EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY BRIEFING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

+ + + + +

FEBRUARY 6, 2003

LIBRARY

LIBRARY

LIBRARY

HALL BOOKSTORE COM

CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

+ + + + +

The Commission met at the Omni Hotel, 123 East Trade Street, Charlotte, North Carolina, at 8:30 A.M., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson, president.

Present:

Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson Cruz Reynoso, Vice Chairperson Christopher Edley, Jr., Commissioner

Leslie R. Jin, Staff Director

This is an unedited transcript of the full and complete proceedings of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights in the matter of its "Education Accountability Briefing" held in Charlotte, North Carolina, on February 6, 2003. This is not a verified copy of the briefing transcript.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

Staff Present:

Debra Carr, Deputy General Counsel
Ivy Davis
Barbara DeLaViez
Terri Dickerson
Bobby Doctor
Pamela Dunston
Sheldon Fuller
Eric Lotke
Joyce Smith
Alex Sun
Deborah Vagins
Audrey Wright
Tiffany Wright

Commissioner Assistants:

Laura Batie Joy Freeman

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

12021 234-4433

mmm upajumee cam

Panel One: Education Reform and Accountability Laws in North and South Carolina
Dr. Eric Smith
Panel Two: Content and Performance Standards and Assessment
Dr. James L. Pughsley
Ruby Jones & Vernita Miller Panel Three: Accountability Measures Dr. Helen F. Ladd
Mr. Evan Myers
Panel Four: Educational Accountability
Jay P. Heubert, Esquire233Ms. Lindalyn Kakadelis243Sheria Reid, Esquire251Mr. Paul Reville261Mr. John Charles Boger, Esquire275
This is an unedited transcript of the full and complete
proceedings of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights in the matter of its "Education Accountability Briefing" held in
Charlotte, North Carolina, on February 6, 2003. This is not a
verified copy of the briefing transcript.

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The most recent, and perhaps the most extensive education reform measure in the country is No Child Left Behind. I say that on good authority because in one of my previous lives I was Assistant Secretary of Education in the Department of Health, Education and Welfare and helped create the US Department of Education. So I was in charge of all federal education programs and I can attest from that experience and from my experience since, this is the most extensive education reform measure among all the reform measures that have been passed over the years.

This won't be the last one, I bet, but for now it's the most extensive one. The legislation provides for mandatory steadfast testing development in secondary students with high-stakes consequences for low-performing students, schools and teachers. Public reporting of those test scores, disaggregated according to race, ethnicity, and other factors, improve professional development of teachers as well as a few other requirements.

As a result, the law is expected to have far reaching impact on the future of education of America, as well as the Civil Rights of students in our nation's schools. The US Civil Rights Commission

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

has long been involved in issues concerning education because in our statutory mandate enacted in 1957 we are required to look into the matters of education disparities among other matters of concern.

We have done many reports over the years on various equal educational opportunities whether they're on the basis of race, gender, or national origin or whether they're on the basis of disability. And we have produced reports on school desegregation and how hard it is to do, how long it's taking it, whether whatever happened; as well as reports on higher education, historically black colleges and universities, their role in the states. recently issued a report called Beyond Percentage Plans: The Challenge of Equal Opportunity in Higher Education, which analyzed the effectiveness of socalled race-neutral percentage plans in promoting diversity in Texas, Florida and California as a result of the attacks on affirmative action.

So all of these indicate -- as well as other reports that are available to the public, the work that the commission has done -- this is just another step in our trying for ourselves to figure out, to help the country figure out, what is appropriate for equal educational opportunity. We are

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON, D.C., 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here because we want to have a productive and full discussion of the scope and impact of North and South Carolina education reform efforts.

We earlier this year had a hearing in which there were folks from Maryland and Virginia. That was before Dr. Smith went to Maryland, and we — it's like Mr. Smith going to Washington, or Dr. Smith goes to Annapolis — and we had some documents submitted to us, what they're doing in those states, and the staff is doing a big report on K through 12 education.

Now, we are here to see what is going on here on the issues of high-stakes testing, in particular, and education accountability, which is the major end, try to figure out whether those who are politically responsible for the education of children are seeing to it that all children are educated without regard to discrimination and there are equal education opportunities in these states.

We have four panel presentations, and the first panel will look at education reform and accountability laws in North and South Carolina. There will also be information on the likely impact of the testing and accountability requirements for No Child Left Behind on the school systems, the students

1 and teachers of the Carolinas. There is no --2 there in this panel, general counsel, anything on the 3 accountability of public officials, like politicians, 4 for the education, or is that another panel? 5 CARR: That's MS. another panel. 6 Throughout the day I have the responsibility for 7 elected officials. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, and I would ask 9 if the first panelist would please come forward. Dr. 10 Eric Smith, who is the former superintendent of public 11 schools in Charlotte-Mecklenburg County and who is now 12 the superintendent in Annapolis. Did I get that 13 right? 14 DR. SMITH: Anne Arundel County. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Anne Arundel County 16 and Annapolis, where there's lots of sailing and stuff 17 like that, and the Honorable Howard Manning, who is 18 Wake County's Superior Court Judge, who presided over 19 the Leandro litigation, and Ms. Jo Anne Anderson, who 20 is Executive Director of the Educational Oversight 21 Committee in South Carolina who helped direct that 22 state's educational laws. And somewhere here I have 23 educational reform. 24 So, let me introduce the folks on the

panel before they begin to speak. Dr. Smith was, as I

said, superintendent here, and he's been recognized cross-country as a leader in providing quality public education for all children. He has a plan to have fed all of the information about a lot of it. So he has wanted to set goals, numerical goals, for how he plans to improve the performance of kids, which means he's held to those standards by folks who remember that he said it was going to be fifty or forty or how much ever it was going to be. And he was also named Superintendent of the Year by the North Carolina Association of School Administrators, and in 2001 he was named the country's top urban educator by the Council of the Great City Schools.

Judge Manning is the trial court judge who, as I said, presiding over the much-publicized landmark North Carolina school finance reform case, Leandro, in which, as we understand, the ruling was that the State of North Carolina was not meeting its obligations to provide a sound basic education under the State Constitution. A major component of this decision concerns state's obligation to provide quality pre-K programs for at-risk children. Judge Manning also clarified that the state is ultimately responsible for educating its children, as opposed to the local school districts. A novel concept, probably

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

21

22

23

24

25

in the State Constitution somewhere; anyway, and placed the burden on the state to devise plans and strategies to insure that North Carolina's children are receiving a sound basic education.

Dr. Jo Anne Anderson, Executive Director of Education Oversight Committee the in South Carolina, bears responsibilities for developing and improving South Carolina's educational accountability system and to make recommendations to ensure the continuous improvement of South Carolina schools. Anderson is graduate of Peabody College a Nashville, Tennessee, which is my hometown, so she probably knows where the Elson Place Soda Shop is; she may even know what a chess pie is. She has a Ph.D. from Florida State University. She has been a -- I guess she's worked in the classroom and has been a District Administrator with various policy-making bodies. We're going to begin with Dr. Smith. Please proceed. Thank you for coming.

DR. SMITH: Thank you very much. It's an honor to be asked to be at this panel and this presentation this morning. As I said, also it was a very significant honor for me to be allowed to serve the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Communities --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Cell phones off,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

please. If the cell phone comes on again, you will be ejected from your seat by some mechanism like in the movies.

DR. SMITH: Some thoughts about the accountability structure in North Carolina and the impact it had on our ability to improve achievement in our school district, the following thoughts: started back in 1996 in Charlotte, it became that the whole apparent to me concept of accountability, the accountability structure in North Carolina was, in fact, a central piece in our ability to move the achievement level of all children to a higher place. We did carry that here locally, with clearly defined goals for the school district that gave an umbrella to the accountability structure that the state had in place.

But after saying that, as we worked on the issues of achievement, the accountability, the data gathering — the ability to analyze that data and make sense of out why students were succeeding or failing, gave focus to our work, gave purpose to our work, and allowed us to drill down on specifics in terms of our need to improve the quality of service to our children. So again, I would state without reservation the accountability structure is not just important,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

but it's an essential element if in fact we're to serve all children. Without the data, children will continue to fall through the cracks. Again, accountability structure, in my view, is critical.

I'm very proud of the fact in North Carolina, with a very strong accountability structure already in place during the six years of my tenure here, they did the make the decision to add a component, similar to Texas model of accountability, whereby they started to account for the performance of sub-populations within the schools and in school districts. That element was critical, a critical addition, that as we look at accountability accountability measures, the need to be able to account for all sub-groups and celebrate success only when the lowest performing sub-group improves achievement is, again, I think a significant feature of any accountability structure. It certainly helped us here, again, in our school district's efforts.

The business of issuing awards or sanctions based on performance was also critical. In doing it, both publicly as well as privately, tying financial incentives to it, in my view, was a critical piece. Giving meaning to the work, definition, as to what we're about in our schools and in our classrooms

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was important, and to bring the kinds of -- even when it was a relatively small financial compensation, but the fact that it was recognition paired with success of all children, in all sub-groups I think has had a profound effect in helping us focus our work.

critical One of the issues around accountability is -- I think the first battle that we had waged was, accountability of what? Some of the basic questions we had that we had to begin to answer -- what are we accountable for and how do we translate that for, as I used to constantly say, for that 22 year-old teacher that just unpacked his or her U-haul truck and is going to start teaching the next day. How do we define -- accountable for what? And so a critical piece of the accountability structure here was the ability to unpack the expectations of the state in terms of what learning should take place in North Carolina, and then translate that out of the bureaucratic education needs it so that is understandable on a day-to-day basis by the modestly trained and prepared classroom teacher that is expected to deliver, in their hectic pace and hectic schedule.

So a huge investment here within this school district to do that at the local level to help

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

unpack what was expected and give it definition, but that definition is central to this whole process. To be able to break it down into terms that is meaningful.

As we proceeded to make this definition of the work that has to take place, the next challenge that we were faced was the how. How do you deliver this knowledge, this information, this curriculum And during my six years here, I saw a huge transition and transformation in our school district in the classroom from a rush to test score achievement at all costs, at any costs, very bad practices, very bad procedures, truly teaching to the test, teaching the test, if we can find a way to do that, to actually teaching it, but it was evolutionary; it moved over time. It required a time period of skill development on the part of teachers and our central administrators to move from focused on test results simply and into deeper understanding of learning and knowledge. transformation, I think, we made progress on that, and we will continue to make progress I'm confident under the leadership of Dr. Pughsley and local administration here.

The other critical piece that was discovered, that we shouldn't have had to discover

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this, it should have been self-evident, but in order to deliver on the prescribed curriculum and knowledge to be gained, that teachers need certain elements, certain things to be successful. We had talked -this community had talked for decades about equity and fairness for all children and access and opportunity all children. Through the accountability structure that gives definition to what students are to know and learn, we were able to give definition to the term equity, equity in what, equity and fairness in what, access to what.

And we were able to clearly define, and start to ask the questions, what does a third grade teacher need in order to teach reading? What does a third grade teacher need in order to teach math? What should a fifth grade classroom look like? What should the facilities look like? Does it make a difference if the air conditioning works or doesn't work, whether the roof leaks or doesn't leak, whether the classroom square feet or 1100 square feet? is 850 What difference does it make? And so we started to ask the critical questions, do these have impact on our teachers ability to deliver. So the issue of equity became clearly defined in our view, in terms of materials and supplies, textbooks, facilities, media

support and so forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Data has also allowed us in Charlotte-Mecklenburg to really spotlight, to focus on areas where bias, inadvertent or purposeful bias, plays into the process of decision making -- what children are encouraged to take next, what opportunities are being provided, how funding is in fact being distributed and disbursed over schools, how are needs being So, it allowed us to identified. spotlight our actions or our inactions or our inappropriate actions and take corrective steps to make adjustments.

Through the last six years we have -- I have seen clear evidence that there is no excuse for the low academic achievement of some sub-populations in this nation. Charlotte-Mecklenburg, although we didn't finish the job in closing the gap, we had ample evidence on an individual student basis that the gap should not exist in achievement, t hat there is no reason for a gap to persist in this nation, except for us. And we are the ones that can decide the future in terms of the level of achievement, and I won't bore you with my normal Rotary speech, but I'll just highlight a couple of examples to make a case.

In '95, '96, 59 percent of our fifth grade students were reading at grade level as measured by

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 the state assessment test. In the year 2000 and 2001, we moved that figure 60 percent reading on grade 3 That's in the aggregate. 4

Disaggregate for African-Americans in grade five reading, only 35 percent were reading at grade level. Sixty-five percent were below grade level -- in '95, '96. In 2000 and 2001, that had moved to 76 percent African-Americans reading on grade level.

For Free/Reduced Lunch children, only 33 percent, one third, were reading at grade level. Twothirds were below grade level at the end of grade After working at this job persistently for six years, one-third were on grade level. At the end of 2000 and 2001 there was 71 percent Free/Reduced Lunch children reading at grade level.

I think the other significant data is not just around high school, around state accountability where the results show that while with upper level courses, such as advanced placement, advanced placement participation going from 4,000 students taking -- test being taken in advanced placement in '95, '96, 8,500 in the year 2000 and 2001.

For African-Americans, it moved from 431 advanced placement exams taken in '95, '96 to 1,200

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON DC 20005,2701

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

17 African-Americans enrolled just a few years later in 1 2 2000 and 2001. So, again our data is very clear. 3 The challenge as I see it, as North 4 Carolina and other states move ahead with No Child 5 Left Behind, there is a deep understanding that 6 accountability runs two ways. Now, I am confident 7 that educators are prepared and students are prepared 8 to step up to the challenge of a rigorous system of 9 accountability. 10 Accountability does in the run 11 direction also in terms of funding and support. 12 Accountability will not work, will not work, without 13 adequate financial support to make this a success. 14 And we see it time and time again, where lack of 15 funding is preventing teachers and central 16 administration from doing what they need to do. 17 In closing, it is clear that critical 18 issues of support, financial support, teachers, 19 quality of teachers, training materials, facilities, 20 time, and adequate central administration to get the 21

job done is essential to accountability to work across the board. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you Dr. Smith. There'll be lots of questions. I can think of 25 right at the moment. Judge Manning, please proceed.

22

23

24

1 JUDGE MANNING: Well, I think we all came 2 -- can I stand or do I sit down? 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Stand up. JUDGE MANNING: I sit all the time. 4 Ι 5 don't do well with sitting down. I feel like if I 6 talk here you're going to ask me if I've ever been a 7 member of the Communist Party. I can't get that out 8 of my system. With your permission I will wander 9 around a little bit. 10 North Carolina is a state that I'm going 11 to brag on, because I happen to know much about the 12 whole system. We have, thanks to Chief Justice 13 Burrough Mitchell, and this is one of my little 14 personal issues. 15 North Carolina's Constitution is a great 16 instrument. Right off the bat it requires the state 17 to provide all the children, through the legislature, 18 with an education. What we didn't know until 1996 19 when Chief Justice Mitchell defined it, was exactly 20 what a sound basic education means in North Carolina. 21 So we're ahead of the curve. 22 I'm going to tell you, because I'm so 23 proud of what he wrote. It means something in this 24 state and it's color blind. It applies to every

living breathing child.

single

25

basic

A sound

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education in our constitution is sufficient ability to read, write, speak the English language; a sufficient knowledge of fundamental mathematics and physical science to enable the student to function in a complex and rapidly changing society; sufficient fundamental knowledge of geography, history, and basic economic and political systems to enable the student to make informed choices with regard to issues that affect the student personally and community, state and nation.

Number three, very important, sufficient academic and vocational skills to enable the student to successfully engage, successfully engage, in post-secondary education or vocational training and sufficient academic and vocational skills to enable the student to compete on an equal basis with others in further formal education or gainful employment in contemporary society.

That means something. It's not like West Virginia or someplace where you learn to count on your toes and you've got an education. It means that when you get to the end of the line, that when you go out and get a job at IBM on the assembly line that you can work the computers, that you can follow instructions, and that you don't have to have remedial English or math in order to do That's that. what our

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

constitution requires.

And how did the state go about doing this in terms of accountability? We were very fortunate to have Dr. Smith's predecessor, a man I never got to know except on the witness stand. I loved him to death, Jay Robinson, who was our Superintendent of Public Instruction. He pushed for our accountability system in North Carolina in the early '90's.

That accountability system is called ABC's. All I know is the "C" means local control. I'll get to that in a minute, because local control can also mean yokel control in North Carolina. So that's why the state's not real happy with some of my rulings. The ABC systems, you can read about it, I gave you some stuff.

Basically what it does is we have three levels of performance. One, sorry, bottom of the line, the end. Level II, state employees say this should be on a constitutional basis, but I don't agree with it, should be just barely able to get to the next grade. Number III, the state calls grade level, I call that constitutional. Number IV, you're really moving up the line.

What that would do is, in the test, which you can read all about and I'm not going to spend time

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

telling you all about that, but we do have testing for
the elementary schools. We have end-of-course tests,
beginning of third grade through the eighth grade.

Unfortunately, to graduate from high
school in this state all a child has to do is to

school in this state all a child has to do is to successfully get to the Level III grade level on the eighth grade competency test, which is a joke. That is something, hopefully, we can get changed.

The bottom line is that this state, through the ABC program, the legislature puts \$85 million a year into the reward side. In other words, if a school has 90 percent of its children with a positive score, I think, at above or Level III, then they become a school of excellence. Eighty percent or above Level III becomes a school of distinction and drops down from there.

There's one thing about our system that No Child Left Behind appears to me to have left out, and you're going to have a problem with it, and that is you do not have any room for growth. North Carolina has a growth composite in its rewards and in its analysis.

In other words, you take a child who might be a Level I at the beginning of the year, who ain't got a snowball's chance in hell of getting to Level

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

III in 180 days, but if that teacher works with that child and that child makes growth based on those tests, then we can count that in and give -- that comes into the formula and count it. High-stakes testing without that is nothing more than -- you've got to realize not everybody is going to start off and can be brought all the way up to Level III grade level in 180 days, no matter who you've got teaching. Some children are never going to get there, but at least they get rewarded for growth.

The safety net for all the nay-sayers who don't like North Carolina, gripe about it -- is what about my smart child that's going to go to Yale. We're we're not educating people to go to Yale. We're educating them to go to Carolina, Western Carolina. We're not giving them a prep school education. Those children are measured each year for growth, too. So if you're performing at Level IV, the top level, and your teacher doesn't get you from your growth component to where you're supposed to be, she doesn't get paid. So we have some equity in that. But that's basically what our accountability system is.

We also have it built in, thanks to the legislature -- as we're running out of time. We also have a remediation component. We have a carrot, and

we have a stick, and the remediation component came in 2001, 2000, the year 2000-2001. What it's called, and there's information in your packet about that, that's called Gateways Program.

While it may seem harsh, but when we test for the first time, it counts in the third grade. And anybody who's an educator, and I'm not, but I know because I've heard so many of you say so, the first three years plus kindergarten, plus what I hope will be pre-K is to get a child learning to read. From the third grade on they should be reading to learn; so they need to get there.

So the third grade, the end of third grade, if they are not performing at grade level under our Gateways System, two things happen. They are not going to go to the fourth grade. But it's not like that; they get remediated. In other words, they get what they call a personal education plan, to teach, just like dealing with the disabled kids, they get a PEP by law. It's supposed to get the parents involved, and they're supposed to go forward to help that child. So the only data I have is on the third grade — fifth grade, which is the first group they did.

Everybody says, oh, woe is me, we're going

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

to lose 65 percent of our children and all this stuff.

Anyway, we had 92 percent go forward because over the summertime they had concentrated remediation. They got to take the test again, and they were successful.

So not only did we have accountability, we have in place, as long as we keep getting the money for it and we keep getting people working on it, we also have the remediation in place to get these children to succeed, so when they get to the next level they can succeed on that level and not be "minimally prepared" to be successful.

Local -- I'm trying to do it fast -- local control is the big political football, and that's because everybody thinks, and the politicians think that the school locally can do it first. What we found, or what I found, in talking my case, is I found that in some school districts that Lord knows need help -- it's not just the teachers. It's the people down there.

When you're bottoming out, when the kids are 50 percent at grade level, and it's happening every year in every school, something's wrong, and that's where I determined that the State of North Carolina has to come in; it's ultimately responsible. You can't create a subsidiary and blame the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

subsidiary for negligence. The people who are responsible is the state, the partnership. You've got to go in and clean it up, that is go down there.

We've got one now, it's called District
Assistance Team. You go down and see why they're not
teaching the standard course of study.

Last, but not least, when I got through with my journey all the way around the pole, using accountability, all the evidence came out with the following things. The average in North Carolina and over the United States, every child should have equal opportunity to a sound basic education. The only way that can be delivered in my book under constitution of our State is that every classroom should have a competent certified teacher who is teaching what we call a Standard Course of Study, which is our curriculum, in a manner to which those children can adjust.

So if you've got children at risk of failure, who I feel like are my children now after five years of this, these kids all can learn, but they may have to be taught differently. You've got to have a principal in that school who's doing more than sitting in the office drinking coffee. They've got to be a school leader and they've got to know what the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

heck they're doing. And number three, they've got to have the resources. It's not Cadillacs and great big offices like he has. They've got to have the basic resources to deliver the educational program.

There's one more thing I need to tell you and then I'm going to sit down before you pull that button on me -- I don't like that red light. I'm usually the one that pulls the button. The last thing is that in North Carolina we have the luxury of having flexibility in our system to do all these things that we need to do and to focus on the education.

The bottom line is we need to focus on resources and I think federal money -- I love No Child Left Behind, but we've got to have, unless we have the resources that are focused not on administration but focused on our classroom teachers, give her or him support and training that they need to do, it's not going to be done.

Last, but not least, there's a little program that came from Texas, that I can brag on that we've got in Wake County, called the Brasin Score method. And I'm going to give you this final example because this thing works, folks, and it doesn't cost \$6,000 a year per child, it doesn't cost \$15,000 a year per child, it doesn't require fancy equipment; it

requires dedicated teachers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What they do, this guy in Texas, this girl figured it in Texas who out, they take this curriculum, put it into little pieces so that the children learn little pieces. Then they are, let's use the word tested on whether or not they know where the little pieces are. They don't move out of that little piece until they know it. And in Wake County we put it in seven middle schools, seven elementary schools and three middle schools. And looking at the numbers I saw, the children who are at risk, and unfortunately a lot of our black kids are at risk, they moved in their data in one year.

It was remarkable where those schools came out going from 70 percent composite to like 80 percent or 79 percent composite using that way. No child in that thing is ever left behind. They don't get to the end of the six weeks; they don't get to end of the day. And it costs \$450 a child to implement in the school, which is dirt cheap. It requires hard guts and hard work from the teachers and the principal. But when they get on board, they all love it because it works.

Last thing, and then I'm going to sit down. North Carolina has schools and the minute the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 school buses rolled in the 1970's in the northeast and 2 other places, the white kids went to the Bible schools 3 and the local academy. We have counties in which we 4 basically have, and have had for years, all black 5 schools. 6 Up in those counties, folks, they have 7 some educators who with nothing but heart and guts 8 have got those poor kids, and they're all poor, all of 9 them at risk, up into the 70 and mid-70 percentile, 10 all of them in school in grade level. See, it can be 11 We're going to close the gap in North Carolina. 12 It's going to take hard work, it's going to take 13 flexibility in the program that you administer to your 14 kids so they can learn at the level. I'm sorry, I've 15 preached too long. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, that's perfectly 17 fine. Thank you very much. 18 19 West Virginia, a ranking member of the

There will be questions, but I just want to say that Senator Robert Byrd of Senate Appropriation Committee, should understand that I do not associate myself with your remarks about the West Virginia education system.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: We need to strike that from the record.

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There will be some

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. INIA CHINICTON D.C. 20005-3701

20

21

22

23

24

questions. Dr. Anderson.

DR. ANDERSON: Thank you very much. It's really an honor to be here and appear before you this morning. I have to tell you that as a person that grew up in the 60's and the 70's I know that the work that the Commission has done over the last 35 or 40 years has been significant in getting us to point where we are dissatisfied with the educational system that only educates some of the children.

For about 400 years, South Carolina's economy has been built on a three-legged stool: family farms, textile industry and tourism. As we enter the twenty-first century only one of those legs of that stool remains for us. So for South Carolina, changing its workforce and changing the climate of its schools is essential for the state's survival.

That is the message clearly in the recent groups like mine, that are one-third business, one-third elected officials and one-third educators, are really pushing this momentum. Our Education Accountability Act, passed in 1998, does not differ greatly in basic principles from what we see in North Carolina or Texas or Virginia or No Child Left Behind, and that's because as we created that model we visited all those places and looked at the evidence from those

states in those years. So you would see we did a focus on what students should learn through use of assessments to inform instruction and to rate schools, professional development and technical assistance, public reporting and rewards and sanctions.

So we're talking about two pieces of legislation when we look at the federal and South Carolina. They're very, very closely aligned. In 1998, South Carolina set a goal to be ranked in the middle of states nationally in academics. It was about the same time our neighbors here in North Carolina set the goal to be number one, but we were undergoing a campaign of billboards and political ads that almost seemed to celebrate the fact that we were 50. So setting a goal to be in the middle was a significant step forward.

What you should have been given is a copy of a document called Where are We Now. We've set nine measures by which we can measure South Carolina's progress to the middle and beyond that our progress to 2014. In fact, the rigor of that goal is such that if it increases in rigor all the way through to 2014, it would be approximately at the same goal as No Child Left Behind.

For the last four years we worked very

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

hard to change the pattern of student achievement in South Carolina and it's evident in that document and other documentation that we reviewed. We can see that we have moved the two ends of the achievement continuum. We have moved students out of the very bottom and we have moved our students at the top further along. But unfortunately, the group of students that we did not move were those who live and learn between those extremes, and there lies our challenge and it's dominated by low expectations.

In the copy of the written paper I sent, you will see that our standards for our state assessment program are set exactly at the standards that are met, and that is a tough goal, and it's one that is discussed a lot in South Carolina now as we look at that requirement in No Child Left Behind to be proficient, even though proficient is gauged differently across the state.

It's with a great deal of courage that South Carolina's elected leadership are willing to stand with our definition of proficiency knowing that an overwhelming majority of our schools will be labeled as not making adequate yearly progress.

Our system employs two ratings. One is an absolute index which measures the school against an

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

annual target, what is the total level of that student population. It's calculated across grade levels and across content areas, and it's an annual, really, status report.

We also use an improvement rating The out the toughest standards. those turn longitudinally rating is based upon improvement matched students. Jo Anne in grade three compared to Jo Anne in grade four. It is one of the most rigorous measures that can be used. If you look across the State, it's one of the most rigorous. Last year we rated about 5 percent of our schools as satisfactory.

What you have to understand in our absolute growth, what we do is we, you know, you couldn't start with where you want to be and rate everybody unsatisfactory. So what we do is increase the rigor of how X point is defined over time. Five percent of our schools were rated unsatisfactory on the absolute measure, 25 percent of our schools were rated unsatisfactory and need improvement.

What we saw in our pattern of student performance was, yes, that we moved students out of the below basic category, but we also saw students drop from advanced proficient and from proficient to advanced. So, part of our challenge in moving that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

continuum is to make a real commitment to educating every single child.

We have seen historically in our improvement measures that we expect a net gain of 5 percent per year in terms of student performance. If you look at the gains expected by the federal legislation, you're looking at a 7 to 8 percent gain in student performance.

So that's a little bit intimidating and I'm glad my two colleagues talked about the sources, because that is part of our challenge in implementing No Child Left Behind and our challenge to continue to implement our state legislation. For example, 11.4 percent of South Carolina disabled students scored at the proficient level. So we have to go in and convince the teachers who are working with inadequate resources, perhaps more students than she feels comfortable, struggling to help parents understand that, and an underfunded system of special education, that she can get every student to proficient level. It is a formidable goal and one that we need to know enough that our teachers and our administrators see that it is possible, not that it's so impossible we will never get there.

Our analysis of our data show few

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

surprises. Our absolute performance ratings, that annual status, is clearly related to the -- correlated to a significant level of student's socioeconomic status, but our improvement ratings are not.

What we see in the improvement ratings and what we see in this fairly sophisticated analyses of dollars and student poverty funds are the things that matter. When students learn, it's what we as adults do that matters -- not the advantages or disadvantages the child brings to the classroom, not who his parents are, not where he lives, but what adults do is what matters.

Our data suggests clearly students in schools succeed when administrators have been in their position two or more years, when teachers hold advanced degrees, when teachers have enough expertise and experience to be under continuing contract, when teachers return to the school year after year, and when parents interact with teachers. When adults do what matters, children learn.

In our under-performing schools the average administrator turnover rate is 50 percent per year; every other year there's a new administrator. The teacher turnover rate is between 25 and 30 percent per year. Those teachers that are coming in as first-

year teachers, they tend to be getting a little experience and as quickly as they can and leaving those schools. So we know that -- that when we can provide teachers with expanding content knowledge they are more likely to teach. If we get teachers with experience, then they understand how to manage classrooms, they're more confident in that. And most compellingly, when we have teachers that are there to work with parents, it matters.

In Jasper County, South Carolina, at four o'clock in the morning parents get on buses and ride to Savannah or to Hilton Head and change bed linens all day, and get off that bus about 8:30 or 9:00 at night. We cannot expect those parents to be at PTA. We have to find new ways to interact with them.

Our profession is also changing. As a teacher, and I remember growing up, you know, Ms. Berry in Shelbyville, Tennessee -- if I got in trouble in the third grade classroom, my mother knew by the time she picked me up in the afternoon; questioned that teacher. But there is a disconnect now between parents and teachers.

And it's most poignantly expressed one night in Andrews, South Carolina, a place in rural

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 2000E-3704

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

South Carolina on the way to the beach, when a woman stood up and said, "I don't go to that school and talk to those teachers." And someone said, "Why? Why won't you talk to the teacher about what's happening to your child?" And she said, "I don't know him. They don't live here. I don't see him at the grocery store. I don't know if they go to church. I don't know how they raised their own children."

There is a tremendous disconnect that if we are going to use the power of a parent-teacher partnership, we have to come back to them. Our school and district report cards include information, but do apologize, and not do not consider into the calculation information on schools with students, and that similar students grouping is used to require the people to look at where things are working. And similar schools grouping is based upon two factors: a poverty composite of participation in free/reduced price lunch programs and eligibility for Medicaid over a three year period, using the Medicaid pick up about 20 percent more students to understand -- and that's because a lot of families are on the edge of eligibility for free lunch and also high school students tend to under-represent themselves in the free lunch population.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

くろひろり ろろり マヤママ

www.poalminee.com

We create a lot of supplementary materials for people to use in order to support them because we know that the report cards are used locally and the data are used without that change locale. I've included in your packets some information where you can look at the variability in South Carolina school districts organized by the school ratings. And so what this is, we have communities where they only raised \$3,400 and communities where they're raising 1.2 million dollars.

perhaps the most dramatic the representations are on the colored maps. I hope they've given you the ones with colored maps. look at page 10, you see the blue, the below average schools and the green for below average. But you turn the next page and you'll see in pink and red, decline in student population and then below the dark teal and the black color, minority representation. What becomes apparent to you graphically in South Carolina is the vast spacial inequality. I-85 is a corridor of wealth and achievement. I-95 is economically and educationally bereft. And we could show you data that as it winds up demonstrates I-95 through North Carolina; it's the same problem as it does in South Carolina. We see a "V" which tells us that rural

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

South Carolina is dying. Students and families are leaving there and many of those communities have a lower population than they did in 1930.

So our challenge is to make certain that spacial inequalities which in South Carolina the line between spacial inequality and race is very blurred. Geographic and economic isolation do not exist if they have teachers who are prepared to work at those populations, and they've got policies in place -- the most troubling policies is that we use a teacher market document that says the least prepared teachers lead to the most challenging districts. And if we form those political and parent partnerships so that we can in fact create a local momentum to use the data to leverage change, because unless we use the data than we will be in the same place tomorrow that we are today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much Dr. Anderson. Commissioner Edley is a Professor at Harvard Law School and various other important things, like a civil rights project at Harvard. He's been a high official in various administrations and he's an expert on some of these matters. And vice-chair Reynoso is sitting to my right who is a distinguished Professor at University of California at Davis and is

1 involved in all matters and used to be a Justice on 2 the California Supreme Court. I wonder if either of 3 you have any questions for anyone of the panel? 4 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: We have until what? 5 I'll defer Saturday afternoon. to my senior 6 colleague. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you like to 8 start? 9 VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I have a lot of 10 I'll start with Dr. Smith. questions. The outline 11 that you present to us is a very hopeful one, and I 12 must say that your Kiwanis speech --13 DR. SMITH: Rotary. 14 VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Rotary. 15 found your two minute summary of your Rotary speech to 16 be very impressive particularly in terms of the 17 figures that you gave and the improvement that came 18 about. But I have questions pertaining to the process 19 that you undertook here, and let me start with the 20 most difficult. Each of you on the panel said that 21 your programs don't work without the resources the 22 teacher has. 23 And I'm from California and I was involved 24 in a series of hearings in Los Angeles County where I 25

heard firsthand from students who did not have text

books for example. It seems to be that's pretty essential for teaching. So the first question is, how do you as educators go about convincing the policy makers of the state, mainly the legislators, that indeed those resources are necessary, and I assume that you have to convince them that there will be good results from that. So how did that process work out when you were in the position of trying to persuade legislators that they needed to put more money to education? That seems to be, frankly, a national problem.

DR. SMITH: It is a national problem. In that question lies the future of "No Child Left Behind", whether or not it succeeds or fails is that question.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We already hear from the states concerns that the ideals of the federal program are fine, but where are the resources, the local folk are asking. So even then we have the beginning of problems in terms of a solution as -certainly it's expressed by each of you on this panel, because you need those resources. So the question is, I guess maybe we should make it broader at this point, if "No Child left Behind" starts to exceed the new resources, how convince the federal you can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

legislature and the President? But at this point I'm just concerned about your testimony in terms of the success locally. That itself is a challenge. How would you go about bringing that change about?

DR. SMITH: Specifically, we were very blessed in Charlotte because this community responded and we did have a good support from the state legislature. But our funding, we're physically dependent on the County Commission and really across party leadership, Republican and Democrat, the County Commission, we consistently had a high level of funding. Margaret Carnes, who will speak later today and perhaps our colleague from Charlotte can speak to some of the business commitment, the corporate leadership, their support for school funding, as well as parents and others that stepped up and in essence demanded.

