+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

MAY 9, 2003

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C.

The Commission convened at 9:35 a.m. in Room 540, 624 9th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Chairperson Mary Frances Berry presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Commissioner PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner ELSIE M. MEEKS, Commissioner RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, Commissioner ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Commissioner

LESLIE R. JIN, Staff Director



H.A. DOMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

STAFF PRESENT:

KIMBERLEY ALTON
MARGARET BUTLER
DEBRA CARR, ESQ., Deputy General Counsel
KI-TAEK CHUN
EDWARD DARDEN
IVY DAVIS, Chief, Regional Program Coordination
TERRI DICKERSON, Assistant Staff Director for
OCRE

PAMELA DUNSTON
SHELDON FULLER
SOCK FOON MACDOUGAL
TINALOUISE MARTIN
KWANA ROYAL
EILEEN RUDERT
JOYCE SMITH, Parliamentarian
ALEXANDER SUN
DAWN SWEET
DEBORAH VAGINS
AUDREY WRIGHT
TIFFANY WRIGHT
MIREILLE ZIESENISS

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

KRISTINA ARRIAGA LAURA BATIE PATRICK DUFFY JOY FREEMAN KIMBERLY SCHULD MELISSA SHARP

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

www.nesimmee ~~m

1ンリンノ・ンスヤーヤヤスス

A-G-E-N-D-A

I.	Approval of Agenda
II.	Approval of Minutes of 4 April 11, 2003 Meeting
III.	Announcements 5
IV.	Staff Director's Report 11
٧.	Funding Federal Civil 65 Rights Enforcement: 2004 Report
VI.	State Advisory Committee Report 66
WTT	Future Agenda Items 67

If not, all in favor indicate by saying aye. 1 discuss? 2 (A chorus of ayes.) 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered. 6 ANNOUNCEMENTS III. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Under announcements, I 8 first would like to note that Commissioner Edley is 9 not here today. His father passed. 10 Edley, Sr., who was former president of the United 11 Negro College Fund, died on May 5. Civil rights 12 warrior, and father of Christopher and his sister, and 13 he passed away at his home in New Rochelle. 14 And I know all of us offer -- if we have not 75. 15 already -- offer our condolences to Chris and to his 16 family. 17 Christopher Edley, Sr., was the head of 18 the United Negro College Fund for 18 years, retiring 19 in 1991. He raised a humongous amount of money on 20 behalf of private, historically black colleges and 21 universities. And it was under his tenure that they 22 came up with this slogan, "A mind is a terrible thing 23 to waste." This campaign. 24 He was born a poor guy, poor family, in

Lynchburg, Virginia. He went to Howard University,

25

where he got his undergraduate degree, and then to the law school in Cambridge Massachusetts, Harvard.

Then he joined a Philadelphia law firm, had a good career there. And then he served the city — as an officer on the City's Human Rights Commission. He worked at this commission, Civil Rights Commission, as Chief of the Administration of Justice Division starting in 1960.

And then in 1963, the Ford Foundation appointed him as the first African-American program officer. And he was in charge of public interest law firms, and social justice programs, and the like there.

And he was one of the people who was responsible for funding most of the public interest law firms that started in that period. And then after that, the UNCF.

I knew Christopher Edley, Sr., as a friend, and as a colleague for years. And when I ran federal education programs he used to beat me up all the time about various funding sources. And we were in a lot of causes together.

He was a wonderful, witty, elegant, intelligent, ethical man who made great contributions.

And so his legacy, I think, will live on with UNCF

and everything else.
There's

There's another person who passed this month who was a key individual in the civil rights movement, a woman named Ruby G. Martin, who has probably not been heard of by most people. And she died on May 8, 2003, at the age of 70 in Richmond.

And Ruby was in the civil rights movement. She became an administrator in some of the civil rights offices in the government. And then she was the Virginia Secretary of Administration, appointed by Governor Doug Wilder. She was a Howard University Law graduate.

But the thing that I remember most about her is that she founded something called the Civil Rights Project in Virginia which was to look at and monitor what federal agencies did for children on human rights issues.

You remember that, Cruz.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Ruby was a giant.

It was her idea. She conceived it. She started it.

She made it work. And she had a staffer working with her, who was a woman named Marion Wright Edelman.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We've heard of her.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Who then, out of that 1 project, grew something that we call the Children's Defense Fund. And so Ruby deserves great credit for having done that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I'd like to announce is that the ethics reports are due for commissioners and their assistants by May 15. And all of you should have copies, and if you don't, you should ask the office of the staff director for a copy.

You can get an extension of 45 days for good cause, and then there's a grace period after the But if you file late, you have to pay a due date. So I would encourage everyone to file. you like to pay fines, I don't know what you like to do.

The other thing is that this is Asian Pacific Heritage Month. And we've already sent out a notice about that. And we are celebrating Asian Pacific Heritage Month here at the Commission with a series of films that began on May 7 and will run throughout the month of May, on Americans of Asian descent.

And the Commission, as you know, has had a number of reports on issues that concern particularly Asian Pacific Islanders. Everything from hate crimes

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

to glass ceilings, the myth of the model minority, and the rest of it.

And things like a round table discussion on Asian civil rights issues, recent activities against citizens and residents of Asian descent.

There have been a number of reports that we have done.

The other thing in terms of marking what has happened in the month of May while we are celebrating Asian Pacific Heritage Month. On May 10 1869, the first transcontinental railroad was completed.

And Chinese immigrant laborers were the ones who most of all were working on that, although severely underpaid and overworked. Twelve years after that, the Chinese exclusion laws passed, marking the beginning of what was to become over half a century of repression of Chinese immigration to the United States.

May 2 marks the civil rights marches in Birmingham, Alabama, against segregation. May 2 through 10 in 1963. These were the marches in which, on television, there were images shown of people having fire hoses and police dogs brought in to contain peaceful protestors. And Dr. Martin Luther King was put in jail and wrote his celebrated Letter

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

from the Birmingham Jail, which explained his use of non-violent civil disobedience as a method of forcing change in unjust laws.

Lots of kids were arrested. This whole thing helped to stimulate support for the progress in desegregation that was made with lots of other landmarks after that time.

There were a lot of civil rights cases decided in the month of May also. One of them was in 1896. Plessy against Ferguson was decided in May. As we know, the Supreme Court hands down a lot of decisions in May. So on May 17, 1954, 50 years ago, they decided Brown versus Board of Education.

So two weeks before the Brown decision, on May 3, the Court struck down policies that discriminated against Mexican-Americans in jury selection, in a case called Hernandez versus Texas.

And on May 20, 1996, the Supreme Court decided Romer versus Evans, which has to do with discrimination against people based on sexual orientation.

