#### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

Friday, December 13, 2002

+ + + + +

Brooklyn, New York

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 8:45 in the Legends Ballroom, New York Marriott Brooklyn Hotel, 333 Adams Street, Brooklyn, New York 11201, Chairperson Mary Frances Berry presiding

#### MEMBERS PRESENT:

FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson
CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson (via telephone)
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Commissioner (via telephone)
CHRISTOPHER EDLEY, JR. Commissioner (via telephone)
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
ELSIE M. MEEKS, Commissioner
RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, Commissioner
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Commissioner

LESLIE R. JIN, Staff Director



#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 3701

#### STAFF PRESENT:

KIMBERLEY ALTON
DEBRA CARR, ESQ., Deputy General Counsel
KI-TAEK CHUN
IVY DAVIS
PAMELA A. DUNSTON
SHELDON FULLER
JOYCE SMITH
AONGHAS ST. HILAIRE
AUDREY WRIGHT

#### COMMISSIONERS ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

KRISTINA ARRIAGA LAURA BATIE PATRICK DUFFY JOY FREEDMAN KRISHNA TOOLSIE

#### STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

GHAZI KHANKAN GLORIA LOPEZ SETSOKO NISHI

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

#### A-G-E-N-D-A

I.	Approval of Agenda5
II.	Approval of Minutes of 11/15/02 Meeting 5
III.	Announcements6
IV.	Staff Director's Report
v.	State Advisory Committee Appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts
VI.	Presentations from Individuals and Organizational Representatives on Civil Rights Issues Facing Immigrants in New York City Panel 1: Immigrant Issues Emerging From the 107th Congress and Recent Executive Actions & Judicial Decision  MARGIE McHUGH, Executive Director  New York Immigration Coalition
·	Asian Americans for Equality

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

VII.	Presentations	from	New	York	State	Advisory
Committ	ee Members					

KI-TAEK CHUN, Director, Eastern	
Regional Office	147
SETSUKO NISHI, Past-Chair, New York SAC	
GLORIA LOPEZ,	154
CHAZI KHANKAN	

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:45 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll come to order.
4	APPROVAL OF AGENDA
5	The first item on the agenda is the
6	approval of the agenda. Can I get a motion from
7	somebody to approve the agenda, please?
8	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: So moved.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a second,
10	please?
11	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Second.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any discussion of
13	anybody?
14	All those in favor indicate by saying
15	"aye." Opposed. So ordered.
16	APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 15, 2002 MEETING
17	The next item is the approval of the
18	minutes of November 15, 2002. Could I get a motion on
19	that?
20	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: So moved.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a second?
22	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Second by
23	incoming amendment to them.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Okay. All
25	right. Any changes, discussion?

## NEAL R. GROSS

Madam Chair, COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 1 properly recorded in the minutes that 2 it's not Kristina was also on the phone coming in by telephone, 3 by conference call, whatever it's called. 4 I managed not to have my book with me. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, okay. Madam Chair, 7 if STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: that's the case, then in that case I was not aware she 8 9 was on the phone. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, fine, all 10 11 right. So somebody could add that. Anybody have any other changes? Okay. 12 13 All in favor with that change indicate by 14 saving "aye." Opposed. So ordered. 15 **ANNOUNCEMENTS** is 16 agenda The next item on the 17 announcements. The first is that the Chair of our Arizona 18 SAC, Ms. June Webb-Vignery, who was with us in San 19 Diego, reported to the Commission staff that Arizona 20 state representative Richard Kyle, Republican from the 21 22 6th District and Arizona Senator John McCain toured 23 the Arizona/Mexico border last week and have decided 24 to take leadership on the issue of trying to sort out

those border issues that we heard about out there. And

they were very pleased about that.

As you know, we agreed to send a letter to Assistant Attorney General Boyd requesting the DOJ to, investigate whether or not the alleged acts of vigilantism were occurring that we heard about. But the Arizona SAC wanted us to know how pleased they were that they've gotten Representative Kyle and Senator McCain to take interest in the issue.

The other is to just in passing remind ourselves that December 18th marks the passage of the 13th Amendment to the Constitution which abolished slavery, and that's the basis of many of our civil rights laws. And I, for one, am glad that it was ratified in 1865.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And I'm sure everybody else is, too.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the other is to just mention in passing the fact that there are some cases before the Supreme Court right now, just a couple of them I would mention, that have to do with the Indian Trust issues. Because when we were in San Diego we met informally with some leaders of the Indian tribes from various nations who discussed this with us, among other things. And those cases that they were talking about, U.S. versus White Mountain Apache

1	Tribe and U.S. versus Navajo Nation are now up there.
2	And there's been a lot of discussion about them.
3	And then finally, just to say that
4	December 1st marked the start of the Montgomery,
5	Alabama bus boycott, which of course mobilized much of
6	the so-called modern day civil rights movement.
7	Does anyone else have any announcements
8	that they wish to make?
9	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I do, Madam
10	Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And with your
13	permission, I'd like to read something into the
14	record. This week the four Republican appointees to
15	the Commission issued a statement deploring Senator
16	Trent Lott's recent comments, and the statement is
17	short and I would like to read it into the record.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Go right ahead.
19	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: "As Republican
20	appointees to the United States Commission on Civil
21	Rights we deplore Senator Trent Lott's December 5,"
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Excuse us just a
23	minute.
24	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Sure.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We can start again

1202) 234 4433

once we sort this out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is there something we should know?

Okay. Please proceed.

"As COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Okay. appointments to the United States Republican Commission on Civil Rights we deplore Senator Trent Lott's December 5, 2002 statement that if Strom Thurmond had been elected President in wouldn't have had all these problems over all these years.'

The central issue on which Thurmond ran was support for racial segregation, Senator Lott thus lends credibility to the view that such civil rights advances as President Truman's Executive Order mandating an end to racial segregation in the U.S. Armed Forces, the Supreme Court's decision in Brown versus Board of Education, the Civil Rights Acts of 1957 and '64, and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 were grave mistakes. Certainly in 1948 Strom Thurmond opposed all of them.

This is a particularly shameful remark coming from a leader of the Republican Party, the party of Abraham Lincoln and the party that supported all these essential steps forward far more vigorously than did the Democratic Party, which at the time was

1 the home of congressional southerners committed to 2 white supremacy. 3 The civil rights era was a shining moment in American history. We believe Senator Lott agrees, 4 5 in celebrating the invite him to join us 6 the status of African revolutionary change in Americans that flowed from a movement in which blacks 7 and whites joined hands to make a better America." 8 9 And it was signed by me, by Jennifer Braceras, Peter 10 Kirsanow and by Russell Redenbaugh. 11 Thank you very much. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. 13 That was a very important statement, a very timely 14 one, Commissioner Thernstrom. 15 I would hope COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 16 this is going to continue. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I certainly hope so, 18 too. 19 We're okay again? All right. We're okay 20 again, whatever that means. 21 I did yesterday issue a statement based on 22 our preexisting policies about the need for positive 23 leadership on civil rights, pointing out on behalf of 24 the Commission that as Commissioners we did not regard 25 Mr. Lott's leadership on this issue as positive and

(202) 224 4423

leaving it at that, with a few other little details. 1 Just for the record, because I think it is 2 an important issue. I think it's important and I 3 4 appreciate it. COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Thank you very 5 6 much. 7 STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item on the 8 agenda is the Staff Director's report. Does anybody 9 have any questions on this report or anything that 10 11 they'd like to raise? 12 If not --13 Madam Chair, I COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: have one question of the Staff Director. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And Ι was 17 wondering if we know today what the subject matter for 18 January and February meetings are going to be. 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Staff Director? 20 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, Commissioner. 21 January we're going to be in In 22 Albuquerque, New Mexico and basically we'll be doing 23 what we generally do when we go on the road, which is 24 that the main focus is to be there and to listen to 25 the advisory committees in that region or in that

21

22

23

24

25

state, and to leaders in the state talk about civil rights issues in that state. And so that will be the main focus. And, of course, we're still putting it together, working with the advisory committees and with the regional director. He's been working with the advisory committee already.

Also, in terms of on our own calendar,

January is our project planning month. So we will be

prepared to give the Commissioners documents relating

to that and proceed with project planning.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And in February?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: And in February we will, again, in terms of the Friday meeting we will be doing the same kind of things in Charlotte, North Carolina. We're hoping to finish putting together for Thursday the thing that we discussed here a number of briefing times, that is the on educational accountability and it's not just quite ready to be finalized to the point of being announced. But that is why we asked you to keep Thursday open, hopefully for that.

#### Commissioner Redenbaugh

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. I have a follow up question then for the Staff Director on the planning. You're going to give us documents, as you

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

said, do you anticipate any discussion and how much discussion do you anticipate given that we have the 2 other agenda that you just mentioned? 3 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. Well. 4 bottom line is, you know, we'll allow for as much 5 discussion as the Commissioners feel is necessary. 6 I've only been through, I think, two of these and in 7 those I think the discussions have been, you know, 8 fruitful and robust but they have not taken more than 9 about an hour or so, if I remember. If I misjudged 10 11 that, then I apologize. But that was kind of my sense. 12 So, we do plan to have a good discussion, 13 absolutely, as much as you want. 14 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, I'm a bit 15 concerned that an hour is really insufficient. In the 16 past sometimes we've consumed more than entire meeting 17 with this. And on occasion we had an off-site retreat 18 to do our planning. IS there something that has you 19 think this one will go much quicker than those have in 20 the past? 21 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Well, like I said, 22 first of all if the Commissioners wanted to go along 23 with it, certainly that would be fine. But, again, my 24 best memories of the ones I've been associated with 25 have not run nearly that long, and they still had some

1 good discussions I think. 2 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm Maybe 3 anticipating a meeting. But do you think that what 4 you'll present will mean that we need to make a number 5 of decisions and trade-offs or do you --6 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Well, as 7 Commissioners I think though the main projects that 8 come forth in those meetings are the ones that Office 9 of General Counsel and Office of Rights Evaluation 10 propose. And I'Ve had substantial conversations with 11 the heads of both of those offices, and how we want to 12 do this. And I think we'll be able to present it in a 13 way that's clear and crisp, and will allow for the 14 Commissioners to have a good basis going into the 15 discussion as to the issues it wants to look at and 16 the number of projects to look at. 17 I'm confident that you 18 materials that will be very helpful to the discussion 19 and will allow the discussion to go well. 20 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well then, how 21 much ahead of time will we have those materials? 22 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: It'll be certainly 23 the plan we have is what we've done in the past with 24 the mail outs, and I think with the project planning,

which is that you will get it a week in advance.

1	
1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I just don't
2	think that's sufficient to do project planning review
3	and discussion. I also would ask that you include an
4	updated opinion but not yet completed projects and
5	their timelines for completion.
6	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: We have done that
7	because both the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation,
8	and the Office of General Counsel is now providing on
9	a regular basis the information statements, the
10	progress of each of the projects that's ongoing, the
11	ones that the Commissioners have proposed, and we've
12	started.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You mean the MIS?
14	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: The MIS, yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Russell, as you know,
16	Commissioners can also at this meeting propose
17	projects, right? Remember that, Russell?
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I do remember
19	that. That's what started me thinking an hour's
20	· insufficient.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. So maybe you
22	ought to suggest how long well, let me see. Would
23	you mind letting me let Elsie speak?
24	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, actually, I
25	think you were just going to say what I was going to

1 say. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Go ahead. 2 3 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: You suggest how long you might take and so that the agenda can be defined 4 5 accordingly. 6 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Thank you. 8 Commissioner Well. Ι suggest that 9 proposals be considered ahead of -- I think we're 10 going to need to have at least two hours in January. I 11 think that's an absolute minimum. And a subsequent 12 I don't see how we can, with only a discussion. week's review of the meeting materials and then the 13 presentation by other Commissioners of other proposed 14 15 projects, how we could reach a decision in any amount 16 of time on one single day. 17 So, I think we'll need the subsequent 18 meeting or meetings. 19 other words, BERRY: In CHAIRPERSON 20 Russell, you don't think that we could set aside 2, 3, 21 4 hours that day and do the whole thing? 22 I don't think COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH:

says "Look, I'd like to do this," and then that could

involve, you know, the development of that theme. And

I mean, you know, if a Commissioner comes up and

23

24

1	we need to get back to consider alternatives.
2	If we're given something that is just a
3	straight up or down vote, we're going to this or not,
4	we could do that in an hour.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: If we're going
7	to have an menu in which staff says, look, these are
8	the seven things we think are worth doing that
9	resources allow us to do for or at most five of them,
10	then I don't know how we could resolve that in an
11	afternoon in 2, 3 or even 4 hours.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So you think
13	that
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: This is a
15	negotiation.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, do you think we
17	should do it in more than one meeting then?
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I do.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, maybe we should
20	do it we've never done that, but there's no reason
21	not to that I understand, Staff Director, is that
22	right?
23	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct.
24	There's flexibility.
25	

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

i have a couple of hours at this meeting and then the 2 next meeting do it again. 3 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I think Because I think the Commissioners would like the 4 5 chance to have the staff go back and modify that, along these lines, come back and then let's look at it 6 7 again. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that sounds like a 9 better plan, Russ? 10 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I think Yes. 11 so. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any others have any 13 comments or --14 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, I hope 15 we're not going to discuss it today, because I need --16 for two reasons. One, Madam Chair, you know, I'd like 17 to have a chance to think about it a little bit. 18 also, unfortunately, a Commission related matter has 19 come up and I'm going to have leave early today. And I 20 don't want to miss this discussion. 21 I also have an additional question for the 22 Staff Director. It's never been completely clear to 23 me, and this goes to the question of Commissioners 24 properly preparing for meetings, exactly what the 25 educational topic is. And if I knew precisely what the

topic was. I would like if possible to suggest some 1 names of people who might appear before the Commission 2 if, indeed, we're going to have a hearing a briefing. 3 I mean, education is an enormous topic. 4 And I would trust that the Commission is not going to 5 be all over the map on the issue and that we're going 6 7 to focus a couple of discreet questions that are manageable. And I would really like to know what those 8 9 are and also, again, would like the opportunity to 10 suggest names of people we might hear from if we are 11 hearing from people. 12 And, you know, this goes back to something 13 I'm a kind of allergic to coming that I said before. 14 to meetings professionally unprepared so that when I 15 heard rather belatedly that this was a meeting about 16 Immigration, well Immigration is again a huge topic. I 17 have no way of preparing properly for it. And it's 18 just a matter of intellectual pride that I like to 19 come to meetings prepared. 20 So, I would like more information and 21 earlier information. More specific information and 22 earlier information. 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: First we'll come to 24 your second point. We need to get first some closure

on the first point. Because the staff will need to

_	know, since this is becember, what they're doing
2	about the January meeting. And Russell's idea, as I
3	understand it, is that instead of doing what we've
4	done in the past, and he's been here to do it with us,
5	where we just have one planning meeting and it's in
6	January. And the Staff Director's right, that it's
7	turn out in the last few years that we spend about an
8	hour on it or something. That maybe we spend a couple
9	of hours in January, and then maybe we go back at it
го	again. He's not talking about substance, he's talking
11	about procedure, as I understand it.
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH:That's right,
13	yes.
L4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And agree so the staff
15	knows what it is they're supposed to be planning.
16	That we would come back at it again in February.
17	Now, Commissioner Kirsanow, do you have
18	any objection to Russell's idea?
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, no. I think
20	it's a splendid plan.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, I mean it sounds
22	reasonable to me. We've never done it before, but if
23	you think that might work.
24	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, actually,
25	I think we've done it both ways before.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have?
2	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The Staff
3	Director is right. In the last two years it's been
4	pretty straightforward. But in the past, in fact we
5	had a two or three day kind of planning retreat.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, we went off
7	somewhere or other. I've forgotten where.
8	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: We did. I'm not
9	suggesting that. But we've done it a number of
10	different ways.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you have any
12	objection, Elsie, I assume you don't to doing it that
13	way?
14	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So then the staff in
16	terms of how they're guided about what they're
17	supposed to be doing, will be thinking in terms of a
18	couple of hours in January.
19	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then maybe a
21	couple of hours in February. And we'll see how it goes
22	in January, but at least they have some idea of what
23	it is they're supposed to be planning.
24	Now, to go to the second point, do you
25	want Debra or whoever's doing this education thing, to

1 briefly say how it's being done or how they're going 2 about it? 3 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Sure. 4 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Can iust 5 before you do that, one little minor procedural matter 6 in terms of these meetings. When we're in the middle 7 of the winter, obviously, traveling it is always 8 possible at the last moment that that the air travel 9 will not, for those of us coming from the northeast, 10 will be impeded. And I assume that if that happens, 11 that at the very last moment we can -- and it's a 12 question directly to the Staff Director, obviously. 13 That we can very quickly set up an alternative means 14 of participating in the meeting by teleconferencing 15 from home, or whatever. 16 I've got nightmarish visions of stuck in 17 Chicago, whatever. 18 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. I'll have to 19 check to see how exactly prior to the last minute 20 we're talking about. But certainly the concept of if 21 something happens and you need to be hooked up at home 22 something, that to the extent that it's or 23 technologically possible, we can do that. 24 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes. I think 25 it's particularly important at the planning meetings,

1 obviously. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Now, do you want 2 Debra to tell us just how this is done and give some 3 information to -- I don't see her. 4 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Debra's behind. 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 6 Yes, I think that 7 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: would be a good way to do it, Madam Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So could you please do 9 10 And then answer any questions you think you that? 11 might have. 12 COUNSEL CARR: Generally the GENERAL tentative February education briefing is scheduled for 13 14 It's primary emphasis will be on the Charlotte. 15 educational reforms undertaken in North and South 16 Carolina. We will have basically an emphases in terms 17 of speakers from those two states or that region. They 18 will be discussing the role of content and performance 19 standards, and education reform and their importance 20 in increasing student achievement. 21 They will also be discussing the role of 22 testing as a tool in increasing student achievement. 23 They will be discussing the media or other measures 24 that could be brought to bear in instances where you

have low performing schools or a student group that

1	has been identified as under performing.
2	There'll also be a discussion of civil
3	rights implications that education reform raises.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What is mainly what
5	we're about, right?
6	GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Exactly.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If I understand it
8	correctly.
9	Commissioner Thernstrom, do you want to
10	add anything?
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: So that the
12	eventual product that comes out of this, is it going
13	to be some kind of report that comes out of this will
14	focus on these 2 states? Because maybe a
15	misunderstanding on my part, but I thought originally
16	the conception didn't focus on 2 states as kind of
17	emblematic of the larger issues, but that's really how
18	it has shaped up.
19	GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Actually, if you
20	recall in January of this year we had a document
21	return session from two states. They were Maryland and
22	Virginia.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.
24	GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Those two states
25	will be the primary focus of the project report that's

noted on the MIS. These two states, North and South
Carolina, will be the source of secondary information.
And going to Charlotte builds on some work undertaken
by the region in terms of a briefing or forum that
they conducted in the last year focusing on
educational issues in that region.
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: So eventually
the report will reflect on four states?
GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: The report will
primarily focus on two states. There will be some
background information in the report
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Maryland will be
in there.
GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Exactly. That will
be incorporated from North and South Carolina and
other sources.
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And will this be
a Commission staff report or a Commission report that
we will be able to discuss and, like, you know
dissents and vote on, and whatever vote on before
we write
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, the first report
that you mentioned is a Commission report.
GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Exactly.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The report she's