And to follow up on just how does that happen, perhaps they'd be better to answer that question than I. But the strategy was to clearly define our objective, and we did that through a clear statement of goals and then a rigorous statement of accountability. And what, at least, in my experience has told me, is that people are willing to pay the price for quality education and achievement if they

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know the money is being well spent and is delivering a return for the dollar, and so we work annually to demonstrate that.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So an important part of that, I take it from your response that, my experience has been that County Commissioners and other elected officials, and I don't mean to sound openly negative, but that I have not much faith in the elected officials absent community involvement. And I hear you saying that the business community, the parents and others got together and were able to then meet with the officials and they responded, so I can understand that.

So we need to jump back then to -- my notes indicate that the first thing you said was clearly defined goals. How did you decide what those goals were going to be? You have now, but more so then, great variations in accomplishments by different school districts and in different ethic and racial groups within those school districts. How did you go about defining the goals in such a way that the entire community, the business community, the educational community, parent community came together and said, yeah that's right. How did you go about doing that?

DR. SMITH: It's a little different than

MASHINGTON DC 20005,3701

43 1 perhaps some people might anticipate. It wasn't group 2 We basically, when I decision making. 3 Charlotte in '96, I didn't even know there was a state 4 accountability system when I took this job; I learned 5 that after I got here. So I didn't think about 6 independent accountability; I knew that I needed to 7 have an accountability structure in order 8 We focused on three specifics that we successful. 9 felt were essential for school operation. One that we 10 to have, goals around academic achievement. 11 Second around school safety, third; community 12 cooperation. 13 The goals, the specific goals that were 14 stated in academic achievement were built on the --15 were goals that would reflect the strategies that I 16

intended to have played out. One is that all students must have a solid foundation early in the educational process, grade three, grade two, kindergarten, possible. And so we had clear measures around reading, writing and arithmetic in the earlier grades, so we defined that. Reading is basic --

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: You said a child by grade three ought to be able to read or think

DR. SMITH: Eighty-five percent proficient

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | . 10 |

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

across the system. If they're well prepared with their foundation then we can jump to the out year measures. Are we able to put students on a trajectory that gets them to a higher, more rigorous performance. So we moved to things such as, what are we doing in terms of SAT? Are we at the national average, with what percent of test-takers? What portion of our student population is accessing advanced placement or internal baccalaureate or what's the performance rating?

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And did you have goals as to that various -- that five years from now we'd like to see a specific goal?

MR. SMITH: Specific goals. And so we have them drafted up. Again, Charlotte has a wonderful business community. We shared that with people like Hugh McColl and Ed Crutchfield and some people who were willing to give us the time and begin to get -again, it's a straightforward process and people stepped up and said, we're going to go on this journey with you, and then we reported annually. We'd stand in front of the Edman Building and we reported our success or our failings and we make course adjustments. The state accountability system ended up dove-tailing with this very nicely.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

But again, the original goal didn't reflect on the state system, a bigger agenda than that. But without that focus that laser focus for six years in '92 and continuing with Dr. Pughsley, without that laser focus we would waste money, we would waste effort and human resource.

And so when you come back to the question of resource, financial resource, there is two issues. One is being able to get the community support for adequate funding. The other is to -- you don't have it, you just, at the end of the day, it's just not there, how do you cut back to your core business and how do you scale back. You can't be a social service You can't be all these others things that society expects of public education and you've got to cull it back to those keys issues which, again, might be defined by the state, it might be -- or the federal government, that reading, writing and arithmetic and those are things that we're going after, and then start to build back. So again, it's extraordinarily frugality in how you spend and then building the community support to fund where we can.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I have tons of other questions, but I want to go on to Judge Manning and Dr. Anderson because I'm sure that there'll be

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

follow up questions on how you deal with awards and sanctions and all of that. Judge Manning, how has the state been able to balance, and again, this is a crucial question in my own state, and I'm sure many other states, the reality that it's the state constitution that says in many states, including yours and mine, that it's the responsibility to educate to children. And then it's delegated to the local districts, and then the local districts very often have their own notions of how to best educate. And how is that balanced in the states so that the state meets its obligation?

In California, for example, we have high schools in many small, rural areas and then to my surprise I found for the last few years then that in big, urban schools we have high schools that don't even provide the basic courses that a student must have to be eligible to go enter the university system in California, for example. And yet it's the state that has the responsibility, constitutionally, to teach those youngsters. So how is that balance worked out? It's always been my experience a tricky balance.

JUDGE MANNING: Well, the balance in North Carolina, it's like a shell game. Let's just call it what it is. The constitution says the state is

responsible.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.

JUDGE MANNING: We have the Department of Public Instruction, which is the constitution, the head of which is a constitutional officer.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, sir.

JUDGE MANNING: And then we have the state-formed local boards of education. They are children and nephews and nieces of the state, and it's just like an employee. To put it in a business context, you've got a plant in Rayford, North Carolina that is making rayon and it's losing money. Your corporate headquarters is going to come down there and stop the bleeding. They're either going to fire the manager or do something to get a hold on that bleeding plant.

It's the same concept. The state of North Carolina created these entities and the entities have the local control which by all leaps and bounds and common sense that they ought to because they know what's on the ground, just like the principal in a school needs to have that flexibility, so if she's working with high at-risk kids, she can readjust her resources to focus on how they learn which may not be as fast as somebody in a wealthy area in a particular

town. So you've got to have that flexibility.

But at the same time, what happened in North Carolina is that the state had a disconnect. In other words, the state in the lawsuit took the position, and still does, that it is the fault of the local school if the system isn't working, but the line of responsibility goes all the way to the top. And this is one of the things on the appeal of my decision they're having a fit about, because they don't want to be responsible for little Billy Smith in a third grade classroom who is not getting the instruction that he needs to get or little Sally Smart, who's not getting the instruction.

VICE-CHATRPERSON REYNOSO: I heard the bench decision you were quoting from, clearly the state has the responsibility, so what does the state do if a local school district's failing in that responsibility?

JUDGE MANNING: Now, we have lots of things in place from the legislature under our laws, several things. We have schools which are called low performing schools.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.

JUDGE MANNING: That's a school with less than 50 percent at grade level for less than two

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

years. Now we have remediation there which is -- and we don't have any, we don't have a lot of it -- but the state can come in under the law, send in what they call a mandatory assistance team of retired educators and specialists, and they will go into that school for a year, if not longer, and they will try to jerk the chain for the people that aren't getting the job done. Then we can remove -- the state of North Carolina can come in and remove a school board. They can take over the school system, they can remove a principal, that's all in place in North Carolina.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Has that worked pretty well, because in California we've had the state actually take over a couple of school districts and it doesn't seem to have worked too well.

JUDGE MANNING: We haven't taken over a single school district to my knowledge, but they have come in, in certain instances. I know they came into one school in Wake County and ended up about to take the principal, remove the principal, and they put the resources in there, and the children went to this —they brought in the people that you pay to teach them to read for a year and now it's "Montessori Magnet School." So they turned it around because everybody wanted to keep their jobs, so we've got all this

1 statutorily in place in North Carolina. We haven't 2 had to take over a school board yet, except I was 3 trying to get them to go down to Polk County and help 4 this whole system out, which they reluctantly did, and 5 surprisingly I think they did a pretty good job of it. 6 VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: As to the six 7 or so years that have gone by, have you seen an 8 increase in the number of students attending the 9 public schools vis a vis the academies. That is, as 10 you have seen more success in the public schools, has 11 there been somewhat of a transition from those from 12 private academies, to say, well the public schools 13 really are working pretty well, or as has that not 14 changed too much in the last few years? 15 JUDGE MANNING: Well I, you know, I can't .16 expect you to know everything about North Carolina and 17 I don't know everything about California. My remarks 18 on that point were devoted to the counties, just like 19 they've got in South Carolina, which are rural and 20 poor. 21 VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I understand. 22 JUDGE MANNING: In the big cities, like 23 Charlotte-Mecklenburg, like Wake and Guilford and 24 everything, we did not have this so-called white 25 flight. In the areas where they used to burn crosses

and stuff, we have the people get out. Those systems, those children, I don't think they think -- they're so economically deprived and we lost the text books, like South Carolina, that they're there because they've got no place to go. So what the problems those people have is they're dealing with dirt poor, bare bones, no way out.

But the difference is, I want to bring this back to the big city, in every big city in North Carolina, we've got the same type of kids that I don't think there has been enough attention paid to. And so I put in your package for you to look at when you want to go to sleep that you'll get, I've got statistics statewide by black and white over the last three or four years, including Charlotte-Mecklenburg's and including Wake County because this is not a race case that I have, but that's where I was getting the numbers. And we've got -- and you'll find that all of it is progress. Every year the percentages get a little better, and we've done great overall, but we've still got this gap.

The point I wanted to make to you before, and I make it every time you ask me a question today, before you all kick me out, is these children with the proper educational technique can learn just like

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

anybody else. And in Wake County we had the Project Achieve, and what I saw by, because I need the numbers, is that the black male was one of the hardest — they're the ones that suffer the most. These children were making strides under this program.

In the pre-kindergarten program which you put in called Bright Beginnings in Charlotte, if you look at the disaggregated data, you will see that these children who had pre-K in Charlotte ended up, a lot of them ended up in Level IV when they were tested in the third grade. Some of them didn't do as well, because probably the classroom teachers didn't follow up.

But in any event that's -- we have had, the state hadn't had any problem going in and taking over the school districts. It's an isolated incident. We have very few of these low performing schools, and the reason we do is because they set the bar so daggone low, that they're already dead by the time we go into help. If we raise the bar and said if you are 65 percent and you've got a problem, we're going to send somebody in to help you, then we will "add more" low performing schools. But we will -- they basically were dead by the time they get the forced state aid, because if you're less than 50 percent, something's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

wrong.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Dr. Anderson, in South Carolina have you seen the same sort of increase in the educational accomplishments of African-Americans that we've heard about in North Carolina in the last few years?

No sir, not really in the DR. ANDERSON: last few years. We are beginning to see it on a statewide basis. Only about 15 percent of our African-American population in scoring the proficient level in those schools, and that's what our data has enabled us to do. In those schools where you see a real light, Dr. Smith talks about a laser focus real commitment, consistent commitment changing to GPS, we can identify high minority, high poverty schools that are demonstrating terrific gains, both primarily in the urban systems, not so much in the rural systems. The urban systems seem to be able to accomplish that much better.

But we still know that there is a culture of low expectations. Many of those young people, particularly in rural sections, are in schools that are grossly under funded and dependent upon what's left over in the personnel hiring process. So we're beginning to see some reasons to give us optimism, but

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we've only had our rating system in place for two years and so we'll just continue to focus on --

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I teach at the graduate level and I've served on the admissions committee last year, and I think I will again this year, and I'm always disturbed when we can't among the applicants admit, it seems to me, an equitable number of African-Americans and Latinos into the law school, because it's from the graduate school system we know that so much of the leadership of this country comes from and we need that leadership from all ethnic and racial groups.

Let me ask you this. In California we've seen the phenomenon, for example, there's a school district near the Mexican border in the little town of Calexico where the average family income is \$12,000 a year and the district is 98 to 99 percent Latino, and the Latinos are the largest ethnic group California. And that school district has always sent a large proportion of their high school graduates on to universities. I used to live in that area, but I've read a lot about it also.

And its success seems to have much to do with what you mentioned in terms of what you needed; consistency of teachers, teachers who understand their

community, dedication to the progress of the students and so on. So, it seems to me that it's not a magical formula to understand what makes for success, even when the families are poor, et cetera. So why have we had such a hard time implementing those successful 6 formulas in a proper way in really most of our states? DR. ANDERSON: I think one of the real challenges, you know, I work with policy makers is that they see certain formulas that work and think 10 that's working. I mean Eric Smith has done that in

11 Charlotte and so let's implement it in South Carolina 12 and let's implement it everywhere, and I think most of

13 us would say is that schools are local. Schools are a

14 very different community context, and so what we've 15 got to make certain is that mix of policies and so

forth fits that local situation.

In fact, we just released a study from which some of these maps are taken where we said, there is not one, you cannot chose a cookie cutter approach for a rural community that has a 10 percent Hispanic population, as compared to a rural community that has only, you know, a quarter of its population African-American. It's very different. We're beginning to see that particularly --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Please turn off your

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON D.C 20005,2701

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 cell phone. 2 DR. ANDERSON: -- in our Latino students. 3 The Latinos that are moving into South Carolina are 4 very different than other minority cultures. They're 5 coming in with intact families, they're coming in with 6 some of that new immigrant American ethic of the 7 America myth that you come into this country with --8 VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I once heard a 9 politician who's very anti-immigrant, and complained 10 that immigrants come in with a greater American ethic 11 than most Americans. 12 MS. ANDERSON: Ι think one of the 13 challenges is to adapt the solution to the situation, 14 because what we want is very -- is uniformity and 15 resolve and variation in classes, as opposed to 16 uniform processing, variable results. 17 JUDGE MANNING: Thank you very much. 18 want to yield to my colleague because I could go on 19 for the 37 minutes we have. 20 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: First, I just want to 21 express my profound appreciation for your statements 22 and your help today and it's really quite wonderful. 23 I have a number of things and I'll just to have rely 24 on the chair to silence me when I've gone on for too 25

long.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the accountability Let me start issue, generally, and the specific thing I want to focus on is high-stakes testing. And let me just make I am deeply troubled by, first of all, the disparate impact of high-stakes testing as a legal matter, as a moral matter.

Second, the assertion that an accountability system must include high-stakes for children as opposed to people who really have power in the system strikes me as unproven. By which I mean to say, I really have not understood in all the listening I've done and all the reading I've done, why so many educators believe that unless the children are left, the achievement disparities cannot be narrowed. mean, I see the hand waving, but I don't -- where's the evidence for that proposition?

And number three, I'm especially concerned because there does seem to be a growing body of evidence that retention in grade is unmet. Unmet education is disastrous for children because it drives up drop-out rates, increases alienation, and there are alternatives. So, let me just be clear, I'm for testing, I'm for standards, I'm for accountability as general principal, I'm for excellence, I'm for ending the soft tyranny of low expectations, I'm for

ending the soft bigotry of low expectations. But could any of you please explain to me why holding children accountable and compounding their victimhood in this system is a necessary component of standards driven school improvement? I'm sorry, I stated that somewhat argumentative. I just thought I'd put it out there, what my concern is.

DR. SMITH: I'll be brief. Here in Charlotte we're centering on that very point, about high-stakes for students. And so to kind of deal with a final answer is the accountability issue has got to be systemic. Again, I think that accountability will fail if it's focused on one or limited aspects. gets to be high-stakes for kids, it has to be highstakes for educators, it has to be high-stakes for those who are funding and their policy makers. has to be this chain that goes up and down the system, and we're all going to weigh in on this thing and be ready to stand up and be accounted for our success or our failure.

I argued your point here in North Carolina with the previous governor about whether or not we needed to have high-stakes for children, whether they'd be retained if they didn't perform. I lost the argument and then I became convinced that the decision

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

to move to high-stakes for the kids was the right thing because I saw the results. And again, I don't have data, but perhaps we can find it to share with the commission. I did see, after we moved to requirements to perform at a certain level, pass a certain level in order to be promoted, it increased -it was a huge blitz of all information to parents and so forth that we were serious about achievement that significant, there was it wasn't minor, significant increase in student performance because of the accountability, particularly in the upper grades. In the middle grades in high school, we started to see that this step is required, they couldn't ignore this test, they couldn't ignore the efforts.

The other is that it, I think the focus, it focuses on the retention and it focuses on the promotion. I think it's very important that any highstakes be focused on promotion and that punitive action be taken against those systems or schools that have high retention rates. It has to be -- the expectation has to be that the children will be promoted. That's why strategies such as Brasin Score in Texas, which is -- was quickly -- we adopted it and Jim Pughsley could tell you it's implemented probably 40 of our schools. But a system of knowing

1 before the end game is over that our students 2 performing grade level, getting on access 3 meaningful -- understanding what the state assessment 4 is going to be about, so we can break it down and have 5 many assessments every week to week and a half in our 6 classrooms to give immediate feedback to teachers. 7 kinds of things are required to build a 8 structure of promotion, high-stakes promotion, not 9 high-stakes retention, and so again, I think it's 10 multi-faceted. 11 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Let me make sure I 12 understand you. So is what you're saying to me that 13 by and large you have found that, not just some 14 teachers, but many teachers, perhaps most teachers, 15 are unable to motivate eight-year-olds and fifteen-16 year-olds to learn at high levels, unless they have 17 this weapon available to punish the students? 18 MR. SMITH: No, I'm not saying that. I'm 19 saying that as it appeared to work for teachers and 20 for myself to focus on what our job really was to the 21 accountability process, and there would be no doubt 22 about the seriousness of getting the job done; that 23 there will be sanctions if you don't do this. 24

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry.

MR. SMITH: For adults.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Or, for adults. 2 Here's the way to put it to you. I can see two 3 possible theories for holding the child accountable. 4 One theory would be that you needed -- that you need 5 it as a way to produce an incentive for the child to 6 try harder. 7 A second way to pay attention to the 8 teacher, to do homework, whatever, you need as 9 incentive for the child to cooperate. A second 10 justification would be that it is educationally 11 disadvantageous to the student to do social promotion, 12 so I could see either of those two theories being 13 applicable. 14 But on the latter, as far as I know, the 15 research, the great weight of the research is that 16 it's not true. That in fact it's worse for the child 17 to hold them back in grade, they drop out of their age 18 cohort, et cetera, et cetera. 19 It's even in terms of what happens after 20 they get out of high school, which is the market 21 signaling and all the rest of these, it's just false 22 that it is an educational benefit to the child to 23 retain them in grade. 24 fall back to the former So, then I

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
MASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

argument, where you need it as an incentive to get the

62 1 student to pay attention, et cetera. Well, if the 2 reason that I'm not in grade level, the reason that 3 I'm not prepared to move on to the eighth grade or to 4 the ninth grade is actually not because I haven't 5 tried, but because I haven't had the opportunity to 6 learn because there's a problem with the teacher, 7 because there's a problem with the facilities, with 8 the curriculum, with whatever, then at least that sub-9 set of circumstances, the incentive justification for 10 high-stakes would seem to evaporate. 11 So even if I grant you that there's some 12 13

so even if I grant you that there's some proportion of the students for whom that whip actually is an important incentive to get them to learn, what about all the students where the problem isn't the incentive that they feel, the problem isn't their aspiration, their commitment. The problem is they're not being adequately served.

Even for those students you say it's important in an accountability system to -- You have knowledge that the school is failing, nevertheless, you want to say the child has to be held back, or the child has to be deprived of a degree of diploma?

DR. SMITH: And again, you stated my argument very well.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 That's exactly the question DR. SMITH: 2 and the issue is are civil rights being violated in 3 the process when we know that adequate support for all 4 those things that are required are not being -- are 5 knowingly not being provided and that's why I say this 6 whole issue of accountability, it's not a single 7 event. 8 It has to be a systemic event, and you 9 have to be covering all these bases simultaneously and 10 when you insert the issue of social promotion, what is 11 the science of education? How do you recapture a 12 child if, in fact, they do need more time? 13 Because I think most people would agree it 14 is unfair to send a child into high school that can't 15 So where do you stop the process and say we've read. 16 got to intervene at this point? 17 So I think, again, it's one element of 18 many; all have got to be in play. You can't have high 19 schools that don't offer the curriculum, then expect 20 students to move on, to be promoted into the 21 university. 22 These things just aren't right in America, 23 so it's a multiple issue. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I respond for a

minute and then I'll come right back to you so I don't

1 have to ask the same question. Let me just say that 2 it sounds from listening to the exchange, and I was 3 going to ask the same question, so I'm trying to do 4 this in the interest of time, is if you're saying --5 or what Christopher's saying -- is that if a student 6 is retained in grade, and the school system has not 7 provided what the student needs, knowing what the 8 student needs and all three of you have told us that 9 you know what the student needs, whether it's the 10 Texas bite-sized bits, which is the same thing as me 11 giving quizzes to my students every week to see if 12 they know what I taught them that week, which people 13 have been doing for years, or whether it's some other, 14 you know, the class size needs to be smaller or the 15 teacher needs more help or whatever, and we know this 16 already as adults, we don't offer this to the student 17 and the student is retained in grade.

So should the outcome be that the student then suffers by being retained, dropping out, all those things, or does the student then, is there some liability on the part of the system to the students?

Indeed has he not only, if it's a question of race or whatever, been discriminated against or even in the absence of that, is he owed damages by the school system and his parents for the failure to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

educate the student, knowing all that we know and not only knowing all that we know, everything that the three of you told us and that everybody tells us about education and everything I know about it.

We have known for years that what do you call it bite-sized pieces or checks or quizzes or whatever you call it how to teach people. And I remember reading something in the materials on you, Dr. Smith, when one day it dawned on you, you were studying something else, that people knew how to teach kids, they just weren't doing it. I mean they knew how to educate everybody.

So, if we do indeed know how to educate everybody, why is the only result along this high-stakes testing and retention and all of this that students get punished, or that we end up saying schools are failing schools, when indeed the schools wouldn't be failing schools if we provided in the schools what the schools needed so that they wouldn't be failing.

So how did we get into this fix, and why are the students ultimately the ones who are being deprived and punished, and can Judge Manning or somebody get us out of this box?

JUDGE MANNING: I'll get you out of the

NEAL R. GROSS

1 Going around and around, the box is a box that 2 If it's being solved in North is not solvable. 3 Carolina -- I think in answer to your question, 4 Professor, I take issue in North Carolina with this 5 being -- we have the results of the test which is 6 disaggregated. 7 That way we know which populations are not 8 being served and we have our achievement gap. And the 9 things that everybody who's on the ground, that is 10 including the teachers, is that I think a lot about we 11 have a great number of many -- and white kids are in 12 the same boat. 13 We have a population -- let's focus on it. 14 Let's take the gloves off and quit beating around the 15 bush. We have a population of people in North 16 Carolina, white and black, who are not -- do not have 17 families. 18 There were 40,000 illegitimate births in 19 North Carolina in the year 2001, 50 percent of them 20 were white, 50 percent of them were minority. 21 children I see in my courtroom that I left yesterday, 22 which is why I'm so impassioned about it. 23 I can see a young black male and I can 24 look on his plea bargain and see he got to the eighth

grade. So let's just take the gloves off.

25

Those kids

are damaged from the time they hit the street, and so
what we've got to do, folks, the at-risk children, and
they're white children and they're Hispanic children,
they're coming out without the family structure. This
family value stuff is great in a country club, it
doesn't mean a damn thing in the ghetto because it's
not there, and they come out and they do not have a
vocabulary, they do not get the nutrition, they do not
get the care from the mother who might have two or
three. In fact I had one lady who violated probation
and she had six illegitimate children, four of which
were when she was on probation, and those kids haven't
got a snowball's chance in hell unless the education
system provides them, in my opinion, with at least
four-year-old kindergarten. Because these kids come
to school, they don't even know their colors, and they
are behind from the start. That's where the disparate
impact it's not the test. All the test is telling
me is that we have a problem here, Houston, we have a
big problem because and the reason for it is we
have these kids who need the help up front and the
only way that you can "measure" that, is to provide a
uniform system of measurement so that we know where
you fit in Berkeley County and where you fit in
Mecklenburg. And in North Carolina everybody had the

same reaction when we put in the Gateways Program,
that, oh Lord, we're going down the tubes. Well these
children in fact, 92 percent of them, all of the kids
went on to the next grade level, because guess what,
they got the help they needed at the time and space in
the third grade before it was necessary, but it's not
a disparate impact. The disparate impact is caused by
the societal failings, not by the educators. Now the
schools one more thing, one more thing, we had in
my case the most unbelievable revelation. The NCA put
in a brief and admitted they had been teaching with
low expectations for a lot of years, and that they
said we need to be retrained so that we can adjust to
teaching the heterogeneous children, not the
homogeneous children, the heterogeneous groups we're
now facing as classroom teachers. Which I thought was
a startling admission that we've being doing it.
Again, you've got to have a teacher who knows her
children, who has high expectations and whether it's
bits and pieces that you know how to teach, the
elements is, there's a lot of them that don't know how
to do it right. But the only way we can survive and
know what we're doing is we've got to have measures.
So I disagree with you that we're beating the kids.
You know, life is a test and I'd rather have them have

a test so when they get to the end of their education 1 2 they're 18 years old and they haven't a high school 3 diploma that means something more than the daggone 4 piece of paper it's written on, than to have them drop 5 out and show up in my courtroom or any other courtroom 6 by the hundreds of thousands selling drugs, and having 7 no chance in life at all, except for a prison cell. I 8 know that nobody likes it, and I wish we didn't have 9 to do it, but for the children's sake we've got to 10 have some way to help them along and see how they're 11 doing, and Ms. Berry knows that, she checked, she gave 12 her kids tests every week, so guess what, they didn't 13 get to the end of the six weeks and be behind --14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Judge Manning, you and 15 Professor Edley are really saying not different 16 You're saying that you want to test kids, but things. 17 you want to do something, when you find out they don't 18 know --19 JUDGE MANNING: -- I disagree that it's a 20 whip. I think it is a tool by which you help the 21 children and everybody goes through it. It's not like 22 you just pick Hispanic kids and make them take the 23 test; everybody has to take it. 24 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I think that -- I 25 just want to be clear that I think I agree with

the value of the assessment of doing the testing, and I agree that the disparities and achievement that are revealed by the testing do not constitute disparate impact, they constitute evidence of the crime. Where my concern is raised is when the results of the assessment are then used for high-stakes purposes and the sanctions have a disparate impact, and there's obviously already case law with respect to the due process violation that occurs with respect to diploma denial when opportunity to learn has not be provided, for example, the curriculum has not been provided to I just want to suggest that I think that there are similar moral, if not legal issues, when it comes to the issue of retention, if indeed opportunity to learn has not been provided, but we may just have to agree to disagree on that issue. I want to raise one last question and subside, Madame Chair, because this is an easy one, this is a very easy one, I assure That is I wanted to ask you what your views are about the extent to which racial integration is at all issue of closing achievement disparities as we go forward. In other words, I think should stipulate that desegregation played a substantial role in '60s, '70s and '80s the in

narrowing some issues of disparity, and certainly on a national basis, I don't specifically know the data in the Carolinas, but certainly on a national basis as there's been a trend towards resegregation, there's at least a correlation with some widening of achievement disparities in the last 15 years. But on a going forward basis, I guess do you believe that continuing commitment to racial integration is part of the answer in addressing our achievement needs, or do you think that that's really a separate question that needs to be addressed on its merits, independent of the achievement issue?

Court of Appeals has, at least the Fourth Circuit, that you cannot use race in assignments and so I'm going to answer because I'm the one with the law degree and I hear the cases. Brown versus the Board of Education said something different to me, but I'm not sitting on the Fourth Circuit and never will after today, I can assure you of that. The point I'm making is that in Wake County we use diversity, which is free and reduced lunch and one other -- a couple of other factors to keep our system balanced. What we're finding is that as the wealth gap gets bigger, that is as Wake County gets -- we get all these people with

high tech jobs and the parents really don't want their children bused across town for diversity, we're going to have a problem. My answer to you is that we need diversity; I think it's necessary. Call it whatever you want to call it, I think you need diversity in schools because you've got to get along with people. You can't get -- you've got to know that people aren't like you and learn to get along with them, which is what Brown versus Board of Education was saying along the fact as to the adverse impact it had on little black children. But in any event -- in any event, I think we've got to have it. What I really would like to warn you about, and I'm concerned for North Carolina, is that we're going to end up with some places like we've got in the northeast with children who are not going to have diversity and we've got to address -- we've got to learn to effectively give those children the rights education that you were talking about. That is we've got to give those children, each of those children has an equal opportunity under our Constitution to have the same basic education, to be able to go to college if they can, technical school if they can't, as any child in Cary, North Carolina, which is very wealthy.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So this, even in a

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

situation in which diversity is unattainable whether for doctrinal reasons or for demographic reasons, you're saying that that's no excuse for --

JUDGE MANNING: Under our Constitution, every child, regardless of the color, sex or anything else, is entitled to the opportunity to obtain that same basic education. Not everybody is going to get there, but they've got to have in that classroom the teacher that knows how to do it, a principal that knows how to lead that school and a curriculum and method of teaching that addresses those childrens' needs, that's flexible and you've got to have the resources to accomplish that education plan.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Madame Chair, thank you very much. I just want to point out that in the University of Michigan case, the affirmative action case at the University of Michigan case that's before the Supreme Court right now, if the Court rules that diversity can be a compelling interest to justify race— conscious affirmative action in higher education then it seems to me it will at least open the door to revisit, even in the Fourth Circuit, to revisit the question of whether diversity can be a compelling interest for pupil assignment in K-12, and if that comes to pass, then it's going to fall back in the

laps of the states and the school boards to decide whether it's a voluntary matter. There is sufficient commitment to the goal of diversity to adopt measures, be they like Wake Forest or others — like Wake County or others to try to pursue that goal for achievement and for other purposes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think you are quite right, Commissioner Edley. If the Court does decide that way, we can revisit the issue of diversity in K-12. However, I hope that the Court does not hear that you said that because it might encourage them not to decide that --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Strike that from the record, too.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have all these things being stricken. I have two or three small questions, and then a big question. My small questions are for specific people on the panel and then the big question is for everybody. I wanted to ask you, Dr. Anderson, you mentioned that in South Carolina the Loan Forgiveness Program ends up putting the least prepared teachers or the least experienced ones in the worst schools, which we know from research is the worst possible thing you can do for kids. How is that so, and what can we do about that?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 Well, I think the latter DR. ANDERSON: 2 part of that was conceived in, I know, there is some 3 legislation that's been introduced in congress to deal with the Stafford Loans in rural parts. You look at 4 5 some of these less challenging situations, and they 6 have the very highest turnover rate, they are smaller 7 school districts, do not have a big HR staff that can 8 go out and recruit all over the country or they're 9 slowest to get contacts and so there's a good intent. 10 What it says to these young people that are going 11 into teach, we'll forgive your loan if you go into 12 Go into the rural or isolated these situations. 13 schools, and so that -- that, you know, when you stop 14 right there, it's a good thing, and our program was 15 established in the mid-80s and it has been a great 16 resource and many of the participants are still in 17 teaching; it's a very effective program. 18 what we wanted it to do. But if you move us forward 19 and you move us forward with a data system so that we 20 can begin to look at that, what you see then is that, 21 we are sending very young teachers into the highest 22 and most challenging situations. There is a parallel 23 in teacher preparation as well. A lot of us have 24 moved into, we teach preparation, these professional

development schools, the model, and they tend to want

25

It's done

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to place a cadre of student teachers at one school, so they can learn and interact as part of that reflective process, which means you're looking for an elementary school or middle school with 500 or more students and in our states, the smaller, high minority, rural schools tend to be very, very small, and so teachers And as part of their preparation are not prepared. they're not exposed to how to deal with situation, how to work in situations which you have 80 percent of one ethnic group, or 80 percent minority. And so what we found and I used to be an administrator in an urban system is we would bring in these wonderful talented graduates and they would spend two years in culture shock, never having worked in a predominately disadvantaged community and so there are practices and policies that we have that are good when measured against one measure, but when measured against a results measure, and to me that's what's accountability is doing.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you favor combat pay for teachers who -- the best teachers who are willing to go into the least performing school districts? We used to talk about combat pay at one point, I lost track of it. But, would you support that?

1

DR. ANDERSON: Absolutely --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- is it correct? Would all the panel -- I see you all -- is it correct that all of you would in the interest of time, like I said.

There are few professions DR. ANDERSON: that pay the least to those in the most challenging roles.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. The other question that I wanted to ask is we have had a lot of discussion in the last few years about the importance of school-level accountability and the importance of principals, and a lot of discussion about how it doesn't really matter what's happening at the top of the system, you know, superintendents probably just cut them all out, and just as long as you have school based, you know, good folk, it wouldn't make any difference. Then we have Dr. Smith who comes along as superintendent of a county, and a county here who is known to be an educational leader, a system and -- so is it true that superintendents do make a difference or not, and I want to ask you Dr. Smith. But for you, Dr. Anderson, and what you looked at Judge Manning, does it matter what the superintendent is doing or is that the superintendents don't matter much?

DR. SMITH: What do you say?

DR. ANDERSON: I would have to say the first thing we have to make certain of is that we have a good governing boards.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, governing boards.

DR. ANDERSON: And good governing boards that focus on the results of the system, not micromanaging. I happen to think that the superintendent is really key, because he's the one or she's the one that's sets the tone for the whole system and a casual statement that takes people off target, then the superintendent has a disastrous effect throughout the system, so yes, I don't think any part of the system can be weak, but I would broaden your view to include governing boards.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only thing is that, are all of your school systems as data driven in terms of setting goals, as what Dr. Smith described he did here in Charlotte-Mecklenburg, and what -- I guess he's doing it in Anne Arundel County too, in terms of goal settings. Do you all have the same kind of data which would tell you for example that Latino or African-American students do not have access to certain kinds of courses, or poor white students don't have access, do you have that kind of data -- do you

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 have that kind of date and are you data-driven in 2 setting goals in your school systems the same way --3 JUDGE MANNING: You better believe it. 4 have more -- there's more paper that killed more 5 forest in a year in the average department of public 6 instruction -- given we have a report card on every 7 school, every superintendent can disaggregate the 8 data, down to the classrooms. They all know who's not 9 doing the job and they all know who's doing the job 10 and it all comes out every year. So North Carolina 11 has, I can't speak for South Carolina, North Carolina 12 has more information that you could ever possibly want 13 to know. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But do they use it? 15 And they use it, the JUDGE MANNING: 16 superintendent --17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You set goals like Dr. .18 Smith does in South Carolina? 19 DR. ANDERSON: We do use it that way as 20 well. I would say at the local school district level 21 you're seeing varying usage depending upon how 22 comfortable people are with evaluating the -- our 23 professional development goal is to increase people's 24 capacity to use the data and to get beyond the 25 numbers. To apply the data to a real knowledge base

in terms of those kinds of issues.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The point that I read in the materials on him, Dr. Smith, where he was saying that he discovered that some black students didn't have access to the kinds of math courses that would have made it possible -- see, staff, I read all that stuff -- made it possible for the students to be able to pass certain tests, and he went into a flying whatever and tried to make sure those classes -- so are your administrators and principals and all these folks, as far as you know, I say are they data-driven, I don't just mean are they drowning in paper and numbers, but do they look at this? Is there somebody that makes sure that folks look at this stuff and try to implement strategies based on it?

DR. ANDERSON: I'd say we're about halfway there.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: About half-way there, okay. How about the rest of North Carolina, Judge, as far as you think?