And so this is May, a busy month for the courts, and for events, and the month of the passing of good friend Christopher Edley. Christopher Edley, Sr.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005.3701

STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item on the 3 agenda is the Staff Director's Report. Does anyone 4 have any questions? COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think Russell 5 6 does, Madam Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Commissioner 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 9 Redenbaugh is seeking recognition. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner 11 Redenbaugh. 12 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Oh, thank you, 13 Actually, before the Staff Director's Jennifer. 14 Report, I have just a small announcement, if I may 15 make that. 16 It is that the Bureau of the Census has 17 released a report which I haven't read in full, but it 18 deals with something that concerns all of us, me in 19 particular I think, and that's the gap between 20 African-American and white income, wealth, 21 ownership, and educational achievement. 22 The Census Bureau report looks to be very 23 interesting, because it shows continued improvement in 24 the closing of these gaps. So I look forward to 25 examining the report in detail, but I wanted to call

you.

Yes,

that to our attention. 1 Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I just wanted to 3 say that I think -- on the record -- that I think all 4 the commissioners, I'm sure all the commissioners join 5 you in celebrating Christopher Edley's life, and his 6 7 accomplishments. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank 9 Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'd just like to 10 make a brief announcement on something I've mentioned 11 The White House Initiative on Excellence in 12 before. Education for Hispanics has released its final report, 13 14 and I wanted to make the commissioners and the staff 15 aware of it. Unfortunately, the bad news in the report 16 17 is that the current condition of education for Latino 18 children is not very good. One-third of Hispanic 19 students fail to complete high school, according to 20 the report, and only 10 percent graduate from college. 21 That's fewer than 100,000 students each year. 22 The report makes recommendations 23 improving the state of education for Latino children, 24 and calls for a recommitment to setting 25 expectations for these children, and recommends ways **NEAL R. GROSS**

for

high

1 to help parents to navigate the often complex system of education in this country, especially those who may 2 3 not speak English. 4 And the report is available on the web site, at "www.yesican.gov". It's posted in both 5 English and Spanish. And so I would like to just 6 7 bring that to everybody's attention, and look 8 forward to studying its conclusions in more detail. 9 But it's not available in hard copy yet, but it is 10 available on the web. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Madam Chair? 13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: When it becomes 15 available in hard copy, if all of us could receive a 16 copy, that would be easy for the staff to do for us. 17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Kim can circulate 18 it, because we're expecting a copy to be sent over to 19 us. So ... 20 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, then 21 you're going to have to photocopy it. I mean, we 22 could --23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We can have 24 additional copies. 25 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Oh, okay.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, we'll take
2	care of it, if people have an interest.
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do we know how many
5	Latino children, or what percentage, speak some
6	language other than Spanish? I mean, when they come
7	here as immigrants.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Like Portuguese,
9	or
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No. I mean, if they
11	come from Guatemala, or if they come from
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Some language
13	other than English?
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, and other than
15	Spanish.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes,
17	indigenous.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Indigenous
19	languages.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do we know?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I haven't seen
22	any figures. In California, we have an increasing
23	number of folk coming from Oaxaca and some other
24	places who do not speak Spanish.
25	But I haven't seen any figures.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because I know when I 1 2 was in Peru, in the Altoplano, the people didn't speak 3 Spanish. And I was way out in these rural areas. 4 They spoke -- I think they spoke Quechua. 5 And then there was a woman once who worked -- a friend of mine who had come from Central America. 6 7 And her children, they didn't speak Spanish. 8 spoke some other --9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. No, of 10 there are indigenous languages throughout course, 11 South America. The bulk of Latino immigrants to this 12 country, of course, are Spanish speakers. 13 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: It's probably 14 doubly difficult for them because many of them are 15 working as farm workers. So, for example, in the area 16 where I live, I run into whole crews of folk that are 17 speaking a language other than Spanish. 18 And being farm workers, with the movement 19 that's involved, they have sort of a double -- it's 20 doubly difficult for them to get the sort of education 21 that we hope for all the children. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you know, Abigail -23 - Commissioner Thernstrom, if --24 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: You can call me 25 Abigail.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If in Massachusetts 1 2 there's any data about this, or any --COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, I was 3 about to say, I would be glad to look into that, 4 because I've been vaguely aware of this problem. 5 it's compounded by the fact that if you have a Latino 6 7 surname, you end up in a bilingual education class that is oriented to Spanish-speaking students, when in 8 9 fact, Spanish is not your native language. 10 And I'd be delighted to see whether the 11 Department of Education in Massachusetts could come up with some data for me. Because you do raise a very 12 13 interesting question here. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, I've just always 15 wondered about that. Yes, Commissioner Redenbaugh. 16 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, that also 17 raises for me a taxonomic question. And that is, if 18 these are indigenous populations that are -- that 19 belong to a different language group and 20 different set of cultural traditions, in what sense 21 are they Hispanic or Latinos? Or what makes a Latino? 22 Because it would -- you know, I'm thinking 23 about some South American Indian populations. 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So what's the answer, 25 Russell?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, I don't 1 2 have it. I have a question. 3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Actually, the report speaks to that in the introduction, about the 4 5 difficulty of categorization to some extent. I know, just from briefly looking at it on the web, that the 6 7 report by the Commission does grapple with that very 8 problem. 9 And of course -- I mean, of course the 10 U.S. government, in the census has grappled with that 11 from the beginning. So we're certainly not going to 12 be able to solve that here today. 13 But yes, it's -- there are many subgroups 14 And they often have different interests 15 and different concerns. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: This 18 problem that interests me a lot, and I would love the 19 Commission to have a more extended discussion about 20 These umbrella categories violate reality. 21 category Asian violates reality. It's more 22 meaningful than European. And the category Latino or 23 Hispanic violates reality. 24 And there are consequences for this kind 25 of crude categorization of Americans. And it would be

1	an interesting topic for the Commission to further
2	explore.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, Madam
4	Chair, the construct to account for identification by
5	ethnicity and race has always been of interest to me.
6	I remember some years ago I had an interview with
7	then chair of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, who
8	was Jewish. And he asked me, "Is it really true that
9	in California I'm considered an Anglo? A good Jewish
10	boy?"
11	I said, "Sorry, but in California, anybody
12	who's not black, or Asian, or Latino is an Anglo."
13	He says, "I can't believe it."
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, it is an
15	interesting
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: He'd made it into
17	the mainstream.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, because in the
19	Asian-American community, there are within that
20	community, folks know that some people speak Korean.
21	That is, if they still speak that language.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Some others are
24	Filipino. Whatever. I mean, it's well understood by
25	all these people. And among Indians, there are all