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	talking about?
2	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And that would
3	be the only work product other than possibly an
4	Executive Summary just summarizing the testimony and
5	events, and the briefing if it goes forward in
6	February.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you very
8	much.
9	Does anyone have any other questions on
10	the staff report.
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And thanks to
12	Les Jin for this information.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Any other
14	questions on it? Okay.
15	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS FOR INDIANA
16	AND MASSACHUSETTS
16	AND MASSACHUSETTS  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a
L7 L8 L9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts. Could I get someone to move those?
17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts. Could I get someone to move those?  COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I so move.
17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts. Could I get someone to move those?  COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I so move.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. The
17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts. Could I get someone to move those?  COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I so move.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. The second?
17 18 19 20 21 22 22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is a state advisory committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts. Could I get someone to move those?  COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I so move.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. The second?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Madam Chair?

and Texas that we ask that the Commissioners vote on today. We don't have a leadership statement from Indiana. That'll have to wait until a better time for the Chair, but we do have one for Massachusetts, and we'd ask a vote on that one, too.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So let me get this clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
Indiana. That'll have to wait until a better time for the Chair, but we do have one for Massachusetts, and we'd ask a vote on that one, too.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So let me get this clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
the Chair, but we do have one for Massachusetts, and we'd ask a vote on that one, too.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So let me get this clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
<pre>we'd ask a vote on that one, too.  CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So let me get this clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.</pre>
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So let me get this clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
clear. We have first this motion for Indiana and Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
Massachusetts?  STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right.
GUATARRESCON REPRY. Which is on the floor
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which is on the floor.
Can we go ahead and pass that? I read the leadership
statement, the guy from Massachusetts, whose name I
forget. Yes. He sounds like a very good involved
hard working guy. He's giving up a lot. He's not
going to serve on the school committee so he can chair
this.
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: What school
committee is that?
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Boston school
committee. Is that an important school committee?
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well it's a tiny
COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well it's a tiny little town in Massachusetts.

1	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's called the school
3	committee.
4	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes. I mean,
5	sure it is. That's an interesting decision on his
6	part, because of course it is, and Boston, of course,
7	is in deep educational trouble.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because he says
9	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I mean, it's not
10	unique in that sense. We've got urban districts across
11	the country that are in deep educational trouble.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, but he feels that
13	he can do a lot with this SAC, and that would be great
14	if he did.
15	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Is Jennifer
16	Braceras with us by phone, as she was supposed to be?
17	I know that she
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did she have her baby?
19	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: No, not yet.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But coming soon.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: My understanding is,
23	somebody tell me if that's true, is that Braceras,
24	Reynoso and Edley are out there in the world and are
25	hooked up?

We're 1 DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. STAFF absolutely confident that they can hear us. We're not 2 quite as confident that we can hear them. 3 Well, because 4 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 5 Jennifer and I did discuss the question of whether we 6 might recommend some additions to the Massachusetts, 7 that was a possibility. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, she said they can 9 hear us. 10 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: They can hear 11 us. Well, then I will speak for Jennifer. 12 Jennifer and I did briefly speak this week 13 about the question of whether we could suggest some 14 additional members to the MAssachusetts SAC. Is that a 15 possibility? I understand there's no cap on the 16 number of members. And, of course, we would only 17 invite people we also thought could add strength. 18 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I think we did it in 19 the past where it's been appropriate. You know, it's 20 something that we try to avoid because the advisory 21 authority, some directors working with the -- they try 22 to put together a good group without appropriate 23 balances in place. I mean, I think that it's something 24 certainly we can consider. But I think that we ought

to be careful to give a certain amount of deference, I

1	think to the process in place.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But Commissioners can
3	make nominations.
4	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: YES.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And have done so in
6	the past.
7	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes. And we're
9	here suggesting that we bring a far out you know.
10	We might have a couple of suggestions.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioners
12	Redenbaugh's made suggestions.
13	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I've made a couple.
15	Not a large number, but you know every now and then.
16	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I think one of the
17	members of the Massachusetts delegation now is
18	somebody Commissioner Redenbaugh recommended
19	previously.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. So, yes, it's
21	entirely appropriate for Commissioners to make
2.2	nominations, not only for their particular state,
23	which seems logical, but for anywhere else that they
24	think
25	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'm very sorry

1	that we can't hear the Commissioners.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I am, too. I miss
3.	their dulcet tone. That was dulcet not dull. No.
4	Now we have to vote on this state advisory
5	committee appointments for Indiana and Massachusetts.
6	All those in favor of these appointments indicate by
7	saying aye. Opposed. So ordered.
8	Now what else did you say we should vote
9	on?.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. Leadership to
11	approve the chairs for Massachusetts, for Arkansas and
12	for Texas and for New Hampshire.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. So separately
14	we're going to approve the persons who are listed as
15	the chairs of these particular SACs. And we had the
16	others before.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And agreed to them,
1.9	but a couple of them hadn't sent their letters.
20	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct, Madam
21	Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But they've sent them
23	in and we've got them, and so now you'Re asking us to
24	formally approve those, is that right?
25	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, that's correct.
- 1	

- 1	
1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a motion
2	to do that, please?
3	. COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: So moved.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a second?
5	All those in favor indicate by saying "aye." Opposed.
6	So ordered.
7	. · CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now we go to the
8	presentations from the state advisory committee
9	members. And we thank you, New York STate Advisory
10	Committee members. And the way this is done, if I can
11	find my I begin with the panels, right? Is that
12	right? Or am I we're supposed to begin this at
13	9:30, I guess.
14	And since we didn't have the three of them
15	to talk, the three of the Commissioners, we finished
16	10 minutes in advance of 9:30. So we can take a break
17	here just briefly and maybe by that time they'll be
18	able to figure out how to put
19	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, that would be
20	good.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're going to start
22	the panels at 9:30. Since we didn't hear you guys
23	talking, we got finished faster than we expected. But
24	at 9:30 we'll start the panels.

(Whereupon, a recess until 9:33 a.m.)

# PRESENTATIONS FROM INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES ON CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUES FACING IMMIGRANTS IN NEW YORK CITY

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We are here to hear from you all because we agreed that we would insofar as possible travel around the county and hear from the American people on the ground where they are. And we're happy to be here in New York City, and in Brooklyn, as a matter of fact, not in Manhattan.

Someone asked me yesterday why we are meeting in Brooklyn. And I said because, people always every time they say they're going to New York to a meeting, they go to Manhattan. There are lots of folks who live in Brooklyn, and lots of communities in all the other boroughs, too.

And so there's a letter that we have. Michael Hanley has just been appointed the SAC Chair from New York. And we're very pleased that he was able to accept the Chair of the SAC, but because of some medical problems that he has, he can't be here today and he has sent a letter to us about the SAC and expressing his views about Immigration. And he's been very much involved with the staff in talking about, the putting together this session today. And there are other members of the SAC who are here today who

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MAQUINICTON D.C. 2000E 2704

will be speaking to us as soon as the panels are concluded. And in particular we have PRofessor Nishi here, will be talking to us. And she is the past Chair 3 of the SAC who serves on the SAC for 2 terms. Very Japanese-American I'm 5 scholar distinguished pleased have served with us, and other members of the 6 SAC will be here to bring us up to date. But Mr. Hanley wishes us well here, and is 8 just so pleased that we are here. 9 And the Commission has long been concerned 10 with issues concerning Immigration. We were out on the 11 12

border issues in San Diego at the last meeting. The Commission has done various reports on Immigration. The major one was called "The Tarnished Golden Door," which is still cited and used by everybody. Isn't that right, Stan?

MR. MARK: Yes, that's right.

Yes. Civil rights CHAIRPERSON BERRY: issues and Immigration, which examined the Immigration and law enforcement process and civil rights problems racially and encountered by Americans who were culturally identifiable with a major immigrant group.

And there have been other reports we've done, and we had a briefing in December 2000 called "Crossing Borders," an examination of civil rights

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

4

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

diverse

by current Immigration laws raised policies. And we have continued to focus on this issue. And here in Brooklyn, just as in New York, when Major Guiliani was the Mayor and he came before he talked about the at hearing, นร communities and how many immigrants there are in this area, and new immigrants and new immigrants coming all the time. And what we want to do is listen to individuals and organization representatives who will update us on civil rights concerns.

The purpose of our doing this is so that we can identify directions for the work that we do more precisely as we continue to work on these issues. And that's why we want to hear from you.

And the first person we're going to hear from is Margie McHugh, who is the Executive Director of the New York Immigration Coalition, which is an umbrella policy and advocacy organization for 150 groups in New York that work with immigrants and refugees. Ms. McHugh has led the NYIC since 1990, and it has turned into a leading voice for generous and fair Immigration policy.

Ms. McHugh, could you please present to us. And thank you for coming.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 2000E 2704

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# PANEL 1 - IMMIGRANT ISSUES EMERGING FROM THE 107TH CONGRESS AND RECENT EXECUTIVE ACTIONS & JUDICIAL DECISION

MS. McHUGH: Thank you. Good morning, Commissioner Berry. Good morning other Commissioners.

We're delighted that the Commission is here this morning in New York and allowing us to speak about the very, very important issues that we see here in the city.

I gather from looking at the prior record of the Commission and many of the documents that you've produced on this, that you have a great deal of expertise and knowledge about immigration issues. I think one of the things that should be interesting about this morning hearing is that we will probably talk more than maybe you've heard before about what we call immigrant policy issues. That there's traditionally been a large focus in policy circles on Immigration, the system by which we let people into the country, whether it's working or not, what's the various categories and numbers should be. But there's been traditionally not been as much focus about what happens once immigrants get here. And we've become very quickly the most diverse society in the history of the world, I guess. And we seem to be expecting if

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by magic this will all work, and there really has not been as much examination about how we make sure that the various programs that we put together as a society to make sure to provide opportunity for all people, to provide educational opportunities, voting opportunities and the like; to make sure that those systems are truly relevant now that we have such incredible diversity in all of our major cities. And, frankly, in every state in the nation.

As I'm sure you're all aware, one of the newer phenomenons with Immigration is that many immigrants are bypassing larger cities and simply going directly to suburbs and to rural areas. And so these issues are quite important for every state in the nation, and obviously New York has a particular place for that dialogue having been the gateway for so many centuries for immigrants to the country.

As you said, Chairwoman Berry, our organization is an umbrella for about 150 groups in New York that work with immigrants and refugees. A quick demographic snapshot of New York is that two-thirds of our population, two-thirds of New York's population is immigrants and their children. About 35 percent of the city is foreign born. Twenty percent of the state, actually, is foreign born. And almost

#### NEAL R. GROSS

opercent of New York City residents speak a language other than English at home. You can imagine what the implications of that are for a school system, for government agencies and the like. And this is similar to other large population centers that it has a high number of immigrants over the past 20 years.

Income is varied between immigrant families and native born families. It's unfortunate, and also quite dramatic despite higher labor force attachment rates, 30 percent of immigrant families in the city are poor and over 50 percent are below 200 percent of poverty. That's below the 200 percent federal poverty guidelines. Again, that is twice the rate of native born families in the state.

The first issue that I'm going to touch on quickly is education. I'm sure you're all aware that we had major activity at the federal level this past year with the passage of the No Child Left Behind Act.

The Act sets very laudable goals trying to make sure that every school in the nation brings all of their students up to state performance standards and closes achievement gaps that are based on race, ethnicity, language and income.

ELO students in particular, immigrant students as a whole, of course, are a source of great

organization. But we for our particular focus on English language learner, students who come into the system often in their later years, middle school or high school years, who are facing these high stakes testing standards and need to meet them in a very, very short period of time, often without systems in place in the schools that they're entering to allow them to acquire sufficient English language skills. You know we're faced now with the very tough English language Regents' standards here in this state that's been in place since 2000. It's similar to the standards that are in other states. But I would say that most education experts agree that New York standards are quite high by national standards.

The exception, of course, with students taking this exam is that 12 years of English language instruction is what's needed to do well on this exam.

But we have immigrants coming in and they're expected often in the space of 2 to 3 years to be able to pass this exam.

When the Regents implements this exam, our State Board of Regents implemented it, they acknowledged that this was going to be a very difficult standard for English language learners to meet. And so they put together with they called the

MASHINICTON DC 20005 2701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12 Step Action Plan acknowledging that we needed to increase the quality and quantity of English language instruction, that we had to recruit more certified bilingual and ESL teachers, that we had to provide extended day and weekend classes and the like.

Unfortunately, none of those 12 steps was implemented, yet the implementations of the standards has gone forward. And not surprisingly, and quite unfortunately, we now find that the dropout rate for English language learners has doubled and it's now the highest dropout rate for any subgroup of students in the system.

Another factor that's quite troubling but somewhat hidden right now, but I think you'll see this as you look around the country and certainly in New York state, there's a new phenomenon that we call "push-out," which is where schools realize that they look bad when they have these very high dropout rates. And so they try to get rid of students in a different way so that they don't show up on the dropout record. They instead, are counseling students just to leave, go get a GED. And using various types of record keeping, to show that the student has transferred out of the school. Normally these records you're only allowed to use this procedure if the student has come

to you and said that they're being discharged to another school district. But what we're finding is that where we had about 3,000 to 5,000 students in this category 3 and 4 years ago, we're now up to close to 50,000 students being in that category in New York City.

so it's pretty clear that schools are using this as sort of a means to have these children sort of disappear and not be counted against them in terms of the eligibility, and the accountability standards. Not look as though they're dropout rate is increasing. And we're hearing from education advocates and community advocates in those other parts of the country that this is happening around the country where high-stakes testing regimes have been put into place.

I'm flagging all of this, just saying that on the one hand we're very happy that No Child LEft Behind actually makes schools keep track of their ELO students, keep track of students by English language ability and also in terms of race and ethnicity so that we'll finally have better data to show us actually what's happening with these students. But some of the main provisions of the bill actually don't allow us then to put into place what the vision was in

### NEAL R. GROSS REPORTERS AND TRANSCR

the legislation that parents would have more choice about where to send their students if they were in a failing school, and also that they would be able to obtain the services that they needed to help the students meet the standards, which is of course the goal for immigrant families just as it is for native born families.

And I think Joe will talk about this morning, his testimony. But what we are finding in the state is that we've been very, very slow in implementing the Act. I know in other states, other states are far ahead of us. But the city is pretty fastly realizing that almost a third of its schools, actually, could be shutdown technically if the Act were to be implemented as many might have envisioned it going forward. But it doesn't do us much good to close down a third of our schools if we don't have better schools in which to put those children.

There's not real choice right now for parents. Because most immigrant parents in ELO -- most ELO students are in districts where it's not district to go to a school that was just 15, 20 minutes from their home and be in a better school. The better schools for these students simply don't exist. And even while the Chancellor has said that students could

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

look across the city to try and find a better school to go to if they're EOL, but that doesn't do much good because, again, the schools that we have that are excellent schools are really boutique programs. They're not programs that have been replicated in a large number of schools.

And then an additional enormous problem there is that the after school assistance is not available. That while the city has contracted with quite a few large learning centers and the like to try and allow students who want to get additional help to meet the standards, almost none of those providers provide assistance to students who are English language learners.

So, we think that this is an issue, not just in New York but around the country. And that it would be a great service if the Commission would look at this issue in greater detail, see what's going on around the country in terms of the implementation of No Child Left Behind with a particular eye to what sort of services are available for English language learners now that the demographics of our schools have changed so dramatically. And because we have not sufficiently invested, it appears in attempting to put together programs that will help students who are

English language learners to succeed as we move 1 2 toward high stakes accountability in the nation, and 3 particularly in most of our larger immigrant receiving 4 states. 5 We'll also talk today about voting rights. 6 And I know that Stan is prepared to talk about the 7 federal voting rights legislation changes this past 8 year. 9 I'll just sav briefly that our 10 organization works with a wide range of immigrant 11 groups who have been attempting to help in the 12 immigrant refugee voters understand the U.S. electoral 13 process and also to protect their rights when they go 14 to the poles on election day. 15 We now have a situation where one in four 16 voters in New York City is foreign born, fully 25 17 percent of voters now are foreign born in the city. 18 And two-thirds of first time voters are immigrants. 19 So, I will put in a good word for our New 20 York City Board of Elections, that they have tried to 21 be sensitive to the issues around language diversity 22 and have tried to cooperate with community groups in 23 opening up language access in languages other than 24 those that are required under the Voting Rights Act.

But at the same time, I think they would acknowledge,

and we certainly have been very vocal, that they have not been very effective in even doing a good job with the languages that they're mandated to be providing assistance in. That it's spotty, is the fair way to put it.

We're very concerned with what's coming down now with the new Voting Rights legislation — sorry, the Help America Vote Act because it now mandates that first time voters have to provide photo ID and also that through our voting rights rules will become part of the statewide voter registration database.

Stan, I know, will talk and retell about what they found with their exit polling in Asian American communities. I'll just say that we have been working particularly closely with the Russian American community because they have had particular problems out here in Brooklyn for the last several elections where there have been contested elections, where you now have Russian speaking candidates who are on the ballot. Many community members are coming forward to vote. And we've, unfortunately, had I think it would be fair to say hundreds of incidents where Russian voters were told that they were not allowed to speak Russian when they were within a polling site.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

serious

serious

We had people who were there appointed by the Board of Elections to be providing They were bilingual Russian assistance. speakers. They were told by others at the poll, and particularly staff of elected officials and people on the ballot that they were not allowed to be speaking Russian in the poll. And they brought in police to try and have them arrested and bring them out of the polls, and the like. very So we've had some difficulties with new immigrant voters coming forward and then the way that this played out on election day. We also have very disinfranchisement of immigrant voters, simply because their names are different from us and harder to decipher for some of the people apparently who work for the Board of Elections. And so we have thousands of cases during the last several elections where immigrant voters come forward. They give their names to people who were working and looking through the book for them, say "No, you're not here. You're not allowed to vote."

In some cases it is that voters came, that the polling sites are hard to understand and so you may have 7 or 8 electoral districts voting in one

#### **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINISTON DC 20005 2701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

polling site, and it is a failure on the part of the Board of Elections that they don't train their workers to simply say "Well, you might just be in the wrong line. You go over to that corner of the room instead of this corner and see if you're in that book over there." But even notwithstanding, those sorts of just operational problems that we would hope that the Board of Elections would figure out.

There's a very big problem with immigrant names simply not being entered correctly into the books. And we think that this will be an enormous problem once we begin to try and create a database.

The issue here is similar to one of the issues in the worker race area that you'll hear about today, that the SSA, Social Security Administration database is often the foundational database that other government agencies want to tie into to do this work. SSA acknowledge, and I believe it was in some sort of federal testimony, that they had a 30 percent error rate with their entries and that their database was not going to be adequate if that was going to be the main database that people were networking into. And that we foresee very, very serious problems with immigrants coming to the polls and that their names will be incorrectly entered into those databases. And

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

that it will be another reason then for poll workers who already are resistent to working with these communities, to actually letting them come forward and exercise their right to vote.

The third issue that we're dealing with on today's panel is worker's rights. I know that Mayra's ready to talk about the Hoffman Plastics decision in particular that's having a very impact here and While the decision was actually quite nationally. narrow and only addressed the issue of whether a documented worker would be allowed to collect back pay, it's being used as an opportunity by employers to sort of declare an open season upon immigrant workers And there have been many, many violations generally. that have been reported to dozens of organizations and in New York City that deal with immigrant workers where employers are now trying to get away with not paying for work that's actually been performed and engaging in a variety of discriminatory practices that we believe flow from this decision.

Another very serious issue there is the impact of the Social Security no-match letters that are being sent out to immigrants and to employers of immigrants. Almost 7 million employees nationally are being effected by this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to talk about in greater detail. But employer dependent measures like the no-match letters and also the proposed Social SEcurity number verification service. One, it's giving employers the authority to abuse and harass their immigrant workers and they hurt both legal and undocumented workers. And we think that this, too, is an important issue that the Commission could look into and propose ways to Congress that civil rights and labor rights violations could be prevented that are now taking place as a result of these policies.

Another worker issue that sort of didn't fit between the two panels that you're going to hear today or that there wasn't a speaker just dedicated to these issues, is the issue of worker training. Referencing back to the earlier part of my remarks, would be the great disparity that we see in terms of immigrant earnings and the sorts of jobs that immigrants are filling in the labor force. It's obvious that immigrants are in working situations where they're being paid a great deal less than native born workers and where they appear not to have the opportunities for advancement, the opportunity to move into higher paying jobs, higher skilled jobs.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The federal government's response to that is the Workforce Investment Act, recently several years ago put forward as a compromise trying to make more sense the various streams of funding that were funding job training programs and trying to bring a different sort of order to the job training system.

I think if you look more closely at that, you would find that it's a system that largely excludes immigrant workers. And the reason is that the system does not address the needs of workers who did not speak English as their first language. And so we have job training programs in the city that we know are very, very eager to be trying to serve immigrant workers, especially since you really can't be relevant in New York if you're trying to do virtually any kind of work, whether it's health care, education, housing or job training if you're not meeting the needs of immigrants because our city is two-thirds immigrants and their children.

What we see in these various funding streams that support worker training, what we see is that they're more geared toward paying people to maybe do a 40 hour training program to help something move into a particular kind of job, give them the skills or a certificate to go into that job. But if you have a

#### NEAL R. GROSS REPORTERS AND TRANSC

worker whose English language skills, where they have not had the ability to learn sufficient level of English, those programs can't address that.

And we did a study about a year and a half ago showing that we meet only 5 percent of the needs for English language classes here in New York. Many, many of our English language providers run their programs on the lottery, because it would be too discouraging they feel to people who want to learn English to tell them that they're on a list and they can get a class in 4 or 5 years, which would be the case for many of these programs.

And so we don't have a way to meet the English language acquisition needs of adult immigrant right now. And then we see that that makes then the job training system and the money that the government is putting into that much less relevant to populations in cities like New York. Because if you can't bridge the language gap, you really can't be effective in job training programs.

Now, that's especially an issue here in the city post-9/11. Because immigrants were over half of the job loss and low wage immigrants in particular are a big piece of the workforce that has been most severely impacted by 9/11.

We have a spotlight shined on these issues now because we're trying so hard to figure out how to effectively recover from the hit that New York City took with the 9/11 attacks. A big piece of that is trying to make the job training system work for people who have been thrown out of jobs as a result of 9/11. And we're now seeing that the fact that we haven't addressed these issues with trying to help immigrant, adult immigrants learn English and with making the job training system relevant to them, that we simply don't have the ability then to help the workers who have been more disproportionately impacted by 9/11.

So, as another issue that the Commission might look at. This is an issue, as I said, of great importance here in New York post-9/11, but it's an issue that's been with us for many years since we've had high rates of immigration into the country and since we've been trying to rework the job training system from the federal perspective, that we think it would be very helpful if the Commission would look at this issue and attempt to make recommendations about how to close that gap to make this system be more relevant. Because right now it is pretty much unable to meet the needs of immigrant communities.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

So that's maybe a little more than a 1 2 quick snapshot of all of these issues. But, again, I'd 3 just like to emphasize in closing that we deliberately or are deliberately this morning didn't focus on the 4 5 50 issues that a bunch of our groups would have liked us to also focus on that are so relevant in terms of 6 Immigration law and Immigration policy because we saw 7 this as an attempt to say to the Commission that these 8 9 issues are the ones that often don't get on anybody's 10 That we really need to be looking at radar screen. 11 the experience of immigrants once they arrive in the 12 country and because they're working in occupations 13 where their rights are more generally violated, where 14 they're not making wages that can help them move up 15 and realize the promise of the American dream that has 16 been there for immigrants of prior generations, that 17 these are serious issues for the Commission on Civil 18 Rights to look at. And we hope that you'll think about 19 that as you look to what your agenda will be and what 20 your work will be in coming years. Because we think 21 they're so significant, not just for New York City, 22 but for the nation.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Margie, that was just so depressing. I mean, what a mess, if I may be

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

23

24

There'll be questions, but 1 privileged to say so. 2 let's go through and hear everyone first. All I can say about Stan Mark, who is 3 officially a program director, the Asian American 4 5 Legal Defense and Education Fund, which means that he runs the clinics, and the community education, the 6 7 policy work and so on. He has been at the table at every policy decision of major import nationally on 8 these issues for as long as I can remember. So that's 9 10 all I can say. 11 And so, Stan, with that please give us 12 your view of things. 13 for that Well, thank you MR. MARK: 14 generous remark. I'm going to talk about the Immigration 15 issues and the impact on some of those folks here in 16 17 New York City, particularly around issues from 9/11, 18 World Trade Center. Also from the standpoint of 19 We represent about 40 or 50 people in detention. 20 Eastern people, detention, mainly Asian, Middle 21 persons of Middle Eastern Muslim decent. 22 Let me just start just to speak a little 23 issue that hasn't gotten as bit about an 24 attention from Immigration. There has been a post 25 before and after 9/11 about legalization for

undocumented people here in the United States.

There's also a group, actually a network of people whose family members were killed at the World Trade Center and who are legally here. And we're working, representing people to try to get extentions on their current nonimmigrant status, meaning that they're not lawful permanent residents. And we think that they deserve some legislative remedy to allow them to get lawful permanent residence in the United States. And that's something that has a direct impact on quite a few Asians, as you're aware of, who suffered the tragedy of the World Trade Center collapse directly effecting their lives. We feel that that's an issue that you should be taking a look at.

Also I should tell you because of our work around relief, and I know there's a separate panel, but I just want to call it to your attention that a group of us have submitted about an inch or two inch of material to you describing the impact of funding of relief assistance. I just want to mention some of the things that we've discovered.

We've done a Freedom of Information Request, which we did get quite a bit of material describing the relief assistance and how it's been disparately impacting on the lower Manhattan area,

particularly people in low income.

. 1

You may not be aware that there's been any provision in the in the human rights and the internal guidelines that requires — not only based on race and national origin and on religion but also based on income as well.

So we discovered through the data that we've gotten so far, and perhaps we'll be getting more data through our lawsuit against FEMA, that people who are living on the West Side of lower Manhattan are 40 times more likely to try and succeed in getting approval for the mortgage rental assistance program and that — there are people on the East Sid. And that people on the West Side of lower Manhattan are 30 times more likely to receive a grant from the individual family grants program also administered by FEMA and the New York State Department of Labor.

And just an example. In the area code 10002, which is the lower East Side, which is made of mainly Chinese, there were grants to the Latino, Black and white people. They applied at a rate of only .7 percent for the assistance -- excuse me, 1.7 percent and only 0.7 percent of the people were approved for relief. So there's a tremendous difference desperately between relief that was made available to

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MACHINICTON DC 20005 2704

people on the West Side as opposed to the East Side.

We're holding now FEMA accountable for that and we're contemplating looking at some litigation. That deals with the 9/11 relief area.

In the area of voting rights, as Margie mentioned, there has been new legislation called To And as Margie described, Help America Vote Act. there's an additional requirement of identification that is now imposed newly by registered or people who are applying to be registered to vote. And what we believe at our office, although it hasn't been fully implemented and we anticipate that it will be soon and I think these forms are being produced at the Board of Elections at this moment so that they can distributed. I should just as a side note mention to you my office has for years, and I guess thousands and thousands of people have been registered by volunteers and people from our office at the courthouse down here in 7th district every week. We believe the enactment of this new law will actually make it much more difficult for us to do that voter registration. And we also believe that it will have a desperate impact on newly registered people because they will now be unable -- at the courthouse may produce that kind of document to be registered at that moment. So we will

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have to think of other ways as a practical matter to trying to get that voter registration done more efficiently and effectively.

Of course, there are provisions later on where a person doesn't have the ID attached to their registration form, but they can produce ID at the Board of Elections at the polling site, which has been a bone of contention for us for many years.

We think that the desperate impact occurs because many of the people who are now registering from the Asian American community are recent immigrants and that's evidenced and documented by our exit polls.

By the way, we have conducted exit polls more than ten years in the Manhattan area and Queens, and Brooklyn and for the first time conducted exit Michigan this past election polls in in and Massachusetts and in New Jersey as well. So through these exit polls we've discovered that most of the people who are voting are recent voters, arrivals being 5 years, within 5 to 7 years. We also found that many of them are living -- and do require language assistance.

We also note that at the exit site, exit polls particularly here in New York where Korean was--

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from the Chinese has been -- been required as part of languages provided by the Board of Election for the last ten years or more, this is the first election where Korean language assistance has been mandated. We found that there were at certain polling sites a lack of interpretative services and assistance. Some of the signs that were in Korean and Chinese were not made available to people. There was confusion. Some of the exit poll workers believe Chinese was Korean or vice versa, did not have the materials to put out. Signs were not posted for the interpreter services were not utilized consequently because of that signage problem.

We also discovered in certain instances poll workers who -- Asian voters that they were dirty, that they smelled, those kinds of individual anecdotal stories.

And I think that the bigger problem which has been with us for a while is that a lot of the Asian names don't appear on the voter registration books and people aren't informed that they're allowed to get affidavit ballots, or they're sent away because if their name did not appear and they were given their right to vote, were not given a ballot.

So these are some of the problems that

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 encountered in our exit polls this 2 election 2002. 3 And then if I could just move on to 4 briefly summarize some of the other problems that 5 we've seen. 6 I've mentioned, currently As we are 7 representing about 40 or 50 people in detention. 8 Bigger issues, I'm sure, policy issues that we believe 9 that the indefinite and in some instance mandatory as 10 well as secret detentions are flying in the face of 11 our traditions, civil liberties and civil rights. 12

As you know, most of the people if not all of them have tried to because of their religion as well based on their race or national origin, feel that this kind of activity shouldn't continue in secret. And we participated in a lawsuit with the -- are the names of the people who have been detained as well the name of the returnees, where and how they've been arrested and other information surrounding their charges.

So with that, I would just say that that's a quick overview of some of the issues that we've been dealing with and that have impacted on immigrants on an Asian American community. And if we have time, we can discuss some of them in more detail, some of the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 issues that I raised. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 3 Mark. 4 Now we have Joe Semidei, whose a Deputy 5 Director of the Committee for Hispanic Executive He has worked in the 6 Children and Families. 7 Department of Division of Children and Family Services 8 in the New York Department of Social Services where he 9 helped to implement or did it all by himself the Child 10 Welfare Reform Act single-handedly with no staff, and 11 is now as I say, he is Deputy Executive Director of 12 the Committee for Hispanic Children and Families. 13 Please proceed. 14 MR. SEMIDEI: Thank you. 15 We're part of Margie's coalition. We've 16 around for 20 years and we basically 17 dedicated to the Latino community and advocating for 18 their rights in education, public health and child 19 welfare. 20 In 1998 there was this uproar about the 21 testing in New York state on the state level and a 22 city level. And Mayor Giuliani was very key on 23 holding the school system accountable. There was 24 widespread publicity on that. 25 And in 1998 there was citywide statistics

on elementary schools and their Latin reading scores. We did this study and only had one report which we will give to the Commission. And Mayor Giuliani was bragging on his leadership, the Spanish were higher performance for the students. And citywide it was 49.6, meaning that more than half the students were not reading at the level in which — whatever grade they were in. But for 3 districts that we studied in the South Bronx that were largely Latino, District 7, District 10 and District 12, the statistics are very different. Hugely different.

For District 7 it was 14.3. For District 10 it was 9.4. And for District 12 it was 9.5. So if you're looking toward education as the great equalizer, as the immigrant parent is so desirous of their children getting that education to make a difference in their socio-economic status to have equal opportunity, then the school system is not fulfilling that role that it may have for previous immigrant groups.

The new immigrants are actually experiencing the school system as the great depressor of motivation to succeed in school. Because everywhere they turn there is no help. And as a consequence of that, the school experience is a very

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

.1

frustrating experience for the children and we have incredible dropout rates that I think are a great crises that has not been attended to by the state or by the city.

It's wonderful that -- and our agency will support this -- that every child could get the best education possible and that the standards should be high and they should succeed and we shouldn't try to discriminate against children's learning potential. But when you have high standards and you have these statistics in some of these school districts, and the assumption is basically very simple. Poor children in poor communities -- every system, every social system that impacts on children and poor family is failing them, whether it be the health care, whether it be the police, whether it by the hospitals. So this is a real emergency, a real crises, something that's worthy of investigation by your Commission.

So I'm going to do two things, and one -in a typical style I'll be very thorough. So I'm
going to go and give some details in terms of
educations in terms of immigrant children from the
state.

The state has assumed the same attitude Leave No Child Behind, which is high standards and

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 a i i 3 E 4 R 5 t 6 o 7 s s s

accountability. Create a 12 step action plan that identifies what school districts had to do to get the English language learners to be able to pass the Regents exam that Margie spoke about earlier, which is the English language arts exam. That was designed originally for English speaking, native English speaking people after an experience of being in a school for a while to be able to graduate from high school.

They're being put to this standard and there's no middle standard. They say either you get that or you fail, or you're asked to leave the school or you're encouraged to leave the school and get an equivalency diploma. And we know the economic impact of these decisions on poor people.

So the state created two advisory boards to look into this problem. If we were going to have these high standards and these 12 step programs were going to be created, what was needed. And one of the recommendations that both advisory boards made was to create a safety net for the English language learners. One because so many come from countries rather recently. They may come a year or two before they have to take the Regents. So it's very unfair. And so what they thought was to create an intermediate

step of English exam that would accommodate for their 1 2 reality and their situation. This was sent up to Commissioner Mills, 3 the state Commissioner, Department of Education. And 4 he rejected it flatly. And as a result, we have some 5 6 interesting statistics. 7 The class of 1997 for the four year dropout rate for English language learners was 15.9. 8 9 And 40 percent of the English language learners 10 graduated on time. 11 Then with all these so called reforms and 12 accountability, the class of 2000 the dropout soared 13 to 30.6 and only 30.3 of the English language learners 14 graduated on time. 15 The experience of the class of 2001 is 16 that the dropout rate will be 31.7, nearly doubling 17 the dropout rate in only four years. 18 So this is a crises. You can just tell. I 19 was in a situation where I did not know English when I 20 went to school. My mother didn't know English. So how 21 could she help me? So the school is the only resource 22 to make that difference for immigrant families. And if 23 they are indifferent, don't structure their curriculum 24 and programs to be commiserate with that reality, this 25 is what I had to do. When I was in school and a

teacher was speaking in English, I had to draw things because I could not read English. So whatever little bit I understood, I drew. Jane is running. Spot is here. Things like that.

When I went home and I looked at the stuff, I couldn't see exactly what I wrote. And I turned to my parents, they couldn't help me. So as a result I did very poorly in school. It took me a long time to catch up. And I can tell you it helps to have people in the early years helping you. Because middle class parents, that's all they do is try, even with better resources and English as their native language, to raise a support system all through their elementary and junior high school and high school so they have a better future. The opposite it true. If we don't have these things for immigrant families and children, they're not going to have that. Yet our American democracy is built that education is the equalizer and it's not performing this function.

So the 12 step program had no new resources attached to any of its recommendations. And so it's a high standard, high accountability. So we have accountability by government for the students. We don't have it for the school system and we're not really measuring what their able to do.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And we're seeing it in the junior high school, the preparation for immigrant children is where it's very critical and there's where they're really failing. So by the time they get to high school and try to pass these Regents, it's almost a foregone conclusion that they're not going to do it.

And then what's happening is they are discharging them and specifically -- and you'll be held to this accountability. You want your statistics to go high. You're not going to want a whole body of population to go and suppress your statistics. And they're covering it over here. We know what human nature is like. So the discharge is happening. 55,000 kids every year are being discharged. A good bulk of those kids are our kids and we're very concerned with the kind of treatment they're getting in the school system.

In terms of Leave No Child Behind,
President Bush, I think that it's a very good law. I
think it was very good in the sense that it wants to
be able to improve educational results for school
children and for communities. I think, as Margie
mentioned earlier, the data is very important to hold
the schools accountable. Tracking within the schools
in terms of how they treat or how they are impacting

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

on English language learners. I think it's a step forward. Therefore, we will have real data to be able to hold them accountable.

I think that the annual progress report is a good one, and giving annual English tests to measure the proficiency of this group is very important. Because then we can measure from year to year exactly what's going on. We can differentiate where a problem is mostly in the elementary school, the junior high school or in the high school or in all three. So that is good.

School choice provision is very good in theory. In a sense, and Margie mentioned this before, that the assumptions that you would move within a school district to another school. But if you're in the South Bronx and you're a parent looking at where's a better school than the school my kid is in, and you take these statistics, we broke it down into every school. And one of the things we wanted to do was have like a palm pilot for the parents so when they go to the parent teacher association meeting, the parent can say I have 3 kids at 3 different schools. Look at the overall results of my kids. Are they getting a fair share? They can hold the school accountable.

But to his credit, the Chancellor has

liberalized so that you can go into another school district. And Margie mentioned that if the other schools are better, they may not have bilingual education that's so needed for our kids. So it's good theoretically but practically I'm not sure. It may have a very marginal difference.

One very good provision is the need for supplementary services to be able to readdress some of the gaps in educational achievements. Only 10 percent of the students have been able to take advantage of this. And to our understanding there has been no provider. Most of the schools have elected to do it themselves, mostly, against providers who do it. And we have not identified any provider that has a bilingual ESL component to it. So it's another thing where theoretically it sounds good, but we're not getting the benefit of that provision of the law. So that needs to be examined.

So what we think in my agency and working with the coalition is there's a variety of issues that impact on these kids. These kids wouldn't go to school, may not have proper nutrition or health care, so all that adds to an atmosphere of frustration when they get to school. So this dropout rate is incredible and we have to do something about it, and I

### NEAL R. GROSS

1 recommend that this Commission give a very systematic 2 and careful study. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much, 4 Mr. Semidei. 5 And the last person on this panel -- we'll have some questions -- is Mayra Peters-Quintero, who 6 is Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund. 7 She's been a clerk for district court. She's both a 8 9 lawyer and has a degree in international development. She developed the Immigrant Workers Rights Project at 10 11 PRLDEF. And could you please proceed. 12 Thank you very much. 13 Thank you very much MS. PETERS-QUINTERO: 14 for having me. 15 Ι work for a Latino civil rights organization, but I work exclusively on the issue of 16 17 immigrant and low wage worker rights. So I'm glad to 18 know the Commission has recognized, the way my office 19 has, that this is an issue that falls clearly in our traditional civil rights framework, and it's often 20 21 excluded. So I'm very happy to have been invited here. 22 I'm worried because you thought Margie's 23 presentation was depressing. I wanted to start by 24 just giving you a little bit of context and sharing a 25 little bit about my clients, as I believe they are

pretty representative of the low wage and immigrant work force here in New York City to give you a sense before I talk about the Supreme Court case I've been asked to remark on.

Unfortunately, the age of the sweat shop is alive and well here in New York. Just a few miles from here where we sit in Brooklyn, there are plenty of sweat shops, garment shops, factories with horrible health and safety conditions. None of my clients work less than 60 hours a week, many of them work a 100. Virtually all of the employers I deal with violate minimum wage and/or overtime provisions blatantly and recklessly.

I don't have any clients who have ever reported any paid vacation or sick days, health benefits or job provided health benefits are wholly unheard of. And although we are happy that the AFL/CIO has changed its stance towards immigrants, it hasn't trickled down quite enough. We have very little union representation amongst low wage and immigrant workers here so far.

You've probably seen the reports.

Immigrant workers are killed and injured on work sites

at rates far higher than their nonimmigrant

counterparts, so on and so forth.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 So these workers come to me for legal 2 advice or for help getting organized because they want to get together and demand their wages. And for the 3 hardest request of all, they usually come to me asking 4 for dignity and respect on their jobs. Something very 5 simple, but very difficult to deliver if the skills 6 7 you have are legal skills or organizing skills. 8 Because, as Margie pointed out in her introduction, 9 it's kind of open season in New York for employers 10 against immigrant workers. 11 12

I have workers who call me saying that they sliced their hand on a machine at work. There's no first aid kid and their employer said they'll fire him if he leaves for the emergency room. What can he do?

As I go into the repercussions of the Hoffman Plastics decision, like Margie said, it is a narrow holding and it does apply to a set of circumstances. It's a remedy specific to a particular action on the part of the employer. But the ramifications in New York has been outstanding.

As for the employers I deal with, they are across the board, as I mentioned. Unscrupulous at best. Usually they seek to hire only immigrant workers. Often they specifically prefer undocumented

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

workers and are explicit about this. We know these workers, of course, are vulnerable, afraid and easy to exploit. This is nothing new. This has gone on for decades.

These same employers, of course, then hide behind the illegality of the worker's present in the U.S. when we challenge the employer to treat the workers fairly. So the same employer who cries for tighter Immigration restrictions and has rallied behind the Hoffman Plastics decision is the same employer that piles his new workers when he hires them into a car and drives out to Queens to buy them their fake Social Security card so he can file out the I-90.

We have a complicated situation, which brings me to the topic I've been asked to speak on, which is the Hoffman Plastics Supreme Court decision.

On an aside, Margie mentioned the Social Security no-match issue, which is possibly equally depressing concern for immigrant workers and their advocates. It's the policy of the Social Security Administration to generate these letters alerting employers of mismatches between name and numbers. And more importantly, what is of concern to us, is the matter in which these letters are now being used to discriminate immigrant workers from asserting their

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

workplace rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Because my time is limited, and I feel the issue of Hoffman Plastics is very important, I don't know if I can submit my more detailed remarks on that in writing.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You can do that.

MS. PETERS-QUINTERO: Okay. I'll do that. Then I'm just going to focus on Hoffman Plastics.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Very good.

MS. PETERS-QUINTERO: You probably already know Hoffman Plastics Compounds versus NLRB was a Supreme Court decision that came down in March of this It has to do with the worker who had been fired illegally for union activity in his workplace, and no one is contesting the fact that he was fired illegally. The issue revolves around the question of backpay for that worker for the time he was illegally excluded from work. And the Supreme Court reversed the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals and the NLRB saying that because the worker was of undocumented status, he was not entitled to his backpay despite the fact that the firing was definitely illegal.

There's been plenty of legal analysis on this. And I thought I would just take this opportunity to give you a snapshot of what we now call the post-

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

Hoffman world in immigrant worker circles and let you know how the impact of Hoffman Plastics has really been devastating here in New York.

I am hoping that you'll accept the New York Immigration Coalition's recommendation that the Commission thorough assess the negative impact on immigrant workers.

The courts and lawyers like to use this term of chilling effect. They say "Oh, the chilling effect of this decision," or the other. It's often an academic term. It has to do with somewhere the line a meritorious claimant might not come forward and there is chilling effect. Well with the Hoffman the chilling effect was direct and very immediate. Literally the day after the decision was announced in Spanish language media, I was flooded with phone calls from clients and non-clients alike in a panic; worried that the claims that they already submitted, they had courageously come forward to submit, that this may now be dismissed. Is it true that they've lost all their rights. You told me if I stood up for my rights and I got fired, there was something you could do. The panic mounted. And the chilling effect has direct.

It has very hard at this stage to convince

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

workers, rightfully so, to come forward and assert their rights. Because we don't know the real impact of the Hoffman Plastics decision legally as yet. And I know at a minimum, although I know NLRB is a long and slow process, I did rely and have successfully relied on NLRB process to vindicate some of my clients when they've been fired for coming together for any collective action. I have been able to successfully use the NLRB. Now I have no promise to offer the worker, which brings me to the second impact, which is legal.

surprisingly, we've seen employers trying to extend Hoffman to a variety of employment They've been moving in courts for these contexts. blanket inquiries into worker's immigration status. Thankfully, many federal courts have been good on this and said, no, there's no reason. Hoffman doesn't apply to work performed. I mean, that's a very that workers distinction here is important undocumented or not are still protected and entitled to their wages for work perform. Hoffman only spoke about the time after the worker was fired.

Here in New York employers we're seeing them arguing even that immigrant workers don't have a right to protest their labor conditions because of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be

Hoffman Plastics. I mean, they've challenged every case we have on Hoffman Plastics grounds, even though as we said initially, it's a narrow holding. We're worried about where Hoffman Plastics will go, how courts will treat it. There's also about backpay will whether serious concern available to undocumented workers under our other anti-discrimination laws. has also determined and made memorandum that based on its

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The EEOC, thankfully, has reaffirmed its position that undocumented workers are protected by our civil rights and discrimination statutes, but it public through interpretation Hoffman, the EEOC will no longer pursue any backpay for undocumented claimants. So we're starting to see the legal ramifications of Hoffman in other arenas.

And then the final and most important impact of the Hoffman decision is something a little less concrete, but something I'd like to leave the Commission with as you plan your work and shape what you're going to do.

impact of Hoffman, The worst in my opinion, is the world it has created for all workers regardless of status. It has really changed the way we think about the protections we offer our workers.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005 2704

if

that

In a 1984 case Shurtain versus NLRB, the

their

legally

rightfully predicated

undocumented workers were excluded from participation

in union activities and were excluded from protections

against employer intimidation "there would be created

a subclass of workers without a comparable stake in

coworkers, thereby eroding the unity of all employees

and impeding any effective collective bargaining."

Well this really resonates now that the subclass that

created. Unfortunately, a defining features that all

of my clients share is that they've all suffered from

some form of retaliation on the job for asserting

their rights, every last one of them, whether it's a

boss screaming and yelling at them, a cut in their

wages as punishment, threats to call the INS and most

commonly, unfortunately, just the straight firing.

Just dismissal off the bat for a worker asserting

Supreme Court predicted, has definitely been

of

1

2

Supreme

Court

collective goals

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

their rights.

21

22

23

24

25

(202) 224 4422

By stripping undocumented workers of their right to recovery backpay, there's essentially no remedy left for us as advocates to fight for them. This subclass idea is being set in a word about how to turn that back. **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MAQUINICTON DC 2000E 2704 (202) 224 4422

There are no other penalties, you should 1 know, under the NLRA unlike other anti-discrimination 2 3 statutes where we can pursue other forms of penalties. The NLRA has no penalties against management. The only 4 remedy that costs anything to management is backpay, 5 6 and we've lost that. Undocumented workers, can they fight for 7 8 reinstatement; we've lost that as well. So in sum, Hoffman has really diminished 9 10 the incentive for workers to stand up and assert their 11 rights. And it's hard for us as advocates to convince 12 them, because we no longer have the tools that we had 13 to vindicate their rights if they were retaliated 14 against all at the same time creating an additional 15 incentive for employers to hire and exploit these 16 vulnerable workers. 17 I told you it would be depressing. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It is. I'll say thank 19 you anyway. It's all very informative. 20 I have a lot of questions. Anybody, other 21 Commissioners have questions. 22 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Thanks everybody 23 for your presentations. 24 MR. MARK: Excuse me. I forgot to mention 25 -- I wanted to submit for the record a piece that I

1 co-authored with several other folks, the parallels
2 between the -- detentions which this presentation
3 doesn't allow me -4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. No objection,

Yes, Commissioner Kirsanow.

we'll put it in the record.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: My question is directed at Ms. Quintero. You indicated that being deprived by being deprived of the backpay remedy that has a chillness factor, and I've recognized that. I'm wondering if there's been any attempt to explore other remedies that could actually have a more chilling effect on an employer attempt to discriminate on the basis of national origin; that is by utilizing whistleblower sketches for example where you may even have punitive damages and attorneys fees available that would scare employers a lot more than simple backpay.

I also recognize or understand that in California Supreme Court's recognized the RICO cause of action where employers are, as you say, engaged in a conspiracy to employ undocumented workers and deprive them of their FLSA protections. Has there been any attempt in New York to look at a RICO cause of action where there is possibly a joint or coordinated

### NEAL R. GROSS

1 employer attempt to deprive employees of OSHA, FLSA 2 or any other kind of statutory protections? 3 PETERS-QUINTERO: Stan's office is MS. working on that exact issue, so I'm going to let him 4 5 answer. 6 But I just wanted to say one thing about 7 In the Hoffman case the employer allegedly did 8 not know that the worker was undocumented. 9 don't know -- well, we haven't tested yet a case where 10 the employer was complacent in hiring an undocumented 11 worker under Hoffman. So we're waiting to hear on 12 that. 13 And the second thing I was going to add, 14 as far as exploring other remedies, the NLRB General 15 Counsel in his guidance that he issued post-Hoffman 16 has encouraged his board agents and his regional 17 offices to get creative and to think outside of the 18 usual remedies we've been applying, to think about new 19 There are no concrete suggestions in the memo, ways. 20 but their tone is to try to come up with other 21 solutions. 22 As far as the RICO Act cause of action, 23 the worker's right, Stan's office is doing just that. 24 So I'll let him speak to that. 25 I'll talk about the other MR. MARK:

areas. Our office also represents low wage workers, 1 Chinese, Korean, South Asian workers and also taxicab 2 drivers, domestic workers as well. And the big issue 3 in the low immigrant -- low wage worker immigrant 4 community is the unpaid wage for overtime enforcing. 5 And I would say one strong recommendation for those 6 who support labor standards, is to fund -- properly 7 fund the Department of Labor both at the federal level· 8 and the state level to fully enforce the minimum wage 9 10 That act is the greatest disincentive for employers treats workers. That's the first thing. 11 12 The second thing is on the RICO, we've attempted in one of our cases to utilize that theory. 13 14

The second thing is on the RICO, we've attempted in one of our cases to utilize that theory. One comment further then that, that we are trying to do that in I would say creative ways to extend it. I'm not aware of any particular case that's been successful with that, but we're certainly looking at that and we are --

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: One other followup. With respect to FLSA, are you exploring class actions on the basis of FLSA deprivations merely with respect to overtime?

MR. MARK: Yes. We're engaged in a class action suit against -- actually where we're utilizing the economic reality discussed -- joint liability

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

theory to bring in the manufacturing. In many of 2 these instances the manufacturers because of publicity 3 as well as, you know, their concern that they are 4 compliant with the law, they will -- settlement. 5 that case is in mitigation. And actually one of the 6 rulings that we had in the discovery level was that 7 the judge in the case refused to compel us to disclose 8 the Immigration status of our plaintiff workers and 9 did not apply Hoffman. It was under a discovery 10 motion. 11 We're not in a -- I think that at this 12 point it is pretty much uphill in terms of trying to 13 enforce labor standards both, you know, in our office 14 at least we're trying to think of different ways of 15 creatively utilizing what we have and to enforce those 16 laws. 17 I'm not sure if I'm answering your 18 question. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, you are. 20 I'm sorry for monopolizing. 21 One other problem. With respect to the NRB 22 position and the -- guidance saying that reinstatement 23 back will not be afforded to undocumented aliens or 24 undocumented workers, any thought given to the 25 prospect of -- my understanding of Hoffman also is

that however if that plaintiff or that complainant 1 later becomes or chooses legal status, he then has 2 what you will see -- and I don't know if I'm right 3 about that, but that's my understanding -- any thought 4 to raising a cause of action with the understanding 5 that while that individual may not be properly 6 documented now, he may be in the future and therefore may be in entitled to remedies. So therefore, keeping 8 any backpay on a hold or in escrow or something of 9 10 that nature by the court? 11 Well, the error MS. PETERS-QUINTERO: being for reinstatement has even post-Hoffman is that 12 they're going to keep what they call their remedy of 13 conditional reinstatement, which essentially says the 15 worker is reinstated upon proof that he's authorized 16 to work in the country. The backpay for that worker who can later provide proof will be from the time he or she was fired to the time they were asked to provide the proof, and then assuming they were able to provide the proof. 21 If the worker is never able to provide this proof, and there is a time frame, I'm not sure 22 23 how long it is. Even in decisions prior to MARK: MR. Hoffman, back in Shurtain the remedies were limited to

7

14

17

18

19

2.0

24

a certain period. I don't recall whether it was one year or two years, or even less than that for a person to return to the United States in legal status.

With Hoffman I think the same problem exists. People who are out of status generally cannot — the reason why they're out of status because they can't legalize their status. So it's very hard to come back.

At this point I'm not sure if there's a way to circumvent that bar. But unless there's a new registry statute or a new legalization for people who have been here, I mean we're going to see a broad class of people. And I think it goes back to an old issue of employee statutes being one of the problems that -- and that has caused discrimination towards -and the fact that that policy has failed to achieve its purpose in deterring or hiring of undocumented workers, we have current growing class of people. I believe that it has be a reexamination of that Because it's been -- at least by employers, policy. really to not only discover the status of their individual workers are, but a hammer over them to force them to work in very exploited conditions -- and using that hammer, that particular law as a way to keep them in bondage basically. It's very

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 disturbing trend. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you very 2 3 much. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 5 Commissioner Thernstrom? I'm COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 6 very 7 interested in what you call the push-out problem and would be very interested in how you collect hard 8 evidence of that problem. 9 10 I've been on a state board of education for, I don't know, 7 years, something like that now. 11 This is in Massachusetts. One of the things that's 12 very, very clear from my experience on the state board 13 14 is that the dropout problem is -- that dropout 15 statistics are totally unreliable. We have got a 16 terrific problem in Massachusetts, and I know it's nationwide, with counting kids in school. Because a 17 18 lot of very low income families move about a great deal, I mean particularly low income families move. 19 20 Schools have no idea where they go. They don't know 21 whether they're in school, they don't know whether 22 they're out of school. 23 And in any case it seems to me that one of 24 the interesting questions that arises, at least in my

head, is to look at some comparisons here. That is,

1	what do the dropout figures look like, say, for
2	African American students, second generation students
3	versus newcomers, and give a breakdown of these
4	figures to see exactly the degree to which it is an
5	immigrant problem.
6	And just one last word, and unfortunately
7	I'm on the run in about 2 minutes. One last word.
8	You know, you talked about the South
9	Bronx. The South Bronx is the home of one of the best
10	charter schools in the nation. It has the kids from
11	the South Bronx projects almost exclusively. They are,
12	as you know, African American students. That is the
13	Kipp Academy. And, of course, they have the best math
14	scores in the entre Bronx, and I think second or third
15	best in all the city. Their English scores are also
16	very, very high.
17	So, good schools can make a huge
18	difference. And I think and we should never let
19	you know, let that fact out of our sight.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So was that a
21	question or just
. 22	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I just wanted to
23	say, look
24	MR. MARK: Could I make a comment as well?
25	This is sort of related.

#### COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes.

MR. MARK: We should also take note that,

I believe it's a high school in Jersey City, which is
a public school, which is under a controlled state of

New Jersey, has produced its first two Westinghouse

Science winners. That school and many public schools
all through the country compete.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But, I mean, the Kipp Academy doesn't — per pupil spending is not high. You know, I mean the fact was there are charter schools very important to what they hope to do with them. Anyway, I would be very curious, as I say, I'm on the run but very curious to know. I mean, I like hard evidence. I'm a data person. And the counting dropout problem really bothers me.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I just before Commissioner Thernstrom leaves, a newer edification since you're working on these issues, trying to work on them and the people who are working on them. And she's on the state board in Massachusetts. Maybe she could tell us how the state board in Massachusetts has dealt with collecting data and what guidance have you given to your local school system? What formats do you have them use. And do you have a task force on this or is there something you're doing that they

could learn from in terms of trying to grapple with this issue?

the midst of trying to grapple with it. I mean, a lot of -- it's a twofold problem. One, we don't know where the children are going who disappear from a particular school. We don't know if they're in school or out of school. But also there's a daily attendance problem. And that is when we see students not passing the statewide examine, which is equivalent to the Regent's exam.

We cannot have accurate attendance data. That is a lot of these students we have one study from a nonprofit, a lot of these students really attending school. They are being marked in as having arrived, but they're really hall walkers. They come in and out of class. They stay, you know, they talk with their friends in the halls. They get a lunch, a good lunch, and so forth. But they're not really in school.

And in order to -- I mean school systems have to get serious about keeping attendance figures, keeping track of these students, dialing a phone when they're not really in school, dialing the phone to their parents. You know, all sorts of action needs to be taken, and I'm afraid too many schools are not

1	taking any.
2	And one of the benefits of the high stakes
3	testing is for the first time the schools are under
4	pressure to make sure that no child is left behind,
5	and they really weren't doing that before.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you.
7	Did you have any questions earlier on this
8	side of the table?
9	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I have none.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And I apologize.
12	I'm sorry. I apologize to the group. Because this is
13	extremely interesting and I apologize to the group for
14	having to go. And I thank you very much for your
15	testimony.
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Madam Chair, I'll
17	be very interested in reading the transcript. The
18	presentations were extremely informative. Very
19	important issues that I think we'll be undertaking in
20	our future.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Meeks?
22	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, maybe I missed
23	this in the presentations, but on the ELO issue, has
24	there been any legislative action or talk even on
25	tracking that particular follow up from the No Child

Left Behind?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I think that there MS. McHUGH: been much action vis-à-vis No Child Left hasn't Behind, because it's only just being implemented in the state. And, frankly, I think the way the state looks at it is mainly from the finance side, that they're interested in using that money, getting the money down into schools in part because there's been such controversy around school funding. And part of way we, I think, avoided or the state attempted to avoid some of the controversy is to put the federal money and to try and quiet people instead of really adjusting the very large lawsuit that we have in the state and the like.

We've attempted to get legislation to create an alternative examine. We think that it failed in the past, but I think that we're getting closer and that there's more agreement with the Regents. But they're very concerned that the dropout rate has shot up so dramatically.

And then the other legislative track that this has effect on was when the state tried to bundle all of its money by school district, now it's talking about having a lot more flexibility. And so the big push over the last two years at the state level has

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	been to try and send money to the schools under a
2	flex pay formula. And we fought very hard against
3	that because it's been so hard for us to track and to
4	see any money going into these services, that the last
5	thing we wanted was for schools to lose their
6	accountability to be showing that they were at least
7	using this money to provide services to ELLs. So
8	there's not been legislative work, I think beyond
9	those two areas.
10	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So on Commissioner
11	Thernstrom's remark about how it's hard to get, you
12	know, hard data about dropout rates, but then is it
13	clear that the dropout rate, no matter how it gets
14	counted, went it up?
15	MS. McHUGH: Yes, you know
16	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I mean after yes.
17	MS. McHUGH: I want to say that we had
18	that trouble in New York. Our problem has been that
19	to take state gets the number and tries to deny that
20	it has the number for about 6 months, because to
21	verify it. So our bigger problem has been getting them
22	to release the numbers when they have them.
23	But you actually hear very little at
24	either, the advocacy or legal levels or at the system

levels that there is serious concern about whether the

numbers are accurate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there's only been one report published so far, and it was published about 4 to 6 weeks ago, maybe, that looks at this push-out issue. And I think that the push-out issue is going to be much more in the limelight in the coming year because that's really where between where the system's now adjusting to the dropout rate is through this push-out.

We felt we were going to have a hard time getting a handle on it because we were hearing about cell providers who were saying why do I have 16 year old kids coming to my class that, you know, normally I have only 50 and 60 -- you know, 40 and 50 year old people coming to. And then also so many community groups saying we're being inundated in our GED Can you tell us how to get funding to set programs. more of them. And then the more we looked at the kids who were in them, we were saying, no, no, no. These kids have been illegally discharged. They need to be back in school and you need to fight to get them back into classrooms, not to be trying to set up GED programs for them.

So we can get you that before -- it was produced by Advocates for Children and New York City Public Advocate -- and the data sources there -- I've

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

not heard anything other than that they were quite reliable and we couldn't believe that more people weren't looking at them.

I know when you have CHAIRPERSON BERRY: to look at all these issues together, I assume, but as I listen to you talk, all of you, and thought about the issues and then put it together with other things we know from the work we do, like being in San Diego last month and going down on the border and looking at the inconsistent policies where on the one hand they've tighten up the border to keep people from coming across in California and now they're going through the desert or the mountains in Arizona and people are dying. And the Park Service says "Well, we didn't mean for them to die. I mean, that wasn't the But the policy. And then at the same time employers hiring lots of undocumented workers California and everywhere else as fast as they can get them and paying them low wages to do their jobs.

Well, what I was struck by, that was all these inconsistencies. The lack of coherence in the policies. The contradictions in the policies which may reflect just a general contradiction in the way Americans have developed a consensus about -- maybe there's no consensus about how to deal with these

### NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

issues. Because none of what you've said put together made any sense.

I mean, why would you have a bunch of people and not educate them properly when you know that it's going to, if I understand Russell the things he said before, that education is key, human capital is key to developing productivity and so on. So are wages key, I guess, to what employers pay.

But why have a bunch of kids and have a law that says they're not going to be left behind, and people are going to be accountable for teaching them and then you don't deploy the resources because you don't have them? Let's face up to that. There aren't enough teachers with all the languages that the kids need unless you come up with some other solution, like using people from the community, or some other way to do it, or some massive program. And then what about the supply side of teachers? I mean, where do you get the teachers? What do you pay them? No one ever talks about that, or how do you get them to do that as opposed to something else?

And so all of these things are examples of inconsistencies and lack of coherence and contradictions. And if I didn't know better, I would think that somewhere there was someone going ahead and

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

design to have a bunch of people who want to come, 1 because they're seeking opportunity and then to 2 3 exploit them and then to deny them of upper mobility. And then to blame them for it, but get them to vote 4 for you, but if you think they're not, then don't let 5 6 you know. But I don't believe in them vote, 7 conspiracy, so I don't believe that. But anyway, the question about all this 8 9 was to see how the pieces of it fit together and to 10 wonder, hey, you got a coherent policy on this. 11 Russell, do you have any idea? 12

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, having reflected on this greatly, no, I don't have an idea. But it does strike me that the explanation about how is that these groups are fit together pieces politically under represented and without power and politically and economically marginal. And therefore, the systemic response, the system is marginally indifferent and it's the very good work of the groups that are represented on the panel today to shake up the system and shake up that indifference.

So I commend you for what you're doing and for calling these problems to our attention. Thank you.

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. All right.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MARCHINICTON D.C. 2000E 2701

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, with that, we'll have the second panel.

You have educated us sufficiently, I think, on this. And I really appreciate what you had to say and it'll help us in setting our action. Thank you very much.

Oh, and there is a reception by the way at the end of all the panels. We are sponsoring a reception for everyone who wants to come with free food. And we'd like to meet with you and chat with you.

## PANEL II: POST-9/11 CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUES AND DISASTER RELIEF BENEFITS CONCERNS

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much for coming, all of you. We really appreciate it. So far this has been very, very interesting and illuminating and we've learned a great deal.

On this panel I'm going to introduce everyone first, and then we'll go through.

Mr. Muzaffar Chisti has been before us before, the Civil Rights Commission. And he directs Migration Policy Institute at the NYU School of Law, which focuses on labor, Immigration law, civil liberties, immigration integration. Before he was at the Migration Policy Institute, he was with the Immigration Project of the Union Legal Trades, which

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	is where I think he was the last time he came before
2	us. He is a member of a variety of organizations that
3	deal with this issue, and we very appreciate him
4	coming.
5	And the next person that we're going to
6	have is Mr. Hiram Monserrate, who is a member of is
7	he from the New York City Council? And so he is going
8	to be second. He's been a police officer, that was
9	interesting in Bayside, wherever that is. It's in New
10	York somewhere. Is it New York?
11	MR. MONSERRATE: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which part of New
13	York.
14	MR. MONSERRATE: I also 1999 about police
15	practices.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. I'm just trying
17	to figure out where Bayside is.
18	MR. MONSERRATE: Queens.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Queens. Oh, okay.
20	Thank you very much.
21	He was the first police officer selected
22	to serve the Board of Regents, New York Civil
23	Liberties Union. He served as co-chairman to
24	chaired the select committee on civil rights. And very
25	pleased that he is with us today.

We also have Emira Habiby Browne, who is the founder and Executive Director of the Arab-American Family Support Center established in 1993 to serve the Arab speaking community in New York. She also has had a wide variety of community contributions and experience, and we're pleased that she is here with us today.

And next to her we have Mr. Bobby Khan, is the Director of the Coney Island Avenue Project. After 9/11, he along with members of other communities started the Coney Island Avenue — he started this project and it's a multiracial organization that links people to legal and financial resources. He was a political activist in Pakistan and has been jailed several times in his fight for peace and justice.

The next presenter that we have is Mr. Omar Mohammedi, who is an attorney practicing law in New York. His main practice is civil rights law, employment discrimination business actions. He's President of the New York Arab Muslim Bar Association.

And next to him we have Margaret Chin, who is a leader and advocate for the Asian Americans and immigrant communities. Over 20 years of experience in working in that community. She's the Deputy Executive Director of Asian Americans for Equality, AAFE, where

1	she oversees and directs the organization's overall
2	response to 9/11
3	We will begin with you, Mr. Chisti, please
4	proceed.
5	MR. CHISTI: Thank you, Madam Chair. What
6	a stunning memory you have.
7	I'm very glad to be here this morning, and
8	we thank you for coming down all the way to hear
9	what's happening here in New York.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You're welcome,
11	MR. CHISTI: I will just give a very quick
12	broad overview of the implications of the policies
13	that have been connected, mostly at the federal level
14	with the attacks since 9/11.
15.	I think all of us agree that 9/11 was very
16	germane, an extremely important chapter in America's
17	immigration history if for no reason that all of the
18	19 people who were foreign born. And therefore,
19	attention on traditional policy on immigrants was
20	expected to be very high or very heightened.
21	The initial stages of the reaction after
22	9/11, there was a strong concern that we'd take a very
23	broad brush that all Muslims and Arab Americans in
24	this country would be equally viewed with terrorism
25	and terrorists. And I think to the credit of some

parts of the leadership, the right tone was set quite early on. I think one should credit President Bush, and even Hollywood for setting the right tone so that Muslims should not be.

But given the right tone of that political speech, I think, it sharply contrasts to the actual actions taken by this Administration since 9/11. And they importantly be mostly in the form of executive decisions taken by the Attorney General that in almost all cases circumventing the normal Immigration process of oversight and even normal regulatory process of issuing regulation and taking public comment.

So what we have seen in the last 14 months or so are streams of measures from the Attorney General's office which I feel have strong implications for our constitutional position and structure and have had involved very important attack on the local communities. And, obviously, not very popular at all, the issues that post-9/11 action are raised, I just -- and I would say they are secrecy, it's the targeting of individuals on the basis of national origin, it's the abuse of detention practices since 9/11 and it's the increased threat that local state law enforcement officers are going to be enforcing on Immigration law. And these are each of them in order.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MINCHINICTON DC 2000E 2701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's the Secrecy. most important development that has happened in the actions of the government since 9/11. It will frequently compare our present chapter with the World War II internment of the Japanese Americans. The distinguishing thing about today is the secrecy. We did intern Japanese Americans. We did intern them in large numbers. hateful chapter in our history, but it was at least The 2000 people who have been caught of done openly. dragnet of the arrests since 9/11 has been shrouded in secrecy. We have never had this much of

It's the names of these people, the places they are being held, the charges that were brought against them, whether they have counsel or not, that their bond is posted against them and there have been a blanket policy for secrecy by the government.

secrecy in the operations of our government.

Even conservatives in this country have equated that scenario with the military divisions of Chile and Argentina, and we frankly did not think that we were going to have that as part of our practice of the government.

The second part of the secrecy is the secrecy of the proceedings in immigration itself. The government issued a directive early on that they had a

MARCHINICTON DC 2000E 2704

right to seal many Immigration proceedings from the They won't allow the press in. They won't public. allow relatives there. And that, obviously, that people have said that democracy is hiding behind closed doors as an issue that has troubled federal judges and they're beginning to speak about that.

As you probably know by now, two courts of appeals have come under -- with that issue, and that issue once again we've had to probably go -- a measure that you're too familiar with it.

The second major category of issues raised by 9/11 is the targeting of individuals on the basis of national origin. And, yes, there are many programs of the government which made clear that the targets have been Arab Americans and particularly Muslims. And I'll just mention some of the programs that you might have heard of.

It's believed that there are about 340,000 people in this country who have had their final orders of deportation and have not left. This is within the parlance, now we call it, common. Of these 340,000 people, the government decided they were going to target only 6,000 people for action. And all these 6,000 people are being picked for priority targeting are people of Muslim countries and, obviously, they've

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

had a huge impact in our communities. There are a lot of people of my fellow panelists here who I'm sure will touch on the details of the impact of this. But suffice it to say so far we know from facts that none of the people picked up in this strong initiative have been linked to terrorism. The people who have been picked up in this initiative have been garden variety folks in our community who have deep ties, previously — spouses and children, have had businesses that are obviously ruined by their arrests and the families that they leave behind for no one to take care of. These are gas attendants. These are taxi drivers. The normal grocery store owner.

which has had The second program significant national origin of impact in terms of the targeting is the voluntary interview program. The Attorney General decided that he should invite about 5,000 initially and then 3,000 additional people of the ages of 18 to 33, I think, only from countries which have tried with what they call the "outsider And by all practical implications, that has ties." meant Muslims from certain countries. These are people who are getting legal status. These people have done nothing illegal. Who are asked to come for interviews with FBI officials and ATF officials. And we're now

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 local law enforcement frequently to send officials, including -- to brief the FBI about their 2 3 activities in the United States. 4 This is just to say just to paint and 5 paint in such broad terms an entire community has had a strong chilling effect on the community in general. 6 7 the third part of the 8 targeting has been now what we now call the new entry 9 immigrant registration system. That only people from 10 certain countries when they arrive in the United 11 States will be fingerprinted. And they are the people 12 who will be asked to come to periodically for 13 registration and fingerprinting at U.S. Immigration 14 offices. 15 The other item is of abuse in detention 16 factors. As I know my time is running out, here you 17 will find evidence that we have engaged in prolonged 18 detention of people before we brought charges against 19 them. We have kept people in detention frequently for 20 months, even before we brought the charge. And after 21 we brought the charge, we did not let them out on 22 bond, which we would normally do, in secret. 23 And third detention factor, that 24 after people have been ordered deported or they accept

voluntary departure, the government has kept them in

1

jail for months before they are released from jail.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think both in terms of how the law and then how the abuse of these factors are, they raise very strong concern.

The last thing is the state and local which I'm colleague Hiram enforcement, sure my Monserrate will talk more about. The Attorney General has concluded that the state and local authority have been held the authority to enforce Immigration law. They have made this an opinion, but they have not released it. And we have all been speculated about how they're releasing. One of the important things you will do today is to make sure that if maybe you could make a request to the Attorney General as to how they will release their legal opinion which will give me some proof that can be done and that the law, which obviously raises both important constitutional (2) raises strong policy questions and very implications about the trust that local police has built with the community in which they operate in.

is all I'm going to say on This government election. There are private actions that have had very significant impact, and I won't touch on There's the hate crimes which we know we have them. risen 1600 percent in the last year against Muslims in

1	the United States, in the FBI report about a week
2	ago. There are obviously very severe economic
3	discrimination work place discrimination which I'm
4	sure my colleague will talk about. There is a heavy
5	new increase in airport profiling, which is now in
6	litigation. And in New York there's training of
7	landlords done by the police department to teach them
8	how to spot terrorists either in obviously a very
9	important new dimension of a chilling effect on the
10	community.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. I don't see any
13	questions. Thank you.
14	Mr. Monserrate?
15	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I just want to say
16	one thing. I apologize so much that I have to leave.
17	But I will very much be interested in the transcript.
18	And thank you all for coming. I didn't
19	realize there was going so many speaking, and I have
20	to travel back to South Dakota. But thank you all for
21	coming.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
23	Go ahead, Mr. Monserrate.
24	MR. MONSERRATE: Good morning, members of
25	the Commission.

1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good morning. 2 MR. MONSERRATE: As you know, my name is 3 Hiram Monserrate. I'm a local legislator here in the 4 city of New York. I represent the 21st Council 5 District, which is in Queens, the areas of Corona, 6 Elmhurst, East Elmhurst and Jackson Heights. Probably 7 the most diverse place in the country and, obviously, 8 a very large immigrant population from all of Asia, 9 South Asia and Latin America. 10 I'm proud to join my fellow panelists to 11 address an issue which is all too easy to ignore, the 12 immigrant communities in the post-9/11 rights of 13 Simple logic tells us that in a city of more world. 14 than 8 million people we are only as safe and as 15 healthy as each individual who calls it home. 16 There's victims of hate crimes that are 17 not reported incidents, at least for a fear of having 18 their identity disclosed, dangerous criminals continue 19 to walk the streets. 20 If immigrants fear fire marshals or fire 21 fighters will reveal their Immigration status to INS, 22 homes could possibly burn down. 23

If people living with HIV and AIDS or disabilities do not access public hospitals for fear their health status could be revealed, efforts to

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

24

control the spread of disease are handicapped.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services stop diseases City spreading. They keep crime levels at historic lows and put an end to systemic and individual occurrences of discrimination. Despite these known facts, we are now in a post-9/11 world that rightly takes living additional means to ensure our national security. However, we must approach this need in view of the principles that have served as the foundation of this country: Our historical support of civil liberties, the nation's history as a haven for immigrants and refugees, and the need to assure every community a safe, healthy environment to live in.

Today I would like to briefly describe a piece of legislation I introduced in the City Council last week that seeks to protect these historical, essential and sacred rights.

The Access Without Fear bill will remove the barrier of fear that restrains people and communities in need of accessing city services. Specifically the bill will provide that no city officer or employee shall disclose the confidential information relating to an individual's health or disability status, income tax records, sexual orientation, status of his reasonable domestic

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

violence or as a crime victim and their Immigration status unless such disclosure is constitutionally warranted.

The policy of confidentiality has a long history of support in New York City. The support of three mayors and a voter approved charter reform proves the depth of support for protecting residents' The Access Without Fear bill serves confidentiality. to fill the void left when a similar Executive Order No. 124 protecting the confidentiality of immigrant related information originally issued under Mayor Koch Dinkins and Giuliani reaffirmed by the and appeared to be preempted by Administrations federal Immigration and Welfare Reform Act.

Although a legal challenge by the Giuliani Administration to continue to enforce the policy fails, the Executive Order stands. It pertained to generalized confidentiality needs rather than solely to those of immigrants. Stepping into the opening left by the Second Circuit -- last year here in the City of New York recommended several amendments to the city charter that would authorize such generalized confidentiality protections. The Access Without Fear bills to enact these measures both supporting the continuity of the essential policies that served our

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

21

22

23

24

25

city well over the last three Administrations and the voters' decisive support for a new policy in line with local needs and federal law.

The necessity of a city confidentiality law is exacerbated by the recent efforts by the Department of Justice to institutionalize cooperation between the INS and local police departments. As a former police officer, I know from experience that often individuals have been afraid to call for emergency services or cooperate with police for fear that confidential information would be disclosed. In some cases, the real fear is deportation. The effect is clear. Crimes go unreported and unsolved. In the a policy that breeds mistrust between community and its law enforcement officials can only results in rising crime and -- quality of life.

At a time when the police department faces the impossible task of doing more with less here in the city of New York, the last thing we need to do is to increase their burden by giving them additional responsibilities as agents of the INS.

It will also serve to institutionalize the practice police departments all throughout our country have worked to eradicate, namely racial profiling.

New York City's legacy is based on its

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	status as the most diverse city in the world. That
2	legacy continues to drive today in a culture that
3	should not tolerate discrimination. Clearly the
4	tragedy of September 11th has increased the need for
5	vigilance in regards to our public safety, both in the
6	city and nationwide. However, this imperative must not
7	and need not eradicate our values and undermine our
8	historical struggle to maintain a free society.
9	I distributed this package to all the
LO	members of the Commission. It has the legislation,
L1	some of the historical background, some of the news
L2	clippings, and also some of the other municipalities
L3	throughout the country that have enacted similar bills
L4	such as this to ensure that everyone feels at least at
L5	the municipal level that they can access the city
16	services without having their confidential information
L7	disclosed.
L8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.
L9	There'll be some questions if you can
20	stay. I know you have a time problem.
21	Ms. Habbiby Browne, please.
22	MS. HABBIBY BROWNE: Good morning.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good morning.
24	MS. HABBIBY BROWNE: I seem to be here to
25	talk to you about some of the issues that are a main

concern to our community, the Arab American community in our city.

We're a social service agency and we serve the Arab American community throughout the city. And I can tell you that since 9/11 it has been one of the most difficult, most painful years that we have as a community experience because of the backlash after 9/11 and all the issues that we have been addressing to try to help our community members deal with the discrimination and the racial profiling, and the harassments — all the backlash that has been taking place.

There is a very, very serious sense of being unprotected — being targeted. Our people are afraid to go out of their homes, they're afraid to access services. And they're afraid just walking in the street sometimes because they may be stopped at anytime, questioned, picked up. There have been families where a knock at the door in the middle of the night. They don't know who it is. They're not — the agents that come to their homes, do not identify themselves, have been very brutal, many times in racial slurs. No explanation.

As a matter of fact, we had a case it was a mistaken identity, it was the wrong apartment, 5:00

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

, 25

broke down the in the morning door and no explanations whatsoever. And was even very physical in their approach.

The children in the schools are afraid to report any kind of harassment. They're afraid of reprisals, they're afraid of retaliation. Domestic violence victims. And I think you heard how really --I want to stress what my -- the City Council member just said of the fear of reporting the fear of accessing services because there is a general feeling that no matter where we go or what they do, somehow reported, they're going be whether they're to undocumented or not. But they're going to be -- their name is going to end with INS.

This issue of the task force of three different agencies working together, nobody really You cannot separate who is who. Who is NYPD, who is FBI, who is INS. And it's become a situation of terror in many ways. People feel insecure in their homes, vulnerable and extremely frightened.

I think one of the major issues we have seen is the distrust now with NYPD. We have worked very hard to establish the community police relations. I. personally was on Mayor Giuliani's task force on community relations in 1997. This is an area that I

was extremely concerned about. But right now we see that there is absolutely no trust in NYPD because they feel that they don't know who they're working with or if they go and report just anything, whether they're going to end up being arrested, detained, their family members being harassed, reprisals.

So, and we're talking about not just feeling from nothing. These are cases that we have seen that have come to us, people, families which have been really torn apart by some of these issues that I'm talking about.

We've had to deal with either immigrants who don't necessarily speak English, who are new here, who don't understand the system, who don't know their rights, who really don't know where to go. They come from oppressive regimes, basically. They come here for the freedoms and civil rights of this country. But they can't go to the police. They're petrified of the police. And not only the police, but any other government agency at this point, whether it's for social services or any kind of services, or hospitals.

So I think this is an area that really is very frightening to us as an organization. Our community is feeling extremely vulnerable and extremely targeted. And we just feel personally I

have -- to address, talk about the fears. We are dealing with families with children, and this is across the board. And these are families that come to us for services because they feel that maybe we can help them. We speak the language. We're not going to report them. But on the other hand, there is always that fear.

And we're having a very difficult time reaching out to get families to come out and seek or access services, or really report. Because they really don't know. They don't know when that knock on the door is going to come and how.

People are being also discriminated in the workplace. They're losing their jobs. They're having police or whoever, the task force, whoever comes from the task force, actually going to the workplace to investigate, ask questions. This is extremely embarrassing to the immigrants. They come to the homes. It's embarrassing in front of neighbors.

One of the other issues that I think has come to our attention a lot of the TIPS program where anybody can be reported for anything. Some of the cultural activities sometimes are misrepresented or cultural positions are misrepresented. People just don't understand and think that there's something

1 strange going on, and therefore report whether it's neighbors or people on the streets, or whatever. 2 3 So these are really serious, very, very 4 serious issues that we have to address. 5 We understand that we all need to feel protected and we all need to fight against terrorism. 6 7 But we're very concerned that civil rights 8 freedoms are being taken away and that this community 9 is being targeted and this may have some very serious 10 repercussions on immigrants. 11 And so I'm happy to be here and answer any 12 questions that you may have in any details about some 13 of these issues. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you very 14 15 much. And there will be questions. 16 Mr. Khan, please. 17 MR. KHAN: Thank you. Good morning. 18 very difficult to maybe talk in this forum. 19 I started with this journey for the civil 20 and human rights while I was back home in Pakistan, 21 late '70s. Our country was ruled by a military 22 thousands of people dictator and were detained, 23 hundreds were hanged just for demanding democracy. 24 And thousands were lashed just for doing -- democracy. 25 And I mean these were the suggestions that one night

I felt when I was lashed, I had 30 lash, and they locked me up in isolation. And I heard somebody was taken to the -- to hang, and he shouted that he will fight for his rights. I mean, no matter what. So these are the situations we were in.

And on the whole, there was the dream that there's a piece of land, there's a part of the world where there is liberty, there's a freedom, there's a freedom of speech and discussion, and people started to know -- somehow people, a lot of people they reach here somehow. They came here. And they were having these situations back home.

So what they did, they applied for their adjustment of status somehow, like apply for political asylum, or some other ways they tried adopt to be legitimate citizen over here. But most of them, their petitions are denied because of lack of — I mean, I don't know what is behind it. But so these are the people, those are being arrested these days right from the beginning after September 11th. I will talk with the immigrants that since we are dealing with people, I name those people that what happened to who.

Mohammed Rafiq-Butt, a restaurant worker.

Right after September 11 was arrested because of -
I mean, there wasn't any charge against him. They

1	found out later on that he was overstayed visa. They
2	had interrogated him, FBI, and whatsoever the agency
3	was. He was manhandled badly. He was had no
4	American history. Had 4 kids back home. He was
5	working on a very low wage, which was about \$200 a
6	week and would support the family. And he was
7	tortured and his autopsy report he was dying in the
8	prison. And his autopsy report said that he was
9	tortured.
LO	We keep demanding that whosoever
11	responsible should be brought into the light and
L2	brought to the justice. But it never happened.
L3	So afterwards it's just one example.
L4	Another guy, Mohammed Azim whose apartment
L5	they raided, was told he was told, he was at work,
L6	was a construction worker. Was told that they are
L7	looking for you. FBI is looking for you. And this guy
18	had a heart attack right away.
ا 19	And then I have thousands of examples. We
20	have talked to about 150 detainees and their families
21	directly. But since we have limited resources, we
22	cannot do more than I mean, then our resources.
23	Ansar Mehmood's and Tayab Syed, these two
24	guys they were arrested from their home. Mehmood had
25	four kids and Tayab, he was recently married with a

U.S. citizen wife. They had arrested them from their houses in the middle of the night. And their families never knew about them for four months. They keep calling all over, and nobody told them about it, where they are.

So after four months it was discovered that they are in Mattaponax Detention Center and they're not allowed to make any phone calls. They were not allowed to — they were not allowed to make any phone calls.

And we had a rally outside the embassy. And right after the first rally, they had painted the windows black. The people who were in there, their hope was just a small window from where they could see the birds flying in the sky and sometimes sun is coming out. Something like that. That will be only hope in their life. Otherwise they were locked for 24 hours in isolation. And those windows were also painted black after the first rally. So these were the situation.

And the thing was that they just lost their visas. And they were manhandled so badly, and—we have seen him, you know. He was beaten so badly. He was chained — they kept him chained whole day and night, and — I mean, the situation in the prison is

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

$^{1}$	so bad. They're not given food, proper food. And
2	whoever is coming out of the prison, is carrying some
3	health problems. Some are Mohammed who was taken.
4	And they kept him eight months, 60 year of age,
5	homeless, jobless. Just overstay visas. And they
6	kept 8 long months. When he came out, he has and
7	some other diseases as well.
8	Then Shabqufta, she has 2 small kids and
9	she was for 8? months when in the middle of the
10	night they came for her husband. And she cried when
11	they were taking her away. And they threatened her
12	that if she will cry, they will take herself and her
13	kids too. So, I mean this was the situation.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You have to sum up
15	now.
16	MR. KHAN: I'm sorry?
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just sum up, finish.
18	MR. KHAN: Okay. So these are the
19	situations, just the detention issue.
20	Then we heard judges saying in the
21	courtroom that we don't trust this community, we don't
22	trust this nation. And then in the prisons, when we
23	are allowed when some people are allowed some
24	inmates are allowed to pray, they were cursed there
25	and they were tortured by the guards when they were

1 praying. 2 I just want to tell you the whole system is so horrendous. The whole system is so hostile. And 3 the mankind is looking for where they would go, where 4 they will find peace. Where they will live properly. 5 6 So this is my testimony. 7 All right. Thank you CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 8 very much. 9 Mr. Mohammedi? 10 Good afternoon. MR. MOHAMMEDI: 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good afternoon. 12 I just tell you that I MR. MOHAMMEDI: 13 wish I had 17 hours to speak to you rather than 7 14 Because what we're going through, it's just minutes. 15 As a member of the community, I horrendous. 16 testifying member of the community, an as a 17 attorney as well. 18 I would just say on behalf of the Muslim American-Islamic 19 Arab community, the Council on 20 Relations and the New York Arab Muslim Bar Association 21 and 9/11 Coalition for Civil Rights, I would like to 22 thank you for inviting us here so that we might pose 23 But before I pose my concern, I would 24 like to give you some statistics.

This was done by CUNY University, and they

-- and the statistics show that there are civilian 1 Muslims living in the U.S. The Census community is 2 Hispanic 25 years ago. And that found that 67 of 3 4 other American Muslims have been there 4 years old. 5 But 67 of the other American population, the rest of the population is over 4 years old, which means that 6 7 there is a future for our community in this country. From the 7 million, we have 44 -- 248 9 percent African American, Asian American 26 percent, 10 South Asian 26 percent and all of us 25 percent. 11 And also the study showed that American 12 Muslims are well educated. We always talk about 13 Muslim being cab drivers. 67 of American Muslims have 14 bachelor's degree or higher. 44 percent of the 15 population of bachelor's degrees or higher. For 16 advanced degree is even greater. 17 So I just want to tell you we're here, 18 we're going to stay here and we're going to work, 19 we're going to contribute to this country. 20 Having said that, I am here to express 21 deep concern on the mounting civil rights violations 22 against Muslims, also Asian and those who perceived to 23 be Middle Eastern heritage. The perceived violations 24 are committed by law enforcement, private and public 25 institutions and ordinary citizens. Members of our

community feel helpless, fearful and confused about 1 2 the future in America. The dream has been shattered. laws and their application are treating our 3 4 community as second class citizens. There are key concerns and a few that I'd 5 like to mention. Some of them the perceptions that 6 Muslims and Arabs to be treated as terrorists until 7 proven otherwise. And that's what's happening at this 8 9 point. 10 Abuse by private individuals, employers, FBI, state and civil service agencies it's horrendous. 11 12 Two problems. The two problems are -- I can give you 13 an example. created this environment 14 for We just 15 anyone who has dispute with the Muslim or Arab, can go 16 inform the authorities that this person is 17 I have cases. You know, I can cite many terrorist. 18 cases. I'm sure I don't have time to do that. But 19 this is happening. It's a fact. And the tragedy is that those agents can 20 they hear it, and they have perception that is true 21 22 before an investigation. Case in point, they're 23 treated -- they treat people -- mistreat people. They 24 treat them as terrorists before they find out the

And like I said, I'll give you examples.

truth.

I also can give you statistic to show the horrendous application of the law, the abuse of power that is applied on our community between September 2001 to September 2002; the Council on American-Islamic Relations in New York alone, fielded 1800 complaints of discrimination, hate crimes, harassment and intimidation.

As an attorney also, I have seen alarming increase in cases involving hate crimes of violence, harassment, intimidation, discrimination on members of our community and the sad part is that people, they believe they can do it to the community. It's like a Catch-22. I give you an example.

Employers are condoning violence, not only discriminating against the Muslims and Arabs of any other -- people, they condoning violence. There are organizations and there are institutions who they know that a coworker assaulted another coworker who happened to be Muslim. The Muslim victim fired from plant. Nothing was done about it. Instead, the Muslim was disciplined because -- I'll give you an example. That Muslim, there was chemical sprayed in his face and he was on his lunch break sitting there, closing his eyes. Someone came and did it. They told him you should not be closing your eyes during your lunch

## NEAL R. GROSS

break. And that's what happened.

.3

Many other cases that I can site that are just horrendous.

Also there is the problem with corroboration, INS and other agencies. This is creating a safety and security problem, believe it or not. Muslims and Arabs they are afraid to report crimes; they're afraid to report -- there is also a health issue. They go to the hospital, they know -- they're afraid they will be reported to INS or they will be detained, and that happened.

There was a case where someone actually was robbed and he was detained, he was arrested. His green card -- he was arrested for 10 days for mistake that happened at DMV that was not his fault. But he was -- when they -- they went to -- they took him to INS detention center and he was there for 3 days.

Someone was driving with his bumper sticker saying "Go to his grave," that's a translation in Arabic. He was arrested for just mentioning that. For how long? For year a and 17 days. While he was arrested, what happened to his family? They were deported to Palestine. He was from Palestine. And what happened to him, he had 2, 3 businesses. What happened to them? They were auctioned. When he

released he claimed the money from auction, he was 1 2 denied. 3 The racial profiling issue. You have NYPD or any other police department stopping people when 4 they are driving asking them for their Immigration 5 That's profiling. Religious profiling 6 status. because the way they look. And that happen when they 7 don't show them that, they take them to INS detention 8 9 centers. Profiling at the airport. U.S. citizens 10 11 12 13

Profiling at the airport. U.S. citizens are being profiled. Even citizens are being profiled when they come back from the culture of origin. I have many cases where they will be mistreated. Their families are being mistreated for 9 hours, 10 hours being there mistreated and for nothing. Just because they happen to be Arabs or Muslim or some agent. That is not — I believe that will create fear in the community, will create distrust, will create a problem for our country as a America, because these people are not going to report any crimes. They're not going to do anything about this.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. You need to sum up. Please do, Mr. Kahn.

MR. KHAN: Yes. I just like to finish. And I just like to recommend that something has to be done

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	by the Commission. Something something has to be
2	done with the Commission to show to our community they
3	can trust at least one agency, though I don't know.
4	IF they know the same agency the other agency
5	within the same the same agency that are doing what
6	the community is presenting.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you.
9	Ms. Chin, please.
10	MS. CHIN: Good morning.
11	Thank you for the opportunity to speak
12	before you today.
13	I have submitted a formal testimony, but
14	I'm just going to highlight a couple of points.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll include your
16	formal testimony in the record.
17	MS. CHIN: Okay. What want to talk about
18	is really a problem immigrant community benefits after
19	9/11. And I will give you some examples of how they
20	turn crises into opportunities in our community.
21	Asian Americans for Equality, we're a 28
22	year old community based organization. It is
23	community development and, you know, for the housing
24	and we provide social services.
25	After September 11th, really everything

has changed. We're very close to ground zero and we caught in the closing zone. A lot of the main streets that lead from Chinatown to all Manhattan were cut off. And because of that, jobs were lost, businesses had to close down because they couldn't get to those streets, people couldn't come into their community. And even if you lived -- and they set up arbitrary boundary of Canal Street. So even though a resident who, like my mother, who lives north of Canal Street go visit my grandmother who lives south of Canal Street, she has to show ID. And there are police officers all over the place. And they don't speak Chinese to explain to people what was going on.

A lot of the businesses really were hurting because besides all the major streets were blocked off and phone service was out, so people didn't know if you were open or not. And a year later we still have a large unemployment. 8,000 people are still unemployed and a lot of the garment factories that employ a lot of immigrant workers, have closed.