JUDGE MANNING: I think it's all the way there because -- the collection process. It's not -- that what they do. The superintendent means something. They're the ones that can take a look at the disaggregated down to the classroom, determine

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 they have a problem and with which group or with which 2 and with teachers. It's school up to the 3 superintendent to take the principal to the woodshed 4 if that principal's -- if there's an obvious problem 5 in that school. So it's -- and everybody's got the 6 same access to the same data, now whether they apply 7 it the way it ought to be done or not, that's for 8 another month or two of discussion. 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well could you -- I 10 mean somebody's got to do that, because Dr. Anderson's 11 says they're half-way there in South Carolina. 12 DR. ANDERSON: Using the data; we have the 13 data. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm talking about 15 using it. 16 JUDGE MANNING: Well, the state uses it. 17 The state uses it, because it's one beauty about our 18 ABC's is it is all public. If you want to go on the 19 Internet and find out how your school is doing, 20 there's a report card, something called report card 21 for every single school, and it gives you a broader 22 picture on the composite level, how many grade level, 23 how many are not. It gives you everything. 24 there and I know my superintendents and BPI in the

state department use that in the analyzing where they

have problems and where they don't have problems.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you use phonics in your school systems?

DR. SMITH: Do what now?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Phonics?

DR. SMITH: Oh, ves. We transitioned to that here in Charlotte, and we transitioned -- we're transitioning to it in Anne Arundel County. could speak to your point. I've come to believe that the unit of change needs to be refocused; it is district. Districts will either make this work or not make it work and it will be a huge mistake for anyone to compromise the importance or significance of central administration, the complexities. We're revolutionizing education and follow through on this effort from an expectation of all students having equal access, to all students having proficiency; that's two different issues. And then cultural shift in America to change to that notion and the need to have sophistication in analysis of data requires some pretty bright people working around the superintendent or requires a level of collegiality on board, and to get some focus from top to get that to happen and to be able to move on schools that are not being effective or efficient.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

83 1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. My big question 2 was -- did you want to add something, I thought I 3 heard you make a sound? 4 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Your question is 5 terrific. Can I just ask one little thing? I want to 6 ask an appropriate session closing question. I just 7 can't miss the opportunity to ask the three of you, 8 how do you feel, whether you believe as many have 9 argued that the 12-year timetable in NCLB for closing 10 the achievement disparities is overly ambitious? 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Twelve years. 12 SMITH: I don't think it's overly 13 ambitious. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Twelve years, I think 15 is fine. My question is first out, let me just say

that when you were talking about your decision and the State Supreme Court decision that you read and in the materials Ι read, the argument against state responsibility, I was reminded that I spent the last 20 years trying to argue with lawyers in the public interest law firms that they should bring suits in every state demanding that states exercise their responsibility in being accountable and they keep telling me about all the barriers to doing that. in any case, when in Washington and policy discussion,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 states are always arguing that they don't 2 Washington telling them what to do because the state 3 is responsible. So you're telling me when you come to 4 the state, they tell you that they're not responsible, 5 like Catherine Harris and our Florida Elections 6 investigation, in which she kept telling us that she 7 wasn't responsible, the locals are responsible. 8 JUDGE MANNING: They admit they were 9 responsible in the big picture, but did not want to be 10 as hands-on responsible as I think they should be. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They don't want to be 12 accountable? 13 JUDGE MANNING: They don't want to be ---14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ι see, they're 15 responsible, not accountable. Anyway, so they believe 16 in states rights when they care to. What I want to 17 ask what to me is a big question --18 JUDGE MANNING: That's what the News and 19 Observer said the attitude was. 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Some people say that's 21 their attitude. In looking at all this data that you 22 gave us on South Carolina and North Carolina and 23 listening to you, Dr. Smith, could it be argued that 24 given what's happening in these low-performing schools 25 and where they are and so on, and who's being served,

that the public school system just doesn't work and that what we really ought to do is blow it up and give everybody money and let them go to private schools, which there's a great move in this country to do it, I'm sure you're aware of it. Not just the old academies, but private schools in general, at the very least, have public charters but even beyond that that the failing schools indicate that these children are being so deprived in the way we've been talking about it back and forth here that their parents should have money to send them to private schools and that the public schools just no longer serve public interest, couldn't that be an argument for doing that?

DR. SMITH: One could try and make that argument, but I would argue against it. Issues that put value and diversity in our schools, these various issues of vouchers and other kinds of programs. One of the major problems, and Dr. Anderson mentioned this, was the challenge of low expectations. And if, by governmental mandate, we implement a structure that allows this nation to create large pockets of those most — that we've had the greatest difficulty in educating, we're building a massive challenge for this country. Because when you concentrate children that historically have not been successful in buildings and

you compound that with teachers that might carry with them a low expectation for these children's success, the extraordinarily then you're faced with expensive challenge in bringing in strong leadership to change a culture and change the science of how we educate in that environment, you have just built a more expensive process to bring about success for all So it's not that we need to hold kids to a kids. place to make the process better, but it doesn't serve our purpose well, if you follow my train of thought, that our great urban cities are facing huge challenges with large concentrations of low income and students with low expectations, and to fight that is massively expensive and very, very challenging. make sure that we don't structure a public school 16 system, a public school system in this nation, that in 17 fact creates more of this kind of isolation of those 18 that historically haven't been successful with and it 19 might be.

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let's see whether you want to say anything about the question. Do you think it's an argument for blowing it up or is that too sensitive a question to answer?

> ANDERSON: I don't think it's too DR. sensitive of a question; I think it's certainly out

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-2701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we need to

The

1 there. Actually I was asked yesterday at a leadership 2 green board, development of young community leaders 3 program, that very same question. I would say, when we 4 look at "No Child Left Behind", what we have to 5 recognize is that in the next 12 years to achieve 6 those goals we are going to have to implement massive 7 changes in our system and that's whether the children 8 are in public education or private education. 9 current system is not going to accomplish that, it is, 10 11 funding, disparate 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 educated. 19 20 21 22 23

you know, hampered by low expectations, hampered by hampered by all of sorts And so that's what to me "No Child Left Behind" says to us, fix the system, and it may be a radical change or may be not so radical, depending upon the context in which you are. I think our first goal is to have every child in the United States welleducated regardless of where that child is well-We look at private schools and I'm not certain that if we impose the same kind of high-stakes testing and accountability system we would recognize that they are doing any better than the public system is doing with similar groups of students, so I think we have to very cautious in looking to another market in order to think there's a solution. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005.3701

24

1 Thank you very much for coming. We're going to take a 2 10 minute break. 3 (WHEREUPON, THE COMMISSION TOOK A SHORT RECESS.) 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. 5 panelists for this session which is on content and 6 performance standards and assessment, Dr. James 7 Pughsley who is the Superintendent of public schools, 8 Charlotte-Mecklenburg County. I think he succeeded 9 Dr. Smith, is that right? 10 DR. PUGHSLEY: That's correct. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- who was on the 12 For Dr. Pughsley, has taken a strategic panel. 13 approach to education that's had a tremendous impact 14 on school achievement and community confidence in the 15 school system, at least that's what I reading here, 16 the staff wrote; I hope that's true. 17 DR. PUGHSLEY: It's all true. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Dr. Pughsley has been 19 credited with addressing critical needs within the 20 system and achieving the CMS Vision: Equity and 21 Student Success plan. It's a comprehensive framework 22 designed to eliminate racial and socioeconomic 23 disparities and honor the commitment to a quality 24 education. He has been educated at the University of 25

Arizona and Northern Arizona University, is that

correct?

2

1

DR. PUGHSLEY: That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Then we have Ms. Janet Jenkins, who is middle school math coordinator for curriculum and instruction; so he's your boss? MS. JENKINS: Yes ma'am. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Charlotte-Mecklenburg

She's responsible for monitoring curriculum Schools. implementation alignment with statewide testing standards to middle school math students in schools. She has been a school teacher, 20 years of teaching experience, grades 7 through 9 and then she became an administrator, math coordinator, 1999. She has been educated at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte and in education, special ed and math and science from Appalachian State University. The next speaker is Marvin Pittman, who is a mathematics and science teacher and Assistant Principal, Director of Instruction for Wake County Public Schools, which is in Raleigh, North Carolina; is that right?

MR. PITTMAN: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And he's also worked in the Department of Public Instruction. serves as Senior Assistant to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction. He represents the State

Superintendent on boards, commissions and meetings and he is here today and we thank you very much for coming and the next speaker is Rachel Quenemoen. Am I pronouncing your name right?

MS. QUENEMOEN: Very well.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is Senior Fellow and Technical Assistance and Research, National Center on Education Outcomes, University of Minnesota. NCEO, conducts research and provides assistance to states and school districts to implement assessment and accountability systems, specifically including students with disabilities. She's been working in the past ten years at the state and national level on these issues. She has written books about these issues and so she's a scholar and a person who is And the next is Connie learned in these matters. Hawkins. Ms. Hawkins is the Executive Director of the Exceptional Children's Assistance Center, one of the oldest parent and training information centers in the country and has been funded by the US Department of Education, Special Education Programs to serve North Carolina families with children with disabilities, and has also been with Title One Parent Information and Resource Center since 1991, and it has both of these Department of Education parent programs. Thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

very much all of you for coming and we will begin with Dr. Pughsley, and please proceed.

DR. PUGHSLEY: Thank you. Thank you for inviting me to testify before the Commission on Civil Rights on the topic of content and performance standards and assessment. With me today is Dr. Susan Agruso, Assistant Superintendent for Instructional Accountability. Who we are, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools is a consolidated city-county district with 112,000 students in pre-kindergarten through grade 12. By the end of this decade our enrollment is projected to be nearly 150,000 students. We operate 145 schools, 51 of which have magnet programs. CMS is one of the largest employers in Mecklenburg County with 14,000 employees, including 7,000 full-time teachers.

Our district is truly a diverse learning community. Students from over 102 countries speak more than 83 languages. African-American students make up 43 percent of the student body; equal in size to white students. Hispanic/Latinos represent the fastest growing group, which now accounts for 8 percent of our student body, and Asian students comprise 4 percent of our student population. Multiracial and Native-American students each account for 1

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

percent of our students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the first time in 30 years, CMS is not under court order to desegregate its schools. Just this year we instituted a Choice Plan, which affords families the opportunity to decide where they want their children to attend school. Choice does not work without its complications. Transportation expenses have increased since we now transport more children than ever. We have over 1000 buses on the road each day. This bold step has also resulted in what some called the resegregation of have our schools, resulting in greater educational challenges for all of Though we may not favor this situation, we us. recognize that parental choice within the public school community is important. For us, choice provides the opportunity to demonstrate our responsibility to educate all children, no matter where they may attend school.

CMS has set for itself a vision to become the premier urban integrated school system in the nation in which all students acquire the knowledge, skills and values necessary to live rich and full lives as productive and enlightened members of society. To this end we have publicly established Goals 2005, which identifies targets for success to be

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

2
 3
 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

achieved in the next two years. Among these are 95 percent of the students at or above grade level in reading and math and 50 percent of students in the highest level of achievement.

Our goal is to have 60 percent of our grade eight students to take Algebra and pass the state's end-of-course tests. Currently, 50 percent of our graduating students take at least one advanced placement or international baccalaureate test. We are proud of this rate of participation, but we are not satisfied with the success on these tests. Our 2005 goal is to have 75 percent of these tests will have passing scores.

Successes: These goals are ambitious, but we have already demonstrated that we are capable of achieving them. Over the last six years reading performance for our grade five students has increased from 59 percent to 81 percent at or above grade level. For African-American students the level is even more dramatic, up from 35 percent at or above grade level in 1996 to 70 percent in 2002. The gap in achievement African-American between and white students in mathematics in grades four and five has been reduced to 18 percentage points. Over 80 percent of our middle school students scored at or about grade level

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

on last year's state mathematics test.

1.2

AP enrollment has more than doubled over the last six years. These students took more than 10,000 AP exams and 41 percent of those exams had passing scores. The passing grade on IB exams taken by CMS students was 87 percent, and 82 percent of our IB candidates earned a prestigious IB Diploma. The top 10 percent of our graduating seniors outscored their national peers on the SAT. All of these was achieved when our graduating class was the largest ever and our drop-out was the lowest ever. We have gone from having 22 schools identified by the State Department of Public Instruction as low-performing to none for two years in a row.

CMS has long recognized that education is not a school only event but a long-term commitment of the community. Over the years we have built strong partnerships with business, faith and community organizations. Last year we enjoyed 1400 partnerships which provided over \$17 million in donations and 37,000 volunteers giving more than half a million hours of their time. Partnerships are not a one-way street. CMS staff has been equally generous with their time and contributions, raising more than \$600,000 for the United Way and the Arts and Science

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. 14/45-HINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

Council. In addition our schools organized fund drives for many notable organizations, including several food banks in our community.

Equity Initiatives: The state has adopted content standards and assessments that articulate the expectations for our schools. It is the school district's responsibility to operationalize these expectations into sound educational practices. Our challenge is to create a school system that enables all students to reach these standards.

The Council of Great City Schools chronicled the success of four urban districts, including CMS. The Council found that among these districts, three themes surfaced as drivers meeting high expectations; building the foundations for success, developing instructional coherence, and making data-driven decisions.

Success comes from providing access to core learning for all students and then accelerating their learning so they can participate in higher-level opportunities. As a system we have identified those areas that require district initiatives to support access and acceleration for all students. CMS adopted a Balance Scorecard approach to identify goals, define measures and establish yearly targets that mark

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

progress toward achieving those goals. Project Charters are used to align all district activities to the high achievement targets of the Balanced Scorecard and to maintain focus on our core business of teaching and learning.

The key to achieving the goals is providing the resources where they are needed most. The Equity Plus Two Program enables the district to differentiate spending by providing extra resources to 54 schools. These funds are used to reduce teacherstudent ratios, to provide additional instructional supplies and to offer incentives to attract and to keep highly qualified teachers.

The district established standards for materials and supplies and is allocating resources to bring all schools up those standards. The textbook adoption process requires the same textbooks in a grade or course across all schools so that students changing schools within the district would not lose valuable instructional time.

Building a foundation for success also means ensuring children begin school with the skills they need. A child who enters kindergarten behind his or her peers is already set up for failure. Our Bright Beginnings Program is designed to develop the

early literacy skills of our neediest four-year olds so they can begin kindergarten on a par with their peers. For five years the success of this program has been well documented.

Establishing the foundations for success will not get you where you want to be without careful attention to what is being taught and how it is being taught. It is unacceptable for quality of instruction to vary by school and for teachers to not teach the standard course of study on which all state assessments are based.

The district established common curriculum pacing guides for all students to follow so resources are more efficiently used to provide help where help is needed. Daily written lesson plans are expected of all teachers in all courses. Quarterly assessments, aligned to the pacing guides are administered district wide so that teachers can chart student progress and provide interventions for students who are struggling. Principals monitor the following performance so they provide support for teachers and students.

Forty-nine schools are participating in the A+ Initiative, a research-based process incorporating nine quality conditions for highly effective schools. For each of the last two years,

schools participating in this program have seen greater improvement in student achievement and a greater reduction in the achievement gap than CMS as a whole.

Students have open access to high-level courses, and schools actively recruit students for participation. Each year all middle school students passing state end-of-grade tests in mathematics and reading are accelerated to higher level courses to ensure they have the opportunity to take advantage — advance course work, such as Algebra, by grade eight.

The PSAT is administered to all students in grades 9, 10 and 11 and the results are used to match students to AP courses. Schools use this information to encourage parents to register their students for these advanced opportunities.

Regional superintendents work closely with curriculum leaders to ensure alignment between instructional expectations and student practice.

As a sign of the district's absolute commitment to student achievement, funds allocated for pay increases for principals and senior district staff members are redirected into a pay-for-performance accountability system that is tied to student achievement. Teachers are eligible for bonuses, from

both the state and the district if student achievement improves. Our Local Accountability and Bonus Program is based on the success of ten different groups of students. Groups are disaggregated based on race, ethnicity, lunch status and prior performance. All students are expected to achieve.

CMS is a data driven district. We gather data for ever program and every activity, and more importantly we use it, we review it and run it again. Our data tells us we need to assign our best teachers to our neediest schools and we are taking steps to address that. Our data told us that all of our schools did not have materials and supplies meeting basic district standards and we are taking steps to correct that.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You have one minute, sir, to sum up and remember your statement is going to included in the record.

DR. PUGHSLEY: Let me just go to "No Child Left Behind". "No Child Left Behind" legislation may be a wake up call for many in education. The notion that all children can learn and that it is the school's responsibility to teach them is not new. The national focus on these expectations holds the promise that we will address the educational needs of all

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. NASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students no matter what their circumstances. The emphasis on scientifically based research will help to ensure that programs we adopt are tested, but not We support the disaggregation of data by groups of students to ensure that no group's left behind, is left out of educational opportunities.

Allow me just to go to the recommendations that I have, because I would like to share those. I have shared with you our vision, Recommendations: our successes, our hopes and our fears, so let me turn your attention to a few recommendations to help us meet our goals.

Districts needs the capability to differentiate spending and redirect resources to the neediest students. They have an obligation maintain standards for all schools, so there is not doubt to achieve equity for all students we need greater funding. Surely a more comprehensive and valid system for marking the success of schools can be This legislation is single baseline for all student groups based on the average statewide performance of all students last year. As a result all groups will be held to this standard this very first year without adequate time to prepare for these Let me just hold with that, if I may, and standards.

1 certainly I'm prepared to answer any and all questions 2 that may come forward. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you. 4 You can address some of the other points in your 5 questioning. Ms. Jenkins, please. 6 MS. JENKINS: Good morning, and welcome to 7 I'd like to begin by sharing some basic 8 background information with you about our district to 9 help you put my comments into perspective. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And your statement 11 will be included in the record. All statements will 12 be included in the record. 13 MS. JENKINS: The Charlotte-Mecklenburg 14 School district consists of 145 schools, which serve 15 over 112,00 student. Our student population is 2 16 percent American Indian/Multi Racial, 4 percent Asian, 17 43 percent African-American, 8 percent Hispanic and 43 18 percent white. There are 83 native languages spoken 19 by CMS students who represent 102 countries. We are 20 the largest school district in North Carolina. 21 Middle School Mathematics Coordinator, I support the 22 29 middle schools. 23 As we endeavor to make our district's 24 vision of being the premier urban school district in 25

the nation a reality, we've placed a focus

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

increasing student achievement and academic success I'll focus my comments on the for all students. middle school mathematic programs, because that's where I have direct experience, but similar efforts are in place in all disciplines at all grade levels.

First, there's a focus improving on teacher knowledge and the quality of instruction. a district we've placed emphasis on providing teachers as daily instructional supporting materials such calendars, alignment guides, focus lessons, miniassessments, and quarterly assessments that ensure that instruction meets the expectations established by the North Carolina Standard Course of Study. our teachers are inexperienced and/or lateral entry into the profession. They do not have the knowledge or training plan in instructional program that ensures access to all skills and objectives mandated by our states's standards without these supporting materials. Most nationally published materials are generic in nature and do not specifically address the North Carolina standards. Our master teachers worked to develop these materials, thereby insuring that every teacher and in turn every student has the benefit of their knowledge and experience.

> addition to materials, we have a

Last summer we collaborated with the University North Carolina at Charlotte to offer undergraduate and graduate level math courses to our teachers; we have teachers, elementary and secondary to participate in these institutions. These courses were designed to increase teachers' content knowledge as well as to provide them with quality instructional strategies. Throughout the school year, we offer a number of other professional development opportunities including Math in the Zone, district wide curriculum days, and Mathemagica, which is a series of eight on-In my role as the district's middle school math coordinator, I work with teachers individual schools to address their specific Additionally, there is ongoing communication with teachers almost daily throughout the district via e-mail.

Principals at several schools where there's a concentration of inexperienced teachers have created positions for math facilitators. Math facilitators are master teachers who work directly with the math teacher to develop quality lessons. They provide materials, teach demo lesson, co-teach lessons, lead planning meetings, et cetera. They

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide ongoing daily support to teachers specifically to enhance the level of teacher performance.

all students are provided Second. opportunity to learn challenging and rigorous mathematics. Within the district we offer all students the opportunity for acceleration into higher level math courses. Our math program is designed so students may be progress through the state middle school math standards in less than the three years time frame indicated by the state, thereby allowing students to access high school level content which still in middle school. The commitment is that every student will develop the skills necessary to be in high successful school math classes without skipping skills or concepts that may leave gaps in their learning. As a result, approximately 80 percent of our middle school students will complete Algebra I or geometry prior to entering high school.

Third, there's a support system in place to ensure that all students are successful. Commitment from the district and each individual school is for students to be successful. Teachers use the results of students' performance on the miniassessments and quarterly assessment to plan teaching activities. Most of our schools schedule

1 students for a 90-minute math class each day, thereby 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 homework assistance. Several community groups offer

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doubling the amount of time that would ordinarily be There are programs that provided for instruction. offers students both individual and/or small group tutoring during the school day, before school, after school and on Saturdays. Math Extra is a live interactive television program, which airs four afternoons a week to provide the students with

10 tutorial programs.

> I have included a summary of data that serves as an example of the result of these efforts for the last two years, and I believe you have that at the back of your handbook, this is a transparency of that data. The data represent the increases in the percentage of students who have scored at proficiency level, which is considered Level III in North Carolina or above, Level IV in the North Carolina End-of Grade tests or End-of-Course tests. Please note that while all groups indicated on the chart increases, students who have traditionally been lower performing --

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If you could slow down a little bit. I know you're trying to get through, but the court reporter needs you to speak a little more

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-2701

1 slower. 2 I'm sorry. MS. JENKINS: Actually, it's 3 that I'm nervous --4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But slow down then. 5 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Why are you 6 nervous? We're so nice. 7 MS. JENKINS: But this is very important. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just slow down. There 9 you go. 10 MS. JENKINS: Please note that while all 11 groups indicated on the chart are showing increases, 12 students who have traditionally been lower performing 13 are making greater gains each year, thereby closing 14 achievement gaps between African-American 15 white students as well as the gap between those 16 students who receive free/reduced lunch and 17 lunch. Of special interest are the gains in algebra I 18 and geometry. The percentage of students taking these 19 courses prior to entering high school has been 20 increasing. 21 Currently, approximately 80 percent of all 22 eighth graders will complete at least Algebra I while 23 in middle school. One would expect the percent of

or

decrease as the number of students participating in

above

students performing at

24

25

proficiently

in

these higher level courses increases, but as you can see that has not been the case. As we have offered opportunities for students to participate challenging courses, performance on state tests has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

improved.

Last year on the state math tests 85 percent of all sixth graders performed at proficiency, which would be considered on grade level or above, and 79 percent of seventh and eight graders performed at proficiency or above. Additionally, 94 percent of the middle school students taking Algebra I performed at proficiency or above, and 98 percent of the middle schools students taking geometry performed proficiency or above. The middle school students in district are doing well in mathematics our continue to make gains.

I'm proud of the efforts we're making and the resulting gains, but there's still challenges that have to be addressed. The placement process we have developed for assigning students to math classes has resulted in a small percent of students remaining in regular classes. These classes homogeneously group lower achieving students and tend to consist of economically disadvantaged minority students. The current research indicates that this type of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1 so 2 e: 3 a: 4 to 5 i: 6 a: 7 A: 8 ti

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

scheduling does not always result in positive experiences for students. Additionally, these classes are often assigned to inexperienced, less qualified teachers. Anecdotal comments from the teachers indicate that they're concerned that these students are not making the gains we would hope for. Additional, they're concerned that these classes lack the student role models that having higher achieving students in the classes would provide.

Across the nation there is a shortage of high qualified, devoted, experience professional math educators. Our district is not the exception. Even though we provide a variety of support systems for our teachers, there continues to be a high level of attrition among middle school math teachers. The annual influx of new teachers who are primarily inexperienced and lateral entry, requires the district to commit a disproportionate amount of funding, resources, and energy each year to support them.

As a district we're committed to improving student achievement. We have implemented district—wide initiatives that are resulting in gains, but there are still challenges that remain, challenges that have not gone unnoticed and I'm sure will not go unaddressed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. Pittman, would you like to make an opening Mr. statement.

MR. PITTMAN: Good morning. I bring you greetings from the Department of Public Instruction Michael Dr. Lee Ward is where our State Superintendent, and Bill Kurd is our State Board I serve as Director of the Division of School Chair. Improvement which oversees the Assistance Teams that you referenced earlier this morning. North Carolina has a state curriculum and it's a called a Standard Course of Study.

All our schools across the state must teach the Standard Course of Study. In 1992, we aligned our testing program with our curriculum. Prior to that we were using the California Achievement Test, we now use our own North Carolina developed But it's critically important to understand that our testing program and our curriculum are the same; they are very much aligned. North Carolina has a very comprehensive accountability program. We hold schools accountable, as you've heard earlier this morning.

We have a series of sanctions and rewards for all of our schools across North Carolina. Prior

What

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we found and what we really discovered is that when you give a district a report card, you really don't

to 1996 we gave school districts a report card.

know very much about what is happening in individual

schools.

So in 1996 we designed what is called the ABC's of public education. The Α is for accountability, B is for the basics, C is for local control and there's where we hold all schools accountable for growth of students. We also have an accountability program for students. As you've heard this morning, we hold students accountable. Many misunderstand our student people accountability program and they call it the end of social promotion. Ιt is not the end of social promotion. The accountability program for students is there to help students grow and to have more students functioning at grade level.

While North Carolina is making much progress, we have far too many students who performing below grade level, and so we use testing program to determine where to put resources and where to help students. You probably heard of personalized education plans. When students are performing below proficiency, schools are required to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

1 have personal education plans for those students. 2 is not a system of just retaining students, and is 3 critically important to understand and perhaps we can 4 have some dialogue about that later on. But when you 5 test students as we do in North Carolina, you have to 6 be able to deal with the results. 7 So in North Carolina it's pretty clear to 8 us that this past year we had roughly 72 percent of 9 our students in grades three through eight performing 10 at grade level or above, but we disaggregate our data 11 in North Carolina, and we do it openly and we've been 12 doing that for years, prior to "No Child Left Behind". 13 So, when you test you must be able to deal with the 14 One of the documents that you should have results. 15 before you, is called North Carolina's Commission on 16 Race and Achievement and Closing Gaps. If would turn 17 to page 26 of that document -- do they have that? 18 MS. CARR: Can you hold the front cover 19 up? 20 MR. PITTMAN: It looks like this. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, we have that. 22 MR. PITTMAN: If you would turn to page 23 26, you will see that we have disaggregated the data 24 for North Carolina. When you lock the data together, 25 North Carolina is doing an impressive job.

1	disaggregate the data,
2	there is a disparity
3	perform; we talk about
4	We've been addressin
5	several years. One of
6	Carolina, each year w
7	called Improving Mi
8	Achievement and Close
9	now in the 7th yea
10	attending the conferen
11	State Superintendent
12	participants at that c
13	point plan for how we
14	of disparity between g
15	through each of the te
16	One thing he said we
17	to create within our
18	to deal with this issu
19	is called the Closing
20	has 13 people working
21	the issue of how do w
22	between groups of stud
23	Ward mentioned to that
24	he said we're going t

25

what you quickly notice is that between how groups of students that openly in North Carolina. g the achievement gap now for the things that we do in North we sponsor a conference that's inority and At-Risk Student the Gaps. That conference is r, we have only 3500 people ce each year. Two years ago our made a public address to the onference and he laid out a tenwere going to address this issue roups of students. I want to go n points, but two in particular. were going to do, we were going agency a section that was going ue of these achievement gaps; it The Achievement Gap Section. in that section and we deal with e close the gaps in performance dents. The other thing that Dr. t group that day two years ago, o form a commission to look at this issue of the achievement gap to give us some sort

1	of help in helping schools to address how do you close
2	the gaps in achievement. We took these
3	recommendations to our State Board of Education and
4	the state board two years ago, approved the both of
5	those recommendations, so we have the section in place
6	and we also have a commission. The commission worked
7	for two years looking at what is holding this gap
8	steady in North Carolina. The person that we asked to
9	serve as the chairman of this commission is a former
10	superintendent here in North Carolina and has done
11	many years of work on this issue of disparity in
12	student performance. Dr. Bridges came to us when we
13	asked him to serve as Chair and he said to us pretty
14	boldly, that we're going to have to go to the root
15	causes, as to what is holding this problem steady in
16	North Carolina. And I recall very vividly Dr. Bridges
17	looking the State Superintendent eye to eye and he
18	said to us, if we do this, if you ask me to do this,
19	we're going to go to the root causes and if we go to
20	the root causes, I swear to you he said, it is going
21	to be ugly. Now, do you still want me to do this?
22	The state superintendent in his normally warm and
23	passionate way, said to Dr. Bridges, go forth until
24	apprehended. Dr. Bridges did not, was not
25	apprehended, and so for two years, a 29 member

	_	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	

commission, very diverse from all over the state, came together. The first meeting there was a conversation with a lots of parents and teachers and folks who work at the department as superintendents. At the end of the day there seemed to have been five strands that kept coming up over and over and over. Those five strands, we turned those into study commissions because we thought they could be possible root causes. This document was presented to our state board in December, 2001, and it has the work the commission included. There are 11 recommendations in this report. I would refer you to a single paged document that has the 11 recommendations on one page, it's called The North Carolina Commission on Raising Achievement and Closing Gaps. It's important to look at the strands. The first strand that seemed to occur throughout the meeting that day was a strand that talked about participation, minority student participation in courses. Out of that came two recommendations, the very first one was this. The commission said we have far too many minority students who are assigned to special education classes, and that every school in North Carolina needs to be addressing the issue of how do you assign students to special ed, how long do they stay there, and what

1	kinds of services do they receive. The commission
2	made a statement pretty boldly and said that many of
3	minority students and poor students, regardless of
4	race, really aren't disabled, but it could be us the
5	educators who are disabled in terms of not being able
6	to teach certain children. The second recommendation
7	deals with the issue of far too many minority students
8	being assigned to non- or low-challenging classes.
9	The commission believes emphatically that more
10	minority students and poor students need to have
11	opportunities to take advanced courses.
12	Recommendations three and four deal with the issue of
13	the roles of home and community. We plan to do a
14	statewide campaign to address these issues so that
15	everyone knows what the issues are. Recommendation
16	four deals with the issue of parental involvement.
17	Many minority parents in this state are disengaged
18	from our public schools and the commission is asking
19	schools across this state to look at the image that
20	they have; now I'm getting close to the end.
21	Recommendations five, six, seven, eight and nine deal
22	with the issue of teacher preparation and support.
23	The commission believes that if teachers and other
24	educators have already asked to address the issue of
25	minority achievement that we need to look at

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005.3701

way.

gap

The

black

those

in

1 professional development in a very different 2 knowledge, skills and a Thev need to have the 3 disposition to work with minority students to help them to be successful learners. Recommendation number 4 5 ten, addresses the issue of changing our ABC's model, 6 a closing the achievement so that we have 7 component to the ABC's and we have that 8 Recommendation number 11 deals with the issue of how 9 American-Indian educated black students and we 10 students in this state prior to integration. 11 commission felt that prior to integration, 12 children in this state were educated in all black 13 schools and some good things happened 14 But after integration those schools were 15 closed and we never looked back to see what good came 16 out of those schools. This particular recommendation 17 focuses on studying how African-American children and 18 Native American children were educated, learn from 19 that process, and then begin to use that today as we 20 address the issue of how do we build relationships and 21 how do we address this issue of disparities in student 22 performance. And hopefully, we can 23 opportunity to discuss the recommendations in more

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you very

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005,3701

detail.

24

25

have

an

much. There will be some opportunity. Ms. Quenemoen, please.

25

1

2

Madame MS. **OUENEMOEN:** Thank you. distinguished members of the Chairperson and commission, staff, thank you for the opportunity to address. I'm in a little different role here, in that I come in as a national observer on education reform The National Center decade. the last over Educational Outcomes is a federally funded technical assistance center and we work very closely, primarily with states right now, in implementation of inclusive assessment and how to build a system to benefit all We've been working over the last ten years on four areas working with states and federal agencies to identify the important elements of education for all students, including students with disabilities, examining the participation and use of combinations by students with disabilities in both national and state The value ratings, national and state assessments. practices and reporting assessment information students with disabilities and finally now and most importantly, bridging the general and special education systems as they work to increase accountability for students with disabilities. That requires us to look very closely at how states have

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

defined what all children should know and be able to do, and to begin identifying with patterns of what in fact all children like, when looks We've heard bits and successful in this system. pieces of that I think so far this morning. work with both South Carolina and North Carolina. We have a fairly intensive system right now in supporting states as they implement "No Child Left Behind". my remarks tend to be more broadly construed in that this is a very general, things are going around all over, so this is my focus on them; it's certainly more The other comment I'd make is I will talk vital. about accountability and some of the benefits that we see for them and in light of the discussion earlier this morning, I want to point out that NCEO feels very strongly and a system of accountability is a very good thing for students with disability, that if we shine the light on if we desegregate by the sub-groups, including those students with disabilities, which we haven't seen on too many charts so far today, we will be able to also identify where opportunities are being offered. As a stance, we as an organization believe that students' stakes should go into place after the system has been held accountable for those opportunities. We have about half of our states that

have some kind of student stake. System stakes is what is in "No Child Left Behind", and we're seeing possibilities there.

But clearly, given our topics that we've been working for the last 15 years almost now, this is a teachable moment for us in our work with states. We have gotten their attention, although not all the people we work with see it in this light, we believe it is a time of great opportunity for students with So, I'd like to provide an overview of disabilities. in inclusive assessment far we've come accountability in a very short period time, over the But I want to emphasize that I want last ten years. that in the context of speak to raising expectations for the performance of all children, and specifically for students with disabilities. In the materials I have provided to you I have some stories of individual children that I won't go through now, but the reason that we are taking around stories of individual children who have in a way beaten the odds, is that students with disabilities have not participated in state assessments for long enough to see good trending. That is something that's being forced by federal law and we think that is a good thing. So, with the stories that I've given you in

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your packet in mind, I think I'll proceed with an overview of where we've come in the last ten years.

As you all know, assessment in student achievement and the accompanying requirement of state district and school accountability for all student grades success in the or the content is the centerpiece for "No Child Left Behind". A decade ago, most states included fewer than 10 percent of students with disabilities. That number reflected state expectations about who could achieve and the beliefs achievement requirements that could harm some students. Today the average percentage of students in the general assessment in 85 percent and it is moving very quickly to 100 percent. As I said, "No Child Left Behind" has gotten everyone's attention. We had to come a long way quickly based on the belief that the greatest crime to students is caused by what President Bush has called soft bigotry of low expectations. I have a chart in your folder, a three column chart that shows a decade of change, do you have that? I'd like to refer you to that briefly. That table is backed up by the past 15 years of research, all of which is available on our website. We self-publish a lot of studies on the inclusive assessment and accountability. Frankly, states can't

wait for the three year lag in going to journals although we also take those materials and bring them in there. We are a technical assistance center, even though we do our own research. But I would encourage staff, commission members to go to our website and you will be able to see the documentation that I've been showing you.

You'll notice in the top, the left two columns, the left past status in the middle, Decade of Change, that the third entry down speaks to ten years ago, participation policies for a national, state and district assessments often reflected low expectations, overprotectiveness and a lack of concern about the educational progress for students with disabilities. That changed over the '90s standards-based reform, started using the term "all students", meaning all students, and in both 1994, Improving America's School Act and in the 1997, Individuals with Disabilities Act, we emphasized assets to the general curriculum; same content standards, same achievement expectations for all students. So that piece of "No Child Left Behind" is not moot. We have been working on that for a decade. The fifth bullet down, in the left in the middle column, says that early in the '90s identified negative consequences of excluding students

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

5

8

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

with disabilities from assessment. One of which is that increasing numbers of children weren't expected to perform well and tests were being dumped, would be the word, into special education, because kids with disabilities were exempted essentially, from being -- schools being held accountable for their --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Exacerbating racial disparities.

MS. QUENEMOEN: Well exactly, yes. And in if special education were placed as intended to be where you got the services and support so that you could achieve at the grade level content, that wouldn't be a horrible thing. But, in fact, I think everyone on this panel would agree that we've had to come a long way in rethinking some of these assumptions. The last decade positive consequences of including students with disabilities emerged. We heard from districts where parents are going with their states. Look what happens when they actually expect kids to learn, as if it were a new lesson. But, if you will focus on the far right-hand column, I want to point out, given that I am from an assessment and accountability system, even though I am dreadfully worried about the status of curriculum and instruction and the fact of the ability of schools to move forward

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something

standard space reform mold, that we in have challenges within our assessments. That the current assessments, the ones you and I all of us have grown up with may not be the quality that we need to meet the purpose of holding either systems or students accountable. So our center's working very hard on we're calling Universal Designing Assessments.

The federal government just has released three research grants -- one of them to us, two to departments that we work with often, to essentially rethink how we build assessments so that all children can show what they know and are able to do -assessments that will be amenable to showing us the effects of good quality instruction and increased expectations. So we have a lot of work there to do and you'll see the kind of research that we are moving forward on, and we'll be happy to work with you as you grapple with some of these issues, because we think the status quo of assessment itself is part of our problem in building accountability systems that work.

Although we at NCEO have been working to help states improve their inclusive assessment and accountability practices, we know that the crux of ensuring that all students achieve at higher levels is

We have to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

focus on the services, support, accommodations and adaptions necessary so students with disabilities can achieve at grade level. We have heard from states, from New York to Kansas to Washington, and more importantly from districts where they agreed to put a concerted effort into moving all children to high standards, that astounding things happen — and that's all encouraging. But we still don't have good large scale data because we're just now including students.

an improved curriculum of instruction.

But that leads us to the centerpiece of "No Child Left Behind", system accountability. What we have found in assessments is that, it is not a matter of assessing lower to get these kids into the assessment system, it is a matter of teaching higher. You've heard protests from every corner in the accountability formula for "No Child Left Behind" that expecting students with disabilities to achieve at high levels is unrealistic. That may be true in the short term, given that many of these students are stuck in the middle of their school career already several grade levels behind. That we're going to miss that bubble of students who are already caught in the system is tragic. Intervening with earlier accelerated methods -- what I've heard from CharlotteMecklenburg often -- from the very beginning must occur.

But, it's also alarming to hear -- given what we understand about these expectations and what students learn -- and there's a teacher in a meeting on a state accountability plan say, well any fool knows that those students with disabilities can't learn.

We have a colleague at NCEO, Dr. Kevin McGrue, who is one of the authors of the Woodcott Johnson Three Tests of Achievement. He has tested that so-called research question -- that any fool knows these children can't learn -- by looking at the academic achievement of students of varying measured IQs, a common measurement used for eligibility for special education services. He has found "It is not possible to predict which children will be at the upper half of the achievement distribution, based on any given level of general intelligence. For most children with cognitive disabilities, those with below average IQ scores, it is not possible to predict their expected achievement with the degree of accuracy that would be required to deny a child a right to high standards or expectations."

In other words, those children can learn,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 given appropriate services and supports.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You've got about a minute.

MS. QUENEMOEN: All right, thank you. McGrue suggests that students with disabilities have facing systematic and institutionalized low expectations for so long that those low expectations are internalized even by most educators, and thus when we are confronted by success stories -- stories I included in your packet -- or even large scale assessment date that suggests that achievement test scores are increasing for students with disabilities efforts with concerted raise places to expectations, services and supports, we say, oh, but those students aren't like my students.

So we are looking forward to working with folks like people here in Charlotte-Mecklenburg and across the country as we identify these schools where all children can be successful. Where we intervene early and often, where we accelerate and not just remediate, and we are looking forward to the work you folks and others like you will do to make sure those doors stay open and high expectations again are held for all children.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. Thank you

very much. Ms. Hawkins.

MS. HAWKINS: First, I'd like to say "amen" and really thank you for the opportunity. I guess for the Chair I probably need to tell you that I'm a Tennessee native, and I do know how to make chess pies.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Did you bring any?

MS. HAWKINS: I even do chocolate chess pies. I wanted to tell you a little bit about my organization and the perspective that I come from. We are one of the 12 centers in the country that have both the Title One Parents Center and The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Parents Center. Sometimes, and I never thought about this way until I talked to a family not long ago, who said "you only deal with families with labels" -- and I went "wait a minute."

I said "I know my kids with disabilities have labels, but these other kids don't." And they said -- she said -- "Yup. I got confused the other day because I have been black, then I was African-American. My children were black, then they were African-American. And then we went through this little period that they were 'free/reduced lunch,' and now they're at-risk." And this is what I'm heading

for: I think this mom has a point, that we do seem — at least in the world that I live in — to deal with labels. One of things that I think is very important for you all, from the family perspectives, is to hear some families. So I'm going to make some comments and then I have brought two families with me whose children are really being impacted by what you're talking about today, and I'm going to defer some of my time to them.

"No Child Left Behind" is definitely an ambitious piece of legislation, and I concur very much with the previous speaker on the fact that it does have some really just wonderful possibilities for all children. And the fact that it does say "all children" is a very significant thing.

Part of the problem when it comes to most kids of color and to children with disabilities, I guess the proof is in the pudding, how we implement this. You all are very aware of the fact that right now we are in the process of re-authorizing the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act. There is some major conflict between these two acts. One is a group right for an education; the other one is an individual right for an education. There will be some pretty significant blood shed on Capitol Hill if they

2.5

try to take away the individual rights of children with disabilities to have an IEP and a standard of education that is based on their individual needs.

But with saying that I would also be extremely remiss if I did not bring up the point that we do have hundreds of thousands of children in special ed who are only there because of lack of instruction, of the accountability system that did not measure what was accurately going on with them, and I think that's something we have to get extremely honest about. The President's Commission on — that group for Special Education, if you read that report — really did address the idea of early reading and overidentification.

Lots of the past years we've been talking about the over-identification problem, and it's something we have to address. But even when the kids are appropriately identified, we have to look at the back data. Sixty percent of the teachers of behaviorally and emotionally disabled children in the state of North Carolina are not certified. North Carolina's standard course of study does not pull down to kids who are not working on grade level. Other states — it might pull down, but our standard course of study is being proposed, does come down. So there

are pretty significant challenges on how we will give education for children with disabilities.

Real briefly, before I turn it over to the families, I also want to point out that a very significant part of the law is parent involvement. Section 1118 -- there's a whole section on parent involvement. Dr. Tillman was very eloquent about addressing that minority families are not involved with schools.

The Department of Ed did some research and discovered that time, lack of knowledge about expectations for their children, lack of knowledge about expectations for themselves in the school climate were the reasons that families did not engage with school systems. And I think if we are looking at doing a better job of making sure that all families are engaged and children are learning, we have to start addressing these issues.

I also want to real quickly address one of things that Judge Manning said this morning. He was very eloquent in his description of some families. I have to tell you, in 20 years I have met very few families that didn't care. They didn't know how to care, they didn't know the skills they needed to act on the schools, but they really did care. I'm going

to turn my comments over to two families who've come to finish for me, and then I will available and they will be available for questioning.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you.

MS. MILLER: I'm Vernita Miller. I have a ten-year-old daughter. She's retained in the second grade and the third grade. Last year, she failed the EOG tests three times, the pre-test, the end-of-the-grade test, and the summer school test. She was promoted to the next grade by the Board and then she was -- I got a letter stating that she wasn't, and I called and tried to see why they sent the letter saying that she was promoted when she wasn't. But instead she's back in the third grade this year, and she has a 58 percent in reading and a 55 in math, and she wasn't graded on the writing test because of her learning disability.

It's hard for me to sit and hear, you know, my daughter is going to be kept back again, because of the pre-test that she's already done took, and just to hear my daughter cry and upset because she feels like she can't do it and that she will have to stay back again. Kids picking with her. I want to know — if she doesn't pass the test, would she be retained again? Because she's already too far behind,

and it's just hard.

Like last year, she had a teacher that put her back at the beginning of the first part, because she felt like she wasn't going to do any better. She's in resources, she's in speech, and I feel like she needs more help.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, thank you.

MS. JONES: Hi, my name is Ruby Jones. I have a 14-year-old son. He's been in and out of nine schools and he has a learning disability. He cannot read, at all, so we have a hard time dealing with him. And they set up programs, and we sent him to Sylvan, different other learning centers, to try to get him on the level that he needs to be on, which I don't have the resources, but I have people behind me to try and help me with him.

But he cannot read, it gets in the way, and that makes bad behavior, so that marks him out even more, because he knows -- and then at school the teachers they know -- that he has that problem, and still they don't try to compromise with him. They throw it in his face, and I have to deal with that also, and I would just like to know --

MS. HAWKINS: How much do you know about the standards in the accountability system? When the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 school -- have they talked to you about what this 2 meant? One of the things that when we were talking 3 earlier, the parents had said to me that they really 4 weren't clear on what the expectations were. 5 you know, the students are being tested, and they know 6 that there are expectations, but it was for, you know, 7 some families who aren't -- you're a volunteer at your 8 school, aren't you? 9 MS. MILLER: Yes 10 MS. HAWKINS: Having a very clear idea of 11 what the standards are, what the expectations are, and 12 what to do in cooperation with the school to work on 13 it. 14 MS. JONES: No. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Let's do this. 16 Since we're at the -- why don't you finish, and then 17 we'll start with the questioning with you, because I 18 wanted to ask you something. 19 MS. HAWKINS: Okay. I think the trick and 20 the reason I wanted to bring these two over, the 21 parents, is to say "No Child Left Behind" as the 22 President describes it -- he really talks about the 23 four pillars. And parent involvement and including 24 families in decisions for the children, knowing what's 25 going on, is one of the pillars that he talks about.

We all know that when all four pillars aren't the same size the roof falls in. And, I think we do have significant issues in education with kids who have some label, whether it's disability, whether it's free/reduced lunch, whatever. But I do think that we have not included all families. We've included the families like me who will always come to the door if you say, hello, it's me.

But we have not included all families. In helping to create the solutions, bridge for education as a whole, but also for individual children and definitely a universal design and some of the other things, so that we are no longer looking at kids with labels, but we're looking at the improvement of education.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Let me just do this, and then I'll turn the questioning over. But just to follow up on what the parents had to say. Is it to be our understanding that these children have individualized education plans? They have IPs — at least they still call them that.

MS. HAWKINS: Yes, they do.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And that the IPs have been implemented or not implemented? I'm just trying to figure out --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 MS. HAWKINS: You know, that's not a black 2 and white answer, because you can have an IP that 3 isn't reading well --4 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Problem with the IP -5 6 MS. HAWKINS: The question is, are we 7 connecting education, special education programs 8 high standards? You made the comment about, you know, 9 making sure that the kids are being taught to learn. 10 A 14-year-old who does not read is probably going to 11 have a behavior issue. And not particularly knowing -12 haven't looked Ι at the evaluation of this 13 particular child -- but we do know those connect. 14 We have person, who is not 15 representative of special ed in North Carolina, saying 16 that she didn't understand why special ed teachers 17 were being pushed to teach reading, because they were 18 special ed teachers, not reading teachers. 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ι see. So that 20 basically, what we're looking at is what these two 21 parents and the testimony that they've given is, one, 22 whether there's some coordination between or some 23 overlapping conflict between the special education and 24 education of children with disabilities, and the "No

Child Left Behind".

1 MS. HAWKINS: And I think the other thing 2 is where the kids fit in the assessment. Because the 3 child that is being retained -- North Carolina does 4 have -- principals do have the authority to say, I've 5 looked at everything about the this child, and I'm 6 going to move them on. But they're really hesitant to 7 do that, because in the long run those test scores are 8 going to count for their building, and your eloquent 9 comments earlier about who we are punishing here, and 10 are we saying, are we putting kids back in classes and .11 saying the same information louder, instead of looking 12 at differentiated instruction and what else we need to 13 do in these strategies, because we know just retention 14 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ms. Hawkins, for the 16 sake of the record, so that it's clear, are you saying 17 that these children that were described for us could 18 be taught if appropriate methods and resources were 19 used to teach them, to bring them along at their own 20 pace until they're able to learn? 21 MS. HAWKINS: I firmly believe --22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And that what we have 23 here is a situation where these resources and these 24 appropriate methods are not being applied to disabled 25 kids, the learning-disabled kids, and so then the

1 system is concerned about whether overall the system 2 is failing and how many low grade school or high grade 3 schools and do we meet the standards and all that. 4 So left behind are children like these, 5 who may not this year reach grade level or whatever it 6 is or it may take them forever, but that they are not 7 are being appropriately dealt with. It's not that 8 they are just so dumb or mentally deficient that they 9 could never learn anything. 10 MS. HAWKINS: Correct. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then it's creating 12 all kinds of behavioral problems, social problems, and 13 kind of perhaps drop-outs and other kinds of 14 things. Is that basically what you're --15 MS. HAWKINS: I will let you put words in 16 my mouth. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But now I will go back 18 and start over again, unless you want to comment on 19 If we're going to start over again and let you that. 20 ask whatever you like. 21 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I'll start with 22 the same subject matter. First, thank you very much 23 to all of you; wonderfully helpful. Let me just say, 24 I've been a long-time member, indeed the -- of the 25 Board of Testing and Assessment of the National

Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, and indeed the longest serving member in the history of the Board of Testing and Assessment; I can't seem to get promoted out of it.

So for starters, I'm concerned with the problem of including accommodation of students with disabilities and the absence of consistency from state to state that many cases from district to district within a state, as to what the rules are, what the protocols are -- for who gets included or what kinds of accommodations are provided. So I'm wondering for either of you -- this just strikes me from a psychometric standpoint or from a standpoint about making valid inferences about improvements in test performance -- doesn't there need to be some concerted and centralized inclusion and -- right now the only thing that is systematic is this prospect of a 95 percent inclusion requirement. Do you have a response to that?

MS. QUENEMOEN: I'll go first. NCEO has been checking that theory closely. When I first came on four years ago, I was approached by nine states, all of which used one particular vendors test, adapted somewhat for their state, and all nine had very different accommodations policies, and essentially,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm going start with the system end.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Different decisions had been made about what kind of changes to the test administration or the way the student responded to the test items that would or would not invalidate the results. In other words, did the use of a screen reader change the -- what was being measured or not. And they found out it's the same test. We were getting very different answers, so we went diving back into the research and the body of research on the effect of accommodations on the performance of items and the inferences is very, very murky. And because of the nature of the variation of kind of the student who may use a particular accommodation, it's very hard to get large group coherent data. So, the last three years, really, we have been working with the federal government and with states on developing a better data base, so that we know which can children are using which accommodations, where we can look at the way the items function with the particular kind of accommodations.

So part of it is in state policy. Most states now have stepped back to say -- we will be more thoughtful about how we set our accommodations policies for particular parts of our examinations. And I think states have a come a long way in trying to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

ı

1

clarify that, but the research base is slim.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other hand, the IEP team determines how a student will participate in assessments and what accommodations are needed. Many states are trying to provide training to IEPTs, and so one of the factors that's usually included is if the student benefits from that accommodation in learning the materials, in other words, if the accommodation is provided in instruction, then -- unless it is something that what you're assessing wouldn't because οf appropriate -- then we would recommend that you use a different assessment as well.

What we found is that that began to drive an increase in the amount of accommodations being allowed for instruction, and in fact we found out very few accommodations were allowed. 14 year-old Α student who is not reading, who is not getting the accommodation of some kind of taped text so they can keep up with the content, for example, is a big flag that something has gone awry. But the good news is focusing on testing accommodations we've that by actually in the of seen an increase amount instructional accommodation.

The problem is, is that that's IEP by IEP, and there's assuming an understanding of what the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20006-3701

1 construct being measured is, so you know what kinds of 2 accommodations they are providing --3 EDLEY: COMMISSIONER Which is an 4 enormously heroic assumption --5 MS. QUENEMOEN: Learning curve is very 6 steep. 7 Christopher, why don't CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 8 you quickly tell them what NCLB is. 9 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry. "No Child 10 Left Behind". 11 I'd like to make just two MS. QUENEMOEN: 12 basic comments. One of the issues, the practical 13 issues at the state level, is the creation of these 14 North Carolina had a computertests as we go. 15 assisted tests for students with disabilities that 16 would allow the student to kind of go up and down on 17 grade level as they did context. It didn't validate -18 - we had to change 30,000 IEPs this year -- and the 19 child's impact was that their two choices were -- the 20 IEP team choices were to either go to a accommodation 21 that probably didn't work because they'd already tried 22 it, or to push the student from an accommodation to 23 alternate assessment, which changed whether they were 24 given on a diploma track or not.

So that's one comment. And what we're

doing is, we're doing this, we're learning as we go, 2 which is strengthening the knowledge of the field, but 3 it's also impacting kids. 4 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry. Let me 5 stop you there if I may, because we need to move on, 6 we're going to run out of time here. If I could ask 7 Mr. Pittman and Dr. Pughsley, I don't get it. 8 to me why, Mr. Pittman, in your comments and Dr. 9 Pughsley in your prepared -- Dr. Pughsley in your 10 prepared remarks, you're both supportive of high-11 stakes for students. Explain to me why on God's earth 12 it makes any sense educationally to have the retention 13 in grade that's been described for these two children. 14 DR. PUGHSLEY: Retention in grade, it's 15 based on -- so that I fully understand your question, 16 sir. 17 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: We've heard, in 18 particular if I can focus on -- what was it, Mrs. 19 Miller, the first one? 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're going to have 21 you check on them please, sir, my staff will be in 22 touch with you to find out exactly what --23 DR. PUGHSLEY: Be more than happy to. 24 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Talked about her 25 child being retained in grade twice. The suggestion

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 2000E-3701

1 of an IDP plan that isn't working. And I'm trying to 2 figure out who you think -- who you think is being 3 appropriately incentivised as a result of what -- what 4 the economists call it and they're too many of them, 5 but by retaining a child like that in a grade. 6 DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, let me just speak in 7 part to this as best as I understand your question. I 8 think the -- in part what the statement that was made 9 by Ms. Hawkins referenced a teacher of someone who 10 said, now I'm being pushed to teach reading, I'm an EC 11 teacher. That's an indication of the change that 12 taking place in the CMS and I would agree with that. 13 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: But fine, but what 14 does have to do with retaining the student in grade? 15 DR. PUGHSLEY: Allow me to - if I may --16 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: You can tell that 17 there's a problem by just looking at the testing 18 results of what's happening with education of the 19 child without then punishing the child, or imposing a 20 sanction on the child. 21 DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, let me assure you, I 22 have no desire to punish any child. But let me give 23 you the big picture, if I may. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Proceed. 25 DR. PUGHSLEY: Thank you. Now, there was

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

14

15

12

13

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a transition that's taking place in CMS and that situation which was described occurred all too often. shift has to do with The our becoming instructionally oriented in our EC program, and we have demonstrated that by standards as it relates to resources available to the kids, and yes we are pushing for instruction, the teaching of reading in our EC program, okay. That in and our itself will make a difference as it relates to this child being retained a number of times or not. Unfortunately, this youngster is 14 years-old, I understand that, and certainly it's my privilege to follow up on it and will do so.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I guess I'm not being clear here. Let me try again. I'm trying to distinguish on the one hand between systemic accountability and student accountability. I'm also trying to distinguish between assessment out the wazoo, with whatever kinds of instruments, the best instruments you can design, do it every other Tuesday, for all I care. Plenty of assessments to diagnose what kind of achievement, what kind of learning is or isn't occurring; fine. Measure it, figure out what's going on, period, paragraph.

Then comes the question of what kind of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	intervention and who's going to be held accountable
2	when there are deficiencies in the achievement. Now,
3	I'm referring from something in your written
4	statement, that's something that you, Mr. Pittman,
5	were completely explicit about, and that is if there
6	are problems with performance then students, not only
7	students, not only students, but students must be
8	among those who are held accountable by retention in
9	grade, by, if it comes to that, diploma or not. So
10	what I'm trying to ask is, I understand politically
11	why it might be desirable to hold students
12	accountable, but I'm trying to understand why this is
13	a benefit to the individual student to deny promotion
14	or to deny a diploma. Particularly why is it
15	beneficial to the student in a situation in which the
16	student has not been given a full opportunity to learn
17	and to achieve. Has not been given a full opportunity
18	to learn and achieve either because in the cases of
19	students with disabilities, the IDP may be poorly
20	crafted or poorly implemented. Or in the case of
21	ordinary students, they're in a building or they're in
22	a district which has inadequate resources or, to be
23	pointed, if the problem is that the district and the
24	state school has not yet achieved the goals stated in
25	the NCLB Statute, of seeing to it that every student

has access to a high quality teacher. So, help me please understand why you believe under those kinds of circumstances you need to punish students in order to drive system reform?

MR. PITTMAN: Let me take a crack at that.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Thank you.

MR. PITTMAN: And we may have to agree to disagree as I had heard you say earlier. One of the reasons that I distributed this sheet to you that says student accountability standards, because as I was asked to take part in this discussion this morning, I kind of figured out that this particular topic would be part of our discussion. As I referenced earlier, one of the things that happens in North Carolina is that people misunderstand what we were trying to with the student accountability standards policy. not to end social promotion. Just to hold a student back is not going to help the student, so critically important to understand what we're trying to do in North Carolina. One of things that we did, did not call this policy a promotion policy, because the minute you call it a promotion policy then you begin thinking about retention. The goal of this policy is to improve student achievement and to get more students functioning at grade level; to get

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

students to grow. The process as to how we're going
to do that, we are going to hold students accountable.
We are holding teachers accountable. But also if you
look at that sheet, we're going to provide focused
intervention to students. I think one of the things
that people misunderstand sometimes that they believe
that testing is the end result in itself, and it is
not. You use testing to identify where your problems
are and then put your resources where there's fiscal
or human resources, so children who are functioning
below grade level in our policy have to get focused
intervention. We send school districts additional
monies to do that. The focused intervention piece is
critical. The policy, and we wrote the policy with
this in mind, that if it is not a retention policy
then students have to have some sort of review
process. So even though a child may not be performing
at grade level, the policy looks at that issue and
gives the school and school district the flexibility
to bring the parent in to talk about this child in a
very formal or informal way and it's not based totally
on test performance. We have students who are not
performing at grade level, who went through the review
process and if, in fact, the child just can't score at
Level III, but is able to do work in a classroom, some

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	of those children were promoted. I think, personally,
2	and the state believes, but I'm talking about, I guess
3	now, personal, we send too many children we can
4	just as much damage with sending children to the next
5	grade who cannot perform and they struggle. This
6	policy is designed at trying to get the resources,
7	whether it's fiscal or human resources to those
8	children. One other thing that's important, then I'll
9	stop after this, because you probably need some time
10	to talk back to us. One of the things that we have
11	said, it benefits no one just to retain the child and
12	to send the child through the very same program again.
13	That's why I mentioned earlier to you that our policy
14	dictates that those children who are retained, or even
15	if they are promoted and are below grade level, they
16	have to have what is called a personalized education
17	plan, much like an IDP. What then has to happen, the
18	school has to look at that child individually and then
19	begin to form or fashion or program that's going to
20	address this child's deficiency. But just to send the
21	child through the very same program, I would agree
22	with you, would be of no benefit. So, you have to
23	look at how you retain a child.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me just say -- you want to ask some questions?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

24

time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Not at this

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me try to followup a little bit then I'll see if the Vice-Chair has any questions, because I have a lot of them. There's something rotten in Denmark and I don't know what it is in all of this discussion that we have been having I felt pretty good when we left the first panel. I felt, gee, everybody solved all This one guy was here and he solved most of them, now there's another guy and he's solved most of them, states solved most of them, and we were told by the staff, and one of the reasons why we we're here that North Carolina's done about 24 of 25 other things under NCLB, as you call it, "No Child Left Behind" and that was interesting to hear and then we'll see what's And now I feel really awful, because there are a lot things that don't make sense here. The first thing that doesn't make, in looking at your recommendations -- could you please turn off your cell phone, whoever that is. Could everyone please turn off their cell phones. The recommendations of your Commission on Grades and Achievement, Closing Gaps, sounds terrific. If I were to read of it, how many of these have been funded throughout the state?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MR. PITTMAN: It varies.

DR. BERRY; And how much money has been appropriate by the state to fund, for example -- if I want to go through, is there a publicly designed public information campaign initiated statewide, and how much money's put into that? Has there been a recommendation, you get my point. That if I were to read all of these recommendations I would find out that most of them probably haven't been funded or could not have funded at all. How about classroom teachers getting money to update their skills and getting paid under the contracts once during every four year period, is that funded?

MR. PITTMAN: No.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So we go down the list here, what we have is, with all due respect, I know it's not your fault, I'm not picking on you; pie in the sky. Nice sounding, but not being done. Even if we thought these things would do something. It says monetary incentives to identify high school and community college graduates who want to teach, put them in high-needs schools teaching areas which may not have anything to do with having the best quality teachers there, but I bet you there's not a big program funding that at the state level, is there?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MR. PITTMAN: Not at this point.

2

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

I'm not

Let me do all the

And then, what kind of

3

like nobody has enough.

4

saying it won't happen, but at this point it hasn't

5

then we have a report from Ms. happened. Okay,

pieces and then you guys can address them. The report

on math that you did for us, you tell us after giving

us all the good news, the bad news. About the chart

you showed us and the information you gave us about

the disadvantaged minority students, and then you tell

us what we already know, that there is a shortage of

highly qualified devoted, experienced, professional

math teachers, which means you don't have enough just

support systems and resources and so on, is there some

major funded initiative that I don't know about here

in the state or in the city to fund the education of

math teachers, to give incentives to people to come

here from wherever they are to teach math and to go

into these under under-performing schools and how much

6

Jenkins ---

MR. PITTMAN: You want me to address those

7

now?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JENKINS: Yes.

money is being put into that?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON DC 20005,3701

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How much?
2	MS. JENKINS: I'll tell you I don't think
3	it's enough, but the professional development
4	institute we had last summer our district put 1.7
5	million dollars in it.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
7	MS. JENKINS: They paid teachers their
8	salary for their time that they came. We paid their
9	tuition to UNCC so they could get credit, if they
10	needed those credits and we paid for their materials;
11	that's quite a commitment from a single district.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are you talking about
13	teachers who are teaching?
14	MS. JENKINS: Well, yes, the ones of
15	Charlotte-Mecklenburg, the ones that we had to allow
16	entry that hadn't had the credits to continue teaching
17	who hadn't had the math credits to work towards
18	licensure. But we also paid any math teacher who
19	wanted to come and just upgrade their skills, if even
20	they didn't need the tuition.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How about I'm
22	talking about that's good how about teachers
23	from elsewhere, not from Charlotte-Mecklenburg.
24	MS. JENKINS: This school
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Who did you attract to

1 come to add to your pool? Do you have a program 2 running to incentivize, or --3 DR. PUGHSLEY: May I speak to that, 4 please? 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -whatever it's 6 called, people coming from somewhere else. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You may, please. 8 DR. PUGHSLEY: We have signing bonuses in 9 place in the district for areas that we refer to as 10 critical needs; math, science, EC, foreign language 11 and then we pay a signing bonus of some \$2,000. 12 are already thinking about expanding that. I am now 13 promoting the proposal that has a number of different 14 components to it and has to do with recruiting, 15 retaining and employing quality teachers. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And how successful has 17 the \$2,000 -- how many new bad teachers have you 18 gotten out of that? 19. DR. PUGHSLEY: I can't give you a count of 20 the exact number. I can say to you that this year was 21 the best year ever as it relates to the number of 22 teachers that we had in place for the opening of 23 It was less than 1 percent of our vacancies 24 of our teaching positions that were vacant when we 25

opened schools here. But quantity is not the only

1	focus. We have to focus on quality as well, and
2	that's what we're attempting to do.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And but you don't
4	know how many quality or quantity?
5	DR. PUGHSLEY: Oh, I can determine that.
6	I don't have that information with me.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you provide that
8	to us, please?
9	DR. PUGHSLEY: I'd be more than happy to.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then us know also
11	your new plan and how much incentive, what incentives
12	are in it and so on, and how you compare with places
13	across the country and are they all offering \$2,000 or
14	are you ahead of the pack or behind the pack?
15	DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, I can tell you that
16	we're not ahead of the pack.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh.
18	DR. PUGHSLEY: We need to do a great deal
19	more.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see.
21	DR. PUGHSLEY: But we do we have
22	programs in place. If I may continue.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You may, but I have
24	another question that I want made and maybe you can
25	address all of it at once. In your written testimony

24

25

you tell us about the progress been made in CMS, but then on the "No Child Left Behind" legislation you have a number of points of serious concerns about it in your written testimony. And I read the serious concerns and it seems that on many measures it is in Charlotteinconsistent with your program Mecklenburg. Ιn other words, there are grave inconsistencies between what you're already doing, which seems to be working, as far you tell us as we can understand, or it's mostly working or it's working to reduce some of the gaps, large numbers of them and "No Child Left Behind" according to the testimony. And in many ways it may trip you up, and delay progress in some fronts. Am I reading your testimony correctly?

DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, if you'd point out the inconsistency, it might be helpful.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. You seem to have about -- raises serious concerns. I'm reading for us, on page four. Absence of responsibility of student and parent in the community in educating the students. Shows you have a balance in testings, your schools may be judged on percent of students that show up for tests, and you don't think that's good, a single baseline for average performance, all need to

21

22

23

24

25

adequate time, and all the years and efforts you've been making, what purpose does it serve to label a school low performing when it achieves almost all of its goals, and misses only one, having to do with I'm just reading all these things that are tests. It's better instruction, achievement, and it doesn't say, you know, how to do anything about NEA, flexibility, all of this is in your -- where we get the resources. So what I'm trying to find out, after having said all that, why do you begin by saying, it is a wake up call, "No Child Left Behind" is a wake-up call for many in education. The notion that children can learn is the school's responsibility -- why do you think it's so great. You're already doing all this stuff, North Carolina, in Charlotte which I will accept that you're doing and much of it is working, and then you got these things that are problematic, why do you need a wake up call? DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, I don't think that

be held to this standard this very first year without

DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, I don't think that "No Child Left Behind" is a perfect piece of legislation, first of all. But certainly conceptually I'm in agreement with it, and yes, in fact we are doing quite a few of those in North Carolina. In fact, I believe we served as a model for it. Let me

1 speak to one point, if you will, having to do with 2 when there's one situation that causes a school to be 3 determined to be low performing. Recently, it was 4 determined in North Carolina that here the 5 comprehensive tests for math and reading be put back 6 in place when, in fact, prior to this time, prior to 7 "No Child Left Behind", that was taken out so that we 8 could reduce the amount of testing that was taking 9 place. But on the basis on that one test, a school 10 could be declared low-performing. Now, I think that 11 that's a difficulty that we are now faced with that we 12 didn't have previously. But the concept itself does 13 remain good, in my mind. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But you already had 15 that concept here, even before the law was passed. 16 DR. PUGHSLEY: That's right. And I think 17 it's because of that concept that North Carolina, and 18 Charlotte-Mecklenburg in particular, have been able to 19 move its population forward, in terms of student 20 achievement. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. Let 22 last question is what about school me ask, my 23 resegregation? You were talking about choice plans. 24 DR. PUGHSLEY: Yes. 25 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you read some

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1	stuff about it and you said that some people said it
2	resegregates schools, but the you believed that
3	parental choice was really important or words to that
4	effect. And, you have had some significant progress
5	in the gap-closing area here. Do you think school
6	desegregation is relevant to the effort to improve
7	education or do you think it's a distraction.
8	DR. PUGHSLEY: I think it's relevant. If
9	I have my druthers, we would be desegregating schools,
10	rather than resegregating schools.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But you don't have
12	your druthers, is that the point?
13	DR. PUGHSLEY: No, I don't.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And to Mr. Pittman who
15	said that there were a lot of things to learn from
16	black schools, according to this commission, and the
17	commission has asked for a history of work of Indians
18	and Af-Am's. Is that funded, is that study underway?
19	MR. PITTMAN: Part of it, yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Who's doing it, do you
21	know?
22	MR. PITTMAN: Our agency, and we're
23	looking at some external systems from our university
24	system.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. But it's

underway?

1,5

MR. PITTMAN: Yes. Let me just address
the issue of funding. When the commission did its
work, one of things that they decided up front, is
that they were not going to let funding dictate what
they thought would address the root causes of this
gap. If you read the entire report, that's why I gave
you a copy of the entire report, when you give people
just the letter of recommendation and read the letter
of recommendation and then they say this is pie in the
sky. If you read the report thoroughly, what it says
on the first five pages, is much of this gap closing
can be done without money. There's some things that
are called belief systems, there's some things called
expectations, there's some things called building
relationships with students that don't cost money.
Now just as quickly as I say that, certainly there are
some things in that plan that are expensive and will
require some money. But the foundation of this
report, if you read those first opening pages, really
deals with belief systems and how we as educators and
how parents feel about how students can achieve.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, let me ask you, just about teaching. In "No Child Left Behind" and then in policy statements made by people in the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

24

25

Education Department, there seems to be the belief that teachers don't necessarily need to be educated in how to teach. If I understand it correctly, that the old battle and struggle that's been going on for years about whether you need schools of education and is there something they teach and is there something how to teach about, you know, as opposed substance, that it seems -- the battle seems to be won by those who say that what teachers really need is And we have long said, people who study education issues, said that there are some people who know how to teach and don't know anything and there are a lot people, who know a whole lot and can't teach, and then that the real desire is try to get somebody who could do both. Has the balance now shifted to looking at subject matter content and not being worried about whether the people can teach and while I wasn't paying attention, has that become the received wisdom among people who run systems and are we looking for these quality teachers who are going to be in every classroom, by how soon is it, every class is going to have a quality teacher by

MR. PITTMAN: Five years.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In five years. Are

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	these going to subject matter, prepared teachers
2	taught without teaching credentials from, you know,
3	internships, what is that, practice teaching or
4	whatever that is, stuff that they teach in schools of
5	education, and are they going down the tubes? And is
6	North Carolina prepared to shut down its schools of
7	education and its institutions or what's going on
8	here, does anybody know? Let's have the
9	Superintendent answer first.
10	DR. PUGHSLEY: Well, let me say this
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sure.
12	DR. PUGHSLEY: Charlotte-Meck is looking
13	for fully certified teachers who are graduates with
14	college-level education.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right.
16	DR. PUGHSLEY: And as far as content, we
17	want them to be as strong as possible. Now we have
18	content coaches in our secondary schools and they work
19	primarily with first year teachers and some lateral
20	entry teachers that don't know how to handle the
21	subject matter. So, you know bottom line, we want
22	fully certified teachers from colleges of education.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes, Mr.
24	Pittman, did you want to say something?
25	MR. PITTMAN: And just in addition to

I	
1	th
2	fi
3	kn
4	is
5	ge
6	in
7	in
8	th
9	ус
10	th
11	cc
12	ha
13	No
14	te
15	an
16	le
17	fc
18	pc
19	cl
20	ha
21	st
22	th
23	M∈
24	 +1

П

I mentioned earlier in recommendation number at, it talks about the teachers having to have nowledge, skills, but the piece that missing so often s the disposition to work with adverse learners to et them to become successful. You can be very smart n the content area, and you can have all of the structional strategies, but if you don't believe hat certain children can learn, I'm not sure if ou're going to teach that child in such a way that ne child will learn. So I think a very important emponent is the teacher's disposition and we also ave referenced the fact that teacher ed programs in orth Carolina need to have people working in the eacher ed programs who also have knowledge, skills nd dispositions. Because if, in fact, at the college evel if you're working with students for three and years and as the person working with that otential teacher you've not set foot in a diverse lassroom in years or ever, and you yourself don't ave a disposition that poor children can learn the candard course of study, we are afraid that when nose teachers come to those classrooms in Charlotteecklenburg, they may bring that same baggage with So we're saying that we need to work very them. collaboratively with the university system to make

sure that they, too, take on this whole issue of working with diverse learners.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ms. Hawkins. Ms. Hawkins was trying to talk.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, I wanted to say a couple of things. One that I've been very impressed by the progress that's been made in both states, and so I would hate to have our discussion in the last half-hour or so detract from that. I can't help but compare what's been happening here with my own state of California, where we see the figures that indicate there's been quite a bit of progress the last two, three or fours, generally, in education. that doesn't mean that we should withdraw from the problems that we see even as we see that progress. guess one of the problems with the discussion we've been having is that, now we've been dealing with the ideals that we have and obviously we're not quite there, I can't help but think of one of my daughters who has a child who's partially autistic, mild autism, and she's had a terrible time trying to get schools to deal with that issue. But she's smart enough to know the rights that she has, so then has to make demands, for example, the child be sent to private school, then all of sudden she discovers there is a public school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

long ways to go. So, I just

frankness of

1	program for her and I keep wondering what happens to
2	those who don't make that demand. Or another school
3	teacher daughter that I have who has children, her own
4	children who are slow on reading and she knows from
5	her own experience that subject matter will be taught
6	to the reading ability of the child, but she knows
7	that their interest is age specific as she says, not
8	reading capabilities, so she's been doing home-
9	schooling for the last few years for example. So, we
10	have a lot of problems even as we make progress. And
11	we've been dealing with many of the problems here, so
12	I'm just concerned that none of you take the tough
13	questions that have been asked as not recognizing
14	tremendous work that has been done in the local school
15	district and in those states. Nonetheless, we
16	obviously have a continuing responsibility to put
17	pressure on the state legislature to provide the
18	resources that are needed. It's not impossible, it
19	seems to me, to encourage folk to become math
20	teachers, but it takes incentives. It takes a
21	statewide, even a nationwide program to do that and
22	perhaps with the discussion that we've had the last
23	
24	few minutes just reminds us that we've made a lot of
47	progress, but we have a long ways to go. So. I just

for the

wanted

thank

you

to

25

the

discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON | BERRY: Yes. All right. We want to --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: May I -- since I may be a source of so much of the unpleasantness, I don't want to look like -- I don't want to leave without being unpleasant just a little bit more. First I want say, I think that -- I suppose what I troubling as I look around the country is that in so many of the districts and states that have implemented high-stakes testing they quite knowledgeably identify 15 ingredients for closing achievement disparities, from looking at the resources to looking at quality of teachers to looking at expectations, such as -- and number 15 of course, is that high-stakes accountability for the students. But the difficulty is that of those 15, the only one that you can be sure is going to be implemented is number 15.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And that's true.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: But the problem with that, and the problem with the expectations issue or the teacher credential issue or the whatever is that they maybe it's there, maybe it's not, partly there and just need more appropriations, whatever it is.

And I find that deeply troubling, especially,

1	especiall
2	disparate
3	have the
4	Second, I
5	just the
6	it may be
7	student t
8	it, that
9	retaining
10	to you si
11	substanti
12	point, an
13	view, it'
14	which is
15	the stude
16	them. Ar
17	retain t
18	intervent
19	it's also
20	there wil
21	help them
22	there may
23	and the :
24	agree tha

25

the high-stakes testing when impact that burdens the communities that least political power to defend themselves. just want to observe that, Mr. Pittman, on small statement that you made in passing that e that when you promote somebody, promote a o the next grade if they're not prepared for it can lead equal or even more trouble than them in grade. Well I just want to suggest ir, that that is, while I recognize there's a lal amount of classroom teacher focus to that nd it certainly may be a widely held political s not borne out by the research literature, clear about the greater educational loss to ent of promoting them as opposed to retaining nd just as it is possible to argue that we'll there will be all them, but ions and it's going to be a brave new world, o possible to say, we'll promote them, but ll be all kinds of support and enrichment to m catch up. So I'm just saying I think that y be a difference here between the folk wisdom research and literature. I hope we could all agree that education policy ought to be as driven as much as possible by the science when it's available.

_ <u> </u>	Finally, there's been a lot of discussion, as there is
2	everywhere, about the importance of parental
3	involvement and community engagement, and I'm sure we
4	can all agree that those are important ingredients and
5	they ought to be on the list of 15. But I just want
6	to make sure I didn't misunderstand anybody, I guess I
7	put this particularly to Mr. Pittman and to the
8	Superintendent, do either of you mean to suggest that
9	if in the case of a particular student or a particular
10	building that parental involvement, that community
11	engagement is missing that somehow the system, the
12	leaders in the system, the educators are off the hook
13	for delivering world class opportunities to children?
14	DR. PUGHSLEY: I don't think
15	MR. PITTMAN: I don't think
16	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: You didn't mean to
17	suggest that?
18	MR. PITTMAN: I don't believe I even came
19	close to saying that.
20	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: No, no I just
21	think it's important to underscore that while we
22	champion the value of having those things, we still
23	feel as though we have an obligation to each child
24	even if their circumstances are such that that kind of
25	support system isn't available for that child, but you

would agree with that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PITTMAN: I would. But I would like to ask if there's something that I said, that would even bring one to --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now, don't go there.

Just say you didn't say it and didn't mean it.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: You know there's always finger pointing, you know it's not up to us, it's up the parent, or it's not up the us, it's up to the social services system or something like that, and I think that's not what we're about, we're about accountability and producing the perfect child.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: The problem very often is truly systemic. If the school district doesn't have the resources, they can't implement many of those 15 points, but they can implement elevating the kids, not letting them graduate and that becomes the easiest thing to do. And sad to say I've seen that happening in my own state, and I think I see it happening other states and that turns out to be a disservice to the very students that we aim to help, and so many of us have real concerns about that aspect it. of That's one thing that the local school district does have control over. They don't have control over whether the legislature will actually

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give them the money to do the things that they need to do, and for political reasons in my view, then they will actually implement that, where as they don't have the political power to make sure that the other good things happen. We have to be a little bit careful about that, and I think that's the point that's being made.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As we close this, because we're out of time and as my colleagues have said, politically the easiest things to do are to either say you don't need to throw money at problems because throwing money at problems doesn't help, that's politically easy to do. And then the point -- all the good things you could do if you didn't have any money. Another politically easy thing to do when that doesn't work is to stay well the teachers are just crummy, you know, they've been around, they're lazy and they don't do what they ought to do, we've got to have more teacher accountability, that's politically easy; depending on how strong your teachers are in the state and who they vote for. third thing that is politically easy and the easier thing of all is to blame the kids which is the what Commissioner Edley is talking about. Blame the kids. And when you blame the kids -- as he was talking I

6

8

7

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was thinking, suppose my physician told me to lose weight and he was going to weigh me every week to see if I did, and that I was supposed to be on a diet to do this and then somebody locked me up in a place that I had to go where all they fed me was biscuits and gravy and all kind of things --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: All those good things.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- and I was eating and then all they did is at the end of the week is weigh me to see if I lost weight, and then say a ahhah, you didn't lose weight again. And then I said well gee, but there's not fruit, there's no any of this and then everybody just pointed to me and said I didn't lose weight. Well, that's what you do to kids, when the kids said -- Commissioner Edley says, I'm not responsible for what's happening to them, when everyone knows what they should be doing to them. That all you do is just measure and said a ah-hah, they didn't learn, kick them out, ah-hah they didn't Well, I already know they won't learn and you learn. already know it too. Nobody's picking on anybody in particular, but we all know that as adults and so it's okay to have "No Child Left Behind", I have in my time running education and doing education seen so many

1 different pieces of legislation and so many speeches 2 from "Nation at Risk" to gosh knows what, I've 3 forgotten, and I was present at creation of the 4 Education of All Handicapped Kids Act; followed that. 5 And so, rhetoric is good, policy statements are good, 6 analyses are good, commissions are good, all these 7 things are good, leadership is good. But until we 8 really start to do what we know needs to be done, your 9 job is going to be hard and everybody's job will be 10 We thank you very much for coming and we have 11 to adjourn this now and we'll reconvene at one-thirty. 12 Thank you. 13 (WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

21

22

23

24

(1:30 P.M.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll start off with
the panel on Accountability Measures. We have had a
very interesting morning here listening to issues and
problems relating to "No Child Left Behind." I'm not
calling it NCLB yet, Christopher. I'll think of some
other thing to call it, and every time I think of it,
I think of the Children's Defense Fund and how that's
been their trademark all these years. A lot of people
don't know that and that's where that name came from.
In any case, let me ask the sign interpreter to ask
if anyone requires sign interpretation; I keep
forgetting to do that. Not at this time, we'll try
this later.

This is the third panel of the education reforms. I notice the witnesses are already seated and I want to thank you for coming to talk about the various rewards and sanctions aimed at improving student performance and our first panelist will be Dr. Helen Ladd, who is Professor of Public Policy Studies and Economics at Duke University. Her current research focuses on education policy and she is the editor of Holding Schools Accountable: Performance Based Reform in Education; Brookings Institution, '96 and is co-author with Edward Fiske of When Schools

Compete: A Cautionary Tale, from Brookings in 2000. She is also focused on North Carolina and participates in a consortium of university educators working to assess North Carolina's education reform. Welcome.

And we have Mr. Evan Myers, who has been principal of Tyro Middle School in Davidson, North Carolina for the past seven years. Mr. Myers spent six years as a social studies teacher and coach at Ledford Senior High School, and nine years as Assistant Principal at East Davidson High School, subsequently he was principal at Davidson County Extended Day School before he came to Tyro. He is on the Boards of National Association of Secondary School Principals and the North Carolina Principal Assistant Principal Association. He is also a member of the State Superintendent's Principal Advisory Committee. He was educated at North Carolina and Technical University and Agriculture at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

Ms. Margaret Carnes is Managing Director of the Charlotte Advocates for Education and she served since June, 2001 in that position, which was formerly the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Education Foundation. What happened to Mecklenburg? Anyway, she was an active member of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schools PTA council for 10 years and served as its She served also as a consultant for president. Compact Project, a parent-teacher Reading administrator and community initiative for improving and sustaining literacy achievement. She was chair for Curriculum and Equity Committee for Advantage Carolina CMS Partners for School Reform and helped to create the high achievement levels for all kids programs, and she's on several state level education committees and is among 72 national reading education experts recently appointed to the Reading First review panel.

Gary Sykes comes to us from East Dr. Lansing, the green and white, the State of Michigan, Professor of Educational Administration and Teacher Education College of Education in Michigan State University. He specializes in educational policy relating to teaching and teacher education. His research interests center on policy issues associated with the improvement of teaching and teacher education, on the development of leadership preparation programs, and on educational choice as an emerging policy issue. He is co-author with Linda Darling-Hammond of the publication Meeting the Highly Qualified Teacher Challenge: Developing a National

Labor Market for Teachers. We will being today with Dr. Ladd; please proceed.

25

1

2

DR. LADD: Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to talk with you today. Most of my discussion will be based on the North Carolina ABC's program about which I've been doing quite a bit of research, but I also bring insights from my knowledge of accountability systems in other states. to make four main points. The first one is that North Carolina's ABC's program is really quite sophisticated and well-designed accountability system especially compared to those in other states, and I'm sure you're aware of this and that's one of the reasons you're here in this state. But I'd like to spell out some of the reasons I think that's true. First, North Carolina has a statewide curriculum. It's had a state wide curriculum for many years so it has made a clear statement of what it wants children to know and be able to do. Second, the state's tests or assessments, which were introduced 10 years ago, are aligned with that state curriculum and that alignment is extremely important. As a result, teachers know what's expected of their students and have strong incentives to teach that state curriculum. Moreover, the end-of-grade tests which are given in

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

math and reading every year for students in grades
three through eight are reported on a developmental
scale, so it is possible to look at gains and
achievement from one year to the next. And that
ability to look at annual gains of individual students
makes this really a very important component of the
system. Third, until recently the program has focused
on holding schools, rather than individual students
accountable, and this way the state has tried has
been trying to change the behavior of the adults in
the system before it has put pressure on the students,
and I think that's an extremely important complement
of an accountability system. Forth, in measuring the
effectiveness of individual schools, North Carolina
places a lot of emphasis on the gains in learning for
the same groups of students from one year to the next.
So it really has tried to develop a value-added type
approach, so it's been trying to measure the
contributions of the schools to student learning. The
alterative of focusing levels of student on levels
of student performance such as, for example, average
test scores or percentages of students at or above
grade level would excessively privilege schools who
are serving students from more advantaged backgrounds,
and I'm sure you're well aware of all the evidence $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

1	that shows the correlation between test scores and
2	student background. So North Carolina's focus on
3	gains is preferable to that. It's also preferable to
4	the method used in some other states of comparing test
5	scores of, say, students in fifth grade one year with
6	the test scores of students in the fifth grade the
7	next year. That measure is problematic as a measure
8	of accountability because the gains in student
9	learning are confounded by the changing mix of
10	students in the grades from one year to the next, so
11	North Carolina's approach is not subject does not
12	have that problem. Fifth, under the North Carolina
13	system any school can be deemed exemplary. Schools
14	are not competing against each other for the category
15	of exemplary school. In effect each school is
16	competing against itself. A school is deemed
17	exemplary if it more than meets its growth standard,
18	but it meets its growth standard by more than 10
19	percent and as you're probably aware the teachers in
20	schools that are deemed to be exemplary receive
21	bonuses of \$1500. The sixth characteristic is that
22	low performing schools, those schools officially
23	labeled as low performing are defined both by growth
24	standard and a performance standard. Any school with
25	less than 50 percent of its students at grade level,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON DC 20005-3701

1	that's the performance level, and which does not meet
2	its growth standard, which is expected growth given
3	the students it serves, is officially labeled low
4	performance schools and those schools are the ones
5	that receive increased scrutiny and attention from
6	state assistance people. The second point I want to
7	make is based on my surveys of school principals,
8	elementary school principals, during the summers of
9	1997. There were two waves of the survey, one is in
10	1997, which is right at the end of the first year of
11	the accountability system, and again in 1999, and the
12	point here that emerges from these surveys is this
13	ABC's program, this accountability system of North
14	Carolina has been a very powerful tool for changing
15	the behavior of this one set of key adults in the
16	system; school principals. The surveys show first
17	that the principals in North Carolina generally
18	supported the goals and objectives of the ABC's
19	program. Over 60 percent had a positive view and
20	about 20 percent had a neutral view and 19 or 20
21	percent had a negative view. This positive attitude
22	toward the program I think is important, and I think
23	reflects or it's largely attributable to the strong
24	educational leadership on the part of the government,
25	the governor of the state and the state's efforts to

1 communicate with local school officials, and it didn't 2 North Carolina introduced this happen overnight. 3 ABC's program after -- as a part of decade long 4 movement under Jim Hunt and others to reform education 5 in the state. Now the surveys do show that the ABC's 6 program changed the behavior of school principals in a 7 The school principals important ways. number of 8 increased the use of the end-of-grade tests for the 9 purposes of diagnosing problems with student learning. 10 The principals increased the -- or they developed new 11 extra-curricular programs that focused on math and 12 reading which was at the heart of this program. 13 spent -- the principals spent more time with teachers 14 in the classroom working on instructional sorts of 15 issues and finally, the ABC's program encouraged the 16 principals to put a greater focus on math and reading 17 in some of the other subjects taught in schools. 18 all of those changes that I just mentioned that we 19 documented on paper that I've distributed to you seem 20 to be fully consistent with the state's goals of 21 trying to improve achievement in reading and math. 22 Now there are other things that principals did as 23 There is evidence that they redirected some well. 24 resources from other subject areas toward math and 25 reading, and they did put more focus on the teaching

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

of test-taking skills. And we can agree or disagree
about the desirability of these changes, but
nonetheless, those changes did occur. Now, one point
I want to emphasize is the incentives of the ABC's
program were sufficiently strong to induce even those
principals who didn't agree with the basic goals of
the ABC's programs to change their behavior. So in
the 1997 wave of survey results we found that those
principals who were fully supportive of the goals had
undertaken a lot of these changes and moved
aggressively to implement some of them. But by 1999
all principals or most principals had whether or not
they supported the goals, so it is, the incentives
built in are strong. Within schools principal
overwhelmingly said they focused new attention as a
result of the program on the low performing students.
Some of the principals said they focused additional
new attention on high performing students. The group
that seems to have been left out or that didn't get
any new attention or less attention was the group at
the middle of the distribution who were at grade
level. From some of the responses to the open-ended
questions on our telephone surveys, we learned that in
some cases principals did have access to some
additional funding that they could use to assist the

	11
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	. · ·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

lower performing students. But in many cases it appears that school principals had to shift resources from other activities or other groups of students or had to ask teachers to "volunteer" hours after school or on Saturdays working with these students. third point I'd like to make is that despite all the good characteristics of this ABC's program that I've already mentioned, the program has had the unintended effort of making it more difficult for low performing schools, broadly defined low performing schools, not just those officially labeled as low performing to retain teachers. This conclusion emerged in suggested form from the 1997 and '98 surveys that I mentioned, but it has emerged much more thoroughly in recent work that I've been doing, of an empirical nature looking at all teachers throughout the state, and I see the yellow light is on, so I don't have time to tell you much about this. But we are finding reasonably clear evidence that the schools that are low performing that after the accountability system are finding it harder to retain teachers and this is based on comparisons of cohorts of teachers and then some pretty sophisticated hazard models based on the skills of individual teachers. So we can go into that more in the question and answer session if you would like. The point here

doubt that school-based is there's anv accountability system is going to provide incentives for teachers to move from one school to another in search of the bonuses or in hopes of getting bonuses or public recognition. The problem arises when this movement of teachers is away from schools serving large proportions of disadvantaged and low performing students to other schools. North Carolina's system is designed to minimize some of that because of its focus on gains, but nonetheless it still happens. now turn to my last point which is just a summary of four Ι think lessons that emerged from my investigation of North Carolina's accountability system and my knowledge of other states. First is the need for caution. High-states accountability systems can be powerful tools to change behavior, that's what I was emphasizing as I talked about the principals. To me that means the policy makers need to use them cautiously. I like the image presented by Tony Bright and Kim Hermanson of education and schools being like a rich tapestry, and one of the problems with an accountability system is you may be pulling on just a couple of strands in that tapestry and in the process you're doing is introducing distortions stresses and constraints. The second point is the

1	lesson that comes out of this is the importance of
2	context. To the extent that North Carolina's
3	accountability system has been successfully in raising
4	student achievement, and my own view is based on the
5	evidence is that it has been relatively successful,
6	that's attributable largely to the fact of the
7	accountability system is embedded in a much larger
8	system of educational reforms that I alluded to
9	earlier. The third lesson that comes from this is the
10	unintended side effects, and the one that I've
11	emphasized my comments here are the movement of
12	teachers away from the lower performing schools in
13	other sessions and later this afternoon we'll hear
14	other unintended side effects. But even the best
15	designed system has these effects and one needs to pay
16	attention to them. And finally, I'd like to end on
17	the note that you ended the previous panel on and
18	that's the need for adequate resources. The logic of
19	accountability systems presupposes the districts and
20	schools have adequate resources to meet the needs of
21	the students they serve. And that's an important
22	point here that adequate resources for disadvantaged
23	students or the amount of resources needed to educate
24	disadvantaged students are likely to be greater than

those for other students because such students come to

25

1 school less ready to learn in many cases. In the 2 absence of adequate resources in the professional 3 capacity within a school, it's neither fair nor 4 appropriate to hold teachers or students accountable 5 for ambitious educational outcomes. Thus, in my view 6 any effort to use accountability to promote better 7 educational outcomes must be closely linked to school 8 finance reform efforts designed to assure that all 9 schools have the resources and the capacity needed to 10 carry out the tasks for which they are being held 11 accountable. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you.

Any questions? Mr. Myers, please.

MR. MYERS: Thank you, ma'am. My name is Evan Myers, I am principal at Tyro Middle School in Davidson County. We're located in the Piedmont section, North Carolina; we are a rural community. I am here today to speak to you and I'm going to piggyback a little bit on what Dr. Ladd was saying, because I'm going to talk a little bit specifically individual school about an because Ι amthe instructional leader of that school. I am the person that's got to take the accountability regulations, bring it down to the school, sit down with my teachers, address the issues, talk to my community, my

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

parents.

And the key thing, Madame Chairperson and committee, is communication. We ensure to make sure that everybody — that all the stakeholders are involved in the decision making and also being able to communicate what needs to be done. Because a child's education is not the school's responsibility; it is everybody's responsibility. It is the school, the community, the parents. It is everybody's responsibility.

about the North Carolina model, and I have looked at other models throughout the country. I have a good communication amongst principals throughout this country, and I can say this, that North Carolina's model, and of course it is a growth model and also it's a performance model, it's proven that it works.

Let me talk to you a minute about -- a little bit about the growth model itself. Like Dr. Ladd had said, we're taking individual students rather than large number of students. We're looking at that individual student, and basically the responsibility is that that child should be -- a year's growth equals a year's of instruction; that's the key. That individual student, a year's growth is equal to a year

of instruction.

And, so we're looking at the individuals, but also, we're looking at performance too. We're looking at the individual, we're looking at the total picture too, of the individual school. As it's already been said, a child that reaches Level III on the end-of-grade test is classified as being at grade level.

And also let me say this, we talk about the test, but the key, like Dr. Ladd had said, the key though is that North Carolina has a standard course of study. Our teachers teach that standard course of study whether you're in kindergarten all the way up to a senior in high school. Every course has a standard course of study. A set of goals that that child should learn during that period of time that they're in that particular grade level.

Now then. Let me talk to you a little bit about the award system, and that's something that we, according to this panel, need to discuss. In North Carolina, and this has changed, as we get better and it seems we're not, everything's not set in stock, you're capable — we've got flexibility where a state board made some changes from time to time. This past year the State Board of Education had its schools of

22

23

24

25

excellence, and we've had schools of distinction based on performance. School of excellence is one of -- a school 90 percent of their student body is performing at grade level, a school of distinction is performing 80 percent.

Well, this has changed. We have as now, to be recognized as a school of excellence and a school of distinction, the only thing you've got to meet your performance goals of 90 percent and 80 percent, but you also got to make your growth levels.

Also, it has already been said, those schools that are classified as low performing are given assistance teams. And these assistance teams are made up of teachers that go into these schools, work with other teachers that and develop instructional strategies that, best meet the needs of that particular school's students. You got to realize folks, every school is different. Every student population is different. One shoe does not fit all. So you've got to design your instructional program that best fits the needs of the individual kids within that building.

Also, there's some monetary rewards in North Carolina that the -- and this is changing too with "No Child Left Behind". The state board has

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

passed and will ask the state or the state general assembly this year to revise the reward, the monetary reward system for licensed personnel and also teacher in individual schools that meet their growth goals, that meet their adequate yearly progress qoals. That would mean that if a school meets its growth goals, every licensed teacher or personnel in that school will receive \$600, every -- if they meet high growth qoals, they've changed the their it's not exemplary anymore, it's high terminology, growth, and that will be \$600 for that.

And then with the new -- the latest thing to come down the pike, of course, is with "No Child Left Behind" the average yearly progress of the school and that would an additional \$600. So what we're going to have now is, as Dr. Ladd said, \$1,500 has been going on with the exemplary high growth schools, and now we're going to add a little bit more to it to entice -- or to show a reward for our licensed personnel in our schools with an additional monetary amount made at yearly progress. And then when teacher assistants it's going to be \$300 blocks.

But let me remind you, this is pending.

The State Board of Education has approved this, but
they approved it with the understanding that it's not

funded; the state general assembly will be asked to

2 | fund this.

I've read many articles on "No Child Left Behind". Since I was asked to appear on this committee, I have tried to read and make myself aware of as much material as I possible can on "No Child Left Behind". And I feel like that North Carolina will meet the goals that are set, just for the fact that we have been involved with this accountability program for a number of years. As has already been said, we go back to the early '90's with our actually state testing, but in 1996 when we started the ABC's of education. So we've been involved with this for a long, long time. Well, not a long, long time, but for a number of years; more so than a lot of other states.

The biggest difference that I see with "No Child Left Behind" is going to be brought down with the sub-groups when we come to the accountability program. Let me say this, that of course we -- every state had to have their "No Child Left Behind" plan to the Department of Education by last Friday. I will say this, that the State Board of Education is continually monitoring and making changes as time goes on. I think they're meeting today and I think they're even talking about making some changes about -- one of

those changes was this.

1.3

originally under the state plan, they would classify -- each sub-group from the "No Child Left Behind" would be 30 students. Now the State Board of Education has decided that they would -- based on some information that's come from them from testing people -- that they would now say that the best data would come if a group of at least 40 students has to be tested in each one of those areas, sub-groups. But again, like I said, one of the things that they're looking at is for this year of 2003, they're going to stick with 40 students, but this could change as time goes on.

Let me say this about "No Child Left Behind". When we talk about sanctions -- and as studying "No Child Left Behind" I have found out basically the way Congress designed this is that you will -- most of the sanctions that will be applied to "No Child Left Behind" will be in Title I schools. Let me remind the committee, not every school gets Title I money. Historically, elementary schools are the Title I schools, and not every elementary school is Title I. That's based on -- Title I monies is based on a lot of things and primarily economically disadvantaged. Most middle schools and most high

schools, and I can only speak about North Carolina, do not receive Title I money.

This means that some of the some of the sanctions that "No Child Left Behind" will not apply to middle schools and high schools. Let me tell this committee one further thing. Even though we might not be sanctioned, it's going to be out there. It's going to be imposed. It's going to be in the newspaper and our feeling is that it's going to be a reflection of what we get off of "No Child Left Behind" and to our progress, it's going to be a reflection on our students, our teachers and community.

I can only speak to what we do in Davidson County Schools about solving the achievement gap. Six years ago, I, along with five other principals, middle school principals, asked for special remediation teachers to work with our students who were not performing at grade level. Students are scheduled to attend class for reading, math, or both. We do not remove them for the core area teacher -- classes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You have to sum up now, Mr. Myers.

MR. MYERS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me say this. Another thing that we're doing for cutting down the achievement gap is we have after

school tutorial programs every day. Every teacher at Tyro Middle School teaches one hour a week in reading and math, in all -- I'll share this with you. We talked about -- I think you asked the question of Mr. Pittman a while ago, Madame Chairperson, this is a state-run program. The state is putting some money into at-risk programs and also making sure that children achieve.

I'm going to stop right there. There's a number of other things. I want to also bring the attention to the committee and we can talk about this later, is that if you'll look on page three, you'll see a breakdown of "No Child Left Behind" that based on last year's test data -- you've got to realize, folks, we're treading on new ground now, and this is brand new stuff and it took me a while to get this. And so if you have any questions about any of this data, and about "No Child Left Behind" and end-of-year progress, I'll be happy to answer anything this committee would like at the appropriate time. Thank you, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't you just -- before you stop, why don't you just say on the record what the data show on this chart.

MR. MYERS: Okay. If I can only state

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that -- at Tyro Middle School, I'll give you an example of what average yearly progress is going to look like. Number one, let me say this, that according to North Carolina performance model, last year, 2002, our test data showed that 87.1 percent of our students are performing at grade level.

Now then, if you'll look at the bottom and you'll see that now the state has come back and has set some standards with "No Child Left Behind" in 3-8. You'll see that the state has set a baseline of 65 percent for reading and 75 percent on math. At Tyro Middle School we only have four sub-groups, basically they are the total group, the students, students with disability and free/reduced lunch. If we use the last year's data, we did not meet our adequate yearly progress in students with disability in reading and math, and then student free/reduced lunch in reading. And so you can see we're treading on new ground here.

And I also wanted to say this. Most of the middle schools in Davidson County met that adequate yearly progress last year, and two of those schools were schools of excellence and two of them were schools of distinction according to the state recognition.

2

_

3

Asian?

with.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And could you say also

What roughly did you gather for Black,

Okay. You can see that at

what the data shows for White, Black, Hispanic and

Tyro Middle School we have only -- we do not have 40

can see that our African-American kids are doing well

in math; they need improvement in reading. And like I

said, we're dealing with a small number of students

here, less than -- I think we've got 10, 10 minority

students, African-American students that we're dealing

The scores kind of -- the data is kind of distracted

because if you could see that there's a big difference

between math and reading, which doesn't make a lot of

sense because reading is very important. You've got

to be a good reader to the do the math part because a

lot of this is reading, so that's what I'm saying

about test data. That it could be kind of distorted

if you don't have a good equal number to base your

And so you see, that's what I'm saying.

minority students in each one of those groups.

Hispanic and Asian, on this chart?

MR. MYERS:

Thank you very much. There will be some questions.

NEAL R. GROSS

data on.

Now we will go to Ms. Carnes, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARNES: Yes, ma'am. Thank you very much for inviting me to testify before you today on the topic of parent involvement in state and local accountability initiatives. First of all, I want to commend to you for involving parents and the subject of parents in your research as you're traveling across the country. It's extremely critical, as I'm sure you'll agree, and I really appreciate the fact that we've acknowledged the parents.

Μv is Margaret Carnes name I'm and Managing Director of Charlotte Advocates for Education. We are one of the 77 LEF's or Local Education Funds across the country and in membership with the Public Education Network or PEN. In partnership with our communities, we're all working improve the public education system for children in our communities. Our mission is -- let's see if I can work this -- our mission is to define the issues and advocate for changes required permanently improve the quality of public education in Charlotte-Mecklenburg. We do that by focusing on the four things that converging research tell us matter most: effective teaching, rigorous standards, rigorous high standards and goals, targeted grades, and

adequate resources, and we'll get to this page seven in just a minute.

I've been associated with Advocates for Education for six years now, first as a Board Member, then as the Managing Director. But prior to that for 15 years, I have been a volunteer parent leader, a community activist and an advocate for our children. I've had wonderful experiences and opportunities working with all different parents in efforts to really focus on accountability, and they've been successful and really have made a difference for our children.

One of the things that I have learned over the years is parents are the most important factor in accountability in modern-day K-12 education. I was asked to speak to you today about state and local efforts to involve parents in this impressive cutting edge accountability system that you've been hearing about, the North Carolina ABC's. By most definitions, North Carolina has done an outstanding job in building an accountability system that has standards aligned with the assessments and then an entire cycle that aligns and recognizes all of those pieces

Unfortunately, most people involved with the process at the ground level, working very closely,

7

8

9

6

17

18

15

16

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

will tell you that parent education and -- that parent education and engagement component is the weakest link and has basically been left to chance. As a result, parents are nowhere near being ready to deal with "No Child Left Behind", the implications and the information, the very honest, clear information that will be very public in a very short period of time.

Perhaps the largest gap in parent understanding -- and there are many things I could talk to you about. Superintendent Smith talked about some of the things that we've done together partnership in this community that have been incredible and I could focus on those success stories, but I think there's a more important story for you to hear today, and that's generally what we're doing in this state around parents, engagement and parent education and involvement.

One of the largest gaps and areas of misunderstanding in closing the gap is our In general parents lack understanding assessments. about what's tested, precisely what the results mean. addition, In there pertinent are pieces of information related to the assessments that parents never see. I'm putting on my parent hat. I walked into the school system in 1986 as a mom, and I've been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at ground level ever since, so you've got a parent talking to you today.

I want to illustrate my point with some pieces of information here that parents may be unaware, and the general public as well, they are unaware. There's a story that goes behind each one of these data sets, but because of time, I'm going to hold off on the story that goes with it. What I have today before you is the basis of what we've been talking about all day, which is what does grade level mean. What does that mean to me as a parent to all of us? Does it mean if my child scores a Level III on the state test, they will definitely be prepared to be successful next Does it mean that if they do that subsequently year? year after year they will be prepared to be successful in higher education or in a work place?

What we have here on this chart is the -are the cut scores, so to speak. What percentage of all the test items on the state test do our children have to get correct to be considered grade level? you'll see, for third graders to be on grade level in reading, they only have to get 51 percent of the items correct on the test. In math it's 60 percent. For fourth graders -- I mean for Level IV, it's 75 and 81. Look down to eighth grade. To be considered on grade

level in reading again it's 51 percent. On mathematics it's 34 percent of the items correct.

Does this assure me that my child, that our children, have actually learned what they are supposed to learn in a year? I think that that's a key piece of information that is not reported on the tests, but it's something that parents need to know and I'm going to tell you why. When I look at this and I see that to be on Level III you only have to get 34 percent of the questions correct, I've talked to my three Carnes' children and I have three Carnes' children and 190,000 CMS children by the way and I say, I expect you to be on Level IV. So parents need to be aware of that cut score so that we can adjust our expectations and help our children believe in themselves and believe in what they can do.

Okay, the second data set that I want to share with you concerns our writing tests in the state. Again, that is something that is not shared with parents in any kind of a formal report. And actually when I went in to find out how my child did on this 10th grade writing test, I was asked, why do you want this information. What we have here is a portion of our 10th grade writing test that's called the analytical skills or the analytical portion of the

1 test. 2

4

5

3

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

an objective ruler, by the way. The other portion of the test is a holistic score that grades just writing composition.

In this portion conventions are measured with

What we see here is that over a course of seven years in the analytical part of the test on grammar usage, we had about a 30 percentage point drop in students that showed proficiency or grade level performance in grammar usage. This is not something included in the reported, it's not accountability and it is something that we're all concerned about. It's something that employer's are it's something that parents are concerned about, concerned about, and it is something that teachers that are teaching our children at this level are concerned about.

Beyond testing, I want to talk just a second about laws and policies. There have been some very strong laws and policies written in North Carolina addressing new and important parent roles, but the policies have not been monitored, enforced or executed to succeed. An example is the 1996 state law requiring that parents elected by their peers and reflective of the diversity of the student body serve on site-based improvement teams in every school. In 1 CMS
2 act
3 to
4 sti

CMS our school board took it a step further and actually required that parents and educators learn how to work together collaboratively to focus on improving student achievement and the achievement of every child, not just a few.

For a much more effective model, I would suggest that you look at Kentucky. If you have an opportunity to talk to our leaders and then talk to Kentucky leaders about how they really have involved families in the school improvement planning, you'll quickly pick up the different in commitment and intent. So, I would really suggest that you do that.

CMS, like most other school systems is doing commendable things with parent involvement. In fact, I would say and I've got some samples of products, written products, print products that I will leave as part of your file -- in fact, I would say that we're probably like most places in the state who have just about a little bit of everything that has ever been done and, unfortunately, we're getting the same results we've always gotten.

I think my message to you today, and I'm running out of time. Hopefully through the question and answer we can have more exchange. The message today is that the model for parent involvement that

we're using is antiquated. It's not going to work. It's not going to support high-stakes accountability.

But the good news is there are incredible models for what we need to do differently. We need to blow up the old model of parent involvement and rebuild it, and I would suggest that you look to, Commonwealth Institute for again, the Leadership in Kentucky, the Pritchard Committee. It's not delivered by the system, it's outside the system; outstanding program. It actually works with parents time to teach them about standards, assessments, about what all this means, what does grade level mean, and then also supports them as they use that knowledge constructively.

They go back to the schoolhouse, sit down with their principal, look at performance data of children and decide on a project that they can put in place in the school that would do three things; grade student achievement, involve other parents and it has to be something sustainable. What that does is over time parent by parent we're changing the culture of what it means to be an informed and involved parent, so that hopefully, maybe ten years from now, which is a long time, we'll have that critical mass to begin to start changing that culture. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you

very much. Dr. Sykes.

Δ

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. SYKES: Thank you commissioners for

allowing me to testify. I have to begin with a moment of reflection. About 20 years I was serving on a California State task force on the teaching profession and one of the commissioners was the Honorable Cruz Nice to see you again, and here we are still Revnoso. at it 20 years later. A change of focus in two ways, back to teachers, I've heard a little bit teachers in the panel, and a shift from the North Carolina story that you had I will gallop through eight points with some sub-points. Recognizing these come from an overly long paper that I wrote with Linda Darling-Hammond, whom most of you will recognize as the preeminent authority on teachers and teaching in the country. Point one, teacher quality has emerged as a critical resource for student's learning, a point recognized both in "No Child Left Behind" legislation and in the Secretary's report on teacher quality. Point two, what qualifies or what qualities qualifications matter. The evidence supports the position that teachers, one, who have high measured academy ability. Two, strong content knowledge, particularly related to the student curriculum that

they teach, and three, who have undertaken a rigorous
and extended course of teacher education, including
opportunities to practice under expert guidance. And
then we see the continued support which is mentoring
in the early years of teaching are the most highly
qualified; that's the standard. Point number three, I
should also say and this we can pursue, this is not
the standard, however, recommended by the Secretary in
his report. In our paper we take strong issue with
the Secretary's position. Point three, this assertion
I've just made supports the establishment of strong
teacher licensure requirements in the states as
exemplified in such states as North Carolina and
Connecticut, both of which states we review in our
paper as representing exemplary practice, but many
other states lag in developing and investing in such
licensure systems. Point four, with this as a
baseline we can then ask about the current status.
Does every child in the United States have access,
fair access, to such teachers? And point five, in
response to this question, we can make the following
research-based observations. First, the overall
supply of teachers in our society is sufficient to
meet the demand; we are producing enough teachers.
Second, certain areas, including math and science, bi-

1	lingual education, special education, experience
2	chronic shortages which must be remedied with
3	incentives and recruitment programs and efforts.
4	Third, the great problem crying out for attention is
5	the distribution of teachers as affected by patterns
6	of attrition including both exits and transfers which
7	attendant to which are huge costs. A distribution
8	of qualified teachers correlates closely with the
9	social status of children in our society. Children
10	who are poor and in the minority increasingly cluster
11	in resegregated schools, both urban and rural, are
12	less likely to be taught by a qualified teacher than
13	others, and this fundamental condition is due largely
14	to patterns of attrition from schools, not from the
15	inadequate supply overall. We can inquire into the
16	reasons for this, but the finding is uncontroverted.
17	Point six, some states and some districts have beaten
18	these odds in recruiting qualified teachers and in
19	staffing hard to staff schools with such teachers, and
20	these exemplars are worth studying for the policies
21	that work. Again there is more detail to be
22	referenced on this point at both state and district
23	levels. Our paper does treat some of these exemplary
24	policies which I think point the way to making some
25	inroads of the fundamental problem of the mal-

1	distribution of qualified teachers. Point seven, one
2	method for producing teachers for hard to staff
3	schools is to create so-called alternative route
4	programs that speed recruits into classrooms.
5	Currently there are several hundred such programs in
6	operation around the country, many of them affiliated
7	with universities and partnership with districts. The
8	research evidence on the effectiveness of these
9	training programs is mixed and inconclusive at
10	present. But one conclusion stands out, quickie
11	programs are not effective, while more carefully
12	designed and extensive alternatives may well be
13	effective, particularly in hard to staff situations.
14	That is to say, if as the Secretary contends there is
15	a quality distribution of teacher education programs
16	in this country, it is, if you'll excuse my vehemence,
17	absurd to assume that there would not be a quality
18	distribution of alternative programs. And so to issue
19	a blanket recommendation that the country shift to
20	alternatives which have been far less tested even than
21	conventional university bases alternatives within a
22	regime arguing for research-based practice, is a
23	blatant contradiction in terms. The only responsible
24	and defensible position on this issue is to insist on
25	some set of common quality standards for programs,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

 \parallel

experimentation encourage with even as we So I'm not arguing that alternative alternatives. programs which are staffing many urban and rural districts carte blanche ought to be eliminated, but rather that we develop and apply some set reasonable quality standards for all such programs. Therefore, in this paper, we make the following policy recommendations: First, all programs and training should meet the same set of minimal standards which can be established at least in broad outline. significant provisions of "No Child Left Behind" must be amended to meet the fully qualified challenge. These include tighter regulations on the definition of qualified teachers, attention to the growing perverse incentives that drive teachers out of hard to staff schools. This is a point that echoes what Sonnie Ladd said a moment ago with respect to North Carolina but which is occurring, we suspect, all over the country. I hasten to add, however, that we don't have strong evidence of this. We have anecdotal evidence coming out of Florida, coming out of North Carolina and elsewhere, but the strong guess among many close observers is that, as more and more schools under tight accountability pressures from state and federal levels are labeled as low performing or failing or

inadequate, that those schools by that very fact will prove very difficult to staff, because it will hasten the departure of teachers out of those schools who simply don't want to teach under those circumstances. That as Sonnie says is an unintended consequence of fierce accountability that has in some got to be And third, we have got with respect to No remedied. Child to create recruitment incentives and programs to help to staff these schools. That is to say, it looks very much to me right now that No Child is all regulationed on capacity building. But, I think if there is a tenet of policy analysis it is that the right combination for school improvement brings together pressure and support. You need to get the balance and the mix of pressure on schools and support for them right. All pressure without support is a bad -- is a faulty policy; that's unfortunately where we may go. And then third, in addition to amendments to No Child, we argue for the establishment of a federal manpower policy. Essentially the creation of a national labor market for teachers which would break the historical pattern of teacher labor markets that are resolutely vocal in their operation through first the consolidation of current federal scholarship and fellowship and loan forgiveness programs into a new

1	service scholarship program with appropriate targets.
2	Second, a new program of grants, states and
3	localities to concentrate on the problem of retention
4	in target schools and areas. And third, efforts to
5	facilitate a national labor market for teachers by
6	developing a common licensure examination, creating
7	pension portability across the states and providing
8	better labor market data for federal and state
9	planning. The SAC surveys go someway towards this,
10	but have inadequacies that need to be remedied. Thank
11	you very much.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, Dr. Sykes.
13	You have any questions for the panel?
14	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yes. I have a
15	comment. We have a fabulous staff. This panel,
16	again, I mean three for three this has been great, I
17	can only assume that the forth panel must be truly
18	miserable, average never mind. So thank you to all
19	of you for your testimony. Let me, first just
20	quickly, Professor Sykes, on your last set of points,
21	so if you all NCLB's all regulation and no capacity
22	building
23	MR. SYKES: NCLB, I hadn't heard that, I
24	like that.
25	COMMISSIONER EDIEY. It does strike me

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It does strike me

1 that the statute doesn't preclude states doing the 2 capacity building and many of the other things that 3 you think are necessary that you and Linda recommend. 4 It doesn't -- correct me, it doesn't seem to me it 5 operates as a bar. It's simply not prescriptive in 6 that respect. Moreover you could argue that the gaps 7 in how to get it done, left by NCLB are complimented 8 with an accountability structure so that unless states 9 figure out to how to handle the allocation problem, 10 the distribution program, the recruiting problem, the 11 capacity building problem, they're not going to be 12 able to meet either the teacher elements of the 13 statute or the AYP elements of the statute. So I just 14 want to take a step back and say, would you agree 15 first that the statute doesn't create obstacles to 16 what you and Linda are recommending, and moreover, 17 there are certainly some prods in the statute to try 18 to push the states to figure out what the 19 understand the exemplars and adopt the promising 20 practices on their own volition. 21 MR. SYKES: I agree on the first point and 22 disagree on the second. The second point is a train 23 moving too slowly. 24

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

knowledge diffusion and so forth it's just not going

COMMISSIONER EDLEY:

Okay.

The

Got it.

to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SYKES: No, what I mean is before the states discover --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right.

MR. SYKES: -- that the reason that they're not getting the outcomes that they want it's because they don't have adequately qualified teachers in classrooms, you've got a tremendous lag.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay.

MR. SYKES: And the deepest fear, believe that Linda and I have is that we are in danger in this society of creating a two tiered staffing system, in which poor schools serving poor systemically get staffed with under and unqualified teachers, tacitly sanctioned by No Child and while more demanding schools serving more advantaged students also receive the more highly qualified teachers. That is to say that teachers who have the qualifications I mentioned will go into the affluent suburban schools, and urban and rurally poor schools will be forced to utilize weakly defended alternative programs to staff their schools, and will have this constant turnover staffing problem that undercuts the stability that you need to create a school community.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: That's very helpful.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

שבעוואפדטא ה ב מממה מדמיו

1 I think I mentioned to Madam Chair before that I 2 think we can all hope and pray that in Congress's 3 efforts to reauthorize the Higher Education Act they 4 will take advantage of the opportunity in that statute 5 to strengthen the teaching relating titles of that 6 statute to do some sort of capacity building. 7 MR. SYKES: One can only hope that the 8 current Congress will do so. 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we've got a . 10 problem guys, if I may interject this thought. 11 need to add a sentence to what you said, Dr. Sykes and 12 Commission Edley. The poor schools where the poor 13 kids go is described having these teachers that don't 14 meet the qualifications standards you've laid out. 15 will be argued that they have qualified teachers 16 because by the policy statement of the Secretary, if 17 they get people from some jerry-rigged temporary 18 program out there, that he thinks is better, is an 19 alternative --20 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: But that gets back to 21 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: they will 23 described as being, to the parents and to everybody 24 else involved, as these are perfectly fine teachers 25 that you have there from this alternative short-term

or whatever it is kind of program, at the same time that they don't keep the standards that you're talking about.

4

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: That's really problem that it's too content-free.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5

DR. SYKES: That's the reason that the academic argument concerning the relationship between teacher qualifications and student achievement matters a great deal. That is to say, the research efforts to try to pin down just exactly what characteristics of teachers be reliably related may to achievement is really quite important, and Linda has mightily to try to get been laboring the available information before the public on that point because it's too easy to pass off as a truism that all a teacher needs is high verbal ability and a good liberal arts education and that's it, and

19 20

21

22

23

into the concerns that Ms. Carnes has, because the parents won't be able to tell the difference. They will be told that these folks are being brought into your school because they came out of this alternative model are better than the folks that came out of the standards and qualifications that Dr. Sykes is talking

absolutely is not the case.

25

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about, and these are perfectly fine, good verbal, articulate teachers, young and feisty and in your school and isn't this great. And the teachers -- I mean the parents, won't know the difference unless they listen to this kind of discussion or unless they know or have some way to figure out that this is what's going on and the schools systems under the systems of sanctions and rewards may be in a position of having to accept and adopt and pretend that it all works just fine and this is all good until the results are shown and there's the mark -- it's really quite alarming in a sense.

One useful future of No Child DR. SYKES: is that it does require reporting on the incidence of emergency credential out of field teaching back to And if I were a parent, I would be just as school. interested in asking questions about the qualifications of a school staff as I would be interested in looking at the student achievement data. I would want to know both of those things, historically parents have had no access at all to data on qualifications of school staffs; that's highly relevant.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Professor Ladd, can I ask you generally about the relationship between the

6

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accountability structure under ABC on the one hand and the design of NCLB on the other. Are there tensions, can the two co-exist? Is the value-added model in ABC, can it survive in light of the frame work that NCLB has established, and then I suppose more generally, given not only your study of the North Carolina accountability structure, but those in other states, what kind of a grade would you give the accountability design in NCLB?

MS. LADD: To answer your first question, can North Carolina maintain what it's got and bring in some of the elements of the "No Child Left Behind" legislation, I think Mr. Myers described what the state's doing. The state will find a way to bring in these elements and it's interesting that what they're thinking of doing, what they propose doing is they have schools try to meet the standards under the current ABC's program and then on top of that schools would get -- teachers in schools would get additional rewards for meeting the adequate yearly progress I'd like to pick up though on one aspect of qoals. the "No Child Left Behind". The accountability provision which has not been discussed in this panel or in the end of the previous panel and that's the requirement that Mr. Myers talked some about, this

1	very important requirement that within a school, each
2	of the sub-groups, defined by race and economic
3	disadvantage, meet the requirements. I think this
4	needs a lot more attention and I'm opposed, strongly
5	opposed to that requirement when it applies to the
6	individual school, which is where it is being applied.
7 .	As Mr. Myers mentioned, lots of schools have small
8	numbers of students, so if you only have ten African-
9	. American students then you're under the radar screen.
10	If you have more than that if you have 40 students
11	there are still issues, large issues related to the
12	statistical validity of the change, there's some so
13	much random error from year to year and Tom Payne has
14	written a lot about this and I agree with it fully.
15	The mistake in my view though is putting that pressure
16	at the school level. My own view is that legislation
17	should apply to the district level or to some broader
18	level. Think about that in the North Carolina context
19	or any state context, but here we have quite a big
20	district. There are lots and lots of decisions that
21	districts make, that are going to effect the
22	performance of students. The districts play a large
23	role in deciding which students go to which schools
24	and how much segregation there is in schools. The
25	districts play a large role in influencing which

teachers are going to be in which schools. Their internal transfer policies influence that as well. Now, let's start with the presumption that children from disadvantaged families might do better if they're in schools that are generally middle class schools. Then it's the district that can redistribute students among schools in a way to lead to greater achievement among disadvantaged students. So the pressure should be put on the district policy makers who have those additional tools for leading to increased achievement, not at the individual school level. And that would solve this problem of some of the minority students being below the radar screen because you can tell the district they're responsible for all of the minority or disadvantaged students in the district, so that's a big difference that Ι think needs lot more attention.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Cruz is trying to saying something.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: All of you approach the issue of accountability in sort of a global sense -- system, states systems, then local system and so on, but much of what I fear, at least in California has to do with accountability, not only in the local school but the local classroom. So there is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

monetary incentives to a teacher who in his or her classroom that students will reach a certain standard and I wanted to ask the whole panel your opinion about that sort of effort to reward the teacher for the increases in that classroom, reward the school for increases among the students and the thoughts that I have, beside the one that you just mentioned, that there is such variables in the students that attend those schools that level of accomplishment, how they get that school teacher and so on. I just -- I can't say I know that much about how it's done, but I know that the reports in the literature all speak, at least in California, about being that precise, and I've heard about schools getting \$25,000, the grammar school, because the school did well last year, another school not getting any money. Have you folks looked at that sort of system, does that work, does it not work?

MR. MYERS: I will assure you of one thing. Teachers are very concerned about the achievement of their kids. When we disaggregate data, they look at that. As far as the rewards go, the monetary rewards in North Carolina, it's for each individual licensed personnel in a school whose school meets the growth goal will be rewarded a monetary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	amount; that's everybody.
2	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Does that work?
3	MR. MYERS: Yes sir, it does.
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Because in
5	California there were some teachers who refused to
6	accept that money.
7	MR. MYERS: Not in North Carolina.
8	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Feeling that it
9	wasn't a fair way of accessing whether they had done
10	well or not. I guess
11	MR. MYERS: Is there a growth model in
12	California, though?
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I don't think
14	it is.
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It's not, so that's
16	the difference. So they're getting rewards based upon
17	the value added to this group of students.
18	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, I see now.
19	You're thinking the students you find Okay, I'm
20	with you. Then in your system if the school does well
21	then each individual teacher, for example, will get a
22	certain
23	MR. MYERS: That's correct.
24	VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: addition to
25	his paycheck.

that's

And that

And

1

MR. MYERS: That's correct.

2

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:

3

worked pretty well?

MR. MYERS:

4

Yes sir, it has.

5

brings -- you've got to realize we're only teaching --

6

a lot of times our teachers of math and language arts

7

are given the brunt of success or not. But what it

8

does though, it brings ownership to everybody else.

9

Like I said in my presentation, that's important.

10

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I've always

11

been a little bit puzzled, frankly, by the structure

12

in schools, individual schools and school districts.

13

I've been Like many other folk in charge

14

organizations and when you have an organization you

15

sit down and you figure out who could do the best

16

under what conditions for what purpose, and school

17

districts so often seem to go by seniority. For

18

19

example, I'm aware that teachers who go on so long and

not have a real assessment about which teacher would

20

do the best job in which school and it seems to me

21

that what you described as the potential, at least

22

within the school, to say okay, we've got this set of

23

teachers, we've got this set of students.

24

teacher could do best with which students. And if you

do that assessment and assign properly then you have

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the possibility that there'll be no evasion of attainment by the entire student body of that school.

Does it have that sort of effect?

MR. MYERS: That's correct. I, as principal of the school, grade and classify students Students are placed in teacher's under state law. I am responsible not only for classrooms at random. my kids, but I'm also responsible for hiring my That's one thing I'll say about Davidson teachers. County School, we're a little bit smaller than Charlotte-Mecklenburg; we only have 20,000 students. The principal is still the person in charge of that school building. He or she hires his personnel or makes recommendations to the superintendent, the superintendent allows us to do that, so basically what we do is, we're able to, of course, you've got to realize that we're faced just like everybody else is with teacher shortage, but we're able to select our teachers who we feel like will serve the best interest of our students, rather than somebody from a central location saying you are assigned to Tyro Middle School.

22

24

25

MS. LADD: Let me just pick up on this. I think this, there are a lot of good arguments for having the school being the unit of accountability

rather than individual teachers for precisely this You want to follow the lead of the business world, which is emphasize group interaction and cooperation within the appropriate organizational unit, rather that competition among teachers, which is what you get when you have accountability in the form of merit pay for individual teachers. But then, as I said, for some purposes the school makes sense, not only that you're trying to encourage cooperation, but as long as you give the school some authority to make decisions on how to use resources, but then there's some decisions that are going to be made, or strong 13 influence of the district level, so some of those 14 decisions ought to be pushed up to the district level. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I was interested in 16 this whole discussion because I read about -- and I 17 read the article about unintended consequences which 18 went into more detail and about the principals in the 19 North Carolina system than we had time for in your 20 discussion. But you said caution. But you say that 21 because the tool is very powerful, the accountability 22 in that it works, why should we be cautious if it 23 works and if the goals of it seem to be good?

> MS. LADD: As Ι emphasized at the beginning of my remarks, North Carolina system has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

24

1	been quite carefully designed, it's not global for all
2	sorts of reasons. The tests relate to the curriculum,
3	all the things that I was arguing before. There's
4	support, maybe not as much support as some of us would
5	like. It's not a punitive system, it's designed to be
6	constructive as a system, so you've got all of that in
7	place. With all of that in place, the system seems to
8	have worked quite well in North Carolina. What my
9	worry is, that when there is federal legislation
10	telling all states they have to go down this
11	accountability route, my worry is that the states that
12	aren't prepared to spend the time and effort to be
13	flexible and to adjust the policy over time, as
14	problems arise or to put in additional resources, that
15	those states will be in big trouble. So that's the
16	caution that I'm introducing here.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Then I had a
18	question for you, Mr. Myers.
19	MR. MYERS: Yes, ma'am.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You say that on the
21	adequate yearly progress, the state board has asked
22	for the money from the state legislature, but they
23	haven't gotten it, is that correct?
24	MR. MYERS: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

25

So that money, that

incentive money will be there if the state legislature appropriates it. I don't know what kind of budget problems North Carolina has, but maybe it's the only one that doesn't have any, but if it does, I'm not sure how that would work, but anyway, I understand. You say that on testing for students who don't make a Level III and we know what those numbers mean, that there are other ways they can waive the standardized test and take some other — present a portfolio or do something else in order to meet the standard, is that what happens?

Those mechanics -- the state MR. MYERS: has always set a minimum standard. Here three years school system went beyond the minimum our We have three standards. We have teacher standard. standards, that's what the student actually does in the classroom, we have attendance standards and of course you can't teach the children unless they're at school, and the third standard which personally from a principal standpoint, probably the least important, but probably the one that's given the most publicity, you might say, is the test standard. And the student in order to be promoted to the next grade must achieve all three of those standards. Now then, state law says that I cannot -- they changed the state law here

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

two years ago, was that it used to be, if the child
did not pass the test, the child was not promoted, but
still though the principal in North Carolina still has
the authority to grade classify students. Well a
couple of years ago they decided that now the law says
you cannot base non-promotion solely on end-of-grade
tests. Okay. What we do though is again, like what's
been said, it's not supposed to be punitive. But on
the other hand too, we're here to help the kids to do
their very best. There's all kinds of things that go
wrong with kids. They may not be able to pass that
test on the first try, so what we do is remediate, we
retest, we also can go to summer school and retest.
So that child has had the opportunity to take that
test three times, and as you well know, there's a lot
of kids out there who just cannot pass standardized
test, but they're good kids, they do well in the
classroom, they have good attendance, and so what do
we do? There's still that last option. The last
option is the parent and teachers can ask for a waiver
of the test scores and they appear before a school-
based committee. The school-based committee in turn
will look at the student's portfolio. This is made up
of what that student actually did in class that year
and then make a recommendation to the principal, and

nine times out of ten the recommendation is that child
has successfully completed and mastered what needs to
be done in that particular grade level and is
promoted. So, there is, you know, I hope that helps
you to understand.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How often does that happen? Is that rare? I mean you have a small school system. I don't know; we should have asked the Charlotte-Mecklenburg folks.

MR. MYERS: Well you've got to realize that that is a local decision. Charlotte-Mecklenburg might not have those three standards. There's a lot of schools across the state of North Carolina that have adopted those standards and we are one of them. How often it happens? After, I would say that we probably last year alone, we probably had, my school size is 690 students, 6th, 7th and 8th graders, we probably had about 20 students that went through the waiver process and out of those, probably three-fourths of those students were recommended to be promoted.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well maybe we should ask this question of the next panel. But I did read somewhere in the materials and I thought it was this panel, maybe some of the other ones, that the

21

22

23

24

25

sense, because all of us know people who don't do well on standardized tests and the brightest students I've had were people who had outstanding records brilliant and gone on to do all kinds of stuff and they couldn't take a test. Dr. Sykes, I just have one thing, and then we have to end this for you. Do you think that schools of education will be changed by the way the "No Child Left Behind" and the Secretary's policy statements and all these things have treated the whole issue of the role of those schools and the role of teacher education, or do you think this is like everything else that has happened over the years, just another battle to be fought? DR. SYKES: Well, once upon a time I participated centrally in something called the Holmes Group, which was an effort by the leading schools of

school systems in which there are large numbers of

Latinos and African-American students are the ones

most likely to have high-stakes testing regimes and

least likely to have waivers, and I don't know if

that's true or not, but if it is true then it raises a

very interesting kind of issue in terms of civil

rights and it's interesting -- I think that makes

education in the country to reform themselves and we

labored for a decade or so on that, so I don't have

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other hand, we made the claim in our paper, that I think can be substantiated, that there has been significant improvements in schools slow but education and the work that they do, and there are improvements yet to be made that might more tightly couple the curriculum, teacher education to some of the other systemic tools that states are using to develop their systems. So there's further room, I think, for regulatory improvements that would put some pressures on ed schools in conjunction with some additional capacity-building work. That said, I also tend to believe that alternative route programs are useful and necessary; they're strategically necessary. Ed schools participate in a lot of those programs, but I think we just have to take great care that those routes into teaching meet the quality standards; take

illusions about how easy it is to change institutions.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Then finally, I think, the panel on parental involvement I sometimes think it's one of those things too that everybody says they love, parental involvement, but in fact, sometimes all they really want parents to do is to present children who are clean and ready and willing and able to learn and be disciplined, and that's about all the

great care of that.

involvement anybody really is interested in, although they talk about all kinds of parental involvement and they also want parents who fit their model of the parent who's ready, willing and able to learn and behaves the way they behaved and articulates things the way they do. And so the parental involvement is not an unmixed bag either and there's sometimes some difficulties, but I think that what you're doing here is very interesting. Let me thank the panel very much for coming and you may leave now or stay and listen to the other panel which I think will be very interesting too. This has been wonderful, thank you very much.

(WHEREUPON, A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Since students with disabilities are among those who are most often educated poorly, they have therefore the most to gain high standards high-stakes and Opponents of that view argue that, of course, they have a lot to gain from standards if their needs are met but that schools do not expose these children to the knowledge and skills they need to pass the test; they don't always do that. Therefore, disproportionately detained in grade and not promoted or denied high school diplomas, both which have major consequences of students. And this is a major problem

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in education and other than "No Child Left Behind"
2	statute and accountability statues and all the rest.
3	So the panel has come here today to discuss this with
4	us as we try to learn more about this and come up with
5	our own reports on the subject of educational
6	accountability. Jay Heubert is with us again, and we
7	appreciate your coming from Teachers College of
8	Columbia University where he is an Associate
9	Profession of Education and coordinator of the
10	Education Leadership Program. He's directed a
11	Congressionally mandated of study of high-stakes
12	testing for the National Academy of Sciences. He's
13	been a Carnegie Scholar, researching what is known
14	about the effects of promotion testing and graduation
15	testing for students of the type we are concerned with
16	today. So he has made outstanding contributions to
17	education, authored several publications on this
18	subject at hand. Lindalyn Kakadelis is the Direction
19	of the North Carolina Education Alliances and serves
20	as director. The Alliance is a program that seeks to
21	unite reform-minded educators and citizens on public
22	policy matters, such as student achievement, school
23	choice and merit pay. She also serves as the director
24	of Children's Scholarship Fund of Charlotte. This
25	privately funded charity assists family with financial

	Ш
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	-
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	l l
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Ш

need choose and send their children to schools which they believe are best for them, but cannot afford. She has also recently concluded her second term as an elected member of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg's Schools Board of Education. Sheria Reid, is a Director, Education and Law Project, North Carolina Justice and Community Development Center. Ms. Reid's work as an advocate for quality education for all children includes serving on a legal team that represents atrisk children, as amici curiae, in North Carolina's school resource case, known as Leandro, that Judge Manning was here to talk about. The Education and Law Project that she directs is one of several projects under the auspices of the North Carolina Justice and Human Community Development Center. The Education and Law Project focuses on the significant role that a quality education plays in providing an avenue out of poverty and uses political advocacy, litigation, and parent and community education to further its mission of ensuring that all children have equal access to a quality education. Paul Reville is a Lecturer at Harvard Graduate School of Education and an Executive Director of Center on Education, Research and Policy for Massachusetts State, where he conducts research and convenes policy makers, through media and

philanthropists - hmm, philanthropists to consider
evidence on the progress of various strategies for
improving the Commonwealth of Massachusetts public
education system. Before created the center, he was
the Executive Director of the Pew Forum on Standards-
Based Reform, the national policy think-tank that
conducted research in key states and cities. He was
also a co-founder and Executive Director of the
Alliance for Education, a privately supported, multi-
service education foundation dedicated to improving
public elementary and secondary education in Worcester
and Central Massachusetts. He was also co-founder and
Executive Director of Massachusetts Business Alliance
for Education that supported the Massachusetts
Education Reform Act in 1993, and you will hear why he
, is pleased with his handiwork in that. He was a
member of the Massachusetts State Board of Education
and serves as Chair of the Massachusetts Education
Reform Review Commission. And finally we have Jack or
John Charles Boger, Deputy Director, University of
North Carolina, School of Law, Center for Civil
Rights. He is also Chair of the Poverty and Race
Research Action Council, a Washington, DC-based
federation of civil rights, civil liberties and legal
services groups that encourages national coordination

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of social scientific research and legal advocacy on behalf of the poor. I have a paper that he wrote on this subject that I use in my seminar on the history of law and social policy at Penn, and I put it to good use. He taught as a lecturer, adjunct professor at Harvard Law School, New York Law School and Florida State. Teaches civil procedure, common law, ed law, race discrimination and poverty law. Thank you all very much for coming and we will begin with Jay Heubert.

MR. HEUBERT: Good afternoon, Chairperson Berry and members of the Commission, it's a pleasure to be here with you here today. I want to begin by making clear that I'm speaking about a narrow subset of what we often refer to as high-stakes tests. that have high-stakes for individual students through their use in decisions about who will be promoted or held back, who will receive a high school diploma and who will not, whether -- where children will be placed in terms of levels or tracks within schools. Much of what I say will be drawn from the study that you mentioned, Chairperson Berry, High-Stakes Testing for Tracking Promotion and Graduation which is produced by the National Research Council. Basically, that study took the position that with respect to tests that have

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 [.]	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

very high stakes for individual students they can be either very, very good or very, very bad, depending on whether you use it properly. And Joy, if you would just put the first overhead on. When used appropriate high-stakes tests can help for the very reasons you mentioned, Chairperson Berry, in the beginning. Lowespecially achieving kids, have been denied opportunities, had a demand in curriculum, can identify problems, strengths and so forth if we use them properly. On the other hand, if we use them improperly they can undermine the quality of education and produce denial of equal opportunity in ways that I would like to discuss today. So, after a very brief overview about the scope of promotion and graduation testing in the United States, I'm going to focus briefly on some of the evidence in the debate over disparate impact for the different groups we are speaking of and concerned about today. As you said, the argument is that it can be very helpful. No one needs a good education more than the kids who have always been denied it and to the extent the tests help us identify those needs and get services, high quality services to the kids who need them the most that can be very helpful. On the other hand, the danger is that if we adopt individual high stakes for students

before we have taught them the kinds of knowledge and skills the tests measure, this of course particularly a danger for children of color, English language learners, students with disabilities, disadvantaged children, all of whom rely on schools more for their academic learning than high SES children do for example. What we will be doing in essence is punishing kids for not knowing what we have never taught them, and that is something that raises a legal, educational, ethical and perhaps even moral questions for some of us.

I would like to say a little bit about a few of the principles of appropriate test use that came out of the high stakes study and some examples of fairly widespread test use policies that violate those long-standing laws of the testing profession and then briefly what we can do to address some of problems. In terms of the scope and nature of graduation and promotion testing, sometimes it seems as though this is a juggernaut, moving forward at greater and greater speed. At least with respect to graduation testing, the number of states with statewide gradation test policies has been constant between 1998 and the present. There are plans for a number of additional states to adopt the exit test,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	tests students have to past to get a standard school
2	diploma, but there's also some evidence of a slow down
3	in implementation. Perhaps in part because students
4	are not reaching the standards in fairly high numbers
5	in some states and perhaps as well because many states
6	are now suffering cutbacks and the very funds that
7	were designed to help enable low achieving kids to
8	meet the most demanding standards. The second is
9	promotion testing. Promotion testing is a real big
10	growth industry. Between 1999 and 2001, the number of
11	states and state wide promotion test policies went
12	from 6 to 17 and at least 13 have promotion test
13	requirements at least two grade levels. In addition a
14	number of the country's largest urban districts have
15	adopted promotion test policies even where their
16	states don't have them. Boston has, but Massachusetts
17	does not, New York City has one, when New York does
18	not, Chicago, the National Poster Child for Ending
19	Social Promotion has one, though Illinois does not.
20	Thus, increasing numbers of our children of color, our
21	immigrant students and disadvantaged students are
22	subject to promotion test policies which is, as I
23	will elaborate on a little more, a serious concern
24	because there is now agreement among social scientists
25	that the single strongest predictor of who drops out

of school is who is retained in grade. It's a stronger predictor than mother's highest earned degree, than family income, than race; in short, very,

very strong connection.

With regard to "No Child Left Behind", I will not be speaking about it specifically. In part because neither "No Child Left Behind" or any other federal statute either requires nor forbids the attachment of individual high-stakes for students to any tests. That is purely a matter of decision for states and local districts. But having said that, there at least two ways in which "No Child Left Behind" likely to effect high-stakes is tests indirectly. One is that since "No Child Left Behind" requires that all children, including English slow learners and students with disabilities, populations that had been exempted for earlier generations in tests, now must be included and there results are reported in disaggregated form. It is much likelier, though not required by federal law that students with disabilities and English language learners will be subject whatever individual to high-stakes consequences the state's already attached for other children in the states.

Second, as you know, the testing required

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under the "No Child Left Behind" in grades three through eight and in the states that are inclined to do promotion testing, it is very predictable and already happening in those states, are using the tests developed in response to "No Child Left Behind" for promotion purposes as well.

disparate in terms οf Okay, basically the overall conclusion is that high-stakes tests have always had disproportionate impact at the time of their initial implementation. In Florida, 20 odd years ago, the Florida Minimum Competency Test that have a white failure rate of 2 percent and a black failure rate of 20 percent, now this is one of the other big differences with the earlier tests. Most states are going to higher standards, standards that are comparable to reflect both the National Assessment of Educational Progress, TIMS and so forth.

So most states are showing much, much higher initial failure rates than is true from the earlier generation of low level basic skills test. Disproportions by race, by language, by disability and income that are much higher initially, then disparities that are closing much more slowly than was true for the earlier generation of tests.

In essence we have a combination of a much

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

larger pool of low achieving students taking the tests and much higher standards; a much larger gap that needs to be closed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in your materials several You have articles that give you statistics from some of the different states. In California which has a ninth grade level test in the first year of implementation, 42.2 percent of all test takers passed both tests. But among Blacks and Hispanics it was 22.8 percent compared to 61.4 percent for whites. Students with disabilities and English learners and in California, 35 percent of the public school population are immigrant students, passed both tests at far lower rates, 10.3 percent and 11.9 percent respectively, and this is a relatively low level ninth grade level test.

Other states, as the standards get higher we see the numbers get higher and the disparities get higher. In Alaska which has a world class standard, initial failure rates the for whites were 46.5 percent, they were 79.9 percent for Black students, 70 for Hispanics students, 91.1 percent percent students with disabilities, 84.1 percent with English language learners. Perhaps not surprisingly, Alaska postponed the effective date of its exit examination.

In Massachusetts there has been enormous

Now, some of the most important principles

it is

If you would change the

permissible,

But, and a very

improvements in the pass rates for all groups. But as

of September, the eleventh grade members of the class

of 2003 who had not passed both parts of the MCAS

test, which they need to be able to graduate, were

84.4 percent of English language learners, 54 percent

of students with disabilities, 52 percent of blacks,

59 percent of Hispanics and 18 percent of whites, so a

of the appropriate test use that came out of the high-

is, that

legitimate educationally, to use tests to bring about

changes in teaching and learning that will change the

important but, the test should be used in making high-

stakes decisions about individual students only after

students have actually been taught the knowledge and

Standards of the APA, NCME and AERA, say very much the

promotion or graduation decisions, evidence that the

test covers accurately only the content and skills

The bible of the testing profession, Joint

when test results are used to

One

curriculum and change instruction.

skills on which they will be tested.

very large discrepancy.

slide, thank you.

study.

stakes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

thing,

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005_2701

that the curriculum has afforded the students an opportunity to learn. Unfortunately, however, there is pretty good evidence that in a lot of places, including a lot of places that have high-stakes testing policies, it is not yet the case that we can say we are already teaching most kids the knowledge and skills that they need to be able to pass demanding

state tests.

. 9

One piece of evidence is the high failure rates that I have already cited for you. If we believe as we say, that all students are capable of learning at high levels when you have failure rates of 50, 60, 70 80, 90 percent, that it simply strains belief to say that some part of that is not related to the failure of the school to provide the knowledge and skills. How rigorous are we being with the red light?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You have a minute.

MR. HEUBERT: A minute. Andrew Porter, who's on the high-stakes committee and a former president of the AERA, asked teachers in 11 states how much overlap there was between what they teach and what is on their state tests. And he found depending on the subjects overlaps of as high as 45 percent and as low as 5 percent. And of course, saying not 5 percent means 95 percent of what's on the test, the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

teacher is not teaching.

can skip one, is retention in grade -- and you skip through two slides and go to grade retention policies. We now have decades of high-quality research showing that students who are required to repeat a grade are much worse off than similar low performing students who are allowed to go to the next grade. Similar low performing kids who go forward are in better shape academically, much better shape socially and are much less likely to drop out.

The single strongest predictor of who drops out is who is retained in grade. Despite the common sense notion that we shouldn't put forward into the next grade, students who we think are not yet ready, the evidence is that holding kids back is even worse. And the best approach, and I will probably in response to questions give you some suggestions and alternatives that are better, we don't have to wait until the kid fails the promotion test to know that that kid needs help. I've never met a kindergarten teacher who couldn't tell you by October who was going to have trouble on the third grade promotion test. And if we're serious about identifying who needs help and getting them the help earlier, we can reduce

dramatically the proportionate number of kids for whom we have this Hobson's choice. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, and thank you. Ms. Kakadelis.

MS. KAKADELIS: Thank you, and first of all I just want to thank the Commission for being interested in these very, very critical and important issues that are facing our country. High-states tests has linked student achievement, communities opinions and what a teacher does in the classroom. And I'm sure based upon the conversations that I heard earlier today that this panel will be the most exciting panel of the day.

I am a school teacher, a mom, and know that we all have had branding experiences of discrimination and racism and I just wanted to reflect very quickly on mine. I was a 20 year-old student teacher, just entered my first second grade classroom and was very excited about an assignment teaching double digit addition and subtraction. And as I went into the classroom I was committed that every child in my classroom would learn that concept. A couple of weeks into it, children, their lights were going on in their head, they were getting the concept except for one black child. I went to the teacher a couple of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tim
tim
to
for
whee
per

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

times, what could we do -- lay in bed, what can I do to make it alive for that one child. I'll never forget, and I say it became a branding experience, when finally that teacher showed me what her real perspective was. She said to me, don't worry about, he'll probably just grow up to be a garbage man.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Second grade?

MS. KAKADELIS: Second grade. I decided at that point in time there were much bigger issues than mathematical concepts to get across. So, I started teaching and I wanted to make sure that every child got every concept and committed to that. So, past racism and discrimination attitudes -- we've all had it -- had those experiences like I told you about. It's hard if you try to monitor all of it, I'm afraid you won't get it all. Civil Rights Act did a great job of -- good job of trying to changing institutions and systems. I'm afraid it will never change hearts and attitudes and we find the cure for rape and murder. we'll find the cure for racism and discrimination.

I'm not going to spend a lot of time on the current findings, I think everybody's talked about that today. They're not acceptable. When I hear that 17-year-old African-American and Latino students do

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

math and reading at the same level as 13 year old Caucasians, we cannot allow that to continue, period. I saw a bumper sticker once, I won't -- well, it was in California, Newport Beach, it's not relevant to everybody in California. But I'll never forget it, many years ago. It said, "It's better to live in denial than not to live at all," and I thought how sad, living in denial is not living at all. So, what I'd like to do is take our efforts and let's pull off the veneer and let's look at some things.

High-stakes testing is simply the consequences and rewards we're giving to stake holders and they're based upon results. We may not like this, but we live in a world of high-stakes tests. Whether it's the electrician certification or the medical schools entrance exam or a driver's license, we have gateway tests. They all disappoint and then you go back and you go back and study and do it until you achieve what your goals are. Many of us, especially that electrician, we're glad that gateway tests exist.

One reason that the academic performance gap is so pronounced can be attributed to school systems. We have -- especially that have no clear measurement of academic performance and no consequential motivation for change. Data tells us

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the quality of the instructor is most important to learn. If the student has a poor instructor for one year, you can except two years of catch up. If they have a poor instructor for two years, catch up is almost impossible if that instruction's been two years in a row.

Minority students are -- everything tells us they have the less qualified teachers teaching them. And so you say why. This comes from my experience and I don't have a lot of data to show you, but this is what I think and what I've seen. Empowered parents will not stand for poor quality instruction. Less educated parents may not know how to determine quality instruction or know how to work the system to get quality instruction.

Remember what I said, that the parents, the customer with the authority to change -- or to cause change, I believe they have been our quality control device in schools. High-stakes testing changes the quality control piece to data on what was taught, the focus on outlets for this inference. This type of quality control needs to be as objective as possible because if it's not objective you'll rely on emotions and when you rely on emotions many times you'll get low expectations and great inflation.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS -1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just need to re-emphasis Ι Margaret Carnes said up here, the parent piece, I agree with her, probably needs to be blown up and reorganized, because we've got to get parents this very clear, high-stakes involved. We make testing is not a cure. It is an instrument, a catalyst, if you will, to force change. changing agent. The solutions are found in small pockets around this country. What works with one child or one population will not work with another.

what's learned and the high-stakes test that we're looking at can reveal the outputs of students. The other important factor is, exactly what my colleague here on the panel has said, they've got to be implemented correctly. We can continue to study the gap, we can do more staff development and we can excuse the situation based on the child's environment, but we cannot continue that way. Are there unintended consequences? Whenever you implement change there's unintended consequences. But I want to just look at several possibilities and I hope that we'll have time to elaborate on these.

First of all, folks will say high-stakes tests will narrow what is taught. This is not

necessarily true. Standards and assessment will always need to be monitored and evaluated. Teaching to the test is what you want if you have quality standards and tests that are aligned to it. When 5 percent of the test is aligned to what's taught, that's ridiculous, and that needs to be evaluated and changed.

The second thing is, high-stakes test penalize the most vulnerable, and you stated, Chairperson, very well, it does, because they have the most to gain from it also. It will take courage and commitment to end low performance, but we will have to do it.

Schools are held accountable for what they cannot control or simply do not have the resources to control. Money does matter, but what matters even more is the way you spend the money. The high-stakes test will shine the light where we need to look at what needs to be changed, what needs to be fixed and what we can do to make success happen. Each program of the school and every minute of the day needs to constantly evaluated. Adults must examine the barriers that exist to learning and conquer the barriers.

There are school that have beaten the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20006-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

odds. How does achievement happen? It takes hard work, thinking outside the box and the freedom to do that. Students having dreams and goals and teachers believing they can it done. Rolan Parks states, "Ultimately there are two kinds of schools, learning in great schools and learning in impoverished schools. Teachers and students go hand and hand as learners or they don't go at all."

Another unintended consequence that will frustrate the students currently in the pipeline without the basic skills and you're holding them responsible for what they haven't been taught. Or the bigger issue is they haven't been taught, and the same students are going to leave the system without the basic skills and begin a world of high-stakes tests and then repeat the cycle of welfare. And repeat the cycle of not being able to get out of what maybe their families were in. Education will break that cycle. Retention will not help students succeed and that is exactly correct.

We need to look at what we can do to remediate, and do it correctly, not just give the same stuff over again in a different voice. Administering and publishing the results of the test would simply reinforce the negative stereotypes and exasperating

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

problems that already exist. Well sadly, if the system and those responsible do not make changes, empowered parents just find other options. If the school's leadership does not use the diagnostic purposes and overcoming barriers that exist to learning, low performance will continue. That is why there must be stringent consequences for inability to achieve success.

North Carolina implemented high-stakes testing in 1996, we've heard lots about it today, and while there are specific issues that I want to see evaluated, and I don't agree with every bit of it, the method is working. The past is a place of reference and not a place of residence and the future will bring hope and change. You can see we no longer can expect what is right to happen if it is not measured. Secretary Rod Paige does state this, "For folks who just want to achievement gap quickly, I know how it could done, just quick testing and they'll be no gap." Remember the bumper sticker? Our country is fortunate that we have people who refuse the live in denial.

We can no longer can expect results to change if consequences and rewards are not associated to motivate; it's simply human nature. High-stakes testing keeps the focus on student achievement and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

You can live in Afghanistan academic preparation. where the dictatorship purposely keeps you ignorant, or you can live in a country that spends over \$350 billion on education, kindergarten through 12th grade and it is not effective with all groups of children. The effect is the same, ignorance. If children do not learn to read, they live as slaves no matter what country they live in, and as Jefferson reminded us a democracy will not continue if all its citizens are not able to read and reason. Thank you for you time. Thank you for your determination to find solutions.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. Ms. Reid.

MS. REID: Thank you very much for having me here this afternoon. Unfortunately or fortunately, my friends always say I'm contrary; I'll probably be contrary right now. The first thing I'd like to say is high-stakes testing is perfectly useless, flat out useless and it's very harmful. At this point supporters always argue that we need to have highstakes testing because without it we wouldn't know that we had an achievement gap. Well guess what, we know we have it. Now, high-stakes testings isn't doing a thing to fix that achievement gap. All it's doing is punishing students who are not being served by the school system. Students who traditionally have not been served by the school system, because this is really nothing new, the only thing new is now we have a measurement to tell us what most of us already suspected for a very long time, anyway.

if we want to truly help these Now. students, we do need to throw the baby out with the bath water. Public education in this country is so sick that I don't think it can be saved. It's got terminal cancer. Right now school's have a culture. Everything in this society has a culture, and the culture of school is white majority culture. It's not just poor little black kids living in projects that don't do well in public schools, it's middle class black kids who live in really nice neighborhoods and whose father's work at IBM, they don't do well in public schools either. It's Latino children whose parents work in good jobs, have good families, two parent homes and they still don't well in schools either. It is not about the family, we cannot correct all the family ills. But if we say if you come from a poor home and you don't have a lot of money and you only got a single parent, well my goodness, that's why it's so hard to educate you. We'll work at because we're good people and we believe in you, but it is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

harder to educate you, bull. That's pure bull.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When I first started teaching, it's before I got this esquire after my name. I'm kind of embarrassed. I promised my friends I would never use that term, but I was a teacher for 10 years and I taught at Chapel Hill High School, Chapel Hill, North The first year I was a teacher, I was a Carolina. teacher in English, and I suggested that we needed to expand our curriculum because we didn't really have any works by any women authors or minority authors, and I proposed a few books. And one of the teachers, a white teacher said, all sincerity, she says, you know, I would love to teach Richard Wright's Black Boy, but quite frankly, I don't have the cultural experience I believe I need to teach it. And I said, you know, quite frankly, I don't feel like teaching The Great Gatsby this year because I certainly don't have the cultural experience to teach it. And this is the type of mentality we have in our schools. She's perfectly well intentioned, I'm not saying she's a bad person, but she thought this was perfectly justifiable.

Now, African-Americans, who with other minorities who have been teachers have been expected to educate the majority students for a long time,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

whether or not we understood their culture, whether we had experienced it. Otherwise, we don't need jobs in the school system. So, this is ludicrous that because these children have certain backgrounds that we cannot

The high-stakes testing does nothing to help children learn. The only kind of effective testing that helps children learn is you generate a test based on what you taught, you pick out the children who don't seem to do well on that test and you give them some additional attention to help them do better. You don't punish them for not doing well, this is ridiculous.

When I was teaching, the writing was part of the English curriculum and I got in trouble with my entire department because with my students I had them write essays. And when they didn't write essays that met just the minimal standard I gave it back and I said you have to do it again, we'd have our writing conferences. And when they finally wrote one I liked, I gave them a grade on it and in my department they said, you can't do that, what about the kids who made an A the first time, you can't give some child an A who had to write the paper three times. And I said, I thought the whole purpose was to make them better

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

educate them.

Our

student

I'm not

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

well.

and

he

successful.

borderline insanity.

thinks

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

education as being about helping students fulfill

their potential, making them thinkers, making them

writers and if that's the purpose then don't you want

them to do it until they get it right? Do you really

want to take a paper and say hmm, F and you just keep

that somebody has got to fail. Somebody can't do

State Board of Education Chair recently spoke out and

was quoted in a couple of newspaper stories, he's very

concerned about the waiver process because too many

children receive waivers and they were not retained,

sure if he realized, possibly he was just a little too

dense to get it, but what he essentially was saying is

we didn't have enough children who didn't pass, we

need to fail more students so we'll know the system is

means

that

This doesn't make sense, this is like

If we want to help students, we have to

the

this

accountability standards are not working.

curve, there has to be someone at the bottom.

still doesn't make sense.

This to me made no sense, none whatsoever, it

But schools are predicated on the notion

This is the only well you can have a bell

change the way we educate.

MASHINGTON DC 20005_2701

MANAN Regirance com

We have to think of

analytical thinkers, making them creative, finding out what's the best in every child and bringing it out, and it's not impossible. There are some models for doing this, but we ignored those models. We've had this Ted Sizer Coalition of Essential Schools going very well with minority students. Margaret Collins, doing her own thing and doing very well with minority students. lot of parochial schools do very well with minority students. But we don't want to replicate those things as most of them require some funding. So instead we give them tests.

And I'm kind of reminded of someone once said, I believe let them eat cake and I think they cut her head off eventually.

If this is the only thing we can with this whole business with this accountability system and highstakes testings -- it's make no sense to take a child, who has not had the benefit of a proper education, not had the benefit of quality teachers, and that child cannot pass this test and, therefore, that child is labeled as you are not up to par, you need improvement and therefore you will be retained.

Retention doesn't work. Everybody has told us that retention doesn't work, all statistics

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

say retention doesn't work, but we keep doing it.

Now, there are solutions. I don't know why nobody
seems to think about this, there are some places where
they actually have ungraded primaries. Because a lot
of the problem with retention is about social stigma,
demoralization for the student. If you are retained,
then you are not up to the appropriate grade level.

Not only do you know this and the teachers know this,
all the others students know this, that Johnny was
left behind. There's a stigma attached.

The next year you're also going to probably have the same stuff repeated as someone on the panel said earlier, just louder. It's not going to be anything significantly different. If I didn't understand how to do it the first time, I'm not going to understand how to do it the second time if you use the same methods.

Ungraded primaries work like this, it's a fairly simple concept. K through 4 you have teachers and students and they move during the day. They're in various groups during the day. The groupings are fluid, it's not tracking because no child is kept in the same group for a whole year. Instead all the kids who need work on addition and substraction work together. The ones who've mastered that work on

multiplication, the ones who can read words, work on practicing reading sentences, the ones who still can't master the letters, work on that. But when you master it you move on. And it doesn't really matter about grade levels because every year they mix up the kids, they're all mixed up in different little pods, nobody cares. And at the end of the first of K through 4, those first five years, every child should be where he or she needs to be.

Now, even students with disabilities, learning disabilities, have learned. There's no such thing as a child that can't learn. Everyone can learn something. The point is, we have to let people learn to the best of their ability, but you have to give them the opportunity to do that. If you don't give them the opportunity then you are denying them their civil rights. You're taking away from them, not just the right to do well in school, but the right do well in life.

We all know if you don't get an education you're opportunities for earning a decent living are severely curtailed. We know if you don't get a decent education, that the likelihood of your being in a financial life of poverty is much stronger. We know that there's a connection between poverty and crime.

We know that there's a connection between poverty and poor health.

So, essentially what we do for that little child in the third grade is determining whether this child has an opportunity to actually reach for the American dream and if that's not a violation of civil liberties, I don't know what is. To me, this is essential, it's fundamental.

And at this point, I'm tired. I'm tried of the rhetoric. I'm tired of the nonsense. I'm tired of well-meaning people talking about what we can do to help these children instead of doing something - instead of changing the curriculum, instead of changing the methods and practices in the classrooms, instead of having schools that reflect the diversity of the American culture.

We are not monolithic. As adults we experience it. I know as a minority, the populations that I generally work within are predominately white. And in my entire life it has been a case of, you adopt and adapt to this structure, rather than anybody adapting to your structure. That's ludicrous; I don't do it anymore. I use to when I much, much younger, but I'm far too old now to do that. So now, I'm the one that people says, she's difficult. She gets all

upset about the littlest things, I just don't understand why. She's intimidating. I'm like, intimidating is if I threaten to hit you. When I just tell you what I think, I'm just speaking up. There is a significant difference.

Now all of this, you know, we have a court decision in North Carolina that should resolve these issues. We have a court decision that every single children is entitled to equal opportunity to a sound, basic education. And it's seems so very simple that you would think that no one would object to it. the state of North Carolina does. It says, not our responsibility. No, that doesn't really mean they all have to have equal education. As a matter of fact, we don't even know what basic education is, we're not Maybe it's a Level II, it's definitely not a sure. Level III, I know you can't be promoted without a Level III. But hey, Level II is good enough for a sound, basic education and nobody's not getting a sound, basic education; end of story.

If you want some entertaining reading, read the state's brief in the Court of Appeals case in Leandro. And first you laugh, then you cry. Because at first you think, oh they can't be serious, then you realize that they are, and that's what's truly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 frightening. In 1954, you know our federal supreme 2 court set us on the path to break down system of 3 I was born in 1955. racial segregation. I went to 4 segregated schools until I was 15 years old, so it 5 didn't work real well. Now, my nephew and my god 6 children are going to schools where they're not 7 getting a sound, basic education. Schools where 8 they're not appreciated, schools where they are still 9 dealing with the ills that the Brown decision at least 10 should have resolved. This is unacceptable. 11 Living up to high-stakes is not going to 12 fix this problem. If I sound emotional, it's because 13 And at this point I appreciate the opportunity 14 to come here, because I hope some of you will get 15 emotional too. Because the more of us that do and say 16 that this is unacceptable, then there's a good chance 17 that we put an end to it so that my nephews' children 18 will have their equal opportunity. Thank you very 19 much. 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. Mr. 21 Reville. 22 MR. REVILLE: Thank you, Madame 23 Chairperson. I appreciate the opportunity to address 24 the commission today on the controversial issue of 25 high-stakes testing and it's role in systemic school

262 1 I feel like I'm about to make the basic reform. 2 argument rationale for this, which is that this late 3 day seems somewhat out of order. in the But 4 nonetheless that's what I committed to do, that's what 5 I going do. I'm going to give you the basic rationale 6 which is a counterpoint, I guess. And then secondly a 7 quick snapshot of what we've seen thus far 8 Massachusetts. 9 I'll commence with my opening with just 10 qualifies me as a teacher, a principal, a policy maker 11 and also a parent of six children who are variously

qualifies me as a teacher, a principal, a policy maker and also a parent of six children who are variously effected by policies that I'm about to describe. And I'll share my view that high-stakes testing is a powerful strategic instrument which when properly employed has an element of standards based school reform can be a vital lever for achieving equity in American public education. I would unabashedly join William Taylor, one our nation's most distinguished civil rights advocates, who has stated that while the standards movement could be the most important vehicle for the educational progress of minority and poor students since Brown v. Board of Education.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Who was that?

MR. REVILLE: Bill Taylor.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just didn't hear

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what you said.

MR. REVILLE: Okay. Let me explain the basis for this view. Standards based school reform, an approach to school improvement is driven by its focus on equity, is now our national school reform strategy. It has been adopted independently by virtually all the states which recently been adopted and implemented as federal education policy through the "No Child Left Behind" Act. This reform strategy which has been rapidly developing since its first articulation and appearance in the early '90's is based on a simple set of principles and practices.

The strategy calls for high standards for all students, standards that are likely for requirements entry level success in higher education and employment and some areas of citizenship. Regular assessment to track progress for diagnostic and accountability purposes has set up consequences, i.e., an accountability system for educators which is based on performance.

Equally important and, too often forgotten, the strategy calls for both capacity building -- providing the teachers the training and support they need to assist students and meeting the new higher education goals and opportunity to learn.

By which has meant providing each student with quality teaching, a curriculum that aligns to the standards, regular feedback on performance and extra help when needed. In addition, students can get access to other supports we know are crucial, such as quality preschool, full day kindergarten and smaller classes in early grades.

Underlying this basic strategy is radical set up where we subscribe these proposed changes for American education. Foremost among these is the revolutionary belief that all children, in the rhetorical reform all means all, no excuses, exceptions, can learn at high levels. With the exception of the 1 or 2 percent of children who have been the victims of some form of brain damage and therefore may not achieve at the level prescribed for others, and these children too can be expected to perform steadily higher level than historically has been expected. The new standards offer challenging -within the intellectual grasp are well of our children.

The correlating to high expectations for all students is the belief that fairness requires us as a nation to have the same expectations for all students, irrespective of their backgrounds. These

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are reforms seek to realize the American myth is sadly so far from reality that public schools are the great equalizer. In pursuit of this goal, we refuse any longer to make the automatic assumption that we should have higher educational expectations for affluent children than we have for poor children. We know that the typical grade of A in the suburbs is usually represented far more learning that the typical grade A that is of learning in the city school.

These reforms say that those discriminatory expectations are now intolerable. Not only do we believe that all children can learn at high levels, but the knowledge now exists to make this happen. All students are entitled to graduate from school having achieved proficiency, that is being masters to the knowledge and skill they will need to be successful in higher education, future employment as citizens with families.

Under these reforms, performance matters, learning matters, not only for students, but for the adults in the educational system. No longer is trying harder good enough. Policy makers, administrators and teachers need to be sharply focused, putting in place the conditions that will lead to the attainment of high education standards by all students.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

INIA CHINICTON DC 20005_3701

(202) 234-4433

uses nealmnee com

Make no mistake, this is a monumental challenge for American education. It's changed forever the nature of the industry. We are far from having attained our ambitious goals, but we are making progress and learning as we go. Some critics would have us abandon ship because school reform strategies have not been immediately successful or perfected. Those of us who believe in these strategies see them as having already struck a lightning blow for the poor and disadvantaged. We want to build on that progress rather than letting the programs stay as they are. All of us in the world of education need to recommit to the goal of proficiency for all by doing our share, responsibilities stooping respect to and accountability for providing each child with the opportunity to become proficient.

A return to status quo, the manifestly failing system of education that proceeded these reforms is simply unacceptable. Various demands, but can we seriously any longer, contemplate having standards that apply differently to our children? Can we have urban standards, and suburban standards, high for the rich, low for the poor, who will chose which group should be expected to achieve more, you or me, the teacher, the school, the state, the federal

government? Who chooses and who loses?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Various commands would be set, challenging standards which reflect the knowledge and skills our children will need to be successful in this economy, this demographic society and a life of continuing learning in the sense of families. Fairness demands that we expect the same of all that we provide only the opportunity to learn, but if we hold all our students and our educators accountable for their share of the learning.

Fairness requires that we award everv student diploma that actually stands for the achievement of the defined body of learning. Government awarding the focus for social promotion. Fairness requires disciplining the system to deliver full opportunities all learning for children. Fairness means providing incentives for those who achieve, data to all parents and students and help to those who need it. Fairness demands not artificially shielding students from stress and judgement society, since this is the reality of the world they inhabit. Fairness demands consequences for performance.

The high-stakes testings that we are here today to discuss is just one part of the complex web

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1 | c
2 | r
3 | m
4 | F
5 | a

of strategies that make up systemic standards based reform. The task often confused with the reform are merely a tool of the reform, a yardstick with which progress is measured. An instrument of not only of accountability, but a diagnostic tool to provide valuable information to teachers.

Critiques are found to be complaining of the obvious, that education cannot be improved by tests. That "No one ever fattened the cow by weighing it". But, of course, no reform ever thought this. While tests were instituted to measure the degree with our educational goals were being realized, the degree to which students have attained proficiency to expose the weakness of the our current strategies, most importantly for us to take action for improvement.

separate and distinct policy intervention designed to create incentives for every performance. Much of the controversy surrounding the standards movement, concerns the stakes not the results. The stakes make the test results matter, they make performance count. The stakes are the forefront of the accountability system and a essential central component. They create the urgency for push to dislodge the low expectations that characterize the status quo and create a widely

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of our current ineffective disparate impact and unequal system of public schooling.

Historically, tests without stakes itself have driven change or improvement. For example, in Massachusetts we've had countless standardized tests over the years that have demonstrated the widespread, very well known and commonly accepted inequity in Typically the results of these tests were accepted with a shrug of resignation and nothing changed.

Now have the Massachusetts we Comprehensive Assessment System, MCAS. The results demonstrates the same inequities, but this time there are stakes and that suddenly changes everything. resources and attention than ever before are aimed at those children who have been historically least well served in education. Teachers are engaged in more professional development than ever before. Curriculum is changing the align state's the standards, pedagogical practice teaching ___ has changed, everywhere being reconsidered and altered for greater effectiveness. In other words, stakes are the direct cause of substantial change in Massachusetts schools.

As a proponent of standards based reform, I acknowledge the limits of the tests and the cautions

virtually all by testing experts issued and associations not to make life-altering determinations on the basis on a single test. I also believe that before we hold children responsible for their share of the learning we should engage in the difficult work of adults accountable for having given the holding children the opportunity to learn. Strategy requires an educator accountability with real stakes, needs to precede student accountability.

However, none of these cautions dispels my belief, there's a great teacher Adam Shank frequently argued, students must also have real consequences attached to their educational efforts. Question is how to fairly and appropriately administer the consequences.

Here's what we've done in Massachusetts. the nation's highest of standards for learning. The state assesses student progress at separate intervals, as they progress through the education system. There are no student stakes attached to the MCAS performance, until grade 10, when students must achieve competency determination of MCAS in English and Math prerequisite to graduation. They must also meet all of their local graduation requirements in order to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

receive a diploma. Students have higher chances to pass the MCAS prior to graduation, soon there will be even more testing opportunities.

There's an appeals process that seeks to performance with expected MCAS classroom performance in instances where students feel that MCAS consistently fails to the measure their general level There's a certificate of attainment of achievement. for those whose met the graduation requirements but failed to achieve the MCAS competency determination be recognized for and want to their class graduation day.

The test consists of multiple assessment of modalities, essay, short answer, and multiple choice items. About 40 percent of the test score is based on open-ended items allowing consideration of a student's work process. The state has made massive investments for remedial opportunities which happen during and after school hours and in the summer.

The state is also committed to sticking with students for as long as it takes for each one to achieve competency. In other words, no one is guaranteed a diploma in four years, but you are guaranteed to the help you need to achieve competency and the Commonwealth will support you throughout this

process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stakes are high, no one should underestimate the severity of withholding a diploma. However, the problematic and financial supports are substantial. The process is fair. Massachusetts in an effort to build capacity has more than doubled the state's commitment in cost of dollars to education. We are making progress. Our goal of having everyone meet the new higher standards is within reach.

More importantly the results to date show that any kind of student from any background or ethnicity can achieve the standard if the learning conditions are right. In fact, substantial numbers, thousands of students representing virtual all subcategories of students in the first class of 2003 have already attained the standard. This demonstrates that the standard is reasonable and well within the reach They are not prevented from of all of our students. attaining this standard by virtue of their DNA, their race, social class or their neighborhood. They can do it if we figure out how to assist each and every one.

Since time is short, I'm going to skip -you have in your testimony a number of pieces of
evidence that I've cited. I've made some comments
about what we need to do for those students who fail

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005-3701

to graduate from high school as a result of their MCAS performance, using the data to hold their teachers and schools accountable, providing students with fair opportunities to appeal, and most importantly guaranteeing them continuing education — and let me

just close by my final paragraph here.

Standards based reform and the assessment in stakes that animated it into a conspiracy against the soft-discrimination of preface of low expectations. That is why this reform is premised on the belief that all students can achieve the high standards with efficiency. We know this to be the We have widespread evidence that it's true. case. The challenge ahead is to hold everyone in education responsible for providing the teaching of many conditions that will enable to all children to attain that standard.

There is a great temptation among many of those sympathetic to the plight of the poor, minority youth to shoot the messenger; to deny the evidence of the assessment, to dispute the stakes, to avoid the real issue, the failure our current educational system to provide all our children with what they need to be successful in life. We have had long had disparate impact embodied in the day-to-day operations of an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

edu

educational system riddled with widespread inequities.

This system has persistently underserved low income and minority youth.

Poverty is a powerful and persistent obstacle to learn. Standards-based performance acknowledged the entry of poverty, rejected temptation to give up on children by declaring poverty as destiny and embraced the strategies needed to make education truly matter and improving the prospects of the those poor, needy, economically-disadvantaged.

As a nation we made a gigantic investment in schooling. We have an obligation to expect and deliver educational results. We know that education has been the ladder out of poverty for many. It can be done. Our challenge is the make the ladder work for everyone.

The stakes associated with the evidence of assessment provides significant incentives to all parties for education improvements, but the elimination of educational inequality. To remove the stakes undercuts the vital urgency of the reforms that would allow us to fall back into the pre-reform world governed by what many have called the tyranny of low expectations. By expending our energies, debating the instruments for accountability we should be waging an

1 educational war. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Your time is up. 3 MR. REVILLE: Okay. Ten more seconds? 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But you made the same 5 point three times, but go ahead. 6 MR. REVILLE: We're pleading that our 7 main strategies for educational improvement overwhelm 8 the tyranny so that all our children can be proficient 9 and prepared to succeed in life. This is what we must 10 strive for and we encourage you to continue our quest 11 on behalf of those children when we so need to be on 12 task. 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just want to be fair 14 to all participants. Jack Boger, please. 15 MR. BOGER: Chairperson Berry and 16 distinguished members of the Commission and the staff 17 who I've had such a pleasant time dealing with. 18 you all very much for having me here this afternoon, 19 and even more so for your identification of powerful 20 light that this initiative can shine on the issue of 21 educational accountability as a civil rights issues. 22 Now since you have my paper Madam Chair, 23 written statement, I'm going to take your invitation 24 this morning to offer some relatively extemporaneous 25 remarks on "No Child Left Behind", I wrote it --

1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All papers will be 2 included on --3 MR. BOGER: I will say if you have looked 4 at it it's somewhat foreboding in tone and full of 5 sort of dire warnings for possible disaster, I think 6 I'm going to begin my oral remarks on a different note 7 sort of following my southern upbringing, if you can't 8 say something nice, don't say anything at all. 9 Well I have actually seven nice things, I 10 could say about the "No Child Left Behind" Act and 11 I'll say them all very briefly and I hope at some 12 point my co-counsel, Leandro, Sheria Reid doesn't kind 13 of come brand me and remind me about what I really 14 think. There are seven nice things about, as I sort 15 of laid the out. 16 The first really, is the goal, the 17 affirmation that all children can learn and that we 18 are talking about all different racial and ethic 19 minorities, and limited English proficient children, 20 special ed children, that's the important aspirational 21 goal that's in the statute. 22 The second is the requirement that we all 23 actually measure the performance of all children. 24 That we don't leave any behind as we measure to see

annually how we're doing. Ed Koch used to say, the

schools,

teachers

1 mayor or New York, "Well, how am I doing." I think 2 it's important to ask ourselves that of all of our 3 children every year. 4 The third is the basic response that once 5 deficiencies are identified that some concrete steps

hold districts

superintendents

and

and

8 responsible. Thus far, it's seems to me this is all

must

be

principals,

doing good.

taken

and

to

11

10

6

7

9

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moreover it seems to me that there's built into the statute an intention -- professed intention -- that we not allow schools knowingly to play one group of children off against another. I'm worried when I have like seven bad things when I come back here because I'm worried that they're unintended features of the statutes that do just that. But at least in principle it doesn't work that way.

The fifth is the commitment to provide a fully qualified teacher in every classroom. that's the single most remarkable powerful thing that could happen under this statute, if it were in fact carried out.

The sixth is the provision to parents of children who are doing poorly of some practical redress. Although again, I'm worried about some of the nature of that redress and it's unintended consequences.

The seventh is the promise the underlies this spending strategy, this quid pro quo, that will be seriously substantial increased federal dollars in exchange for state commitment to undertake these new requirements. All those things seem to be good.

Now, before I start in on my sevens of critique -- the Joseph's note, interpreting the story of the seven good years, and seven lean years -- I can't resist the notion to comment on Mr. Edley's use of NCLB as the way in which he wants to deal with the Because first, it brings to everybody's acronym. mind, I think, Charles Dickens' great novel, Nicholas Nickleby and you remember in that novel that one of the central features of it is the school master, Wackford Squeers, from Yorkshire. This sort of terrible parent who sort of had short rations and even shorter education and he was the main sort of The triumphs of the novel is when Nicholas narrative. Nickleby comes to that school and frees what we would now called a special needs child, Smike, frees him and everyone else from this terrible place. I have decided yet, Chris, whether "No Child Left Behind" Squeers kind of statute or a Nickleby statute, a way

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to free children or a way to re-enslave them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me go through my seven bad things about the statute. The first is the statute itself. It's a grotesquely complicated statute. It cost me \$49 to buy a copy in the government printing office. That's what it goes for these days. And I'm a lawyer and I love complexity, this is too much.

Indeed it sets up in more seriously than just it's length, a cross-current for states like North Carolina which have already committed themselves to an accountability program a whole different set of standards. And we heard Evan Myers, the principal from Tyro Middle School announce that he was going to be required with both North Carolina's ABC's and with the federal "No Child Left Behind". I think that's going to lead to some troubles, that I'll mention later.

The second οf my critiques is the selection of your measures. Professor Ladd was talking about that earlier. North Carolina selected a growth or value-added measure in which you look at every school and ask what can we expect of the children in that school, in that class the next year, and then you decide whether they've made or not made that standard. The federal standard has no growth 1 | '

component to it. It sets a single, uniform state-wide metric that everyone must meet and every single group of children must meet. I think that's too inflexible.

The third is really the pace for remedy and I guess I'll join Sheria Reid with words like ludicrous or preposterous. We have the statute enacted in January of 2002 and before anybody can read through the statute, we have remedies that are starting to become due. We have obligations that are inflamed. Six percent of the North Carolina schools are going to be in need of improvement under the statute within a year. It seems to me too rapid an approach — when I pointed out that North Carolina's ABC's took 12 years to implement. That's the kind of careful building of foundation that makes sense.

We're quite lucky in this case, as compared to others where's there no standard course of study from Grade K to Grade 12; where there's no accountability system already built. And yet the adverse consequences in those states are going to flow just as fast.

Finally, I suppose there's their 12 years to utopia, someone made mention of this. I imagine if we were to take our fire departments or police departments and say, we don't care how you do it, but

in 12 years no crime, in 12 years no fires. We're going to be remarkably disappointed with you and start to punish you if at nine years out there still some crime and still some fires. I don't know why we're doing this to schools as opposed to doing something a little less American and a little more realistic and ask for meaning growth rather than growth towards utopia in 12 years.

The forth of my criticism is that some of the remedies provided as I indicated earlier have profound unintended consequences. The parental transfer program for example sounds promising on its face. I'm worried that it will threaten to set social classes, literally racial groups against each other.

North Carolina has just decided that you measure, as you heard earlier, that if you had at least 40 children in one sub-group -- well, a big yellow North Carolina school bus hold about 40 children. If in southeast Raleigh, predominately lower income, predominately black, 40 children decide to get on buses and in fact they head out to a middle class school, those middle class parents are going to see those children as a school in need of improvement arriving, as they arrive, because of their low performance. In other words, I think it really sets

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us up and I would agree again with Professor Ladd, the district is -- the unit not the school that which we ought be measuring performance by these children.

The fifth critique I have, is it's a very substantially inadequate funding that I understand that the federal -- the promise is for \$31 million. think the federal delivery was for \$22 billion. all read the newspapers, we have a \$303 billion budget deficit coming in from the administration. With no more paid for under that, North Carolina gets a \$1.3 billion deficit this year. I am profoundly worried when the rubber hits the road what's going to happen all those wonderful programs for focused with addressing the intervention for needs of children who are not doing well, are going to be the element that's not paid for.

The sixth, really, is the failure to consider the structural problem created by racial segregation, and my paper was devoted at least the first third of it to that. Racial segregation, resegregation in the south is a very profound problem with serious educational consequences, particularly because of the relationship between racial segregation and socio-economic isolation and stratification to the lower economic status of African-American and Latino

and Native American peoples. As our schools become more segregated, they become more high poverty with challenging consequences.

The seventh really seems to me is that the parental strategies are largely absent strategies. Strategies to get people out of places towards what is hoped would be better places, rather than concentrating on schools everywhere as they are. have a lot more to say about the high-stakes testing. I have a lot more to say about the accountability in I'm tempted to close in this way. article I gave you which was called the Perfect Storm, I love -- it was sort of a metaphor of several forces coming together.

I was actually starting the work on the article about "No Child Left Behind" and I'm finding myself drawn to another adventure story. I'm not an adventure guy and I don't know where this comes from, nor does my psychiatrist. But there's a book, Into Thin Air, by Jon Krakauer and it's a gripping story of how some novices who are not well trained are taken by professional climbers up Mount Everest, up into a place that literally has thin air. Air so thin that until you are acclimatized to it, until you have trained yourself to be adequately prepared for it,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-2701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through the experience.

your body metabolism simply cannot survive.

Now, I don't suggest that the main part of the metaphor is that getting children to grade level is getting them to a Mount Everest. But it does seem to me, that it's just as fool-hardy to take children and give them high-stakes testing and accountability without preparing them adequately as it would be to take up these climbers and bring them up into the thin air of 28 or 29,000 feet with the same possible dire consequences. Lots of people are not going to survive, who literally are not going to make it

Last week there was a foundation gathering, 10 12 leaders of North Carolina or education and a couple of followers like myself. What the group was asked to do is to project ahead with North Carolina's situation in education and "No Child Left Behind". The terms that I heard in the breaks were terms like poison pill, terms like train wreck. There's a lot of apprehension about where this statute is taking us without very much consideration of the downside consequences. So, I close I suppose on another sort of note of doom. I'm happy to take questions.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think I'll just ask a question then turn to my colleagues, then come back. Jack, I don't whether some days I think that those of us take seriously and try to analyze what all this means, the statute and all this stuff, are being incredibly Because in reading a summary of it -- I naive. haven't read that whole big, thick book yet, I've haven't had the time -- it did seem to me that if you take the seven good things you talked about, that with the bad things that are happening and are likely to happen that we've heard about and so on, eventually it could be argued that there's a great desire among Latinos, African-Americans, kids who are left behind, those with disabilities, to have the gap completely closed. And it isn't because what needs to be provided isn't there. So there's total disgust which would mean then the crab would be general education and that there may be a possibility that some people who put together this policy might think that wouldn't be a bad idea.

So, it's good for people who've been locked out to see the data and to say well see, there's the gap, we need to do something about that gap. And here's the test and it's just a -- taking temperature, that's all it is, and let's work hard to

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do that. And then all the stuff we hear about, what isn't being done for the children who are involved and the consequences are always for the children. That ultimately — and people trying to transfer into schools that they can't get in to, or they're too many of them trying to by failing schools and so on.

Eventually you do get a train wreck and you do get people saying, you know, we need some other kind of system, other than this system which might encourage moves to go in that direction. So I don't know, is that card possible at all?

Chairperson Berry, I think MR. HEUBERT: that's exactly what I think was worrying the group that I was reporting to you and it seemed to be so Therefore, for a commission with the important. visibility and respect to this one, to ask that Frankly, not necessarily to challenge question. anybody's political motives, but simply to say, I heard this warning. A lot of very earnest educators, teachers, and principals, standing out there saying, we're going to do the best we can to make this work. I hope -- gee I hope you're able to, but I have a feeling it may twisted quickly in the system. got some many rigid demands and so few resources that are being brought that assist you.

Then the parents who may get this illusion that you suggested because of what they see as failing scores and grades, and parents saying please let me move somewhere else and other people saying, you're not going to come to where I am now. The regulation that says school over-capacity is not going to be an excuse for accepting children who move seems to me like an invitation to social discord.

In Charlotte, for example, let me quickly give those statistics we looked at. The schools that are 80 percent plus white are running at about 105 to 110 percent capacity. The schools that are 75 percent plus non-white are running at about 70 percent capacity. And out of those low performing schools the children are going to be invited and their parents to come to the 110 percent capacity schools. I don't think that makes sense for either set of schools. But what it may do is create a kind of social discord, whether it's intended or not.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There was this question that I asked the last panel and I said it ought to be asked of this panel. The one about the waivers, and Mr. -- at Tyro -- Mr. Myers, I guess his name was, was talking about the waivers and somebody here mentioned waiver; you did Ms. Reid. But what I

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to know is if it is the case that waivers are given in school systems where there are very few students of color, Latino and African-Americans, and in the systems where there are lots of them, either people don't know about the waiver or they don't get them, they're retained in grade, doesn't that raise some question of discrimination?

MR. REVILLE: I don't have state wide data or national data or anything like that. I have only one specific piece of data and that is in Chicago the vast majority children fail who promotion exam initially and are required to go to summer school and then retake the promotion test are The proportion of white African-American and Latino. kids who are promoted without ever having passed the is dramatically higher promotion test the proportion of Black children or Latino children who are allowed to move onto the next grade without having ever passed the test.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And that could be argued to black parents. You ought to be happy that we're doing this to your kids because we're holding them to a higher standard than we are the other kids. Which is good for them and this is bad for your kid.

MR. HEUBERT: That of course is anecdotal.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's only anecdotal.

| Yes, Mr. Reville.

MR. REVILLE: Just two comments. One is them is parent thing. I think it's really important because we're talking about a number of subjects simultaneously here to distinguish between, you know, the high-stakes testing as a general topic and those elements of high-stakes that are incorporated in "No Child Left Behind". I, for one, for example, don't want my remarks associated with an endorsement of "No Child Left Behind".

To the point of the waivers that you made, we in Massachusetts, we're a little subject to waivers. For example, I know in New Jersey, they have some problems in making waivers an alternative test, it was highly subject to local discretion available to districts for children who had failed once the state wide assessment. There was some evidence, at least that was called by a journalist that suspected there were large numbers of these local tests that were geared at a much lower standard. They were allowing urban kids to pass through at much lower gate, in effect undermining the whole purpose of the standards reform.

What we try to do in Massachusetts is to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

create a waiver process. It's a state wide waiver process, not up to one district or another district. It's open to anybody that fails the test, and it's just that there have only been two test of recently. It attempts to equate the work that students are doing in class with how other people who have been in the same classes and performed at similar levels on the standardized tests. So if you find large number of students who have been in the same class, done the same level of performance in terms of work and passed the MCAS, but you didn't after persistent tries, there's reason to believe that maybe the exam doesn't accurately measure your level of mastery. So you're granted a waiver; a couple hundred of these are granted.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I had one question about Massachusetts and then I'll recognize anyone. A factual question about Massachusetts that I didn't understand what you were saying. We were talking about -- here we're talking about the stakes of students and you're explaining how that program works and you said there were stakes for everyone in the One of the punitive measures against the Massachusetts State Board, the State Superintendent, the specific superintendents and∙ districts and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

principals and the teachers when they fail to do something -- we had some numbers that I think Jay or somebody gave us, how many students in Massachusetts at the tenth grade level -- did you give those Jack -- are in fact in any position to pass these tests?

MR. HEUBERT: As of September, 52 percent of the class of 2002, 52 percent of the African-American students in the class 2003 had not yet passed both parts of the test they need to receive a diploma, and I have statistics for other groups too. 84 percent of English learners, 55 percent of students with disability, 59 percent Hispanics and 82 percent blacks.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: My query is, how are they punished? Not the students, because they'll be punished by not getting the diploma. How is the Massachusetts State Board punished since they are responsible for this system? How is the State Superintendent punished? How are the schools punished? How are the teachers punished? Do they take reductions in salary or do they get fired or do they, what happens to them?

MR. REVILLE: As I made the first point that the glass looked at half-full, I think is contained in some of the data I gave you in my report.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There, as you know, it's one of the reasons that many towards holding states moved students of our accountable before adults, notwithstanding the theory of strategy crawling through reverse, is that adults are much better organized to resist accountability than students are. In so, in effect, what we wind up with in many instances is a strategy that in effect that's in to holding students hostage in some ways in the hope that adults will ultimately behave out of consideration of students. It's not pretty, but it's the way it is in a lot of places.

Now having said that, I don't know of any place that has found ways to hold, other than the electoral process to hold state legislatures, governors, governor's appointees to State Boards of Education, responsible for their performance and doing what they're supposed to do with respect to this. Some of these systems have designed measures that are designed to hold superintendents, districts as a whole, principals, teachers accountable.

We have what I would describe as a rough beginning of accountability in Massachusetts. We're not highly advanced in this regard. But there are some measures, you know, that go beyond simply -- that the most superficial measures just the exposure of the

under

have

data, and making the data meaningful to the public. That has happened and people are making decisions based on that data --CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Reville --MR. REVILLE: But there are interventions for the State Department of Education for schools in districts that performance do consequences. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Mr. Reville, if you

listened to what you said yourself, you would be amazed, I think. Because basically what we have here is, it's possible to give incentives. Recorded by books to date, the teachers who do it, schools who do a good job. They can get more money and there's even in North Carolina a proposal to -- if they meet the average daily, whatever that thing is, they would get even more money. They get \$600 now and they would get \$600 more for each person, if they did that. are ways to give incentives to people to do this.

Just as there are ways to give incentives to people, there are ways to give disincentives when they do things and we all know this. It would be entirely possible to construct a system where if you wanted to punish everyone and not just the students --I don't mean you personally, but I mean a person who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	wanted to do that where you could construct a
2	system where you dock the pay of superintendents of
3	schools, of teachers, of everyone involved if they
4	failed to provide the kind of education to students
5	and if they didn't close the gap
6	MR. REVILLE: I couldn't agree with you
7	more, I didn't say it wasn't possible.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and of school
9	boards? You don't have to just for an election or
10	something or appointment, would you support such a
11	system in Massachusetts?
12	MR. REVILLE: I would absolutely
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you propose one
14	in Massachusetts?
15	MR. REVILLE: No, I would not. I, in fact
16	I hope that because the students stakes and the
17	high-stakes accountabilities is being challenged in
18	court in Massachusetts. By own belief is that I've an
19	opportunity to learn, the state is vulnerable on this,
20	because we can't guarantee the universal opportunity
21	to learn is going to take place for every student.
22	My hope is the remedy is not to say, let's
23	go back to the status quo we had and just hand out
24	meaningless diplomas to everybody, but because that
25	seems to be better than what we're currently doing.

My hope is the answer is, let's put a genuine system of balance of accountability that holds adults feet to fire for putting in place the opportunity to get all students to this standard because we know we can do it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Otherwise I would think -- then I'll recognize you -- I would think that what has been described, if it doesn't change, would be that students would be regarded as collateral damage. Those who are harmed by this and who don't graduate, who don't pass the test, as collateral damage on the way to the hope that the adults will someday start doing what they should do, by the fact that the kids are being hostage. And in the meanwhile there will be students who've even dropped out or didn't get the diploma or -- but they're just, you know, collateral damage on the way to try and get success in this.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So what, yes.

MR. HEUBERT: I have had decision makers in New York State say to me when I say, 60, 70 80 percent of the English learners in New York are going to fail. They say, yes there will be casualties. And in Wisconsin when 30 percent of the kids were about to be denied diplomas in the state that's overwhelmingly

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the legislate withheld white, funding for administration of the test. And our current Secretary of Health and Human Services had to back off from high-stakes for students' approach.

But you know, there, let me make argument that may strike you as strange. If we did create the same punishments, let me say, for adults that we do for children, it's certainly hypocritical to do it only for children, we would have some of the problems that two of our previous panelists talked about. We have a nationwide teacher shortage. And a teacher would say, if I'm facing, you know, I'm going to go someplace else where the kids do well without any help or interference from me and I won't have to worry about this. I'm not a psychologist, I don't blame it on the television, but I've never heard of a theory of learning that says that low performing schools or low performing kids are likely to do better when their most marketable teachers have left.

So, any kind of disincentive system for adults has to be very sensitive as to how this is actually going to effect adult behavior. I wonder whether it might be more promising to think in terms of positive incentives which is what we're doing more with adults and they get rewards -- if have to avoid,

1	of course what the business community does, which is
2	pay the rewards whether people do well or poorly.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But Jay, don't you
4	agree that we would no shortage of school board
5	members or people to so maybe if we don't do that
6	to teachers who really aren't responsible for what is
7	provided to them in the classroom, but to those who
8	make the policies and, in fact, don't have to do
9	anything about it once they made them.
10	MR. HEUBERT: It may be harder then to
11	find school board members. I mean how about then, if
12	we think money talks, where adults are concerned, why
13	don't we say to children, you pass the test or you
14	improve by a certain amount, we'll buy you a car. I
15	have a feeling that would motivate a lot of people to
16	work a lot harder than some of the things we do now.
17	So, I think we could but I don't mean to be glib,
18	the basic question is, it is hard to put the pressures
19	
20	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Nike's might be good
21	enough.
22	MR. HEUBERT: What?
23	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Nike's might be good
24	enough. Something age appropriate.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Go right ahead,

because we're just brainstorming.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'm bothered by some of the discussion, and this point of view. Most of us here in this room have followed education policy more I would say than the average citizen. I run into a lot of people who do not believe that there's not an equal educational opportunity and that for those children who don't do well is because they haven't applied themselves. And these are generally good people, I think ill-informed, but good people. And one of the benefits I see that has come from some of the current efforts has been to put down in black and white the failures of the public school system. Then it becomes harder it seems to me for those good people to deny the reality.

Now, I've always, like Ms. Reid, I've seen these disparities all my life. I attended a segregated school, a Mexican-only school, when I was a youngster. I saw youngsters being encouraged to quit school at age 16 to work in the groves of Orange County, at a time when Orange County, California had orange trees, et cetera, so I have seen that. But I'm not sure that a majority of my fellow Californians saw that. So to a certain extent, even though I believe that we have these disparities, and if society were to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

do the right thing, it would require us to undo those disparities. But I do think in the last few years, we as a people have been forced to face those disparities a little bit more acutely than we did before. wrong on that? I mean that's an impression that I have. Ms. Reid, what do you think? MS. REID: Well, respectfully, I think you

are wrong, and I'll tell you why.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.

MS. REID: Ι do lot of work on a education. I go to a lot of meetings, I talk to a lot of groups. And one thing that I encounter from groups that are predominately white is a very polite kind of It goes something like this: questioning. awful that all of these minority students are doing well, but what do you think the problem is? They're in the same classrooms and they're hearing the same material. Well I'm sure there's a reason.

Essentially what is behind the question is blame the students still. The disparities revealed just simply say, well why aren't they learning? Which is why I go back to my original point, is the culture of schools and that is the way things are taught, what is taught, the irrelevance of the curriculum to anything but mainstream culture that creates an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

environment where students who are not part of the mainstream culture do not feel that education has any relevance to their lives and, therefore, they don't It's just that, to me, it's just that simple, that we make things relevant to students, they learn, when you don't they do not learn. That's what I learned when I was teaching for ten years, is how you make it successful. So, I do think there's -- we need to know about this disparity. But unfortunately I don't think it has created people to care or who are that concerned. I think it's created people who shake their heads and come up with solutions like let's have some high-stakes testing. Well, eventually everybody will get up to the standards, whether the standard means anything or not.

Also disturbing is that I keep hearing is the word punishment and consequences, and see I don't get why we need to punish students at all and the consequence of not doing well should be that you get to do it again, again and again. It's kind of like coaches. To me they're the best model for teaching, because if you are on an athletic team and you don't do well, you don't play a good game, the coach makes you run some laps, do some more practices and do some more drills, and he keeps doing that until you get up

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to par. He doesn't say you got an F and that's it.

And consequences for students -- we've got eight-year-olds and we're talking about consequences that we need to punish them and somebody needs to pay the price? They got to learn this lesson in the world, there are consequences. Well I think they can learn that, you know, at a later stage. I really don't think it's necessary that our elementary, middle schools and even high schools be filled with this notion that consequences are you have to pay something that's so substantial like I won't get promoted to the next grade, when the entire focus has to be on learning, and we keep forgetting about the learning. We're thinking about measurement but we don't about learning. And if you want someone to learn something, you have to have them practice it until they get it My piano teacher would have thrown me out after the first lesson otherwise.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It may be that to the Vice-chair's question, and I'm asking that, while it's empowering, it is empowering for people in the Hispanic Community, black Community and the rest of the -- Boger said this too, to see these data and say now we can show you this gap, you know, here it is, it's right here and our kids going to school and this

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is what's happening, and in communities where they have political power they may be able to demand changes at the local level to put in the reforms that we heard this morning and we heard elsewhere, everybody knows what to do, but people just don't do it. So maybe in those communities they can, in terms of priorities, get some of that done. In states where they don't have power, places where they don't have power or wherever they are and don't have power, all the numbers they do, is to just reinforce you've got a problem with your kids because they're not learning and that they need to learn, just like everybody else.

And so it may depend on, you know, where we are, but for this discussion we're having now leaves out of the equation the discussion we had earlier in the day. Because with the numbers you have in Massachusetts, the numbers that the rest of you were talking about, the numbers we have elsewhere, we heard this morning that everybody know what to do to reduce those gaps, but that it just isn't happening. Yes, Professor Boger.

MR. BOGER: Let me draw on some of the conversation this morning back to where we are now and I think basically alludes to Ms. Reid's frustration and is paramount as well. One of the things that the

North Carolina's School of Finance lawsuit turned into under Judge Manning's direction was a look at whether children were succeeding or not on end-of-the-year test and you found that they were not and at-risk children especially were not. And, basically said the state is accountable not to have principals punished, the teachers, the State Board of Education, but simply drive resources or other assistance to those children; that's what we appealed, but the State of North Carolina said oh no, we don't have to do that, and that's a kind of failure in accountability that absolutely astounding when somebody -- I think it was Judge Manning, talks about natural resource like the outer banks or something, you know, sort of thing you can rely on and what he says, you know, this district needs some assistance. It's profoundly troubling when there's not state accountability to provide resources that are needed at that point. CHAIRPERSON Commissioner BERRY: Yes,

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Edley.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: First of all, it seems to be that that is a specifically enormous issue. I think that's one point underscoring the importance of federal efforts, such as NCLB, to simply say that even if the politics, the local and state

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

politics will not work for these disenfranchised students and families that there will some effort at the federal level, as there is on civil rights matters, civil rights and civil liberties matters, to no, there is going to be that, constructural safety net. We're going to insist that the state pay attention to these disparities and act Now, in my view is the "or to narrow them or else. else" isn't strong enough and we've already heard about the -- the level of aggregation for ascertaining what disparities unacceptable may be mis-designed. But I think that underscores the importance having a federal law in this, that's number one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number two, is NCLB has lots of flaws, I have not question about it. But, you know, and Jack, my dear friend, and I've got to say this, this is to me -- this is like what -- this is like what I learned in environmental law, because I had to teach it. And it is absolutely true that when the Federal Work Pollution Control Act was passed in 1972 and Congress says we want to have swimmable, fishable, drinkable water by 1980, whatever they said, immediately the polluter said, it'll never happen, it's a disaster. The statute is ridiculous, it's going to set the environmental movement back a century; as well it

22

23

24

25

should. Well, I mean people stumbled forward and the statute was amended and slowly streams and lakes improved and the same thing happened in 1970 with the Clean Air Act. The automobile industry said the aspirations are too ambitious, the timetables are too tight, it's going to destroy the auto industry, and all of the apocalyptic scenarios were played out. But, in fact, over a period of time extraordinary progress has been made, there's much more to do, but there's something good and noble about trying to encourage everybody in the system to stretch and be better than they are, and to reinvent themselves in ways that make progress faster than it has ever been before.

And I think that all can be said I think with added emphasis when we're talking about children. So, I think that while it's valuable to be mindful of where things may go awry and were the unintended consequences may be and it's mindful to kind of try to start as soon as possible generating a list of needed improvements, changes, modifications, I think all of that is detailed under a broad heading of stay the course. Now, let me also say that —

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Jay, needs to go I think.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MR HEUBERT: At five.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I've been trying to listen and learn all day, and I think that I have a definitive list of six reasons for high-stakes tests--CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: -- for students. High-stakes tests for students, and let me just say by preface that I think frankly, a couple of the -- it is in my view, I do not know of civil rights leaders, certainly not at the national level, who are against high standards, who are against having legitimate assessments that identify problems, and to suggest as I think you did, Paul, that somebody favors shooting the messenger or that there's situations -- it's not -- it's just not true.

I heard the same thing from President Clinton when I argued about social promotion with President Clinton. It borders on slander to say that people who care about what happens to minority children doubtful are about high-stakes testing because we want to shoot the messenger or because we're against excellence; it's just not true. also -- it is also not helpful to defend high-stakes testing for students, stakes for students by talking about the value of assessment or by talking about the

1 value of accountability, because let's stipulate all 2 of that. 3 The question is what is that added value, 4 the added importance of having stakes that attach to 5 the students, the least powerful people in the system. 6 Now as to that question, I've heard six explanations 7 Three of which are ridiculous, and those --8 we can put those aside quickly. 9 10 11

Those three are -- those three are, we've got to be tough on failure, just like we got to be tough on crime; that's kind of a macho thing. not a good reason to do. A second is, that the kids who fail in some sense deserve to fail because they are inferior or they don't want to learn or something. Maybe that's true in a couple of case, but by and large I don't really think that's a real issue.

And a third one that I don't think is legitimate is that there's certainly incentive that some actors in the system have to have high-stakes and promotion denial and diploma denial and so forth, as part of a push-out or dumping strategy. Let's get rid of those kids so that in the aggregate the rest of the test scores will look better. So let's put that third one away as well.

> So, we're left with three arguable

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

legitimate reasons. Number one, we can't think of a better way to motivate students other than to use this whip. We can't figure out how to get teachers to motivate the students, that in-class assessments that all other strategies for motivating students don't seem to work. The only way to get them to try to learn to these curriculum standards is to threaten them with these high-stakes: retention and diploma denial. Sad, it's true, that we can't think of a better way to -- that teachers can't think of a better way to motivate students.

Second thing I've heard is, that retention and diploma denial are somehow educationally beneficial for the students themselves. It's in their God forbid we should promote them when interests. they're not ready to perform at that grade level. Well I think that Jay actually summarized what I understand to be the research and literature on that, and there's decades of studies on this. And yet it's denied by many policy leaders, many politicians, that these policies are educationally counterproductive to the vast majority of students.

Which leaves me with a third explanation, for attaching high-stakes to the students, and that is the hostage theory; that's the hostage theory. That's

kind of give me more resources and reform me before I kill this -- before I shoot this kid, before I shoot this hostage. That's the position οf the superintendent in Boston, who's desperate to get more financial aid and who thinks that if sacrifice enough of these students somebody will care enough to provide the needed assistance. course, what we find in light of the fiscal pressures, we don't get the needed increases in resources. We don't even get, as the Chair suggested, we don't even get the structural changes that would hold adults in the system fully accountable for making reform.

So it seems to me that the hostage theory isn't even working, and I might add that as I think Jay's point about Chicago suggests, if the hostages were white, we wouldn't even play with the hostage theory. It's that the collateral damage, I think, is somehow acceptable. Now I'm sorry I'm getting I'm worked up, but I'm really left in a situation as though — as though — we've had a day of discussion and of course I've had years of looking at this and I'm not completely unintelligent, and yet I still to this day have not really heard a coherent argument as to why the drive system reform — which I favor, and I favor accountability and I favor high standards — why

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	there have to be high-stakes for the students who have
2	the least power, who may not even have been given the
3	opportunity to learn.
4	I'm just and I'm left with this
5	frightening this is the racial pessimist in the me,
6	my wife accuses me of this all the time I'm left
7	with the sense that we wind up with this strategy of
8	high-stakes for students only because the political
9	system at large frankly doesn't care enough about
10	these populations of students who are going to suffer
11	the disparate impacts that Jay's data describe. So
12	please help me
13	MR. HEUBERT: Let me try to respond, and I
14	figure you put the worst possible cast
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Before you do that,
16	just a second. Jay, do you need to leave?
17	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry, Jay.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can you hold just hold
19	up one minute and let's see
20	MR. HEUBERT: Sure.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: if there's anything
22	you'd like before you go?
23	MR. HEUBERT: In 30 seconds, yes, thanks.
24	I don't there's a satisfactory response to your
25	numbers one and two, Chris. The notion that we can't
1	

1	motivate students any other way certainly in terms of
2	promotion and retention because we know that low-
3	achieving kids who are promoted do better without any
4	intervention than kids who are retained with all sorts
5	of expensive and state of the art intervention. So, I
6	don't think we need the kids do, in fact, do better
7	if they're simply promoted, because the public school
8	curriculum is redundant and so on. Then of course,
9	that's also the response to the educational beneficial
10	part, at least that's to the promotion testing. On
11	the graduation testing, we don't have data that's
12	quite as good, anyhow.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much
14	for coming.
15	MR. HEUBERT: Thank you very much. It's
16	been my pleasure.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm not assuming
18	others have to go either. We're going to finish this
19	in no more than five minutes.
20	MS. REID: I have one last thing that I
21	want to say. I think it's important to keep in mind
22	the high price tag on high-stakes testing and of these
23	rewards and incentives, and then think about the
24	things that we do know that work, smaller class sizes
25	and remediation, and every time it comes up they tell

me they can't afford to pay for it. Well, I know where you get the money from. Cut out all that testing.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you and we will not be long here. We're going to let Mr. Reville respond to -- Mr. Reville --

MR. REVILLE: -- Obviously so much longer conversation which we're having today we've sort of -- You know, you've put kind of a negative spin on each of the constructive reasons and why, you know -- obviously, there are different ways to positively motivate people to do better in school and at the same time having some consequences associated with performance makes a difference too, and we've seen that dramatically in the jumps in students performance in Massachusetts, for example, from before the tenth grade test countered to -- to after we counted.

So I think it's more of a set of scourges for educational improvement, but it's by no means a central strategy or a critical strategy. I think the most important thing that has happened at least as a process matter, and I agree with much of what you said about the conditions that have to be in place, is this is about a mastery. What Ms. Reid was talking about, I agree with, it's not about a bell curve, it is about

achieving mastery, finding what mastery is. It's not about grade level or promotion or retention. We don't have promotion or retention policies in Massachusetts as a matter of policy. It's about sticking with a kid and giving them what they need in terms of the amount the standard that defines of get to time to competency. And this goes to the flip side.

Another part of your argument is that it's a punishment to withhold a diploma, that this is collateral damage, things of this nature. And I have to ask you, which is the punishment? Is it a punishment to say to something you're going to have to take more to achieve mastery here, or is it a punishment to say that we're going to give you a diploma anyway, you may read at the third grade level, but you've spent enough time in the system, we didn't want to hold you back and you go on and fail somewhere else in higher education and in the work place.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: No, because -- here's what I don't get. There's so many things I don't get. But you talked about the improvement in performance. What I would say is, the MCAS is a terrific test. The standards are fine, and it's a good assessment tool. I understand that from -- I understand that. And to the extent the curriculum is aligned and to the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	extent the teaching is aligned with their curriculum,
2	right, this is all wonderful. But having done all of
3	that, then I would say these classroom assessments, to
4	figure out whether or not there's been sufficient
5	mastery and accountability of the teacher to ensure
6	that there isn't grade inflation in the classroom
7	assessment and so forth, you don't have to have high-
8	stakes for the student imposed through the MCAS if
9	you've got classroom assessments as we have since the
10	mid-19th century, in this situation.
11	MR. REVILLE: They weren't effective in
12	achieving goals.
13	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: That's because you
14	didn't have the curriculum standards, you didn't have
15	the alignment and you didn't have the MCAS as a way to
16	measure what was going on systemically. But the other
17	thing, Paul, is if you don't if you have 55 percent
18	of African-American students and 60 whatever percent
19	it was of English language learners students.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 84.4 percent.
21	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: In twelve grade now,
22	you've taken the thing three times already, if not
23	four times already, three times and still not passing,
24	then it's not working; it is not working.

MR. REVILLE: But there are substantial

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17⁻

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numbers -- you have on this last MCAS and English

language learners, two-thirds of the African-American

students passed it the first time, that's huge, that's

up, that's way up from what it was when this first

started. We are making progress. It's working.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Excuse me, there's no evidence that the improvement is attributable to the

high-stakes attached to students as opposed to being

attributable to the higher standards, the curriculum

alignment, to the investments in teachers, and to all

of the other reforms that have been part of the

Massachusetts experience.

MR. REVILLE: But two things, if I may quickly state. The stakes associated with this, while having the many of the disadvantages you talked about, make the performance count in ways that it didn't before, and that focuses the attention, and that's probably the biggest piece of this that we haven't talked as much about, which is the urgency that this provides. You know from looking at the state budgets an inordinate amount of money, time, attention, energy is going into classrooms for teaching summer school programs, after-school programs, all kinds intensive interventions and bring the kids who have been historically ignored. We had these tests in the 80's. We had minimum standard tests that show the same kind of disparities. You know, there were standards attached to those tests. Nobody paid any attention to them, because they didn't count, they didn't matter for anything. You know, they didn't matter for the teachers, they didn't --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is what you're saying, so I can be clear about what you just said. Are you saying that the answer to Chris' question is, because the impact is the students won't get diplomas, the public mind is so focused on it and the political mind, that investments were made that weren't made before the stakes were as high? Is that your answer? I just want to be clear.

MR. REVILLE: It has created an enormous sense of urgency. The fact that there are stakes here has made absence those stakes we go back to -- this is what we expected anyway.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. Well, all I wanted to say and maybe we could continue the discussions later once we close out this session, as my students do after class. That, in fact I don't think that we will -- anyone will think we're arguing against testing or high-stakes testing as a concept because the public mind, just as for years people

1	argued against SAT testing used for all LSAT's and
2	all that stuff, and even though we know what the
3	people who produce the tests say about them, the
4	public is addicted to such tests and believes in such
5	tests and believes that they're magical, in effect.
6	And so it's better I guess to argue in the realm of
7	what should be done to students or with students in
8	terms of investments, rather than making it appear
9	whether what it is not, is that one doesn't want any
10	tests, which is what you were saying.
11	So why don't we end that here and say we
12	thank you very much, and this has informed us as we
13	continue to struggle and grapple with these issues and
14	come up with positions on them. We thank you very
15	much for coming.
16	(WHEREUPON, THE BRIEFING WAS CONCLUDED AT
17	5:00 P.M.)
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	