1 the different nations, right? And everybody knows 2 that. 3 But when we talk about Latinos, we may say 4 that people come from different places, but we usually 5 talk in terms of Spanish-speaking. Isn't that the way 6 the definition goes, right? 7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, it depends 8 who you consult. I think the Census Bureau has a 9 different definition than community groups, and may 10 have a different definition than -- That's part of the 11 In the literature, there's problem. 12 definition. 13 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: The census is 14 such a mess on this. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I thought we discussed 16 this once before in here, and we -- not this precise 17 question -- and we agreed that we were going to have 18 some other discussion of it, or some paper, or some 19 something. 20 Because Ι remember saying, you 21 Commissioner Thernstrom, the same thing you said this 22 time, that this was a very interesting --23 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, could we 24 have a -- you know, I'd like to hear from Census 25 experts and --

1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we could get a 2 briefing. 3 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Could we have a 4 briefing? 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Have them come and 6 talk to us about it or something. Or is that 7 something we shouldn't do? Are there reasons why we shouldn't? Are we sticking our toe in something --8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 9 No. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- better off not --COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, not at all. 11 12 It's just that we've talked about this before. This 13 just goes to process, and we have so many other 14 things. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'd get the census. 16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So many things on 17 I don't want to see the staff the table, and 18 necessarily throwing in another thing when we're 19 trying to sort of get a handle on the things that 20 we've already undertaken. .21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Believe me, I have 23 in the topic. It's personal interest 24 something, you know, that I've thought about and 25 talked to people about for all of my adult life.

1 I have, you know, a very genuine interest in the topic. But I think we should hear 2 3 from the Staff Director on the current state of projects before we embark on any new assignments. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't we do this, 6 commissioners. Why don't we just simply say to the 7 Staff Director that on his list of things, that we're 8 thinking about having briefings. 9 Because from time to time, we all suggest 10 things that we should have. He should put that down 11 on a list. And then after he has assessed, figured 12 out where he's going, but just keep in mind that we're 13 interested in this. 14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. No, it's a 15 great topic. 16 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair? 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: There are in 19 fact some changes. The census, of course, struggled 20 with the issue of multi-ethnicity of a person. 21 And I was at the University of California 22 at Berkeley School of Law the other day, and I was 23 told that in their admissions, apparently they ask 24 folk on a voluntary basis to identify themselves by 25 race and ethnicity. And they've changed the forms to

1	advise the applicants that they can check off more
2	than one category.
3	So, I think that didn't used to be done,
4	even when it was done on a voluntary basis. So,
5	apparently there are some evolutionary changes in our
6	view of who we are as Americans.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. And I wanted to
8	ask, if I may, Commissioner Redenbaugh, the
9	announcement you made?
10	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes?
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you Do you
12	know what year that data came from?
13	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: '02.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: From '02?
15	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the principal
17	finding was that
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The principal
19	finding, and I can't cite the data here, but that
20	enrollment in high school was up. Graduation rates
21	were up. That is the gap the gap narrowed.
22	Intact family rates were up. And home
23	ownership was up. And let me Melissa, have you got
24	the web site?
25	MS. SHARP: Yes. It's "www.census.gov"

1 and it's under "Releases". 2 Okay. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Under 3 "Releases"? Okay. Somehow I missed that report. 4 I'll look at that, too. 5 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I wanted to, if 6 I may? 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Add one thing 9 for the Staff Director to note. If at such time that 10 we do have the kind of briefing we were just 11 discussing, I would recommend that we include as one 12 of the panelists someone to represent I guess what I'd 13 call the nontraditional. 14 The census people will need to have very 15 operational and pragmatic concerns. I think it might 16 be useful to inform us, somebody who comes from the 17 community of language experts. Like a Noam Chomsky 18 orientationally. 19 What's the relationship between ethnicity 20 and the kind of -- not the languages spoken. 21 mean that. I mean in a larger sense the relationship 22 between that -- Well, really, I guess the shorthand 23 word is "culture". 24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They've got a very 25 good department of linguistics, I understand, over at

Georgetown. There may be some people over there that 1 2 could look at that. And I 3 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. actually don't -- I didn't say this very precisely. I 4 really mean more the philosophy of language, rather 5 than you know, the linguistics. 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You mean the meaning 7 8 of -- what this all means? COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. I haven't 9 10 well, but maybe more that at all said anthropological approach. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see. The meaning of 12 language groups, and language, and distinctions. I'm 13 1.4 just trying to figure out -- help the Staff Director 15 figure out what you mean. Is that it? You're talking about meaning? 16 17 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: It's in that 18 Maybe I can -- The language -- The direction. 19 Let's say culture rather than language 20 group. The culture has a great deal to do with the 21 world you see. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So culture and 23 world-view, and the relationship thereto, and how 24 language fits in with that. 25 Or how COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

these categories, these ethnic categories, fit in with 1 2 that or don't. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you need a cultural 4 anthropologist of some kind. 5 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Something like 6 that. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you need --8 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Let me come up 9 with a couple of citations to written work, and then 10 that can more precisely point to what I'm talking 11 about. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes? 13 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: This 14 discussion raises an issue for me that we've touched 15 on off and on. And that is, Jennifer said, well, the 16 staff already has a lot on its plate. And indeed it 17 does. 18 I would just love some six month planning. 19 What are our priorities? It seems to me this would 20 be extremely helpful to the staff, as well as the 21 members of the commission, to have -- You know, what 22 are we going to do in the next six months. What's the 23 top of our agenda. What briefings or hearings are we 24 going to have. 25 And so both the staff and we could have a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

road map and properly prepare for upcoming meetings. 1 You know, I can't remember what the answer to that was 2 in the past. And obviously, you know, it would take 3 some little time to put in place, but I wouldn't mind 4 making a motion to say let's have work with six-month 5 6 kind of plans as to where we go. Madam Chair, are you opposed to that? 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we already have 8 a process for him to do that. So let's let him 9 explain what it is first, before we --10 11 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Okay. STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, I was just going 12 to say that as commissioners know, every year we go 13 14 through the project planning process, which the primary focus is on projects a couple or three years 15 16 down the road. But through that process, the staff knows 17 all the projects that they're supposed to be working 18 on in the next three years. And of course, that can 19 modified at various times, primarily through 20 project planning. But at the same time, emerging 21 issues come up, and we've always looked at those 22 23 issues and so forth. 24 And that's okay. But the staff, I think 25 projects the the of that they in terms'

commissioners have already assigned, they've 1 got plenty to do in terms of setting up hearings and stuff 2 3 like that. In terms of -- unless I misunderstood what 4 5 you said about six-month planning, that's really --6 for a lot of that stuff. For example, our staff, the 7 Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, they're in the next couple or three months going to finish up all the 8 9 projects that they have due and owing for this fiscal 10 year. 11 That doesn't mean they're going to be 12 sitting around like in late summer. They're going to 13 start to look at the projects for next year. 14 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well. I'm 15 I didn't mean to interrupt concerned -- I'm sorry. 16 you. 17 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: No, I was just going 18 to say, you know, the same can be said for the General 19 Counsel's office. General Counsel's office is 20 finishing up a project for September, finishing up 21 another project for next year. Not next year, but 22 later this year, they're going to be having a public 23 activity related to their Native American project. 24 I think one of the things I probably don't 25 do a good enough job at is kind of reminding the

