after? 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Both. 16 17 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm talking about in months and weeks leading this record 18 up to turnout. 19 WITNESS MEEK: Leading up to the election, 20 I believe that it was similar to how most government 21 functions perform, that everyone thought that things 22 would pretty much be on the up and up as it relates to 23 the government's responsibility of making sure that 24 25 everyone is able to cast an accurate ballot outside of

those that have semi -- I wouldn't say permanent disenfranchisement, but because of a past criminal history.

I can say that that era of good will existed there as it relates to it. But everyone that oversaw that particular issue did have some political interest and busy with campaigns weeks prior to the election and, Madam Chair, if I can just take a prerogative of 30 seconds to talk about post-election

Many of the issues went uninvestigated for several days, almost a -- over a month as it relates I heard your previous panel to what took place. talking about the spoiling of ballots. Well spoiling of the paper trail and the spoiling of witnesses took place after the disenfranchised voters were disenfranchised. I mean there was no -- there was power in the state to say we should investigate this allegation, there was every media outlet in the country here to interview certain individuals. No one the government found that it was their responsibility to go out and find that issue.

I can say what happened in the Florida Highway Patrol case is that at least they followed up in a timely manner and didn't wait a month and a half afterwards to do an internal investigation. And as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

far as I'm concerned, as it relates to people, those disenfranchised voters being heard, you are the first panel of any status that will help carry their issue a little further as it relates to corrective measures in this state.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: You mentioned earlier about voter apathy and the Governor was here earlier today assuring the Commission that he was going to do whatever he can to make things better.

Between now and the next election, what would you suggest the Governor should do to change voter apathy right now?

Well. WITNESS MEEK: I think what Governor can do at this particular time is move with vigor to have the appropriate agencies that are under his control, investigate first-hand, do what you are doing to investigate first-hand some of the issues that took place in the polling places. And first of affected communities all, say that the Ι must obviously, not only through some of the vote that took place, obviously do not have great-faith in whatever the Governor says that he's going to listen, because during the one Florida hearing as it relates to affirmative action, we went to three major cities,

including Tallahassee and they said please do not take race nor gender out of many considerations as it relates to equal opportunity, and he left that meeting by saying this is the reason why we need to move forth with doing away with those factors.

So I think what the Governor action-wise can do is do one thing, that's listen to those that may not necessarily tell him what he wants to hear, but tell him what he needs to know. And what he needs to know is that it's important that we make sure that have second chance voting machines in precincts throughout the state of Florida and I'm going to tell you what the catch word there, Madam Chair and Ms. Lee, is the issue of those machines being ordered in a timely manner. You have to remember throughout this country, folks are watching not only these proceedings but also trying to do the same thing. And we will find ourselves in Florida without second We will find ourselves in Florida possibly machines. being in a backlog of having the kind of whatever voting method that we're going to come up with -- it's important that we purchase those items.

And in my text that I've given to you,

I've spelled that out of what I think it will cost,

but I think the Governor moving fast and making sure

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that everyone is -- those recommendations are brought 1 about and also there's another document that I will 2 give you for the record that Senator Darryl Jones and 3 State representative Chris Smith brought forth as it 4 relates to recommendations of letting folks know. 5 understand you have a copy of it -- letting those 6 7 precincts know that had very high over-votes their rights and also making it open for other observers to 8 be a part of the voting process and the education 9 10 process. So the that the 11 sooner we make corrections, the more time we have to education 12 Floridians throughout the state of Florida on what the 13 new changes have been, the new procedure and making 14 sure that those voting machines are in those areas 15 where we've had the largest over-voting and voter 16 inaccuracies so that we can hopefully correct those 17 issues throughout Florida. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Wilson. 20 COMMISSIONER WILSON: 21 Thank you. I quess 22 my question is could you describe the programs that 23 you're involved in, in terms of educating voters -- in terms of educating voters in schools as well as out of 24 25 schools, I mean prospective, soon to be, one day will

be voters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witness MEEK: That's an interesting point and something that I haven't in the legislature heard any dialogue on. Right now, I know in south Florida in some school districts, we register voters at the age of 17-1/2 to allow them to receive their voter registration card when they turn 18. The education or the mechanics of voting is not necessarily a part of the curriculum base of our school system or one of the many things that they can teach within a school system. But I think that could be one way as it relates to voter education.

But going back to voter education, I think it's also important that we set forth whatever new standards that we're going to have dealing with everyday voters as possible, that as soon SO organizations that are ready to go, educate those voters, young and old, on how the new voting machines or the second chance voting, as it relates to the poll workers, would have you. God bless the poll workers, I know a lot of people have talked a lot about them. These folks are wolunteers, they're trying to do the best they can, but the more investment that we give local districts the opportunity to invest in training, I think the better outcome that we'll have along the education front.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One thing I COMMISSIONER WILSON: that Ι don't final statement, say, just as a understand is that in our country, we -- voting is just a basic right, but we don't celebrate it in our schools and we don't teach it in our schools. And in a way, it's just been cast aside, speaking of voting. I mean it's just -- the attention isn't paid and it seems to me one of the crucial things that should be done now is that attention should be paid in the schools so that children think it's a valuable thing to do.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I thank you for that comment. I'm sure you agree, Senator Meek.

WITNESS MEEK: Oh, I agree 110 percent.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let us -- did you want to ask something? Okay.

Let me just say that we thank you and are happy to have accommodated you and point out finally in reference to a point that you made, that we earlier in a session discussed that the Florida statutes do empower the Governor to appoint special officers to investigate alleged violations of the election laws.

We asked whether the Governor had appointed any special officers to do that since the election and the

1 But I simply point that out in terms answer was no. 2 of your -- what do you think about that idea? 3 WITNESS MEEK: Madam Chair, I would -- I'm 4 sorry. 5 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I was going to ask, state legislators specifically formally 6 have 7 requested that he do so? 8 WITNESS MEEK: Let me just -- well, I'm 9 going to answer your question, Madam Chair, and then 10 come over to you. 11 The Governor, historically has had 12 you're talking about the executive investigation 13 privilege that he has as it relates to looking at any 14 of these issues that are there. And that's what I meant by identify disenfranchised voters and issues 15 16 that took place during the election. There was a I mean when the Governor said he was going 17 18 to step out and no longer wipe his hands of dealing 19 with the elections issue, he did in some things and 20 didn't in others. The day after he said I'm no longer 21 dealing with the elections, he allowed six senior 22 members of his staff to go over and work with the Bush recount initiative. 23 24

But the issue comes down to, at the same time, there could have been immediate investigation.

I used to be a state trooper here in Florida. When a crime scene takes place, we know of the spoiling of evidence. I mean the more people you let walk through the crime scene, how can you solve the crime. So when we look at where are the people, who are the victims, I mean it's kind of hard to find those victims when you're investigating 40, 60, six months after the crime.

So I think it's important that we remember that the Governor had full powers in being able to deal with this issue and opted not to do so.

