

## Alaska Advisory Committee to the

United States Commission on Civil Rights

August 23 and 24, 2001

### Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

Chairperson: Gilbert F. Gutierrez Vice-Chairperson: Cruz Reynoso

Sheraton Hotel 401 East Sixth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska

\* \* \*

Note: This is the official, notarized transcript of proceedings in the above matter. This proceeding was recorded and thereafter transcribed by Accurate Transcript Reporting. This transcript has been professionally sound-proofed for accuracy before printing. Some indiscernibles/errors may exist, for which ATR cannot assume responsibility. NO unauthorized copying of this transcript is allowed. Copies may be purchased only through Accurate Transcript Reporting.

### ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

1

2

3

Thursday, August 23, 2001

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TIME	SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION	PAGE
5	9:00 a.m.	INTRODUCTION AND OPENING STATEMENT Chairperson Gilbert Gutierrez	80
7	9:10 a.m.	OVERVIEW PANEL	
8		Julie Kitka, President Alaska Federation of Natives	19
9		Celeste Hodge, President National Association for the Advancement of Colored People	30
L1 L2		Dr. Won Pal Chung, Chairman Asian American Cultural Center	36
L3		Maria Rosas, Consultant, retiree from State Department of Corrections	40
L4 L5	10:00 a.m.	Justice Robert L. Eastaugh Alaska Supreme Court	48
.6	10:20 a.m.	Honorable Tony Knowles, Governor	59
L7	10:40 a.m.	Janie Leask, Manager, Community Relations Alyeska Pipeline Service Company	82
L8 L9		Jennifer Rudlinger, Attorney AkCLU	89
20	11:15 a.m.	ISSUES PANEL - EDUCATION	
21		Reverend William Greene Eagle River Missionary Baptist Church	102
22		Arthur Lake, President Association of Village Council Presidents	107
24		Senator Betty Davis Alaska State Senate	112
/ n			

1		ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	•
2		Thursday, August 23, 2001	
3		TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)	
4	TIME	SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION	PAGE
5			
6	12:00 p.m	LUNCH	
7	1:00 p.m.	AFTERNOON SESSION	144
8		ISSUES PANEL - EMPLOYMENT	
9		Romeo Rescober, Natural Resource Officer	144
10		State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources	
11		Scott Goldsmith, Professor	150
12		Institute of Social & Economic Research '	
13		George Irvin First Alaska Foundation	157
14		David J. Della, Director Community Affairs for United Way	160
15			
16	2:15 p.m.	ISSUES PANEL - ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE	
17		Senator Georgianne Lincoln Senate, State of Alaska	174
18		Denise Morris, CEO	189
19		Alaska Native Justice Center, Inc.	
20		Roy Hundorf, Chairman Alaska Native Justice Center, Inc.	200
21		Rex Butler, Attorney	202
22	4:00 p.m.	OPEN SESSION	235
23			
24			
25			

1		ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	
2		Friday, August 24, 2001	
3			
4		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
5	TIME	SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION	PAGE
6	9:00 a.m.	INTRODUCTION AND OPENING STATEMENT Chairperson Gilbert Gutierrez	300
7 8	9:10 a.m.	George P. Wuerch, Mayor Municipality of Anchorage	303
9	9:30 a.m.	STATE LEGISLATIVE PANEL	
10		Rick Halford, President State Senate	340
11		Rep. Brian Porter, Speaker House of Representatives	344
13	10:15 a.m.	Break	
14	10:30 a.m.	ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE PANEL	
15		Glenn Godfrey, Commissioner Department of Public Safety	365
16 17		Phillip B.J. Reid, Special Agent in Federal Bureau of Investigation	Charge 371
18		Margaret Pugh, Commissioner	377
19		Department of Corrections	
20		Walt Monegan, Chief of Police Anchorage Police Department	390
21	12:00 p.m.	Lunch	
22			
23			
24		•	
25			

	ł .		
1		ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	
2		Friday, August 24, 2001	
3		TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTID)	
4		TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.D)	
5	TIME	SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION	PAGE
6	1:00 p.m.	AFTERNOON SESSION	424
7		EDUCATION PANEL	
8		Dr. Shirley Holloway, Commissioner	424
9		Department of Education and Early Development	
10		Carol Comeau, Superintendent	443
11		Anchorage School District	
12	2:15 p.m.	EMPLOYMENT PANEL	
13		Paula Haley, Director Alaska State Commission on Human Rights	482
14		Jim Duncan, Commissioner	492
15		Department of Administration	
16		David Levy, Executive Director Equal Rights Commission	506
17		Municipality of Anchorage	
18	3:15 p.m.	Break	
19	3:30 p.m.	OPEN SESSION	528
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		
2	ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	
3	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
4	OPEN SESSION SPEAKERS	
5	DATE NAME	<u>PAGE</u>
6	9/23 Gary Charles Patten	236
7	Delice Calcote Glarisa Gillis	238 244
8	Kathy Shanti James Patlan	246 247
9	Gigi Pilcher Susie Silook	253 258
10	Ron Alleva William Toliver	. 264 267
11	Nayyar Malik Nelson Angapak	273 276
12	Sharon Shields Pearson Covington, Sr.	277 283
13	Charles McKee John Tetpon	287 291
14	Evelyn Hash	295
15	9/24 Teresa Obermeyer	530 532
16	Michael Jaasi Elizabeth Koutchak Laura Waldon	532 535 537
17 18	Barbara Williams Flora Soloman	539 543
19	Ward Sattler Jim LaBelle	546 551
20	Susan Churchill Luki Dobson	552 553
21	Judith Lewis Alanga Ella Anagie (ph)	555 557
22	<sub>-</sub> Susan Trapp Karla Nusungunya	559 562
23	June Degnan Apngluk Kiraiuak (ph)	564 567
24	Regina Manteufel Reggie Joule	570 572
25	David Sam  ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE	574
	ALASKA ADVISORI COMMITTEE TO THE  Accurate Transcript Reporting	
	Accurate Haiscript Reporting Anchorage, Alaska 907-245-4467 atr@gci.net	-vi-

### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### OPEN SESSION SPEAKERS (CONT'D)

3	<u>DATE</u>	NAME	PAGE
4	9/24	Debra Deacon	575
5		Thomas Blackbird Caroline Demientieff	576 579
_		Elaine Hulse	581
6		Jan Suter	583
		Joseph Cuiabyab	584
7		Diane Benson	585
		Michael Queen	587
8		Elena Sergie	590
		Eva Marie	591
9		Ruth Running Elk	593
		Tim Nicholson	594
10		Sophia Miller	595
		Donna Willoya	596
11		Donna Brooks	597

# Alaska Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights

### Thursday, August 23, 2001

### VOLUME I

### Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

Chairperson: Gilbert F. Gutierrez Vice-Chairperson: Cruz Reynoso

Sheraton Hotel 401 East Sixth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska

\* \* \*

Note: This is the official, notarized transcript of proceedings in the above matter. This proceeding was recorded and thereafter transcribed by Accurate Transcript Reporting. This transcript has been professionally sound-proofed for accuracy before printing. Some indiscernibles/errors may exist, for which ATR cannot assume responsibility. NO unauthorized copying of this transcript is allowed. Copies may be purchased only through Accurate Transcript Reporting.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

#### PROCEEDINGS

Alaska Advisory Committee

to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Thursday, August 23, 2001

### MORNING SESSION

(On record - 9:00 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Good morning. This meeting of the Alaska Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will come to order.

I am Gilbert F. Gutierrez, Chairperson of the Alaska Advisory Committee. Joining the Advisory Committee today is Cruz Reynoso, Vice-Chairperson of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights; Commissioners Yvonne Y. Lee and Elsie Meeks.

We are pleased with your interest in this topic, and we want to thank you for coming to Alaska, and you're certainly welcome here.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you very much.

The U.S. Commission on CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Civil Rights is an independent, bipartisan, fact-finding agency first established under the Civil Rights Act of 1957. By Congressional mandate, the Commission is directed to:

1. Investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- 2. Study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or in the administration of justice;
- 3. Appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws;
- 4. Serve as a national clearinghouse for information about discrimination; and,
- 5. Submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and Congress.

Advisory Committees like this one, were established in each State and the District of Columbia in accordance with enabling legislation, and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, to advise the Commission on matters pertaining to discrimination or denials of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or in the administration of justice, and to aid the Commission in its statutory obligation to serve as a national clearinghouse for information on those subjects.

Commission regulations and the Federal Advisory
Committee Act call for each advisory Committee to:

- 1. Advise the Commission in writing of any information it may have respecting any alleged deprivation of citizen's right to vote and to have the vote counted, by reason of color, race, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability, or that citizens are being accorded or denied the right to vote in Federal elections as a result of patterns or practices of fraud or discrimination;
- 2. Advise the Commission concerning legal

  developments constituting discrimination or a

  denial of equal protection of the laws under the

  Constitution because of race, color, religion,

  sex, national origin, age, or disability, or in

  the administration of justice, and as to the

  effect of the laws and policies of the Federal

  government with respect to equal protection of the '

  laws;
- 3. Advise the Commission upon matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports to the Commission, to the President and the Congress;
- 4. Receive—reports, suggestions, and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials about matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State

- 5. Initiate and forward advice and recommendations to the Commission about matters that the Advisory Committee has studied; and,
- 6. Assist the Commission in the exercise of its clearinghouse function.

The purpose of this meeting today is to obtain information and public comment on allegations of a climate of intolerance and racial discrimination in the administration of justice, education and employment.

Since a hate crime incident in January of this year, numerous activities have been undertaken by the Governor of this State and the Mayor of Anchorage to determine the extent of intolerance and bigotry and to outline potential solutions for constructive change. The Advisory Committee views this project as a valuable addition to the work that has been accomplished and as a tool for future efforts to assist all of Alaska's residents enjoy equal opportunity.

Based upon information collected at this meeting, a summary report will be prepared for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Other members of the Alaska Advisory Committee in attendance during this meeting, I believe, is Daniel Alex, Thelma Garcia-Buchholdt, Sylvia G. Carvajal, Robert Gonzalez, Murilda C. Hayes, Beverly L. Masek, Mary A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Miller, Thaddeus J. Owens, Mitchel J. Schapira, Rosalee T. Walker, and Michael J. Walleri.

Also present with us today are Les Jin, Staff Director, Ivy Davis and Mireille Ziesness of the Commission's Washington, D.C. office; Thomas V. Pilla, Grace Hernandez and Angelica Trevino, of the Commission's Western Regional Office in Los Angeles; and, John F. Dulles, Regional Director of the Commission's Rocky Mountain Regional Office in Denver.

Please seek these individuals out if you require The meeting is being held pursuant to Federal assistance. rules applicable to State Advisory Committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. All requests regarding these provisions should be directed to Commission staff.

I would like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting, and not an adversarial proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share information with the Committee relevant to the subject of today's inquiry. person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to be here today.

Since this is a public meeting, the press, and radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised.

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material is presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of persons making these statements, and request that they desist in their action, since such information will be stricken from the record if necessary.

Finally, many of you may have questions regarding why certain persons were invited to participate while others were not. The Committee would like to acknowledge that this has been a very important issue in this state, and many individuals possessing particular knowledge, expertise, and experiences have been involved in moving the discussion forward. These issues have many voices.

Because of time and budget constraints under which we operate, the Committee simply could not accommodate everyone who wished to participate on scheduled panels today. The Committee's goal, in assembling the individuals who all of us will hear from today was to ensure that information is obtained from the broadest and most diverse cross section of voices on these issues as possible.

Each of the persons you will hear from today has knowledge on the issues that we felt were important to include in the record. This is not to say that others do

not have opinions and viewpoints that are just as important.

This is simply to say that as a group, we have attempted to do the best that we could under the circumstances. We thank you for your understanding of that.

In an effort to hear from others having differing

In an effort to hear from others having differing points of view, we have allocated time between 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share specific information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration.

At that time, each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee. Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact a Commission staff before 2:00 p.m. this afternoon.

In the event that we are not able to hear from you in the open session, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 30 days following its conclusion tomorrow. The committee welcomes additional comments; additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record.

These items should be submitted to the Western Regional Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 2010, Los Angeles, California 90012. Any member of the Commission staff should be able to assist you in this process for submitting information.

At this time, I would like to ask the Commissioners if

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 they would like to add something to the opening remarks.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As indicated, my name is Cruz Reynoso. I'm Vice-Chair of the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights. My fellow

Commissioners and I are very pleased to have been invited to join the Advisory Committee for these very important hearings.

It's often been said that a nation or a community is judged by how it treats those with very little power, those who need the help from that community. And I have found that all too often when we go to have hearings, it's as if we're listening to two different communities.

I happen to be from California and I recall having a hearing something like this pertaining to the administration of justice and we heard from all the public officials, the Mayor, the county representatives, the Police Chief and so on and to them everything seemed to be just fine.

Then we heard from a series of citizens and community and religious representatives who told us of all the problems they had. And it seemed to me as if we were hearing from two different communities. So I just want to suggest to you that it's very important in a hearing like this that we hear, that we listen to what each of us has to say about the issues at hand, the administration of justice, education, employment.

I know from my previous readings and having visited Alaska and from the reports from this Advisory Committee that Alaska, like my own native state of California, has had its own share of struggling. Let me put it this way, struggling to have the reality of Alaska in terms of equality and opportunity approximate its ideals because it's ideals are indeed high.

In California it's sad to say we have a history where for years there were laws that discriminated against Native Americans, against African Americans, against Latinos and so on, and slowly we've set those aside again in our own state trying to approximate the ideals we've had for our own community.

And Alaska, as I say, I believe has been going through the same process. And I view the hearings that we have today as an important step in listening to those who feel that Alaska has not reached yet reached that ideal. And I know that some folk are sometimes offended by the notion that some in their community feel that way. But the reality is that if some folk feel that way all the community must listen whether they agree or disagree. So we're here very much, Mr. Chairman, to listen and to be of any assistance that we may be. I wonder if my fellow Commissioners want to add a word.

MS. ELSIE MEEKS: Thank you and I'm very appreciative

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 to the State Advisory Committee for holding this forum. My name is Elsie Meeks and I'm from the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation in South Dakota. And I've been on the Commission for almost two years, since 1999. And I've been the first Native American to ever serve on the Commission, and I've learned a great deal and had a great deal to learn.

I was very interested in coming to Alaska because I understand the isolation that is here, the geographic isolation of many Native people. And we experienced some of that freedom. Is this on? It's not on?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Can you hear? They said that they could hear.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Okay. No, I don't think so.

The tribes of South Dakota experience some of the same isolation that -- a bit of the same isolation that Native Alaskans do, not nearly as isolated. But I understand the result that geographic isolation brings to Native people and the sort of lack of power that occurs because of that.

So I hope that this can be a forum where Natives and other minorities can talk about the issues that they feel are important. We held a similar forum in South Dakota regarding Native Americans and the justice system in South Dakota. And many, many Native Americans came forward during that forum and really spoke their mind and felt like it was a good chance to get some dialog going. And I think that's

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 what we can bring here. You know, and we're not going to be miracle workers. We're not going to solve all the issues, but if we can bring them to light, get people talking about them then at least we're on the road to making a difference. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Good morning. I'm Yvonne

Lee, I'm from San Francisco, California. I again want to

thank the members of the Advisory Committee for putting this
together. This is a very important forum and I'm glad to be
here.

I just came back from Hawaii, which experiences similar geographical isolation. Americans tend to think that Alaska and Hawaii are sort of like not part of the country, and what we learned from Hawaii is that we really need to listen. We also need to make sure that the rest of the country listens. So that's why we're here and I look forward to a very important two days. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you Commissioners, and again welcome to Alaska.

I would like now to invite the overview panel that we have invited to come forward. And that would be Ms. Julie Kitka, President of the Alaska Federation of Natives;
Celeste Hodge, President of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People; Maria Rosas, Consultant, retired from the State Department of Corrections and Dr. Won

Pal Chung, Chairman, Asian American Cultural Center. I want to welcome you and thank you for the your participation today. Go ahead.

1.8

MS. JULIE KITKA: My name is Julie Kitka, I'm the President of the Alaska Federation of Natives. I thank you for this opportunity to come and speak with you this morning. I also want to extend a special appreciation to the three members of the full U.S. Civil Rights Commission for traveling up here as well and participating in this beginning set of hearings, and express to you how important it is that you are here and how much hope that generates within the Native community that someone will pay attention to the concerns and that people's issues and needs will not be ignored.

First off, I want to just respond quickly to one of the rules that was set out earlier as far as people in the open session if they want to testify to notify staff by 2:00 o'clock. Unfortunately that will not work with our people because of our history in participating in public forums that people are used to if they come up and they sign up and show up on that that they be allowed to testify and they're not used to because of the way that we conduct our own internal business and policy making, they're not used to those kind of strict requirements.

And I'm afraid if you keep to that rule of signing up

by 2:00 o'clock you will not have attendance and participation to the extent that you would. And so I would urge you to maybe use that as a guideline, but be flexible and accommodate all the people that show up on that.

I'll give you an example, just the different styles of decision-making and policy-making, our Governor recently had a Leadership Summit on subsistence, and it was a lot of community and church leaders and business leaders in our state, about 42 or so, even the whole idea of a Leadership Summit on subsistence to our people -- when we call one of those, we have about 900 of our people from all over and they expect to fully participate in decisions affecting their lives. So just I would urge you to be flexible on that.

The second thing in regard to hearing processes on that, I would really urge you to consider having a hearing during our upcoming convention in October when we have nearly 5,000 of our people in town. And which it will also be televised live to all our communities as well as in the urban areas on that. We will have a lot more people in town and you will be able to hear from a lot of people that are not able, that are either out hunting and fishing now or are scattered across the state. So I would seriously urge and encourage that.

The second thing I want to comment on is that we!ve had

a chance to review briefly the Hawaiian report that came out and we were greatly encouraged by the Civil Rights

Commission expanding its focus and looking at indigenous rights and indigenous self-determination because we think at the heart of what is going here in the State of Alaska is we are dealing with the remnants of colonialism and the aspirations of Native people for greater self-determination and control over decision making that affects their lives is really at the heart of what we need to empower in order to turn around some of the negative things that are going on and some of the dismal statistics.

We also believe that we are living in historic times as we look around the country and we look around the globe of a convergence between both Human Rights issues and Civil Rights issues in which the Human Rights community is seeing that Human Rights are not just individual rights they can be collective rights such as Native people's rights as a group as well as a recognition of the importance of protecting those rights for the cultural survival of the peoples in the United States.

The different indigenous people as well as a recognition that indigenous rights are really gaining a lot of recognition in the Civil Rights community and the need to work together. So we're very encouraged by this historic convergence.

As I stated we're very pleased that you're here. We had it submitted for the record in April and we hope that you've all gotten our 19 page petition as well as a 150 pages of attachments of specifics that we want to bring to your attention, we want your focus.

I want to put a little bit of background or perspective on that as you take a look at that. And also to inform you that we intend to be submitting since your process is ongoing and you'll be going to other parts of our state over the course of the next year and a half, different specific reports and statistics to try to help you in your deliberations as you write your report.

But as I said I hope that when we're taking a look at what the stated purpose of this hearing and you coming to Alaska what it reminds me of is that this is really a matter of life and death for people in our state. It is a very serious matter and we don't want to mince any words with you of how important it is.

It's our belief that the actions that we take today and the actions that you are here putting a spotlight on and helping raising the visibility of people's concerns and needs will really have a great impact on people's lives today as well as the next generation here in our state.

It is our view and every country has its blind spots when it comes to Human Rights, Civil Rights. In the United

States and here in Alaska we believe that the blind spots are crystal clear to the Native people who live here.

One blind spot allows a subsistence hunting and fishing issue to fester for years and remain unresolved with Alaska Natives relegated to the sidelines and unimportant in the political decision making that is being made by the State of Alaska and the Congress of the United States. These decisions that are going on affect our ability to maintain our distinct cultures and communities, our peoples very way of life.

Another blind spot allows the state of Alaska to ignore and wish away the existence of our Native tribes and also relegate Alaska Natives to the sidelines on issues of importance to our ability to govern ourselves or have input into state decisions which affect our daily lives.

Another blind spot allows statistics to accumulate of misery affecting individuals, their families and communities and receive barely a blip on the screen. And to talk about issues such as why has the suicide rate of Alaska Natives gone up 300 percent since statehood. Why do we have such high homicide rates and violence against and among our own people?

Is law enforcement and justice color-blind or if you are White and you have money and status you deserve adequate police protection; but if you are homeless without money and

have no status you're at the mercy of the worst elements of our society to prey upon you without repercussions. Is this why in the area of sexual assaults, Alaska Native women from the period of time from 1995 to 1999, over 600 sexual assaults have been perpetrated against our women? Almost 42 percent of all going on in the city of Anchorage, and yet the majority of them remain unresolved. In 1999 alone 54 percent of the sexual assaults reported were of Alaska Native women.

We believe that a process of dehumanization has been going on in this state in which fellow Alaskans do not see minority people and, in particular, Alaska Native people as other human beings deserving of respect and dignity. And therefore, you do see report after report being shelved and not acted upon, statistic after statistic accumulating and yet you're hitting up against a roadblock; people don't see that that is real individuals and real people behind those statistics. 600 rapes and assaults against Native women in a short period of time, a very minuscule amount of them ever prosecuted and resolved. I mean that is a national disgrace. And again we think that it is this process of dehumanization that people do not see these -- the people behind the statistics and what it going on.

We think that this process of dehumanization is something that is common in this world and is witnessed in

all times and places but it's very destructive as history teaches us. And we hope that the Civil Rights Commission by coming here will help expand the circle of understanding that Native people must be accorded dignity and respect for who we are and who we want to be in the future. And the darker aspects of our human experiences, the blind spots must go unchallenged.

And we would like to put into the record a series of reports that have been done over the years in which we have tried to highlight what is going on, everything from a report on "A Call to Action" published in 1989 which was kind of a snapshot of statistics to the Alaska Native Commissions report which is a joint Federal/State Commission, a two year process with numerous hearings around the state with lots of findings and yet our state legislature failed to have even one hearing on the end of that process.

So we have a series of reports and statistics, so it's not a matter of generating new information, it is putting a spotlight and putting the attention on what needs to be done and letting our people that are most vulnerable get the attention that needs to be paid.

And it's also our hope at the end of this process that the people that are most victimized and that are most receiving the brunt of this negative aspect of human

behavior their lives are improved. For example, we're all familiar with the paint ball episodes on that but will those people that were the victims of that or will those other people that are homeless and on the streets, will their lives be improved by anything that we have all done or does their life just continue and they continue to have to fend for themselves. And I think that's a standard that we have to put forward in front of the Commission and in front of ourselves, that all our efforts has got to result in direct improvements in individuals and communities lives.

It's not enough to just to have headlines in the newspapers and a year from now we've got to be able to see measurable improvements. We've got to see measurable improvements in such as the statistics of violence and the suicide rates and the assaults and so forth on that, that anything less then that just makes a sham of the democracy in our country.

I'd also like to say that as Native people each of our groups have followed different paths and all of us will continue to follow different paths. And we are not saying we are victims and we were left at the mercy of everyone else. We are doing a lot of things for ourselves and we have a lot of strong programs going on and a lot of strong leaders that are trying to do things. We have issued reports on successes that need to be emulated and

\_

encouraged.

We have a report, for example, on Native selfgovernance in the state, and we have highlighted 11
communities that are doing wonderful things in our state
that need to be encouraged. And this whole issue of
encouraging people, self-determination and decision making,
so it's not a matter of a lack of models, a lack of things
going on, it's a matter of empowering our people to
accomplish things to improve their communities.

I'd also like to say we are continuing to reach out to other minority communities in our state. And I'm pleased to say that we have gotten nothing but cooperation and a lot of respect and encouragement from other people in the Native community here in Alaska tends to reciprocate and help one another, so I'm very encouraged by that.

I also want to briefly just point to the issue of government and the government's role in effecting the lives of people. And want to say if you take a look at our petition and the statistics we put out you will see that we allege that the state government, in particular the state legislature has created an atmosphere of intolerance in the state by their actions, by their decisions on the appropriations process by their withholding of resources to critical needs in the rural areas, by their lack of funding of things where courts have even determined that people's

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Civil Rights have been violated.

For example in the Kasilie (ph) case, that the state legislature in particular has created and fostered an atmosphere of intolerance that not makes people do bad things against Native people and other minorities but creates an atmosphere of intolerance where things like that are not condemned. Because if you have public officials that are not condemned for actions that they take, then you are just encouraging an environment and things are getting worse.

And I'd ask you to consider, especially for those that are paying attention regarding the State of Alaska's behavior and what they've done, is I want you to consider when you look at the State of Alaska what kind of government it is.

Does it place people first? Is it representative and accountable and fair to all it's residents in the state? Is it a servant of the people? Does it seek direction from the people? Is it shaped by and belonging to the Native people and other residents of the state? Does it offer programs and services in an integrated and holistic manner? Does it promote harmony among the people in the state? Does it conduct itself with integrity and openness? Does it encourage excellence and welcomes creativity? Does it incorporate the best of human experience and values?

If it doesn't do that, then it doesn't measure up to .
what the Alaskan people deserve in this state. And I would urge you as you take a look at the specific examples of what we think that the state is doing to create this atmosphere of intolerance and measure it up to that standard of what government should be like for the people of the state as well as other parts of the country.

And I think you will see the state of Alaska does not promote harmony among its citizens, it fosters deviousness. It does not put people's need first. There's an element of greed that runs through, and an element that we have a scarcity that there's not enough to go around when we are one of the most wealthiest states in this country, with the Permanent Fund in excess of 26 billion dollars; and yet you still have some of the worst conditions of a Third World country going on in this state.

So with that I'd like to conclude my comments on that, not to take up time of the other panelists on that, but also urge you to continue your efforts and consider maybe how you're doing, like for example, in Mississippi where you have an ongoing long-term project paying attention to some of the issues that we're dealing, that are so entrenched and have been with us so long that it really does not just need a one-shot hearing or a one year hearing. It needs a systemic approach that will help us empower our people to

turn this all around.

And so I'd urge you to consider where you look at Mississippi as a long-term maybe 10 year process on that, is to help us turn around some of this institutionalized racism, some of this atmosphere of intolerance and turn this state back to where people's visions and ideals of what a good state is like for all citizens.

And I speak not just on behalf of the Native people but for all residents of Alaska. We want to live in a state that has harmony and that people are treated fairly. Thank you.

MS. CELESTE HODGE: Good morning....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Good morning.

MS. CELESTE HODGE: .....members of the distinguished panel. My name is Celeste Graham Hodge. I am the President of the NAACP here in Anchorage, Alaska. Thank you for the opportunity to come before you this morning on behalf of the NAACP, the largest Civil Rights Organization in the world with 1700 branches in 50 states, the District of Columbia, Germany, Japan, and Korea.

First, let me convey to you my deep appreciation for all that this Committee has done in regards to the irregularities in the November election 2000. The NAACP is deeply appreciative of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for convening this public fact-finding meeting to gather

The NAACP would also like to thank Julie Kitka,

President of the AFN for lobbying the U.S. Civil Rights

Commission to come to Alaska to investigate the

discriminatory activity in Alaska.

Despite the small gains made by minorities, racism, sexism, bigotry, and pain continues to divide our city and polarize people. There are continued acts that show lack of progress as a state and call into question our ability to remain tolerant.

We believe that racism is a matter of great concern for our state and its people. The depth of this problem is deep.

The unfortunate incident in Anchorage of the paint ball attack on Native Americans is just one well-publicized case. This isn't nothing new. Many times the incidents aren't as blatant; they're subtle.

For example, for more than 20 years there was a carved figure known as Hanging Harry that hung outside the Triple B Bar in Houston, Alaska. This carved figure actually looked like a hangman's noose with a body hanging from it. A woman who drove by this bar became outraged and frightened when

she saw it. To her and many others it resembled a Black man It sent a clear message to the community; being lynched. who was welcome and who was not. I met with the bar owner and was told we were overreacting. To them Hanging Harry 5 was just a part of the bar's identity.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hate crimes continue to plaque and terrorize this community and are on the increase. Hate groups are spreading across Alaska at an alarming rate. I've read that there are currently four groups now in Alaska with 1,000 members.

The NAACP was informed that unless these groups are breaking the law there's really nothing we can do eradicate The NAACP's legal redress chair, Ms. Donna their existence. Brooks, receives numerous calls alleging discrimination in employment, education, state and municipal government and more.

The NAACP strongly believes that there is little or very little economic opportunity for Blacks here and other minorities. We find that many minorities are relocating to other states to find jobs. We find that when jobs require degrees, and Blacks and other minorities apply for those positions that have degrees, we learn that somehow experience becomes the preferred choice. When Blacks and other minorities with the experience apply for a position, we learn that they're requiring or their preferred choice of Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 course are the degrees.

Blacks and other minorities are not given an opportunity to promote into higher positions. Currently in the Municipality of Anchorage we have a grand total of 2,985 employees. Of those employees 2,573 are White; 119 are Black; 115 are Asian; 89 are American Indian; 84 are Hispanic and five are not applicable.

We have one first line manager who is American Indian and one who is Asian; 52 are White for a grand total of 54. So that was the first line managers. And again we're still talking about the Municipality.

The City has just one CEO and that individual is White.
Out of 22 Director positions two are Black; one is American
Indian; 80 are White. In the non-managerial positions 78
are Hispanic; five are not applicable; 104 are Black; 111
are Asian; 83 are American Indian and 2,257 are White.

Senior managers represent 45 employees; five are Hispanic; five are Black; two are Asian and three are American Indian; 106 are White.

Supervisors represent 121 employees, five are Hispanic; five are Black; two are Asian and three are American Indian; 106 are White. 11 employees represent VP's, one is Black and 10 are White.

Broken down, 3 percent of the Municipality's work force is American Indian; 3.9 are Asian; 4 percent are Black, 2.8

The NAACP is often told that it is difficult to find qualified people to fill those Municipal positions. We find that African Americans and other minorities are the last hired and the first fired. Although many of the applicants are degree'd, they never make it past the application phase,

are Hispanic; 2 percent is N/A; 86.2 are White.

never receiving an opportunity to interview.

The NAACP finds this to be the practice with the state of Alaska; the Military; the federal government; the United States Postal Service; the School District; the construction arena; some businesses within the private sector and more. Blacks and other minorities are largely under-represented in the oil companies.

Statistics speak for themselves. All one needs to do is to walk into these various businesses to see where minorities are strategically placed and the positions that the minorities hold.

Various reports, incidents and statistics over the past through years have provided us with evidence to support what we have known for decades. Racism, as subtle as it may be, at times in Alaska does really exist. Although illegal, race has been used when choosing which individual should be stopped and searched.

At the most basic level it is difficult for our faith in the American justice system not to be challenged when we

cannot even walk or drive down the streets without being 1 2 stopped merely because of the color of our skin. 3 studies have shown that ethnic minority youth are treated disproportionately, harsher at all levels of the American 4 ·justice system; from arrest to sentencing to transfer to 5 adult facilities. Ethnic minority youth are treated much 6 7 worse then their Caucasian counterparts, despite evidence 8 that in most instances the two groups commit crimes at about 9 the same rate.

Again, let me just state that these are the concerns of the NAACP. And I also want to add that most images of African Americans, Hispanics, Asian Americans and Native Americans portrayed to the rest of the community by the news media is one that we either do not exist or are only a marginal part of the community fiber.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We must accept and promote the diversity that makes this community and this state great. We need a fair and balanced approach to ensure that all Americans are given the opportunity to meet their fullest potential in the workplace and other arenas.

We as a community must embrace diversity in all forms at it's greatest strength and asset.

I again thank the members of the committee for holding this public fact-finding meeting and allowing the NAACP to provide information. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I would like to remind the audience to please turn off your cell phones, every time one rings I kind of jump up and want to run out. So do us a favor, would you please. The next panelist is Mr. Won Pal Chung.

DR. WON PAL CHUNG: Good morning. Can you hear me?

Okay. I'm a little different group presenting this morning.

We are the newcomer, new immigrants group in Alaska.

Probably Filipinos have been here many -- over a hundred years but it is most diversity since 1980.

I am the President of the Asian Cultural Center which was founded in 1983 to organize and present our culture to the Anchorage community. We have by the seven people we organized first and we received some state grants and built our community -- I mean Arts Center. And it has been presenting art show every year for the past 10 years.

The purpose of this organization is to culturally exchange to the present Anchorage community and a newcomer from Asia. So we have seven members, like as Japan, China, Korea, Philippine, India, Laos and Thailand. And these people best way to understand each other as a newcomer is to present our culture to the neighbors, that way every body can understand and harmonize and make a better community.

We haven't not much political action, but lately we have found that it is some, I don't know whether we should

say real discrimination or there is a big wall that is hard to break through. That makes us very disappointed because it's our intention to exchange the culture and a better understanding.

We received a grant from the state and also from the Municipality of Anchorage, but we don't get the fair share. They have already allocated whole budget, over 90 percent existing group and the newcomers to join with them that there is no room for. For instance, there was \$230,000 of grant, we requested \$2,000, we were rejected. That is a very, very sad thing.

Our population of Asian background is almost 10 percent of Anchorage population; then we should have our fair share. I spoke with the Mayor, so I hope coming here is something different. My hope is to allocate some of the funds to the new groups to grow and at least at 10 or 5 percent; and that is not even there.

One instance that made me very upset was application form was not well done by prior group, that among those they should be rejected by the rule that if you don't complete the form properly you are not qualified. But among those, one person, one group was given the application just in front of us and that they asked them to change and they gave the grant. This is not acceptable.

I think the previous two panelists presented their

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 background and their long history. We are very new, only probably 20 years or 18 years old group. This is very unfair. And then State Art Council is very similar, it's nothing much different.

I hope in the future with your influence or your participation to make some correction of these kind of wrong doing, to make the better society in the future.

I have something else rather than my position as

President of Asian Cultural Center. I have a been a leader

of the Korean community almost 29 years. Recently we have

one of the private business City Council voted for to close.