commissioners that staff also has a lot of other 1 responsibilities that don't really 2 come to the 3 attention of the commissioners. The monitoring responsibilities, of the General Counsel's office, 4 just like any other law office, whether it's the 5 6 government or anybody else, they do our legal work. 7 And that's something most of the time you don't see. 8 But I know very well. 9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Unless you hire 10 someone else. In which case, they don't. 11 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: And -- But you know, they have six lawyers right now. So that's a lot of 12 13 things that they do that you don't even see. 14 appreciate Commissioner Braceras' comment about the 15 sensitivity to the staff responsibilities and so 16 forth. 17 Not to say that we couldn't do other 18 stuff, but I think we just have to be very judicious 19 in that regard. 20 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: No, but Μy 21 concern was six-month out planning meetings of the 22 commission, because for instance this meeting, I had 23 no idea until whatever it was, you know, a week ago or 24 so what exactly we were doing.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

And I wouldn't mind, and maybe the other

25

commissioners don't agree. I would not mind having 1 the opportunity to say, well look, in the next six 2 3 months the staff is working on the following projects. Let us have the following discussions built around 4 5 the projects that are on the table, and with a judgment as to their priority, what the priorities 6 7 are. 8 So that I had a sense of the meetings 9 coming up, what the topics were going to be, and also 10 so we could discuss what briefings or hearings we 11 wanted, so that we don't have -- well it would be nice 12 to have a briefing at some point, but I mean so that 13 we could, you know, put our priorities in order. 14 you know --15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me try to 16 recognize -- Excuse me, Abigail. 17 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Sure. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'll get back to you. 19 Commissioner Meeks? 20 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I'm just not -- Is 21 this under the Staff Director's Report that this whole 22 -- I know it has sort of evolved from other 23 discussion, Announcements, I think. But --24 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's under the Staff 25 Director's report that we're talking.

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Is it under the Staff 1 Director's Report? Okay. I have a comment, but I 2 won't make it right now because if this is under the 3 Staff Director's Report, we're on agenda. 4 Okay. Commissioner 5 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Redenbaugh, did you have your hand up or not? 6 7 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I did. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okav. 8 You know, 9 I'm COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: 10 very sensitive to what the Staff Director said about 11 the importance of maintaining the project priorities and time lines, as committed to. And I certainly 12 13 don't think we have to disrupt that. But I think the intention of Commissioner 14 15 Thernstrom's discussions was in that gap between our 16 sort of daily things, and the big projects. 17 some discretion for things like -- certainly not for 18 hearings. Briefings. Thank you. That's exactly what 19 -- ves. 20 Briefings, or panels, or informal areas 21 where we can put our attention. In the same way that 22 there's discretion about where we hold our meetings, 23 and the agenda that then flows from the decision to 24 have the meeting in a location other than Washington.

So there's discretion in that area. And

25

Abbey, I take your comment to mean --1 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: -- could we have 3 more planning of sort of the smaller things, not the 4 5 big projects. Exactly. 6 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I would be -- Did you 8 have your hand up, Peter? 9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, I did. I was 10 just going to concur with Russell's comments. Ιt 11 seems to me it makes perfect sense to have, to the 12 extent possible, given exigencies that may arise, to 13 have maybe a six month, or eight month, or some number 14 of months schedule, tentative schedule, whereby we'd 15 have some idea as to what topics were going to be 16 treated. And I understand that that would vary. 17 But then maybe bring a little bit more 18 definitiveness to it by having a 60 day notice period 19 advising us what the topic was going to be two months 20 down the road. 21 And I understand we have things in the 22 pipeline now, and this is something that would take a 23 little bit of time to implement. Maybe that could 24 occur beginning in September or something like that. 25

But one of the frustrations I have, and I

know a number of other commissioners share it, is —
and this is, you know, no fault of anyone's — but
that I get my booklet a week beforehand. And we're
all very busy. And I've got trials coming up. And I
do my best to digest all of this to get prepared for
the upcoming meeting.

And I don't think I do justice to the folks who have spent so much time on it. For example, the Civil Rights Evaluation Report. If I had a little bit more time, knowing what was coming up going in, then I think I could properly prepare a little bit better.

And so my suggestion would be that possibly we'd have a six month tentative schedule, but at bare minimum a 60 day notice as to what was going to be coming up two months hence.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I would hope that we would -- what I would like to do with this, if the Staff Director will indulge me, is to say that we can at the next meeting if you wish have an interim review, which is really what I hear you talking about.

Because at the planning meeting, we talk about projects, and then we talk about other ideas people have. And then from time to time people raise different subjects that they'd like to look at.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

Maybe we need from time to time an interim 1 review of what's going to happen in the next few 2 months, so that people -- instead of waiting a whole 3 year and then saying here's what we're going to do 4 next year, we think, on projects, and here's what the 5 briefings are, maybe we could on an interim basis sort 6 7 of review for everybody here's where we are. Although we have the MIS, it doesn't 8 really lend itself to that. And here's -- just in 9 10 plain language. Here's where we are, and here's what 11 we think is going to be happening over the next 12 whatever, and let's talk about it if you want to. 13 And we can do that. We don't need to -- I 14 mean that's --15 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Sounds good. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: entirely 17 appropriate to do. I mean there's no reason not to do 18 it. Yes, Commissioner Meeks? 19 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, I think what's 20 getting talked about is the briefings. If we could 21 have some kind of a sense out a few months, six 22 months, eight months, of what some of the briefing 23 topics could be, and when they might occur. 24 I can't remember, did we have a discussion 25 about the letter that the Green Lining Institute?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, we said we were 1 2 going to do something on that. COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So I mean I 3 Yes. would have to definitely defer to the Staff Director. 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 5 What he probably should do, maybe we can do that starting next meeting, 6 is just give us a list of -- first, before the 7 meeting, give us a list of all the things that we've 8 agreed that we'd like to have briefings about, because 9 most of us probably don't remember all of them, and 10 11 which ones he projects we will be having over the next 12 period. And then we can combine that with what we 13 14 already know about the projects which have been 15 approved, because those are the things we approved at 16 the, you know, beginning of the year. 17 And then if people want to discuss when we 18 come to the meeting, you know, either I like that 19 list, or I don't like that list, or I think that list 20 ought to be changed, or now we ought to, you know, now 21 that I'm reminded that that's the list that maybe this 22 one ought to come before that one, or whatever. Then 23 you could have that kind of discussion. 24 Ι say this, I'm Now, Ι amwhen 25 perfectly aware, and that can be put into the mix,