And then you go back to the issue of the house burning. I'm sorry, I don't want to sound like some sort of a car salesman, but I just want to say if the house is on fire and the fire department is across the street and they're not pulling their trucks out, but they show up after there are ashes talking about now how can we correct this in the future, even though we saw it burning -- that's what happened here in Florida and that's the reason why people of color and Haitians and Hispanics in certain areas and poor people -- I just want to add that because I believe it's a class issue. And I didn't want -- you know, I am a Democrat, I would say that, but this is not a partisan issue for me, but it has been a partisan

issue as it relates to dealing with and responding to the issues because it comes down to constituencies.

in point real quickly. The legislature appointed a select committee to deal with the issues of elections. What did they pick? the process in how we count ballots, why do different counties have different processes in counting ballots And why overseas ballots were not post-election. counted. And should we meet in a special session. Not what happened to the disenfranchised voters in Jacksonville. Not what happened to those Holocaust survivors in Palm Beach who thought they were voting for one candidate and voted for another. should we deal with the issue of the butterfly ballot or any of those issues. They were clearly partisan issues.

And so when you look at the constituencies that have been disenfranchised, you have to look at those historically African-Americans, you know --well, I don't want to say historically because we were Republicans at one point, but now in recent history they're Democrats. So there's no real reason to do it. That goes back to disenfranchisement of exfelons. Why do we want to list that? Why do we want to allow Florida voters to vote on that issue if we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going to deal with it? Well, number one, that's not 1 going to work in the Republican Party way here in the 2 state of Florida. Not all Republicans feel that way, 3 leadership does because those individuals 4 historically are going to vote Democratic. 5 So that goes back to the point, Madam 6. 7 as it relates to the issue at hand of why certain things did not happen. And you ask members of 8 the legislature, it is not our -- how would you say --9 we can ask the Governor to do something, individual 10 I personally did not write the Governor on members. 11 this particular issue of him exercising his executive 12 privilege, due to the fact that he's not going to 13 executive privilege in exercise his this 14 15 There's just some things that you know. And my house has been burned down many times without the water. 16 So if I have this kind of apathy as it 17 relates to the Governor responding to this kind of 18 situation, quess what the average Floridian may feel! 19 those individuals 20 it relates to that disenfranchised. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We are going to have to cut this off. 23 WITNESS MEEK: Thank you, Madam Chair. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And we very much

1	appreciate it and we were happy to accommodate you,
2	and thank you very much, Senator.
3	WITNESS MEEK: Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will stand in
6	recess until 6:00 p.m. when we will have our open
7	forum. Thank you.
8	(Whereupon, the afternoon session was
9	concluded at 5:32 p.m., the evening session to begin
10	at 6:00 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	d the man fine of the fire
23	
24	
25	

1	E-V-E-N-I-N-G S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	Public Forum
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This session will now
4	come to order. Would everyone please take their
5	seats. Could we have the sign interpreter ask if
6	anyone is in need of sign interpretation? Where's the
7	sign interpreter? Do we have a sign interpreter?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What happened to the
10	sign interpreter?
11	(Brief pause.)
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If the sign
13	interpreter would ask if anyone is in need of sign
14	interpretation, please.
15	(Whereupon, the sign interpreter
16	complies.)
17	THE INTERPRETER: Madam Chair, I see no
18	one.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, thank you.
20	We will now begin a session where the
21	Commissioners will hear testimony from concerned
22	personsWe have individuals who we will be calling,
23	who have previously signed up and had a brief

order that we will proceed here in just a moment.

24

25

screening with our staff.

They're being called in the

1	Then the record for the hearings we'll
2	remind persons who give testimony that the record for
3	the hearing will remain open for 60 days during which
4	you may introduce any other materials that you wish to
5	submit to the Commission.
6	For those of you who will be speaking, we
7	ask that you limit your comments to five minutes, if
8	you are able to do so, and please try to keep within
9	the five minutes.
10	General counsel, could you please call the
11	witnesses?
12	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Yes; Madam
13	Chairperson.
14	At this time, I would like to call the
15	following persons to come forward: Mary Knight, State
16	Representative Chris Smith, Raymond Jackson, Mr.
17	Whitfield Jenkins, Jan LaBelle and Mr. Tony Hill.
18	We need one additional chair at this time.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you please, now
20	that you're sitting down, stand up?
21	(Laughter.)
22	.CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And raise your right
23	hands to take the oath.
24	Whereupon,
25	MARY KNIGHT

CHRIS SMITH 1 RAYMOND JACKSON 2 WHITFIELD JENKINS 3 JAN LABELLE 4 TONY HILL 5 appeared as witnesses herein, and having been first 6 7 duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows: CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, you may be :8 seated again. We will begin with the first witness 9 10 and take each of you in turn and we will start with 11 Mary Knight of the League of Women Voters of 12 Florida. Please proceed. 13 I have brought copies of WITNESS KNIGHT: 14 15 my statement and gave them to Joyce Smith. Right, 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and we will introduce the statement for 17 the record. Without 18 objection, so ordered. Regardless of political 19 WITNESS KNIGHT: 20 party, Americans agree that the 2000 seem to 21 presidential election was а disaster, fiasco 22 centered in our own state no less. -- It to therefore, 23 behooves us to reform our system of voting and we 24 commend you for coming to Tallahassee to help us do 25 that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

November.

We were once the center of the universe for three weeks. I hope some of you heard Pam Iorio, Supervisor of Elections of Hillsborough County, Tampa. I can tell you that if the task force recommends her ideas to the Governor and the legislature, the League of Women Voters of Florida will be more than pleased. I believe she summarized the specific issues which Florida elections seem out of control in made

Leaque believes in The representative and individual liberties, established by government the Constitution of the United States. We believe that democratic government depends on the informed and active participation of its citizens and requires that government bodies protect the citizens' right to know by giving adequate notice of proposed actions, holding public meetings and making public records accessible. Further, we believe that every citizen should be protected by the exercise of these right and that includes supporting the restoration of civil rights automatically when a felon has paid his or her debt to society. Fundamental to this participation is citizen right to vote.

In order to increase participation, the League believes the election officials have a

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibility for encouraging the exercise of the citizen confidence and for promoting vote, understanding the electoral process, and for providing equal access to the ballot. To support principles, the League has developed positions through registration election law, voter study of and education, voting process administration and updated equipment.

Much of the chaos in November was οf lack of administrative rules result a and uninformed political and/or irresponsible decisions. Those frequent snap decisions, made under enormous pressure by local supervisors of elections and the Secretary of State's office, could have been avoided by clear administrative rules that were enforced. Statewide policy on standardized updated counting equipment and statewide standards for counting ballots are essential in a close election. If supervisors of elections were elected on a nonpartisan basis, there would have been less pressure on them to do favors for party officials.

The, United ... States ... and ... Florida ... Leagues oppose the electoral college and support uniform national qualifications and procedures for the presidential election. If these had been in effect

this cycle, the election would have been over November 1 2 7 because the popular vote would have determined the vote and that count nationally was never questioned. 3 4 David S. Broder said in the Washington 5 Post on December 6, 2000, one of the few benefits that 6 can derive from Florida's voting mess is a serious 7 effort to examine and improve election procedures in 8 this country. The antiquated machinery by which we 9 choose our elected officials is an embarrassment. Former President Jimmy Carter said that if 10 11 his group went to another country to monitor a vote 12 and found the problems that were in Florida, they 13 would have refused to participate. 14 Broder goes on to say that part of the 15 problem is lack of funding. He has a concern about Congress not giving states any financial help 16 17 legislatures not funding secretaries of state. In Florida, the counties are responsible for the funding 18 of election equipment; therefore, we have a mix of 19 antiquated and modern voting equipment. 20 Broder says 21 that federal and state governments should share the 22 burden of the updating of voting systems. 23 You have to CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

WITNESS KNIGHT: This is it.

summing up, ma'am, please.

18

19

20

.21

22

23

24

25

## (Laughter.)

2	WITNESS KNIGHT: In conclusion, the
3,	Florida League positions on electronic voting systems
4	state that to ensure the integrity of the voting
5	process, to promote public confidence in voting and to
6	provide uniformly reliable vote tallying throughout
7	Florida, the performance standards of all hardware,
8	software, management elements of voting systems
9	considered for a public use within the state must be
10	established by law. Voting systems should be
11	certified for use by the Secretary of State.
12	Determination of compliance should be an ongoing
13	process covering new systems, changes in systems and
14	systems in use.
15	Thank you for coming to Tallahassee and we
16	hope that you will have a good stay.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much

and we'll see if there are any questions for you. Thank you for your testimony.

State Representative Chris Smith, please. Welcome.

very much. I know as an elected official, you're probably scared that I'm going to go over the five minute time, but in my other life, I'm a lawyer and I'm not billing now, so I'll keep it below five minutes.

(Laughter.)

WITNESS SMITH: My name is Christopher Smith, I'm a State Representative from Fort Lauderdale and in Broward County -- the now famous Broward County. I was first elected in 1998. Subsequently re-elected unopposed, because if I had to run in this 2000 election, I'm not sure I'd be here or know whether I was really elected or not.

I want to again echo the comments earlier and thank you for coming here today and doing the work that honestly I felt that our task force should be doing. I was appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives as the Democratic member from the House of Representatives on the Governor's Select Task Force, and as an appointee of that task force, I felt that we would look at all of the issues of this past election. In looking at the Executive Order that created the task force and listening to the original comments, I was dismayed to think that we would not go into all realms of the problems of this past election.

Luckily, Senator Jones and I, the Democratic member from the Florida Senate that is on the task force have been able to bring up certain

1 records of the task force and trying to charge the 2 task force into looking at those issues. 3 Part of that recommendation you should 4 have with you and I'd like to introduce it into the 5 "Accuracy and Fairness of Florida 6 record. It's 7 Voters." Your staff informed that you all received a copy, but it has not yet been entered into the record, 8 so I'd like to enter that into the record. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Without objection, so ordered. 11 12 WITNESS SMITH: Thank you very much. Again, when started with the 13 we task force. were charged with looking at 14 we mechanisms in the Florida election under the mindset 15 that by changing the voting mechanisms in Florida, we 16 would alleviate all of the problems and concerns in 17 Well, ladies and gentlemen, that is just not 18 Florida. the case with some of the things that has happened in 19 20 Florida. 21 November 8, Ι local radio went on a 22 station in Miami and discussed the election and I gave 23 out my office phone numbers on that radio station. 24 office was inundated with phone calls with stores from 25 people from around the tri-county area, Palm Beach

issues and certain comments and we're getting into the

County, Broward County and Dade County, with a myriad of stories of how they were denied the right to vote. Not just those that voted and maybe are afraid their ballots didn't count because they had a hanging chad or a dimpled chad or had a ballot that just wasn't sufficient, but people that were actually denied the right to vote coming there. I received numerous phone calls from people that had problems whether their names were on the rolls or not, I had people that had voting precincts that had moved and they weren't informed of where to find those new precincts. many constituents calling with the concern that concer they were told their names aren't on the roll, the procedures weren't followed to justify them not being on the rolls, nor were the procedures followed to allow them to still vote. In Florida, if your name is not on the roll, you can sign an affidavit and vote and have it verified later. In many communities that was not offered. That's a concern that the task force -- we're trying to charge them into looking into it, but I seriously hope and I seriously pray that this Commission will look into those things as you continue with your investigations and hear the testimony, that you seriously look at some of those.

I will leave also a copy of my phone log

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from November 8 which has names and phone numbers and a short narrative of everyone's comments from November 8, and their concerns.

force Regarding the task and our recommendations given to the task force, I understand Senator Meek spoke earlier and spoke to some of those recommendations that were given to the task force -the recommendations of the bill of rights that are posted in the different precincts. We've gone a step further in recommending that those bill of rights be articulated to everyone coming into the precincts because what we found in Florida is that not only did ' the voters not know their rights, but precinct workers informed of the rights weren't as orarticulate the rights to the voters, to ensure that they're able to vote.

In summation, fl's election was much more than hanging chads or dimpled chads or soiled ballots. Florida's election involved a lot of people that were denied the right to vote through a myriad of reasons. People that had registered to vote, people that went to vote, and were turned way or people that just didn't even get in the door to vote when they had the ability and the right to vote. And I seriously hope and pray that you look into these issues and help us

disseminate the information throughout the state and 1 throughout Tallahassee and this government so that we 2 can alleviate this problem in the future. 3 Thank you, Madam Chair. 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much, 5 Representative Smith. 6 Now we have the President of the North 7 Florida Branch of the NAACP, Mr. Raymond Jackson. 8 9 WITNESS JACKSON: I say good afternoon each and every one of you. Indeed I am happy to be 10 11 here to speak before this Commission. 12 In our area there we did to a get out the most of the testimony 13 vote. You know, may negative, but some of these times you have to say 14 15 something that's truthful about it. We worked the get out the vote and arrive with five. 16 Our registration Beasley, worked with 17 lady, Ms. us supply registration forms that we did sign up 18 people. But the only concerns that we had in most of 19 20 our areas was the number of absentee ballots that were 21 coming from certain precincts and we are in question about that. 22 23 that we did have some complaints Also 24 about the number of people that went to the poll and

their name had been purged from the rolls and from our

	359
1	understanding, that could not be done. And I'm over
2	three counties Washington, Walton and Holmes
3	Counties the only discrepancy we had in Holmes
4	County was the sheriff's race and it was by three or
5	four votes there, they had a recount on that. All in
6	all, our voting in our area was pretty decent and in
7	order.
8	Our most concern was also the military,
9	you know, we have Eglin Air Force Base down there and
10	have a lot of people that's overseas and their ballot

you know, we have Eglin Air Force Base down there and have a lot of people that's overseas and their ballot came in after the election was closed and we had questions about that. And I think Okaloosa County, some of Walton County did not allow those ballots to be counted. But all in all, we had a wonderful election and we felt like the get out the vote and everything was wonderful and successful in our area.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.

Mr. Whitfield Jenkins, who is the first Vice President of the State Conference of NAACP.

WITNESS JENKINS: Thank you, Madam Chair, and members of the Commission, my name is Whitfield Jenkins. I'm going to read a statement on behalf of State President Adora Obay Nweze. President Nweze is in Miami doing some work similar to this and she

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

prepared a statement and I'd like to read it for the record. And I have a copy of it that I will give to you.

I'm here this evening to present testimony the grave concern felt by with regard to membership of the State Conference as a result of the circumstances of November 7, 2000 general election. At the outset, I must tell you that my remarks will be general rather than talk about specific instances of voter disenfranchisement. I will describe some of the allegations heard by local NAACP units around the state.

The overriding issue surrounding this election the fact that is some voters were disenfranchised because their legitimately cast votes were not counted. Contrary to media reports, the NAACP is not concerned about who won or who lost this We are extremely concerned and alarmed by election. the fact that decisions were made by state and local officials that had the results of denying many voters the opportunity to have their votes counted. Our concern begins with the lack of sufficient preparation for those who chose to take advantage of the opportunity to cast early ballots.

In some major metropolitan areas of the

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This

then election

state, supervisors of elections announced that there 1 would be an opportunity for early voting on 2 3 Saturday opportunity was made available at the main supervisors 4 of election offices. 5 the lack of sufficient personnel and voting equipment, 6 people were forced to wait for three or more hours in 7 order to vast their ballots. In these instances, many 8 people simply gave up and left. If there are going to 9 opportunities for 10 officials should have the necessary contingencies in 11 place to be able to deal with the large crowds -- who 12 expect to take advantage of this opportunity. 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This problem of poor planning carried over election day. On the 7th of November, many precincts were understaffed and unequipped, which again resulted in long delays and voter frustration.

general

early voting,

the

to

prior

election.

In many instances, because of

With regard to the equipment, there have been widespread allegations that faulty ormaintained election equipment was in predominantly minority and low-income precincts. result, it is alleged that most of the ballots which were identified as being unread by the tally equipment came from those same minority and low income areas. should also state for the record that these two terms,

the minority and low incomes, are often interchangeable. They are not two separate areas, they are one and the same.

There are widespread allegations of precinct workers who by their actions or decisions disenfranchised many voters. Failure of the election workers to adequately test voting machines prior to the start of voting resulted in inoperable machines being used, which again denied many people the ability to have their vote counted.

Election workers failed to check to determine whether many voters were registered by calling the central record department of the county election office, as they were supposed to. In many instances, voters either had to wait an inordinate amount of time to complete these checks or therefore were frustrated and left without voting.

In many instances, delays were due to clogged telephone lines into the central office. This is another example of poor planning.

But there are other issues such as the inability of election workers to communicate with voters who spoke a different language. This is particularly true in precincts with high Haitian populations. There were no instructions available in

available individuals to translate Creole orinformation into Creole. Many of the precinct workers individuals from have prevented alleged to obtaining assistance in their native languages. This This, coupled with unfamiliarity was a major problem. with the process by many new voters, points out the need for adequate poll worker training.

In many counties across the state, alleged that valid, eligible voters were turned away from the polls because their names had been purged from the voter files. In many instances, these files In a number of specific instances, these were wrong. purges were the result of incorrect information with regard to felon disenfranchisement. There are many questions with regard to purges ordered by Secretary of State office as a result of an erroneous list of disenfranchised felons produced for it by an outside firm.

In addition to the allegations I have addressed thus far, the most alarming allegation is the suggestion that law enforcement officers may have impeded or interfered with voters who were intransit to polling places. This interference included unauthorized roadblocks and unwarranted traffic stops near polling places in certain minority precincts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ultimately the most egregious allegation is the one concerning failure or refusal of state and local officials to count legitimately cast votes. There were several counties where a majority of the ballots contained supposedly over-votes and unread ballots occurred in predominantly minority communities. We believe that refusal to properly count or recount these ballots was intentional and race-based.

This Commission must and should provide an accurate investigation and report of irregularities that occurred in Florida on November 7, 2000. . We hope that you will be thorough and your conclusion will speak to each of the issues that are identified in the course of these hearings.

The Florida State Conference of the NAACP branches believe that many instances of illegal voter disenfranchisement occurred. We hope that your report will clarify this situation and provide useful recommendations for future action that can help our state avoid this kind of problem. Ultimately, we hope that your actions will help to ensure that every Floridian will be able to vote and that each of their votes will be counted.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.

The next testimony comes from Ms. Jan LaBelle, Executive Director of the Family Network on Disabilities of Florida and a Board Member of the Florida Coalition on Disability Rights. Welcome.

would also like to thank the Commissioners for coming to Florida and looking into this very important issue.

First and foremost, I'd like to speak to you as a parent of two children with disabilities.

I'm also a registered voter in the state of Florida.

As the Executive Director of Family Network on Disabilities, I'd like to share with you it is a statewide not-for-profit and we have provided information, support, training and advocacy to more than 100,000 individuals with disabilities, special needs or at-risk throughout the state of Florida, as well as their families each year.

There's two issues I'd like to address. The first is to echo what Senator Meek stated earlier and that is that we really need to invest in the training of poll workers, and in particular from my perspective, sensitivity training with respect to disability issues.

The second is to speak to the composition

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the Select Task Force on Election Procedures, Standards and Technology. My understanding is that there is no representation from the minority communities this task force, including disability community. While the Select Task Force may ultimately consider the public comments that they've gathered throughout the state, there is potential for recommendations to be made that might include such things as optical ballot scanners. This use of technology continues to make the constitutional civil rights to cast your vote in to many Floridians with a unavailable variety of disabilities in our state.

By placing representatives from the various stakeholder groups as members of the task force or increasing the membership of the task force, we believe that some of these recommendations that might continue to disenfranchise voters might not occur.

Equally important is the need for the task force to focus on information dissemination regarding the upcoming public comment meetings that are already scheduled in several locations throughout Florida. We believe that if they provided the information to the many advocacy organizations and others that we would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be happy to assist in letting our membership and our 1 constituency know of the opportunity to voice their 2 concerns as well as possible solutions so that no 3 voter is or perceived that they are disenfranchised 4 5 from the voting process in Florida. Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. 7 ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Madam 8 Chair, I believe State Representative Chris Smith may 9 want to briefly comment on the racial diversity of the 10 task force. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you wish 12 to comment on that? As I understood Ms. LaBelle, 13 said that minorities were not represented and 14 referred to the disability rights community. 15 want to comment on the diversity issue concerning the 16 17 task force? 18 WITNESS SMITH: Yes, Madam Chair, with your permission, thank you. 19 She is correct, there is not a person to 20 the disability community. 21 represent There are 22 minorities on the commission but no one from the 23 disability community. We are scheduled to have a representation at our next meeting in Orlando on the 24

23rd, a gentleman came to my office today to speak

about those issues as well as recommendations that he 2 will put forward to the commission on January 23rd in Orlando. 3 4 And the second issue regarding the timing 5 of the meetings or the publication of our meetings, 6 they are on the website at CollinsCenter.org. There's 7 a full report of our task force as well as notices of 8 meetings, which are in Orlando, Fort Lauderdale and Jacksonville. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did you want to say something back? 11 12 WITNESS LABELLE: I appreciate: the opportunity to add to my comments. 13 14 am very glad that there is minority 15 representation and that the disability community has 16 access to your office. I would still like to see 17 representation on the task force at the table, not 18 merely having an opportunity to speak before you but actually part of your voting group as you come up and 19 20 identify your recommendations. 21 Greater than that is I believe the need to 22 be proactive in disseminating information. I believe 23 that the task force -- I have no reason to believe that they're not being completely in the sunshine but 24 25 it leaves our -- the disability community at least --

1	we would then have the responsibility to find internet
2	access and go and find out on our own where the
3	meetings are, and I'm just offering an additional
4	opportunity to be proactive and disseminate that
5	information so you have real grassroots comment during
6	your public hearing which is, I would imagine, what
7	you're really looking for.
8	WITNESS-SMITH: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And by you, you were
10	talking about the task force, not this Commission.
11	WITNESS LABELLE: Either one. Anything
12	where Floridians have a chance to
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This Commission has a
14	number of TABs, temporarily able bodied people. And
15	at least one member who does have disabilities that
16	are
17	WITNESS LABELLE: I was speaking of the
18	task force.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, I just wanted to
20	make sure.
21	Mr. Tony Hill, a former State
22	Representative, please proceed.
23	WITNESS HILL: Thank you, Dr. Berry, an to
24	the Commission again, I wanted to echo the sentiments
25	of thank God that y'all are here in Florida.

historical

After that

Florida

leaders.

ballot

and

1

Ι

affirmative action issue.

One Florida initiative.

was

he

hostile meeting about One

meetings were ever held.

want

to

come

perspective of how we got to the pregnant chads and

the dimpled chads and all the other stuff, was because

of a large African-American turnout. And the reason

for that turnout, that led to that turnout, happened

around August of 1999 when Ward Connelly was seeking

to put a ballot initiative here in Florida on the

in August of 1999, word leaked out of Governor Bush's

fact of leaking that information out and confirming

pursue

consult

to

to

black publishers to the mansion in what was a very

became clear that Ward Connelly's initiative to end

because of the constitutionality of it and the single

made it official while he was in Europe.

going

began

affirmative action would be taken off

matter that

lawmakers

from

Then in November -- well,

And in November of 1999, they

the

December 17, '99, he called all

Florida and no

.covers Florida's

extremely

January 2000, the legislative committee

Late December 2000,

the

One

black

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

that

he

primarily clergy.

initiative,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

frustrated and then on January 18, Senator Meeks and **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

were

subject

met,

initiatives.

black

angry

friendly visit had a there the we 1 Governor's office and then from there, Bush recorded 2 TV shouts at his press secretary, "your life is going 3 to be a living hell if you don't kick their asses 4 He said that he was not talking to us, but he 5 was talking to the nine reporters. And then after 6 7 FAMU students marked on the that, 3000 demanding a meeting with the Governor to get into a 8 discussion of their concerns. And they felt that the 9 Governor was disingenuous in his discussions with 10 his negatives 11 At that time, interms of 12 popularity, which was being floated when the One Florida initiative jumped 25 points. In March, before 13 the opening of the session, we had over 50,000 people 14 15 march on the Capitol because of this. National 16 leaders came in from around the country. By March, the polls showed that the public was evenly split on 17 the issue, where previously they were 70 to 30 against 18 affirmative action. 19

Bush was forced to hold public hearings around the state. The hearings becoming a public relations nightmare for him, keeping the issue alive in terms of the march on Tallahassee. The Board of Regents passed the One Florida despite overwhelming opposition by public hearings. The cabinet

20

21

22

23

24

implemented the One Florida as the Board of Education after an emotional presentation by Senator Betty Holsendorf from Jacksonville, Senator Meek from Miami, Representative Hill from Jacksonville.

Last minute poorly scripted presentation by some of the administration employees; i.e., African-Americans, they were as sellouts. Florida appeared on national television and in press as a state that was in disarray and in full retreat of civil rights progress.

The 2000 legislative session was difficult for members of the caucus. Α lot of legislation was not passed because of the march on We passed a voter fraud act that passed, was designed to try to intimidate, I feel, African-Americans. The State Division of Elections currently running TV ads with an 800 number to report voter fraud and an attempt to starve off high black voter turnout. The ads touted a \$5000 reward for reporting voter fraud. The ads are running statewide with a grainy video of an elderly black man in a traditional ballot box...Intimidation efforts is clear there. The Republican legislators was totally hostile toward the Florida Supreme Court, they tried to put language where they could add more people to the courts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3 4

5

7

6

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

People talked constantly about the arrogance of the Governor and we tried -- Congresswoman Corrine Brown, Senator Holsendorf reminded the Governor this is a democracy, not a dynasty.

We sued over vouchers, FREE organization, which was the counter organization to Ward Connelly's initiative, call FREE filed suits. We also filed suits against the Governor's One Florida plan in terms of the percentage plan. The percentage plan that we felt was not implemented in terms of legislation passed and then going forth with it.

2000, schools failed May no the educational piece, so no vouchers were handed out. June 2000, Senator Meeks and myself, we began the famous Arrive with Five bus tour where we were taunted by the Republican Party chair as a hate group -- a hate tour. In August of 2000, the Florida Department of State and its chief election officer Kathleen : (sic) Harris, spent over \$30,000 producing a public service announcement on films, extremely reckless waste of taxpayer dollars. The ad featured her at the beaches and thoroughbred horses and Norman Schwartzkopf. The message was not directed at voters least likely to vote. The code was protection of freedom, the question is for whom.

1	The National and then coming down to
2	where the rubber meets the road, Madam Chair, is that
3	
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah, you've got to
5	finish up.
6	WITNESS HILL: out of a possible
7	943,000 voters that were registered African-Americans,
8	893 voted. Our concerns here today as I wrap it up is
9	that when we looked for help from FDLE, which was
10	established for this purpose, to investigate, we never
11	got a call from FDLE and we're asking that this
12	Committee, if we could do, if anything, expand the
13	voting rights cities in Florida. We have five and out
14	of those five, only one city, which is Hillsborough,
15	has a large population of African-Americans.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.
17	What's FDLE?
18	WITNESS HILL: Florida Department of Law
19	Enforcement.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, I didn't know what
21	FDLE was.
22	"Does _anyCommissioner _have anyquestions
23	for any member of the panel? Yes, Vice Chair.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I have a
25	question for Representative Smith. Probably all of
	1

she has been

375 you know the answer, but the material that we got is still confusing to me. Is there or is there not a law in Florida that says that anybody who shows up to vote and is not

on the rolls but feels that he or

vote by affidavit?

WITNESS SMITH: Yes, sir, there is a form affidavit that many counties use and many precincts In Broward County, it's used in a lot of the precincts and I know in Dade County, it's used. it's part of the Florida election code, I can't, rattle off the number as we speak, but that is a law.

excluded improperly, does that person have a right to

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: May I follow What went wrong? With the testimony we heard up? this morning, literally dozens, maybe hundreds of incidents which we read about in the newspaper, where folk showed up and they were told they couldn't vote because they were felons and they said no, we're not felons. Should they have been able to vote affidavit and then check it out later or the many other folk who were told that they weren to on the rolls and many of them got discouraged and left?

If that law is so clear, how come the officials didn't know about it? Frankly, from,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

everything I had read until I got the material from our staff, I thought you didn't have an affidavit law.

sir. Well, WITNESS SMITH: Yes, the concern and what I think a couple of people have mentioned today is that throughout the state, it was on a precinct -- even smaller than county -- precinctby-precinct basis where the people were given adequate Part of the problem and a major part of assistance. the problem, in some precincts where we were more vigilant and had more assistance, you had these instances. smaller amount of There are many precincts, through overt actions or inaction where people were denied this right, that is a state law.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: There was a report in the press of a woman who said she was African-American, had worked for many, many years as a precinct worker in a predominantly African-American precinct and she said she sat down and cried, if I remember correctly, because she had to turn down so many people that she knew had always voted in her precinct, but she kept calling and kept calling and couldn't get through to the proper authorities.

My question is why didn't she, apparently a good, motivated citizen and worker, know that there's a law that says that folk can vote by

1	affidavit? Or am I I don't understand it frankly.
2	WITNESS HILL: Well, the one on the
3	felony, those letters were sent out in the summer.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I understand.
5	WITNESS HILL: So it's possible that
.6 .	someone could have been living in New York and been a
7	convicted felon and had their rights restored but
8	moved to Florida.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.
10	WITNESS HILL: And so that's where the
11	problem is there because of the felony situation.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But my question
13	is if you have a right to vote by affidavit and an
14	official says you can't vote because you're an ex-
15	felon and the person says I don't believe that law
16	applies to me, under the affidavit procedure, the
17	person should be able to vote and then check it out
18	later.
19	WITNESS HILL: But I don't think,
20	Commissioner, that was the case at the polling place.
21	I think these people were notified before, not when
22	they went, to the polling place, they would tell you
23	that you were a felon. I don't think they had that
24	information to say they were a felon.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, no, the

testimony that we've heard is that they were not notified that they were dropped from the rolls and so they would show up and they would be told you're not on the rolls, then at least we had one witness this morning say that then they called the central office and the central office said oh, you've been dropped because you're an ex-felon. And he was not.

WITNESS HILL: Well then, Commissioner, I think in respect to those people who were working, were doing the right thing, because people's names were not on the rolls. But what we're elections saying, the supervisor of should have identified those folks. I mean just like they got the letters or the letters were sent out to some people.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I know, but all kinds of problems can come up during an election and some states like California have a law that says if the person who shows up thinks that he or she ought to be able to vote, they have a right to vote no matter what the officials say. But you take the ballot and you put it into a separate box and then if issues come up later, if the vote is needed to determine any issue, then you determine whether or not that person was right or wrong.

Now maybe I'm interpreting, when you folks

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

say an affidavit ballot, to be an affidavit ballot like California's, maybe it's not. But if it is like California, California said all of these folk who were improperly dropped, the folks who were not on voter lists and so on, should all have had a right and then count their vote later if it's needed. But from what I've read in the newspapers and the testimony we heard under oath this morning indicates that that wasn't done and I guess my question is if my understanding of the law is correct, how could there be such massive misunderstanding?

WITNESS HILL: Well, the problem here, Commissioner, and we're hoping through the testimony and hoping through some legislation from the Governor's task force is that we're not uniform, each county has their own autonomy to tell you what they can do and what you can't do.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If I may just, point of information; counsel has just pointed out that the section in the Florida law applies to the whole state, it doesn't apply to just one county. We heard so much over and over again since we've been here today that each county does whatever it wants. The law says it does whatever it wants. The law doesn't say that. There are numerous instances in the Florida election

1	law where there are provisions that apply to the while
2	state, they don't just apply to one county and say
3	that one county can do it. We're sitting here reading
4	the law, it's Section 101.111 of the Florida law, and
5	it provides for an oath of the person entering the
6	challenge and the oath of the challenged voter. And
7	it says that the oath may be administered by any
8	inspector or clerk and if the challenged person
9	refuses to make and sign the affidavit, the clerk
10	shall refuse to allow him or her to vote. That is if
11	they refuse to sign it.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right. But if
1,3	they're willing to, they can vote.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So the question
15	Vice Chair, proceed, but I just wanted to point out
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: What went awry?
17	WITNESS HILL: Again, Dr. Berry
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What is your
19	understanding of why this procedure was not used? Any
20	of you, are you familiar with it?
21	WITNESS HILL: Again, Dr. Berry, I think
22	that if the legal.people.on_either side of the aisle
23	would argue that case, we probably wouldn't have been
24	in the situation today, but that was not the way they
25	went.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see.

WITNESS HILL: What I want to say back to the Commissioner, that is that we, as people were getting out the vote, we didn't empower people that you could ask for an affidavit for the first time or that you can ask up for the three ballots, if you made a mistake on the ballot.

See, we had people that were intimidated by people and then we had in some communities people had a computer where they could just run it up there and tell the people. Whereas in the African-American community, you had to use the phone and the lines were tied up.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see, okay. Yes?

WITNESS JENKINS: Madam Chair and Vice Chair, my position is this, that if the law was on the books to give a right to the voter, the onus should be then on the elected officials and the employees to administer that service. We have many employees who might not have the knowledge, but if they got there and a situation occurred, it makes sense that the poll workers who are being paid by taxpayers money, would know the law and administer the service. So if it did happen, it's an omission on the part of elected officials and the poll workers.

1 | voters.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we will pursue this question on tomorrow with the public officials who are responsible for the training and the education and the administration of the laws here in the state.

Does anyone have any further questions?
Yes, Commissioner Edley.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I just think at some point it would be nice to see if we could find out how often and what counties and what precincts affidavit ballots were in fact used and to see if there is any pattern about the use of affidavit ballots.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good question.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It would be difficult to work through the methodology because you don't know how many were requested as opposed to -- but it still would give us a little bit of an inkling.

My question was for Mr. Jenkins. During your testimony, you stated your belief that there was a race-based refusal to count all the ballots. I just wanted to ask you to elaborate on why you believe that to be the case.

WITNESS JENKINS: Based upon the information that has been before the NAACP. Most of the precincts where we had a large number of uncounted

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ballots were minority precincts, African-American.

And there's no other explanation for that other than the fact that it would be on that basis. The Haitian community and the black community. And so that was

the basis for my statement.

WITNESS HILL: Also, Commissioner, in Duval for example, even when they asked for the recount, the supervisor of election people purposely waited to hear what the Supreme Court was going to say because the majority of those ballots that were low count or the machine did not count, were in African-American communities. And I think that's why Mr. Jenkins, and as we had our town hall -- I mean our hearing from people in Duval County about the problems that they were having at the polls. There was almost 27,000 ballots that were not counted or either were not known as were low counts or that the machine did not count. We were notified through Congresswoman Corrine Brown that it was only 500 and then two days later after the deadline had passed for us to make a protest, Madam Chairman, it came out it was 27,000

. COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Can I ask the two of you then, because it's quite clear to me based upon the media reports, that this sense that there was a race-based -- that race, if not partisan calculations,

played a role in some of these post-election decisions, that that's a deep-seated conviction on the part of many people in Florida, particularly in the minority communities.

My question to you is can you imagine any kind of information, any kind of evidence, any kind of testimony that would satisfy you that that's not the case? Is there anything that you can imagine hearing from election officials, is there anything that you can imagine investigating, that would suffice to persuade you and persuade people in a community that in fact this wasn't about race, this was just a screw up.

then, the second time around when they said count the votes, they would immediately not played around and say we don't know which votes were under-counted -- they just purposely stalled the time. And then of course, the Supreme Court came back and said don't count. So if they wanted to make up for the time that they did not allow us to make a protest, they could have did it then.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I just want to comment that I think there's an interesting problem here in that while it's true that in a legal sense,

one might say that those who claim there's a racial issue have the burden of persuasion. In a moral or political sense where the question is what can we do to ensure that the public has confidence in the system, there's a sort of a sense in which the public officials have the burden of persuading people that they're mistaken to believe that race really has been the source of the problems here.

I think as the Commission dwells on this and as we think about it, it would be interesting to try to figure out what kind of information might be developed that would give comfort to the folks who really have these grave reservations about what's going on. I don't know what that would be.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me follow up and just ask you, if for example, -- the same question -- if, for example, on the day after the election or two days later when all these allegations arise, the Governor announced that he was using his powers under Section 101.29 or whatever it is, to appoint special officers and they were announced as names and most of the community throughout the state had confidence in these people, say some white shoe lawyers or somebody, who would immediately begin to investigate these allegations to try to get to the bottom of it and take

testimony from people, or that the task force that was set up -- let's try that -- was going to immediately start looking at these or that -- of if he'd done this right away, would that have given you -- or the Secretary of State had done that, would that make you feel, as Commissioner Edley said, that maybe, you know, it wasn't really about race, things just happen and maybe we should just, you know, listen to them because they're trying to clean it up and fix it -what that have been enough to make you confidence?

WITNESS SMITH: Madam Chair, one of the major problems in this election -- and it was covered by the <u>Miami Herald</u> in an interesting article -- was the amount of spoiled ballots where they went and did an investigation and looked at numbers of spoiled ballots by precinct. By precinct in Broward County, my county, and Madam Chair, I'll let you know that Broward County is considered the most Democratic county in the state of Florida where one candidate, the Democratic candidate, won by almost 200,000 votes. However, still within that county where you would think that if it wasn't partisan -- that if it was partisan politics, all those votes would be counted. In the African-American communities, in my district,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	were the highest numbers of spoiled ballots. In Dade
2	County, when you looked by precinct by precinct, the
3	highest number of spoiled ballots were in the African-
4	American communities. So whatever was done on
5	November 8, whatever was done on November 7 at 8:00,
6	it's hard to look at those numbers, look at the
7	straight facts and justify why in certain areas,
8	certain areas, you had a higher number of spoiled
9	ballots. So to the communities in Florida, no matter
10	what you do from this point on and I admit, it's on
11	us to really make sure or try to gain back the voter
12	confidence because in Florida we broke records with
13	minority turnout for voting. So it's incumbent on us
14	to try and keep those people and keep that fire
15	burning. However, it's hard when you look at the
16	statistics, when you look at the numbers of where you
17	had the spoiled ballots. If you look in Duval County,
18	you would see the highest number of spoiled ballots,
19	and those are uncounted ballots, were in African-
20	American communities.
21	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Madam Chair, may I
22	ask Ms. Knight one last question?
23	You said during your testimony you made

You said during your testimony you made mention to the problem of supervisors doing favors for party officials and I think you were -- this was part

24

of a general argument in favor of have nonpartisan election officials.

so I want to ask, to what were you referring when you're talking about supervisors doing favors for party officials and then while you're answering that, could you also tell us whether from your vantage point at the League of Women Voters, do you believe that race was somehow a factor in the various allegations of what, of misdeeds, of breakdown of the system on November 7 and beyond -- do you think that race as at work?

Well, I'm not here to WITNESS KNIGHT: express what I think, you know, I'm representing the League of Women Voters of Florida and I only speak on those things that the League has an official position And the reason I brought up the supervisors of on. elections being appointed or -- put it this way -being nonpartisan, not being part of one of the two major parties, is that that's what the League believes. We believe that that's what needs to happen.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: You're on record with that policy, favoring that policy -- the League is on record as favoring that policy.

WITNESS KNIGHT: Yes, we've studied the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're in favor of a lot of nonpartisan 2 It's not going to solve all the problems, 3 officials. you're still going to have politics come into it, but 4 5 we believe that would help. We don't have any evidence of race-based 6 7 We've certainly heard all the rumors that 8 you've heard. We've heard a lot of -- we've seen a 9 lot of news reports. We don't have any evidence of 10 it, we actually I quess don't have any evidence of partisan problems, but I think it's pretty obvious 11 that both parties were involved in a lot of spin. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Wilson. 14 15 COMMISSIONER WILSON: I was going to say, did the League -- or does the League take a position 16 17 on looking at the situation in terms of it being 18 racist or not? You yourself don't have an opinion, but does the League? 19 20 WITNESS KNIGHT: Well, when say 21 representing the League, this is something that 22 happens over a long period of time as we study these 23 things. You see, we've had on our -- in our positions any of the things I referred to for years and it was 24 25 in the '80s that we developed some of these things.

issue and we feel that that would make it fairer.

1	We've had the position on felons having their rights
2	restored a long, long time before this came up. So we
3	can't make a decision, okay, this particular situation
4	was race-based and so we want it investigated right
5	now.
6	COMMISSIONER WILSON: But in your
7	statement, it seemed to what you seemed to be
8	saying or what I inferred from what you were saying is
9	that this was a particularly egregious example of I
10	mean it was a particular egregious example of an
11	election gone afoul in this state.
12	WITNESS KNIGHT: Yes. I think that any
13	observer would see that there were some very strange
14	things going on.
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And I just had one
16	more question, and that is were you meaning was the
17	League aware of this law that Vice Chair Reynoso was
18	talking about in terms of somebody being allowed to
19	if there was a problem or a glitch that somebody could
20	vote, the ballot would be put aside and would be
21	looked at later?
22	WITNESS KNIGHT: Yes
23	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Why do you think
24	that there were so many problems with that particular

aspect of it this time?

25

1	WITNESS KNIGHT: I have no idea, but I
2	think that I have no proof of anything. It seems
3	to me that from everything I've read and heard in the
4	news, that the poll workers were not educated to the
5	degree and that's what Ion Sancho said yesterday, that
6	the state spends no money educating the public or the
7	poll workers at all and that those people the
8	supervisors of elections are lucky to have live bodies
9	there and they don't give them the kind of education
10	they need.
11	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Is the League of
12	Women Voters in this state well-endowed? .
13	WITNESS KNIGHT: I beg your pardon?
14	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Is the League of
15	Women Voters in the state of Florida well-endowed
16	does it have money?
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I don't mean in
19	terms of their measurements, I mean in terms of their
20	financial situation:
21	WITNESS KNIGHT: We're one of the poorest,
22	I hate to tell you.
23	COMMISSIONER WILSON: I'm sorry, I didn't
24	hear you.
25	WITNESS KNIGHT: We've very poor.

1	COMMISSIONER WILSON: See, now this is a
2	shame, because this is part of the same thing that
3	we're seeing. I think it's an absolute crime that the
4	League of Women Voters in New York has just folded its
5	shop and
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Someone gave them a
7	little infusion in the last few days.
8	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Oh, that's nice, so
9	they'll be around for another six months.
10	But nevertheless, it does show an attitude
11	about this and the League of Women Voters has been
12	dedicated to voter education and voter registration
13	for as long as it's been in existence.
14	WITNESS KNIGHT: 1920.
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: It's a pioneer and
16	should be commended.
17	WITNESS KNIGHT: We can't you know,
18	it's hard to say why finances are so low for nonprofit
19	organizations, but most nonprofits now are suffering
20	from lack of money and lack of membership.
21	COMMISSIONER WILSON: But it just seems to
22	me that the whole issue of I mean this is a country
23	now where every other word is marketing and what
24	voting needs in this country is a huge marketing
25	campaign to turn people around in the way they see it

1 and feel about it.

.-TAX

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Thernstrom.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I just want to make sure that I completely understand some of your testimony today. My understanding is that one of the things that has been said is that Democratic -- in Democratic counties, that Democratic officials have been excluding black voters. And if that is the case, why is that in their interest?

WITNESS SMITH: My testimony before is that in Democratic county -- a heavily Democratic county, the votes were spoiled, excluding -- when you say excluding, do you mean not allowing them to vote or doing mechanisms not to vote or --

commissioner Thernstrom: Well, with the end result that you've got a high number of Democratic votes that are not being counted. I'm just -- I'm confused by the story simply because of course it is in the interest of Democratic Party officials -- since black voters overwhelmingly vote Democratic, it is in their interest to have every possible voted counted -- African-American vote counted. So I'm just -- I just wanted a clarification.

WITNESS HILL: I would like to hitchhike

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

on that thought from the perspective of we never had the turnout that we had in this election and in his case, even though Broward County is a heavy Democratic area, but you have a Republican that is the supervisor of elections. And so as far as we know, in terms of close elections, the reason why all this came out was because of the election being so close and ballots being missing in Broward County after it was declared that Gore had won. And word got out that Gore had won and then mysteriously, Madam Chairman, some ballot boxes out in the western part of Broward County became suspiciously missing and then after it came back that Gov. Bush had won, they found the boxes.

spillage so high as Representative Chris is saying, we've never even known about the low count and overcount until this election. We might have had a lot of elections stolen out of the African-American community as far as we know. That's what we need to probably research on the committee, and go back over a few years where African-Americans who have run statewide and lost, or ran in their countywide elections and lost by two or three votes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We had testimony today, by the way, I don't know if you were here, we

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asked and had testimony about whether or not the state made any preparation for the large registration and the expected turnout of voters in those precincts. will be asking the supervisors whether they requested assistance, but we do know -- we asked those questions today because we know that under the laws in Florida, states, the state is responsible for election providing training money training, officials and it's in the statute that it is part of the responsible of the Secretary of State to see to it that there are fair, uniform, efficient elections and that there is adequate training. So we were trying to figure out whether there was preparation.

Are you telling us, Representative Hill, think that in some of those Democratic you people were not prepared precincts that for the turnout and the kind of training that needed to be done resulted in some problems? Or are you saying the themselves that voters were uneducated and therefore cast ballots that somehow couldn't be used? Or what are you saying?

is, Madam Chair, at 12:00 they ran out of "I vote" stickers.

COMMISSIONER WILSON: Ran out of what?

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ran out of what?

witness Hill: In Florida we have as a way of identifying people, the supervisors of elections around the state came up "I voted" and there at 12:00 they ran out of those stickers. So there would answer your question, supervisors of election around the state, specifically in the African-American precincts where I was working at, had dummied down the numbers that we were not going to turn out. That was the evidence there.

Ma'am, the question asked WITNESS SMITH: by the Commissioner on the end kind of ties back to the other questions and speaking again of Broward though the County, even county is very heavily Democratic, each precinct is manned by citizens, we do not know party affiliation and I bring that up to say that I'm not saying that it was a Republican conspiracy or a Democratic conspiracy; however, when precincts are manned by ordinary citizens that may not know state statutes or may not know the laws or may not have the patience in certain precincts -- Dillard Elementary, my old precinct, if you have ordinary citizens there that do not know the state laws or do not have the patience for that, if a

voter comes in and votes twice on the ballot, well that ballot becomes spoiled. Under Florida law, that person is allowed to get another ballot. Well, in these individual precincts the poll workers there may not offer them the other ballot or may tell them they're not able to get another ballot.

Now in that case, you do not have a person say downtown in a smoky room telling them to do that, but you have people individually making decisions, whether informed or uninformed, intentional or unintentional, that are making these decisions. And the highest amount of these ill-advised decisions were being made in African-American communities.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We are going to have to, after this next question, close this down.

witness Hill: Madam Chair, just one example. In Palm Beach County, at 7:00 to 8:00, they had called down to the supervisor of election's office because someone had instructed that poll worker he could not help someone with the ballot. People were having problems at 7:00, 8:00, 9:00 to say there was some confusion with the ballot. And then there was a notice sent out. Well the notice wasn't sent out to all the precincts where people were working, so they didn't know that they could assist the people because

of they having Buchanan in the middle and Gore up under there and Bush at the top. So this was known way before -- they were saying the next day or the next day or the day after. They knew on that day while the election was going on, that they had a problem with the ballot because people were not educated, in the question that you were asking.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: least one month Αt before the election, the state election officials knew you're dealing with a record number of new registered voters, they're anticipating a high turnout rate; since many of your represent civic, social, community leadership organizations, have any one of you been -organizations your been contacted by the officials, county officials so that they can give you an update or at least ask you for input or assistance at all to prepare for the election?

WITNESS HILL: Commissioner, that's why y'all are here, because we have not heard from any people at the Secretary of State's level. I just happened to be in Washington on Monday with Operation Big Vote, that I heard from the Secretary of State about what they're getting ready to move on, and the question was asked, Madam Chair, are you going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

invite some of the people who are a part of that
process; for example, i.e., Operation Big Vote, or
some of the community people. Of course the answer
was no.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Jenkins.

WITNESS JENKINS: Yes, let me comment on behalf of Florida State Conference of the NAACP.

administered very We a large voting empowerment program in Florida. It was part of a national NAACP effort as well. And Ι was the of that overall administrator program. Ι was surprised that our organization, which has longstanding organization working in many arenas our community, did not -- was not consulted as relates to having a representative on the task force. It's unbelievable -- when I look at the names of the task force, the persons, I'll tell you this, even though we had African-American elected officials on the task force, I really don't think that that group, because they are politically entwined, even though they represent our community in various ways, I don't think they would represent and ask the type questions on that type of body that an NAACP person would ask. And so I'm very disappointed when I look at the task force, because when I looked at it, it

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	looked like most of them are elected officials or
2	somewhere close elected officials, but most of the
3	problems were with grassroots people. And it seems to
4	me that you would extend an invitation down to that
5	level to be sitting at the table on the task force.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, thank you
7	very, very much for coming. You may be excused.
8	(Witnesses excused.)
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That concludes our
10	proceedings for today. As is customary with the
11	hearings, the record will remain open for 60 days
12	during which any of the witnesses can submit written
13	statements.
14	We appreciate the attention and
15	participation of everyone who was here today and we
16	will meet again tomorrow morning at 8:00 for a
17	Commission meeting in this room is that right?
18	ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL HAILES: Correct.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and then right
20	after that, at 9:00, we will begin the second day of
21	our hearings.
22	Without objection, we are in recess.
23	(Whereupon, the hearing was recessed at
24	7:22 p.m., to resume at 9:00 a.m. on Friday, January
25	12, 2001.)

### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of:

Hearing on Allegations of Election-Day

.

Irregularities in Florida

Before:

U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Date:

January 11, 2001

Place:

Tallahassee, Florida

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Marky