And besides that, when the federal government, FEMA and SBA came into the community to offer relief benefits, they forgot to really contact community based organizations who really knows about the community. And they come in without thinking

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

about how having translators or having information in languages that people can understand. And in some ways our people were not able to access those services.

An example was FEMA. You have to register with FEMA. You have to call a 1-800 number and you have to speak English to answer all the questions that they have. What we did was that we mobilized a community meeting in a public school and we invited FEMA and SBA to come in to talk about the programs and benefits they offer, and we offered the translation. And we literally had all our counselors sitting with mobile cell phones to register the client to FEMA and you have someone sitting across from you, and you're just answering the question on the cell phone; your name, your Social SEcurity number, your address. And back and forth to get people the benefits.

But even after you register, they send you whole package of application and they're all in English. And they're really long and detailed asking for a lot of information. So ultimately a lot of people were not able to get the benefits and all because, as I said before, the arbitrary boundary of Canal Street that a lot of the problems are actually workers who worked above Canal Street, were not able to access a lot of the benefits.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

And even with SBA when they came in, what they were offering in the beginning was 6 percent loans. And for a lot of the businesses who are losing their customers and worried they might close down, do not want to apply for a loan. Or they're afraid that they're going to lose everything because they ask for collateral. They ask for your home. If you have a house, well that can mean collateral. And a lot of people could not — do not want to lose their home if they're going to lose their business already. So that was one of the other problems there.

And once a lot of community residents and workers find out about benefits when FEMA came down and opened up an office near Chinatown, we saw long lines and long lines. And there were not enough translators. A lot of community -- even all our staff, had to offer our translation services.

And then when the federal dollars came in to rebuilt lower Manhattan they totally ignored the Chinatown community. That we were so close to ground zero and one of the lower income neighborhoods that's close to ground zero were not benefitting from the federal dollars that were coming in to rebuild the neighborhood.

And we're like the advocates and we had to

really organize the community. And in turn we kind of organized like our own rebuilding Chinatown mission as to make sure that as they were rebuilding lower

We thought that it was really important to really start a community organizing planning process that everyone will be involved. And in that effort we're serving close to 2,000 households and we interview a lot of community stakeholders, and we also organize public meetings to really talk about how we can plan and rebuild our community and make sure that we are involved in the rebuilding effort of lower Manhattan.

Manhattan and that Chinatown will be included.

When they issued a residential plan program to address the issue attracting residents back to lower Manhattan and keeping the resident in Manhattan, we applied for that. Because we want to make sure that there'll be no more long lines when people go and apply for these housing grants, that people will get the information. And we were selected as one of the partners in this program.

And one of the things that we demanded was to make sure that literature where in Chinese and information getting out were in Spanish, Chinese and English. And some of the things that I think the

23

24

25

government can learn from the effort that we did in this program was what we call mobile education teams, community workers who speak the different languages who can go out into the projects, into the senior centers, into the large housing complex and explain to people the program and to assist them in the application. And that was very, very effective. That mobile education team in about 3 months time have reached over 25,000 people.

But the main thing, too, is also that these kind of programs with federal dollars is not enough to just track short term relief. That he really building more affordable larger issue is families, income for immigrant housing for low families. The federal dollar needs to be targeted for that.

When we hear about, you know, Liberty Bonds and money that's coming in, and what's happening it's being used for luxury housing, and that is really not appropriate. Because a lot of the government money that are coming in, are from CDBG money that should be targeted for low income communities. So I think that is another major issue.

One last point that I really want to talk about, the whole issue of mental health. After

September 11th, and then the government realized that there is a great need for mental health program and there are a lot of funding coming in. But in terms of our immigrant community, there are not enough professional social workers who are filing. A lot of times they have to wait a long time even to get an appointment to see a bilingual psychologist or social worker. And once the program got — we launched what's called the Wellness Program with private funding. And we were able to set up support groups and also interview clients that we served to access their

And just to give you an example how, clarify that I was serving we're able to launch this program, was when we had a 9/11 anniversary, one of the clients that we were able to help with this program got up in public and talked to the staff about the mental problem that she had, you know the thought of suicide and how depression was. And how glad that she was able to get some support groups and that she was able to talk about her problem in her own language.

And for our community where mental health is such a taboo issue, that if we can develop more community based programs that could really address

. 22

mental health needs.

1	this issue, that would be really helpful.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you
4	very much.
5	And then last, we have May Chen, whose the
6	Associate Manager of Local 2325 and an International
7	Vice President of the Union of Needletrades,
8	Industrial and Textile Employees, which is what Mr.
9	Chisti used to be, right? Have I got that right?
10	MS. CHEN: Yes, right.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Local 2325 is the
12	largest local affiliate of the UNITE, with close to
13	10,000 members who are mostly Chinese immigrant women
14	working in the garment industry in New York City.
15	Could you please proceed?
16	MS. CHEN: Sure. Thank you.
17	I'm honored to speak before you, Ms.
18	Berry. I've followed your work and holding this
19	hearing and hope that we've all lived through a
20	very difficult time, the conditions were for the
21	government can assist in recovery of this city. We saw
22	the lights. And to make sure that the concerns of the
23	poor people of the city, especially people of color,
24	will be addressed.
25	UNITE represents the garment workers, as

And

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

that we had.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

we spoke of, many of whom work in Chinatown. But as

an international union and city wide we represented a

large number of warehouse and laundry workers who are

also impacted by 9/11, especially the laundries which

there was massive displacement of jobs after September

11th. And that's what I'm going to talk about, is the

economic victims, the job loss and the difficulties

Canal Street border ultimately which designated the

frozen zone was extremely problematical for Chinatown,

and especially for the garment industry. Because about

a third -- and all of our shops are clustered north

and south of Canal Street. And the border of Canal

the union, because we pride ourselves of all for one

and one for all, we have to represent all people. And

really in many ways all of our members who were

impacted in very similar ways, including those who

live in Brooklyn, Queens in other areas and work in

those areas, it became extremely difficult for us to

try to go through the maze of eligibility requirements

and to sort through our thousands of members to pick

Street really cut the ethnic community in half.

As Margaret pointed out, in Chinatown the

And then I think even more difficult for

were washing for hotels, restaurants and so on.

MACHINICTON DC 2000E 2701

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 224 4422

(202) 224 4422

out those who were victims as defined by FEMA, the Red Cross, you know each one, defining it differently.

And I'll just tell the story about the "frozen zone." Essentially all of our factories downtown were closed off from work immediately after September 11th and workers who worked north and south of Canal Street suffered the same types of job loss.

I want to also say that I feel a lack of support for the other brothers and sisters from the Islamic and Arab communities here. Because in the days after 9/11, and in the garment industry you need a lot of deliveries into these factories to get the work moving in and out. And we had a lot of stories where, you know, some of them are big trucks, and there were small trucks. And whether they were delivering into the frozen zone, which was south of Canal and had to show IDs or north of Canal, things like this were happening.

The truck drivers often are workers of color; they're brown, black, you know, Arab, whatever. And if they had to go into the frozen zone, they had to show IDs to go through. And I remember standing on the street corner one day, just watching this. Because we had to help our factories to move the stuff in bins up and down the blocks because they weren't allowed to

go through the street.

And there were a couple of -- I think they were probably Latino drivers, but they were just pulled out of the trucks, thrown against the wall and frisked. And there were just so many incidents like this. And I can imagine that those advocates who come from other communities saw even more for it.

So obviously the businesses uptown and wherever they were delivering from were this type of treatment, according to their drivers. They were just told to avoid all the factories downtown. We're not going to be able to get through, we're not going to bring work down there. So we had a really massive period of unemployment, which is continuing in some form today, although we're fighting that.

I brought these shopping bags. We developed a "Made in New York" program and we're trying to list out, you know, products that are made in New York, get the consumers to help out.

Our local joined with the efforts with many community groups in doing surveys and studies. The Asian Federation of New York came out with a very important study soon after 9/11, and recently the Asian Americans for Equality, as Margaret mentioned, did a lot of surveying.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then, as everybody, we did a lot of translation for the various agencies. We have a major plan to help our workers with health benefits. We've been able to obtain some grants for wage subsidies and job training. And, you know, I think that those community based organizations are trying to rise to the occasion.

But I would like to just close with telling you about what I think are some of the continuing civil rights issues that occurred during this year or so since 9/11 and that continue to be issues and problems faced through the economic development area, which maybe the Commission as well as the community groups can work together to address.

First of all, this issue of the Chinatown ethnic community and its integrity as continuity and existence as an enclave was, of course, substantially by drawing these dividing lines. And just like political, gerrymandering, I think that those ethnic communities that were impacted by September 11th, there should have been some consideration. I think that they've adjusted that in terms of Canal Street as the border line. Needed to be taken into effect and the civil rights of these communities protected.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

The language access issue, health care and counseling, Margaret addressed very well, and I think that those were very severe issues, not only in the emergency relief like FEMA, but also for the access even to unemployment benefits. Because here I think they instituted a new hotline and phone system which excludes many languages. Only English and Spanish are there. The other languages are not there.

Finally, I think that the issue of the immigrants. There is a real severe anti-immigrant backlash that especially impacts the Arab and Muslim communities. But it effects all immigrants in many ways.

The Social Security Administration is working closely with the Internal Revenue Service and INS now to issue what they call no-match letters which go to employers. And as a result of that, a lot of immigrants are just losing their jobs. And these are hard working immigrants in various work places who are not terrorists. And this whole, you know, kind with one stroke of the pen putting across an impression that all immigrants or foreigners are normally bad is a very dangerous thing.

So I think that the work here of trying to fight profiling and put a real human face on these

2.3

1	immigrants who come to this country to enjoy all
2	those same rights as everybody else is very important.
3	And not to use the work place as a place of trying to
4	enforce these issues is very important. Because we
5	cannot rebuild this city and our economy if our jobs
6	are going to be denied. And immigrants come here to
7	work. And especially those undocumented among us who
8	are not able legally to access any of the relief, if
9	they don't have a place to work or to survive for even
10	a legalization program, which seemed possible before
11	9/11, it now seems, you know, a pipe dream.
12	I think a lot of these issues really need
13	to be addressed in the immigrant communities.
14	So thank you very much for this
15	opportunity.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, let me
17	just say, and then I'll see if my colleague has any
18	questions. Let me just say several things.
19	First of all, thank you.
20	And secondly, to say that I was struck by
21	two or three things that I hadn't really focused on
22	before. Of course, I knew them, but I hadn't focused
23	on them until you said it, some of you.
24	And, Mr. Chisti, when you pointed out that
25	even with the Japanese internment, I think you're the

one that pointed it out, that it wasn't done secretly. Somebody said that. And I really hadn't thought about that before. And about the difference in a policy that however insidious it might be, that in terms of American values whether it's done in secret. And what you said about the comparisons between the secrecy and the secret detentions and the disappeared ones in Argentina and all over, in Chile and so on. I hadn't really focused on that disparity in terms of American values.

The other thing was, Mr. Khan, when you talked about how people come here from countries where they have been repressed and where they've been brutalized because they've struggled for democracy. And then they come here. You know, we are the city on the Hill. And then when things like this happen to them, because they never imagined it, it's like, you know, running and running and running and being thirsty and tired and being beaten and thinking that "ah, ah, at last I've reached the promised land." And then you get there and then bad things happen to you which you don't quite understand.

So I hadn't really thought about those two things in those ways in terms of American values and what it means for us as people.

9/11

and

Not

And then the other thing is when you were talking, Mr. Khan and Mr. Mohammedi, I was thinking first of all the Commission, those of us on this Commission, we started out immediately after of these issues harassment working on discrimination of Arabs and Muslims, and so on. only did we issue statements, we set up a hot line, we collected people's complaints. We even got into a little flap with the Justice Department because they want us to give everybody's names and phone numbers, and we wouldn't. Because people asked us not to, and we didn't. And there was some people who thought we should. And we thought that people came to us in confidence and had a grievance that they wanted to share. And they didn't want, because they scared, to have it forwarded. Why should we do that and violate the trust that they had? We directed them to the Justice Department if they needed to be directed there. And, of course, follow up on this issue. And had the first hearing about the detentions way back with INS. continue to follow up on it. But the other point I wanted to make is that some of the bad things that you talked about that

> **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MARCHINICTON D.C. 2000E 2704

happened are the kinds of things that, of course, have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we

happened to African Americans throughout our history in this country. And people in my own family, and even home. And we all went around as — there's a famous poem that was written by a black poet. Margaret Walker says "even as little kids we're always wondering around wondering the reason why all of this was happening and never knowing."

And so at least in this case you know — we know now what the reasons are. You have — you know it's connected to 9/11, however unfair. At least you can figure out that much. But you also know, all of you, based on the strategies that movements have used throughout our history and that some of you have used on other issues, and that we've used of course in the black freedom struggle, you know what you have to do about these things, and you're doing them. And it is very painful to have to do them.

But there is, you know, concern about balancing security against interests and protecting people's civil rights that come up.

We were in Detroit where we listened to the Arab and Muslim communities and these issues came up as to what is it, you know, how much of your rights do you have to give up? Isn't no sense in which -- you know, and there was somebody there who thought

that many of those people who were giving us their
evidence should be willing to give up certain rights
in the name of security, even if they had nothing to
do with whatever happened. So there is this issue of
how far do you go and what is the balance there.

I mean, I happened, to this issue as others, I'm a purist, like I am on the First Amendment. So I believe in civil rights and civil liberties. But there is a question about it.

But, I again, got insight from this. And information. privacy of And the issue of awareness that there are all these different agencies working together and sometimes it's hard to tell whose who and what information. I hadn't really focused on-what I'm telling you is that I have learned from what you said. Even thought I might have known some of the pieces of it; putting it altogether this way as it's been done today is very helpful to us conceptually as we try to finish up some of these reports we have and as we try to do others. And that's why we come out here hear from you instead of sitting Washington, you know, in our conference room.

Does anyone else have a question? Do you have any questions or comments? We're at the end of this particular panel and we're going to go to our

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	SACS now.
2	Commissioner Redenbaugh?
3	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: No. I would
4	only have a comment, not questions. And that is I'm
5	retroubled and reminded of how troubled I was and now
6	again by the reports of the violations of civil rights
7	of Arab and Muslim Americans. From my point of view
8	there are no security concerns high enough that
9	justify the illegal and secret and then the beatings
10	and the torture or poor treatment of those detained.
11	I'm sure everyone on the Commission is
12	deeply troubled by that.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: That's
15	indefensible. That's all I would say now.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. And we hope our
17	fellow Americans will find it as deeply offensive
18	eventually as we do.
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I think as they
20	become more aware, more and more of them will, Mary.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. And one of the
22	things we do here is we illuminate these issues.
23	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that people can
25	have some and as we go around the country we do

1	that. And hope that that will be helpful.
2	Okay. Thank you very much for your time
3	and thank you for coming.
4	(Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m. a recess until
5	12:08 p.m.)
6	PRESENTATION FROM NEW YORK STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
7	MEMBERS
8	ISSUE FOR IMMIGRANT COMMUNITIES
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have another panel.
LO	We have Dr. Setsuko Nishi, who is going to tell us a
L1	little bit about things from the SAC. And then we have
12	Gloria Lopez of Rochester who is here and who is the
L3	Director of Human Resources and Civil Rights
L4	Compliance Officer at the Urban League of Rochester.
L5	Also, audience, as soon as they finish,
L6	which will be a brief panel, there will be a reception
L7	in the Wilby Room next door. There will be just a
L8	brief report from our SAC, and then we'll have the
L9	reception.
20	So if you can stay, we would really
21	appreciate it.
22	All right. We'll start with our Regional
23	Director Ki-Taek Chun.
24	MR. CHUN: Good morning, Commissioners.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good morning.

### NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. CHUN: Of the six regions
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
3	MR. CHUN: Of the six regions we have, I
4	realize that Eastern Region is the first one that you
5	are visiting for the second time
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, we were in
7	Wilmington, too, weren't we?
8	MR. CHUN: That's right, for the first
9	one.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
11	MR. CHUN: Now to sustain this momentum of
12	engaging and information presentation and dialogue,
13	I'm please to introduce the delegation of the New York
14	State Advisory Committee. As you noted earlier,
15	Michael Hanley, the Chairperson of the New York State
16	Advisory Committee can't be with us this morning for
17	medical reasons. Instead, he sent us a statement of
18	welcome. With your permission, it is okay, I'd like
19	to read that into the record.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
21	MR. CHUN: Or may I just summarize.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I thought I already
23	did it. I did it at the beginning. But we will
24	include the text in the record.
25	MR. CHUN: Thank you.

Today the delegation is headed by Dr. 1 Nishi. Many of you know who she is. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, of course. 3 MR. CHUN: And then other members of the 4 delegation is Gloria Lopez and on my far left are Al-5 Haaj Ghaze Y. Khankan. Now there was supposed to a 6 7 fourth member, Dr. Gregory Rabb. But I got a text 8 message this morning at 6:15 saying that he can't --9 he was here last night, but at 6:15 this morning he 10 had to leave for medical reasons. I am in the process 11 of finding what the status us, but I have just been 12 unable. So, we miss him very much. But welcome to New 13 York. 14 And Dr. Nishi? 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Thank you. 16 Thank you very much. DR. NISHI: 17 morning. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Good morning. 19 DR. NISHI: My name is Setsuko Nishi. I'm 20 of sociology at the graduate school of .21 Brooklyn College in the State University of New York. 22 And I am the founding President of the Asian American 23 Federation of New York, which has already 24 referred to the author of the reports of the economic 25 impact of 9/11 upon Chinatown, which I hope each

1 member of the Commission received a copy of that 2 report as well as the follow up report the year after. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. I'm principal 4 NISHI: Currently DR. 5 investigator of a major study of a report of the wartime incarceration of Japanese Americans, which too 6 has been referred to in several instances for their 7 8 parallels to the current situation. 9 In the absent of the current Chairman of the New York State Advisory Committee, it is my 10 privilege as the past Chair to welcome you in person. 11 As the federal body charged with fact-12 finding and civil rights, a round so vital to our 13 well-being as a democracy especially in the crises 14 15 precipitated by 9/11, we know you face a daunted task. As your eyes and ears in New York, we are to be 16 17 reactivated at least after our hiatus of 20 years. In the light of what we understand are 18 19 severe budget and staff constraints in planning our 20 next project, of which we shall speak later, we are 21 challenged to find efficient and innovative ways to 22 document and to inform. 23 Today the aim of our panel is to provide a 24 brief overview of civil rights issues in the state of 25 New York, of reporting our staff who have already been

1 introduced, my comments -just CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's some 2 3 technical thing. Go cut it off. DR. NISHI: My own comments are based on a 4 round of report at a staff meeting just last week for 5 6 members about civil right concerns in their varying 7 locals and areas of expertise. 8 significant factor in the changing 9 nature of civil rights concerns in the phenomenal demographics are the rapidly increasing racial and 10 11 ethnic diversity of the New York population, including 12 the highest portion of new immigrants providing both 13 highly skilled and unskilled needed labor in our large 14 metropolitan areas and smaller towns, as well as the 15 rural areas. And of course the effect of the war on 16 terrorism has raised grave questions about our 17 cherished constitutional protection of rights in times 18 of crises. 19 A recurring theme in the presentations of 20 our advisory committee members was a matter of racial 21 profiling now reported to be vastly expanded and 22 broader based than when our staff developed a plan 23

several years ago to study police misconduct in upstate New York communities.

In addition to the continuing practice by

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON D.C. 20005 2704

24

police,
adopted
includi
and/or
had dis
despite
largely
contrib
rates o

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it

is

adopted by other security police personnel now including those who appear near Middle Eastern, Muslim and/or South Asian. Prosecution in the war on drugs had disproportionately targeted minority communities, despite evidence that the market for illegal drugs is largely in white middle class populations. This has contributed to the highly disparate incarceration rates of African Americans and Latino men and women.

reported that authority has been

Another issue which was frequently mentioned was that of voting rights of racial and language minorities. That was a pervasive concern expressed in our committee review, with many accounts of apparent violations. Because this matter has been discussed in a previous panel, here I only mention this.

Worker protection, this too has been discussed, under our labor laws has not been available to day laborers, to many migrant workers and, of course, to possibly many undocumented workers generally according to the observations of our committee members.

We might note here that New York is extremely varied. It has rural areas. It has midtown, side of town, as well as this glorious city of New

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MARCHINICTON DC 2000E 2701

(202) 224 4422

York. And the civil rights concerns that have been addressed certainly apply to these varied kinds of locals.

Previous panelists have already discussed this -- excuse me. While filling labor needs they are deterred by fear and lack of knowledge in obtaining protection against exploitation. This is a matter that can be observed daily as one goes through these small villages of day laborers seeking work, waiting on street corners.

Another issue, of course, and how can we avoid it, is the 9/11 and related increase in bias against Arab Americans, other Middle Easterners and South Asian Americans was a most present concern expressed by SAC members and was the subject of a briefing at our meeting. We note here that it is not only overt hate crimes, but also more covert forms of discrimination in employment, in housing in which these groups have been subjected.

Related to it is the crucial matter of the constitutional protections in times of crises. As one who was frustrated during World War II on the basis of my race, I am keenly aware of the futility of constitutional safeguards of rights in periods of crises. As far as an official apology and token

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 reparations from the United States Government. 2 candidly I must express a deep worry that America has 3 not learned the lessons of that dark history. 4 Though there are differences, there are 5 too many parallels between the wartime treatment of 6 Japanese Americans, particularly of our foreign born 7 parents and the present day threats to the civil 8 rights of immigrant communities exacerbated in the 9 wake of September 11. It is this latter topic that is 10 a threat to the civil rights of the 11 communities in New York, particularly in the aftermath 12 of 9/11 that our committee looks forward to exploring 13 in our next project. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. 16 DR. NISHI: Gloria Lopez. 17 MS. LOPEZ: Gloria Lopez, and I will share 18 with you a few civil rights issues that effected 19 Latinos and the immigrants from Rochester, New York, 20 where I come from. 21 No; contrary to what many New Yorkers 22 believe, I'm not one mile north of New York City. I'm 23 the about the opposite diagonal corner of New York 24 state, 600 miles away and we, too, suffered numerous 25 civil rights violation.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ms. Lopez, could you push the microphone a little bit closer to you? Just a little bit.

MS. LOPEZ: Is that better?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

MS. LOPEZ: As to the Latinos, I will speak with you about three of the main civil rights issues that face us: Racial profiling, housing which also dovetails into education and voting.

As to the racial profiling, many police officers routinely stop Latinos on public highways and on public sidewalks. The officers stop the Latinos who are driving on the public highways under the pretense of traffic violations. However, when officer stop the car, they're entry level to the contraband, but they don't find anything -- however they still issue traffic ticket. And the Latinos -and African Americans are also stopped as well, must the appear in the suburban court. But if you go to the suburban courts and you serve the composition of the courtroom, what you'll see, at least what I saw is approximately 17 percent Latinos and blacks, 5 percent of other nationalities and 25 percent white. compared that to the Census of that particular suburb, and I found that the town consisted of 8 percent

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_ .

Latinos and African American, 2 percent others and 90 percent white. That there was a disproportionate of Latinos and African Americans that were in the courtroom.

Not only are Latinos stopped while driving on the public highways, they're also stopped while talking on the sidewalk, hanging on the sidewalk. And since 9/11 officers have stopped more Dominicans than they have stopped previously. So, yes, we have to mention they're not Puerto Ricans. Well, Puerto Ricans they are U.S. citizens and Dominicans, well we have to prove that we are U.S. citizens, we have to carry our cards.

About six months ago I tried a well-known Dominican restaurant in Rochester, which is —— Central American, Cubanos and Dominicans. Officers stopped two men on the sidewalk. The officers asked them to show ID cards. The men produced their driver's license. The officer said "No, we don't want your driver's license. We want to see your green cards." He said "No, the driver's license only proves that you have a Social Security card."

So one of the men dug in his pocket and pulled out his green card. The other man said "You know, I've been advised that I don't have to show you

my green card." Fortunately, the officers backed down.

Another incident happened about a month and a half ago in which these youth were just sitting on a corner (speaks in Spanish), which is — but we call it a Spanish (speaks in Spanish) and we got a street name. They were sitting on the sidewalk. And this police officer literally drove his motor vehicle up the sidewalk, stopped within a couple of feet of the Latino youth and said "You guys are up to no good. Probably have a long record." He took one of the youth, threw him in the car and about half an hour later they released him, but the youth was stunned.

The youth and other Latinos in my community, you know, we have a mistrust of the police officers which is contrary to how I was raised. When we came to America police officers are there to protect us. But the Latinos and the African Americans feel discrimination.

Now, besides the racial profiling, there is also the housing issue that dovetails into the education. And I'll echo some of the statements made by Mr. Semidei that every child should have the opportunity to the best education possible, but I'll share with you something I also think you should look

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

into.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Many of the Latinos and African Americans in the county where I live, they generally tend to live in high poverty concentrated areas. And these high populated concentrated areas, they consist of poor housing stocks -- for education. Housing stock, generally, many of them have lead paint in their So these Latino youths are exposed to the lead homes. paint, which has been shown to have a detrimental effect on the physical and the mental abilities of the So here they are, they're exposed to the lead youth. paint. They go to school. They're having difficulties in school. Some of them have low attention spans, so learning well. Others they're not very are belligerent, so they -- from school. Besides being disproportionate -the Latinos from this concentrated, poverty concentrated areas also tend to infect the schools in receiving a poor education. They're not receiving the same comparable education as their counterparts in the wealthier suburbs.

We also found that it's not just for their counterparts in the wealthier suburbs, but it's also within the wealthier low poverty concentrated areas in the city. We have a school in a low poverty concentrated area where the wealthier people live, and

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 those youth in those schools, they have high tech 2 equipment. They have computers. They have books. 3 have a low teacher/student ratio. And they're doing 4 better on the tests. However, the youth, the Latinos--5 the immigrants who first come to America, come to 6 Rochester, they attend some of the schools in the high 7 poverty concentrated areas and they're lucky if they 8 have any kind of computer in their school. They're 9 lucky if they have textbooks. Some just do not have 10 textbooks, or they have textbooks of ten years ago. 11 There are also high student to teacher 12 ratio and they're not performing well. 13 You know, education for what we're looking 14 at, is the unequalizer in our society which is not 15 what it was when we came to America. 16 In Rochester we started a lawsuit called 17 the great philosophy -- and the purpose of the lawsuit 18 is to try to equalize the funds and to provide 19 resources to educate the individuals. 20 Besides racial profiling and the housing 21 and education, there's also the voting issue, which 22 has been remarked is a very depressing issue. For the 23 2000 election the Latino community, too, suffered. 24 For instance, voting takes ten minutes and during the 25 2000 election and I noticed there was a Latino who

1	cannot spell her name in English, who was using the
2	Spanish alphabet. She was stating her name, spelling
3	it correctly, but the voting inspector could not
4	understand her. And I was just like doing whatever I
5	was doing, but then when the voting inspector said you
6	cannot vote, bears her name on that line and she
7	was just spelling it in Spanish.
8	After helping her, it took me two hours to
9	leave the voting poll that night, because I was
10	helping other people who were initially told you
11	cannot vote, you leave. But because I was the
12	attorney for the Board of Election what the elections
13	law was and what's necessary to protect this
14	individual so they could have their own personal
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If Ms. Nishi says so.
ı 17	MS. LOPEZ: Just two other issues.
18	One is the migrants. To address the
19	migrant issues, and from where I come from, I will
20	share with you a couple of examples.
21	Migrants in my community are facing racial
22	concerns as well as discrimination. Little old
23	store keepers. As soon as profiling, in the rural
2,4	parts of my community the Latino migrants who go to
25	the stores, through the supermarkets, you know, for

their necessities, they are told by the merchants "We do not want you here. Don't shop here. Get out." And so what we did -- we commenced a lawsuit and we were successful in settling it. However, this practice of discrimination continues and the migrants still are being targeted.

, 9

New York State Police officers in my area are constantly detaining migrants who are traveling on the public highways. They're checking them for legal residency. An example happened in a county that is contiguous to — the driver and his wife were transporting 4 migrants. The officers stopped them and asked for proof of legal residency. None of them had any. How many of us here have proof of legal residency? I couldn't prove it unless you tell the officer, go home with me and let me show you my naturalization paper. But I'd still have to drag him home or they'd have to call INS.

Anyways, the officers took these individuals to the police station — to the police station and held them while they called INS. Two hours later the driver and his wife, who were U.S. citizens, were released. Three of the four immigrants were released because they were legal residents and they had their green card. The fourth was deported.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

The car was stopped for no apparent reason.

And then the last matter is day laborers who have suffered OSHA and wage violations right here in New York City, from my understanding. Because the job of the day laborers are temporary, when a day laborer who is injured files a complaint with OSHA, it's a while before OSHA comes and investigates and knocks on the door. So the day laborers have moved on. The jobs have been completed. The day laborers may be out of the city, out of the state. For all we know, they may even be out of the country, but they don't get the benefits and support of OSHA.

So, these day laborers are doing some of this work, the employees do not train them in safety The not provide them with employers do issues. The most recent example is at protective equipment. the World Trade Center. At the World Trade Center you have local and national union members working right next to the day laborers. But the local and national union members, they had the protective gear to protect them from the toxic fumes, substances that they were inhaling, the asbestos, the fibers, the lead, but the day laborers did not. They were not protected. They should have been. They did not receive the safety

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 training that they should have received. As a result, 2 the day laborers continued to suffer form the injuries 3 that they sustained at the World Trade Center, and 4 that shouldn't have happened. 5 Thank you for listening to me. 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. 7 In the name of God, most MR. KHANKAN: 8 gracious, most merciful, my name is Ghazi Khankan, 9 Executive Director of Council on American Islamic 10 Traditions in New York and Director of Interfaith 11 Affairs at the Islamic Center of Long Island, and a 12 member of the New York Advisory Committee. 13 It is indeed a pleasure to welcome you in 14 York City and thank you for listening New 15 attentively and humanly, and with great interest, as I 16 noticed to the testimonies of the expert panels who 17 focused on, among other things, post-9/11 civil rights 18 issues and concerns of the 7 million Muslim Americans 19 and the Arab Americans. 20 After listening to these panel experts, I 21 am sorry to say images of Hollywood movies about Nazi 22 occupied Europe came to mind. The summary of the 23 discussion were, really, that security should not 24 deteriorate our civil and human rights. 25

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

In the words of Senator Russ

**COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MARCHINICTON D.C. 20005 2701

Feingold

regarding the new laws of the anti-terrorism bills and the U.S. -- Act, Senator Feingold said about them that the government can compel disclosure of the personal records of anyone, perhaps someone who worked with or lived next door to, or went to school with, or sat on an airplane with, or has been in the company of or whose phone number was told by the target of the investigation under these provision, the government can apparently go on a fishing expedition and collect information on virtually anyone, and all it has to elect in order to get an order for these records from the court is that the information is sought for an international terrorism investigation of intelligence gathering. That's it. And that minimum showing in an ex parte application to a secret court with no showing even that the information is relevant to the investigation, and the government can lawfully compel your doctor or hospital to release medical records or a library to release -- records. This is a truly breathtaking expansion of police power. Mr. Feingold.

Our country, more so than at anytime in the past, I believe stands at the crossroad. Our government has invoked historical proceedings to justify these wartime tactics and in doing so has

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

brought key segments of American society and politics
to the brink of repeating much in our history that we
have come to regret.

It is especially true that immigrants and and noncitizens alike have been others, citizens This dreadful internment of mistreated in wartime. Japanese Americans remains a stain in our national Not to mention the first Holocaust of the honor. African Americans and the enslavement. Concepts of -military justice and international human rights have advanced substantially since World War II. Departure from these principles has detrimental consequences for the war on terrorism. Some national leaders downplay these concerns saying, oh that limitation on civil liberties are temporary and normal conditions will once hostilities end. But the return terrorism, unlike conventional wars, is not likely to come a public end, these types of ends.

Restrictions on civil liberties may be with us for a very long time. So long, in fact, that they may change the character of our democratic system in ways that very few Americans want and desire.

I have quoted part of the American Civil Liberties Union here because I thought that would be appropriate. The Commission, as we all know is a fact-

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

finding agency of the federal government, in addition 1 to preparing reports on these those post-9/11 civil 2 rights issues and concerns of Arab Americans with 3 Eastern and South Asian Americans -- Asian Americans 4 in New York and because of the urgency in the 5 deteriorating conditions of the civil rights of these 6 groups, may I humbly urge that the USCCR issue on an 7 urgent basis public service announcements -- or deny 8 9 of protection of the laws of these groups. This, as you know, is a step in one -- listed as one of the six 10 steps which the Commission is authorized by Congress 11 12 others being to investigate The to work on. information, 13 study and collect complaints, to appraise federal laws and policies with respect to its 14 determination or denial of equal protection of the law 15 16 because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin 17 or in the administration of justice. 18

This, indeed, a historical meeting. can either make history or become history. This is be challenging task because you will indeed a and findings and reports submitting your recommendations to the President of the Congress, who have themselves decreed these unconstitutional and unjust laws.

Mr. Ashcroft himself according to an

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

19

20

21

22

23

24

interview with syndicated columnist Cal Thomas was
quoted as saying Islam is a religion in which God
required you to send your son to die for him. But
it's a shame if God had sent his son to die for you.

Ashcroft, these Mr. words are Now, inaccurate, offensive and are becoming an -- of the law enforcement official who is further initiating and administering policies have a disproportionate impact on Muslim Americans and Arab Americans. Also these remarks are indeed indirect contradiction to President Bush's repeated statements on respect to Islam -- says in the Holy book in closing, "Stand firm before justice, even it is against yourselves. Follow now the -- hearts, lest you swerve from justice. And if you -justice or decline to implement justice, God is well acquainted with all that you do.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Your concrete suggestion that you made about the PSAs, public service announcements, you know, we have to see whether we do something. I did one at the beginning of August after 9/11 and harassment, there were a whole series of them done. But we probably should do as we have done on other things, get other people to do PSAs. I mean, that is a very concrete kind of thing

#### NEAL R. GROSS REPORTERS AND TRANSCR

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005 3701

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that we can do. And all of these other issues that we have been looking into and that we will be looking into, I appreciate the work you're doing. And let's hope we can find some resources to help the New York state committee to get off to a good start in this rechartering. But you're already off to a good start because I think today, what we did here today, and what you are responsible for today, I think it was very important and it was very well done and very informative. And so I think it was fantastic. So you're off to a good start already. And thank you very much. DR. NISHI:

DR. NISHI: You know, I would just to add that we regret that Dr. Rabb who was unable to be with us because of some medical problem, but I know that he was eager to have brought before the Commission a couple of concerns, which have not been mentioned today.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, sure. Go ahead.

DR. NISHI: One is the -- so often we don't think of New York as having very significant rural population. And these characteristics mean that they are some variations in the manifestations of civil rights violations. But nonetheless, they're very real and very present, and he was eager that this not be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 ignored just because we are in the north, we do have 2 a large rural population as well. 3 The other thing that he wished to have 4 brought to the attention of the Commission was the 5 matter of the civil matters or the issue of sexual 6 orientation that would certainly not, as he understand 7 established protected category for it. an 8 Commission. Nonetheless, this is an emerging concern 9 which is arising not only in the state of New York, 10 but elsewhere of course in the country. 11 And he noted also being an academic that 12 some of the Immigration restrictions are being 13 targeted against the Middle Eastern and Islam and 14 South Asian populations had seriously interfered with 15 the function of academic inquiry, that the 16 restrictions on the exchange of scholars and 17 students is an interference in the process of learning 18 and scholarship which would indeed be a very sad thing 19 if were permitted to proceed. 20 And these were three issues that I recall 21 that he had intended to set before this Commission. 22 So I appreciate your attention to these matters. 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we will take 24 those and add those to our list. But let me point out

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

that on the sexual orientation one, I for one think

that the Commission's jurisdiction should be extended
just as we did in the past when it started out with
race and national origin and religion. And then we
went to age and disability and sex, and so on. That
clearly the Commission should have explicit
jurisdiction to consider matters of discretion based
on sexual orientation. But the way we have interpreted
our jurisdiction is that under the administration of
justice, which is a separate jurisdiction we have,
that we can consider matters of sexual orientation
under that particular rule. Because it's not
restricted.

But I have suggested several times that our jurisdiction should be expanded explicitly, but that people in the advocacy community always the timing was not right to do it. We haven't revisited. I don't know if this timing is anymore right, given whose in Congress and so on. But we do -- there is flexibility for SACs to consider and for us orientation under consider work on sexual administration of justice authority. And we will take these others on advisement.

And let me thank you all again for coming. Thank you very much.

> Remember the STAFF DIRECTOR JIN:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 reception is right next door.

2 | (Whereupon, at 12:43 the meeting was adjourned.)

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