1 Staff Director, that sometimes when you do things --2 because I find these out on a month-to-month basis. 3 As you know, the only thing the chair does in the 4 Commission, besides chair the meetings, is mostly 5 approve the agenda with the Staff Director. 6 So I find out when he's ready to do the 7 agenda that they think this will be ready, and that 8 will be ready, and whatever else will be ready, and 9 that should go on the agenda. And that's okay, 10 because a lot of it is deploying the staff and trying 11 to get stuff done. 12 But I think, you know, looking at the 13 list, and trying to figure out whether people still 14 like all that stuff, or they don't like it, or 15 whatever, would be probably a good idea to do. 16 So why don't we just -- you have any 17 objection? 18 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: No, that sounds like 19 a good idea. 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: He doesn't have any 21 objection to it, so starting with the next meeting why 22 don't we just do that? Yes, Vice Chair. 23 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I was iust 24 going to comment that I know that I've been interested 25 in the Green Lining. And I know the staff at least

1	started doing some work on it, but I never know in
2	light of their work schedule, when they have contacted
3	witnesses, when we might have that briefing.
4	It would be good to do what has been
5	suggested, and have an idea that it might happen in
6	six months, might happen in three months. Might not
7	happen at all, but
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So why don't we
9	just do that.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let's do that. Is
12	that?
L3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: That's good.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Anybody
15	have anything else under the Staff Director's Yes,
۱6	Commissioner.
17	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I just wanted to make
18	a comment that Commissioner Edley especially has been,
19	and Staff Director, trying to try to figure out how to
20	handle this budget better, and recommending conference
21	calls.
22	And I saw throughout the State Advisory
23	Committees that there were numerous conference calls.
24	In the Eastern Region alone there were, I think, I
25	counted eight conference calls. So that was good to

1	see.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think it's wonderful
3	the way the SACs
4	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: It's not a substitute
5	for face-to-face meetings, but it surely is a
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, the way they're
7	stepping up and trying to respond to Because I know
8	the members of the SACs, you know, they keep telling
9	us they want to do more stuff, they want to talk to
10	each other and all that.
11	So I think that coming into the modern
12	period and using conference calls, where as now
13	there's probably something else you use instead of
14	conference calls. We finally figured out how to do
15	that.
16	· COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Chat rooms.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It is a wonderful
18	thing. The chat room? I don't know.
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The telephone
20	was invented in 1896 I think.
21	(Laughter.)
22	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Or it might have
23	been earlier than that.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So anyway, I think
25	let's congratulate the staff. Anybody got anything

38 else under the Staff -- Yes, Commissioner. 1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Ι had a 2 First is -- This goes to my 3 couple of things. ignorance as to how these things work in terms of 4 5 finances. But we got the determination on the EEOC 6 7 case that indicated that there was certain back pay, and attorney's fees, and costs that were levied 8 against the Commission. I'm not sure what fund that 9 10 I don't know if that's a part of the comes out of. 11 Commission's budget. 12 But the question is, in trying to total up 13 the amounts, it's difficult to ascertain how much the 14 amounts would be because I don't know if there's any 15 interest component to it. And I'm not sure how many, 16 you know, there's a clear definition in terms of back 17 pay, and attorney's fees. But it seems to be in the hundreds of thousands of dollars. 18 19 Where does that money come from, and if it 20 has an impact, a financial impact, on the Commission, to what extent does it impact the programs that we've 21 22 -- or the briefings, hearings, programs that we

> STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Sir, Commissioner this is one of those questions I was Kirsanow,

currently have contemplated.

23

24

thinking like on one hand, I would love to have somebody ask that question so I can answer it, because I regret to say that I think that I know it's in your clips, and if I saw the article too, I think I would have come to a wrong conclusion. Because the reality is that what the EEOC just ordered was in response to a very narrow motion that the Commission made about a year ago regarding a part of this case. And it actually, even though the EEOC in

this order reaffirmed its prior decision, it really gave us what we wanted because the only thing that was at issue was whether we had to put this person back into is specific titled position. And our position was that that restricted too unduly the Commission's managerial discretion.

We wanted to have -- We knew that we had to put the person back into a comparable position, something with substantially equivalent duties. we wanted to not have to put the person, if we didn't want to, back into the same exact title.

And the EEOC basically said, well, I think you misread us because we never ordered that. And no, you don't have to put the person back into the same title. Can I? The exact same title. But so we're

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005,3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reaffirming our prior decision, but we just want to clarify that.

You know, so the point I'm trying to make there is that the impression one gets from, you know, an article that I saw in the paper, was that somehow we had to pay hundreds of thousands of dollars as a result of this decision.

Well, this decision was initially issued in September of 2000. And we appealed that last year about this time. The EEOC reaffirmed its decision, and of course you might remember that some of the commissioners wrote me several times for information regarding both that case as well as some other EEOC matters, which we responded to.

Last July we had a pretty extensive discussion at a Commission meeting on that, and I think after that actually we had some further discussion with some of the commissioners who had wanted to have a meeting with me, I think including yourself.

And so there's nothing new from that. The only thing new is that we appealed, asked for reconsideration on that very small, narrow portion of the decision. And this was the decision. Everything else we implemented last summer.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

- 1	
1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Including the
2	liability?
3	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Including the
4	monetary award.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So could you answer
6	his question about the money?
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So she was paid?
8	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: She was paid last
9	summer. Yes. And this particular amount came out of
10	the judgment fund, which the federal government has as
11	a matter of course for situations like this. So this
12	case has not cost the Commission a dime.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a minute,
14	Russell. Commissioner Braceras has her hand up.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I obviously
16	can't speak for Commissioner Kirsanow, but I read the
17	actual decision in this case, the first one in this
18	one. My information doesn't come from the clips. My
19	information comes from the EEOC.
20	So I've read it very clearly. And it's my
21	understanding that although they did not make a
22	decision at this time about the particular job title
23	and category, that a separate complaint has been filed
24	on that issue which is pending. Is that correct?
25	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: There is a That's

1	not clear at this point. I mean, we're
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's not clear as
3	to
4	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, yes. We thought
5	that everything had been rolled into this, but we're
6	exploring that. The thing is that
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait. So it's a
8	yes/no question. Has something separate been filed?
9	The answer is yes.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Well, there was
1.1	something separate filed a long, long time ago.
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In 1999?
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This counselor says
14	she knows the answer, that nothing has been filed
15	recently. Debra, could you answer her question as to
16	whether anything has been filed on the title issue
17	which is still pending?
18	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: There's been
19	nothing new filed.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So there is no
21	outstanding matter as far as you know?
22	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: That would
23	be correct. There's been nothing new filed in the
24	matter leading in the complaints.
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry, but in
i	NEAL D. CDCCC