Our culture is totally different from the American way of

life. There are two groups of our community, one is

probably older, longer to residing in USA more than 10

years, other is less than 10 years, look same, same

background, we have a different type of life.

We adjust well in the American way of life if you live more than 10 years. But newcomers, they don't speak English well, they'd rather mingle together with the newcomers. And in the evening they want to get together in a certain place like as a bar or lounge. They can share their thought and also difficulties. And that they drink sometimes. But their life shouldn't be restricted by our community neighbors.

What actually happened these past two years, that bar

was restricted and also required monitoring of all the in and out people by taping. But that they tolerated, they tried to follow those requests and requirements. But after almost two years, last August 14th, City Council voted to close up that business.

I'm sure they can do it by law or the force, but one of these days I'm sure we Koreans will open up another bar.

We'll get together because after a hard day's work and isolation and because of the language barrier, they like to get together. And how the law will stop them to get together? I think it's reasonably to survey is okay, but taping all the in and out is too much, never acceptable.

And before this one heard in the Anchorage City

Council, if they opened the forum or some kind of a

discussion, public hearing among the Koreans or some

minority is acceptable what they did, but one side action is

not fair.

So as Asian Cultural Center President, we hope the state and the city allocate certain amounts of money, funds to raise and promote the newcomers to join with the culture. 95 percent of all Western culture which is presented every day and every year. New culture is very essential to understand other people who is the newcomers and going to be a big contributing members in the community.

Then as I said I don't know whether you have influence

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 to get the city to look after certain group, their business over a \$150,000 worth is going to shut up because certain things they give hardship over two years is not fair.

Thank you for coming and listening to our presentation. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. The next one is Maria Rosas.

MS. MARIA ROSAS: Good morning. When I was asked to speak before this hearing on such broad issues as our education, law administration and employment education and I was told that I had a total of 10 minutes to tell you what I had to say I felt that was far too short for me to convey what I have lived through in my 27 years in Alaska. I will attempt to be brief, and thank you deeply for allowing me this opportunity to convey to you my comments.

I have lived here 27 years and the problems I noticed affecting the Hispanic community are many. They are issues rarely understood and almost never addressed.

Most politicians come to our communities practicing their ninth grade Spanish, and we never see or hear from them again after election time. My apologies to those who are sincerely trying, and without much success continue to represent us.

We had a Mayor who always opened and closed his

speeches with, "mi casa es su casa", which translates into my home is your home. This same Mayor would not open his door when I expressed to him the need for our community to have an office that would help them connect with the few services available to them. He said that if he did this for Hispanics, he would have to do it for every other group.

I said other groups are not asking nor do they have our same needs. I don't believe he really meant "mi casa es su casa". Enough of that rhetoric.

Language as we all know is vital to our existence. In this area Hispanics and immigrants in general run into major conflict. Not only do they not speak, read or understand the English language, but little if anything is being done by state officials to implement an adequate program that will service most minorities.

There is tremendous difficulty in healthcare, education, employment, law enforcement, and the court system.

A pregnant Russian woman in the Valley experiencing pain utilized her young child as an interpreter and ended up losing her baby when the interpretation was misunderstood.

Another example is the Mexican mother of three children who was involved in a domestic violence case. She was discharged from the hospital in a half-body cast without extended home care. This women had no one to feed her

Latina, a local bilingual newspaper of which I was publisher

and editor, asking for help.

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I contacted almost every city and state agency, women groups and churches, no one helped because legalities or their inability to speak Spanish. At the same time the Department of Aid to Families with Dependent Children wanted to take away her food stamps and force her to pay back what had already been given to her because she lacked sufficient I contacted her social worker whom I questioned about her husband's points accrual and if that would not The social worker said she might not be entitled cover her. because she was separated from him. I told him that she was still legally married, which entitled her to any of his insurances. Her food stamps were restored. After two years since her accident, this woman continues to receive physical therapy and has not yet been able to get on her feet. ' Members from the community have extended their assistance and she remains on welfare.

There are no interpreters for immigrants who get Once placed in state custody pending deportation hearings they are housed with felons who often abuse them. and are supervised by custodial staff that due to a language barrier cannot adequately serve their needs. I could cite numerous cases like these, but can't emphasis enough the

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 need for the state to implement programs that adequately serve minority communities.

There is no certification process for interpreters in Alaska. And the lack of interpreters affects a wider community than just immigrants. This summer the office of Civil Rights of the Department of Health and Human Services conducted forums on the use of interpreters for community based organizations receiving Federal funds.

The Anchorage Neighborhood Health Center obtained a government grant for interpreters. This is the first time this type of grant has been awarded and the program has been successful. The University should offer a curriculum for interpreters in various languages such as Spanish, Russian, Tagalog, and Native languages so that students don't have to travel to Arizona, the only state that offers a legal health interpretation course.

While on the subject of education there is a Spanish emergent program offered at two elementary schools, Chugiak and Government Hill. While this program is successful it does not fulfill the needs of most Hispanics.

Transportation is not provided by the school district thus making the program most beneficial to the affluent. Not to be forgotten is the case when a few years ago parents were attempting to enroll their children in the Anchorage School District, and personnel in the Anchorage, Juneau and North

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

This practice no longer appears to be happening here in Anchorage or Juneau, but may be happening in the Valley. Somehow information from the Immigration and Naturalization Services was confusing to school districts.

Pole were asking for immigration documentation.

It would be wise to the state This has been suggested. to get an Attorney General opinion. This was suggested by Robin Braunam (ph), Director of Immigration and Refugee Services, but to date, nothing has occurred. She was told that the Department of Education must first write to the Attorney General requesting that an opinion be rendered.

Ms. Braunam also stated that it's evident that the state is disinterested in providing immigrant services, that all states except Alaska and Kentucky have a state refugee coordinator, which is 100 percent federally funded.

The Federal Office of Refugee Settlement needs statistics that the state fails to provide. The Office of Civil Rights of Health and Human Services is on the verge of sanctioning Alaska.

Continuing on the subjects of immigrants, only two weeks ago an article in the Anchorage Daily News reported that agents from the Immigration and Naturalization Services arrested 82 illegal immigrants at several fish plants in Valdez.

According to INS Director Robert Eddy, the main

objective was not to arrest illegal aliens but to open up jobs for American workers and ensure that employers are complying with the Immigration Laws. My question to Mr. Eddy is, does he truly believe that Caucasians will come to work for minimum wages at Alaska fish processing plants?

As for the Department of Law Enforcement and Judicial Services they too often fail to meet the needs of Hispanics. A good example is the case of Nicholas Negrone (ph), an 18 year old who was killed August 27, 1995, and to this day his murder has not been solved. At the time the family was told by the investigating officers that it was too bad that. Nicholas had lost his life for being in the wrong place at the wrong time.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Rosas, one more minute, please.

MS. MARIA ROSAS: One more minute, oh. A recent example of abusive power is also the case -- oh, I wanted to say that this family continues to be threatened by this person. And recently her sister was attacked at a place where she works and nothing has yet been done to solve this case.

Like I said, 10 minutes was not going to be long enough. But I want to say that in the area of employment at the risk of using what becomes a cliche, we are the last hired and the first fired. I speak from experience.

During my 20 years of employment with the Department of Corrections, I experienced every kind of discrimination and sexist harassment imaginable. The only requirement for the job is a high school diploma, four years of work experience with the public, and passing an aptitude test. Although I was overqualified for the position, my five years of college seemed insufficient education. I was fired, passed over for promotions, placed on extended probation and not eligible for permanent employment.

After numerous rebuttals for retention I was employed permanently. I was responsible for on-the-job training of new officers who, unlike me, were sent for former training at the Academy and later became my supervisors. This should provide you a clear image of the picture of the employment process in one of the state's biggest department. Just imagine what happens at the public sector.

I'd like to conclude that from the early beginnings this country has bred racism. This is a disease perpetuated through greed and ignorance. Racism is very subtle. It is a plague from which we may never escape.

I thank you for joining those of us who are sincerely trying to find a cure for it, but may never live to see it.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you very much. I would like to ask the panelists if they would accept written

myself, so you never know.

2

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

3

(Pause)

4

JUSTICE ROBERT EASTAUGH: I'm ready if.....

5

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes, please go ahead.

6 7

Commissioners, ladies and gentlemen, it's a privilege to be

JUSTICE ROBERT EASTAUGH: Thank you. Mr. Chairman and

8

invited here. I'm afraid that you're not necessarily

9

getting the person most knowledgeable about the topic I

10

think you're most interested in.

you may have questions about that.

11

When Mr. Pilla first contacted the court system, the

12

person most knowledgeable in the court system about

disparate incarceration rate for ethnic minorities is

13

Susanne DiPieto, who had a longstanding engagement to be in

15

14

the far reaches of Alaska on a wilderness trek. And so

The next most knowledgeable -- or actually our

statistician who is most skilled at studying these things is

Teresa Cairns (ph) of the Alaska Judicial Council. And I'll

be addressing the study which she personally has, I should

a sophisticated study, and we'll be talking about that and

say, dragged through and found the funds to justify.

16

you're getting me as a replacement, I'm afraid.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm speaking in my capacity as the co-chair of the Fairness and Access Committee of the Alaska Supreme Court.

Our Committee was formed to implement the recommendations issued in October of 1997 by the Fairness and Access Committee created by the Supreme Court in 1995. That Committee was chaired by Justice Jay Rabinowitz and Co-Chaired by Judge Mary Greene of Fairbanks, and she is also Co-Chair of the Implementation Committee.

That Committee came up with a number of specific recommendations after an exhaustive series of fact findings. The Committee itself was relatively compact but relied on a number of very large task forces which gathered the information, made findings, traveled to the bush and then came up with a series of recommendations which the Committee made to the Alaska Supreme Court.

The Alaska Supreme Court then created the

Implementation Committee and asked Judge Green and me to cochair it. Our goal of the Implementation Committee is to
carry out as many of the recommendations as are feasible of
the original Committee. Some of the recommendations
unfortunately for one reason or another may not be feasible
largely for fiscal reasons.

One or two may be infeasible for probably political reasons which we can't really control, many are addressed squarely to the Alaska Court System, and how the court system works both in treating its own employees, its jurors, witnesses, and litigants. And we have made, we think, great

Attached to my statement today is the August 2000

Implementation Committee report, the interim report of the Alaska Supreme Court reporting on all of the recommendations which the original Committee had, and indicating our level

of success dealing with those.

The level of success varies depending on the

strides in some areas in this regard.

recommendation. Some of those recommendations are of fundamental importance to how the judicial system, and for that matter, the criminal justice system works. And I'd like to distinguish here because the judicial system of course represents the third branch of government. And it is widely believed to be the least powerful branch of government.

We are dependent for budget on other branches of government. We are essentially a passive branch of government in the sense that it's our responsibility to decide matters to us in a litigation context. So it's not either our responsibility nor within our power to impose on other branches of government and require them to do things beyond the dictates of particular litigation, particular disputes.

So when the Fairness and Access Committee made recommendations, most of those recommendations were directed to the court system; some dealt with other agencies. And

those other recommendations have been referred to those agencies but we have no capability of requiring those agencies to do anything about them.

This is of importance because even though the judicial system is in essence the court system, the criminal justice system is a much larger entity and includes not only the judiciary but also includes the executive branch, which encompasses such functions as law enforcement, prosecution, corrections, youth intake.

And it also indirectly at least implicates the legislative branch, which controls public policy through enactment of statutes, dealing with crimes, making particular behavior criminal with sentencing guidelines and with, of course, and perhaps most importantly budgetary enactments.

The criminal justice system therefore is much larger than just the court system and sometimes the court system is a relatively minor player where basically there is the judge effectively being asked to accept a plea which has been more or less agreed upon between prosecutor and defendant.

Now, I won't -- given the passage of time I'm not going to try to cover everything that is in my opening statement.

And I think however it is worth noting that the reason that the Committee, the original Committee was appointed and why it acted with considerable energy was a deep felt belief

1.7

that the Constitution requires fair and equal access for all people to the court system and fair and equal treatment for all people who come in contact with the criminal justice system. The Constitution requires this.

And it is as well a deeply felt passion, if you will, not always expressed passionately by those sit on the bench. That doesn't explain, however, why we have clear disparate incarceration rates. And those is no question that ethnic minorities are disproportionally represented in the prison population; not all minorities, but some are. And the disproportions are often ethnic specific so that a given minority may be less frequently incarcerated for one type of crime than for another.

The statistics are not -- don't tell us the reasons.

And we don't know the reasons. The original Committee came up with a number of recommendations, and in doing so came up with a number of findings, some which are relatively speculative, but are kind of the best informed guesses as to the persons who sat on the Committee and the people who worked on the disparate sentencing subcommittee.

And among those speculations, but probably have a considerable grain of support are possibilities that communications difficulties, cultural differences, and rural locations tend to work against certain ethnic minorities.

For example, the sentencing -- most obviously

sentencing is often a factor of a number of very complex criteria specified by statute. Largely, there are aggravators, there are mitigators, there are choices held at charge, different degrees of crime. And the sentence imposed may well depend on what rehabilitation prospects there are. For a rural offender that sometimes means that the rural offender must be moved to some place where particular rehabilitative programs are available.

That might seem like a good technical choice but it isn't always the best choice, of course, in the real world because it removes the person from the support locally that the person has. And it may make the person more susceptible to new offenses in an alien environment, unsupported by family and friends. This in turn may result in probation violations and possibly new charges. So sometimes society's attempt to deal the best way with something is counterproductive or may be counterproductive.

Thirdly, communication is a very important aspect of this, and cultural differences may be a very important aspect of this. The law is very precise, sometimes overly precise, sometimes very murky. People and not merely ethnic minorities, but many people have a difficult time dealing with it in one way or another whether they're parties or whether they're witnesses or whether they're jurors. And communication in the English language is extremely subtle,

as in other languages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

As a result even the simplest types of cases may turn on issues of credibility which in turn depend entirely on the communication between jurors and witnesses or the judge and the defendant during sentencing proceedings. diffidence, possibly cultural tendencies not to fight charges as aggressively may result in more guilty pleas, may result in less favorable sentences.

The study which I mentioned finally is in progress. And I say finally because when our Committee was first created, the Implementation Committee was first created in 1998, we had a great number of recommendations to consider and then to try to deal with quickly.

And we recognized at the outset that the study was going to be costly. The initial estimates were about \$300 or \$400,000 for a sophisticated study. And our Committee has no capability to put that kind of money forward and so some time was spent trying to find grants.

Ultimately through the expertise of Terri Cairns (ph), a stripped down version of the study was proposed. ultimately through her efforts a State Justice Institute grant was provided. And so in March of this year that study began.

That study will study something like 2,500 felonies or felony convictions and case files that were generated during Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

1999 in locations throughout Alaska. My opening statement lists a number of the salient points regarding this study.

We are hoping that the study will break down the data and give us a clear picture as to what is actually happening in these cases. The study has to be relatively sophisticated because a case by case comparison doesn't tell very much, given the difficulty in matching the many factors that go into decisions to charge, decisions to prosecute, decisions to sentence and then decisions to set bail if that's appropriate and whether to revoke probation or parole. There's a continuum and there are few identical cases.

So the study has to cross-match a great amount of data and we'll attempt to come up with some answers. So we simply can't say right now. There were no findings of the original Committee or by the Disparate Incarceration Task Force that there was any overt discrimination, but there may well be factors which lead to some form of bias in the system against ethnic minorities. And if we detect that, then we will do our best to change it. Some of these things are totally out of the control of the Alaska Court System outside the context of litigation. And so some of the things and some of the recommendations are best addressed to other agencies.

There is a need for rehabilitation services in rural

communities so that people aren't forced to travel. There is a need for considering alternative forms of resolving some of the disputes. There is a need for greater public education, greater public awareness of how the courts work so that witnesses and parties have some sense that they'll be treated fairly and are less reluctant to appear in court and perhaps are less reticent to testify, less reticent to serve as jurors. So those are some of things we hope the study will help us address. Some of those things though clearly depend on agencies other than the court system and some are, if you will, expensive big ticket items.

One problem is communication. That necessarily means more sophisticated interpretive services than we now have. And there is, of course, a conflict between expert interpreters who are extremely knowledgeable about legal matters and who may have the best interpretative skills. And the need to have someone locally, readily available who can actually assist. There we have problems in finding relatively disinterested interpreters who are also conversant with sufficient of the legal terminology that the service can be useful.

We have been urged to create some sort of a training program. It is probably -- that is probably beyond our capability, although it's conceivable if properly funded. It is a service which could be provided through the

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 about 20 years ago that the system of presumptive sentencing went into effect, and at the time it was hailed as a response to a problem of disparate sentencing.

And in the context in which you spoke of the judicial branch as probably being the weakest branch of the three governments -- three branches of government, the effect of presumptive sentencing has been that charging decisions frequently assume much greater importance then they did before presumptive sentencing.

My question is, will the study address the effectiveness or the effect of presumptive sentencing on removing the disparities in incarceration rates? And also, do you have any impressions about what such an inquiry would reveal?

JUSTICE ROBERT EASTAUGH: I have no idea what the inquiry will reveal, but there's no -- you're absolutely correct that charging decisions are an important influence on what happens thereafter.

And one difficulty is that we're also dealing with negative information, if you will, because sometimes we don't know what hasn't been charged. What discretionary choices are made by the prosecutors or law enforcement in deciding what not to charge, so we're only dealing with what is. To some extent the study will be able to assess this influence especially if there are changes in the charges as

discrimination is vitally important. And on behalf of all

25

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To Vice-Chair Reynoso, to Commissioners Lee and Meeks, welcome to Alaska. To Chairman Gilbert Gutierrez and all the members of the Alaska Advisory Committee, greetings and thank you for your important public service.

actions and your advocacy for a better America.

I appreciate the chance to address the broad issues of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity in Alaska. Like the rest of the country, Alaska is indeed a land of diverse cultures In fact, on a per capita basis, we and varied backgrounds. are America's second most racially diverse state.

As Alaskans we cherish our freedom and Civil Rights. We are proud, for instance, of the fact that the First Act of the First Territorial Legislature in 1913 was to give women the right to vote, seven years before the nation followed suit.

We're proud of the landmark Civil Rights Legislation ' championed by Elizabeth Peradovich (ph) and the Alaska Native Brotherhood and Sisterhood of the 1940's which planted the flag of equality two decades before the U.S. Civil Rights Act.

However, Alaska like the rest of America, is not immune from the scourge of cultural and racial injustice. Concerns about discrimination and intolerance in Alaska are legitimate. In the most dramatic cases, headlines tell the

story of hate and quick violence. The recent murders in Anchorage of women of color and the deplorable paintball attacks on innocent Alaska Natives are shocking. In some cases the victimization is not as obvious of creeping injustice that mounts over the years.

In contrast to the Lower 48 where minority populations are often concentrated in the inner city most of Alaska's rural areas are home to significant majority of Alaska Natives. It is there that projects often go unfunded, services are not universally or fairly delivered, community needs go unmet. And even the traditional reliance on fish and game becomes uncertain. Even with these problems I believe that the great majority of Alaskans stand ready to protect the diversity and to provide the Civil Rights that define us as a state.

Joining me this morning in this important discussion are several members of the Knowles/Ulmer Cabinet, some of whom you're scheduled to hear from later today and tomorrow; Glen Godfrey, the Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety; Margaret Pugh, the Commissioner of Corrections; Jim Duncan, the Commissioner of Administration; Shirley Holloway, the Commissioner of Education and Early Development; Michele Brown, the Commissioner of Environmental Conservation; and Attorney General, Bruce Botelho.

From the outset, the goals of the Knowles/Ulmer
Administration has been to make Alaska a land of opportunity
for all regardless of skin color, cultural background,
religious affiliation, gender or station in life.

Looking at the results of the state's own practices, there are some encouraging signs, minority hiring has increased in the past decade with the percentage of minorities in permanent executive branch jobs up from 14 percent in 1991 to 18 percent this year. And we're currently hiring minorities into state government at an even higher rate, minorities are now 22 percent of all hires. This progress is the result of our strong commitment to minority hire and recruitment as well as the new Workplace Alaska Program that we have implemented.

Appointments of minorities to important State Boards and Commissions have increased significantly during this administration from about 13 percent in 1994 to 21 percent today. This includes minority appointments to vital policymaking Boards such as the State Board of Education; the University of Alaska Board of Regence; the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, and the Alaska Permanent Fund Board.

I have appointed the first African American to the Board of Regence, the first African American to the Permanent Fund Board, and the first African American woman to the Board of Education.

government, 16 percent of all of our Boards or all of our appointments to Boards or Commissions are Alaska Natives.

My Cabinet has always included prominent Native leaders,

Hensley, Irwin, Lestincoff (ph), Malek, and now Will Mao and

Glen Godfrey, who I appointed as the first Alaska Native ever to head the Alaska State Troopers and now the first

Alaska Native as Commissioner of Public Safety.

Alaska Natives are strongly represented in state

My administration has significantly raised the percentage of women appointed to Boards and Commissions from 32 percent in 1994 to an average of 45 percent today. We appointed the first woman Supreme Court Justice in Alaska. The first ever woman Judge in Southeast Alaska. And the first woman who is also an Alaska Native to the Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission. Behind our strong hiring and appointment record, many other initiatives reflect this administration's commitment to diversity in our hope for safety, success and good health to all Alaskans.

We introduced and are still fighting for hate crimes legislation which expands legal protection to those most vulnerable to attack because of prejudice, including discrimination based on sexual orientation or economic disadvantage.

We transferred the Office of Equal Opportunity from the bowels of the bureaucracy to -- back to its logical and more

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 prominent home in the Governor's office.

We negotiated the landmark Millennium Agreement with Alaska federally recognized tribal governments formally recognizing for the first time in this state their important leadership role.

And we have embraced subsistence as essential to the survival of Alaska's Native and rural people. We have fought for years to protect subsistence by introducing and supporting a state constitutional amendment allowing a subsistence priority including calling three special legislative sessions. This is a court issue for the cultural and economic survival of Alaska's Native peoples.

Father Michael Alexa (ph), the Arch Priest of the Russian Orthodox Church who oversees several rural parishes recently stated, "without subsistence Alaska Native peoples would die spiritually, die emotionally and eventually die physically."

There is no issue more important I believe to achieving racial harmony in this state than protecting the subsistence way of life for rural Alaskans.

Many other of our initiatives to improve children's health, fund safe water and sewer projects predominantly in rural Alaska, provide affordable energy and expand basic healthcare have assisted the state's minority populations to a greater proportionality than they are represented in the

Yet despite all this progress we know that there is a lot of work to be done. You will hear details from members of my Cabinet today and tomorrow, but let me acknowledge that we can and must do better.

public population percentages.

The two most important services that we can deliver under our Constitution and so every Alaskan can achieve the American dream are education and public safety. These are two areas where I believe there is a widening gap between rural Alaska and urban Alaska. This speaks to the heart of the concerns over which many will approach this Commission.

We are making progress in education including creation of the Quality Schools Initiative which challenges all Alaskans to help close the achievement gap between White and minority students. But in the areas of school funding, facilities, progress has been too slow.

And most troublesome is a funding formula that gives some rural districts a lower percentage of dollars per students for new enrollment. Despite repeated efforts to repair and replace schools, lawmakers have just now barely begun to fund the backlog of critical needs in rural areas. Yes, remote schools are more expensive to build and to operate, but the fact remains the gap between urban and rural education funding is not narrowing, we need to do better.

As for public safety I have asked the legislature repeatedly for more resources for rural law enforcement, including more troopers, more village public safety officers, and a revival of the State Constable Program in rural areas. Only in the past year has the legislature showed the support and begun to move in the right direction.

Recognizing that the incarceration rate for Alaska
Natives, about 37 percent statewide far exceeds the general
Alaska Native population. We have promoted tribal courts,
culturally sensitive prison programs like Potlatches, and
are working hard to keep Native prisoners closer to home.
Recent efforts by lawmakers may help in that regard, but
we've just barely begun.

However, our repeated calls for alcohol related intervention programs for treatment and prevention have largely gone unanswered. And this must change because Commissioners Pugh of Corrections and Godfrey of Public Safety will tell you that better than 90 percent of violent

crime in rural Alaska is alcohol related crime.

Closer related to this issue of crime is the alarming rate of suicide of young Alaska Native males. It's the highest suicide rate in the nation. The legislature has recently stepped up the work with the administration in the Alaska Native Community to make progress on this critical

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 issue, but we have much to be done and the need is urgent.

Unfortunately acts of racism by individual Alaskans do occur. After the paintball incident last winter it was clear to me that there is more work to do in teaching respect and tolerance. All of us have a role as parents, teachers, religious leaders, coaches and friends.

To help guide this very public discussion in May, I appointed the Governor's Commission on Tolerance. This distinguished group of Alaskans including some of you here today, some certainly that will be addressing you is holding hearings to assess the problem and recommend a response. They will serve as our eyes and ears and as our moral advisors. It is my hope the results of the Commission's work will be a more tolerant Alaska that celebrates our diversity of people and cultures.

I'll end on a note of further hope, just last week I asked 40 Alaskans from all walks of life to gather, to grapple with the long running issue of subsistence. Their backgrounds were different, their political views were varied and of course, their opinions were strong. Yet they authored a unanimously adopted value statement that celebrates our differences and recognizes that Alaska's future remains brightest if we work together. In part this valued statement says, and I quote:

"Alaskans must find solidarity as one people, united

I agree wholeheartedly. That's why this hearing of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission and the Advisory Group should be viewed as an opportunity, a chance to discuss the issues openly with hope that candid discussion will lead to positive change. Alaskans stand ready to work to make our state a place of justice, tolerance, opportunity, and hope.

Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to make this statement.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Governor.

Are there any questions from the committee?

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: I have a question.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: One of the concerns expressed earlier by a witness has to do with the relationship of Civil Rights to Human Rights. Civil Rights being often interpreted as individual rights and Human Rights being a broader concept. I think what the witness was getting to was the notion that Human Rights or even

Civil Rights ought to include rights that groups have, not just that individuals have. I just wonder whether you as Governor or the legislature has struggled with that concept?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: I think perhaps, Vice-Chairman Reynoso, I would think that perhaps while not articulating it in that way that there is a clear understanding that the cultural bonds that bring people together are expressed as something more than just one person. And that recognition of those values is perhaps part of what was inherent in that statement that was made by the 40 Alaskans that I asked to grapple together -- asked to gather together to work on that most divisive problem. And that they were recognizing in subsistence if there was probably one issue more than any other that would recognize that as a right of a group that comes closest to that.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Mr. Chairman, the reason I asked that is that we've had hearings dealing with Native Hawaiians and Native Americans in the Lower 48 states, and there's just a strong concept that community rights, you know ought to be recognized as Civil Rights or Human Rights. And I think that's what the witness was trying to get to.

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: And perhaps, Mr. Vice-Chairman, if I might just add that that is also what I believe is inherent in the government to government relations that are conducted with tribal governments based on that

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 constitutional obligation.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE Y. LEE: Your Honor, earlier we received a report, the 1997 Alaska Supreme Court report, that outlined several really critical findings and recommendations, that if implemented, would really further your commitment for very diverse communities, equal opportunity and treatment for all.

Some of them involved with expanding the diverse workforce, making sure that linguistically and culturally competent services will be provided. Unfortunately, the witness also said that because of budgetary constraints these vital recommendations are not being implemented.

Has your office been working with them, and if not, will the state be able to provide these kind of critical funding sources to help implement these important recommendations?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Thank you, Commissioner Lee.

I'm not familiar with the specific recommendations that you address, but as you explained it, I would state very clearly the difference of opinion between a majority in the legislature and myself as Governor does not rest on partisan differences, but really addresses some of the core values that have been expressed by people that see a reducing

budget.

And where does the burden of those savings fall and is it done fairly? And do we in that reduction, do we either inadvertently or intentionally bias the outcome to be unfair both racially and culturally and limit the opportunity for some that public service is an absolute necessity for their success. We have had those battles on all of those areas, the ability for the government through its programs and services to bring about some of the equality of opportunity that is necessary. So I would suggest that those differences, as I say, are not partisan but really speak to some real fundamental difference in core values. We have

We seem to be getting more success recently, and I think maybe that might be because of the public recognition of those kind of needs.

not been as successful as we hoped.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE Y. LEE: May I ask a very; short follow-up question? The federal government mandates that linguistically and culturally competent services be provided on the federal level. Will you be mandating that kind of services in your budget?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Certainly. And my Commissioner of Education in Early Development, Commissioner Holloway, can speak directly to some of the things that we have proposed, what we haven't received yet, but we look upon as

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 our continued obligation to support and hopefully get some success in.

.10

COMMISSIONER ELSIE M. MEEKS: Excuse me, I have a bit of a cold. But one, I want to thank you for coming here. It wasn't by subpoena or anything, it was willingly on your part.

You know, no matter where we go and no matter what the issues are when we're talking about Civil Rights issues, you know, one of the biggest reason why none of these issues are remedied is because of lack of funding, no matter where we go.

I heard somewhere this morning, I believe, that the state actually has a fairly big Permanent Fund, and that yet you still seem to be operating under budget constraints on carrying out a lot of the Native issues, you know, whether it's injustice or education, et cetera, and I wanted you to comment on that.

But because I only have one shot at this I also wonder does -- in South Dakota because of the large Native population there, we've been talking about undertaking a study on justice issues. But one of the things that sort of stands in the way of getting really good information is the number of arrests which goes directly to racial profiling.

And I wonder if Alaska has any law like that or is considering passing a law? So two, the budget and, one, the

racial profiling bill.

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Yes, ma'am. Mr. Chairman,

Commissioner Meeks, there is no excuse for us not to provide

the essential services based on a lack of budget. We do

have numerous resources that we can turn to.

Our inability to get that done as political leadership, the responsibility rests on our shoulders if it's not done. There is plenty of wealth in this state to address those needs and so the responsibility lies clearly with the political leadership if it's not done, and if it's done in a proportionality that is also fair.

So adequacy and proportionality are both important. I don't think that we have achieved that. I accept responsibility for that. I apparently have not made my cases strong enough or as compelling enough. We're trying. We think we're doing better, but that is no excuse for not achieving the right kind of results, but we're working on it.

In reference racial profiling, if it would be all right, I would like to ask the Attorney General to join with me here and maybe answer that specifically. I know that there is -- I don't believe that we have a law that specifically details that issue, but I believe in terms of the procedures and policy and regulations that we would not in anyway condone that or that it is somehow is accepted as

	Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001
1	part of our organizational framework. But would it be all
2	right, Mr. Chairman, if I might?
3	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: No. You're not on the
4	agenda you're on the agenda later on, aren't you?
5	ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: I am not.
6	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh, you're not. Would
7	you please then come forward and just answer that one
8	question. Then we're going to have to stop here at this
9	point because we got the schedule we're on, though we
10	appreciate your time.
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: Mr. Chairman, the
12	Governor has well-addressed the issue. We do not have a
13	statute which specifically prohibits racial profiling, but I
14	believe that when you hear from Commissioner Godfrey, he
15	will review with you our efforts to make sure that that
16	practice is not existent in Alaska.
17	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Does that answer
18	the question?
19	COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: So in reviewing the number
20	of arrests made per year, there's no mechanism in place to
21	know what the racial makeup of that is?
22	ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: In fact, we do track
23	of course the physical description of anyone who's arrested.
24	So in that respect, yes, we do compile that information.

Okay.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS:

25

2

3

4 5

\_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We appreciate your time. We would like to request the acceptance of some written questions, if you wouldn't mind?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: It would be my pleasure.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Well, we're really out of time.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I have just a short one.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. A real short one.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Thank you for coming, Governor.

And my question may sound a little crass, but I sincerely believe in what I'm doing.

In listening to your presentation and I attended one of the Tolerance Committee's meeting and in listening to the achievements that you have made out and so forth, my question is why are we here? Because we are still listening to the same, same thing over and over and over, and that's my question. It is short, Gil.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Rosa.

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Ms. Walker, thank you. And thank you for your longstanding advocacy of exactly what this Commission is involved in.

It's true, why are we here, if we know so much, if we're making so much progress. And frankly it's because we haven't done enough and we can do better. And I look upon

this as an opportunity. It's difficult to describe that there are problems with a state that you love and are proud of. And that, yes, there are problems, but as we all know, the first sign of progress is to admit the fact that you need progress and there needs to be the open discussions about how we can do better.

Perhaps I should have had a Tolerance Commission long before the paintball incident, but let that be the trigger by which we can from this point forward acknowledge that we have problems that perhaps we didn't believe were as deepseated as they are.

So thank you for giving me the chance to say that. We can and have to do better.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes. One more. This will be the last question, I promise.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Governor, what kind of mechanisms are in place to ensure the state agencies are not involved in discriminatory practices against minorities?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: What is in place has to be first of all the professional and personal integrity of the organization from the top down. That if there is any indication that discriminatory practices are being -- are occurring whether they're institutionalized or they're individual acts, they have to be recognized and changed.

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

And that is a fundamental responsibility of everyone within my administration.

1.0

We have systems within the government by which people on the ability without recrimination to call attention to this and to respond to it. And we welcome those situations.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Mr. Walleri?

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: The state's rights have been historically used to justify discrimination, segregation, and generally in other areas been used to justify oppression of minorities.

At the same time you indicate in your testimony that your recent compilation of Alaska Leadership seemed to develop a consensus of Alaskans about the subsistence issue in terms of -- that the majority of Alaskans support a rural subsistence priority. And I understand that you're facing a critical policy decision in the near term.

I have a question with regards to that and that is, do you think that it is more important as a policy statement to defend the state's rights when those that might preserve an undesirable policy, or do you think it is more your duty to defend what is the majority choice of Alaskans?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Walleri, thank you for that question. And you have very well described a fundamental dilemma. First just on a personal note if I might, I come from a generation where state's

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 rights as a phrase makes me cringe somewhat.

1.8

I was born and raised in Oklahoma, the Jim Crow Law was alive and well all during my upbringing. And I heard as I grew up the words state's rights to be used as a code word for segregation.

I think America has come a long way in that regard. So I'll say state primacy in regards to natural resource has oftentimes been a reason for the state to assert itself in a way that has in the case, as you're suggesting, in subsistence has been against the fundamental direction that most Alaskans would to see us go. And that has been a very troubling situation.

And I am involved right now in a decision that addresses directly that issue and we're now working to the conclusion of that right now. It basically is that we are suing the federal government for doing something, that we should be doing something -- that we should be doing as a state. And then what kind of protection do we offer if we're successful in not having the federal government protect those subsistence rights. That to me is a fundamental issue.

That's what I'm looking -- the values I'm looking at in regarding that case. And I have until October 4th to make a decision.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Governor.

There was one more question that was brought up here. Did you notify the Department of Safety or the FBI regarding the recent murders of all these Alaska Native women, these unresolved crimes against Alaska Natives? The Department of Justice? Has anybody in your office or the State Administration addressed those issues with the FBI or the Department of Justice?

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Mr. Chairman, I know that both through the Attorney General and Commissioner of Public Safety that those issues have been addressed. I believe that they have been in contact with the FBI. I'm not sure if it's also been with the Department of Justice. I would request the Attorney General comment to that, with your permission.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Wait a minute, he's going to respond to a question here.

ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: Mr. Chairman, if I might again request that at the appropriate time

Commissioner Godfrey directly address those contacts. I know they've been made, but I'm not at a point to indicate the extent to which the nature of those contacts can be divulged.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Very good.

ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: I'd like to have the opportunity just to consult with him.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: We can do that.

ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: Great.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: I wanted to ask one question while Mr. Botelho is here. And that is this, you've heard that discrimination in the legal system is problem which many of the panelists have addressed. And Justice Eastaugh has acknowledged that a lot of the discriminatory effect in law enforcement occurs long before the case comes to court as in, for example, charging decisions or even arresting decisions.

And I wonder if you or the Attorney General has considered what appears to many as a disproportionate charging decision against a public defender whose main clientele are among the most disadvantaged in society, can be perceived as also a discriminatory act because of his career choice to represent minorities and, as I say, the most disadvantaged?

ATTORNEY GENERAL BRUCE BOTELHO: Mr. Chairman, the matter being referred to here is a recent decision to prosecute a public defender in a drunken driving matter.

I would simply say this; I think the concerns that were raised were obviously serious. I had personally looked into the matter. I appointed an outside lawyer with extensive prosecutorial experience not connected with the state to

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 independently review all the records, to interview all state attorneys and law enforcement involved in that matter.

I received that report. The report indicated that the

steps taken were appropriate and that the charge was also within the range of reasonableness. It was not in any way selective prosecution as has been alleged.

I'm confident in both the integrity and the thoroughness of the investigation that I had done independently. And I would indicate this is the first time that I've actually acknowledged that that was undertaken in any public forum.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you very much. We really appreciate your time. And again we've gotten a little off track, but your time has been very valuable to us. Thank you.

GOVERNOR TONY KNOWLES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I would like to now request that Janie Leask, Manager of Community Relations for Alyeska Pipeline Service Company and Jennifer Rudlinger, Attorney for the Alaska CLU come up and present.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. You can start whenever you're ready.

MS. JANIE LEASK: Thank you.

We sure appreciate....

MS. JANIE LEASK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Janie Leask. I'm here today to specifically address the urban/ rural divide. I'm a lifelong Alaskan, have lived in the state for 40 plus years of Haida, Tsimshian, Indian and Irish-German ancestry. I've done a lot of work in trying to heal the divide between urban and rural Alaska which I feel is critical to this state, urban/rural, Native/non-Native.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:

I believe the divide is one of primarily due to ignorance and apathy, where the average citizen doesn't feel that they really need to become involved and feel that it's somehow not their problem that it's somebody else's problem.

In working on this issue over the years you have a couple of items attached to this testimony. One is the Commonwealth North Urban/Rural Unity Study which was just recently published. You also have some proceedings of what the Governor just talked about, and that is the Governor's Subsistence Leadership Conference, the Summit.

I worked for 15 years with the Alaska Federation of
Natives and spent a lot of the past 25 years involved with
the Chambers of Commerce, both the Anchorage Chamber of
Commerce as well as the State Chamber. I currently serve on
two organizations, one is the Commonwealth North Board of
Directors, which published this report which I'll go into in

just a minute. And also another one is the Alaska
Humanities Forum and the Alaska Humanities Forum is a
statewide organization. We're doing some work with respect
to urban/rural studies in bringing urban youth out to rural
Alaska, and rural youth into urban Alaska. And this has
been our first year with that with a lot of success.

Another one of our programs is Alaska 20/20 and this is where we trying to build up consensus and unity among the state of Alaska based upon values.

The Urban/Rural Unity study was one that Commonwealth
North took on largely because of the subsistence.issue, but
because of the growing divide in this state that I think
everybody recognizes but really nobody had come out and said
anything about it.

In taking a look at this study, we looked at a number of different areas; we looked at the access to fish and game, education, health services, public safety, governance and sovereignty and economic survival and development.

We asked the questions, what are the issues? What will happen if we as a state do nothing? What are some of the positive outcomes; what would they look like and what would they mean to the state. And then the final one, what needs to be achieved in order to have this positive outcome?

At the core of this issue like the core of a lot of other issues is the subsistence problem that has been with

us now for over 20 years. One of the little mini-successes has been trips by the Anchorage Chamber of Commerce out to rural Alaska. And this was particularly gratifying for me in putting the trips together and working with Native organizations because in many of the trips that we did take out, urban businessmen and women, the light somehow magically went on by visiting rural villages and talking to rural people they finally understood the importance of subsistence, they saw firsthand a honey bucket, they saw the need for power cost equalization, some of the subsidies to rural Alaska. They saw the health clinics. They toured a They came back together as a group and they shared a lot of their observations. It was wonderful. It was absolutely wonderful. Unfortunately the program has discontinued, but I'm hoping that the Anchorage Chamber will pick it up. The Fairbanks Chamber has also been talking about something similar to this as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this is a side of Alaska really that Anchorage men and women really don't see because it's prohibitively expensive to travel out to rural Alaska. You can get a ticket to Hawaii or somewhere in the Lower 48 a lot cheaper. And if you're job doesn't take you out there then there's really no reason unless you're a tourist and then go tour or a sports fisherman or a hunter. But it's a side of Alaska that most people don't see and I think fuels -- continually

۱

2

4

5

6

7

0

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've seen a lot of ignorance. And ignorance I think that is caused by a lack of understanding about Alaska

Natives and the place that Alaska Natives hold in our state

and the history. There is no requirement for history in our

state, Alaska history to be taught which I think is

fuels the urban/rural divide.

horrible. There is also a pioneer mentality that somehow

people think that the history of our state really started

when the gold rush happened or when there were -- when the

farmers were brought up to the Mat-Su Valley. And there's

not really appreciation for the Alaska history, Alaska

Native history, a portion of our state. And they're

stereotyping that that we all know that goes along.

Yes, there is prejudice. And yes, there is racism in our state and we've seen that. We've seen through the paintball issue. I guess one thing that I think that is positive is that people are talking about it now. We have this. We have this forum. We have marches. We have conferences. We have organizations such as Bridge Builders and Healing Racism, people are talking about it and I think people are doing something about it. I think there is reason for hope.

The whole -- in my opinion the whole urban/rural divide is really caused by subsistence. And it's caused by the subsistence issues which has been with us for now over 20,

25 years. And the subsistence issue again in my opinion is caused by the lack of our state legislatures to take action to even put on the ballot an initiative, a constitutional amendment to allow the people of the state of Alaska to weigh in on the issue.

I was a participant in the Governor's Conference on Subsistence. And before I went, a fellow employee approached me and said, "Do you know, all I want to do is vote." He said, "I don't even know how I would vote, but all I want to do is be able to weigh in as a citizen of Alaska and vote on this issue."

And for five special sessions and two regular sessions, our state legislature has refused to put this issue on the ballot for people in the state to vote on. And this has continuously fueled this divide between urban/rural Alaska. I think we can talk education, health services, public safety, a lot of other issues, but until and unless the subsistence issue is resolved in the state I don't think the urban/rural divide is really going to go away. And I don't think the healing is really going to take place.

And regardless of the goodwill of a lot of people that I saw sitting around the Governor's Summit, and there is a lot of goodwill, and there is a lot of nice words, there is a lot of reports out there, but I think it's really time that there is action behind the words that we hear. And

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 it's ultimately the action whether it's the action by state legislators, whether it's the action by business men and women and Chamber of Commerce's, for a real change to occur.

And I challenged a couple of Chamber of Commerce leaders and I challenge my own organization, Commonwealth North, it's one thing to pass a resolution and to say, yes, we support putting the issue on a ballot and sending the resolution out to everybody and washing your hands and thinking the job is done. But no longer can businesses and people in the state of Alaska just sit by and think that this is an issue that does not impact them and somehow it becomes a Native issue and it's a Native problem, when in reality it's an Alaskan issue and it's an Alaskan problem and it's a business problem.

So I guess in closing I would say that it is time for action. It's time for people to get off the sidelines and to start taking this issue and talking about it. And it's not only urban/rural; Native/non-Native, but it's all the citizens of Alaska. I think we're past the stage of doing studies. I think we're past the stage of holding conferences although it's always good to get people in the same room talking to each other. But we really now have to take that step for action. And the first step would be the legislature calling or passing a constitutional amendment to

2

3

4

\_

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

listen to each other.

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had a recent experience in Hawaii, I went over there for a Native Hawaiian/Alaska Native Conference in July. I

hadn't been to Hawaii for 10 years and I was struck by -- when we landed, there was -- one of the flight attendants

allow the people of the state of Alaska to vote on this.

said a number of different things which I'm assuming was,

"Welcome to Hawaii, welcome to our state, hope you have a

good time", but it was all in Hawaiian. And it was

wonderful. And I guess it really hit me that in such a way

that I turned to friend of mine who worked at Alyeska and I

said, you know, it's really sad but I don't think we'll ever

see that in our state. And it's really sad.

I mean, I'm optimistic, I continue to be an optimist.

I tried being a cynic going into the Governor's Subsistence

Conference but I ended up having hope coming out because

once again I feel that there is goodwill among Alaskans who

really care about our state and who really care about the

future of our state to really get together and talk and

So I think that there's a lot of organizations who are doing a lot of really good work, and I commend them highly. But I also think that in the arena of racism and understanding and continuing to build our state in a better way than what we've been doing in the past, that we really need to take this issue to our schools.

We need to get our children involved. That's why I'm really pleased that the Humanities Forum has their Urban/rural Youth Exchange because there's nothing like getting out to rural villages that somehow the light goes on in a lot of people's minds, but we need more of that. We need more of that in our schools. And we need to just see some action and a simple thing as respect one another. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Ms. Rudlinger.

MS. JENNIFER RUDLINGER: Thank you very much and good morning. I very much appreciate the opportunity to address this Committee. And I know you're running overtime, I'll keep my remarks very brief.

First of all, by way of introduction, my name is

Jennifer Rudlinger and I'm the Executive Director of the

Alaska Civil Liberties Union. The Alaska Civil Liberties

Union is the state affiliate of the National ACLU. We have

one office in Anchorage. We have been in existence for 30

years this year and yet last week was the first time we've

ever hired a staff attorney.

There's a perception out there that we're Liberty's Law Firm; we wish. But I have one assistant and a part-time staff attorney, and we're hoping to develop that into a full-time position because what I'm about to describe to you

is a laundry list of issues we're aware of that we don't have the resources to really do a whole lot about unfortunately in many of these cases. And we're not alone. We're not a state agency. We get no funding from the government, that's not your problem.

However many of the agencies to which we refer people also are understaffed and underfunded, agencies like Alaska Legal Services, the Alaska Pro Bono Program, which just started up about a year or two ago. The Anchorage Equal Rights Commission, the Human Rights Commission, understaffed, underfunded, huge backlog. And I throw up my hands so often in despair with the people who come to us who we cannot help because I've run out of places to refer them to.

However, I've been asked to address you today and I appreciate the opportunity to discuss some of the issues that the Alaska Civil Liberties Union is aware of that would concern this Commission. And I plan to focus on discrimination, particularly against Alaska Natives and also then to discuss a bit about discrimination against other minorities groups including gays and lesbians.

I would concur with basically everything that Janie

Leask just said, only I would also add that while the

rural/urban divide may have started with subsistence it has

manifested itself in many, many other ways and it is now

\_

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

\_ \_

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

For example, one of the issues that the Alaska Civil

much more complex than that.

conducted only in English.

Liberties Union is involved in is a fight against a ballot initiative which mandated that English would be the only

language in which government business could be conducted.

This was passed in 1998, unfortunately. The initiative does not affect private businesses, of course, it is only

affecting government business and it mandates that these

transactions whether they be written or oral could be

This is in state with over 104 different languages spoken. A state in which, frankly, those of us who are new to the state are lucky to live here. And we should be celebrating diversity, not passing initiatives like English only that only foster intolerance and divisiveness.

One of the most offensive things about this initiative was the fact that in many rural areas School'Board; meetings and City Council meetings which are traditionally conducted in Yupik or Inupiaq would only be conducted in English. And this would, of course, exclude village elders from participating in these meetings because they don't know English. And frankly it's unnecessary, this law. There is no threat to the English language in Alaska; I assure you. It baffles us that it was passed.

There is a provision in the initiative which cites a

symbolic Federal Law called the Native American Languages
Act. The Native American Languages Act was signed into law
in 1990 by George Bush the first. This law is unenforceable
against state or local governments. It does nothing to
protect Alaska Native languages from the scope of this
English only initiative. And yet it was touted as
protection for the Alaska Native traditions.

Fortunately the ACLU, the Native American Rights Fund and the North Slope Borough have prevented this initiative from ever taking effect. It has never been enforced.

Together we represent more than 30 plaintiffs, most of whom are Alaska Native. We obtained an injunction in 1999, preventing the law from taking an effect. It has not take effect since. And there is a hearing scheduled in Superior Court in Dillingham this fall in October on the constitutional issues of that case. We are confident in ultimate success in the outcome, but of course, nothing is ever a sure bet.

That's one example however of a symptom of this urban/rural divide, a symptom of intolerance in the state. There are many other examples. The ACLU has worked with the Alaska Native Justice Center. And I know you're going to hear from Denise Morris of the Justice Center later today. Together we were looking into complaints about the Sex Offender Treatment Programs in Alaska.

These programs are mandated for sex offenders, but they are offered often only in English. And we were hearing from folks who were unable to complete the programs because they didn't understand English and were therefore being denied good time and were basically being denied equal protection. We are continuing to look into this with the Native Justice Center. Again we have not had the resources to dig as deeply into this as we would like, but I'm bringing this to your awareness and maybe you can help us with this.

There are also as you have already heard dozens and dozens of sexual assaults of Alaska Native women in.

Anchorage in recent years. And we have evidence of a lack of concern by the Anchorage Police Department and the community at large unfortunately.

The ACLU heard from a rape victim this spring who was treated by APD -- an Alaska Native rape victim who was treated by APD as though she deserved it because she had been drinking at a local bar before the attack.

Another issue which I know has been addressed to you in great detail so I won't go to deeply into is subsistence but I do want to mention that it is something that the Alaska Civil Liberties Union is concerned about. The Alaska Civil Liberties Union in 1998 adopted a policy respecting the rights of Alaska Natives. Among the rights that we staunchly supports for Native peoples are the rights to a

tribal land base and pertinent natural resources; the rights to tribal self-government and sovereignty, self-determination; the rights to retention of their cultural and religious heritage, the rights to enforcement of the commitments made to them by the U.S. Government and the Alaska Government, and access to traditional hunting, trapping, fishing and gathering areas for subsistence purposes.

We have, since its inception in '98, been a supporter of the We the People March for Subsistence Rights and Native Rights. There's a perception by many in the public that this issue is simply about gathering food.

I was happy to hear the Governor articulate a quote from someone, many people articulate this much more eloquently than I do. That this is not just about the right to gather food or the right to feed a family. And this perception that it is about food is just a refusal to recognize and validate the cultural, the spiritual, the religious significance of subsistence to the Alaska Native way of life.

I won't go into the history of the Supreme Court

Decision in McDowland ANILCA (ph) unless you would like me

to. As the Governor has said he has until October 4th to

decide whether he will appeal to the U. S. Supreme Court,

the Katie John Decision.

The Alaska Civil Liberties Union will be urging him not to appeal, not so much because the appeal really has merit or any chance of being successful. There's never a guarantee, but the state has already appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court in '96 and they denied certain ones. We don't know why they would do it again.

But more significant perhaps is the perception that a state appeal would have to Alaska Natives. It would carry the perception that this is yet another slap in the face to the Alaska Native way of life by our state government.

We will urge the Governor, and my Board of Directors is right now looking at draft of a letter that we hope to get out in a couple of days. We will urge the Governor not to appeal and rather to continue to pursue non-hostile ways of solving the subsistence problem.

Another example of bias against Alaska Natives is an unfortunate incident of censorship that occurred in the Anchorage and Fairbanks School District. There is a book called American Indian Myths and Legends. This is an award-winning nationally renowned book which is just what it sounds like, it's a compilation of American myths and legends. It was used in a classroom in Anchorage as part of the discussion -- wrap up? Yes, sir. Thank you.

It was removed from the school library shelves in Anchorage, and then it was removed in Fairbanks from all

school district shelves without any parent complaining in Fairbanks, without anyone complaining in Fairbanks. In fact, nobody really knew the book was there to begin with until it was removed. It was removed by Fairbanks as a prophylactic measure to avoid any controversy which occurred when it was used in Anchorage.

The ACLU and several Native Rights organizations and corporations opposed this censorship. We continue to oppose it and we may be filing a lawsuit in Fairbanks if necessary to get it back on the shelves.

You've already heard about the disparity in funding for education and for public safety. The disparity that continues to foster and intensify the rural and urban divide, so I won't go into that unless you'd like me to.

You've heard about the paintball attacks. I'd like to briefly discuss some of the backlash that has occurred as a result perhaps of bringing you all here. While we're very happy to have you here, as often happens, any time a minority group stands up for itself or any time action is taken to move toward progress, there is a backlash to that.

And we saw an embarrassing situation in our state's

Senate this spring wrangling over what kind of

discrimination should be okay and what kind of

discrimination is quote, unquote, unlawful, in the adoption

of a very simple resolution condemning the paintball

attacks.

It was very embarrassing to watch, frankly, allegations of reverse discrimination by Alaska Natives against White people, and that was used as an example to support the term unlawful.

Finally, the Conference Committee reconciled the House and Senate versions and adopted the term wrongful discrimination: Still the discussion shows the lack of sensitivity to these issues even by people who are proposing to condemn the paintball attacks.

Another example of the backlash, which I believe does have something to do with bringing you all here and focusing attention on discrimination, there's an organization that has started leafleting neighborhoods in Anchorage, they are called the National Alliance. This is a national White supremacist organization.

This is the first year that we at the ACLU have heard of White supremacist activist groups in Anchorage. For all we know, this is two guys in a van, we don't know, but they have been busy leafleting, and attention has been brought to that by the Anchorage Daily News. Police are getting complaints from people who don't want to receive this literature. It is disturbing that they are here. And they're here now and it's no coincidence.

Finally, another example, which fortunately we are not

having to fight right now because it's been tabled, there
has been a proposal in the Anchorage Assembly to adopt
something called a quote, unquote, Conduct Ordinance, which
would have a disproportionate impact on the homeless and on
Alaska Natives in Anchorage.

This ordinance would have allowed law enforcement to banish people from public areas for 30 days if law enforcement believed they were committing any one of a number of nuisance crimes. There were no due process provisions, they were inadequate. Fortunately, working again with the Alaska Native Justice Center with Homeward Bound and another organizations that protect Native Rights, we have been able to get this ordinance tabled.

Other race discrimination, very quickly. Gee. We just heard two weeks ago from an attorney in Anchorage who handles student discipline cases in the Anchorage School District. She is very concerned about zero tolerance, which the ACLU calls the opposite of tolerance. It is zero common sense.

Like many schools around the country since Columbine,
Anchorage Schools have adopted zero tolerance. And there
are allegations we're hearing of racial disparity in
selective use of zero tolerance with the exception of a much
publicized group of students who were engaging in off school
fights and who were uniformly suspended. Other than those

cases we are hearing that there is a racial disparity in zero tolerance being enforced and we are going to look into that along with this attorney.

We're also investigating racial profiling that's been alleged in Valdez, a community across the Prince William Sound. We are hearing -- this week we are hearing that the INS is rounding up people in Valdez, that they are setting up roadblocks. And they are not pulling over White people, they are pulling over everybody else. We also hear that they are going into hostels and shelters and places where they think that poor people and immigrants might be. We're looking into that this week along with someone with the Catholic Social Services Immigration Project.

The last thing I'd like to briefly mention, there is a big gap in our state law in terms of gay, lesbian, bisexual and trans-gendered folks. There is no protection in state law or local law for these folks from discrimination:

We are happy to report the Anchorage School District just this summer did include sexual orientation in its anti-discrimination policy. However, there's no protection in state or local law and perhaps this is an example of lawful discrimination that the Senate wanted to protect. We don't know.

Numerous examples of a backlash against every small step forward for Gay Rights in this state, a lawsuit seeking

the right to marry led to a 1998 Constitutional Amendment prohibiting state recognition of same sex marriage. Alaska was the first state in the country to do this, embarrassingly, and other states have followed suit.

There is a current lawsuit the ACLU has brought seeking equal rights for domestic partners of state employees and municipal employees. They are not covered as spouses are covered under insurance and pension programs. The backlash to this is there is a proposed constitutional amendment barring any right to government funding which would include domestic partner benefits for employees.

Finally, many of you here I don't have to discuss the Loussac Library lawsuit, an example of intolerance against gay and lesbian issues. It was an innocuous display that was banned by the Mayor before he even saw it. We had to actually go to court to get it reinstated and we're happy that we were able to do so.

I thank you very much. I mean, what can be done, get out to vote big time. The English only is a stark example of that, protection for subsistence rights however that may come, co-management of resources between -- heavily including tribal governments and Alaska Natives, Civil Unions perhaps or equal rights for gay and lesbians under our state laws.

The Governor's Hate Crimes Bill is a start, but we need

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

to actually get real benefits for these folks.

**'7** 

Interpreters for people who are not proficient in English in our court system, a terrible lack. And the ACLU is submitting an amicus brief in a case bringing an equal protection challenge to this lack of interpreters, but without the funding we don't know what good it will do; it's worth a start.

And racial profiling in the state, we do hear of instances. The only community in Alaska I'm aware of that has adopted a racial profiling ordinance is Fairbanks. And unfortunately, the ordinance they adopted last year is toothless, it does not mandate data collection and it is not enforceable. And finally promoting tolerance in schools instead of zero tolerance.

I thank you very much for your indulgence.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We would like for you to accept some written questions at some point in time by the panel because we are running short right now. Thank you.

I want to extend my apology to the Reverend Greene and Art Lake, but we would like for you to come up at this point in time, and Senator Betty Davis, excuse me.

We're going to go ahead until 12:30 and then we're going to reconvene at 1:15, but we're going to open up your opportunity to speak at this point in time. Thank you.

Yes, Reverend Greene, please.

9'

1.4

 REVEREND WILLIAM GREENE: Good morning. My name is

Pastor Greene. I'm the Pastor of Eagle River Missionary

Baptist Church, and I work in all levels of local government

voluntarily. And I want to talk about two things.

Well, first of all, racism does exist in Alaska. It's alive and doing well. The paintball shooting was just an eye-opener. I've been saying and others have been saying things for 27 years, we're in a state of denial. And that's Ms. Walker's concern about why we are here.

We're here because we have had our head buried in the sand. We didn't want to hear the facts and we wanted to believe that racism does not exist. Well, the paintball incidence brought out what we've been saying for years. The public was aware of the racism in the city and in the state, and when we tried to voice that no one wanted to hear it, okay, ignore it. And the paintball shooting was one of the greatest things that ever happened really in the state because it brought out what other minority groups have been saying and it became a reality.

The other thing we have racism in the penal system. I have received numerous letters from inmates complaining about being denied their Civil Rights. I recognize the fact that they're paying for their crime but yet they should be treated as human beings. They have been called the N-word

4

1

2

5

6

8

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

And I just recently received a letter where an individual was complaining about they took his wedding ring. They did not allow him to have his wedding ring.

share the job opportunity, they have not been given an

opportunity to work as other Caucasians have been.

And another complaint, for instance, I received a letter when an individual was on the top bunk and there was hole in the ceiling and the ceiling fell on him and knocked him out of bed. They took him to hospital, just about zero weather without shoes. He was allowed to go to the hospital, walked to the ambulance only in his socks, and other things such as this. For instance, one of the correction officers said I have a rope in my truck to take care of your complaining. Well, these kind of things happen in the penal system.

I'm grateful that this Advisory Board is here to hear these things but the same thing has been said for 27 years. And I think the public is really tired of all these Commissions and all these gathering of facts and nothing has ever come about. I think it's time for action and I think it's time for someone to take this matter seriously.

For instance, in the school district, there's racism in the school district and it's doing well. Now, I don't think

the administration wants to hear the facts, they're in a 1 state of denial. When it comes to zero tolerance it only 2 3 applies in certain instances but mostly to the minorities. I've sat in the classroom, I've seen significant others act 4 5 out in classroom and when the minorities do the same they are chastised, sent to the principal's office. And these 6 7 are the kind of things that we have seen in the school I have personally seen these things. 8 district.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To this date I wrote a letter to the Superintendent, the School Board, when I was on MECC and to this day nothing has been done about these complaints. And I will not be a part of a rubberstamp situation.

And so the facts have been gathered, but no one wants to act on the fact. And the reason why we're here today because no one has taken serious about the racism in this state. And it's alive and doing well.

For instance in 1981, the minority test score was at rock bottom. We came up with several after-school programs. Those programs worked for a while. Today we don't have a single one in existence because of lack of funds.

Minority African-American teachers, in 1987 we had a 127 or 129 African-American teachers, today we're down to 118, so we're losing ground rather than gaining ground. Why, because the emphasis is not there. The fund when it comes to funding you won't find it.

For instance, we had \$50,000 allocated for a recruitment of minority teachers back in 1987. That fund was never used for minority recruitment. And today we don't have one penny allocated for recruitment of minority teachers. These are the kind of things that goes on in the district. These are the kind of things that the people are concerned about. When are we going to take action on all of this information that has been gathered? And I don't see that.

б

Now, since the paintball incident, we've had several facts -- my committee was the first to hold public hearing on the paintball incident. It happened in January and the first public hearing didn't happen until June. Everybody has been in the state of denial. Well, why wait until June if this incident happened in January?

Secondly, only one arrest has ever been made, and that was one of the victims. And these are the kinds of experiences that are going through within the state. The perpetrator has not served one single day incarcerated. If it had been a minority, you go to jail first and we get the facts after. That's the way it is in the state, and this is what has to change.

The people are frustrated and they have reached zero tolerance, we're not going to tolerate it anymore. And we want some action from our elected officials and they are

1.0

I went before the state legislature back sometime ago in the subsistence. Well, they have it planned in their minds we're not going to deny you -- we're going to give you equal right to live off the land.

serving their own selfish gratification.

Well, God has enough fish out there for every citizen, and for wildlife, whatsoever. So this is a big forest we are protecting of this right. We just don't want to do the right thing. And so I think we have talked about that enough.

And I agree with what the Governor's trying to do, is trying to get a subsistence level for the rural area, but it doesn't look like that's coming together. Why? Because they don't want the people to make a decision, and I think it's time for our elected officials to start listening to the people and they are not doing that here.

And so my thing is if you can't listen to the people, we ought to get together and vote them out. And I think that's the solution to the problem. They represent themself and not the people.

And so I'm thankful that this organ -- Committee is here to hear our concerns and hope that we can generate some interest and some action from this Committee because right now I think we all have done like we work inside, like Rip Van Winkle, we go to sleep. Okay.

And the same problem keeps surfacing. And so this has been, like I said, my experience for the last 27 years. For instance we are supposed to have equal right opportunity to post on every bulletin board; that's not there.

We came out in 1987 in the District, our present reduction plan that was supposed to have been on every bulletin board and to every principal and every teacher familiarized with that plan. Today you can't even much find anything on that present reduction plan, that's the emphasis of that to be put on it, okay. Until someone started doing the right thing at the right time, that concerned the minority community and the citizens of the state of Alaska, 20 years from today we'll be talking about the same thing and the people are not going to tolerate it.

So I solicit your help and your support in bringing Alaska into the 21st Century as far as equality. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Art Lake.

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: Good morning. (Speaking Yupik).

That was just a short introduction. Ladies and gentlemen, Chairman Gutierrez, ladies and gentlemen of the Commission, elders on your panel and in the room. My name is Arthur Lake, and I'm the President of the Association of Village Council Presidents headquartered in Bethel, Alaska.

I was born and raised in Hooper Bay, Alaska. And the first time I ever went to school I was much like you

listening to me just a minute ago, the instruct -- the principal maybe it was, was telling everybody, all the school children which classrooms to go or which buildings to go. I didn't know what he was talking about because I didn't understand him. And I because I didn't I looked around and my same age group, my buddies, you know, five, six years old, I went along with them because I didn't understand a word of what that person was saying. And I think that's basically a lot of the problems we see here in Alaska today is because we don't understand.

It's very difficult for me at times to think back and remember a lot of the discrimination and the seeming disregard for an individual based only on color. We have a very strong cultural and traditional value systems in my region which is called the AVCP Talista Region (ph) in Southwest Alaska.

The language is very strong. The practices of cultural and traditional values are still very strong. We base ourselves mostly on a community basis, not individual basis, and that's why I believe there is such strong bonds between members in the community. And when you start spreading that out you see less and less of that to where when you come to the statewide issues there's a serious lack of understanding and a serious lack of concern and that we're placed as individuals instead of communities.

I remember a lot of -- now looking back in retrospect, a lot of things that were said and done to me as I was growing up was because they didn't understand me in a sense because of my color, because of my background, where a lot of it from very early on were stereotyped, you know, "those dumb Eskimos" and all these other things. And even in the paintball situation where they were going to get their Muktuks. It's very sad.

And it's not very -- it's an education, especially as I'm on the panel of education. I like to put it this way when I speak to people in my region and others elsewhere, that our Yupik children go to school in a foreign country every day for seven hours a day for five days a week. A foreign country because they don't speak their language and they don't learn about their culture and traditions.

We have six school districts within our region. Not once have they ever got together to talk about education of our Yupik children.

You look at the curriculums of those six school districts and I imagine anywhere else in the state, I don't find a single Yupik cultural and traditional value system incorporated into the curriculum in the schools, not one.

And I am saddened by the fact that a lot of our little children, our young adults and now adults don't know how to speak their language, our language, Yupik. And that saddens

me and it should sadden everybody because a lot of times language is something that keeps people together as a group.

We don't see our cultural and traditional value system in the enormous time that our children spend in front of the TV because everything else is in English or something else. Education is key and I feel very strongly that we should do something about that.

When our cultural and traditional value systems hold such importance to us, then we should do something about it. Our tribal governments are like us as individual Natives, Yupiks or otherwise. One of their responsibilities it to promote and protect and perpetuate their cultural and traditional value systems, and yet we don't see that.

You know, we're recognized by the federal government but subjugated by their laws. We're not acknowledged or recognized by our state government. A lot of these things are just hitting on the surface, if you will. A lot of time must be spent in trying to understand.

I remember my late father used to tell me when I was growing up and he used to talk to me about that like this with his fingers crossed, he said no matter how much I try, no matter how much I do not speak my Yupik, no matter how much I don't eat my Native food, no matter what I do to throw away if you will my nativeness I will never become a White man, and I will never become an individual that is a

White man.

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

And the reverse is true, no matter what the White man does, no matter if he learns our language, no matter he eats our food, no matter he practices our culture and traditions, he will never be -- he or she will never become a Native. And it's that point I think where we should all look to see and become familiar with the culture and traditions that are here in Alaska today, and there is quite a few of them.

But it's always the intolerance, the set-asides, you know, the pushing aside. I've learned that if you don't talk like them and you don't dress like them and if you don't do things like them, you have a harder time getting recognition or getting a little closer. But if you do dress like them and you speak like them and you wear a suit and tie instead of jeans and t-shirt, they'll treat you a little different and with a little more respect, but that's not good enough.

We should respect and understand each other to the fullest degree that we are all different and that we have own differences that we as Native people, as Yupiks, understand and embrace that you or others and their cultures, know and understand and embrace.

And education is so critical today. Like I said, you know, I'm saddened by the fact that our children and adults even now don't understand our Yupik language.

fortunate enough that I grew up not knowing a stitch of English, not one word. And so my kids who range in age from 2 to 14, that's the first thing they learned was Yupik. We didn't speak any English to them in their presence, not until they went to school did they learn any English because I want them to understand who and what they are and where they come from.

At least that's a start, and it's our responsibility as people to believe that we are tolerant, that we believe that the individual is another human being in spite of their color or their race or their culture. And education not, only in the classroom but in the meeting places, in the cafes, in the restaurants, in the bars, even on the street, education is very critical. And I thank you for the opportunity to speak today. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Lake. Senator Betty Davis.

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr.

Chairman and members of the Committee, Advisory Committee

and also the Commissions that are present, Mary Miller and

also to Ms. Meeks; it is a pleasure to have you here in our

city, even though you are here for reasons that we would

prefer that we did not have to address if we did not have

the problems that we did, but we do have problems.

But I didn't come here to talk about all the things

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights . Thursday, August 23, 2001 that's going to be discussed with you by people that would come before you tonight. And I only have a few minutes and I just to take this opportunity to address some of the things that I think our important in the areas of education.

Yes, we do have problems within the school district. I know the Anchorage School District very well, having been a school board member for more than 12 years on the school board; once for 9 years and almost 3 years just recently.

And I've served in the State Legislature and I'm now serving in the Senate. And education has always been a priority of mine.

And no, we are not doing everything right but I do want to say that it is not all gloom and doom here in our state, that many things we are doing correctly and we can do better. There is discrimination throughout, not simply because it is something that people try to make happen, it happens because of lack of ignorance -- due to ignorance, lack of understanding, lack of education in areas that need to made.

And also because we sometimes have a community that is not concerned about education issues, they prefer to leave it to the lawmakers, they prefer to leave it to other individuals to carry out what parents need to be doing.

I know that I've served as a citizen in this town,

working in advocacy groups and going before school boards
before I was ever elected official, talking about what the
needs of the community were. And many programs have been
put in place. And as long as somebody was there to watch
those programs and to see that they were kept whole, those
programs were there.

In the area of equal opportunity, there are many things that need to be done in order for us to educate our children well. And if you would talk to anyone within the education department or the school districts, the school boards, what you would hear them saying is, yeah, we believe that all children can learn. And I do believe that now people do believe that children can learn. But what are we doing to make sure that they all learn, and learn equally, that there won't be the gaps that we see between the majority and minority?

Within the Anchorage School District, there are 50,000 students in this city. And 30-some percent of those students now are children of color.

But when you look at the makeup of the school district when it comes to the administrators, the school teachers and other people that work for the school district, our children are not looking at -- children of color are not looking at people that look like them within the classroom or any of those areas in the capacity -- in the numbers that they

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

should be. And that's something that has to be changed.

I know when I came here in 1972, all of the principals of the high school were White males. And there have been changes over the years, and we've had minority principals. But we are losing them. They are at retirement age. They're moving on to better jobs in other places, and they're not being replaced.

Some of the training that was being done to provide administrative training to have principals move up into those capacities, we have not had the money to fund those programs. And when money is short, many good programs are cut.

The question has been asked, why are we here? We're here for many, many reasons. Because we are not doing the things that need to be done in order to provide professional education to all of our children, to make sure that they have a quality education.

We have to first make sure that our class sizes are small, that we're providing professional development to all our staff. We want to test our children and have them ready for the test. We have an exit exam now that will go into place in 2002, we're supposed to. We have moved that now to 2004.

Will we be ready? We are working in the areas. They now have benchmarks where children are being tested at

grades three, six and eight to make sure that they're on grade level. If -- we also have now in the first grade, but kindergarten grade, the children come into the school, they have a profile that is developed on them so we can see what areas of weakness that they have, and they've had to be developed.

Perhaps when this group of students gets to be tenth graders, they then will be able to pass the tests that we have put upon them. We can't put all the responsibility on the children. We can't put all the responsibility on the teachers. We must pay our teachers well. We must train them well.

And the question was asked, if we have so much money in this state, what is this problem? Well, the money is not the solution to everything, but I guarantee you that money is an issue when it comes to public education in this state.

For the five years, the budget has been cut. And during those five years when they said they were fully funding education, it was just the same old repeat of the money that they had been giving them since 1993. The foundation and formula had not been increased since 1993. Inflation rate has gone up more than 20 percent during that time, and yet only about 8 percent has been added to the budget.

So we know that first of all, we need to inflation-

proof our budget by education. We know that we should provide enough money to cut class sizes down so that teachers can make sure that they meet the needs of every student that's in their room. It's not good enough to say that we're doing fine because in this state, our tests scores are a little more than 60-some percent, 63 percent when it comes to the CAT test. We're talking about, yeah, we are doing well, we are doing better than most large school districts. That's not good enough.

We have schools within this district where many of the students are performing at the 90 percentile on the CAT test, where we have others that's down in the 30 percentile, and yet, we're not giving all of the resources to the schools that's not performing as well that they should have.

Yes, we do have federal money that comes in, but the federal money that we get is not enough to meet the needs that need to be met. And so we have local monies and we have state monies, and we're not doing enough.

Yes, we do have the money, the foundation formula is flawed. It's already been said that there's a difference between the way we fund urban schools and the rural schools; it should not be.

We know that there's changes that need to be made in the formula. We are pushing for legislation for that to happen. But at this time, at the present point that we are, Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 those are sitting there. We do not have the majority vote to make the changes that need to be made.

But legislators can't do it all by themselves. They also need the public behind them. Groups like you that will listen to some of the concerns that are happening -- the are problems that are happening in the state, you can hear that information, you can make recommendations, but it is us that's left here that will have to see that they're carried out.

For over 30 years now, I have been involved with education. And I see where we need to go. And I know sometime we are there, and we're moving up, and sometime we're not, but we have to keep pushing. But the main thing is put the resources where they need to be.

We know the problem that's in the rural areas. We get teachers out there and sometime before they can get off the plane and get situated to teach their classes, they're ready to move back where they came from because, first of all, we haven't prepared them for what they're going to face when they get there. And so you've got this open door policy.

Even though we pay people more in the rural areas, we're not training the-teachers that we're sending there, and not providing the resources that are needed. And we need to do more with technology. And we need to do a whole lot of things that can be done. But money is a issue there

1 also.

And also keeping the proper staff that you need. We're not able to recruit because of cutback in budgets. It used to be the people would come here by the droves to work for our state. But now, other states are paying even more than we pay our teachers.

We used to be Number 1 out of all the states, but now California, and Washington, Oregon are picking up the people that we have here because they're paying them more, they're giving them incentives and bonuses and they feel that they can do better there because of the cost of living is lower and we're having a hard time keeping them.

And a lot of the kids that we have now that are in special ed classes, they are there not because they need to be there. They might be having some problem, but many of the problems should be handled within the regular classroom, and not placed in special education.

And, yes, there's a problem, we don't get enough money for special ed because the federal government promised at the time when IDEA came into practice that they would provide 40 percent of the funding. But at this point, they haven't given more than 10 percent.

Now they are increasing in those areas, and there's work to be done. That means that we as state legislators have to put pressure on Congress to do what they say they

have to do. The school boards are doing the best that they
can. In many instances, they are there every year asking
for the funding. And they appreciate what they do get. But
they always know what the needs are and the continue to ask
for those monies.

And over the last few years, what I see is happening is happening, school boards are coming together, working together, urban and rural, standing up for education, standing up for what's in the best interest of all our students, regardless of their race, of their creed, or if they're rural or if they're urban.

And that will get us where we need to go. It didn't happen as well this year as I would have liked for it to happen, but we got more increase in the foundation formula this year than we have for a long time. There were more schools that were funded to be built in the urban areas and that there would be renovation to some of those schools that are run down.

We didn't complete the total list, but we went further on the list this year than we've done in many, many years.

And I do believe that next year, when we go back to face education again, that we will be able to address the problem with the formula and maybe we will fix it so there will be no more rural/urban divide when it comes to the funding source, that we will fund the schools based upon what their

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 needs are and not what we agreed that they should have based upon what we want.

Seventy percent of the money that we get in the Anchorage School District at this time does go into the instructional part of school district. All of its schools have to meet that mark, 70 percent into instruction. But there are many schools that are not able to do that.

We have more than 10 schools now that receive waivers at the 65 percent, which means they are not ready to meet the 70 percent. So we must provide that money that they need so that they can do that, so that we can keep students in place. We also have to do things to make sure that we do something to educate more Native people in the area of education.

What do you think is happening when our children are being taught and many of the teachers are not there to fill that? We don't have certified teachers. You have these aides in the classroom that's providing those services to them. So we need to do everything that we can to make sure that they can go out and get their degrees. And we can bring classes to them on the Internet. We have the colleges and universities now that are saying that they are going to beef up their program to help them figure out how to complete their training so that they can be there in the classroom.

There are many, many things that we know that we can do. Many thing we have been dragging our feet on. Maybe with some motivation from groups like you, we will be encouraged to do more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I do want to say that it is not all gloom and doom, that we are doing some good things with children in the It is not just minority children that sometimes Majority children also slip slip through the cracks. through the cracks. When you have this divide where you have maybe in Anchorage 10 or 12 schools, and they have teachers over there that are doing the very best that they can, but what I see has happened in the district in many cases, new people that come in as new teachers are placed in positions where some of the most difficult students are. And maybe we need to be looking at where are the best teachers needed? That's not to say all our teachers are not doing a good a job, but inexperience and not being able to meet some of the needs, maybe we need look at other areas and move those teachers over.

I do know here in Anchorage that we do give funds based upon what we see as the need, but we don't go so far as to say that teachers would be placed where they are most critical needed. Because even when it comes to special ed now, our special ed teachers have been released and they do not have to teach within special ed any more if they don't.

ż

And so there's a great shortage there. And we have to work to make sure that we have the increase in funding so that we will have the special needs teachers that we need.

We also have to work in conjunction with minority communities to make sure that their needs are met when it comes to having more people of color within the school district, all the way from the janitor all the way up to the superintendent.

It's not good to have all the high schools there and you go there and they all have Caucasian principals. We have had a male principal, we have had women and people of color in those jobs. We are losing those positions. I know that they will work hard on trying to put them back there, but what is needed is not to sit back and depend on the superintendent of the school board, that the community can be more involved.

I appreciate the fact that we have people like Reverend Greene who has served on various committees and as an individual has come forward and work hard to make sure that we meet the needs of our students. But it can't be just one or two individuals, it has to be a community that is concerned.

When the business community was concerned about what they thought was wrong with the school system, the district listened to that. And they will listen to us too as parents

and other individuals if we continue to show that we care 1 2

and don't just sit at home and let things happen.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Many times parents don't come out and see what's going

on in the children's classroom until there's a problem.

when there's a problem, the children might get suspended.

Many times they don't even show up for that. But once they

have three suspensions, and you're talking about expelling

them from school, then they show. But they should have been

there even before the first.

Yeah, we do have zero tolerance in school, and there are some times that I do believe, even as a school board member, I believe that there were times that zero tolerance got in the way of us doing the best job. Because we don't want violence in the classrooms and in our buildings; we don't want that. And we should do what we can to get those kids out of the programs. And suspending them and expelling them is not the answer.

We do now have some funds where they're going to be setting up a school that will have those students go to class rather than being on the streets, but that's questionable as to how much money will be there for that program, or if we will give money to them next year to expand such a program.

So what we need to do is spend more time working as a team, school board, superintendents, elected officials at

the state and local level and looking and what are needs are. We have a governor that cares and many of the things that he said to you today, he had in his budget to fund, we as state legislators said we didn't have the money to do that.

But based upon what we are funding our budget on from this point on, maybe we didn't have it. Maybe there was areas that we could have cut in and we could have give more to education.

But we do have a plan that we need to develop to make sure that we have a long-term fiscal plan that would show us where we're going to go, so that every year when we have to balance our budget, we don't have to go just to the Constitution reserve account that we have to draw down the amount of money to balance the budget for education and the full budget. That we will have other opportunities to look at should we have an increase in -- should we come back with a state income tax, are there other areas that we might be able to come up with funding?

I know that people are talking about what we should be doing, but legislators are not ready to move because they said the public is not telling them which direction to move in. But I am pleased to report to you that there is a group of legislators that are getting together, meeting on a regular basis talking about what we need to do for the long-

term budget plans and what we will do to come up with a budget rather than just cut, but have something that would provide adequate funding. Because our constitution said that we are to provide education to all our children. It didn't say quality, but we know they meant quality. In order to have quality, we have to have the funds. And we need to make sure that we do what we can.

And I agree, and I'm one of those of people that

Reverend Greene was talking about. If you don't do your

job, then vote them out. I have no problem with that. If I

don't do what you think that I need to do, I'm willing to be

voted down.

I'm willing also to take a stand to fight for what we need for the school district. And it's already a known fact that for many, many years, I've done that. But it takes a lot of people coming together to make those things happen.

And what I want to say to you, when you leave here, I want you to understand that we do have a good school system within our state. We have very few people here, there's just less than a million people living this state. There's nowhere you can get to the governor as individual like we can get to our governor or to the State Board Commissioner or to the Board of Education, all those people, you have read to serve you. All we have to do is go before them and let them know what our needs are and our concerns and help

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 them push for what we want.

And I do believe as you continue to check on this state, you will find out in the area of education, we are making the right moves and that we will have a better system than what we have now. So I thank you for taking the opportunity to hear me, and if you have any questions, I would be glad to answer.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Senator. Any questions?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: And I also did provide you a written package of information that will give you more information than what I gave you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT'GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Mary?

MS. MARY MILLER: I would like to thank all of the panelists today; Reverend Greene, Mr. Lake, and Senator Davis.

We were instructed to listen to the facts that come before us as members of the Advisory Committee, and I think I'm doing that to the best of my ability, but I'm also -- what I would like to ask about is also going to be coming from the perspective of a mother and a parent.

Being the mother of a son who is now going into his second year of teaching, I think it's very critical that somehow we find ways to support minorities, people of color who are in these professions because it is a thankless

undertaking.

This past year in trying to deal with some students who were very distracting in the classroom, my son was told that he was over-utilizing the discipline policy of the school district, and the derogatory names that were directed his way, he was expected just to accept them. That's one example of what people go through.

The other concern I have, and this is as a parent of a student who has three years left of high school, is the high school qualifying exam. When you're dealing with students who may have special needs or who may have either a learning disability or different learning styles, and we impose a evaluation tool three-fourths of the way into their 12 year school career, I feel that that raises their constitutional, their human, and their civil rights of these students who cannot speak for themselves. And that's the one concern that I would like to register, I guess, and ask if there is a way that we can find a way to resolve that so that we can accommodate students who may have learning disabilities or difficulty or different learning styles so that they can earn their degree rather than getting a certificate of attendance.

And it's very personal to me because I have spent the summer trying to tutor in the area of Pre-Algebra for my child. Thank you.

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: I thank you for bringing that up, and that is a part of the information that's in your package. As far as what we are doing in the State of Alaska, I do believe that we are on the right track and that we are addressing that appropriately.

We are concerned about children in special ed and we have made provisions for those students to have other alternatives other than just passing the exam itself.

All that information is -- part of that is provided to you. Anything else that you would need, and as I was saying, we are not just now depending on waiting for a child to get into a grade and then all of a sudden you have to take a qualifying exam to have a diploma.

You know, we know -- I know the importance of it. And I know that most people know the importance of a diploma. It would be terrible for us to put all of that burden on the students and not provide the resources that we need to prepare them. And we do have the tests, and they will be testing that in 2004; that's when it's supposed to kick in that they would have to have that in order to graduate.

But we changed it from 2002 because we were not ready.

I don't know what they're doing in your state, but we have agreed that we will not put the responsibility on the children. We want to make sure that our curriculum is aligned to the test, that teachers are not teaching to the

to do with special ed children and what.....

25

MS. MARY MILLER:

Not just special ed, but....

2 |

 SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: No?

MS. MARY MILLER: .....other students who may experience difficulty in learning or who may have different learning styles that are not accommodated by the teaching approach in the schools.

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: That has also been addressed by us and there are other areas other than just the test, and when the final thing is done, there will be teachers' recommendations and principals' recommendations.

There's going to be some type of something that will be provided by the State Board of Education. Those things have not gone out to the public for public testimony on that yet, but I know you should have a concern about it, but we are addressing those issues.

MS. MARY MILLER: Right. And they will be addressed to accommodate the students who will....

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: That is correct.

MS. MARY MILLER: .....who will be graduating in 2004?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Well, yes. The test does not kick into place -- they will test every year, we'll still test, but you won't have that on your certificate at this time. You just -- 2004, you have to pass the test. But there will be other alternatives also that will be there for other students.

MS. MARY MILLER: Thank

Thank you very much.

ا '

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Mr. Schapira?

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: Yes. Actually, your response hit upon something I want -- a concern of mine. When you said we are working to make sure the curriculum is aligned to the test, but we are not teaching to the test.

And what I hear from your testimony, which is very welcome by us, by they way, we're happy to have it, is that we need more funding and that the testing will ensure a quality of education.

The concern that I have is that I heard Mr. Lake and others talk about the need for cultural education, diversity training, Alaska history training and those sorts of issues. And my concern is that if we put more money into education, as we should, I'm sure, that it will -- the direction that it will be used will be dictated by the demands of these tests. And these other important areas, such as cultural training and cultural sensitivity, diversity training, et cetera, and Alaskan history will not receive a proportionate share of the additional funding. I wonder if you could just address that briefly?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: From my standpoint, the Alaska history thing is something that's going to take place now because we have already passed a law that said we will have

Alaska history being taught within the school districts.

And so that just has to be developed. And what's going to be the real outcome of that, I don't know, but it would be funded just as any other part of our educational programs would be funded. So I don't see that.

As far as -- I'm going to use as an example the Anchorage School District because I know that and that's where I lived since '72. There was a multi-culture department at one time in the Anchorage School District. It was there simply because of the people of color went before them and demand that these things take place. And they did happen. But when the funding was beginning to be cut over those five year periods, all of those programs have gone by the wayside.

There used to be programs where you would tutor the children right within the classroom, and they didn't have to be pulled out missing the rest of their classes. Those were the first things to go.

So, yes, we do need to identify those things and that multi-culture curriculum department needs to be redeveloped in the Anchorage School District. It's up to the School Board, the parents, and the people of this community to demand that it does come back.

We have one person in the Anchorage School District now that does multi-cultural as well as bilingual. She is the

When I went back on the School Board back in '96, and I tried to get that multi-cultural, just the specialist back in the budget, my first year of the budget I was not able to get it. The second year of the budget, I was able to get it back in the budget with the help of Reverend Greene and many other groups that came forward, and it went back into the budget. But when they got ready to cut the budget again, the first thing that came out of the budget was that.

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: It's sort of the ed.....

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: So it takes the public working in conjunction with us when we do provide you the money for these things to happen to make sure that you get there to see that they happen.

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: It sounds like you're saying it's sort of the educational equivalent of last hired/first fired when it comes to.....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right.

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Yeah. And that program was not one of the last, but yes, that would be something equivalent to that, because the program had been around since the '80s.

Also for the recruitment, the EEO office was cut back.

It was a full division of people working there doing the work, and then it's narrowed down to one person. It has now

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

been moved out from under the superintendent upon to someone else. It should be up under the offices of the superintendent so that person can go there with any problem without having to go through with anyone else. But it's an issue that has to be addressed by us here.

You do need to know those things because maybe you might have some recommendations that could made to make something statewide so that we don't have to keep coming back over and over reinventing the wheel every time we have a change of administrations or a change of public, because we do have people to come and go, and they don't know what we provide.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Commissioner Meeks?

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Thank you. I have a question for both Arthur Lake and Ms. Davis. And Mr. Lake, it's because I'm not sure I'm going to get to ask this question of anybody else, we were sort of denied the opportunity to ask questions previously just because of time constraints.

And this is shifting from the educational issue, perhaps, but what governmental powers do Native villages have? What governments, I guess, do Native villages have, and where does the authority of that power come from? Do you understand my question?

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: Well, if you're talking about

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: The federal government recognizes the existence of tribes in Alaska. The state doesn't.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Okay. So....

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: The powers that they have come from the people that they govern. Like I'm from the Native village of Niguanak, and that's my government. And they are -- the tribal members or the tribal citizens help develop the laws for that government.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Okay. But those laws are not recognized by the state government?

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: Well, we're not recognized by the state government, you know. I'll just leave it at that.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE LAKE: So you don't have the ability to make laws and govern your own citizens, is that right?

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: It's been very difficult, very, very difficult because the federal and state governments, of course, are entrenched in the way they govern. There's no recognition of ours because they don't know us, you know, we've lived here for thousands of years and governed ourselves. And yet, you know, like I said earlier, we're recognized by the federal government but subjugated by their laws. We're neither acknowledged nor recognized by the State government, although recently they signed a -- what

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 they called the Millennium Agreement with over 100, about 150, 180 tribes in Alaska.

COMMISSIONER ELISE MEEKS: So do any of the -- I mean, are you able to operate any of your own, manage any of your own federal programs?

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: Yes, we do. Each tribe is authorized by the federal government through Public Law 93.6.38 and others, the Indian Self Determination and Education Act and others to contract or compact federal programs on behalf of their people.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Okay. For Ms. Davis, I understand that there's not referendum process here that allows citizens to go out and obtain enough signatures to get something on a referendum ballot, is that right?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: They can -- we do have a way to get things on the ballot by citizens and it is a process that works. Many times even though state subsistence, I know we've had special sessions and nothing has happened on that. And that's not an issue that anybody has picked up to come back and bring it through the public process and have it placed on the ballot for the people to take a vote on.

But I'll tell you one that has been worked on now, is one for increase in wages. The minimum wage bill that we had that was introduced by the governor and many others that have introduced it last year did not go anywhere. But now

with the subsistence issue?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Well, not that a public issue came up to bring out. The governor has called it back into session for it happen, but that's all that's been done. And so far -- and then he called a special meeting that he had just a week ago to try to iron that issue out. But, yeah, there is a way for the public to place issues on the ballot.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. There aren't anymore questions. I would like to thank the panel for their -- yes, sir?

REVEREND WILLIAM GREENE: Yeah, I do have one other thing I would like to say. Getting back to the school district. I think we need to look at how we spend our money. Okay? I think that's one of the problems.

For instance, the areas that are falling through the cracks, I don't think we're putting as much emphasis on those areas as we should. And we know that, as Senator Davis said, it takes money to do anything, and I don't think we have adequately addressed those areas, and that's part of the problem. And that's the reason why 27 years later, we're talking about the same thing. Because all the

programs that was working in those areas, you can't find a single one in the school district now.

And she mentioned the multi-cultural department down to one person. I recall being part of MECC, where we recommend to the School Board and the superintendent that that department be re-established, it went nowhere. And so I think the District has to establish a partnership with the parents, and the parents should look at the sensitive areas and the District should sit down and hear them. I don't think that's happening.

The same way with school discipline. I think it ought to be a partnership with the parents. And if you have a problem child, then that problem should be discussed with the parent and there ought to be a genuine effort to resolve that problem. That's not happening. The minority parents, and all the minority parents can't be wrong. And their problems is that no one would sit down and hear their story. They're automatically isolated as, "you're a trouble maker, we don't listen to you," or "your child is not doing this and not doing that." I think it ought to be a partnership, it takes three to educate a child; the parents, the District and the teachers; and that's not happening.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Well, Thank you. We're going to adjourn now. Yes, sir?

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: A couple of points, if you will. The

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Alaska Natives and their tribal governments are not specialinterest groups.

There's been a lot of talk lately about the subsistence issue and others, you know, discrimination. And even people from here in Anchorage and others, you know, continually place Alaska Natives as a special interest group, but they're not. They're tribal governments and their governments are recognized by the -- we have a special relationship with the federal government. It's political and not anything else.

And another thing, when you're talking about placing more funding into education, you had better be careful because it doesn't cost the same to educate child here in Anchorage as it does in rural Alaska.

You can put the -- our children in my home eat and play in what is called a multi-purpose room. It's their gym, it's really small. And that multi-purpose room where they eat and play basketball and things, in many instances is smaller than the foyers of some of the schools here in Anchorage. Can you imagine that? You know, it really costs a lot of money to educate out there.

And another thing about that. I graduated from my dad in the eighth grade. And he was a special education aide, and he used to complain and complain about educating the non-Natives that came in almost every year, a change in the

teachers in special education. Educating them about what the children in our village to the educators that came in, and yet never got any recognition for any of that.

He spent a lot of time, I can't recall the number of years, as special education aide, but never got beyond further than that because he didn't get -- he didn't have his education and his -- what is normally called a piece of paper.

So I think there's things that people really should sit down and think through and think about in terms of more funding for schools. You know, that's fine and great, but a lot of times, you know, what's fair here in Anchorage is not fair out in Niguanak or Hooper Bay or anywhere else.

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Mr. Chairman -- are you done?

I'm sorry.

MR. ARTHUR LAKE: There's a clear -- there should be a way for anyone, especially lawmakers to go out there to rural Alaska and to see what conditions are. Because I've found -- and one of the things that I've done is have been a tribal administrator for a tribe for a dozen years. And the only way I found that even here in Anchorage that I can have people understand, you know, what I'm talking about is to invite them to come out there. Because even a landfill in Kwigillingok had to follow the same regulations as they do here in Anchorage, dig a hole in the ground, put a hypo-

liner in it, dig monitoring wells around it. You do that out there and you create a lake. But they, for the life of them, couldn't understand, you know, because they're so used -- they're so used to things, normal, you know, it's just normal for them to go and flush their toilet and normal for them to walk across the street without getting mud in their shoes. You know, it's stuff like that.

So the best way that I've learned is to invite them out there, and I tell them, by the way, bring your hip boots, you know. So there's a lot of things that need to be said and done, but because of the time restraints, I think try to bring out the more important points though.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yes?

SENATOR BETTY DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say this before you adjourn. As far as the funding for education, it is true that it takes less money to educate a child in Anchorage than it would, say, a child in Bethel, and that's built within the formula. But there are some flaws within the formula that need to be corrected.

And so the one problem that I try to point out is that we have to work together regardless of where we are, rural, urban, White, Black, whatever we are, to come together. One group can't knock the other group, we need to make sure that we're getting what we need, meet the needs of all our children. And so your children's needs will be met and the

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 kids/children in Anchorage, their needs will be met.

Because grant you, there are many, many things within the city that is not correct.

Our class size are large because we have a lot of people that live here. And if you want to talk about village children, this is the largest village students right here in the Anchorage School district than anywhere else. So, yes, the money is needed for all our children, village children, Anchorage children, and the formula can take care of that and make sure that we make it right so that we pay the amount of money that's needed to fund the children in this village and also pay the amount that's needed for the children within the city of Anchorage and anywhere else. And there's a way to do that, and we are working on it.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We would like to adjourn now and be back here at 1:30, and we want to go eat lunch, I guess. Thank you.

(Off record 12:47 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

## PROCEEDINGS

Alaska Advisory Committee

to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Thursday, August 23, 2001

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(On record 1:35 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: .....call the Fact
Finding Committee back to order. The time now is 1:35. We
had to move up the schedule because we got behind this
morning, but I would like to please invite Romeo Rescober,
Scott Goldsmith, Bryan Mallott and David Della up to the -to speak to us, please. Thank you.

I guess, Mr. Rescober, you can start.

MR. ROMEO RESCOBER: First of all, I would like to thank the Alaska State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights for giving me this opportunity to talk about minority employment issue in Alaska.

My name is Romeo R. Rescober. I was born and raised in the Philippines, and let me tell you a short summary of my experience living in America.

In 1973, my brother and I went to San Francisco,
California, to join my father. Approximately two weeks
after we arrived in San Francisco, I got a job processing
home and fire insurance policies for an insurance broker in
the Bay Area.

My relatives and friends were amazed that I got an office job that fast without local experience. At that time, I was naive about the issues of racial and employment discrimination in the workplace.

This is what happened why I got a job with two weeks after I arrived in San Francisco. There was a middle-age Filipino who was a friend of my sister and worked for an insurance broker. She was leaving her job to work for another company at downtown San Francisco. My sister asked her if she could ask her boss if I could take the place -- her place when she goes to a new job. She talked to her boss about me. After talking to her boss, she called my sister and I had an appointment to see her boss for a job interview.

First I filled out a job application form and gave this to the boss. The boss read my completed application. She noted that I earned a Bachelor Degree in Commerce, major in Management. After the interview, the boss offered me the job. I worked for this company for about two years. In 1975, I went back to the Philippines and married my wife, came back to San Francisco and quit my job to look for a good paying job.

That was the time I realized how tough to find a job in San Francisco without the help of my family and friends.

I had been out everyday looking for work for two

months. I had submitted my job application to about three
to six different employers everyday. I had been denied for
work for lack of experience; however, I found a temporary
job via the City Job Service office as a clerk for two
months for another insurance broker on Montgomery Street,
San Francisco.

After two months, I was out on the street again looking for work. This was my proof that you can get an office job easier through a good recommendation by your friend than applying for a job that nobody knows you. This job hunting practice, this is still true today.

There are many Filipinos and other Asians that have college degrees but cannot practice their profession because of racial discrimination. Many Filipinos with college degrees are working at the airports, hotels and other business establishments as janitors and chamber maids. There was a Filipino doctor of medicine who worked at McDonalds just to survive. There are Filipino architects, engineers and teachers working in the U.S. Postal Service as mail carriers and mail processors. And some are laundry and dry cleaning processors.

Most of those Filipinos with college degrees are having a hard time getting a decent job with the state and the State Employment Report shows that. Most of them got their job through the recommendation from their fellow Filipinos

as labors and clerks. Filipinos reject the idea of being a burden to our community by not going to our church shelters or government welfare office. So they go out and look for a job and take the job that come first in order to survive.

In 1974 to 1975, I lived in downtown San Francisco with my brother and my father. I joined the University of San Francisco Berkeley students that hosted the field trips for the Asian seniors leaving the Bay area. We cooked food for them and played with them. I played checkers with them while having a conversation. They expressed their gratitude on what we had been doing for them. Most of them said that we are very lucky and blessed what we have today.

In 1976, I went to Kodiak, Alaska. I heard the same statement from the Asian seniors. My experience living in America, I learn and realize what the Asian seniors were telling me about this over the years. I agreed that we, minorities, are lucky and blessed with what we have today.

Today we can go to school where everybody is going.

Today we can play any sports that everybody is playing.

Today we can eat in a restaurant where everybody is eating.

Today we can form any organization, like Filipino Community

of Anchorage, that nobody says to break it up.

Today, we know that the members of the Black community,

American Indians and other minorities have suffered

discrimination for approximately 400 hundred years living in

\_

America. Our elders fought and died for us to have what we have today. They have done their share, and it is our turn to do our share to promote what is good for our community.

One of the reasons why we are here today is to find solutions to acquire equal rights and opportunities to make our community a better place and to improve the quality of life for everyone.

My role today is to touch base with employment issues in the workplace. I have the following information for the state population and the minority hire in Alaska. First, the population in Alaska reported from the U.S. Census Bureau 2000 for Anchorage in Alaska. Second is Permanent Executive Branch work force talking points as of June 30th, 2001 from the Alaska Division of Personnel. And the third one is State of Alaska Executive Branch Race, Ethnicity, and Gender data, Office of the Governor, Office of the Equal Employment Opportunity and Department of Administration as of June 30th, 2001. But according to Thelma, it's not June 30th, 2001; this is a report from January 2001.

There are three places where you can go to file your employment discrimination complaints. The first is Municipality of Anchorage Equal Rights Commission. Second is the State of Alaska Office of Equal Employment Opportunity. Third is Alaska Human Rights Commission. These agencies provide services to those who believe that

law has been violated.

These agencies will investigate and make recommendations to prevent and eliminate discrimination in Alaska. Their recommendations are vital to the people in Alaska. They play a major role to help in implementing the local and state laws in Alaska. We hope that anybody who violates these laws should be dealt, regardless of their political affiliation.

There was an employment discrimination complaint filed by a state employee who is a member of the minority group at the Alaska Office of Equal Employment Opportunity. The EEO conducted an investigation to this case. Based on EEO findings, they made recommendations for the resolution of this case. EEO sent a memo to the Human Resources of the Department of Natural Resources, but no response from this department is received by EEO as yet.

Our public officials work hard to run a good state government. EEO is funded by the state government to make recommendations for the resolution of the disputes between the employee and the state on racial and employment discrimination complaints.

EEO received this complaint and conducted and investigation. EEO found possible violation of the law and sought for resolution; however, the Human Resources Office does not act promptly to resolve this case. I think

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 minorities should not be taken lightly, especially on racial and employment discrimination issue.

Here's an example that a program for good government becomes and insult toward public officials and to all of us because of some irresponsible state employees whose actions are meant to discredit minorities. Minorities must unite, and we need to do something about these people who are a disgrace to our community by not giving us fair treatment because of our color of our skin, religion, gender and national origin.

And if you want to know about the statics of the work force for the State of Alaska, I have it here with me. So if you want to ask questions later, I could give you that information. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We would appreciate you providing us with that statement. Okay? And before I forget again, please state your name and the organization you're representing, I would appreciate that, from the panelists, thanks.

The next one would be Mr. Scott Goldsmith. Go ahead, sir.

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: My name is Scott Goldsmith. I am an economist at the University of Alaska, Anchorage. And I am the Director of the Institute of Social and Economic Research at the university.

My written testimony is here and I have 15 copies if you -- somebody would like to distribute them.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yeah, we have one of our staff people coming up.

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: Okay. Thanks. You asked that I address the issues of economic and labor market conditions as they impact Alaska Natives, opportunities for economic development in rural Alaska and recommendations for improving the employment environment for Alaska Natives.

The rate of job growth in Alaska has slowed since the booms associated with statehood, construction of the oil pipeline and high oil prices in the 1980's. The number of jobs in our traditional resource industries of oil, seafood, timber and mining is no greater today than it was in the early 1980's.

New jobs are increasingly in the lower-wage trade and services sectors, result of unsustainable rapid expansion of federal government grants and transfers, and the Alaska permanent fund dividend. Although activity in the oil patch is the highest it's been in years, the construction of a gas pipeline would not come close to generating the number of jobs the oil pipeline brought to the state.

In addition to economic growth, the number of job openings depends importantly on retirements. In many Alaska industries, the proportion of workers nearing retirement age

is growing, a legacy of the massive hiring that occurred during the 1970's. In the coming years, we will see more openings from retirements than from economic growth.

The number of young Alaskans, particularly Alaska
Natives entering the job market this decade will increase
dramatically as the children of the baby boomers become
adults. Whereas the number of White young adults will grow
by 25 percent, the number of Native Alaskan young adults
will increase by about 50 percent. And in some rural areas,
it will more than double.

This boom in young Natives entering the labor market is particularly significant since about half the population of Alaska Natives live in the predominantly Native rural parts of the state where the number of market-based jobs is very limited.

During the 1990's, job growth in predominantly Native Rural Alaska was as strong in as in urban Alaska with most of the increase coming in government funded services and local government. But with limits on new opportunities for compacting and local government revenue capacity, job growth is likely to slow this decade.

In spite of rural job growth this past decade, Native population growth was twice as fast in urban Alaska as in Native rural parts of the state due in part to Natives moving to the urban areas in search of jobs. Now, 40

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

1 percent of Alaska Natives live in urban Alaska.

Rural Alaska is a collection of unique remote communities, each of which is a mixture of a market or cash-based economy and a subsistence or non-cash economy, that together determine the level economic well being of the community. The market economy is heavily dependent for cash on the public sector through direct public employment and transfer payments, both to non-profits for health consortia and so on, and individuals. Cash quickly leaves small places to pay for high priced goods and services delivered from urban Alaska. Many jobs are held by non-residents and non-Natives.

Most households engage in subsistence usually measured in pounds of harvested fish and game. The activities associated with subsistence, however, are much broader than simply hunting and fishing. From an economic perspective, subsistence can be viewed as a job and could be measured as hours of work.

In this rural setting the official U.S. Department of Labor method of calculated unemployment doesn't work and the reported numbers are grossly under-estimated. To be officially unemployed, one must be actively looking for work.

Accurate unemployment estimates would include people , who would like to work, but are not looking because they

1

7 8

10

9

12

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

know there are no job openings in their community; however, unlike urban workers, because of subsistence, a year-round full-time job is not the goal for many rural Native job seekers. The number of people looking for work in the market economy depends on the seasonal pattern of subsistence activities and the market unemployment rate might better be measured by the deficit in hours of employment rather than persons.

Analysis suggests that for much of rural Alaska where Natives predominate, there is a market employment deficit, even if Natives were to assume all jobs currently taken by non-Natives, and a large time allowance were made for hours working in subsistence activities.

Against this background, the goal of achieving full employment of Native Alaskans in both rural and urban Alaska depends on efforts in three areas. First, a larger share of existing jobs needs to be taken by Alaskan Natives.

Second, there need to be efforts to expand the number of jobs in rural Alaska. And third, education to prepare Alaska Natives for jobs in the market economy must be a top priority. Furthermore, all these efforts must be based upon economic realities since unrealistic goals can only lead to disappointment.

The share of Alaska Natives employed in virtually every industry in the state is less than their share of the

population. In a recent report prepared for the Alaska
Federation of Natives, we estimated that 50 percent increase
in Native workers would be necessary to create parity in job
holdings. In some occupations requiring higher education, a
200 percent increase would be necessary for parity. Alaska
Natives are also under-represented in the public sector of
both the federal and state government.

In that study, we identified a large number of ongoing programs and initiatives designed to address the under-representation of Alaska Natives in the workforce as well as their concentration in lower-skilled and lower-paying occupations.

These initiatives involve the efforts of Native institutions, federal and state government and the private sector and include some notable successes. We attempted to identify ways to improve the effectiveness of these activities, and I'm including a copy of that report for the Commission with my testimony, and I have that here.

In that report we also briefly address the potential for the creation of new employment opportunities in rural areas and identified a number of initiatives and programs with that goal.

Remoteness, small size, and the lack of infrastructure of most rural communities limit economic development opportunities in an increasingly global economy. Some

communities will have the imagination, leadership, skills and good fortune to develop local natural resources, tourism and exportable services. Others, however, will not be successful because the market economy does not favor them. I think it is critically important to understand this reality even though it's impossible to know which communities will develop an economic base independent of government grants and transfers, and which will not.

This is really only a recognition of what is already occurring, that is, that an increasing number of Native Alaskans are finding work in urban Alaska. Education is the foundation for the successful incorporation of urban employment into the fabric of Alaska Native life.

Education provides empowerment through the availability of choice that comes with skilled training and formal education. Unfortunately, education for Alaska Natives historically has centered on occupations on the lowest rungs of the ladder.

Education must include training to succeed in a market economy and most importantly, target the mix of job openings the economy will be generating. The number of sexy jobs in construction, oil production, mining and transportation is limited. The training programs seem to concentrate in these industries. Large numbers of job openings will be in trade and in skilled services in the fields of education and

1 health.

.9

Finally, Alaska Native organizations including tribal governments, non-profit, regional corporations, and village and regional profit corporations all require Alaska Natives skilled in management, leadership, analysis and decision making. Our educational system must do a better job of filling this obvious need. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Appreciate it. We're expecting Bryan Mallott, but I guess you're representing Bryan? Bryan Mallott, are you representing him?

MR. GEORGE IRVIN: Actually, my name is George Irvin,
Mr. Chairman, and I'm here to apologize for Bryan because he
cannot be here today. He's got the worst case of laryngitis
that I have ever heard in my life. He has no voice,
whatsoever. But he and I have been working on some
testimony that we would like to submit in written form to
the Commission concerning federal and state patterns of
employment in the public sector.

The federal agencies that operate in Alaska and the state agencies, which together, have work force of about 24,000 people, perhaps 25,000 people out of he total workforce in Alaska. So it's an important thing that we would like to turn into you after the fact. Is that permitted.....

Yeah, anybody can submit within the next 30 days any

25

	Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 200
1	statement you want for the record.
2	So, yes, go ahead and make a presentation, Mr. Irvin,
3	we would appreciate it.
4	MR. GEORGE IRVIN: Well, I'm not going to make it here
5	today
6	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh, I see.
7	MR. GEORGE IRVIN:I'm simply going to submit it
8	in written form.
9	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Very good. Thank you.
10	MR. GEORGE IRVIN: Thank you.
11	MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Mr. Chairman?
12	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes?
13	MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I just wanted to say to the
14	audience here that Mr. Mallott is suffering from the same
15	malady that I have. I think it's drifting all over Juneau.
16	And several people asked me why I sitting down here alone.
17	I did that by choice. I did not want to spread my germs.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Rosalee.
20	MR. DAVID DELLA: Mr. Chairman?
21	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER MICHAEL WALLERI: As I understand, we will
23	there is some discussion about having a hearing also in
24	Juneau also
25	CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Right.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: .....on the original work plan.

And so it might be more convenient for Mr. Mallott at that
point, because I understand he does live in Juneau.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: That's correct. So we'll be in touch with that. The next person on the panel here is Mr. David Della?

MR. DAVID DELLA: Yes.

. CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Would you please state your name and the organization?

MR. DAVID DELLA: Great. Good afternoon. For the record, my name is David Della. Your program says I am Director of Community Affairs for United Way, which I am, but I am not here representing United Way today. I'm here representing the Northwest Labor and Employment Law Offices that is in Seattle. And I'm here to talk about the Wards Cove case in front of the commission.

So, first of all, I want to thank the U.S. Commission Civil Rights and the Alaska Advisory Committee for inviting me to testify today on the Wards Cove Packing Company case that is a Civil and Human Rights issue that has remained unresolved for the last 27 years.

Many of you know that Wards Cove Packing Company is located in Ketchikan, Alaska. And I was one of many thousands of people that ventured up to Alaska. I live in the Lower 48 in Seattle, Washington. And I was one of many

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 thousands of people who ventured up to Alaska during the summers to work in the fish canneries. In fact, working in the canneries financed my way through college.

But nonetheless, it was an experience that opened my eyes with regards to discrimination. Many of our fathers and grandfathers also ventured to Alaska, many of them recruited directly from the Philippines to come work in the in the fish canneries here in Alaska. And so I'm here to talk about a case that was filed in 1973 against Wards Cove.

Wards Cove case is historic and has historic and contemporary significance in Civil Rights that could not have been imagined by cannery workers who modestly conceived it in the remote salmon canneries here in Alaska. Wards Cove is one of three class action lawsuits cases filed and organized by Asian-American, Pacific Islanders and Alaska Native cannery workers at the end of the 1973 salmon canning season.

The two other lawsuits filed were Domingo versus New England Fish Company and Carpenter versus Nefco Fidalgo (ph).

These suits were filed after generations of discriminatory practices against minority cannery workers which involved historic and stark racial discrimination at the canneries, which included pervasive race-labeling of jobs, mess halls and housing.

A system of nepotism and word of mouth recruitment by friends and foremen for higher paying skilled job positions which benefitted mainly White workers, and the long-standing tradition, since the early 1800's of recruiting workers of color for the menial low-paying jobs at the canneries.

By 1984, the cannery workers represented by the Northwest Labor Employment Law Offices settled Domingo versus New England Fish Company and Carpenter versus Nefco Filago (ph) after two courts made broad findings of discrimination.

Despite Wards Cove having the same fact pattern.and legal underpinnings as the other two cases, it would remain unresolved and wind itself to the courts at both the federal district level and the Ninth Circuit Court for many years to come.

In 1989, the United States Supreme Court used Wards

Cove and six other employment discrimination cases to

narrowly interpret federal civil rights laws and put the

burden of proof job discrimination on the plaintiffs of such

lawsuits.

In this decision, the Supreme Court retreated from the concept of desperate impact in employment discrimination case that essentially overruled its own decision on disparate impact in its 1971 interpretation of the 1964 Civil Rights Act in Griggs versus Duke Power Company, in

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 which the burden of proof at that point in employment discrimination lie with the employer.

In essence, these decisions, in our opinion, rolled back Civil Rights and stripped workers of their basic rights to fight workplace discrimination through the legal system.

In 1992, Congress passed the revised version of the 1964 Civil Rights Act in an attempt to restore some of the rights taken away by the courts. This legislation amended the 1964 Civil Rights Act to strengthen and approve federal Civil Rights laws, to provide for damages in cases of intentional employment discrimination, to clarify provisions regarding desperate impact actions and for the other purposes.

In an ironic twist of events, and despite the fact that the Wards Cove case played a key role in introducing this revised Civil Rights Act, the 1992 Act excluded the 2,000 Wards Cove cannery workers from its protection.

Following this legislative disappointment, Wards Cove continued to bounce around the courts. In 1993, the Ninth Circuit Court instructed the Federal District Court judge to follow its ruling reinforce the 1989 Supreme Court Decision. In 1999, the federal judge once again for the employer, reinforcing the Supreme Court decision and dismissing the case.

As recent as August, 2000, last year, Wards Cove

workers and LELO, which is Labor Employment Law Offices 1 2 did not apply the previously ruling of the Appeals Court, 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which was in our favor. We are still waiting, though not optimistic, a ruling from the Ninth Circuit Court. Wards Cove is still unfinished business and so is the need to reconstruct Civil Rights protection for all workers, including Wards Cove, that the 1992 Civil Rights Act left incomplete.

appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court that the federal judge

So what lies ahead for the Wards Cove case? justice delayed is justice denied, last year, we and . representatives of organized labor and Civil Rights organizations announced our intent to continue fighting for justice for the Wards Cove workers. This will include launching a national legislative initiative to complete the work of the 1992 Civil Rights Act to include protection for Wards Cove workers and to reclaim the courts as a playing field where workers can win remedy for discrimination of unfair treatment in the workplace.

In our opinion, the Wards Cove experience gives us other issues that a new Civil Rights act might address, must address. One is to repeal the damage award limitation of the 1992 Civil Rights Act. We must not make continuing discrimination an affordable business and social practice. We must be vocal in our understanding of the great harm

Two, we must resuscitate the legal concept of desperate impact by truly returning to the standard that places the burden of proof in employment discrimination on the employer. For it is the employer who is the keeper of their own employment record, and is better situated to prove whether or not a pattern of behavior has adversely impacted a group of workers that cannot be justified by business necessity.

Without this type of standard, working people do not have the practical access to the courts for remedies under the Civil Rights At. Workers don't have the access to the records, nor do they have the resources to cover the costs of such a discovery process.

Finally, we must provide legal standing at an affordable cost to workers and community organizations and class action civil rights litigation so that the well being and fate of other class members are not left to some narrow interests of lawyers and named plaintiffs.

In conclusion, LELO believes that the Civil Rights issues are in the interests of all workers, workers of color, working women, White male workers over 40 years old and disabled workers. We believe that these people can understand the importance and be supported by a Civil Rights initiative.

The 1964 Civil Rights Act with Title 7 represents the most important social and labor legislation of our time. Without the restoration of strong Civil Rights standards for workers, they have no practical access to this legislation.

The issue of desperate impact is essential to this access. Desperate impact limits the ability of an employer to fashion arbitrary and capricious practices that exclude groups of workers by conveniently declaring them undesirable or nuances.

Thank you very much. And I will provide this written testimony to the staff so they can get you copies.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We appreciate that. Are there any questions by the Committee or the Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: I have a question for Mr. Goldsmith.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: What do you think it will take to put Native Americans in a position to assume some of those jobs that will be opening up because of retirement?

Is it mostly education? Is it both education and training?

What should the state of Alaska be doing now to make sure that you have a sufficient number of Native Americans,

Eskimos and Indians prepared to compete for those jobs?

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: I can answer that as an

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

economist, but not as an educator.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Okay.

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: Certainly formal education is part of it, but I think in addition to that, education and training to be able to operate successfully in a market economy situation is also important. But I think that it's a two-way street, that there has to be a higher level of recognition of the types of employment, the characteristics of employment that Native Americans are looking for, particularly in Native -- particularly in rural Alaska. And there has to be some accommodation on the part of employers to those patterns, the seasonal patterns so that the Natives can continue to partake in subsistence and so on.

So I think it's a two-way street, that education is a big part of it, and non-formal education, and of course, that's mentoring, apprenticeships and a whole range of things.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Commissioner Meeks?

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Yes, this is also for

Professor Goldsmith. I came from an Indian reservation in

South Dakota that's been the poorest county in the nation

for a couple of decades, and I've been involved in economic

development for the last 20 years or so and, you know, these

same issues that you talk about here, remoteness, small size

and lack of infrastructure of most rural communities limit

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

economic development opportunities. And I have to say I don't think we've ever really figured that out.

And in an area like, you know, in South Dakota where subsistence really has been taken away, I mean, it's -- you know, the resources for subsistence just aren't there, you know, it would seem then that the survival of these communities really do depend on subsistence and that they probably will not survive without that unless there's a lot of federal assistance, which is not, I think, a good alternative. Am I right in that?

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: I think you've asked the \$64 economist question. I personally I think tend to agree with your analysis. But as I look around in Alaska, I see a lot of energy and there are opportunities. Every community is different in terms of having a natural resource available to them, having leadership, having special infrastructure capacity that allows -- would allow some communities to develop an economic base. And I think there are examples where that has happened, is happening in Alaska.

So I think that some communities will survive economially, will develop an economic base, are developing an economic base.

I think that because of the small size of communities, subsistence will always be a necessary element to an economy, if not a preferred desirable element. Because

small economies just cannot support a lot of support jobs.

You can't support an auto mechanic in a community of 300

people and so on. So I think there are instances in Alaska
where communities will be successful.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: I have a question for Mr.

Della. It's sort of a bitter irony that this coming October or November would have been the 10 year anniversary in which 2,000 American workers would have been singled out for not having coverage under the Civil Rights Act.

You mentioned earlier about mobilizing the national legislative initiative, but given -- and also, you're waiting for various court décisions, even though you've been there quite a few times.

Given the recent Supreme Court decision in Senevol (ph), which effectively eliminated access to desperate impact cases, what do you think the changes are for your national legislative initiative, and what else can organizations, besides Congress, can do to undo this grave wrong for the 2,000 workers?

MR. DAVID DELLA: I think while recognizing that there's still some work to be done to fight this battle on the legal front. As you know, the make up of the courts does not allow us to go the full extent of finding redress in a lot of these issues. What we're talking about is

really a.....

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: What a moderate statement.

MR. DAVID DELLA: Well, what we're asking for is really to start a political movement of sorts that gets the grassroots in our communities to stand up for Civil Rights, using this case as an unresolved case, both in our courts and in our national legislation, use that as a way to build a grassroots effort over a number of years that brings back the attention to the need to -- for full access to Civil Rights, to the courts, to the political system for all workers throughout the country.

So on one hand, we await the decision of the court and we'll work within the legal system as much as we can, but really, what we're talking about is building a long-range political movement because we also can't count on Congress to move on this very quickly, either. But what we can do is create enough of an awareness in this country that there is still some unresolved issues in this country that needs to be dealt with. And if people are much more aware of that, then I think the support in the long term for making sure that everyone has full and equal access to a redress on these issues I think will serve us all well.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Rosalee? Ms. Walker?

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Thank you. This is for Professor Goldsmith. Right now the legislature is working the development of a fiscal policy to determine how they're going to spend the state money and so forth, I guess it will come out by the time my grandchildren have grandchildren.....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I hope so.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: But have you been involved in any of their discussions in any way to incorporate some of your concepts here, just in general or anything, or are they just flying by the seat of their pants with the money angle?

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: As far as I know, at this point, and I have been involved over the years in this issue, I have to say.....

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I'm talking about this last one that....

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: Yeah. Yeah. I have been involved in some discussions with them. I don't think they have gotten down to the level of detail in terms of what the elements of the fiscal plan would be in looking at how it might impact various parts of the Alaskan community, Natives or other groups, or rural residents or anything like that. But certainly in the development of any fiscal plan that involved changes in how money is spent or new taxes or so on and so forth, that would be an important element to look at.

I hope so too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: I would think so. And I hope you stay involved.

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Anybody else? I had a couple of questions, one MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: for Dr. Goldsmith. Yesterday we heard from the Director of EOC talking about one of the factors that she attributed to unemployment in rural Alaska of Native people was the importing of labor for the number of limited jobs that do

exist in the villages. Do you have any data that would

support that or any conclusions about that?

MR. SCOTT GOLDSMITH: I don't have any hard data on that. There's a lot of anecdotal information floating around, and I think that the accumulation of that anecdotal data suggests that it's a real -- certainly a real phenomena.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I had a second question to Mr. Della, I believe I got the name right, correct? In the case of the cannery workers, there's been -- I'm curious about the whether or not in the Wards Cove litigation your offices discovered any evidence of obviously -- and, obviously, the case deals with imported labor, people coming into Alaska to work, and I was wondering if -- it just seems to me kind of odd or coincidental that you're talking about competition between minority groups, and I was wondering in the

situation of the Wards Cove whether or not there was any evidence of the employers seeking to pit one minority group against another for purposes of economic undercutting each other in terms of labor costs.

MR. DAVID DELLA: Well, as I mentioned, although the lawsuits were initiated by Asian workers, the Alaska Native workers joined the lawsuit at the time that we filed it, which was a year after we initially filed the lawsuits. And you'll notice that one of the three lawsuits was Carpenter versus Nefco Fidalgo (ph), that was an Alaska Native worker.

And so there was never any attempt on the company's part to pit one group against another, and even if they tried, were very conscious of the fact that the exported workforce from the Lower 48 was just one part of the picture. There was also the picture of the Alaska Native workers that worked side by side with us on the cannery line.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you for your presentations and we sure appreciate your time. You can leave any material you need and we'll take it and put it in the record. Thank you.

I would like to now invite the Issues Panel in Administration of Justice, Senator Georgianne Lincoln; Denise Morris, CEO, Alaska Native Justice Center; Rex Butler, Attorney.

Senator, whenever you're ready.

2

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Oh

3

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes?

4 5

who's the Chairman of the Alaska Native Justice Center would

6

be able to join me this afternoon?

MS. DENISE MORRIS:

Certainly. Yes.

I was wondering if Roy Hundorf,

7

MS. DENISE MORRIS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:

9

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: He's welcome.

10

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Thank you very much, Mr.

11

Chairman. I want to thank all of you for the invitation to

12

address the Alaska state Advisory Committee to the U.S.

13

Commission on Civil Rights regarding the Civil Rights issues

14

impacting Alaska Natives and other minorities. I will have

15

a written statement prepared for you before the week is up.

The Commission has invited me to discuss my perceptions

· 16

of law enforcement problems and Administration of Justice

17 18

issues faced by Alaska Natives and other minorities;

19

issues faced by Alaska Nacives and Other minorities,

20

Administration of Justice are inextricably intertwined with

21

a broader plight of Alaska Native communities.

however, inequities in law enforcement and the

2223

three young men targeting Alaska Natives this past winter

The highly publicized paint ball attacks carried out by

24

spurred a great deal of discussion, self reflection and

25

problem solving. Such inhumane crimes motivated by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is easier to galvanize public support to get to the bottom of the crime and address such an obvious form of discrimination.

But how have we responded to the lack of economic development in rural Alaska coupled by the constant threat to Alaska Natives' subsistence way of live? How have we responded to low employment rates of Alaska Natives in state government? How have we responded to the high unemployment rates for Alaska Natives throughout the state? How have we responded when confronted with the reality of inadequate school facilities in rural Alaska and the low test scores on the high school graduate exams by Alaska Natives? How have we responded to the high alcohol and suicide rates among Alaska Natives? How have we responded to the lack of law enforcement protection in village Alaska? How have all of these issues contributed to high incarceration rates of Alaska Natives and problems in the Administration of Justice? How have we tried to resolve these issues and halt the disturbing downward spiral into which many Alaska Native individuals and communities have found themselves?

A number of studies completed by state agencies and other groups address these daily inequities, injustices and

discriminations. Frequently it seems as if these reports are filed away and quickly forgotten. Perhaps what lies at the heart of the matter is indifference.

In a 1999 speech, author, poet, professor, and
Holocaust survivor, Mr. Eli Weisel (ph) addressed the perils
of indifference. He explains how easy it is when we are in
our own comfortable world and in the case of urban Alaska,
enjoying cost effective energy systems, a clean water
source, safe sewer systems, police protection, emergency
services and access to employment, health care agencies and
other services such as the justice system, how easy it is to
be inattentive to the difficulties faced by others.

Mr. Weisel describes this apathy when he says, quote:
"Indifference can be tempting, more than that,
seductive. It so much easier to look away from
victims. It is so much easier to avoid the rude
interruptions to our work, our dreams, our hopes. It
is, after all, awkward, troublesome to be involved in
another person's pain and despair. Yet to the person
who is indifferent, his or her neighbors are of no
consequence and therefore their lives are meaningless.
Their hidden or even invisible anguish is of no
interest. Indifference reduces the other to an
abstraction."

He concluded that:

"Indifference then is not only a sin, it's a punishment."

Alaska Native villages and, indeed, many Alaska Natives throughout the state sense this indifference. In one of the numerous studies that have crossed my desk, the Alaska Judicial Council reported in '99 that for many Alaska Natives in village Alaska the, quote:

"The fundamental problem was that state agencies were so far removed from the villages that they were largely unaware and unresponsive to the village needs."

In another, the Alaska Commission on rural Governments and Empowerment in 1999 summarized after gathering testimony from throughout the state that rural Alaskans, especially residents of Native village often regard state government the same way that many urban Alaskans view the federal government in Washington, D.C.

In both cases, the government is perceived as cold, distant, hidden, uniformed about life at a local level and controlled by someone else. Cold, distant, unaware, irresponsible, unresponsive or simply indifferent.

We are here to share those perceptions with this commission. Perhaps some people do not perceive any division in this state, whether they be along racial, economic or geographic lines. However, I believe with all my heart that there is very real division which is often

It is more than simply a geographic divide, and it is one that exacerbates the division between Alaska Natives and non-Natives. On many levels, the existence of this divide is a result of indifference, and the consequences of this unresponsiveness, disinterest and indifference to this divide has indeed resulted in the punishment of all Alaska Natives, including those who reside in urban Alaska.

referred to as the Urban/Rural Divide.

One's cultural identity, one's heritage, one's family unity is not extinguished because one moves to a urban center. Moreover, the question must be asked, why do so many Alaska Natives leave their home villages? Lack of economic development. Lack of jobs. Lack of educational resources. Those are some of the answers that I receive when I travel around to my 93 communities. The state has failed to support solutions or adequately fund programs that could heal the Urban/Rural Divide and promote local empowerment and self determination.

Increasingly, after decades of inclusive efforts on the part of the state, many Alaska Natives reside in rural Alaska have turned to the courts for relief or to be heard.

As example, the Katie John case, which some have alluded to in the short time that I was here, that subsistence is at the heart, it is the life of Alaska Natives. And the hunting and fishing are critical

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 contributions, as I heard somebody say a little bit earlier of how much does that really contribute to the economy or to the lifestyle. That is the life. And this is very essential. It's core to who Alaska Natives are.

The Governor, in convening the subsistence summit, the leadership summit here last week, there was a conclusion, the resulting statement of values. And one of the quotes that as a statement of values from that summit was for the best future of all Alaskans. Resolution of the subsistence impasse is the most important step to bridge the growing gap between urban and rural Alaska.

And we go to another case, the Kasilie (ph), which maybe you heard of in the Education Panel, the Kasilie versus the State of Alaska. A strong education system is central to local empowerment. In March, the Superior Court of Alaska ruled in the Kasilie (ph) verus State that the State failed to quote, "allocate available school facility" construction and maintenance funds in a non-discriminatory way."

The judge went on to say that the urban district gets
70 percent of their funding for school reimbursement by the
state. The rural districts get whatever the legislature
chooses to give them. The bond reimbursement program is
automatic. The rural funding is political and has been
arbitrary, inadequate, and racially discriminatory. That is

from the judge's decision.

б

members.

We also have, of course, many of you know, the Indian Child Welfare Act, which the Native communities have turned to the courts again to exercise the power of their own tribal courts to adjudicate tribal custody of tribal

In the John versus Baker case, which was decided by the Alaska Supreme Court in '99, they found Alaska tribes can decide child custody and other matters to protect tribal self-government or to control internal relations and, however, even with that, the Alaska Native villages struggle for that recognition of tribal courts.

Again, the rural communities turn to the courts to resolve inequities in law enforcement. The Village Public Safety Office Program, VPSO, while it is a movement towards localized control over law enforcement in rural predominantly Alaska Native villages, it's been criticized as separate, unequal and an insufficient form of law enforcement.

In a lawsuit between the Inter Tribal Council and the Native Justice Center, they have charged that there's a great disparity between the police protection afforded urban areas and our villages, that the VPSO's who are on call 24 hours a day, seven days a week aren't able to carry firearms, and yet in a study they found that 76.1 percent of

VPSO's had responded to a perpetrator with a firearm and 62.8 percent had responded to a perpetrator armed with a weapon other than a firearm. And they routinely are in life/death situations.

Of the 226 villages in Alaska, one-third are without any form of law enforcement. So VPSO's are so critical to our communities.

Whether to insure access to resources, education, care for our children or public safety, Alaska Natives are turning to the courts as a result of the state's lack of leadership and perhaps the indifference. This contributes to a domino effect.

Indifference to a basic fiber of Alaska Native people, indifference to the survival of the communities and culture result in a feeling of powerless and hopelessness. When communities fall under this gray cloud, there are a multitude of side effects, education deficits, psychological depression, high rates of suicide, substance abuse, violent crimes and finally, incarceration.

While organized groups of Alaska Natives attempt to utilize the justice system to address some of the previously mentioned social inequities, this same system, unfortunately still places barriers before individual Alaska Natives.

Indeed, while Alaska Natives, and I want to repeat this twice, while Alaska Natives make up 15.6 percent of the

total population, the comprise 36 percent of individuals institutionalized in Alaska's correctional facilities. Now, if we take that 15.6 percent and we take out the women and we take out children, then I'm talking about no more than six percent, and I'm probably fudging up a little bit here on the percentage. So we're really saying six percent of Alaska's population comprise 36 percent of those incarcerated. That's atrocious.

б

Such high percentage of these inmates must be cause for alarm in regards to the goal of providing equal justice for all.

I want to just quickly, and I apologize, this is so near and dear to my heart, and I might go over my 10 minutes, I'm sure I will, and I apologize for that, so interrupt me if I need to speed this along, but I want to tell you of a case real quickly.

A young man happened to be in Fairbanks and a young man from my village of Rampart called and said, "Can you please bail me out?" or "Come and get me, I'm in jail." That was his precise words. And I said, "What are you doing there?" And he said, "Just come and get me." And I immediately went to the jail and bailed him out and said, "What happened, Toggie (ph)?" And he said, "I was picked up for shoplifting." And I said, "Shoplifting?" He said, "Yes, I went into a store in Fairbanks," and it was the wintertime,

he had a basket, he bought \$126 and I think it was 31 cents of items to take back to the village, paid for it with a check. But as he was going through getting things that he needed for the village, he was a young single man, he picked up a bolt for his dogsled, a bolt. He looks at the basket, it has a bunch of holes in it, what do you do with this bolt? He doesn't know that there's plastic bags that you can put these bolts in, and that it might it stay in the basket, I'm not sure if it does, but he goes, a bolt, can't put it there, need more things, put it in my pocket and I'll remember it when I get to the checkout.

He gets up there, the bolt was 39 cents, gets up there to pay, forgets about the bolt, goes outside. They go out and say you shoplifted. Common sense would tell you, you don't pay \$126.31 and leave with a 39 cent bolt and steal that.

So I went to court with him. And the judge says to him, "You are charged with shoplifting, did you take something that you did not pay for?" The answer, obviously, is yes. And he says, "Yes." And as the judge starts to sentence him, and before the hammer comes down, I said, "Your Honor, may I speak for this young man who is from my village?" And he said, "Proceed." So I explained to him what had happened and he shook his head and took his gavel and said, "Case dismissed." And he looked at the officer

again."

6 l

But had I not been there, this young man was answering very honestly, would have been in jail for shoplifting. And I think that too often we see those that are incarcerated being very honest in what they've done without giving any background and because of our culture, I was taught never to oppose or to question authority from the Bureau of Indian Affairs to the Indian Health Service, you don't question authority. If they're going to cut off a leg, there must be

and said, "Don't you ever bring a case back like that to me

· Well, to continue that, all -- you know, numerous reports have been published analyzing the state of the justice system within the State of Alaska and offering recommendations, numerous, volumes of reports. There's no disagreement that there's an obvious disparity in the percentage of Alaska Natives entering the justice system.

a reason, and you don't ask for a second opinion.

has been translated down through generations.

They question then must be asked, what keeps the situation from resolution? What sorts of barriers do Alaska Natives continue to encounter in the administration of justice? What sorts of programs are providing effective culturally relevant means of addressing the real problem?

Restrictions on equal justice can occur due to the locale of residents in relation to urban centers. Language

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 barriers, lack of understanding of the judicial system.

As one of the public defenders who was doing a study and had a report stated that lack of adequate client/attorney relationships due to vast distances that frequently separate clients in rural Alaska and the few attorneys available to represent them. Oftentimes these attorneys, as they're going through a door say "And what is your name again, please?" Pretrial communication difficulties, once again, because of distance.

Teleconference trials with a public defender with whom the client has never met.

If bail can't be met, there's side effects relating to having to be transported between home, pretrial facility and courtroom, such as absence and support from families and friends, difficulty with visiting with attorney and inability of a defendant to work in his or her own community.

Court proceedings occurring in English, which is a second language. And when I say that, I want to again relate to the young man I spoke about, that it's not necessarily he couldn't understand English, but the court proceedings is a different language. Jury selection from 50 mile radius to a courtroom rather than a jury of one's peers, probation, parole regulations that keep one in an urban area away from family and support systems, that may be

directly related to the recidivism rate that we've got so high.

What can we do to address these inequities in the Administration of Justice? Well, there's been many recommendations and some of those, I think, we really have to dig out, dust off and roll up our sleeves and say, "Okay, what are we going to do about that?" And I know that there will be others that will be testifying to the alternative punishments should be used more extensively in response to probation and parole revocation. The program should be intensive, responsive to individuals' linguistic and cultural needs.

Alternative sentencing and use of community supported courts can provide real solutions to statewide justice. The Alaska Supreme Court Advisory Committee in '97 made recommendations which are still relevant today, that the court system, the Alaska Court System should establish its presence in rural areas.

The Alaska Court System should recruit and train local interpreters of common languages. The courts and individual judicial officers should actively support the use of local dispute resolution. They should seek the assistance of local dispute resolution and tribal organizations when the organizations can provide useful information or advice or services. And the judicial officers should make greater use

of local sentencing alternatives, in particularly relevant -- culturally relevant sentencing options for ethnic and racial minorities.

Ninety-seven percent of crimes committed by Alaska
Natives are committed under the influence and/or drugs, 97
percent. If we reflect on these exorbitant numbers of
crimes committed in Alaska as substance abuse, the more
clear it becomes that the alternative intervention,
prevention, treatment, rehabilitation and sentencing
programs that are culturally relevant to Alaska Natives
should be, must be supported and funded.

A common thread that runs through all the recommendations of reports regarding improvement of Alaska's justice system is the utilization of restorative justice, and I would agree. With its focus on recognizing and fulfilling victims' need for restitution and healing in addition to holding the offender accountable, restorative justice practices are valuable community-based programs worthy of further attention. Tribal courts must be reestablished, established where they're not. They are very effective.

And I want to-point out also that restorative justice does not necessarily eliminate the need for incarceration, and it should not be used as the state as an excuse to avoid improving traditional justice services to rural communities.

that has worked in some of the communities.

While part of my overall recommendation is following up on all the solutions proposed in the reports over many, many, many years, as well as supporting the revitalization of these traditional problem solving systems, it goes beyond that. I think the Alaska Native Commission identified the underlying root of barriers and inequities as we are discussing here today; its self-destructive culture of powerlessness.

How many more reports proclaiming this powerlessness, this hopelessness must we publish? How many more commissions, committees, councils do we have to testify to before we see some results?

I appreciate the recognition of public forums and reports that bring these critical issues facing Alaska Natives to the forefront. But we cannot simply leave our concerns in the halls of another hotel conference room, nor can we leave them enclosed in a binding of another book. If we are to see equity in law enforcement, then Alaska Native peoples' attempts to strengthen their communities can no longer be ignored by the State of Alaska, by U.S. Commissioners, by others who are in this field.

Alaska Natives have been willing to work with the state

on a number of critical issues, and surely with the reoccurring reports calling for reduction in the disproportionate number of Alaska Natives throughout the justice system and the reduction in substance abuse crime, Alaska Native communities should be supported in our efforts to provide proper intervention services, police protection, greater judicial self-determination.

Efforts toward self-determination on the part of Alaska Natives must be embraced, respected, supported and resources appropriated by the state of Alaska. This includes Alaska Natives attempting to build healthy lives and sustainable economies in rural Alaska, practicing subsistence, educating and meeting the needs of our children, policing, providing justice and promoting healing in our communities.

I appreciate you allowing me the time to go over my 10 minute allocation. As I said, it's just something that is so near and dear to my heart and it's heartbreaking to see us to continue to have dialog like this. (Speaks foreign language).

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: The next speaker would be Ms. Denise Morris or Mr. Roy Hundorf, or you can decide how you're going to do that.

MS. DENISE MORRIS: Good afternoon. My name is Denise

Morris and I'm the President of the Alaska Native Justice
Center. I am Aleutic descent. My family is from the
Pribilof Islands, St. Paul and St. George. And I am joined
here today by Roy Hundorf who is the present Co-Chair of
AFN, a member of my Board of Directors and former President
CEO of CIRI, one of the ANSCA regional corporations. On
behalf of both of us, I would like to thank the Advisory
Committee for the opportunity to speak here today.

The U.S. bishops have said that all of us need to examine our own perceptions of Native Americans, how they are shaped by stereotypes, distorted media portrayals or ignorance. We fear that prejudice and insensitivity toward Native people is deeply rooted in the Western culture. We agree with this cultural diagnosis.

At the core of the injustices perceived and experienced by Alaska Natives and American Indians in our state lies a cultural divide that can be improved only through understanding of its historical context and taking progressive actions to establish justice for Native people. The non-Native development of Alaska mires the experience of many Native communities in our country.

The history of Alaska Native, American Indian groups, though diverse, have many similarities. Tribes share a history of conquest, dissemination from disease, genocide, forced cultural and land-based loss, the evolution of

1:0

Against this backdrop, an inherent distrust developed within the Native population, the belief that a dual system of justice existed for Alaska Natives and that there is systematic and institutionalized discrimination pervading the justice system.

In the face of the feelings, state and Municipal policies have fostered little or no confidence in the Alaska justice system or in the administration of justice for Alaska Natives.

Although 77 years have passed since the United states granted citizenship to all Native Americans, non-Native perceptions of Native people have not evolved significantly. The recent attack on the, quote, "Drunken Eskimos" reminds us that Alaska Natives and American Indians continue to be treated as second class citizens and worse. Today, just as the did 77 years ago, Alaska Natives struggle for cultural identity, education, and economic security.

Sadly, for many Alaska Natives, alcohol and alcoholism have been the tools for coping with the struggle. The abuse of alcohol has been particularly devastating to the Native population, forcing already depressed cultures into deeper turmoil effecting whole communities as well as individual families. However, a people's struggle to overcome its problems should not result in mockery and the isolation of a

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

1 segment of Alaska's population.

Quite on the contrary. Alaska Natives should be afforded respect for maintaining the degree of cultural integrity that we have.

Our collective responses to oppression and injustice display resiliency and strength that still flows down to us from our ancestors. In keeping with the best of our traditions, we must ensure the rights of all of our citizens are protected.

In these remarks, I will focus on five issues of concerns regarding the justice system and Alaska Natives, and I do have a written report with statistical information to highlight these points.

Sentencing and incarceration rates: Statistics show that now entrenched over-representation of Alaska Natives in the justice system is worsening. We suspect that this data reflects how Alaska Natives are treated differently throughout the justice system, and not that Native Americans commit more crimes.

The difference has everything to do with the lack of cross-cultural understanding and acceptance. And this difference creates a thicket of traps for Native offenders.

The Native persons predisposition for honesty and the avoidance of conflicts works against them when they interact with non-Native attorneys and juries. The system reads

guilt when often there is none, leading to a higher 1 2 conviction rate and indifference in sentencing. Further, when rural offenders reenter society, they are forced to do 3 so in alien city hub environments without cultural relevant 4 services to support their efforts to get back home after 5 6 probation and parole periods. Many offenders lack city 7 living and job skills which prevent their successful completion of mandated probation terms. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Inadequate defense bar funding: Inadequate funding for legal assistance presents a major obstacle to addressing discrimination against Alaska Natives. Rampant poverty and unemployment among Natives' populations foster economic dependency on Government funded legal assistance. Legal services have been drastically reduced in staffing and funding over recent years while funding for prosecutors remains steady, creating a system imbalance overly emphasizing criminal punishment without significant defense support.

The Alaska Legal Service Corporation in particular has suffered drastic reductions in funding. The public defender agency's increases in caseloads over the last decade have been accompanied by nearly flat funding.

The legal rights of Alaska Natives who make up a disport ionate share of justice clients are being affected by this excessive caseload. The legislative audit found

that the public defender agency attorneys are forced to deal with the mounting caseload through tactical legal maneuvers that at best only defer the problem. To further exacerbate the problem, some cases are not allowed to be represented with public funds, such as those relating to discrimination and housing.

Third, jurisdictional issues reduce local tribal responsibility. Although Congress granted the state of Alaska both criminal and civil judicial jurisdiction over Alaska Natives under Public Law 280, the state has failed in its responsibility to provide adequate judicial services to Alaska Natives.

Currently, there are a wide range of legal matters which can be handled at the local community level. Tribes presently have jurisdictions to handle children's matters, tribal adoptions and other domestic relations where jurisdiction is linked to core tribal relationships.

Tribal courts are a cost effective means of reducing state and federal court costs which allow tribal members to be more involved with the law and the legal process within their communities. In spite of the physical and social virtues of tribal courts and other such efforts, there is no stable stream of funding.

Federal cops and tribal justice grants and some state . grants provide support for tribal courts and limited law

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

enforcement. However, these sources do not provide sufficient levels of funding with the potential for future long-term positive impact.

At this point in time, only 76 of Alaska's villages have Village Public Safety Officers. Without change, the jurisdictional and funding limits will continue to hamstring Alaska Native opportunities for equal treatment.

Fourth, lack of basic of police protection for rural. Alaska communities is unequal treatment that endangers lives. Alaska State Troopers currently provide full police protection to the smaller, mostly non-Native communities on the road system, but do not to rural villages.

Alaska State Troopers generally are unable to cover misdemeanors and lesser felonies in villages. Consequently, most of the lower-level crimes have been left to the Village Public Safety Officers. But the number of Village Public Safety Officers have been reduced from 124 in the 1980's to 84 just last year, and the crime rate continues to grow in these rural Alaska villages.

These VPSO's also receive less training, pay, and benefits. They are not allowed to intervene in major criminal cases. This overall lack of police protection often means there is no presence to deter crime and no one to enforce domestic violence orders.

Perhaps most shocking of all is the consequence of

inadequate police protection on our once revered givers of life; our mothers, our daughters, or sisters and our aunts. Next to our children, Alaska Native women are perhaps the most victimized group among us, suffering extremely high rates of rape and domestic violence.

Research shows that where there is domestic violence, unfortunately, there is also child abuse. It is a painful irony that Alaska Natives in general are a peaceful people who have always valued children as precious and for whom the raising of the child had traditionally been a gentle collaborative, it takes a village, practice.

Interpersonal violence is not a Native cultural tradition. There is a growing perception in urban areas and in rural areas that cases involving Alaska Natives are not adequately investigated. The recent case of five unresolved murders, four Alaska Native women and one African-American woman is an example of this. The number of unresolved rape cases of Alaska Native women is another example.

Alaska has the highest rate of sexual assault in the nation. Alaska Native women are four and a half times more likely to be a homicide victim in the state of Alaska than anywhere else in the nation.

Alaska Natives need to have faith in their lawenforcement agencies. They need to know that crimes committed against them will be as aggressively pursed as Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 those committed against any citizen.

Employment of Alaska Natives. Fifth, employment of Alaska Natives is very low in the justice arena. Alaska Natives are severely under-represented in fields of law enforcement, public safety, the legal profession, the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system.

The state of Alaska has been attempting to address this problem with a small measure of success. The most recent employment figures provided by the state have shown increases in the Department of Public Safety, which houses the VPSO program, the Department of Corrections and the Department of Law.

The Division of Juvenile Justice has also made efforts and increased the number of Alaska Natives employed and has incorporated this effort into their strategic planning. While these increases are somewhat encouraging, there is a long way to go to ensure more adequate representation of Alaska Natives within the justice system.

With respect to the recommendations, based on these concerns, I would like to provide the following general recommendations:

State and federal government should continue to support restorative justice efforts. While restorative justice practices have become increasingly important to the state and federal government, these efforts need to allow for

-

Circle sentencing, community courts, tribal courts and other programs that seek to hold offenders accountable at the most local of levels generally mean that Alaska's first contact wit the justice system is more likely to be someone from his or her own culture in a manner that is consistent with the community's values.

greater local control of justice matters.

The Alaska Judicial Council recommends development of a continuum of alternative sanctions for violations of pretrial release conditions for sentencing and for probation violations. The state should continue to support and encourage cultural diversity training, cultural diversity training can and will have an impact on how Alaska Natives are treated and viewed within the justice system and will also improve employment opportunities for Alaska Natives.

Cultural diversity training is occurring in some state agencies presently, but it needs to be more widely dispersed among all agency levels, including line staff and needs to be stepped up in intensity and also needs to involve Alaska Natives with respect to the training that is offered.

State and local governments should develop effective, affirmative action efforts. The state and local governments should establish affirmative recruitment programs specifically designed to increase the number of Alaska Native male and female hires, including retention of Alaska

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Native and promotion of Alaska Natives once they are hired.

One other recommendation would be internship opportunities for Alaska Natives. Alaska Natives in addition should be respected as we educate our justice professional. Alaska Native history and cultural programs, including value systems and social-economic patterns should be included in training of law enforcement and judicial staff. They should be part of the orientation in justice offices included in the Alaska State Troopers Academy.

We must formerly study, analyze and address the link between alcoholism, crime and victimization among Alaska Natives. A special task force funded by the state or federal government should be formed to conduct research on the extent of alcoholism and its effect upon crime in the state and make recommendations to address the issues involved.

We should explore and implement alternative justice options. Alternative justice options that reflect culturally based traditions should be studied and implemented to provide offenders with the option of treatment, rehabilitation and community service in lieu of fines and incarceration.

We must provide meaningful support to the public defender agency, Alaska Legal Services and the Office of the Public Advocacy. These agencies often offer the only hope

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for rehabilitation rather than incarceration for the Alaska Native populations. They must be provided funding levels that allow them to provide a defense that best serves the interest of their clients.

Other recommendations would be that the court system should train and employ male and female Alaska Natives as paralegal professionals to assist Alaska Natives as the encounter the justice system. The state and municipal government should expand their efforts to recruit and retain Alaska Natives at all levels of employment, including law enforcement and managerial positions. And endorsement .from the state and municipal governments stating and showing that they are committed to making the appropriate changes to foster healing throughout our state of Alaska and through our communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Ms. Morris. Mr. Hundorf, would you like to make a statement?

MR. ROY HUNDORF: Just a brief one. I don't want to repeat what has been said, but I do think that something is worth noting here. And I would underscore that I know that we're not here to villainize anybody, but if there were a list of culprits that you're developing, I would urge you to include the State of Alaska in that list.

I'm not going to repeat the kinds of discriminations that Senator Lincoln and Ms. Morris have talked to you about in employment and education resource and justice distribution, the incarceration rates of Natives, but I would urge you instead to bear those statistics in mind as you go forward with your recommendations to the Governor. I hope it's to the Governor and to the judicial branch as well as the legislative branch, with recommendations that they really take a time -- take out some time and review their rules and laws that are causing this discrimination.

You know, some of this is inadvertent. Some of it, I think the state is very comfortably nestled in it's nest of rules and law and they're cloaked in the cloak of legitimacy and feel very comfortable with doing nothing, saying, oh, well, that's the way the state law works and that's the way the rules work. But the net result is the two to three percent employment of Native people in the state of Alaska's agencies. It's the 35 percent incarceration rate of Native people in the jails.

A good example of how rules discriminate; a law was passed that is called Little Davis Bacon, and I know of one job right now, and there are hundreds like it every year in village Alaska that required contractors, for example, to pay union wages. Well, the net result of that is they go to the unions to hire these people since they have to pay union wages, they pick these people off the benches of Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Seattle. And the folks in Rampart and

elsewhere are left watching the construction job in their own village. It's discrimination, yet the rules allow it. These are the kind of thing I'm talking about and there are scores of these kinds of rules that cause discriminatory practices and work hardships on Native people; sometimes inadvertently, sometimes in a very contrived way.

9.

But I think the state needs to take note of its laws and its rules. It needs to take stock of what it's doing and what it intends to do with all of its citizens and make some changes, because this can only get worse unless the State of Alaska comes to its senses and begins to realize what it is doing, again, cloaked in its cloak of legitimacy and sanctity that it being the supreme lawmaker of the land given.

And finally, I would say I'm a businessman and I know you set the tone as a leader. If you're the head of an organization, you set the attitude, you lead by example. And if people in Alaska see the State of Alaska discriminating, it's okay to discriminate. The state does it, why shouldn't we? So you lead by example. And if the state wants to lead, it will lead by example here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Mr. Butler?

•MR. REX BUTLER: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name
is Rex Butler. I'm a practicing attorney here. And when I

saw the notice and the time to introduce yourself or your subject matter, I decided what I would do was I would bring a transcript to read from of a hearing that I participated in.

Now, in the event I go over my 10 minutes, I want you to know this subject matter is near and dear to my heart. Anyway, we've all heard the term racial profiling, and of course, we hear it in the news and we hear it and then we don't hear it because some people say, well, I think it's happening out there. I see that minorities are overly represented in the jails, but can anyone really prove that this is racial profiling? So that's what I'm going to do today for you.

Back in 1995, just when we were starting to hear about racial profiling, this is a transcript of a hearing in the United states District Court for the District of Alaska in front of one of our Federal Magistrate judges starring Michael Stickler, who was an Alaska State Trooper, and this is public record so.....

"I'm employed by the Alaska Department of Public Safety, Division of Alaska State Troopers. I'm presently assigned as the supervisor for the Fairbanks area interagency narcotics team, which operates under the control of the statewide drug enforcement unit of the Alaska state Troopers."

Now, aside from the fact that this is a supervisor, let's get down to the meat of this hearing here. The Alaska state Trooper was asked questions about a stop that he made on a vehicle, a rental vehicle driven by a White female with a Black male passenger. So we've got an interracial couple here driving between Anchorage and Fairbanks.

Now, we know that in this day and age, to the chagrin of some, to the indifference of others, there are interracial relationships. And we know that interracial couples come to visit Alaska, especially in the summertime, we want them to come and spend their money like anyone else. And sometimes they rent vehicles and they travel to Fairbanks.

Now, in this particular case, this vehicle was stopped because it was doing 77 miles per hours in a 65 mile per hour zone. The trooper who stopped the vehicle called Trooper Stickler and Trooper Stickler advised them to try and get a consent search of the automobile, get a consent search if you can, if not, detain the passengers until we get a search warrant.

Now, they claim that there is a profile that the Alaska State Troopers use, and that profile is a White female and a Black male driving between Anchorage and Fairbanks in a rental car.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: At 77 miles an hour?

Accurate Transcript Reporting Anchorage, Alaska 907-245-4467 atr@gci.net

10. 

MR. REX BUTLER: At 77 miles an your, yes, sir.

And they add to it, especially if that rental car was not rented specifically to one of those passengers. So anyway, in this particular case, Trooper Stickler testifies as follows:

Question, "The fact that the" -- well, he says, "The fact that the profile that we have established a rental car being part of that profile, being operated by someone who had not rented the vehicle, and prior indications of drug involvement strengthened my probable cause and my directions to Trooper Tellup'at the time."

"And what was your direction to Trooper Tellup?"

"To attempt to obtain a consent search. If the consent search was forthcoming, to go ahead and search. I was very specific in telling him, you know, get the consent search in writing. If consent was not foregoing, based upon my experience as a drug enforcement investigator, that I wanted the luggage or purse or whatever seized pending application for a search warrant."

Hold on. Now, the young lady involved, the White female, at first, she gave consent, and then she says:

"I'm not going to give you consent, I haven't done anything wrong, but a speeding ticket."

So they showed her the consent form, she refused to

sign it. She says:

2

"I'm not going to let you search my purse, my luggage.

3

I haven't done anything wrong."

4

Trooper Stickler told him, they held the people and arrested

5

them.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so you know what Trooper Tellup did, based on what

Now, if Trooper Stickler was here, and I doubt if he is, he would tell you:

"In this particular case, I found some drugs in the female's purse."

In this particular case. But that's not the issue The issue here is why do you develop a profile, and this profile consists -- I questioned Trooper Stickler myself for about an hour:

"Trooper Stickler, it's your testimony that much of your decision to request a consent search of the bag is based on profile?"

Answer, "Yes, I would call it a profile, personal knowledge, but basically a profile, yes, sir." Question, "And what scientific basis, if you will, is this profile based on?"

Answer, "There is no scientific basis, possibly profile is not the proper terminology it's...." Question, "Well, but that -- that's what you called it, isn't it?"

"Okay. I'm not sure that profile is the proper terminology. Based upon facts, as we knew them, and intelligence information that we have been provided."

"Sir, did you bring any of that intelligence information with you that you rely upon?

Answer, "No, sir. I do not make my intelligence files available to anyone."

"Sir, prior to coming into this courtroom today, you viewed this information as profile information, didn't you?

Answer, "Well, I -- you're asking me if profile is the -- as I understand is the correct terminology, and I've testified, sir, that profile may not be the best word to use. It's knowledge that my unit had personal knowledge and intelligence information, sir."

Question, "Sir, have you ever referred to the information concerning a White female and a Black male in a rental vehicle as profile information? Have you referred to it as profile information?"

"Yes, sir, I have."

Question, "Then have you basically this information you've quote, unquote, developed, you called it a profile, haven't you?"

"I have referred to it, yes, sir, as that."

"Okay. So when I speak of it as profile, you

	Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001
1	understand what I'm saying, don't you?"
2	Answer, "I do, yes, sir."
3	"Okay. Now, this profile is based largely on
4	racial characteristics, isn't it?"
5	"No, sir, it's not. Well, it's based on fact."
6	Question, "Well, the elements of the profile,
7	Number 1, it's White female, yes or no, sir?"
8	Answer, "That is correct."
9	"And a black male, yes or no, sir?"
10	Answer, "That is correct, yes, sir."
11	Question, "Traveling together between Anchorage
12	and Fairbanks?"
13	Answer, "Or Fairbanks to Anchorage."
14	"Or Fairbanks to Anchorage?"
15	Answer, "Yes, that's correct."
16	Question, "In a rental car?"
17	Answer, "This is also correct."
18	Question, "Or it can be any vehicle."
19	Answer, "The information we had was that this
20	particular group of people"
21	"What group of people, sir?"
22	"The drug traffickers."
23	"I see. Okay."
24	Answer, "were using rental vehicles not
25	registered them."

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Question, "So you found out that Leslie Williams," he's the one who rented this particular vehicle, "is the spouse of the defendant, didn't you? So the lady who's driving the car, it was rented by her spouse?"

Answer, "I didn't believe that I found that out he was the spouse, I found out that they shared a common address."

"Okay. So you found out that the named renter of the vehicle shared a common address with the driver, didn't you?"

Answer, "A common address of many."

Question, "And you were also -- didn't -- weren't you told by Trooper Tellup that -- that she -- that the defendant told him that Leslie Williams was her husband? You were told that, weren't you?

The prosecutor, "Your Honor, that calls for hearsay. I believe that counsel is asking for a hearsay answer."

I want to get the judge, who will remain nameless because I've got to appear in his court next week.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Did the judge sustain the objection?

MR. REX BUTLER: No.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Oh, okay.

MR. REX BUTLER: He didn't sustain that objection.

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 We'll get to the judge in a minute. I have run out -- this

Ouestion, "Would that have made a difference in your profile, the fact that this person is married to

Answer, "It would have not made an effect on, or effected the profile except to the extent that if Mr. Williams was the passenger. Mr. Williams was the renter of the vehicle and Mr. Williams is married to this person, yes, that would have had a very distinct bearing on my decision made at the time."

Question, "Now, is it also your testimony that anyone, White female or Black male traveling together a rental vehicle between Anchorage and Fairbanks is at risk of being suspected as being drug carriers?"

Question, "But isn't that essentially the basis of

Answer, "That is part of the profile, not the

Question, "What is the other part of it, sir?" Answer, "The other part of it is rental vehicle or vehicles not registered to them, you know, probable cause to stop the vehicle in the first place."

Question, "So if a tourist, for example, a Black

l	Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 200.
1	tourist who's married to a White woman are traveling
2	between Anchorage and Fairbanks, or Fairbanks and
3	Anchorage in a rental vehicle, and they're traveling
4	too fast, they not only would get a traffic citation,
5	but they'll be suspected of trafficking in narcotics,
6	according your profile?"
7	Answer, "The possibility exists. I can't speak
8	for the person if stopping the vehicle."
9	This is the trooper, the supervisor saying I can't
10	speak for the other troopers, but yet they hold these
11	briefings and they put this profile together.
12	Question, "How many did your unit make, sir, in
13	1994?" We're talking about stops.
14	Answer, "I can't answer that question. I have no
15	idea how many stops my unit made in 1994."
16	Question, "Do you keep statistics on the number of
17	stops that your unit makes wherein there's a Black male
18	and a White female in a rented vehicle traveling
19	between Fairbanks and Anchorage, or Anchorage and
20	Fairbanks, do you keep those statistics, sir?
21	Answer, "No, I do not.
22	Question, "So you have no statistics upon which to
23	base your profile, do you?"
24	Answer, "I have personal knowledge is all."
25	Question, "My understanding is that if you have a

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Black male and White female traveling in a rental

vehicle between Anchorage and Fairbanks or Fairbanks

and Anchorage, then automatically you suspect that they

may be involved in drug activity?"

Answer, "And that is not always true." That's his answer.

"Oh, I would agree with you, sir," says the lawyer. "It's probably not always true, but I'm saying that's part of your profile. Now, isn't it true, sir, that for the year of 1995, you have no other instances to point to when you found a White female and a Black male in a rental vehicle traveling between Fairbanks and Anchorage, Anchorage to Fairbanks in which drugs were found in or on their person?

Answer, "Without compromising other investigations and my intelligence sources, the fact that the prior stop and the intelligent sources, this would have been the second time that I am aware of that a Black male, White female driving a rental car -- well, the whole thing."

The second time, so you create a profile off of it and this means that this kind of combination is now drug couriers.

"And so based on the fact that this is the second time you're aware of, you call that the development of Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

a profile?"

<u>1</u>.

Answer, "Every instance, sir, has its own set of circumstances. It's all -- I was doing was providing road troopers with my knowledge, what we have developed through intelligence in order that they could possibly do a better job. Race does not enter into it, other than it's a statement of fact."

That's the answer from the trooper. "Race does not enter into it, other than the fact that it's a statement of fact."

Question, "But, sir, isn't it true that according to your quote, unquote, profile, that the profile is established to what you say is intelligence sources that a White female in particular, and a Black male in particular, traveling together in a rental vehicle between Fairbanks and Anchorage or Anchorage to Fairbanks, that's a profile?"

Answer, "That is the profile, yes, sir."

Now, this is the trooper's testimony, but he just said race doesn't enter into it.

"Racial, in any manner other -- okay. So it discriminates against person like Ms. So and So?"

The prosecutor, "Objection. That's argumentative, Judge."

The court, "Counsel, I don't think that you have

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 that from his testimony. If you want to argue it at some other time, I'll hear the argument briefly."

That's the court.

The court goes on to say, "But I don't think you've established that." That's the court.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: What law school did the judge go to?

MR. REX BUTLER: I'm not going to say. I've got appear in front of that judge next week.

Anyway, I wanted to bring this to your attention because we all hear about racial profiling, and as far as we know, we note, as my friends here at the table have indicated, we have a disproportionate number of minorities in jail, and I've always maintained that this starts in the streets. It starts with the investigations. And when you have these kinds of profiles, this is the interesting thing, how many people have been stopped by troopers, held, detained, forced to have their personal belongings searched because maybe the unfortunate circumstances of visiting Alaska or traveling the road between Anchorage and Fairbanks?

You see, when you have this kind of a profile, you can write them up for a speeding ticket, 77 in a 65, that doesn't mean they were speeding. In fact, on the contrary, these people said they weren't speeding. But if the trooper

sees this combination and pulls behind you and notes that you've got a little rental document on your vehicle then maybe under certain circumstances you were speeding whether your know it or not. So they've got to have a probable cause for the stop, and then if you don't consent to the search, you call Trooper Stickler and he'll stick it -- I mean not stick it to you, he'll have the trooper, excuse me, sorry, he'll have the trooper detain you for further discussion, let's put it.

Anyway, finally, this is near and dear to my heart, give me one more minute now.

"Not in and of itself, sir."

Question, "Would you call it suspicious behavior, consenting to let a trooper search your bag and then when they hand you the consent form, read it and then decide that you've changed your mind, would you call that suspicious behavior?"

"Not necessarily, no, sir, not in and of itself."

"So you have no evidence that this person tried to conceal anything from you, no information, whatsoever?"

"No. No, sir, we don't."

"So you just -- so just so we understand, sir, because there was a White female and a Black male in a rental vehicle, neither one of them have an authorization to drive it, traveling from Fairbanks to

Anchorage, and the White female, being on federal probation, what you've said is you have a drug offense, you seized the bag?"

"That's correct sir. I ordered the bag seized."

Now, what's important here is you don't know who's

driving the car, all you know if you've got a White female

and a Black male in a rental car, so other than that, you

have no other basis to make a stop or a judgement call on

these individuals, unless of course, you have racial

profiling.

Now, of course, the trooper said that's not racial.

And the judge said, "Well, you can argue it briefly, but I don't think you've established it." And so that's what we're dealing with. And I think we're dealing with it across the country, not just here in Alaska. But I've been fortunate enough to have a transcript. So when I say that there's racial profiling in Alaska, that it contributes to the number of minorities incarcerated in Alaska and around the country, I can prove it with this document. So I keep this document. I hold on to it. I save it. I put it with my precious belongings because nobody can say I'm paranoid. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Butler. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Is that transcript close to

1 your heart?

MR. REX BUTLER: Yes, sir, it is.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ REYNOSO: Oh, okay.

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes?

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: Mr. Butler, of course, I recognize you as one of the pillars of the legal community and also a friend, but I wonder, on the subject of racial profiling, I wonder if you could speak to this experience, that a police officer might justify a stop or an investigatory stop or something like that and take certain actions and base his justification for those actions on the fact that this was a high crime neighborhood?

And the cases, I understand, allow the courts to consider -- or allow the police officer to consider that in a high crime neighborhood that can be one factor which builds to sufficient probable cause for a stop or an investigation of some sort.

The bones of my question is this, do you find that these high crime neighborhoods tend to directly mirror ethnic neighborhoods where minorities are over-represented so that instead of actually having to engage in racial profiling, they could avoid using that label by just saying, well, any person who happened to be in, let's say Mountain View or, you know, a particularly ethnic community? Do you

understand my question?

\_\_\_

MR. REX BUTLER: Yes, I do. And what I would say is that we're talking about low-income neighborhoods where because of the sociological structure traditionally of this country, you find a lot of minorities. And so when law enforcement goes into these communities and they make their judgements and then they add into it, well, this is a high crime neighborhood, yes, it gives them the opportunity to avoid using the label of racial profiling.

Just like this trooper, after we got to using it, then he decided maybe it's not a good idea to call it racial profiling, but he's the one who used the word profile. Then I started using the word profile, and then he said, well, I'm not sure that's the right term. But, yes, when you have low income neighborhoods, often times you will find them overly represented by minorities.

And quite frankly, let me say this; this is why our country, unfortunately, has been losing the war on drugs, even though we've thrown billions and billions of dollars at it, is because we're concentrating it in the wrong areas.

And as long as you're doing that, you're going to continue to lose it.

MR. MITCHEL SCHAPIRA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Anybody else? Dan?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Yeah, for anybody on the panel, I was

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 kind of curious what part, if any, does political corruption contribute to racism in the judicial system?

MR. REX BUTLER: Sir, I'm sorry, I missed the first part of your question.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: I was asking what part, if any, does political corruption contribute to racism in the judicial system?

MR. REX BUTLER: Well, I'm not sure that we can point to political corruption, because I think traditionally people in low income neighborhoods have been viewed as being powerless and not really part of the political structure. And so I think there's some difficulties in that regard in looking at political corruption.

Now, in terms of judges, I don't know that there's any judges on the take or politically corrupt, if you will, and I wouldn't say that any of them are. I still have about 10 years of practice left in me. But I would say that there is a lack of awareness.

Anytime a judge can look at this -- can hear this testimony and say that you haven't established racial profiling, there's a lack of awareness, there's a lack of education somewhere or -- and this is the kind of thing that encourages law enforcement to use these kinds of tools.

Do you think that Trooper Stickler would in any way walk out of that courtroom disenfranchised about using race

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

cautiously as my friend down on the other end there. I don't know if I would say political corruption, but I certainly know that there are numerous proposed legislation that unfairly targets rural Alaska, which to me equates to Native Alaskans or Alaska Natives. And, you know, I see that over and over again. So I think that in some ways that Alaska Natives are targeted in having unequal resources allocation.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Ms. Walker was standing up.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Walker?

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Yes, thank you. I had a question for Ms. Morris. You suggested that there be studies with regard to alcoholism and its relationship or -- I wrote it down, but I can't find my notes now -- anyway, you

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 recommended studies be done with regard to alcoholism, I assumed, in the village areas?

MS. DENISE MORRIS: I don't think it necessarily needs to be only in the village areas, and the reason I'm saying research, I know most recently in Anchorage specifically, they started a wellness court and they've started a mental health court. And with respect to the wellness court, it actually is looking the core cause in individuals that have been coming through the court system and on basically a revolving door system.

Primarily, a number of these individuals that are in the wellness court are on Natrexol (ph) which is a drug that specifically curves the craving for alcohol. A number of these individuals that have been going to this program have been very successful in this program, and this is another way of addressing the issue instead of incarcerating people that have alcohol or substance abuse issues, it created a way to deal with the problem specifically in a positive manner.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Well, that's were my question is going. I simply wanted to clarify whether you meant that --now I am aware, and I was wondering if you were aware that every year at budget time, there are zillions of proposals that come in for these studies and research, either through Social Services or some health organization.

I know this because I sit down and evaluate some of them, and they get big bucks for these studies. Now, do they make their results available to you or what happens to the information that's gathered?

MS. DENISE MORRIS: Some of the information, of course the information is available to individuals, but the other thing with respect to research proposals is that a lot of times the recommendations take funding to implement.

One of the prime issues that I know with respect to alcoholism has -- where I've seen it, has been with respect to the Indian Child Welfare Act, and I'll give you a prime example of this, is that presently there is a number of individuals that are -- have case plans with the Department of Family and Human Services, and in order to have their children returned to them, they have to complete an alcohol treatment program.

And I specifically worked with an individual about two's weeks ago whose six day old child was removed for lack of compliance with the case plan. But she was on a waiting list to get into a treatment program and she was number 35 on the waiting list to get in a treatment program. There was no treatment program available for this woman to go to.

So that's another issue. When we look at these studies and we find out what's happening, then we need to have recommendations to support and funding to implement the

recommendations. Specifically, I think we all know that alcoholism has plagued the Alaska Native community, but there needs to be more resources to deal directly with the problems and enforce the recommendations.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Excuse me, just to follow up there, that is what these proposals are supposed to be doing, giving you the tools that you are asking for. I'm here wondering where the heck is the dollar bill going, because they're getting some big bucks to write this garbage, and I call some of it garbage. And then where does the information go, what do they do with it after they' compile? If they give it to you, then it may be useful in that way.

MS. DENISE MORRIS: Uh-huh. I think one of the issues that we all know, there have been a lot of studies around alcohol. There have been a lot studies around substance abuse, and maybe it's time to quit doing studies and actually put the funding in place to actually implement and design some of the recommendations that have bene put forth in these studies. I mean maybe we don't need any more studies. Maybe it's time to actually implement those programs; and in order to implement those programs it's going to take funding.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Meeks and then Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Yeah, this is for Senator Lincoln or Ms. Morris. What role does the FBI or the U.S. Attorneys Office play in the rural communities? I just can't quite get a feel for....

MS. DENISE MORRIS: Actually, it's an interesting question. About two weeks ago, we had the FBI, the Alaska State Troopers and the -- I'm sorry, not the Alaska State Troopers, but the Anchorage Police Department meet with the Village Service Management Team that represents 55 villages within the Southcentral region, and they asked that specific question as what was the role of the FBI with respect to rural Alaska communities. And they had specific areas that they had jurisdiction within Alaska, and there wasn't a huge role for the FBI in rural Alaska communities.

One of the examples that we actually had them involved in was an investigation of an Alaska Native woman that's presently missing, and they had indicated that their jurisdiction doesn't extend to missing persons, but they were able to get involved in the case specifically because bank fraud was involved. And bank fraud does fall under the jurisdiction of the FBI, but I can't really speak to all of the areas that the FBI is able to become involved in. But I'm sure that the chief agent in charge would be willing to provide a report specifically addressing those areas.

MR. ROY HUNDORF: Maybe a part of the answer is prior

Marshals and Federal Magistrates conducted the justice programs out there, and very well I might add. They

involved a lot of local people, a lot of local magistrates, et cetera.

to statehood Alaska was considered a U.S. territory and U.S.

But since statehood, of course, the state has taken over the judicial service there; and you have what you have. It's a state run operation. There is only limited recognition given to Alaska Native tribes, otherwise, the FBI would be involved probably more deeply, but for the state's opposition to full recognition of tribal rights, you don't have that presence out there as you might on the Navajo Reservation or other reservations in the Lower 48.

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Right. So one more question along that line. So the Department of Justice really increased funding for tribal public safety systems, tribal courts, and so did that -- I mean, does then that relationship exclude Alaska Natives from obtaining that kind of funding from the U.S. Department of Justice? I mean, over the last couple of years, the funding for -- you know, for instance, our tribe was really increased, as well as most of the other tribes in the Lower 48.

MR. ROY HUNDORF: That money has come down through the state, I think, in some part, but it has not come to Alaska in the same way and in the same quantity that it has to the

Lower 48 tribes that have full recognition. And so you don't see as well a developed tribal justice system as you might on the Navajo Reservation in Alaska for that reason, and again, it's the non-recognition of.....

COMMISSIONER ELSIE MEEKS: Because some of that money was also for alcohol and drug treatment, increasing that -- you know, the remedies for those. And so that's....

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Yeah. And I think there's pieces of, you know, federal money that the tribes continue to try and receive because of the lack of funding from the state. And it's really a fine balance there because at the state level, we have a responsibility, I feel, has a constitutional responsibility to provide the same or equal treatment to all people in Alaska; and we haven't been doing that.

And we received -- or the AFN received a considerable grant from the federal government for Village Public, Safety, Officers with a lot of strings attached, and the state or the legislators wanted to back off from funding the Village Public Safety Officer positions because they were saying, well, the federal government is stepping in to do that. And we're always having to remind our fellow legislators that we have a responsibility also to Bush Alaska.

But I also wanted to go back to the question that you asked about the FBI. I was involved, asked to intervene on

a case in Fairbanks where a Native man was shot to death by a city police officer, and they found the city police officer -- what is the term? The term, that it was justifiable.

And the family, the Native community wanted the FBI involved. Well, we had to go through a lot of hoops to get the FBI involved because it had to go through the city police, the troopers, and then the FBI would review it only if the regional office looked at it and thought there might be cause to review that case, and they did take the case and reviewed it. But it's just not a simple task to get the FBI involved.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Commissioner Lee?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: This question is for Senator Lincoln. You mentioned earlier that you feel your one recourse is to go to courts for relief, yet we read the Supreme Court's own report in 1997 that cited that in order to provide equal protection and equal opportunity and equal access to all individuals, there needs to be a lot of improvements such as diversifying the workforce around the court system, providing services that would meet the language and cultural needs of the individuals, and yet, there's no money to do all those improvements.

So how do you propose -- how would you, as a State

Senator assure that these services are provided, that there

will be sufficient money?

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the second part of the question is, if anyone of you on the panel know, how many of the -- how many Alaska Native attorneys are currently practicing in Alaska? many of them are currently in law school? What programs, if any, will assure Alaska Natives to be put certain pipelines to assure that there will be current and future Alaska Natives who will be practicing law in this state?

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Denise can probably answer that question on how many Alaska Native attorneys that we have in the state. But my answer to how I would resolve fulfilling the recommendations by the courts or this Commission or anyone else's; simply to change the party affiliation in Juneau and we get a lot done. Did I hear a groan?

It really is difficult because we have a Bush caucus on the House and the Senate side, and I chair the caucus for the Senate, and Representative Reggie Jewell (ph) -- put your hand up, Reggie -- is the chair on the House side. And we, every year, attempt to address some of these inequities and discrimination that is out there for our communities and specifically, the Alaska Native people. And it's very difficult.

It's just as what Denise was saying just a moment ago, that, you know, you can have all these studies and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DENISE MORRIS: I don't know the number of Alaska Native attorneys that are presently members of the Bar.

recommendations, but if you don't have the funding to go with it, it just gathers dust. And we need to, as a State Legislature, we need to as the Administration, the Executive Branch, the Judicial Branch, to really -- I mean, not just make those recommendations, but to put the funding that goes with it.

And too often, I find that in the legislature, that they're -- to me, there's a lot of discrimination that goes on within the legislature because you can't get the funding for it, or you can't get some of the legislation through that would correct some of these inequities because of the makeup of the legislature or who sits in as Governor or who is in the Judicial Branch.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Excuse me. She has a second part to her question.

MR. ROY HUNDORF: What I was going to say, for example, in the Kasilie (ph) case on education, you won that case, but can you get it implemented? It means more funds, no. Ι mean, the legislature is as much as saying as what Andrew Jackson told the Supreme Court in his day, "you made the ruling, now go and enforce it."

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Morris, can you answer that?

Some of the programs that we at the Alaska Native

Justice Center have specifically implemented to encourage

Alaska Natives to seek careers in justice is an internship

program where we actually pay a stipend to college students

that are seeking careers in justice. We've also implemented

some internship programs geared toward individuals that are

seeking careers in justice.

Youth courts is another program that I really do feel has positive aspects as well when we're talking about encouraging individuals to seek careers in justice. It teaches youth that they can be the us within the justice system. They can be the judges, they can be the prosecutors, they can be the attorneys and they can be the officers of the court.

And I think the other positive thing that we have to do is to continue to mentor these youth when they do indicate that they are interested in careers in justice. We recently funded two Alaska Native youth from Tyson Elementary in Mountain View to go down to the FBI Academy to promote and encourage these individuals to seek careers in justice. And I think that's where we really have to start is with youth

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 and continue to let them be interested and then give them

2 the opportunities, funding.

I know all of the regional corporations presently have scholarship programs that are available to individuals. The First Alaskans Foundation is also encouraging funding for educational opportunities and we just need to foster that environment of learning and education.

But the main focus is with respect to the Anchorage
School District in education across the State of Alaska. If
our youth are not completing high school, they're not going
to be able to go to college. So, I mean, there really needs
to be a focus on looking at the failure rate of Alaska
Natives to achieve high school completion. And one of the
other issues, of course, that has been looked at and has
been prolonged for a period of time is the exit exam, which
would possibly have a devastating effect on Alaska Natives.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Can I just ask one follow-up question? Are these mentoring and internship programs funded by the government, state level, federal level at all, or just private?

MS. DENISE MORRIS: The internship program that we have is a grant that the Alaska Native Justice Center received through appropriation through Senator Stevens' office, which came through the Bureau of Justice Assistance. That's how

ours are funded.

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: And sometimes, you know, the mentoring programs will be funded through the corporations under ANSCA, the regional corporations or village corporations.

And I just want to also mention that when we talk about court cases, that those precious dollars that oftentimes the Native community has to cough up those dollars to go to court instead of using it for scholarships for the students or other essential programs that we need for our communities, we're out there fighting court case.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Buchholdt?

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Senator
Lincoln, this is for you. You mentioned that there were 36
percent of inmates who are Alaska Natives in our system.

How many of those are incarcerated within the regional '
villages, where they come from, or what is the statistical
information on that, their region or place of incarceration?

I mention this because you talk about community support, and
if they were of a great distance from their regions, how do
they get their community support?

SENATOR GEORGIANNE LINCOLN: Uh-huh. And that's exactly the problem. I'm trying to see if I have those statistics here. I can get those for you. Maybe Denise

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remind people -- I want to thank the panel. It was very

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 interesting and very informative, and it was very well done. But I would also like to remind people that if they want to participate in the open session, that they must complete the open session form and submit it to staff in the back. We're going to take a brief break now.

We're going to return at five after 4:00, and we'll start there. And then I'll give some direction as to how we're going to follow up on the open session. Thank you very much.

(Off record 3:53 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## PROCEEDINGS

Alaska Advisory Committee

to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Thursday, August 23, 2001

## OPEN SESSION

(On record 4:10 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: .....provide us with a written statement which will give much more information probably than you will be able to provide us with the presentation. And when you come up to the table and it's your time to speak, I would ask you to please state your name and spell it so that the recorder will identify it and be able to place the correct remarks next to the correct name.

And so with that, I want to invite up James Patlan,
Gary Charles Patten, Delice Calcote, Clarisa C. Gillis and
Kathy Shanti, if you would, please.

Careful. Yeah, watch the wires. There's wires strung all over the place. I'm sorry I didn't caution you about that before.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I did.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I hope you didn't hurt yourself. Okay. Who's not here? James Patlan is not here yet. Okay. Why don't we go ahead and start again, three to five minutes, and we will stop at five if you go over it at

that point. But please -- and I'll encourage you to please provide us anything in writing. You have 30 days. Thank you. Can we start over here on the right?

MR. GARY CHARLES PATTEN: I thank you for being able to be here today, allowing me to speak. My Tlingit name is Daa Vay (ph). I am Kog Wan Ton Yette Raven Qwash Kwon (ph) from the Humpback Salmon Clan. We are the Knik Qwans (ph) the Copper River Tlingits.

It's very difficult to sit down here and try to get your points across in three to five minutes. It's really an undemocratic process because it does take some time to hit on such critical things as what we're talking about here; on racism and civil rights. But I believe that one would not have to look too hard to find a pattern and practice of racial discrimination here in Alaska from its conception.

Racism is a very horrible thing, but it's very real and it does exist here in Alaska. Civil Rights have been 4' violated amongst our people up here from day one. We are human beings, we have souls and we have the right to jurisdiction.

What we have seen and been witnesses to -- I'm 58 years old and I have I witnessed many changes here in Alaska, growing up here. What we're really talking about is apartheid. Apartheid is a very real thing here in a Alaska. It runs deep, it's covert, it's different than outright

killing, but the net effects are the same. You manage to separate a people from their lands and from their resources. You manage to take away the customary rights of people that are very ancient rights.

And we heard all the violations of things going on here earlier with the panel here about all the things that are going, the high rates of suicide, the high jail rates.

We've heard all -- we know all the red flags are up there.

It happens to people who are having apartheid applied upon them. And the issue is so deep, what we would like to see happen is the laws being complied with. And that's the problem. The laws are not being complied with.

We have international laws on apartheid that cover racism and civil rights. We know what the United Nations charter is about. We know what the United States plenary laws have done to us.

We know that it's apartheid legislation, and I think there's only one real way to address that. And it has to be addressed with the truth. What I would like to see happen is a truth and reconciliation commission be set up here in Alaska to bring all the truth, all the facts, all the violations of all the laws onto the table. We have an awful lot of guilty administrations that have been violating the law for a long time.

I don't know how -- if these is even the right forum to

bring this up in. But it is our civil rights that we're talking about. I encourage the panel here; if they're not familiar with the Declaration of Human Rights; if they're not familiar with the Genocide Treaty, if they're not familiar with the Apartheid Convention; if they're not up on the universal rights of all people, that you do get on that so that we can take the laws and make sure that the laws are applied where they belong, where we can get remedy.

This business of us having to keep crying about all the atrocities that are happening to us, it does go very far because it seems that our remedy in America is exhausted and perhaps it should be filed with the United Nations as an apartheid crime against humanity. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Patten. Next?

MS. DELICE CALCOTE: Good afternoon. My name is Delice Marie Calcote, D-e-l-i-c-e, Calcote is C-a-l-c-o-t-e. "I am Aleutic. I am from Afognak Island. I'm not Aleut from the Pribilofs, I am Aleutic from the Kodiak Island area, one of the largest islands in the Pacific.

I also have a job. I work for Chickaloon Village. I'm the tribal court clerk. And we have put in a grant for the Drug Court Program with the Department of Justice and we're not going to call it a drug court, we're going to call it a wellness court because we want to focus on our health and

getting people back in balance and in harmony with themselves, with the rest of the community. Cook Inlet Region North Subsidiaries represent me as a person on my civil and political rights. They only represent me as a shareholder; that's it, nothing else. Thank you.

And I'm going to read to you as fast as I can to get my five minutes in. I believe that there's lots of racism that's been prevalent in Alaska. It started with my area of the world, the Russians slaughtered 20,000 to 50,000 of my people in the Kodiak Island area and the slavery and the raping of women an children; I believe this has followed over into community actions that are prevalent today, you know, all these years, still nothing being done about it.

I'm the oldest of eight children, and the only one that has graduated. You see what I look like. The rest of my brothers and sisters are olive-toned skin. I graduated a year early, attended college for one semester and my very first job as secretary was for Alaska Federation of Natives in the spring of 1971 during the Land Claims negotiations.

I have now have three sons, they're 30, 21 and 10. The oldest two have graduated. They would ask me during school registration not to say that they were Alaska Natives, please don't say that mom on any form. But little did they know that they were already designated that from their birth, but I didn't argue with them. Regardless, the forms

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 come in the mail for me to sign about my subsistence use and they days that we will be gone from school.

One of my sons was signaled out as an Alaska Native and given three shots of Hepatitis B without my consent, not once, but three times without my knowledge, without my consent. What message do all those non-Native teachers tell the remaining non-Native students when my child and the other Alaska Natives were all singled out over the school intercom system to report to the school nurse to get a shot?

The other children, what did they ask the teachers?

What did the teachers reply? Did they say we're dirty, we
live in different conditions, we're more disease-prone?

Well, we found out in different various reports and federal
policy papers also that all test vaccines are tested on

Alaska Natives first, that they may be doing that to other
people now, but at the time when back in 1983 when this
happened, it was on the books that all vaccines are going to
be tested on Alaska Natives first for the benefit for the
other peoples.

So what if something doesn't work out? Who's there to watch what happens? Who's testing the results of what the health officials have started? If cancer takes 10 years to grow in your body, exactly how long do their tests take to react in our bodies? Who has all the paperwork for every one of the shots, for them to consult to even consent to be

a test subject? Where are those records? Do doctors and nurses check up on their test subjects every 10 hours, once a week, once a month, once a year, two years? What's the plan for all their tests?

I have worked as a secretary ever since 1971. I took only a couple of years off, yet I was still called upon in a volunteer capacity; our sovereignty was at stake.

I've held various jobs in the state also. For eight years, I worked for the State of Alaska Commission on Post-Secondary Education. We approved schools to operate, conducted studies and one being the yearly survey of high school students.

What I found being a secretary is that they would take all of the results from these high school seniors, survey results of who entered school, who quit, how many completed, and what they'll do is at the end of the year, well, let me see, these villages didn't do too good, so we'll stick them over here, we'll readjust the census and we'll just add Fairbanks, that will up those statistics. And they do that all across the state.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: You have one minute.

MS. DELICE CALCOTE: Okay. We know from behavior experts that the easiest way to ruin a child for life is to destroy his or her self-esteem. By the State also manipulating the hiring of teachers for the villages for

During territorial days, it was illegal to give alcohol to Indians, the government knew that, but you know how the alcohol came into Alaska? It came in through the military.

I have family letters that talk about this.

And the churches, you know, they told our people that we were heathen in our celebrations, or language, our culture, our beliefs. They were made a mockery of. I was forced to attend Catholic school through the seventh grade except the earthquake and tidal wave wrecked it. It also destroyed my village of Afognak, everything has changed. Two churches have stolen my family properties over these years, and I'll go -- there's more than this. I'm going to give this to you guys.

How many Natives hold jobs in the State of Alaska
Corporation and its subsidiary? How many in local '
government? How many in tribal government? How many in
Native corporations? How many in the Native corporation
partnerships? How many Alaska Natives are in the Park
Service, Forestry, Aggr., Department of Transportation, Fish
and Game, BLM, all those people that go and take care of our
resources for us? I don't believe that any of those have
ever voted on the statehood of Alaska. We know that it was
mostly military that were up here, and they were also paid

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 if they showed their little slip that they went and voted, they got extra pay in the packet.

You know, we didn't get to vote for the statehood act. In fact, at that time, there was a little thing in there, constitutional -- constitution at that time that said that you couldn't vote unless you could read and write English. That wasn't taken off until 1971. Not only did we not get to vote for Alaska statehood, but we didn't get to vote for ANCSA, the Land Claims Act. That was a lateral decision by a president that was impeached for his nefarious works.

And we also have ANILCA, we didn't get to go. That was a bilateral thing that went and changed who we were individually and the protection of our subsistence rights, which is spoken about in the U.N. I have to read this whole thing, the International Covenant....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Calcote.....

MS. DELICE CALCOTE: ....on Civil and Political

Rights....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: ....I'm going to have to interrupt you.

MS. DELICE CALCOTE: .....which says that our subsistence is supposed to be protected. And this has been on the books and the United States is a party to it, and the State of Alaska has to come to some sort of grips that we have international rights. And I understand that this is a

Thursday, August 23, 2001

employees.

As an employee, I was made to feel as though I had compromised the mission by asserting my rights; and my support person was condemned as well. Although my organization said many of the right things and reaffirmed their policy on discrimination, they stated by their actions that they weren't willing to take the appropriate steps to remedy the problem that still exists to this day.

As an employee who has a claim filed with the Anchorage Equal Rights Commissions, I can speak to you from a unique point of understanding. The sharing of information with you is not to blame or point fingers. It is to provide you with information on the climate many non-profit organizations in Alaska.

A recurrent theme with non-profit organizations is the desire to have culturally diverse employees and board members. But if my experience is any indication, minorities are not always welcome.

So I sit here today, tired, disillusioned, sad and feeling helpless. Having been embroiled in this situation for months, this is my first real opportunity to be heard. I realize many others are not heard either, and we must acknowledge all voices and perpetuate change within Social Service organizations. The services they provide are not insurance against discriminatory employment practices.

Thank you for giving me time to express myself to you. Finally being heard is healing.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you.

.9

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MS. KATHY SHANTI: My name is Kathy Shanti, K-a-t-h-y, S-h-a-n-t-i. I am employed by a Social Service agency as a Victim Advocate. Never in my wildest dreams would I have imagined that within that within that agency one of my fellow employees would need an advocate. I have been Clarisa's advocate for the last six months. I have watched as she has gone through all the proper regulations and systems to get her voice heard. We have laughed, we have cried, we have thought, my gosh, this cannot be happening. We felt bad because, my gosh, what about the mission, maybe the mission is more important than the color of her skin.

We've gone through a lot of feelings. And as we sit here tonight, I have to add that this was no easy decision. to come before this Commission.

Clarisa has done everything that she is supposed to have done, and she doesn't get heard. We have not been able to have a voice or find a voice. I also have filed a claim the AERC. I have never experienced what many of you have been talking about here. And in some ways, I do not look upon it as a burden, but as a gift, because I have been allowed to see behavior towards somebody because of the

color of their skin, which I would have never experienced.

And I thank my dear friend for allowing me to be part of her journey.

I am asking that Social Service agencies, non-profits, be held the same standards of State and Federal agencies.

They are not immune. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Mr. James Patlan?

MR. JAMES PATLAN: I have a report here if somebody would pass it out to the group.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. JAMES PATLAN: And just a few comments. Those who know me, know that I'm not going to give you guys a break.

First of all, I sat here and listened to everybody talk today, but they haven't said nothing. I guess a lot of you people don't know what it is to be on the streets or have somebody kick in your door and pull a six year old baby out of your living room, saying they're from DFYS, and you're not taking care of them, or walk down the street and see a bunch of homeless people sleeping in the alleys, digging in dumpsters for food, or even apply for food stamps and have everybody violate your human rights as well as civil rights.

Well, the term racial profiling doesn't only mean for justice departments. You have that with education with

students. You have that because of your economic condition. You have that because of the way you look. Example, in Mountain View where I live, the so-called high-crime rate area, I call it Dodge City myself, recently go the Mountain View Elementary School we had a stabbing of children. The school consists of 16 different languages. When they had the next day consolation for the students to understand it, they only had one language, English. What about the 15 other languages where the students didn't understand English, and the parents, there was no provision for that? How come and why? Anchorage School District, what did they do about it? Nothing? Why? How come?

High school, the counselors in the high school tell some of the students after 16 day absences that they're suspended. What happens to their credits? They lose the credits. They won't graduate. The counselors -- and I experienced it myself, the counselors recommend to the minority students, you're not going to make it, you might as well drop out. What do the students do? They quit. They start running the streets.

We've got homeless kids in school. What does the administration do? Nothing. Compile statistical data and reports. Do you see any of the School Board members on the streets? Do you see them contacting Health and Social Services, trying to establish some kind of two-way network?

No.

This lady asked, where does the bucks go? It goes in their pockets. Somebody has to run the administration. Somebody has to do the paperwork. Do you see any of them on the streets? Do you see any of the ties walking in the airport asking? No. Why? They're scared they're going to lose their job, they don't want to miss the paycheck, it's time for lunch, coffee break, or they're going to go shoot pool or something with their buddies.

Nobody is on the street. Nobody is doing nothing. How come and why? Because they just don't care. Why don't they care? Because we're poor, we're the wrong color of skin, we supposedly don't have the education, we're disabled, we don't look right and on and on and on. The discrimination is not only on race, it's on economics. It's on the fact that we may be not old enough. They're tearing us apart out here, folks. And what are we going to do about it? If you guys don't do nothing about it, we're going to do something about it.

We ain't going to be talking no more. Talking is -- we did this, what, in 1964, the same problems, the same issues come up and up and up. It's the continuum, you know what I'm saying? If we're going to stop this stuff, we can't be talking to our legislators no more. They've had enough time to do something and they're still stuck.

Nobody has got any brains anymore. And I'm not speaking to the illustrious panel, I beg your pardon, but nobody is doing nothing. What's going on? We're doing our part of the deal. Maybe what we ought to do is get rid of everybody in the office and start over. They say don't fix it if it ain't broken. The darn thing is broken and we're sitting here trying to figure what we could do, what kind of reports, what kind of studies. We've got the papers. We know how to read.

You folks, the Education Department made a mistake when they taught us how to read, how to write and how to decipher what's going on. We can see; it's all a sham, it's all a coverup. We do this. We do that. We mind. We don't mind. We dressed appropriately. We act a certain way. We could put on a tie. We could put on our beads. We could yes, sir, no, sir. We could be servitude or whatever you want, but the problem still exists. And unless people understand that the money that is supposed to come down to help us don't do anything, you have them come out and do one or two little meetings in our community, it doesn't do anything.

This meeting, for example, we didn't have any advertising or communications in our community, which is the highest minority community in this municipality. Nobody came out and said, "Hey, check this out, we're going to have this meeting come up here. If you have issues or problems,

2 Di

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come on down and start talking." Nobody said nothing.

Didn't want us to say nothing. They want to keep us down,

3 keep it quiet. Okay? There's no inter-working relationship

between School District, Health and Social Services, Police

5 Department or anything. The Mayor's office is shut. We try

6 to go to get some information, "Come back Tuesday."

Did anybody ever try to fill out for food stamps? They ask you 900 questions. If you don't answer, you don't qualify. If you try to get temporary assistance from SSI, the want to know everything except what size shoes you wear, and then they have a right to come into your home anytime - they want and do a home visit.

There's a problem there. I mean, you can't just walk into your home, you've got no permission, you've got no warrant, you've got no probable cause. You're just going to come in and look around, come on in, let me take your car for a ride while you're looking at my house, I'll go over here and look in your trunk. It's the same thing. They're still doing it every day.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: One minute, Mr. Patlan.

MR. JAMES PATLAN: Sure. So what, what are we going to do? We're going to put that ball on your guys' shoulder now. You keep asking us what we're going to do, we're going to take over. This is the perfect time for us to take over and we're going to take over, because you guys ain't doing

nothing. And I'm not speaking to the panel. Unless somebody gets up and says, "I had enough," take off their ties, take off their beads and say, "Okay, you guys want to play, come on down." We're ready to play because we're tired of it.

And we've got specific examples. We've got plenty of facts. You guys wrote the papers, we read them. We figured out what it means. Now, come on down to our arena, walk the streets with us. Know how it is to be hungry. Understand, it gets cold on the street, folks, and we don't got no suit and ties. We've got no fancy hotel rooms. And one thing for sure, we ain't got the money. The money did not come down to our programs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. I want to thank the panel, and please do provide us all your written materials.

MS. DELICE CALCOTE: I just want to say that the tribal, courts are not represented by the corporations, you know, we have our plans and the corporations don't come to ask us, you know, can we give you some money for that, we have to go and ask them. And the reason that we won't go and have State VPSO Officers is because their allegiance is to the State. Their allegiance is not to a tribal village.

We want -- we have cops that are federally funded. We have a one and a half million dollar cop program. And the

I would like to provide you with.

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. One of our staff will pick it up and give it to us. Thank you.

MS. GIGI PILCHER: My name is Gigi, G-i-g-i, that's my legal name. My last name is Pilcher, P-i-l-c-h-e-r. I am from Ketchikan, Alaska, which is in southern southeast Alaska. I flew in here last night, and I'll be flying back in about two hours. It's 750 air miles from here. I want to thank you for the opportunity to address you.

I was originally born and raised on the Laguna Indian Reservation in New Mexico. I moved to Alaska in 1973. I met my husband and married, and I have six children who are all enrolled members of Ketchikan Indian Corporation, which is a tribal entity. And I am an enrolled tribal member myself. I have sat here today through most of it, and I've listened to many, many things, and I agree with just about everything that's been said.

And I guess what I would like to do is just to talk about something that's a little bit different in that the Ketchikan is a unique community in comparison to some of the testimony you've heard about today from people from Anchorage or from people in the villages up north.

Ketchikan is -- I don't know if you want to say it's semi-Rural or semi-Urban, but it has a population of around, going back and forth, around 13,000 people. We are an island community, we serve as a hub for a number of other

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 island communities, including Metlakatla, which is located on the Annette Island, federal -- the only Federal Indian

Reservation in Alaska.

Three of my children have direct ties, they were adopted from Metlakatla. My oldest daughter, who is my biological daughter just married a man from Metlakatla. In Metlakatla right now, the unemployment rate is between 80 and 85 percent unemployment. Things basically in lower-southeast Alaska economically are a disaster, but especially for Alaska Natives.

One of the things that I've heard a lot of as I listened to everyone today and I wanted to talk about is the obvious imbalance of power in this state. We have the policy makers and the lawmakers, and for the most part, they're White male.

Some of the things that have happened, and what I've asked to have passed out to you were the recently released statewide benchmarks. They were desegregated as you'll -- if you'll look at them closely, you'll see they were broken down by race and ethnic group.

I think those numbers, if you look at the percentages of children from third grade, sixth grade, eighth grade, tenth grade, eleventh grade, Alaska Native, American Indian, Asian Pacific Islander, Black African American, if you look at those percentages, and I hope you do, that you'll

understand that there's a big problem here in Alaska of what I term institutional racism in schools, whether's it's in Ketchikan or Anchorage or other places, and that it really needs to be addressed in order for our children ever to hope to someday get the education that they're going to need to get the type of jobs or to be able to get into a position where they someday can be a policy maker or a lawmaker. It starts with education. It's just not happening.

The other reason I passed out two resolutions, one which was from Ketchikan Indian Corporation and one was from Tlingit and Haida Central Council, Ketchikan Chapter because it has to do with an election issue. And I got a really good dose of the Voting Rights Act, which all comes into play. Because in Ketchikan, out of the teachers, we have 158 teachers, three of them are Native, three of them are minority, out of 158 teachers. Then you look at our School Board, our City Council and our Borough Assembly, the policy makers and the lawmakers, and it gets even sadder.

We had the opportunity to elect two Alaska Natives to the School Board. They were recalled. They're -- part of the reason they were recalled, or the major reason they were recalled was because they were-trying to advocate for restructuring of the School District in Ketchikan to address the inequities that, you know, you'll see from the benchmarks. The high -- Ketchikan vied last year for the

highest dropout rate in the state. And out of that highest dropout rate, of course, Alaska Natives.

I won't go on and on, but I do think you need to know that under the voting rights act when I did attempt to, you know, and I did follow through with the Justice Department, et cetera, that in Alaska, or at least in Ketchikan, the City Government and the bureau people think that preclearance is a joke, they think the Federal Voting Rights Act is a joke and it probably, you know, apparently, there's not any real teeth there and it's really too bad because the last thing I want to address is the only thing worse than being a Native and a female is being disabled.

Two of my children are disabled. I spent \$13,000 and three years fighting the School District. I got little help from the State Department of Education, other than to be told that Districts are out of compliance, but then, gee, so what, the State is out of compliance with the Feds. In the end, I pulled all of my children out of school and I homeschool them, 180 other parents have pulled their children out this year and are educating them at home. And our District had the distinction of being put under sanctions, finally, by the Department of Education because of the numerous violations of IDEA.

And since you folks are Federal, I would hope that you would consider not only IDEA and ADA, but also what happened

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when somebody tweaked Title 9 funds, which is meant to go toward academic assistance for Alaska Native and American Indian children.

What happened in our District was our District got the money and they misused the money. They did not use it as it was supposed to be spent our used toward tutoring the academic needs of Alaska Natives and American Indian children. And I think if you look at the statewide benchmarks, you'll probably get a better idea of what I'm talking about. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We appreciate your comments. Ms. Silook?

MS. SUSIE SILOOK: My name is Susie Silook, S-u-s-i-e, S-i-l-o-o-k. I'm an artist here in Anchorage. I'm also a recovering alcoholic. And the incident I'm going to talk about happened during one my -- a relapse. And I'm now back in recovery for a year. But this incident is not unique to Anchorage. A lot of the sexual assault that occurs here happens on Native women who happen to be drinking.

September 19, 1999, I was picked up by Officer Pollock (ph) from the Chilkoot's bar in Anchorage, and they just wanted to get rid of me. They wanted me to go to Community Service Patrol, which is a place where inebriated people go. They said I was assaultive, but they didn't want to deal with me. And that's why -- I woke up in Sixth Avenue

Correctional Facility and I had blood in the crotch area of my pants going down my leg and I didn't know what happened to me. I had just vague memories in and out of that night. And apparently the police officer noticed the blood when he picked me up from the bar, but he did not seek any medical attention for me, even though I think this Assault 101, red flag indicator of sexual abuse in these streets.

There was no medical attention provided for me either there or at CSP where he tried to take me, and they wouldn't take me, or at the correctional facility where I was listed as medically admissible.

I went to SART the next day when the pain and the blood were apparently not from normal menstrual cycles, and I have the reports here, and the reveal a deep laceration, bruises and cuts all the way to my cervix and that this was not caused by a natural object, so this was by a foreign object.

At the time of the SART exam, Mitch Grey, the investigator was very polite, he said that there was no way that this was consensual because I wasn't in any position to give my consent. And they took my statements and the took all the -- you know, we sat there and did the horrible exam where they -- you know, when I left the jail, I threw away clothes because I didn't know what happened, so I threw away important DNA evidence because I was not identified as a victim immediately.

Even if I had been too unruly that night to be given medical attention, they should have told me the next day when I got up, "we think something might have happened to you, we think you should go to the hospital immediately and we would like to collect specimens." That didn't happen.

Two days later, I get a call from Mitch Gray, and his tone is totally different, he's cold-hearted. He said, "Now, how do I know this wasn't consensual?" This two days after he told me that this was -- that no way this could be consensual. That was the first thing out of his mind, "How do I know this wasn't consensual?" And I was shocked because he had been treating me so sensitively up to this point. He said he talked to the Police Officer Pollock (ph) who picked me up and that Pollock said there was already blood on me by the time he got to me at Chilkoot's and they had me handcuffed there waiting for him.

So he said because I had no memory of the incident; "
there was nothing more he could do. And I said, "But I
thought it was your job to investigate. You know, murder
victims are not around to help you follow the blood trail."
And my injury was severe enough to have bled immediately and
substantially, and the blood trail would have revealed where
it began. So I asked him, "Are you going to talk to the
doorman?" You know, highly unlikely that I would have been
admitted into Chilkoot's with blood on my pants that way.

"No." "Are you going to look at the videos, the video cameras at Chilkoot's?" "No. They have videos?" This is what he asked me.

So I got off the phone with him, I was very upset and I called the Alaska Native Justice Center and I called STAR whose representative had followed me to the exam and while I was on the table waiting to be examined, had told me, "And they probably will never find the person who did this," you know, and prophetic words, you know. She should have warned me a little harder. I wouldn't have gone through with the entire exam and the re-traumatization afterwards.

So they get with his supervisor, I'm guessing, and he calls me back and says, "Yes, you have indeed been violated, and I'm going to look into this further."

Well, he doesn't. He doesn't look -- he doesn't talk to the bar personnel for two months, and by this time, they have gotten their story together and said that it was bodily waste, whereas the officer maintains that it was blood and there was no bodily waste on me. They even heard me go right there in front of them, these witnesses at the bar.

And I'm not on the videotapes at all. These discrepancies weren't a concern to Lieutenant Grey who suspended the case the same day that he took these statements finally two months later from the bar personnel.

I went to the FBI prior to this and complained about

him and they did do a report, and I filled out the Freedom of Information Act and I'm still waiting on that report. So I have no idea what that says. But there's no advocacy here for rape victims. I went to every single agency I could think of and nobody saw the problems that I was having, the lack of medical attention, the lack of taking my case seriously, the lack of even a token effort at an investigation. Everything hinged upon my memory which doesn't make sense to me.

I am not an investigator, but I would have at least asked the doorman, "Excuse me, how did this woman appear when you admitted her into your establishment?"

The other concern that I have is that all of the publicity given these cases is focused on the victims, "you asked for it, you were drunk, you deserved this." Even the way that they warn us is "Just because you've been drinking doesn't mean you deserve to be sexually assaulted."

There is no emphasis placed on he perpetrators out there. They are invisible and I believe that the rates of unsolved murders and rapes on Native women in this state are directly because of the kind of investigation that I received from the Anchorage Police Department on this case.

I did talk to the chief about this, he said it was reassigned. I called the man that -- Harrick (ph) that it was reassigned to, and he said it wasn't assigned to anyone,

that they were waiting for the lab results. It went two years later, you know, I threw away the DNA evidence, you know there's nothing there. The first time I called Spatafore (ph), he left this message, and I want to play it real quick, because I think it's key to how they were treating me the whole time. He left this message on my tape player in my home and no one there knew what had happened.

(Plays tape)

My 14 year old daughter was the on that picked up the machine and heard this, and I really didn't appreciate that at all. And I think there is a lot of training within the police department that needs to occur in regard to rape victims, and not just sensitivity training, I'm talking professional training, professional investigative training, you know, basic start 101.

I think what this town needs is a civilian review panel with subpoena powers. If that had been in place for me, I would have immediately been able to prove that this officer had no intention of investigation my case period. We don't have that here right now. We have task forces that have no bite, no teeth. What good are they? They're a waste of time. I wouldn't even bother with them.

1994, I have a report here, Violence Against Native Women, they say the same thing, the police department treated them this way. There is no victim advocacy. None

1 of these recommendations were followed through. So I'm here 2 today just to give you my story. I have a written statement, but I have the police files, you can read the --3 the bouncer made it a point to say that he got me in front 4 of the cameras just to be sure, so, yes, we got her in front 5 of the cameras. Why am I not anywhere on their videos? Why 6 was the bar not investigated? There was a chummy 7 relationship between the police and the bouncers, and who 8 9 are these people? Do they do security background checks on 10 them?

People who have the ability to handcuff anyone out there really should have to pass some kind of background exam, and that goes towards the -- I don't know what it is, the Alcohol Enforcement people here in the city who over-served me to this point. I didn't go to a -- I was not a liquor store that night. I was out. There were a lot of problems with it.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, I'm going to provide you with a copy of the FBI report, the report I gave to the FBI, and also the SART exam which shows all the injury. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Ms. Silook. I appreciate it.

Could you state your name and spell it, please?

MR. RON ALLEVA: My name is Ron Alleva. It's spelled

A-l-l-e-v-a. And I'm here as a private citizen to give a

specific example of why maybe the Committee should walk the streets and take a tour to see of some of the other examples of the social injustice as well as discrimination here in the Anchorage community.

Specifically, I would like them to recognized an injustice an a possible discrimination by our community by warehousing our indigent population. Currently, we have a temporary shelter that we've had temporary for 18 years surround by heavy industrial property. The present shelter sits across a parking lot from the soup kitchen and in the shadow of our new 600 bed jail. Needless to say, it!s in the Social Services ghetto. The problem with this area addressing the issues of the homeless and the indigent population are many. Obviously, the homeless, the alcohol issue, the drug issue, the mental health issue is not going to be addressed properly in an industrial area.

The challenge and outcomes with new HUD monies, approximately two million dollars to build a new homeless facility, and again, the plan with all due diligence for proper site selection will go right back in to a heavy industrial area.

They have not addressed the problems properly and thus expanded the walled community made up of disproportionately number of minorities including Native and non-Native alike.

There's definitely an imbalance of power and the social

economic classes are put to the outskirts of our community like lepers to the outside of their community. And rather than offer a diverse ideas of approaches, warehousing again is the answer in an environment that's non-conducive to solving the problems of the homeless.

As far as the location, it was stated by powers to be that it was less disruptive to our community and less disruptive to the shelter guests. Where is the wall? The all is invisible? No, it's real. When you start placing housing of people who need the most help in an industrial area drawing those types of social economic lines. What I would seek is an investigation of the due diligence of site selection for this new facility as such in Regulation C that designated for HUD financing that there can no be discrimination by race, creed or color.

But when a disproportionate number of minorities end up in a warehouse, what is wrong with this picture? And because of this, it produces instead of productive members of our society, acclimating them back into the community as normal citizens overcoming their issues, you might as well put one of these on their back and the stimulus for you coming here for this meeting is target that basically by not addressing their issues in an environment that is conducive to solving it, you only create more targets for the violence and the discrimination and the racism that

1 occurs.

This example that I give you of the homeless shelter with its high percentage of minorities is -- shouldn't be built in this industrial area. And the racial and economic profiling is not only a disappointment, disgusting, but I'm ashamed to be not only a citizen of Anchorage but also a contributor to the Social Services Agency that allows for this site selection. I believe they should be held to the highest standards, if not the same standard of any other government agency that would pick a site selection using Federal monies to warehouse our indigent population.

So with that, I hope that this Committee would take a small note, I'll prepare a formal statement and pass it on, but the last thing on the list we want to dehumanize people, we want to treat them as human beings and not as targets by putting them into a situation that would treat them more like human life than human beings. I thank you for the opportunity to make this presentation, and I hope that some action will come from it.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Alleva.
Sir, could you state your name and spell it?

MR. WILLIAM TOLIVER: Yeah, my name is William Major Toliver the II. William Major Toliver the II. That last name is spelled T-o-l-i-v-e-r. I'm from Juneau, Alaska. I was born in 1944. My father came to Alaska as a merchant

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 seaman back in the late '20s, and what he did is he provided supplies for people throughout the various villages up north.

My background is that I grew up in the various villages in Juneau, a place on Franklin Avenue back in the '40s. I'm a documented Negro-Colored-Black African-American and a documented Creek Indian, part Creek, I'm a quarter Creek.

My concerns are that when I was a kid, I heard the Reverend speak about discrimination. I remember as a kid going to an Indian school on Willabee (ph) back in the '50s and the White kids used to come up to us and brush against us and blow their -- like we were dirt or something like that. And all the time they were telling Tlingits not to speak the language, I was a witness, they reminded me.

It wasn't until I was about eight years old that I realized that I was Negro. But when I was a kid, there was a woman that some people are familiar with, her name was Sarabia (ph). We used to call her Grandma Sarabia (ph). I used to ask her what tribe was I from and she told me I was from the colored tribe; I was satisfied with that. But I was accepted by the Tlingits, Haidas, and Tsimshian people, and I was rejected by the White community. So to this day, I identify and have my sentiments with Native people.

I have some of the characteristics, my friends say that I have of the Tlingit people and Haidas that are strong and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm also from a diversified family. independent. child, I have a son who's 29 years old who works for the FBI here in Anchorage, which I raised by myself.

I have children that are Yupik, Tlingit, Haida, and Aleut. And I'm with them today, they recognize and respect I'm from a diversified family. I have half-brothers that are both Tlingit, Haida, Aleut, and Yupik.

My sentiments again go out, questions I would like to ask, questions that should be answered. Some of the questions that I ask is; the panel is here, historically, if we want to know that questions that everybody is asking, why, why, why, all we have to do is go down to the library, because this is going to cycle over and over and throughout history.

The thing is -- the concerns I have are like, for instance, the Native women here, that the police officers are here, I have documented facts, documented facts, proof, statements, that there's times that Native women are in positions where they have to do sexual favors for police officers to keep from going to jail.

I also have proof to show you, I'll give you a demonstration of what has happened. A personal friend of mine, her name is Susan Osborne just recently had a standoff with the police officers. I've known Susan for the past 20 years. She's a very close friend of mine, and I've been

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 advocating for her.

I saw one of the most despicable and saddening, disgusting photos of a Native woman that had been shot. If you look at the photo, you see where her shoulder is blown off. And she was -- had a bullet in her stomach. Police officers, they brought her down the stairs, and she had to wait for a ambulance to come to take her to the hospital. Two days later I went to the hospital with her, to see her, and she work up not realizing what she had done.

My experience with Susan, I've always been a friend of hers, she's a friend of my family. She's a very intelligent, articulate woman. She's had emotional problems, mental problems which she's been institutionalized in the mental institution here, and she's gone to court several times, she's been somewhat of a nuisance.

Her only crime was that he would either take cart of the Carrs lot or something like that. She's been trying for over the past five years to try to get some recognition and help from the system, powers that they may be. She's recorded as being mentally unstable. But they have totally neglected her and ignored her, one time she exploded because during the time she didn't have a place to stay and she was very sad.

One time she came to my house and my girlfriend -- she whispered to my girlfriend and they rushed into the

bathroom. And I asked my girlfriend when she came out, I said, "What the hell is going on?" She says, Susie's got a ticket for shoplifting. Susie was in my girlfriend's robe at the time, I said, "Susie, what in the hell did you do? What are you stealing from Carrs again? You know you're going to get in trouble." She looked embarrassed. She looked sad, and she told me that she stole some Tampax.

Susan Osborne right now has gone, she's incarcerated. After her operation, she had her hand in her stomach for four days in order for her hand to survive, and after they took a graft from her stomach and put on her shoulder and sewed her stomach up, they immediately took this Native woman to Sixth Avenue Jail. She stayed there for two days. She called me and told me that she's cold, she needed some help.

Later on, they transported her to Hiland Park -- Hiland Mountain Prison. She stayed in Hiland Mountain Prison for awhile, they told her they couldn't facilitate her. Her arm was starting to get stiff again. She had infection. She called me and asked me what to do.

So not knowing what I did, I did some searching and I called Alaska Native Legal Services and said -- Joe Garu (ph) that was there, I spoke with him. He's an intelligent man, a man that articulates and can identify, his only familiarity with Susan was the fact that he had read the

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 story about the paper -- in the paper about her. Now Susan Osborne is now having therapy. There's another woman up there in jail, a Native woman up in jail that has a broken arm. She's been up there since -- four months, and her arm hasn't been treated. These are things that I wonder.

And another thing is if there's any concern about people here in the State of Alaska and especially about the Native women, women that are women that we all have mothers and we all have sisters, the thing is, why doesn't anybody make mention of this on the John Wall's show, America's Most Wanted, say something about the Native women that are being exploited and used and beaten, brutalized.

Another example of what racism is up here for me is institutional racism....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: We're going to -- I want you to sum up, please.

MR. WILLIAM TOLIVER: Okay. Seven years ago; I went to a motel, I was denied to stay in the motel. I went to the Human Rights Commission. Human Rights Commission did a six month investigation and found that these people were liable and they were discriminating. I went to court, the judge told me to not to bring up the fact of the investigation in the court of the Human Rights finding.

I went court, after I went to court, the judge dismissed my case and told me I didn't have any evidence.

This is the institution -- so this is actually a repeat of what's going on. I don't know if the purpose of this panel is -- I'm not -- I think I could probably get more help and they -- please, serious, I'm tired, I can get more help from Kadafi (ph) or Castro than I can get from here. Don't underestimate me. That's the way I feel because it's genocide. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. You can provide us all the written material you have available, we'll make copies for the rest of the Committee and we'll go from there. Okay?

MR. WILLIAM TOLIVER: Sir, one more thing. I've written "48 Hours" and several other top TV shows and record producers, rap artists, to rap about these injustices here in this country.

I've got about 85 different addresses; Steven
Spielberg, Jewish organizations, all over, because I can't
get any help here. I'm going to do something.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAM TOLIVER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAM TOLIVER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Mr. Malik?

MR. NAYYAR MALIK: My name is Nayyar Malik. It's spelled like N-a-y-y-a-r, Nayyar, Malik, M-a-l-i-k, Malik.

I -- recently I had a problem about my own situation in regard to my pickup and my -- some of my trailer which was stolen. And when I made complaint to the State Troopers, what I found is in a sense they would not press charges against a White person, but if it were me, they would have done it.

And as a result, I wrote this letter to the Tony
Knowles, and copies of I would like you guys to have, but no
action was taken. And if you read this, you will find that
the situation, if it had happened to somebody else, the
results would have been different.

And that's what prompts me to say that there is something seriously wrong in this society in the sense that if something happens to a minority or to a Black or a Native person, nothing happens, nothing is done. But if it happens to somebody else, then everybody takes action. So if you allow me, can I give this to you?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'll have a staff member....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes, one of the staff
members will pick it up from the end of the table there and
bring it to us.

MR. NAYYAR MALIK: Basically, that's all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you very much. I

want to express my thanks to the panel and I appreciate you.

MS. SUSIE SILOOK: Can I add one more thing, please?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MS. SUSIE SILOOK: Recently there was a lot of

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:

publicity on a serial rapist of a Native woman, he raped The second victim took him -- took the police five women. department to his home and they stated there was nothing there for them to believe that this was this man. was victim three, four or five, I would want to know what nothing constitutes. Because by the time he got to number five, he was becoming increasing brutal, and he inflicted permanent physical damage on her.

Recently there was -- just last week, there was a rape of a non-Native woman in a park by quote, unquote, a Native man, and they wanted to alert the public that there was a man out there raping women. We were never informed that there was a serial rapist of Native women out there until they arrested him. And I want that looked at also.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MS. SUSIE SILOOK: I forgot to bring that up.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MS. SUSIE SILOOK: Who do I give these to? I don't have copies of them, they're just one copy.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes. Someone in the back over there will take it and if you need copies right now, they'll do it. Is that you, John?

I would like to call Ms. Sharon Shields, John Tetpon,

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Nelson Angapak, Sr. and Pearson Covington. Well, we've been starting at the right, so Mr. Angapak....

MR. NELSON ANGAPAK: Good afternoon. My name is Nelson Angapak, I'm a Yupik person originally from a village of Tuntutuliak.

I was in the kindergarten at age 13. My first experience of racial discrimination also happened at that time. The teacher knew I couldn't speak English, and so I suppose it was a form of encouragement on his part, he grabbed my hand, hit my hand with the straight edge of a ruler, and that afternoon, I decided that at age 16 I would quit school. I went home and told my grandfather that when I'm 16, I was quitting school. You know, he said -- he never persuaded -- he never tried to persuade me from quitting school, all he told me was this, "I was hoping you would learn enough of this language, stay long enough in school so that some day you will tell these people that we' are people too." We are people.

The purpose of my statement is to state that we have same statutes up here, but different applications. Two cases in point, about three weeks ago, a Native looking man allegedly raped a White woman. The city police will not rest until such time someone, some Native looking man is arrested, that's one case.

The second case, the case of five Native women who were

murdered, we have a serial killer or killers running around out there and to date we have not seen any investigation done of these five Native women who were murdered. Same statutes, thou shall not kill, different applications.

Perhaps through your involvement, Mr. Chairman, Ms.

Meeks, some panel or a commission could be organized to look specifically into the resolution of these five Native women who were murdered. You know something, I don't think this is unique to Alaska. I think in the Rosebud Reservation about a year ago three Indian men were found murdered. Has the perpetrators of those men been arrested? I think Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, I think it's time that people realize we are people, we have the same right to live as any other people. And I should hope that with your involvement there will be a movement toward arrest or arrest of this person or killers that are killing our Native people.

Your presence here gives me hope. Let it not be an empty hope. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

Next speaker? Please state your name and spell it.

MS. SHARON SHIELDS: My name is Sharon Shields,
S-h-i-e-l-d-s. For everybody's information, yesterday I
gave the Advisory Committee -- because I want to read from
my letter -- gave the Advisory Committee a copy of my book

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 that I've written, it was released in June 20th. And so I'm going to talk about that.

Thank you for receiving me. I'm honored to be here and one of the many voices that have devoted their lives to positive change in government, be it our land, or way of life, or right to work or our human condition. We understood our government to mean for the people, by the people, but instead, it has severed itself from the people and is no longer aligned with liberty and justice for all.

There are many equally important issues here today, but I believe we're floundering in the processes and failing in resolutions because we don't understand how the rules of law are being applied and enforced, most importantly, which laws. I firmly believe that it's both morally offensive and fundamentally anti-democratic for a government, government agency, municipal agency, insurance company, judge or attorney not to tell its citizens by which it's enforcing the law.

I'm here today to address the fraud, extortion and conspiracy among the government agencies, unions, employers and Alaska state politicians against the employees, people in Alaska.

For decades, men and women have believed that they've had the right to live in peace, raise our families without deception, extortion, and fraud from our government and

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001 share equally and work in both union and non-union State and Federal jobs without threat, harassment or intimidation from supervisors, union bosses or government officials who oversee these jobs.

I want to interject here and say, boy, it seems like the White men in positions of power are really out of control when we get down to the rape issues here.

Alaska Exposed is the most incriminating piece of evidence ever written in Alaska about union corruption, tying many government administrators and State and Federal representatives and senators into conspiracy. Participating in breaking the laws we understood to be in place to protect us. The operating practices of government agencies and unions in the construction industry and other male-dominated organizations in Alaska are equivalent to seventeenth century mentality -- I think I take that back, probably fourteenth.

The lids are tightly kept on the facts in my book by these agencies and officials, but the 1,200 pieces of Batestamped indexed documentation cannot be denied. The incidents were swept under many government agencies' rugs from Alaska, Juneau, Seattle, all the way to Washington, D.C.

Alaska Exposed focuses on union issues, but a trail is led to many other government agencies and government

Final determinations are not based on laws people understand. Truth and fact are irrelevant to how determinations are made. Compromising the stability of Alaskan society by violating our trust and breaking human and civil rights laws, laws that have been cast in stone for centuries but cannot be enforced in Alaska.

There are only a handful of atrocities that women endured during the 1999/2000 construction season. The crimes against us are staggering throughout Alaska. And this gentleman here affirms that.

One example, during the season where a flagger was condemned and humiliated in front of her co-workers by a male supervisor, White male supervisor, I'll interject, because she has unexpectedly started her menstrual cycle while standing in 14 below weather with a 30 below windchill factor. To relive her and get her into dry clothing and back on the job took less than 15 minutes.

The male supervisor who had already raped one of the flaggers, and the court case is cited in my book, and had a bad reputation for his notorious other acts against women took the opportunity to call a meeting that evening of the personnel, pointing his finger at the flagger, telling

Women work less than half the hours of men on same jobs. Women were being relieved off jobs and sent home by men who had already worked over eight hours on other jobs because Summit's didn't want women working over eight hours in one day. Summit's is Summit Paving and Construction and Summit Alaska, Inc.

There were no bathroom facilities on the downtown job, for women who were told there would be no bathroom brakes for them this season. Then most damning in the end, women who had traveled all season alongside of men were not allowed to travel anymore to work the rest of the season because of a new rule made exclusively for them.

Alaska Exposed is full of underhanded deals, agencies conspiring with unions and government officials to sweep the 1999/2000 construction season under many rugs just to deny women their rights.

What quickly comes to light when you read my book is that every one of the people within the agencies and government officials knew what was going on. The NLRB, the DOT, Anchorage Equal Rights Commission, the Human Rights Commission and the public officials. In May of 1999....

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHARON SHIELDS: Okay. All right. In May of 1999, Summit was due for a Federal Highway compliance review and because my civil rights complaint. They combined the review, and amazingly the end, neither the DOT or the Civil Rights Commission found anything wrong with Summit's highway operating practices.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: One minute.

Back in 1997, I brought to this Commission while in Anchorage the same issues; violation in employment laws and constitutional rights. Back then I was naive and thought there must have been some small glitch I didn't know to make the system work and asked the Commission to help me understand what is happening.

Since that time, I have devoted my life to searching for justice; trying to figure out what happened to me back then that I didn't prevail. The laws I understood to be enforceable are not. Even armed with truth and facts; not one of the agencies or officials would help me.

There are hundreds and thousands of fraudulent determinations against people coming out of those agencies and the courts yearly, yet there is not -- there is no one to stop it, everyone appears to be in on it. understand the laws and how they do not work and the dirty vicious tricks being played on society by our leadership. It's called betrayal.

I stand before this commission today requesting a remedy that could sincerely help people in Alaska and in this country because betrayal has not boundaries. It is long past the time that people in these agencies and on those boards and commissions quit giving lip service to an only -- to only remedy for justice and stand accountable. We each have a duty to turn the government abuses around. Be part of the solution. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Before we go on, is there a Charles Edison McKee? Yes, would you come up here, please? I forgot to call you earlier. I just missed your name.

Sir, would you please state your name and spell it?

MR. PEARSON COVINGTON: My name is Pearson Covington,

Senior, C-o-v-i-n-g-t-o-n. I was employed at House of

Representatives for 11 years. I was (indiscernible), the

16th District (indiscernible).

I was an Alaska resident 46 years, and my come to this commission, I'm going to speak on racial profiling. And there will always be racial profiling because the greatest secret in the world, I have it in my hand, God only made one man. When you see me, you met the first man. This is in Genesis, and the church is keeping people separated. That's why there's racial profiling.

Now, in my service of (indiscernible) I had been in 37

of the 50 states. I've been in -- I'm comfortable in this surroundings, and but they never tell the truth. They say the truth will set you free. There's no such thing as a White man. He's a Caucasian. He came from the Caucasian Mountains. And I know he knows it, and this is why we're separated.

We're all brothers and sisters, God made one man. Huh? We all brothers, but you'll never get it across the pulpit. Now to get rid of racial profile, you've got close some of these churches. That's what you've got to do. That's where your problem is. This is a sword here, and you carry this, you're saved, wherever you carry it, but you've got to believe. And I've been in Alaska 46 years and people talk about what are going to do in Alaska. Do you know who built Alaska? Workers, hustlers, whores and pimps. That built this country.

But now the people are getting so now they getting away from that. I walk down the street now, I've been here 46 years, and people look at you, especially the Caucasian, most especially the Caucasian woman, I don't know what's up with her, what -- she don't want to get on the elevator with you. I'm not thinking about her. I just want to go where I'm going. Huh? What's the difference? Huh? If God only made one man, but they don't tell you that across the pulpit.

Invite me to your church and you're supposed to hug each other in a brotherly fashion. If I hug a Caucasian woman, hell, all the members will go out of church, all of them Christians, so that's where your trouble is.

1.1

Get that word out of your mouth, White. There's no such thing as a White, he's a Caucasian. And a Black man is the first man made. Now if you think it's in here, I didn't put it there, and it's been discussed over and over again.

And what is so shocking when I found out what's on the back of a dollar bill is pitiful. The conspiracy is woven so tight, and it's right on the back of a dollar bill. If you put something before a thief, there, he's going to take it, you put it, they'll go over there and get it. So I'm comfortably surrounded.

And you talk with the Natives, they don't give them anything. They exploit them. There is -- how many Natives attorneys? They don't let them go to school. That's like Blacks when I came up in Washington, D.C. The only thing that Rex Butler and I have in common is we went to the same school, Harvard University. He graduated. I had to come out -- I stayed two years -- I had to come out to eat.

So this -- when you're talking about racial profiling, you've got to say a lot -- nobody -- see, the man you call White, he don't know why he came a Caucasian now, but it's in here. It's in there. There's no such thing.

We're all brothers and sisters. God made one man and one woman. Why do you think God told Joseph to take Mary to Egypt? Because they blend in, everybody looked the same. So there's racial profile and you panelists come up here and you're sightseeing and you listen -- some of you take what the people said with a grain of salt, but you don't take the facts. You go back to your various committees.

The first chairman of the committee I remember is
Robert Dell Dalton (ph) and the Wage and Means Committee in
Washington, D.C., that was in the '40s, and I'm comfortable
with this surroundings. Huh? And you get back in the back
room and you discuss and you push it aside.

But you won't give it at church, that's where your trouble is. That's why we are separated, that pulpit.

Close them churches. And I'm a Christian. But close them churches. That's what's go everybody upset. I'm White, I'm Black, I'm a Filipino, what difference? I'm a Mexican, I'm a Jap. What difference does it make? You come from one man CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: One minute.

MR. PEARSON COVINGTON: Then you look at -- you go to the movies, years ago with Amos and Nan (ph) and everybody laughed at it. Now they got All in the Family, and everybody laugh. It's the same thing. It just ain't got Black faces on. That's all I want to say.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, sir.

Accurate Transcript Reporting Anchorage, Alaska 907-245-4467 atr@gci.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHARLES MCKEE: For the record, my name is Charles Edison McKee, and my last name is M-c-K-e-e add UCC1-207, with or without prejudice. I'm here today because of the fact that what this gentleman said next to me, I can verify, but you need to step a little bit beyond that and go after the Masonic Lodges.

Please state and spell your name, sir.

MR. PEARSON COVINGTON: The devils, you mean. Now you know what's on the back of a dollar bill.

MR. CHARLES McKEE: Okay. Now, the recruitment Yes. needs to be stopped, but then I wrote down that I wanted to talk about the identify theft and land theft of the Alaska Natives. And this is all documented. And, in fact, they've stole my identity as well.

Now, I've been here for 33 years, my dad fought on the Aleutian Chain and that too. And he was forced out of the state because he married a Native woman, because as this gentleman said next to me, who built this state was bootleggers, pimps, and prostitutes. That's who built the state economically.

My dad had been a cab driver after he got medical discharged and served out his term as an Alaskan scout, so he saw that, which is why he married a Native woman, because they wanted to include them in this process. And then they found out and he fathered two children by her, bought some

land in that Palmer Agricultural Project and forced him to seel it back to them for a dollar after he spent top dollar on it and drilled a well, a house an a cabin had already been built on it, but the previous occupants were pushed out. Now these were Caucasian people that were allowed to come in, 2,000 come up by the grace of the government, 1,000 of them left within the year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as far as the identity theft, they've taken our birth certificate and put it in their own treasury account. Now you can look at the treasury site on the web and you can download the applications from there to open up your own What the states have been doing, including Alaska, account. is they've been taking our certified copy of our birth certificate and placing it in their account without our knowledge, without our approval and have been drawing interest off of it. And that money goes into a Bank of New York, in their account, the interest off of it paid every 10 years, because they go into bonds, they're allowed a million dollars, or maybe four million dollars, I think it's four million dollars now per birth certificate and then that goes towards bonds and every 10 years, the bonds pay off and the interest flows into the Bank of New York and who dips into The Bar Association and its related people.

All the states are doing this, and I have the documentation right here. I, myself, have the right to the

Regional (indiscernible) of North America dating back to the 1760's. I happen to be the one that completed the mathematical equation of the stargoing supernova, including E=squared; never been mentioned. It's on my site, newpi.com, including the treasury seal. Never been nominated for Nobel Peace Prize. I did this in 1992; filed for copyright on it. I'm a ninth grade dropout. I've been a resident for 33 years.

During my tenure here, I met a man who passed away last year, he indicated to me that he came within a paper signature away from getting a Bar Certificate for Alaska. But he said that he couldn't stoop that low because he socialized with these people.

But you know what his main income was? He was the Alaska Don (ph) for Southcentral Alaska. He was making money off the drugs, the alcohol, the gambling and the prostitution racket.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: One minute. Sum up, one minute.

MR. CHARLES McKEE: See, so he couldn't stoop as low as what they were doing, and what they were doing was stealing the Natives identity as well as Caucasian; their own brothers and sisters.

There's no discrimination here in that level; they were doing it to everybody. But they were going for the Alaska

Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Thursday, August 23, 2001

Native, and any Caucasian that would socialize legally with
the Alaska Native and steal their rights away. First their
identity, then their land.

Consequently my own -- my dad's land, how it impacted me. And they're doing it to me. Which is why they think that since they have my birth certificate, they created a straw man, and every municipal ordinance and state statute is directed at the straw man. This piece of paper that they say belongs to them; they've taken my Power of Attorney without my consent.

Three different felonies are occurring here, to everybody in this room. The first one is identity theft. The second one is grand larceny. The third one is regal. And to sum it all up, the State of Alaska isn't a state, it's a territory. The legal documentation and fact of this is, is we don't have a secretary of state. He wasn't even in office; there was nobody to take the -- receive the state seal when it was recognized as a state seal.

Secondly you need a secretary of state to issue out bar licenses. These people are attorneys in this state. They're running under a certificate from the bar, not a license from the state. Go to Washington state, they got a secretary of state, and that's where the license is actually issued.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Going to have to stop

you at this point.

O

MR. CHARLES McKEE: Yeah, thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. JOHN TETPON: My name is John Tetpon. And I'm here to testify as a private citizen. It's a hard act to follow there, but I'll do the best I can.

I'm one of nine children. I was born in Shaktoolik near Nome, grew up much the same way that Nelson did. I didn't speak English for at least five or six years of my life. And come from the generation that was punished, brutalized because I spoke my own language. And so I learned racism at a very young age. I grew up part of my growing up years in Nome, which by that time I was able to read and saw signs in the windows of hotels and restaurants that said "No Eskimos and Dogs Allowed."

So that was kind of a rude awakening for me. I was born in a village of 130 people, and by the way, there was about that many still. I can't figure that one out. But racism came early to my life, and I have lived with it here in Anchorage since 1957 when we moved here.

Racism is nothing new to the Native community here. My dad was an employee of the ANS Hospital when it was on Third and Gambell, and used to come home and tell stories about Native women being found in dumpsters downtown.

You know, that was -- at that young age, that was hard

. 8

to believe, but you know, those stories go way back. These incidents of sexual assaults and homicides against Native people are nothing new; they're not new here. They've been going -- that's been going on for at least 40 years that I know of.

So we have a long legacy of racism here in Alaska. And in this state, we have people who have been victims of homicide, unsolved murders. It was a former Chief of Police Duane Udland who told me that at least 600 rapes of Native women are still unsolved and the perpetrators go unpunished to this day.

These are stories that have dehumanized, I think, the Native community, the Native people individually, us, demonized us to the point where it is okay to kill Native people, it is okay to rape Native women, it is okay to beat up on homeless people. It is okay to treat human beings with complete and absolute impunity, with no thought of any kind of responsibility attached to it.

So it comes as no surprise, I don't think, for me to talk about the kind of thing that evolved from this sort of thing; and that is my own battle with the State of Alaska and the justice system here over my two grandchildren. My blood grandchildren who were taken away from me by a White woman who told me to my face, "I'm a White woman, you're a Native man, who do you think they're going to believe?"

That happened in 1998. Here it is 2001. I haven't seen my grandchildren for almost three years. My mother died and she was not allowed to see them. That's the dehumanization process, that's the demonization process that happens here, especially in Anchorage. That process takes place at the DFYS. That is probably the most evil state agency that I know of.

As you can probably tell, the Commissioner of Health and Human Services is not here. I don't know if she's on the agenda. But you need to take a look at the Division of the Family and Youth Services and its operation. We have 500 Native children in foster care here in Anchorage alone. Maybe less than a dozen, maybe six, are in Native homes. The rest are in non-Native homes. The reason for that is money.

There are non-Native people on the waiting list at DFYS just for Native kids because it pays more to have Native children. It's easy at this day and age to demonize, dehumanize, criminalize Native people very easy. I wouldn't have been able to say that two or three years ago, but I've experienced it myself.

I would never think in my whole life that I would ever have an experience like I'm having now. I spent \$20,000 on this case; to no avail yet. I'm still in court. Justice has been denied time after time. To the point where I

wonder why I'm doing what I'm doing, why am I fighting so hard? And that I think is one part of Native Alaska that seems not to be one that people want to talk about. But let's face it, our Native children are ours; they need to come home.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, John. I want to thank the panel and....

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Can I ask a question, please?
CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes, please.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Mr. Tetpon, we haven't heard a lot about social program and their effect on the Native families. And I was just wondering, an issue of course near and dear to my heart would be the implementation of the Indian Child Welfare Act, which I was heavily involved in for a number of years. I'm no longer involved.

But I was wondering, in your estimate, do you think that the Indian Child Welfare Act is enforced in Alaska by the Alaska Court System? And just generally, what do you think about the Alaska Court System's response to the Indian Child Welfare Act?

MR. JOHN TETPON: The Indian Child Welfare Act is sort of thought of as an afterthought. It doesn't exist in the state court system. At least it's been my experience. I don't know how many -- I know there are hundreds and hundreds of Native families here in Anchorage who have had

the same complaint that I have, and have brought it to the attention, at least to a former representative Alan Kemplen (ph), who told me that that was one of his major complaints when he was a representative here in Anchorage and Fairview. But my point is that the Indian Child Welfare Act does not exist as far as the state is concerned.

The other comment I have is I am now afraid of the criminal justice system because of the experience I've had of being falsely charged, falsely accused, and having had my grandchildren taken away from me because of that. And I'm still fighting.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you very much for your participation in today's session. There is one more person that has wanted to come up here and talk to us. And that is Evelyn Hash. Is she here?

Please state your name and spell it please.

MS. EVELYN HASH: My name is Evelyn Hash, H-a-s-h. I was born in Glenallen, Alaska, and raised in Anchorage. My first experience with discrimination was when I was about five years ago in the Mountain View Baptist Church. I hate to name names, but I was called a dirty little heathen in that church, and my brothers and sisters too.

And we were deathly afraid of God and the consequences of sin, and they accused us of stealing the church offering,

1 which we would never do.

The other thing is that my mom, Mabel Hash, is deceased, got beat up by the Anchorage Police Department in her own yard while she was weeding her garden, and appealed it. And appealed it to the Supreme Court, and had to have \$25,000. There was no such legal fund or anything like that that would help her. And the two cops that beat her up essentially got away with it. But they're going to meet their maker.

The second experience I had was when I had just learned to read and I was seven years old, and it was like John Tetpon's story. In fact, my story is almost like his. I was learning to read. I went downtown with my aunt to a restaurant. And it had a bar on one side with a little rail. And we started to go on, and there was a sign on the door, and I was reading it because I was just learning to read, and it said, "No Dogs or Indians Allowed". And that hurt my heart ever since then.

Okay. I want to talk about the statistics, for male Native incarcerations are not correct. Because the statistics are compiled during each agency's fiscal year, which may happen between June and May, while the state corrections fiscal year is October to September. And they're not reconciled to show the real facts.

Yet we in the Native community know the people who make

up the statistics. And more people of color are reincarcerated. Concurrently it's 50 to 70 percent of Native
males are incarcerated or Native women who have been left
undefended are re-victimized by killers, rapists, and our
children are victims of pedophiles.

The Alaska Court System makes deals to reduce sentences of the offenders in most cases, so most cases go unsolved or unresolved.

In the Copper River area, because our population is so small, many -- not many votes. Our schools are not funded to provide an equal education. Out of 800 to 900 graduates, since the Glenallen High School started, only five to six are Natives. And only three to four of them are Copper River Indians. Our tribal and state charted regional corporations lands are the largest per capita in size, yet we are serviced by the Court System one public defender for eight villages, 297 miles apart. One to two state troopers to cover that 297 miles.

I'd like to parrot Rex Butler on the race profiling because if a crime happens within 70 to 80 miles of our biggest village, Copper Center, the Alaska State Troopers, and we hear the report, it comes on the radio, then the trooper comes straight to Copper Center to look for the criminal.

And I want to talk about I have five grandchildren that

I haven't seen since 1993, and when John Tetpon was talking about my one granddaughter, my second oldest granddaughter, her name is Evelyn Ann. She was named after me and after her other grandmother.

And then my son's other four children, April, Mabel,
Adell, and Terrin, I have never seen them since '93. DFYS
did not use the Indian Child Welfare Act. They tried to
grab another woman's record at ANS Hospital who reportedly
beat up her children and had the same name as I did way back
in 1969. And used her records because she had beaten up her
kids, and used her records to bloody my name.

And I also want to say that it took the paintball incidents to get this Commission here. But no one said anything about the six women that were killed last year, the Native women that were killed, brutalized, raped, and about the women that have been raped. I mean they've taken steel bars and raped them with it. They sodomized them. They've done everything. They've done everything to humiliate us.

When the bars closed, the pedophiles used to go to the bars with a case of beer in one hand and a big bottle on the other and wait for the women to come out of the bars, you know, hi, you're drunk, and take them home, and the woman would trust them, why? Because they never sexually touched them in any manner or even suggested to them. But they thought they were there friends. And then while they passed

out, they victimized their children. And nothing -- the law, the court system -- in the first place, the lawyer has to swear to it to be in the Alaska Bar Association, he has to say, "I do solemnly swear to uphold the laws of the United States, the state of Alaska, and the municipalities there", so no lawyer that practices law in Alaska can ever represent me because I'm sovereign and indigenous. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. I would like to thank all the speakers that came before us, and of course, the audience. But I'm going to adjourn the meeting now, and we will reconvene to Friday, August 24th, tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. here at this place. Thank you.

(Off record - 6:00 p.m.)

(Meeting Adjourned)