1 1999, a separate charge was filed with the EEOC. 2 there may be confusion as to whether or not they were 3 consolidated, but the language of the EEOC opinion --4 I don't have it in front of me, but it seems to 5 indicate that that matter. 6 I read it very carefully. It seems to 7 indicate that that separate matter is still under 8 review by the EEOC. 9 DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Our position 10 additional clarification can until 11 received is that it's our view that absent a new 12 filing or allegation, that everything filed up to this 13 date has been heard and disposed of. 14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I understand 15 that, but that doesn't appear to be the EEOC's view, 16 and they're the ones who will ultimately decide. So -17 18 DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: And we're 19 responding to their view in terms of how we believe 20 the case and the allegations have been handled and 21 disposed of. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, because you 23 know, a close read of the opinion, it was very clear 24 didn't answer to me that they that 25 dispositively, they simply deferred it to another

	time. That was my reading of the opinion.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is that your read?
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I think the
4	Staff Director's characterization is inaccurate.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a minute,
6	Commissioner Braceras. Is it your understanding there
7	is a matter outstanding?
8	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: It's our
9	view that there isn't a matter outstanding. Our view
10	has been that the allegations that were all filed in
11	the '90s have been disposed of by the most recent
12	decision.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does EEOC Are they
14	pressing to say that the matters have not been
15	disposed of?
16	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Has the EEOC informed
18	you that there are matters still outstanding that need
19	to be disposed of?
20	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: They made a
21	reference in the last order about a matter needing
22	processing. However, we believe that there is a
23	misreading of the record, and that everything has been
24	disposed.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are you responding to

1	EEOC?
2	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: Yes, we are.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So that's where
4	the matter stands
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. No, I
6	understand that, this discrepancy between the General
7	Counsel's position and the EEOC's position. But until
8	that discrepancy is resolved, my understanding of what
9	the EEOC did is to defer the ultimate determination to
10	another time. I don't think that's inconsistent with
11	anything Ms. Carr just said.
12	It does, however, call in the spin the
13	Staff Director has put on it.
14	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I don't think it's
15	inconsistent with anything I said.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a moment. But to
17	answer Commissioner Kirsanow's question, the money has
18	been paid, and it came from the judgment fund. Is
19	that correct?
20	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct.
21	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: The judgment
22	fund is different from the Commission?
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right, that's a
24	federal fund. So that all we can conclude from this
25	discussion, if I heard everybody right, is that EEOC

1	and General Counsel are contending as to whether of
2	not there's anything left to be disposed of. Is that
3	right?
4	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: That would
5	be correct.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that's the
7	conclusion.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, yes, and
9	there are very strong arguments that there is. So
LO	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you want to favor
11	the EEOC's argument? Is that what you're saying?
L2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have well.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, I'm just asking is
14	that what you wish to do?
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have from the
16	beginning had very strong concerns that the
17	elimination of the Solicitor's position constitutes
18	further retaliation. Yes, I have had a very strong
L9	concerns about that from the beginning.
20	And it's something that I have never
21	agreed with. I believe that the woman should be
22	reinstated to the Solicitor's job. And it would be up
23	to the EEOC to determine whether or not that
24	constitutes retaliation or not, but
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, no.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Excuse me, I'm 2 speaking. 3 I'm talking to the CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Staff Director. Don't try to interrupt. 4 He was 5 trying to interrupt you. Go ahead. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But, you know, as 6 7 somebody who formerly defended retaliation complaints for Fortune 500 companies, were I advising you as a 8 client, I would certainly tell you to avoid possible 9 10 liability that she should be reinstated to the exact 11 position. 12 I've seen these cases time and time again 13 in my practice, and the companies always claim that the position doesn't exist anymore, that there's no 14 15 need for a position. And that's exactly how they get 16 into trouble, and that's exactly how they violate the 17 law. 18 So yes, based on my experience, I have 19 very grave concerns about the way it's been handled. 20 It's not for me to decide. It's for the EEOC to 21 decide. But as a former management lawyer, I think 22 it's my duty to advise you as to my opinion. 23 You can take it or leave it, but I have 24 concerns. 25 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have to say, and I

1	do not wish to be contentious, but I must say this,
2	Commissioner. As a lawyer myself and a member of the
3	Bar, I believe that it is inappropriate for a
4	commissioner in the capacity that we are here to be
5	giving legal advice, legal opinions.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's not a legal
7	opinion. It's a personal opinion.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's the province of
9	the General Counsel to on behalf of the Commission,
10	to represent the facts. But not the Commissioners who
11	were not present when the events occurred; when
12	there's a legal dispute going on.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I've read all the
14	pleadings and materials.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That we have a counsel
16	representing us, and that as a matter of Because
17	Commissioners are not here in their capacity as
18	lawyers to advise the Commission on its legal matters.
19	So I cannot keep you from insisting on
20	advising the Commission on legal matters, but that is
21	not your responsibility as a commissioner. That's not
22	the definition of your role here.
23	And it may give the misleading impression
24	to people who do not know any better that therefore,
25	as a commissioner, you are giving an opinion which

1 should be taken into account in the resolution of a 2 matter which is in dispute. 3 I consider that entirely inappropriate. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's a complete 4 mischaracterization. I stated quite clearly that it 5 6 was my personal opinion. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I consider you out of order. And I consider and wish you would rethink your 8 9 position of giving legal advice to the Commission, 10 because none of us are here to give legal advice on 11 matters. 12 There are lawyers sitting at this table, 13 but we're not here to give legal advice. I have my 14 legal opinion on this question, but I am not going to 15 state it because it's not my role or function to do 16 it. 17 I'm sure the vice chair has his legal 18 opinion, and I'm sure that Mr. Kirsanow, who is a 19 lawyer who has expertise in these matters, has a 20 professional opinion. 21 But I don't think it's up to us to state 22 our legal opinions. And with that, I don't wish to 23 argue about this, but if people insist on arguing 24 about it, there's -- it can't be helped, but it is my 25 responsibility as chair to point out to you that this

is inappropriate behavior. Commissioner Redenbaugh? 1 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Ι 2 question that is not of a legal nature. I'd like a 3 clarification of fact. So, for this agency and other 4 agencies, this just shows -- I just need someone to 5 When there's a judgment against an 6 educate me. agency, that's not paid by the agency's budget, but 7 another fund set up in the government? Is that? 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's correct. 9 10 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm actually looking at the Staff Director. 11 12 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes. 13 (Laughter.) STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I don't know all the 14 15 under certain nuances, but Ι know at least against 16 there's judgment circumstances, if a agency, you can apply to the judgment fund for it to 17 18 be paid, and they will pay it. 19 But I don't want to be categorical saying 20 that with every judgment that that applies. 21 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay, but in 22 this case then. Okay. So I didn't understand that at 23 And that pays the judgment. Does it also pay all. 24 the Commission's costs in defending against the 25 complaint?

1	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: If you If by that
2	maybe I'll ask counsel.
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Outside counsel
4	costs, whatever.
5	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: The judgment
6	fund would cover any damages awarded, any attorney's
7	fees and costs. It doesn't pay the cost of the
8	Commission's counsel, if there were outside counsel or
9	any internal costs incurred.
10	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, the
11	internal cost is staff. So then it would be, Staff
12	Director, inaccurate to say that this did not cost the
13	Commission one dime.
14	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Characterized that
15	way, then I think that I see your point. But I think
16	the question that Commissioner Kirsanow asked me was
17	did we have to pay for anything on the judgment. So
18	that was my reference.
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Your answer is
20	very lawyerly. So we did have costs.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Well, sure. I mean,
22	the staff had to spend time to handle the case. That
23	is true.
24	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay.
25	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I mean, that happens

1 with all agencies. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The alternative is 2 whenever anyone sues you, to simply give up and agree 3 with them so that you don't have to pay the costs. 4 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm not No, 5 against defending, especially if we win. But I am ٠6 against the characterization and misrepresentation 7 that this didn't cost the Commission a dime, or a 8 9 penny, or whatever. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Kirsanow? 10 Commissioner 11 KIRSANOW: COMMISSIONER Redenbaugh's question answered much of what I had. 12 But I had a couple of outstanding ones, and I'd like 13 14 to be educated too. If we applied to the judgment fund, and 15 apparently this is -- there is some element 16 17 discretion with respect to applying to this fund, and 18 some discretion as to whether or not they're going to 19 pay it out? Or is this a point of protocol? And if in fact there's a judgment that's 20 21 paid out, is there some type of debit that is accorded 22 to a particular agency? Is there some kind of 23

recording somewhere about the amount that is paid out, and is it then something that is considered in terms of projecting a budget down the road?

24

It's

the

or

1 COUNSEL CARR: DEPUTY GENERAL 2 involved discretionary with the agency in 3 litigation whether seek not to payment orreimbursement by the judgment fund. 4 5

The judgment fund has discretion in terms of whether to agree with the request for payment or reimbursement, and they have their own internal criteria for doing that. And the part that may be driven by whether it was litigation, settlement, the nature of the allegations, those kinds of things, plus others.

The judgment fund is managed by the Treasury, so they obviously would be keeping track of who they're paying, what agencies are liable, and cost. Whether or not that's going to be held against an agency in terms of its future allocations, I don't believe so.

But it should be noted that the judgment fund will be changing its policy in the next fiscal year. Agencies may in fact, may be asked to reimburse the fund should they pay on the agency's behalf. And that's true for all government agencies, not just the Commission.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And did we retain outside counsel to defend in this?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: The motion
2	to reconsider, you mean? No. That was handled in-
3	house.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. At any
5	point did we have outside counsel engaged in this
6	particular case?
7	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: I believe in
8	the early stages, EEOC found that there was a conflict
9	with the agency's then General Counsel, and so the
10	Commission was conflicted out and hired outside
11	counsel.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Are you
13	trying to say something else?
14	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, I am.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you like to get
16	further into the murk? Go ahead.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I could
18	DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL CARR: I'm sorry.
19	I do I don't want to interrupt, but I want to
20	caution the commissioners that some discussion in
21	terms of generalities and the fund would be okay, but
22	I just caution that we not get into too many details
23	about the case unless there is a decision to go into a
24	closed or executive session.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, if we wish to

1	pursue this in greater detail, we'll have to go into
2	executive session?
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, there may be
4	comments that are general enough.
5	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I feel I need to
6	clarify the record.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
8	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I think the record
9	seems to suggest right now, from what Commissioner
10	Braceras says, that this individual was not in the
11	capacity of the Solicitor. That's not true.
12	When the EEOC issued its decision back
13	last year, we construed that decision to mean that we
14	needed to reinstate her into the Solicitor position,
15	which we did.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And that's her
17	current title?
18	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's her current
19	title.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What are her job
21	duties?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: And that we're not
23	going to get into, not in this kind of situation. I'm
24	not
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Because they're

1	not the same.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would the
3	commissioners like an executive session excuse me,
4	Staff Director to discuss this matter further?
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: At some point yes,
6	but
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you like one
8	today? That's what I'm asking. Is there a need and
9	desire to get into more detail about this? Because if
10	so, we can have an executive session. I'm not I
11	don't It's up to you. Are there commissioners who
12	feel the need to have an executive session?
13	All right, well
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I do.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, let's keep it at
16	a certain level where we
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I do feel that
18	need.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Most of you want to
20	have an executive session?
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It doesn't have to
22	be today, but I do feel that need.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I would like to
24	actually hear the rest of what the Staff Director had
25	to say on this. And that would help us.

1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Part of what he was 2 going to say was stuff that I don't think he should 3 say in public. 4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right, that's why 5 if he's going to -- part of my problem is he wants to 6 the record straight, but -- quote unquote 7 "straight" -- but General Counsel has just advised us 8 not to get into the specifics. 9 Right, CHAIRPERSON BERRY: because we 10 It's a personnel matter. We should not shouldn't. 11 get into discussing this. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's fine, but 13 my comment would be I do think we should have an executive session on this, but I also think we should 14 15 wait until Commissioner Edley can be a part of it. 16 Ι think that all of the Because 17 commissioners should discuss this. 18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, well then 19 why don't we leave in abeyance, if that's okay with 20 you, discussing it further until we have an executive 21 session. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's fine, but I 23 still have something I'd like to say, and I believe 24 Commissioner Thernstrom does as well, that's not about 25 the specifics of this case.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, well let's
2	take care not to say things that we shouldn't say.
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Right, and I
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: About personnel.
5	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I just want to
6	say something about the executive session. I don't
7	want to go into executive session without Commissioner
8	Edley here. I think that all, if we go into
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I just said that.
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: You did? I
11	wasn't listening, I'm sorry.
12	(Laughter.)
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thanks, Abby.
14	(Laughter.)
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I think we
16	all agree about that.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Well, we
18	will defer this, any further record-clearing, or
19	statements, or anything else, until we can discuss
20	this again.
21	I will say, which has nothing to do with
22	personnel, my own feeling about this case was that
23	and in general about cases is that I on any
24	subject that involve the Commission is that I don't
25	like the fact that the chair of the Commission,

whoever it is, I just happen to be the chair now, is always designated as the party who, in fact, did whatever it is that happened, when the statute clearly makes it perfectly clear that the chair of the Commission has no authority beyond chairing meetings and approving the agenda.

And yet we tried to explain to the EEOC that we don't run like they do, where the chairman over there has authority and power and staff and money, and gets to tell people what to do and handle personnel.

They didn't care. They just said, well, the chair is going to be the head of the agency, and the chair is responsible for all of this. And it's not true.

So I think that at some point, the Commission, maybe not this one, maybe when one of you is the chair, the Commission ought to find some way to get the statute in accord with what happens in reality.

Because then I get people calling me up saying why did you do so-and-so to so-and-so? And I don't even know what they're talking about. So that will happen to some of you if you're ever the chair.

So I just think that there's an anomaly

1	there, and I don't know what we do about it. The
2	Commission is such a strange such an odd agency
3	that we have all sorts of things happening.
4	Anybody got anything else on the Staff
5	Director's?
6	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
9	Thernstrom, and then Commissioner Braceras.
LO	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Have we agreed
11	to go into executive session next time?
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, because I think
13	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Next meeting?
L4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh. I understood that
15	you wanted to wait?
16	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I want to wait
L7	till next meeting.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We can do it next
L9	time, fine.
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Good. Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
22	Braceras.
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, I mean I hate
24	to waste any more time on this, but you know
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You're not wasting

time, go ahead.

know, since I feel that you criticized my concern as inappropriate, I need to respond. I never suggested I was giving legal advice. I did, however, suggest that as a commissioner I had concerns, and I will continue to raise my concerns about this and any other matter that may arise with the Commission because I do think that's part of our responsibility.

And particularly when an agency concerned with civil rights is being sued for a civil rights violation, I think that's something that all commissioners with legal background or otherwise should be concerned about. And it's something we need to talk about as a group.

So I'm not going to refrain from giving my opinions. Nobody should take them as legal advice, because certainly that's not what they are. But I will bring to bear my experience, as should the chair, as should the vice chair, as should anybody else who has experience in whatever area we're discussing.

I would gladly have commissioners bring forward their experience on any topic we're discussing. And I think that's an appropriate role. So I disagree with your statement that my comment was

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

inappropriate, and I will continue to raise my 1 concerns when I have them. 2 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, in the interests 3 of time, or perhaps I should not. I should have the 4 transcript read back, so that we can hear the .5 6 statement that you made. 7 Because I was referring clearly to your 8 statement that as a management attorney --9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That in my 10 experience, something that I had --11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. And my only concern is that people not give legal advice when 12 13 they're not here to give legal advice. Of course we 14 can all state our opinions about everything in the 15 world. 16 And so I appreciate your clarification of 17 why you were giving your opinion, and what it meant, 18 and that it's not to be taken as legal advice. So we 19 won't ask anything be read back. 20 I just appreciate your clarifying for the 21 record that that was not your intention. And perhaps 22 misunderstood you. I took that to be your 23 intention. So thank you for that clarification, 24 Commissioner Braceras. 25 Anybody have anything else on the Staff

Director's Report? Yes.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, this is on a completely different subject. I didn't see it in the Staff Director's Report, but I think last time we had something like this in the Staff Director's Report pertaining to the hotline that was reestablished pertaining to -- I think just prior to the outset of Gulf War II, we had the hotline reestablished for any kind of hate crimes, or acts of discrimination against Arab-Americans, or Muslims.

Number one, if you have it off the top of your head, what's been the experience with that? Is that still up? And number two, can we get a report on that?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Can we get a report?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, I think I can give you a general -- my general understanding, and then ask Terri Dickerson to elaborate if she feels she needs to.

I mean, we put that up, I think, as an appropriate precaution. And so I think it was a good idea. But I think fortunately, we did not really get — unlike last time, we really did not get a lot of extra calls.

And so I think that's the status. Is that 1 right, Terri? Yes, I think Ms. Dickerson just kind of 2 confirmed that that's generally correct. 3 Thank you. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 4 Commissioner BERRY: 5 CHAIRPERSON 6 Thernstrom? Ι have 7. THERNSTROM: COMMISSIONER question about the hotline. Was that specifically 8 designated as a hotline for Arab-Americans, Muslims, 9 Because there has been rising concern on 10 whatever? the part of some Jewish groups about an increase in 11 12 anti-Semitism in connection with Operation Iraqi 13 Freedom. And I would also -- we have such hotlines 14 15 -- hope that they would be open to other groups that -- if they had complaints related to, you know, to the 16 war in Iraq, or the immediate precipitating event that 17 18 has led to the establishment of the hotline. 19 Commissioner JIN: DIRECTOR STAFF 20 Thernstrom, first of all, it's important to remember 21 that the Commission for many years, well before 22 September 11, has had a complaint hotline dealing not 23 only with complaints of harassment and hate crimes, . 24 but with a lot of other different matters. 25 been in And again, I mean, that's

1	65
1	existence for a long time. What happened was, as
2	September 11 happened, both because of overflow, and
3	because I think we decided that that was a service we
4	could provide for those that had begun to get to be
5	victims because of what happened, we created a
6	separate number.
7	But certainly the situation you alluded
8	to, or any other situation during the ongoing periods,
9	we have a complaint hotline available for that.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
11	V. FUNDING FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT:
12	2004 REPORT
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let's go to the next
14	item, if that's all right with everybody. It's called
15	Funding Federal Civil Rights Enforcement: 2004 Report.
16	And it is the responsibility of the Office of Civil
17	Rights Evaluation.
18	And how do you want to?
19	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I think Ms. Dickerson
20	has a short introduction to the report. The report
21	kind of speaks for itself. So but I think that's what
22	we're going to do.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a minute, Terri.
24	Yes?
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. Yes,

1	with all due respect, I'm eager to hear the
2	introduction, but I'm wondering whether we shouldn't
3	table this entire discussion until Commissioner Edley
4	can be here as well.
5	I believe he had communication with
6	Commissioner Thernstrom asking that we table
7	discussions, substantive discussions and votes until
8	he can participate. And I would move that we do so,
9	out of respect.
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'll second.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Anybody object?
12	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes, I second
13	that, if we need a formal vote. I would like to.
1,4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We don't need a vote,
15	but does anybody object? I mean does anyone insist
16	that we do it anyway? Okay, Terri, you have to save
17	your dulcet tones
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Sorry.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and golden-throated
20	communication, your substance, until the next time.
21	Okay.
22	VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let's do the State
24	Advisory Committee Report on Arab and Muslim Civil
25	Rights Issues in the Chicago Metropolitan Area Post-

' !	
1	September 11 (Illinois).
2	Can I get a motion to approve this report?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can I get a second?
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This report is based
7	on a forum a series of forums.
8	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Just one forum.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: One forum that they
10	had. And a lot of the State Advisory Committees had
11	such forums. And this is one coming out of that. It
12	reports what people said, summarizes the kinds of
13	issues and problems that were raised.
14	Any further discussion of this report?
15	All those in favor, indicate by saying aye.
16	(A chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered.
20	VII. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are there any other
22	agenda items, or anything else that I forgot that we
23	ought to be doing? Or are we finished? Okay. Can I
24	get a motion to adjourn?
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
	NEAL D. GDOSS

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can I get a second?
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, so all in favor
4	indicate by saying aye.
5	(A chorus of ayes.)
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered. Thank you
9	very much.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
11	off the record at 10:44 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

LE COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS