### U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

MEETING

FRIDAY

OCTOBER 8, 2004

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson, presiding.

## PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson
CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Commissioner
CHRISTOPHER EDLEY, JR., Commissioner
PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner
ELSIE M. MEEKS, Commissioner
RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, Commissioner (via telephone)
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Commissioner

LESLIE R. JIN, Staff Director



### STAFF PRESENT:

MANUEL ALBA

TERESA BROOKS

DEBRA CARR, ESQ., Deputy General Counsel

IVY DAVIS, Chief, Regional Programs Coordination

Unit

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, Administrative Services

and Clearinghouse Division

DORELLE GRAY

GEORGE M. HARBISON

SOCK-FOON MACDOUGALL

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director of Management Division

KWANA ROYAL

MICHELLE ROYSTER

EILEEN RUDERT

ALEXANDER SUN

AUDREY WRIGHT

TIFFANY WRIGHT

MIREILLE ZIESENISS

# COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

LAURA BATIE

PATRICK DUFFY

JOY FREEMAN

CHRISTOPHER JENNINGS

KIMBERLY SCHULD

MELISSA SHARP

KRISHNA TOOLSIE

#### PANELISTS

SABRINA HODGE NAACP, Campus Chapter, Prairie

View A&M University

JANELLE HU Asian and Pacific Islander

American Vote 2004

TED SHAW NAACP Legal Defense Fund

NIGEL REDMOND Student Body Government, Prairie

View A&M University

## A-G-E-N-D-A

	FAGE
I.	Approval of Agenda 4
II.	Approval of Minutes of September 1733 2004 Meeting
III.	Announcements
IV.	Staff Director's Report
v.	State Advisory Committee Appointments 39 for Montana, North Dakota, Ohio, Utah, and Washington
VII.	Future Agenda Items 41
	fing on Voting and Election Reform:59

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

till the November meeting and also remove

1	unapproved draft from the web site.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Also the what?
3	I didn't catch
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That we table
5	discussion of the Civil Rights Report
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: to November and
8	remove the unapproved draft from the web site.
9	REDENBAUGH: Oh.
LO	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Were you seeking
11	recognition, Russell? You said something.
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Oh. I was but I
13	defer to my colleague.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, okay. So you're
<b>L</b> 5	suggesting that we change the agenda.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm suggesting we
17	take it off the agenda.
18	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right. That seems to
19	me separate from the web site issue. So I suggest
20	there be two motions. One is about the agenda, and
21	one is about the web site, right?
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, one can view
23	it that way, but the point is
24	COMMISSIONER EDIEV: No. It's just the

1	only reason
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Are we having
3	discussion on my motion now?
4	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, the only reason
5	I'm asking is because if it's about the agenda, I
6	might support it. If it's about the agenda, I might
7	support it. If it's about the web site, I'm not
8	sure what I'd do.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Edley,
LO	you were not recognized.
11	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair, you were
13	not recognized. I have not decided I can't
14	figure out yet whether there was a second to her
15	motion.
16	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I second it.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That was my
19	question. ·
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, that was your
21	question?
22	. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Now that
24	there's been a second to the motion and we're

1	
	discussing the motion, Commissioner Edley, your
	point was that you think there should be two
	different it sounds like two separate motions to
	you. Okay. All right.
	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.
	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you like to make
	two separate motions or not?
	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would not and
	here is why: I think that it was my
	understanding that in June of 2000 when the Clinton
	Civil Rights Report was coming out, Commissioner
	Edley made a similar request, which was to defer
	discussion of the report until after the
	presidential election in November in order to

basically depoliticize the report.

Edley

Anyway, so the point of -- as I understand it -- obviously, I wasn't here, but as I understand

discussed, I have the transcript right here and

Anderson stated, quote, "It would be best for us if

we were not circulating drafts or draft reports in

Commissioner

Commissioner Anderson, "I think the

Commissioner

October."

obvious."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And it was

reason

agreed, that Commissioner

Edley,

what was
Clinton
Clinton
the mer
wouldn't
perceive
way or
site is
impacts
document

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what was done with respect to the report on the Clinton Administration was to defer discussion of the merits until after the election so that it wouldn't be perceived -- so that we wouldn't be perceived as trying to influence the election one way or the other. And, therefore, because the web site is in the public domain and is there for -- it impacts circulating the draft, I would ask that the document be pulled from the public domain entirely until November, at which point I'd be happy to discuss the merits of it.

I'm not maybe CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Edley recalls the discussion that you recall I don't part of, but discussion, but that doesn't matter. But I would say that the policy that we have of putting reports on the web site after we have -- the staff has finished them and we send them to commissioners, I think that policy was made after the discussion that you have just cited. And that is an overall policy which covers all reports, not just this one, but all reports.

For that reason, I would think that it would make sense, since it does cover all reports

1	and not just this one, that your motion I agree
2	with Commissioner Edley that it should be divided
3.	into two parts. And in fact I would rule that, as
4	Chair, that it should be two separate motions.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. You're
6	ruling it?
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm ruling
8	procedurally that the motion
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: How can they be
10	split?
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because the motion
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I need some
13	education.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have a policy
15	already which is separate
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: May I be heard?
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Just a second.
18	You asked me and I'll say it again.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But the policy of
21	putting materials on the web site once they're sent
22	to the commissioners is a policy the commissioners
23	made after, if I recall correctly someone can
24	find out

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 1 understand that.
2	My question
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't know whether
4	that's true or not.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I understand that,
6	and I recall that. My question is, what I need
7	educating on here, is as a matter of Roberts Rules,
8	if somebody makes a motion, you have the discretion
9	just to sever it based on your view of whatever the
10	policy is? I mean it's my motion, and I'm trying to
11	understand procedurally on what basis you have a
12	right to change my motion.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, since I don't
14	wish to get into
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. My
16	assistant is explaining it to me.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. So all I'm
18	saying it would have to be voted on, though, if
19	someone wants to overrule it. I cannot just
20	unilaterally make and in fact I will, as a
21	courtesy to you, withdraw my interpretation and ask
22	the commissioners to vote on your motion when you're
23	ready, when the discussion has gotten to that point.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. Well, I

٦	ı
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

appreciate that, but I want to just take the time to explain again why I think that they need to be -- why it needs to be one motion.

And that is, I fully understand that the web site policy was enacted after -- well after the June 2000 meeting that I referred to earlier, but the point is in this particular case, in the interest of basic equity and fairness and balance and treating a Democratic administration and a Republican administration in the same manner, the concerns were the same.

The concern at that time was not to have something in the public domain that would seem one way or the other like a political document and to academic study of the Clinton have an Administration's record on civil rights that could not be politicized by the campaigns. And, therefore, the view, it seems to me, shouldn't be in the public domain at all, no draft circulated. And the same logic clearly applies here.

So I have long opposed the policy of putting drafts up on the web site before commissioners have had a chance to read them, and I

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

understand that that was railroaded through when Commissioner Redenbaugh was on hiatus and there was a gap in the service, so there was nothing we could do about that. But it seems to me to be, a, not a good policy in its right, but, but, this seems to me to be the type of circumstance where even if one approved the policies, generally, this would be a circumstance where, in the interest of equity and fairness, that the policy should be amended in this case.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Perhaps the staff can remind us of the history here. My recollection was that the Clinton report was not, as this report was, established early on as a regular report that we were going to make and that this report has always been, as one of the projects that we had, I believe, agreed to unanimously, unlike the Clinton report. I need to be reminded if that's true. It seems to me that we ought to follow whatever normal procedural we follow --

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I didn't quite hear you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I couldn't understand

1	the point.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Explain that
3	again.
4	. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'm asking the
5	staff remind us my recollection is that the
6	Clinton report was not a report that we had agreed
7	way early on, a couple years before, as long as we
8	have agreed to do this report, and that this report
9	on this administration has been before us as a
10	regular report. My recollection, I may be wrong,
11	was that the Clinton report didn't follow that
12	trajectory.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What difference
14	would that make? I mean which way does that cut,
15	I'm not even sure?
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, no. It's
17	just that my conclusion is that this report should
18	be treated like any other report, that's all.
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm going to recognize
21	Commissioner Thernstrom and then Commissioner
22	Redenbaugh, but let me just say that my view of this
23	entire matter is now colored by the statement of
24	Commissioner Braceras that somebody railroaded

1	through a motion when in fact the motion was voted,
2	on six to two, which is what the record will show.
3	Commissioner Thernstrom? Which means there must
4	have been eight commissioners. Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, I would
6	like to defer to Commissioner Redenbaugh first and
7	let him speak first. He hasn't had a chance to
8	speak.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You haven't either.
10	You haven't either, but
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: That's all
12	right.
13	· CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a moment,
14	Commissioner Thernstrom. Please calm down. We all
15	have a short time if we could be patient for
16	another few months. Just a little patience, okay?
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I am really
18	patient.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now, Commissioner
20	Redenbaugh?
21	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Oh, thank you.
22	I was on the Commission at that time, and I do
23	recall
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I apologize

1	Russell. I misspoke. I mean obviously don't
2	remember that correctly. I apologize.
3	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: That's all
4	right. I do remember Commissioner Edley's concern
5	at that time, and in fact I shared his concern and
6	agreed with him that the principle that we should
7	follow, and did follow, was to hold the report over
8	until after the election so that we made sure to
9	avoid even the appearance of being partisan or
LO	political. And I think that is a good principle to
.1	follow and that that principle is one that should
L2	guide us here and that that principle rises above
L3	whatever policy we have about disclosure on the web
L4	site or not. I recommend that we follow the Edley
L5	policy.
L6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In the interest of
L7	Commissioner Edley who doesn't remember himself what
18	he said, I can tell from looking at him
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And I didn't either,
21	to be frank, I have now a copy of the transcript,
22	and I just want to tell you that Commissioner
23	Edley's point had nothing to do with the points that
24	have just been discussed or at least were indirectly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What he wanted was only concerned about it. that were coming into report for new appointees office on the last eight years of the Clinton Administration. for their quidance and for the commissioners to say what things we thought they The whole discussion was about that. ought to do.

Т think the situation now is very different because we don't have an administration with eight years in office going out. And Clinton in fact wasn't even running for office again, so it could not have a political consideration for Bill And as I recall, the report was quite critical of Bill Clinton and his presidency. Bill Clinton in fact was not running for office again, having served eight years and unhappily not being able to run again.

But in any case, I don't think that any of that is relevant, and I would like Pages 27 through -- Page 28 through Page 31 of the transcript from the meeting of June 16, 2000, which is in accord with what I have discussed here, entered into the I will not read it into the record in the record. interest of time, and I will hand it over to the guy who's doing the transcript at an appropriate time.

L	I just want it in there so that we can have it
2	accurately reflect for the historians who might wish
3	to look at this matter.
1	(INSERTED PORTION FOLLOWS ON NEXT 5 PAGES, PAGES
5	NUMBERED 16 THROUGH 20.)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## VI. Future Agenda Items

	CHAIRPERSON	BERRY:	Okay.	Any	other	
yes,	Commissioner Edle	y?				

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: This is on the new business, future business.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I wanted to just present for Commissioners to think about -- no?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I was talking to her. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Present for Commissioners an idea for an activity. It seemed to me that it would be very helpful for the public at large if we were to undertake to do a pretty serious conference/hearing in November after the election in which we analyzed the civil rights enforcement record of the Clinton Administration, last eight And on the basis of that hearing do a report for the benefit of the next President and the next Congress providing our thoughts about what experience, recent experience has been, and what priorities would make sense.

It strikes me first of all; that doing this after the election would help minimize the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	politicization of the exercise. And the kind of
2	session that I envision would be at least a day,
3	would involve hearing from several of the agencies
4	themselves, but also hearing from outside folks.
5	Perhaps some people from Capitol Hill, certainly
6	some researchers, other interested members of the
7	public. And that we need to endeavor to try to put
8	together a tight not massive, but a fairly tight
9	20, 30-page document for the incoming administration
10	and the incoming congressional leadership trying to
.11	distill and offer our suggestions about priorities.
12	But I thought it would be a good occasion
13	for everybody to take their breath, take stock of
14	where we are and offer the Commission's independent
15	view about future directions.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any support for such
17	idea? Yes, Commissioner Redenbaugh?
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, very
19	supportive of it. It goes right to, I think, one of
20	the things we can do well, which is comment and seek
21	to influence policy. I think it's a very worthwhile
22	and appropriate project and I would support it.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Any objections
24	to the idea? You object to the idea, Commissioner?

You	iust	proposed	it.
± 🖰 🖰		PTOPODOG	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I just wanted to COMMISSIONER EDLEY: clarify that it seems to me -- the reason that I suggested that our gloss on this, our synthesis be is because it seems to me it would be done by January, so that desirable to get it incoming appointees would have the benefit of it. Ι think that if we decided to do this and got it underway quickly that it would be an opportunity to get some thoughtful pieces contributed by outsiders so that we'd be able to really put together a compendium of analyses, not just our own thoughts but a compendium of analyses from a variety of viewpoints about the effectiveness of the last eight years in various areas.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Commissioner
Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I was going to ask, what do you think the timeframe is for the initiation for the project?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: To the extent that we want to get academics to do some of the analysis -- and I think we should -- the sooner the better, because summer is upon us and the three best things

1	about teaching are June, July, and August.
2	[Laughter.]
3	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So getting some
4	academics who might have more flexibility to do the
5	work in the summer I think would be ideal, if we can
6	decide today or within the next week or two to
7	launch it.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Pointy-head academics.
9	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yes, those folks.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So if there are no
11	objections to you're not objecting, are you,
12	Commissioner Anderson?
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No, I just I
14	think that I would support the idea. I think it
15	probably would be valuable if whatever drafts or
16	discussion or whatever were not prepared until after
17	the election. So if we start the process soon,
18	that's fine with me, but I think it just would be
19	best for us if we were not circulating drafts or
20	draft reports in October.
21	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Agreed.
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I think the reason
23	is obvious. But if we had something maybe the end
24	of November we're looking at or early December, that

would be great.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So maybe what we could do is identify some types and Commissioners could make suggestions of what -- and remember, we want, to the extent that we can get them, not people who are associated with think tanks that have a particular political edge and everybody knows it. But if academics, people who are out there in the groves of academia who are supposed to be experts on policy matters, it would be good. Unless we want to identify think tanks and say, this one is identified as this kind of place, and this is that kind of place, and here's what they all have to say. That's one way to do it and then just simply be very up front about it. Otherwise, try to get professor types who make a specialty of studying policy and who may have some political edge, but that's not their strong suit. Like they're very out front with it; I'm only trying to make recommendations to achieve X objective. So I don't know.

(END OF INSERTED MATERIAL)

22

23

CHAIRPERSON	BERRY:	Now,	Commissioner

Thernstrom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not putting words in Commissioner Edley's mouth here, but he actually was making two different points, and he says, "It strikes me, first of all, that doing this after the election would help minimize the politicization of this exercise." That was his first point, and that's the point that carries over to this. And the second point is exactly as the Chair described.

So the timing, whatever the origin of Clinton the these separate reports, one onon the Bush Administration, Administration, one whatever the origin, the timing point remains the central one. And it seems to me in terms of the credibility of this Commission and the credibility of the report at issue is that it would be better to wait until after the election so that nobody could accuse the Commission of trying to influence in any small way the election results.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But someone has already accused the Commission of doing so in print.

But in any case -- when there's no evidence that it

1	was the case. I am periectly willing to have this
2	item removed from the agenda until November. The
3	question of what should be done about the web site
4	is a different matter, in my opinion. However, I'm
5	ready to call for the question on Commissioner
6	Braceras' motion if the debate is ended on it.
7	If there's no further discussion, would
8	someone call the question and we can vote on it.
9	Yes?
10	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I feel quite torn
11	about this, because I think that the I think
12	deferring the matter is fine. It's just that this
13	is actually a situation I hate to sound like a
14	law professor, but this is sort of a situation where
15	no matter what we do
16	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I was going to
17	say you're sounding like a dean instead.
18_	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yes, right. No
19	matter what we do there's a political cast to it. I
20	mean creating an exception to the general practice
21	has a political cast to it because you're picking
22	this report to treat it differently.
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But we're not
24	treating it differently than we treated the Clinton

1	report.
2	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: But we are because
3	there was not general policy operating in the
4	background at that stage.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But it was
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Braceras
7	
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It was
9	specifically stated it shouldn't be in the public
10	domain.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Braceras,
12	please be in order. You were not recognized. He
13	was speaking. Please let him finish and then I'll
14	recognize you.
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: We didn't at that
16	stage have a policy of having all the reports be in
1.7	the public domain in kind of a notice and comment
18	fashion, but now we do. But on the other hand, I
19	will say that the report has been on the web already
20	for some time, so to the extent that one's concerned
21	about the notice and comment principle, it's at
22	least been half honored by the fact that it's been
23	up there for a while.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you like to be

recognized? Yes, Commissioner Braceras.

٠٦

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

up there for the purposes of notice and comment? I mean if e-mails and letters come in based on that, are they then incorporated into the report? No. That's never -- I've never seen that occur. Let's be honest about why they're on the web site. They're on the web site so that they can be in the public domain irrespective of what the eight people sitting at this table do.

But in any event, the point is that all I'm interested in here is treating likes alike, and it was specifically stated in 2000 that there should be no drafts in the public domain, and that was what was agreed to. And they may not have been posting things on the web then and they may not have had a policy of using that particular form of technology to disseminate the reports, but the fact of the matter is a draft in the public domain is a draft in the public domain, and it was thought at that time appropriate, and Ι that was not understand why it's not equally inappropriate today.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: A point of information: The Commission voted six to two to put

reports in the public domain after an extended
public discussion. Accusing commissioners, mainly
this one, of leaking reports and not giving them to
commissioners and that they didn't have them and
that I got them from the staff there was a
particular report, it was all in the press. And
commissioners were quite upset about this and
decided that if we had a policy of when the staff
gave the report to the commissioners it was put on
the web site, nobody could complain that any press
somehow got a leak from the report. That was the
purpose of the policy. And the transcript of the
meeting where it was discussed clearly shows that

that was the purpose of the policy.

1

3

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Secondly, the Commission has had a longstanding policy, since 1957, of when reports are ready, that the staff has finished, they go to the commissioners. The commissioners don't worry about the politics of it -- ,that's been a policy since 1957 -- of who cares about what is going on with it, that if the staff finishes the work and it's handed in to the Staff Director and the Staff Director reviews it and it's done, nobody thinks about political considerations; they just put it up.

1	And, thirdly, it's on the web site, and if
2	we take if off the web site, that is a political
3	decision also, once it's already been up there, to
4	decide in violation of our policy.
5	I am inclined to call on whoever had their
6	hand up and then ask someone to call for the
7	question. Yes, Commissioner Thernstrom?
8	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Just two quick
9	points. One, I don't see the downside to taking it
10	off the web site. As you've stated, and as I was
11	going to say but you've already done it, the point
12	of the policy was to deal with the problem of leaks.
13	In this case, there is no problem of leaks. It's
14	been up there. So that the underlying rationale
15	doesn't apply in this situation. I would be
16	delighted to have the question called.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. All those
18	in favor of Commissioner Braceras'. motion to both
19	remove this item from the agenda and to also take
20	the report down from the web site indicate by saying
21	aye.
22	All those opposed indicated by saying no.
23	(Commissioners vote.)
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Roll call.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Give me a thing.
2	Commissioner Braceras?
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Aye.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Edley?
5	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Kirsanow?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Meeks?
9	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
11	Redenbaugh?
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair Reynoso?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
16	Thernstrom?
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Berry? No. The
19	motion fails by a vote of four to four. I would
20	like someone to move to take this item from the
21	agenda.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right.
24	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Second.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or we can table it when we get to it if there's not an affirmative vote to do so. Is there any discussion as to whether we should take it from the agenda or not and consider it in November sometime or whenever the Commission wants to? Any discussion? Anybody who wants to discuss whether it should be taken? Yes?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Look, I think the principle -- it may be that the better principle here, under these circumstances, is when the staff finishes their work it goes on our agenda. If we have a substantive reason to put something over, fine, but I do worry about a political reason.

I haven't had a chance to go back and re-2000. My vaque the transcript from read recollection is that it was fairly late when I made the suggestion that we do this. And my further in the vague recollection is that context thinking should we be rushing to get this done before the election, that struck me as political and inappropriate to rush to try to get it out before the election. But I wanted it to be useful, and the imagined at that point was for utility that I transition purposes since we knew there was going to

be a new administration.

But the politicization that I was concerned about had to do with the rushing to judgment. And as far as I know, in the history of this project, there has been, certainly not from the Commission, any kind of direction to the staff that the timing of their work be synched with the election.

So I guess I think it would not be -- I would not favor -- my position right now is different from what it was ten minutes ago. Having thought this through now, I think that the way to try to depoliticize this is to simply take the matter up when our Civil Service staff has produced it, rather than to make a judgment about what might or might not affect an election.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. And for stories, for those who care about commissioner lore, the reason why the Commission did this years ago was because there was political pressure placed on the Commission to delay a report that the staff had ready by people in that particular administration. And the commissioners discussed it among themselves, and it was quite a heated discussion, as reported

historically, and decided that, by golly, from then on whenever the staff finished something they were going to put it on the agenda and they were going to vote on it, and they didn't care what anybody said.

And it was a very -- they got a lot of criticism. There were hearings in Congress that attacked the Staff Director. It was one of those periods in the Commission's history that was fraught with great tension, but they withstood it and they did it. And from then on, and when I first came on Flemming told me, that's one of the first things he told me, "By golly, when something is ready from the staff, we vote on it and let justice be done or the heavens fall." I guess he got that from Floyd Dansfield or somebody.

Anyway, who's next? Commissioner Kirsanow hasn't spoken.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. It seems to me -- I've listened to what Commissioner Edley had to say about if staff completes a report, we should then vote upon it. It would seem to me that the overriding consideration is one of comparing apples to apples. There can be no more of a political report than one about a President's civil rights

1	record on the eve of an election. I think that far
2	surpasses any considerations related to making sure
3	that we not engage in a political endeavor in terms
4	of delaying a report.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In terms of comparing apples to apples, the transcript clearly shows, and I agree with what I will go with what you have to Commissioner Edley in terms of the reason for it, but the fact of the matter is we treated President Clinton's civil rights report far differently than what we're contemplating doing in this particular And if the considerations overall are that we not be viewed as a political body but one that is engaged in a scholarly and advisory endeavor, then it makes sense that holding it over for a month, just one month, is not going to be viewed as political as voting on a President's civil rights record on the eve of an election. So for those reasons, I would emphatically urge us to hold this over for another month.

Anybody else CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. before this? Yes, Commissioner vote on Thernstrom?

> Well, COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM:

1	isn't as if we haven't postponed votes in the past.
2	We've postponed a bunch of votes in recent months
3	educational accountability and other matters. So
4	it's not unprecedented.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Can we get a
6	call for the question?
7	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Call for the
8	question.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What is it?
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The question is
11	whether to that we would delay voting on let's
12	take this report off the agenda for today and we
13	would vote on it put it back on the agenda for
14	well, November is after the election, right?
15	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yes, it is.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: For November. That's
17	the motion.
18	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: We could do it
19	December, whenever.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Whenever, but at the
21	earliest November. When people are ready to vote
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. I know
23	the question's been called.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Would you
2	entertain another comment or no?
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As a matter of
4	courtesy, Commissioner Braceras, please proceed.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm going to be
6	perfectly honest about this. My view is if it's
7	going to remain on the web, it's essentially in the
8	public domain, and
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As what?
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: As a Commission
11	document.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As a report that is
13	being considered by the Commission. That's what
14	it's in the domain as, not as was reported by
15	someone associated with this Commission a document
16	that had been voted on by the Commission and
17	released.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry, who
19	reported it as that?
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, that's okay. In
21	any case
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't know what
23	you're talking about.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: it's in the public

1	domain.
2	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I think the Wall
3	Street Journal referred to it that way.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's in the public
5	domain.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Oh. All right.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In any case, it is on
8	the web site as a document that the staff has
9	produced, which has been distributed to the
10	commissioners and is in draft, and the commissioners
11	will consider it.
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The point is it's
13	on the web site to be quoted by the press and
14	others, be it the Wall Street Journal or any other
15	publication, which, frankly, I think is
16	inappropriate, no matter what the comments about it
17	is. So I would just say that.
18	But my I guess my question is we're not
19	voting whether or not to take it off the agenda.
20	Can we then after that since the first motion was
21	a double motion, can we then specifically vote on
22	the question of the web site or does the first
23	motion is that resolved?
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If you want to

1	introduce another motion after we vote on this
	motion or if you want to amend this motion, whatever
2	•
3	you wish to do, even though the question has been
4	called for, I would indulge your doing so. People
5	are always at liberty to introduce motions.
6	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'm sorry but
7	now I'm lost. Can you tell
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. We have called
9	for the the question has been called for on the
10	motion. The motion is that the report will be
11	removed from the agenda of this meeting and
12	considered at the November meeting at the earliest.
13	That's the motion.
14	All those in favor of the motion indicate
15	by saying aye. ,
16	Those opposed who said aye? I couldn't
17	see anybody. Let's call the roll if somebody
18	could ask for a roll call, because I can't figure
19	out
20	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Roll call,
21.	please.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All of those in
23	favor of the motion I mean I'll call your name
24	and you say what you want to do. Braceras?

79.7

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Aye. I vote to
2	take it off the agenda.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Edley?
4	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Abstain.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Kirsanow?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Aye.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Meeks?
8	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Redenbaugh?
10	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Aye.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Reynoso?
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thernstrom?
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Aye.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Berry, aye.
16	Okay. The motion passes. It has been
17	taken off the agenda for this meeting. It's an
18	interesting lineup anyway.
19	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I can't figure
20	it out.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let's just move on. I
23	can't
24	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: My head was

1	spinning.
2	
3	II. Approval of Minutes
4	SEPTEMBER 17, 2004 MEETING
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let us have the
6	next item on the agenda is approval of minutes of
7	the September 17, 2004 meeting. Can I get a motion
8	to approve the minutes?
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
10	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Moving right along.
12	Did anybody have any changes that they want to make
13	in the minutes or corrections that they want to
14	approve?
15	Okay, hearing none, all those in favor of
16	approving the minutes indicate by saying aye.
17	Opposed?
18	(Commissioners vote.)
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered.
20	III. Announcements
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item on the
2,2	agenda is what's the next item on the agenda?
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Announcements.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, announcements.

1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
3	Redenbaugh?
4	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm sorry. Is
5	the Education report on the agenda?
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, it's not.
7	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. I'm in an
8	airport, and I'm going to go now and board my plane.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, you have a nice
10	trip, okay?
11	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Thanks.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Have you already gone
13	through security?
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: No, I haven't
15	done that part yet.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, you
17	better go then.
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. Thanks.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Whatever time your
20	plane is. Bye-bye.
21	(Laughter.)
22	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Even it's this
23	afternoon.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We hope they

1	don't have a name that sounds like Redenbaugh.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. The
3	announcements. Oh, I have information for those of
4	you who go through security. If you wear shoes that
5	have a heel that is less than one inch, one inch or
6	less, and it's rubber and your shoe is entirely
7	rubber, you don't have to take your shoes off.
8	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I wear sneakers
9	and don't take my shoes off. But if you have any
LO	kind of metal in your shoe. It's not the heel, it's
11	the metal.
L2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But if you make an
L3	entirely rubber shoe, which I have found, and wear
L4	them
L5	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: It's called a
L6	sneaker.
L7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No metal. And a heel
L8	less than that person told me one inch or less,
L9	you can go through
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: That has to be
21	cool with heels.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In Boston.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Sneakers with
24	heels as long as there's no metal. I don't wear

sneakers with heels.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: With respect, Madam Chair, it depends on the airport and the state of alert.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, okay. All right. Well, I'm not an expert on this matter. I just thought that was interesting.

The Native American Museum opened. I haven't been down there yet. Has anyone been yet? None of you have? September 21 after 15 years of preparation. Great historical significance in the history of Native Americans. But this policy change took place that made it possible to have a museum on the Mall when for years we were told that there was no space to have another museum on the Mall, and now they're finding space. That's good, they found some. The space was there all the time, I guess.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Anyway, on the breaking of the Nez Perce Treaty, October 5, 1877, Chief Joseph surrendered to United States forces near Chinook and I love to always say what he said, "I will fight no more forever," marking the bookend to the breaking of the Nez Perce Treaty by the

government.
-------------

ı

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We've done a lot of work on Native

Americans, and trust responsibilities are still

fraught with difficulty.

It is Disability Awareness Month, October 2004 with 54 million Americans are counted as having disabilities. And since the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act, some of which the provisions, information in there, the recommended by this Commission, progress has been made to improve the access of the disabled in a still although number of areas, there are significant challenges and barriers, most importantly jobs and employment for the disabled.

The first African-American to be enrolled University of Mississippi, Ole at the Miss, September 1930, 1962, James Meredith, on his fourth school after, some attempt got in the challenges and a lot of disorder and violence at the University -- a landmark in the history of civil rights.

On October 3, 1965, the Immigration and Nationality Act was signed by President Lyndon Johnson, which eliminated the national origins quota

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

system in favor a worldwide quota blind to national origin. And this abolished the Asia Pacific triangle definition of undesirable which had been on the books for many, many years. And it was one move to sort of liberalize our immigration policy.

Matthew 12, 1998, October On 21-year-old University of Wyoming Shepherd, a student was brutally beaten and tied to a fence in Wyoming in a horrific instance of violence against There were protests about it, more national gays. awareness of the violations in the administration of concerned people whose justice it as orientation was considered suspect by some people. He, of course, died, and the folks who killed him each received double life sentences.

The first American women to walk in space, that happened October 11, 1984. Dr. Kathryn Sullivan, the first U.S. astronaut who did this on a Shuttle Challenger mission.

Does any commissioner have any announcements that you wish to make about anything at all? Okay.

## IV. Staff Director's Report

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Hearing none, the next

1	item on the agenda is the Staff Director's Report.
2	Anybody have any comments, questions, anything
3	related to the Staff Director's Report?
4	We got a letter, didn't we, from the SAC
5	Chair
6	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: In Arizona.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: in Arizona, asking
.8	us to take a position on an initiative that's on the
9	ballot in
10	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: In Arizona.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Arizona that would
12	require identification in order to receive
13	government services and when people go to vote; is
14	that right?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But it came last
17	night.
18	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: It came, yes, just in
19	the last day or two.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So oh, the last day
21	or two.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Let's put it this
23	way: We were made aware of it the last day or two.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which means that we

1	haven't had time to figure out what it all means or
2	to ask questions about it. But the staff will be
3	reviewing that in the next few days to see what it
4	is they're asking us to do is consistent with the
5	position we already have, issues of voting and use
6	of services, and if so, we might be able to comment
7	on it otherwise. But we don't know at this time,
8	we don't know. They asked us to take it up at this
9	meeting. We don't know enough to take it up.
10	Is there anything anybody else has on the
11	Staff Director's Report? Anything at all? Okay.
12	V. State Advisory Committee Appointments for
13	Montana, North Dakota, Ohio, Utah, and Washington
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have some SAC
15	appointments for Montana, North Dakota, Ohio, Utah
16	and Washington. Can I get a motion on the
17	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Madam Chair, the only
18	ones that are ready are actually Montana and North
19	Dakota.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: And we sent out a
22	memo to the commissioners with those.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, Montana and
24	North Dakota. Yes?

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I
2	want to commend the staff for doing a lot better the
3	last few years than before in terms of having
4	Advisory Committee members under age 40.
5	Nonetheless, two of them just have three persons
6	under 40, and I wonder if the staff might consider
7	whether it's advisable to have another one or two
8	more under 40.
9	The matter that I raised at that time,
10	and, again, I appreciate how the staff has
11	responded, was that we just need to have younger
12	people conscious as we go along of the issues of
13	civil rights, and we ought to encourage younger
14	people too. I know we always have a conflict, as we
15	want very distinguished people on the Advisory
16	Committees, but I think it's also important to have
17	those young people.
18	So I won't vote against this, but if they
19	find qualified younger people, perhaps they might
20	consider adding a person or two.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: We will do that.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes?
23	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I move.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right.

COMMISSIONER	EDLEY:	Second.
--------------	--------	---------

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any further discussion on the Advisory Committee appointments?

All those in favor indicate by saying aye.

Opposed?

(Commissioners vote.)

So ordered.

## VII. Future Agenda Items

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only other item on the agenda is future agenda items. Does anybody have any future agenda items that they are interested in discussing or anything else they're interested in discussing since we have a little time here, because we aren't discussing the report?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just want to raise the issue of the immigrants from this country undocumented, and I raise the issue because we have so many reports of abuses. They're in a position where they can't defend themselves, because likely there will be a report that they are here, and they'll be arrested. And so we have -- in fact, I've been asked to head up sort of a citizens commission to have some hearings on farm workers undocumented. I know that the abuses go beyond farm

workers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We've never looked into that issue, and I know that we can't -- we're authorized only to deal rights within but, civil our country, with obviously, those folks are in the country, and I just wonder if it's time for us to take a look at We have the report of the inordinate that issue. number of undocumented who get killed on the job as compared to non-undocumented. But we see incidents so often of undocumented not getting paid, health standards not being met by employers and so on, I just wonder if it's time for this Commission to take a look at that issue.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why couldn't the Commission do a study of the fate of barriers to equality of opportunity or something like that for undocumented persons in the United States? Is that what you have in mind?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, or equal treatment of the law, because the law that applies in terms of minimum wage applies to everybody, whether they're documented or not. The health laws applies to everybody, whether they're documented or not.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So discriminatory
2	enforcement.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Illegal
5	discrimination. Yes, Commissioner Thernstrom?
6	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Just a point of
7	clarification. So you are saying that people are
8	killed, that is murder is taking place, and nobody's
9	prosecuting?
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, no, no.
11	There was a report I think by the U.S. Labor
12	Department where maybe it was OSHA where they
13	do a study of deaths on the job, and the report
14	indicated that those who are undocumented has a
15	disproportionately large number of deaths on the
16	job. The attribution
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Work-related, in
18	other words.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Beg your
20	pardon?
21	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Work-related, in
22	other words.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes. That was
24	strictly work-related.

commissioners think about doing such a study?

1

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, first of all, I think I need more information, but, secondly, I think I'd want to hear from the staff on workload, timing, current projects, that kind of stuff too.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, no, I would think that if the Commission censors it, the first thing we should do is have the staff take a look at it to see what areas we might be looking at, you're absolutely right. So I wasn't calling on a vote or anything. I'm just raising the issue.

particularly thinking about it because I just met with some women who were sexually harassed, they weren't paid for their work, that they actually filed a claim and it was found that they had not been paid for the work they were doing. In fact, the supervisor said, "You've got to work Saturday without pay, and if you don't agree with that, don't bother showing up Monday," et cetera, et cetera. And we hear so many such stories and yet because the folk are undocumented and it's therefore sort of a political issue, somehow nobody's looking at that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And yet to have people treated differently and not have the law apply to them equally, it seems to me a civil rights issue, so maybe we ought to put some light on that if there's light to be put.

But Commissioner Braceras is perfectly correct, that we ought to have the staff take a look at it before we move forward. But I'm just raising the issue to see whether there's the interest around the table. So my apologies that I haven't raised it with any of you before, but it just strikes me as something that we ought to think about.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So what the Vice Chair is really asking is whether commissioners, at first glance, feel favorable disposed toward even putting the energy into having the staff bother. Are there any upsides or downsides that people can see or any ways to expand the discussion or to narrow the discussion or is it an issue that would be of interest to the commissioners? He's just trying to assess whether it's something people might, going is interesting to do. Yes, think forward, Commissioner Edley?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, of course, with any of these things, it's a question of what the

opportunity costs and how the staff might otherwise
be spending its time. I'm mindful of the fact that
the majority is going to shift in a couple of
months, and I would hate for the staff to invest
time in something that might ultimately come to not.
I have a thought about a shorter-term
effort where that risk might be less, and that's
just the question of what we do around the election
and sort of systematically understanding what the
experience is on November 2. And I'm wondering
whether the staff has any plans underway to collect
or to receive and organize whatever information is
out there being produced by researchers and
journalists and others about incidents related to
our jurisdiction that occur leading up to and on the
day of the election.
That was pretty incoherent. Can I try
again?
(Laughter.)
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm lost.
COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Wow, this jet lag
thing has really put me
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would you think about
that as you recapitulate and reformulate while I see

1	if anyone is interested in pursuing the question
2	that the Vice Chair raised or if there's a total
3	lack of interest.
4	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I'm interested
5	in it, but I was going to I'm certainly
6	interested. I was simply suggesting that although
7	I'm interested in that, might it not be an even more
8	compelling thing for the staff to do over the next
9	couple of weeks is to get ready for November 2?
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, but I wanted him
11	to get an answer first as to how other people felt
12	about his idea. Yes, Commissioner Thernstrom?
13	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Chris, these are
14	not mutually exclusive; that is, the question can be
15	explored with the staff about the undocumented
16	workers and we can see what the answer is to that.
17 .	We can have an answer to your question. We can
18	weigh the priorities. I don't think we need to
19	decide today what our priorities are going to be
20	down the road.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But you need to decide
22	whether there is enough interest in it.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes. That's
24	all. But there's no conflict with

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right, but I still
2	don't know whether there's enough interest. The
3	only person I heard express interest was the Vice
4	Chair.
5	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you think it's okay
7	to pursue it?
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have interest.
9	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I agree with
11	Commissioner Vice Chair Reynoso on this. I mean
12	it's something that we've considered before, two
,13	years ago in New York. It's a matter that we
14	considered pertaining to the treatment of
15	undocumented workers. And we didn't sufficiently
16	explore it at that point, it seems to me. I'll
17	speak for myself: I'm interested in exploring this,
18	and I don't know that it needs to be rushed. I
19	think it's something that the staff should advise us
20	as to whether or not they've got, number one, the
21	capability under the scope of it, but I think it's
22	something worth of exploration.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Good. Is that
24	what you were going to say Commissioner Meeks?

1	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So there is
3	interest, enough interest for the staff to look at
4	it.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And, Madam
6	Chair, just speaking up on the other thing that
7	Chris mentioned, I had assumed without knowing it
8	that with all the recommendations that were made on
9	election procedures, that we might have a
10	discussion. We don't have the meeting until the
11	12th of November on what happened in the election.
12	Were our recommendations followed, were they not, et
13	cetera, et cetera. I think that would be very
14	interesting if it can be worked out.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Have you had time to
16	reformulate your idea despite the jet lag?
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: You'll have to be the
19	judge of that.
20	(Laughter.)
21	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: But I'm ready to try
22	again, in any case. I think it is there are
23	going to be, say, three categories of stuff that
24	happened. One is that we will be able to observe

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
	1 I

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one, we'll be able to observe the extent to which jurisdictions have adopted recommendations that the Commission has made. A second is there will undoubtedly be allegations from various quarters about mismanagement, abuses, problems of fraud, problems of suppression, et cetera, that are made, and it might be of interest for the Commission to be in a position to systematically inventory what people have said about the conduct of the election.

there will be various kinds of launched investigatory steps that might be election day and immediately thereafter, and would be interesting and useful, I think, to simply have a sense of what those are, what's their scope. And I guess what I'm suggesting is that rather than kind of waking up on November 3 and saying, "Let's do a nexus search and figure out what's gone on or did anything happen," that a little bit of advance thinking by the staff and perhaps deploying of some resources to see to it that there's a better than ad hoc analysis of what happened on election day I think would be good.

I suppose that that would entail, for example, working now to establish the lines of

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

to various official sources communications information, Secretary of States' offices, the Justice Department, Federal Election Commission, whoever, as well as lines of communications to the various public interest groups across the political spectrum who are going to be doing various kinds of election monitoring and then having the staff make some effort to synthesize the information available from those sources and from the media. But if something like that could be done with reasonable effort, I think it would be very useful to the Commission and probably to the public as well. basic question is what happened in some kind of a comprehensive way, a sense of what happened, as best one can tell it?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
Thernstrom?

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Chris, I'm reluctant to have the Commission spend resources on topics in which there's already going to be a crush of investigations across the political spectrum. I mean this is -- we're going to be entering a very crowded field, and I suspect others will be doing precisely this work. If we find out after the

1	
2	
3	
4	
_	- []

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

election that isn't so, which is unimaginable to me, it seems to me we could act then, but, again, the limited resources, limited time, et cetera, here, I just don't think it's the best use of the staff at this point, given how many others are interested in it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair?

Madam Chair, I VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: guess I can't help but be reminded that when there were problems in Florida, the Attorney General could have investigated and did not. The Attorney General could Florida, who's a Democrat, have of The Governor has investigated and did not. specific legislative mandate to investigate and he The only group that investigated was this Commission.

So I find some new activity this time around that I think would be very interesting. For example, both parties are active in having monitors go to the polls, and I'd be very interested to find out whether the monitors that the Republicans and Democrats, those the parties that are talking about it, have sent out, apparently extensively, did that really keep the officials straight and narrow in

terms of following the law and providing proper ballots and so on. I think it would be very interesting to find out whether those efforts worked or didn't work.

I don't know whether anybody's going to be doing that sort of observation, so I'm rather attracted to the suggestion that Chris has.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Edley?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I may be somewhere in the middle between where Commissioner Thernstrom is and the Vice Chair. What I had in mind was not something at this point as aggressive or as resource-intensive, certainly, as what we did in Florida, but rather the preliminary assessment of November 2 that would then be the basis upon which the Commission could decide whether or not anything of moment warranted in-depth investigation by the Commission.

So what I was thinking is something, in other words, that would be a step more sophisticated, comprehensive and professional than what we're likely to call from 18 column inches in a national newspaper that would then be able to inform a Commission judgment about whether there's work for

us to do coming out of the election.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And my mention of my third category of having this report to us include a sense of who's doing -- what kinds of investigations or enforcement would, activities afoot as Commissioner are Thernstrom suggests, then help us decide whether or not there's anything to be done, whether or not there is a need for us to step in to a vacuum or But that was sort of my -- otherwise, we're not. just going to basically have news clips to work from to make a judgment. I thought we could do a little better than that.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Thernstrom?

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: You don't think there's going to be a lot of interest groups across the political spectrum looking into this? I mean I appreciate what the Vice Chair said, that Florida took a -- people were off guard, that had never happened before, et cetera, et cetera, but this is post-Florida, and this is -- you know, I mean it's going to be a different landscape in terms of people, again, across the political spectrum being on the alert.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So you predict a lot
2	of reports or investigations.
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I predict an
4	awful look, people are very on edge about this
5	election. It's a very polarized scene across the
6	political spectrum. People are thinking this
7	election is going to be stolen, and I think people
8	are gearing up for a lot of "what happened"
9	research.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
11	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: That's sort of what
12	makes me think we ought to look at it. I mean I'm
13	sort of thinking that there's going to be a lot of
14	noise.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And to the extent
17	that the staff could help us figure out make
18	heads or tails out of the what may in fact be
19	very loud competing claims about whether the
20	election was conducted well or not conducted well,
21	whether or not something was stolen, we could just
22	read the clips and make our own judgments.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I think we'll
24	have more than clips. We'll have more than clips.

1	
_	7
	_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

someplace.

www.nealrgross.com

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Maybe Staff Director

-- I'm asking, I'm not telling -- maybe somebody in
one of the regional offices -- I know you don't have
anybody -- could look at some one place, sort of
keep an eye on some one place that day just to see
what people are doing, maybe a polling place in
South Dakota or a polling place in -- in addition to
-- I mean I don't know what polling place here but

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well -- did you want

I can't

I think that the

So I think it

say something, Commissioner Meeks?

COMMISSIONER MEEKS:

obviously have the voting rights.

decide whether you are -- yes, Commissioner Meeks?

better we inform ourselves -- I mean, yes, we can

read the paper, but to sort of commit it to record

and within the Commission because, after all,

would be very interesting to take a view of

And in addition to that, go through the materials so that the commissioners don't just have the news clips and do something on -- you know, maybe somebody can start now on what we recommended and what happened in these briefings that we've had

1	and what came out of it in terms of recommendations
2	or thoughts that people had about what they were
3	worried about. And then direct it toward what
4	allegations and all the stuff that Chris talked
5	about came out on election day and maybe just write
6	a short paper or something as a briefing memo or
7	something for the commissioners. Yes?
8	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And a compilation of
9	the I mean if there are things that groups come
10	out with, as Commissioner Thernstrom was suggesting,
11	some kind of compilation
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Put them all together
13	so that
14	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: compilation and at
15	least modest digesting or executive summarizing of
16	what
17.	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which is work, so
18	maybe like a paragraph.
19	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: they come up with.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Just something
21	so that people have something more than just a stack
22	of news clips.
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I don't think
24	we'll be reduced to a stack of news clips, but

1	anyway.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Braceras?
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I just have a
4	question. When people keep referring to
5	recommendations, are they referring to things that
6	were recommended in the past, things that we intend
7	to recommend now
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: or things that
LO	we're going to recommend after the election?
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, things that the
12	Commission has already recommended.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In the report on
14	2000?
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In 2000 or before the
16	Senate when we were commenting on
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. It sounded
18	to me as if there were plans to make additional
19	recommendations, either now or
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: post-election,
22	and I was unclear on that.
23	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: If you have some.
24	(Laughter.)

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Actually, I do.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And we'd like to make
3	some.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But, no, I was
5	unclear as to exactly what you were referring to.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But I'd be happy to
7	entertain some recommendations, seriously, if anyone
8	as a result of what's been going on thinks there's
9	something this Commission ought to recommend between
10	now and election day.
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Let's not wade
12	into that scene.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, if Commissioner
14	Braceras has recommendations, I think she should
15	it might help the situation.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sure it would,
17	but
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You don't want to make
19	them? Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sure you
21	wouldn't adopt them.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It depends on what
24	they are.

1	All right. So then we to the extent
2	that you can, within the resources that are
3	available, does anyone have any other issues that
4	they would like to yes, Commissioner Braceras?
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: This is just a
6	question. The dates that were e-mailed around for
7	the calendar for next year, those are final dates or
8	do we need to vote on them again or these are we
9	can put them in our calendar?
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That's correct.
11	The last one we mailed out with the addition of
12	Commissioner Redenbaugh's request that in June we
13	switch it from the 10th to the 17th
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: which none
16	of the commissioners seemed to have a problem with.
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, that's fine.
18	I just wanted to make sure before they are
19	officially entered that this is final.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Now we will
21	take a short break and then begin the briefing on
22	voting and election reform.
23	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
24	the record at 10:41 a.m. and went back on

1	the record at 11:06 a.m.)
2	Briefing on Voting and Election Reform: Is America
3	Ready to Vote?
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Welcome to you, Jehmu
5	Green. I already said hello to everybody else.
6	We are now having another one of our
7	briefings on America's readiness and preparation to
8	vote in the upcoming election. This is the fourth
9	one we've had to see whether or not only are
10	Americans ready to vote, but is the system ready to
11	have them vote and have it carried out fairly and
12	without misadventure.
13	The Commission, of course, sees as one a
14	major focus of our work voting rights. In our
15	statute it is emphasized that it's something that we
16	should be concerned about, and one of our panelists
17	will talk about some of the historic importance of
18	the right to vote.
19	We will today talk about barriers to
20	voting among first-time voters and young people
21	young meaning anybody under 60? I mean what age are
22	young people?
23	(Laughter.)
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That prevent them from

ful pan encomes the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fully participating in the democratic process. The of problems will address reports panelists first-time voters, immigrant by encountered communities, students, and they will help us examine the significance of the Voting Rights Act to various first-time voters, and allegations of suppression on college campuses.

persons that we have as Among the panelists, Jehmu Green who's President of Rock the Vote Foundation; Janelle Hu is National Director of the Asian and Pacific Island American Vote, Vote 2004; and Nigel Redmond who is Student Body Government President and a management information Prairie View University, M3Amajor at Prairie View, Texas, where he is a senior hoping to Sabrina Hodge is President of the Campus graduate. NAACP at Prairie View and a management information systems major too. And she is also a senior hoping to graduate.

We will begin today with Janelle Hu, who is the National Director, as I said, of the Asian and Pacific Islander Vote Campaign, which is a coalition of national and grassroots non-partisan and non-profit organizations that encourage civic

1	participation and promote a better understanding of
2	public policy and the electoral process among the
3	Asian and Pacific Islander American community.
4	A native Californian, she is in
5	Washington. She came to Washington for graduate
6	school where she became involved in activism and she
7	is Chair for the Conference on Pacific-American
8	Leadership, an all-volunteer, non-profit
9	organization that aims to draw more Asian-Pacific
10	Americans into public service and elected office.
11	Thank you very much, and could you please
12	proceed.
13	MS. HU: Thank you. There are over 13
14	million APIAs residing in the U.S., making up 4.7
15	percent of the U.S. population. With over two-
16	thirds of the community born outside the U.S., the
17	APIA population is heavily dominated by immigrants.
18	However, we have a very high naturalization rate
19	compared to other minority groups, as 80 percent of
20	APIA immigrants become citizens.
21	APIAs also have one of the highest voter
22	participation rates. In the 2000 presidential
23	election, 83 percent of the registered APIAs voted
24	and 71 percent of registered young APIAs voted. A

direct correlation exists between registration and 1 political participation in the APIA community. 2 we can get APIAs to register, they will vote.

> Nevertheless, strong barriers to APIA participation in the political process still remain. will chronicle many reported I This morning, instances of the intimidation, discrimination and inexperienced by APIAs Southern suppression California and New York and the rest of the nation where APIA legal organizations and hundreds of have extensively monitored volunteers community elections over the past decade. However, these misconduct and intimidation are of instances definitely not limited to just California and New Asian and Pacific Island American immigrant York. and youth suppression exists across the nation.

> I'll also discuss the barriers young APIA Specifically APIA voters are facing this year. observed of а number instances where voters confusion surrounding identification requirements discouraged young potential APIA voters. Like many minority voters in Florida in 2000, APIAs have faced a wide range of discriminatory barriers where they have exercised their right to vote.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Language barriers continue to prevent many APIAs from participating in the American political Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act process. mandates the availability of translated ballots and other voting materials as well as oral language assistance. However, the goals of this legislation inadequate. Despite are often unmet orthe provisions of 203, there are many instances where language assistance has been denied.

In New York, some of the inspectors were belligerent and refused to make translated materials available to voters. A poll worker defiantly refused to place translated materials on the table, claiming she would only bring them out upon request. This procedure is improper. Instead, voters are not required to ask for translated materials but rather they should have them displayed on tables.

Southern California, many In locations failed to place these translated materials in plain view, making it very difficult for APIA voters to In most cases, poll monitors had to ask find them. several poll workers before multilingual sample ballots were located and shown to the monitor. Dozens of locations did not have translated materials at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24.

When translated materials are provided, there's no guarantee they're even useful to the Bilinqual ballots are at the core of community. making the political process accessible to language minorities, yet translations on ballots have been layouts are misleading and to read, small faulty translations of candidates' have ballots In 2000, New York ballots reversed the names. Chinese translations of the party headings, Democratic candidates were listed as Republicans and vice-versa.

In many cases, all language assistance is troubling as well. Interpreters are often missing or there are too few to assist. Additionally, many poll workers have blocked interpreters from assisting voters. Interpreters are allowed to assist voters, and may enter voting booths with voters if voters request such assistance.

In addition to interviewing with language assistants, many complaints from APIA voters in New York revealed certain poll workers were rude, hostile or made racist remarks about language assistance and APIA voters. One poll monitor mocked

Asian voters and made racist remarks and gestures.

She pulled back the corners of her eyes and said, "I can tell the difference between Chinese and Japanese by their chinky eyes." Another worker referred to

South Asian voters as terrorists.

Additional methods of intimidation exist when poll workers inappropriately require APIA voters to show identification in order to vote. One New York voter complained that his name was not listed and that the poll worker told him to return with three pieces of identification before he could vote. In fact, over ten percent of the APIA voters surveyed during the 2002 primaries responded that they were required to provide identification. This is especially troubling as no form of identification is required of voters in New York.

In some cases, even if APIA voters provide identification, they were still turned away. APIA voters have complained that they have been directed to stand in multiple lines, waiting hours, only to be turned away because inspectors did not want to search through the list of Asian names. These grave instances of misconduct reveal the lack of proper training for poll workers about the rights of APIA

citizens	to	have	assistance	and	access	to	translated
materials	3.						

In addition to facing the challenges of language barriers, APIA voters have been directly In Alabama City Council race targeted at the polls. this August, opponents of Huynh, the Vietnamese candidate, challenged nearly 50 ballots, all from Asian-Americans who were likely to cast a ballot for Huynh. Under Alabama's municipal election law, any registered voter may challenge the ballot of another law does not stipulate that voter. The challenger must provide a reason for the challenge and does not prescribe any penalties for frivolous accusations. Advocates for the Asian community have charged Huynh's opponent in the City Council race of racist tactics designed to intimidate the city's large Asian community. Nearly one-third of the city is of Asian origin, and, if elected, Huynh would become its first ever Asian-American City Council member.

HAVA is also stirring up frustration and confusion for APIA voters. HAVA requires that first-time voters must present photo identification when they vote. These provisions may

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 .

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	disproportionately affect new citizens and young
2	adults. Without advanced knowledge of these
3	requirements, many APIA voters may not have the
4	chance to acquire or prepare such identification in

time to vote.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

further confusion Adding to the identification implementation of HAVA's add requirements, Arizona wants to proof of citizenship, copy of a birth certificate, passport or Bureau of Indian Affairs card to be election officials before presented to individual can register to vote. This measure is aimed at challenging immigrants, many of whom are Immigrant communities are being first-time voters. harmed because these measures are fueling voter suppression.

These examples fall under the rubric of suppression, which minority voter is not just happening in Florida, not just to the African-American, Latino and Native American voters, but evidences nationwide systemic problem of protecting and providing for APIA voters as well.

Although HAVA has provided voters the use of provisional ballots to challenge these barriers,

unfortunately, many APIA voters are still unaware of the provisional ballot, will not know it is their right to request one or if they do receive one, may not know how to use it.

In California, HAVA money was never released to community groups to conduct education in the community about these challenge ballots. While California is making tremendous efforts to train poll workers, the lack of community education will negatively impact the APIA community, one-third of whom are first-time voters. The state with the largest APIA population does not have the money that HAVA initially intended. In fact, no organizations have received HAVA funding at all.

Many organizations were intending to provide translated materials and conduct outreach in the community to explain the new HAVA rules with the expected funding. Instead, APIA community groups are left to cobble together efforts, cutting back on mail programs, instead door-knocking, housing town hall meetings and holding press conferences to inform the ethnic media.

Increased awareness and activism is happening not only within the immigrant community

12

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

but the young APIA community as well, especially in light of the growing awareness of young voter suppression tactics. Among minority youth groups, lowest rates of have the voter vouth registration, but this year APIA student groups have activated like no election prior. APIA Vote is working closely with the National Asian-American Conference, South Asian-American Voting Student Youth and the APIA Greek Alliance, a network of campuses nationwide APIA students at 70 4,000 committed to registering and mobilizing 20,000 young APIA voters this fall.

Research shows that more young people say they plan to vote this fall than anytime during the 1996 and 2000 elections. Thanks to the efforts of many youth voting rights advocates like Rock the Vote and the Greater Youth Vote Coalition, a projected 20 million young voters will race to the polls on election day.

But confusion still hovers within the APIA community. While the education component of student voting rights continues, it is still in the nascent stages and not reaching a majority of students. Since this is the first time many of APIA youth are

	Ш
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\parallel$ 

participating in the election process, a number of questions and concerns remain. While progress was made to register millions of students across the nation, many APIA students still remain uncertain if they are allowed to vote on election day since they do not know if they'll be allowed to vote absentee as first-time voters or if their dormitory addresses will meet the residency requirements in the state they attend school.

Many APIA students have taken to contacting local election boards directly to clarify residency and identification requirements but have gotten no answers. In Philadelphia and Atlanta, students have called the local election offices to obtain clarification on these requirements, but still these offices have no answer for the students.

Yet despite these barriers, the APIA vote is rising. In 2000, 4.7 million APIAs were eligible. Two million registered and 83 percent of those registered actually went to the polls on election day -- one of the highest rates of return of all the ethnic groups. As of the 2000 census, over 6.8 million APIAs are eligible to vote, with 50 percent of those registered to vote to date.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and vote.

As you have heard today, the right -- or will hear today, the right to vote is very precious yet still not guaranteed. There are many situations in the APIA community where rights still need to be vigorously protected, yet despite these challenges facing our community, it's important for APIAs to incorporate into the political system. No matter how difficult things are, we still need to go out

APIAs are more energized than ever about participating in the elections this year than any election prior. Even if things may go wrong, we still need to go out to the polls and vote. We need to convey the message to the public that the new APIA generation is serious about becoming a part of the American democracy by becoming a visible voting constituency and voting on November 2.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you very, very much, Ms. Hu.

We have been joined by Ted Shaw, who is supposed to start, but we're going to ask him to start now if he's ready, but let me introduce you first. Thank you very much for coming. Ted is President of the NAACP -- President and Director

NAACP Legal Defense Fund, the Council οĒ 1 organization founded by Thurgood Marshall, Thurgood 2 Marshall's law firm, that led the legal battles that 3 ended up with Brown against the Board of Education and numerous other cases, landmark cases in the 5 history of this country. 6

He began working on these issues the year he was born in 1954 --

(Laughter.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: when Brown was decided and hasn't stopped since. He's been at LDF since 1982 except for being a faculty member at the distinguished University of Michigan School of Law -- Hail to the Victors. And after graduating from law school, he worked as a trial attorney in the Civil Rights Division of the Justice Department here In 1982, he resigned his position in in Washington. reaction to the Reagan Administration's civil rights policies and joined the Legal Defense Fund. also an adjunct professor at the Law School Columbia University and is also Vice Chair of the Board of Trustees of Wesleyan University.

So thank you very much for coming, Mr. Shaw, and could you please proceed?

suburban.

you a few minutes late.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SHAW: Well, thank you, Commissioner Berry -- I should say Chairwoman -- and thank you all, commissioners, for an opportunity to address you today. I'm here a few minutes after your meeting began because the Legal Defense Fund has every year a Lawyers Training Institute and it's held down in suburban Virginia, what used to be It takes more and more time to get into the District from Virginia, as you know, every time I come through anyway, so I apologize for joining

The Legal Defense Fund, as you know, is no longer part of the NAACP out of which we were born, but I always have to underscore that. And the Legal Defense Fund has been engaged in voting rights issues even before there was a Voting Rights Act. The cases Smith v. Alright back in the 1940s, which challenged all white primaries in Texas, and cases like Gomillion v. Lightfoot in the 1960s, which challenged the ludicrous fracturing of black voting strength to deprive the African-American community effectively of the fruits of the right to vote, were Legal Defense Fund cases, as have been many of the major civil rights cases involving the right to

vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And we have been engaged in enforcing the Voting Rights Act since it was enacted in 1965 and were deeply involved in the process of ensuring the amendments and extensions of the Voting Rights Act of 1982 and enforcing the Voting Rights Act since then.

This year we're engaged with a number of other civil rights organizations and institutions, law firms and grassroots public interest organizations in election protection work. recent experiences and our long-term onexperiences, a strong concern about the protection of the right to vote in the upcoming election.

I don't need to spend time or I shouldn't need to spend time recounting what happened in Florida in 2000 and other places around the country. This is not only a problem in Florida. There has been litigation after the Florida debacle, and the Legal Defense Fund was involved in some of that litigation. I hasten to add that we are a 501(3)(c) non-profit, non-partisan organization, and I always explain to people that we did not weigh in when lawyers were parachuting into Florida like it was D-

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Day to impact the outcome of the 2000 election. Our concern was the systemic reform of the Florida electoral system insofar as we witnessed a number of practices and procedures and shortcomings that resulted in the denial or effective denial of the right to vote on behalf of African-Americans, people of color and in fact white Americans in Florida. And so we have been engaged in an effort to see that that does not recur.

I think that the historical problems, poll taxes, white primaries, at-large elections, literacy tests, intimidation, violence, those problems are well known, and those problems are not the problems of 2004, for the most part, even though intimidation efforts continue. They've changed. We're not living in the 1940s or 50s or 60s, indeed, even the 90s.

The present-day problems are a combination of intentional efforts to suppress the minority voting strength and unintentional but nonetheless very troubling problems and inadequacies in our electoral process: Inadequate technology, inadequate training of poll workers, felon disfranchisement laws which disproportionately impact upon the African-American community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

states there are felon 48 In disfranchisement laws, and in the states where there are large African-American populations, the impact is palpable, as thev are often these laws intended -- it often is intended to be. Even where such laws exist beyond the legal targets of felon disfranchisement laws, that is those who have in fact been convicted of felonies, we have problems with African-Americans who have never been convicted of a felony being swept up in purge lists and denied. the right to vote, either because of incompetency or less defensible -- not that incompetency should be defensible, but less defensible reasons.

The Help America Vote Act, intended to address some of these problems, has some unintentional consequences that we are concerned about. for example, African-American So, communities are more likely to have people who are disproportionately poor, some of these people live in households that are multigenerational. not have or need to have or have an opportunity to have the kinds of identification that most of us take for granted, whether that's a driver's license

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or whether it's getting mail to our address with a utility bill. Somebody else in the family may get that mail, somebody else in another generation. In fact, I often point out that the right to vote is a constitutional right even though some people may be confused about that. It is a constitutional right. And homeless people have the right to vote in this country.

So we're concerned about ID requirements. I acknowledge the necessity to verify that people, in the first instance, when they register are who they say they are. We're also concerned about the varying interpretations of provisional balloting provisions that are part of HAVA. jurisdictions, a ballot may be thrown out if someone votes in the wrong precinct. In other places, they Now, almost four years after Bush v. Gore was decided, I think constitutional scholars have pretty much determined that that case is either impenetrable beyond its result or it has dubious underpinnings. But if it means anything, if the notion that the 14th Amendment's equal protection laws require similarly situated voters to be treated similarly, then it seems to me the problem that I'm

1	pointing to or that we're pointing to is a problem
2	that we should all be concerned about.
3	This cycle already and you're going to
4	hear if you haven't already about some of these
5	problems, I assume, from the younger people who are
6	here today whom I salute for their activism this
7	cycle already we have seen a Florida investigation
8	into election fraud in which armed investigators,
9	state officers appeared in the homes of elderly
10	black voters. They tell us that they were
11	intimidated or found it intimidating, because these
12	folks were engaged in trying to procure absentee
13	ballots.
14	We have the Texas Prairie View situation
15	in which
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They're here. They're
17	here.
18	MR. SHAW: I know. I know. That's why I
19	salute them. In which students at Prairie View,
20	which you know is an historically black institution.
21	We're told by the local DA that if they voted in the
22	election, they face prosecution, and they'll talk
23	about that.
24	We have a Michigan state legislature

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

suggesting that it was necessary or desirable to see voter turnout in Detroit, which is of course a heavily African-American city, kept down. Now, in this democracy we ought to encourage the right of every citizen to vote who's qualified to vote, no matter what their political affiliation is, and we ought to remove barriers.

We have a 1-866 number which we set up along with other groups, available for anybody who has problems either during the pre-election weeks or on election day to call this 1-866-OUR-VOTE. is my hope that we have an election that we can stand up and say -- about which we can stand up and say this is the way democracy works. Everyone who has the right to vote has had an opportunity to participate. It was a clean election. It was an election that is beyond question one that we can hold up as an example of how elections ought to work for the rest of the world. I hope that we don't have to litigate in the aftermath of this election, but we have strong concerns that are justifiable about what may happen in the weeks to come.

There's been a surge of registrations.

It's been a great thing. There may be a lot of

-	1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

confusion, but when the dust settles the Legal Defense Fund is going to be there to make sure that the right to vote has been protected and will be protected in the years to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. There will be questions. Ms. Hu, I forgot to say there will be questions. Thank you.

Jehmu Green is President of Rock the Vote. non-profit, non-partisan organization Ιt is dedicated to empowering young people to participate political process. She oversees the operation of the Rock the Vote, headquartered in LA with offices in Washington and New York. She manages the organization's budget and the Board of Directors includes some of the most influential leaders and executives in the entertainment and media communities. She's also the spokesperson for the organization, and we thank you very much for agreeing to come today. Please proceed.

MS. GREEN: On behalf of the Rock the Vote, I wish to thank you, Dr. Berry and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, for inviting me, for inviting Rock the Vote to discuss the importance of the youth vote and youth civic participation and the

civil rights issues impacting young voters.

Rock the Vote is a non-profit organization based in Los Angeles that promotes political participation for young adults. I am pleased to report that Rock the Vote has registered over one million new voters through our online and on-the-ground efforts in this election cycle. Actually, as I left Los Angeles yesterday, it was as 1.203, and we are very, very excited about those efforts this year.

We are fully confident that this is going to be a historic election year for young voters. As most of you know, young voters, which we define as 18 to 30, tend to be underrepresented in larger margins than any other group of voters. The most common explanation for this trend is that young people are simply apathetic or too disinterested in politics to bother with informing themselves, much less voting. The other conventional justification is that refraining from voting is a conscious decision for various reasons, such as rejection of the system or a belief that their vote will not make a difference.

Rock the Vote has spent a considerable

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
	1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

amount of time and energy combating this problem, creating initiatives aimed at engaging young people in the political process through a combination of popular culture, youth-oriented activities and targeted marketing campaigns designed to reach potential voters and encourage them to use their vote to not just involve themselves in the issues that affect them but to have an impact on those issues.

Our efforts this year have an amounted to an all-out blitz featuring an unprecedented array of initiatives, some time tested and others that put us edge of participation the cutting voter on campaigns. To begin with, we issued public service entitled, "It's Uр You," announcements to television and movie theaters. These focused on issues important to young voters, such as the rising costs of college tuition and the lack of health care, more in the spirit of issue motivation rather than a generalized call to vote. In addition, the ads focused on women's voting and used celebrity appearances to aid voter recognition and improve issue identification.

Rock the Vote's street team have played an

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

П

invaluable part in our operation. They are heart and soul of our organization. They are pavements, registering voters pounding the at concerts, -events, community centers and wherever In addition, we launched the young people hang out. Rock the Vote bus tour, traveling to over 50 cities with over 100 stops. The tour stopped at a number and conferences, including of conventions national party conventions, before it headed to college campuses this fall.

Featuring a number of artists, the bus tour is expected to come in contact with half a million young people and reach five million young voters with our message through publicity about the tour.

Public service announcements, street teams and concerts have been the traditional methods employed by Rock the Vote, and they have been successful. However, we are extremely excited about our new initiatives to use emerging technology to keep younger voters involved. A giant step has been Rock the Vote Mobile. This partnership with Motorola enables people to get politically involved wherever they are by using the one device they carry

with them at all times -- their cell phone. Young people can now get essential news from the campaign trail, make their voice heard in the first ever wireless polls and on election day receive a reminder to vote and locate their polling place through their cell phone.

Another exciting tool has been the online voter registration tool. This tool allows anyone with Internet access and a printer to register to vote without leaving their home. Rock the Vote has offered this technology free of charge to web sites in order to spread the word, and over 1,000 web sites have taken us up on our offer. It is largely due to such online efforts that Rock the Vote has been able to register voters in such high numbers. And, as I said before, as of today we have registered over 1.2 million people.

I want to just touch briefly on a couple of other programs we have: A Happy Birthday Program with the California Secretary of State where 30,000 birthday cards are sent out to 18-year-olds every single month, and we have a 12 percent return rate, and we are looking forward to spreading that program across the country as well as Rock the Vote voter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 ,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

registration kits that we have sent out over 2,000
voter registration kits, with 90 percent of those
kits going to high school teachers who do not have
voter registration materials and information
provided to them from their school administration
and come to Rock the Vote for those materials.

As it turns out, it's far easier to sell think. civic engagement than one would Once informed of the power that they possess to create change, many young voters immediately seek out ways. to get involved. The major challenge has been the hurdles placed in the way of these young people who desperately want to participate in politics, who desperately want to vote. Questionable practices by local election officials, and state primarily around unconstitutional centered residency requirements, have illegally discouraged or impeded scores of young voters from registering to vote or voting in local elections.

According to the New Hampshire Institute of Politics, the most significant challenge for students who want to vote this fall is bureaucracy -- legal or administrative barriers that make it extremely difficult or impossible to vote in their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22.

23

effect of these The net college communities. has been widespread: Student voter barriers form of amounts to a suppression, which discrimination against young people.

The United States Supreme Court upheld the right of students to register to vote from their campus residence in 1979, yet a national problem remains. Instances of student voter suppression have occurred at Prairie View A&M, William & Mary College, Henderson State, Florida A&M, Bowdoin College, among others. Additionally, many of these schools have a considerable black student body and a community that is largely white.

report by the League of recent Conservation Voters, Education Fund and Project Democracy has found that restrictive residency requirements in conjunction with contradictory information on absentee voting messages received by students have presented numerous barriers to student In addition, they found multiple instances voting. of voter intimidation at the polls, as well as partisan scare tactics and ongoing voter suppression efforts. These discriminatory efforts, including turning away students from the polls,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

students ineligible, threatening students with misinformation that voting in their college town would make them lose their scholarship, that voting in their college town would make their parents not be able to claim them as dependents, that voting in their college town would make them lose their student loans.

Lastly, the report found that there were no polling places on or near many college campuses and that redistricting diluted the impact of students' votes by dividing the student body into many different districts.

This may sound like a lot of bad news, but Rock the Vote believes that the public attention to these issues can make a real difference toward ensuring that local election officials comply with federal election law. And we've seen this evidenced firsthand at Prairie View. I was going to talk a little bit about the Prairie View situation, but I will allow Nigel to go through that story. I do want to point out the work that we did through Rap the Vote, which is a project of Rock the Vote, to work with the Prairie View students.

In response to the Prairie View situation,

prominent national and local elected officials about voting rights, election issues affecting young people and the importance of political activism. I want to recognize Prairie View's student body and their student government for all of their activism that they took up.

discussions

the Vote led students in

They organized a forum featuring hip hop artist Q-Tip, the Congressional Black Caucus, we had congressional members from Maryland and state representatives, Congressman Cummings, State Senator Rodney Ellis, myself, Congresswoman Sheila Jackson Lee, and other national and state leaders went down and the students really did organize one of the most intriguing and informative student forums that Rock the Vote has participated in.

In addition, coming out of the work that we did at Prairie View, Rock the Vote created a campus campaign called, "Do It On Campus," to fight student voter suppression and intimidation. Rock the Vote has also started a national campaign to help students at college campuses across the country to push for on-campus polling places. We created a web site which provides information on what students

action against student voter take can 1 suppression, including a place to post their stories 2 about suppression and information to their fellow 3 students on how to get polling places on their campus.

> Rock the Vote strongly agrees with the the League of Conservation Voters, findings of Education Fund and Project Democracy. Although numerous examples of student there are disenfranchisement around the country, there is hope that these tactics will be eliminated. The 2000 the wake-up call and shown elections were а spotlight on practices that have been going on for There are also other changes that can be eliminate the restrictive residency that made and other structural barriers to requirements We endorse their proposed solutions student voting. to the barriers to student votings which are as follows: Same-day vote registration. I think that light's blinking because I need to finish.

> Only seven states -- Idaho, Illinois, New Hampshire, Wisconsin and Minnesota, Maine, Wyoming -- currently allow residents to register to vote on election day. In these states, youth voting

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

\_12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	l
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

rates have an increase estimated at 14 percent in presidential years. We also endorse their findings on college and university involvement. The National Higher Education Act of 1998 specifies that all post-secondary institutions must make forms widely available to students.

We also think that there is a great need for institutional change. The only solution may be federal legislation that upholds the rights of students to either register to vote at their parents' home or to register at their college address. We hope Congress will take up this fight and introduce legislation to address this issue. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. There will be questions.

Nigel is a Dallas, Texas native and is Student Body President at Prairie View A&M and is a management information systems major with a minor in economics, and he recently took the LSAT and plans to attend law school. He wants to pursue a career as a civil rights lawyer. He is active in numerous youth civic organizations and volunteers for community service activities with Big Brothers and

	ì
1	Big Sisters, Toys for Tots and Adopt a House, Adopt
2	a Family, among other things. Nigel, welcome.
3	Thank you for coming and please proceed? .
4	MR. REDMOND: All right. Thank you for
5	inviting me. It's a beautiful and wonderful day
6	this morning.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
8	MR. REDMOND: It's certainly an honor to
9	sit amongst all of you, and I appreciate the
10	interest that everyone has in our university.
11	Prairie View A&M University was
12	established in 1876, a little more than a decade
13	after the civil war. Ever since the establishment
14	of this great institution we have struggled with so-
15	called elitists trying to filter our natural born
16	rights away from us. We did not give up then, and
17	we are not about to give up now.
18	Prairie View A&M is a predominantly black
19	institution in a small white county right outside of
20	Houston, Texas. The student body population is more
21	than 8,300. The surrounding community employs many
22	students, and the main customers of these businesses
23	are primarily the students. We are welcomed with

open arms when it is time to exchange our money with

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

these same students, to vote in the same county as these businesses, we're no longer welcome. They do not want the students to have jurisdictions on how we are governed during our years of residency.

their goods and services, but when it's time for us,

In 1979, Prairie View A&M brought a case Supreme Court that resulted in U.S. landmark decision upholding a student's right to This historic case, Symms v. the U.S., found that although a student may not be able to state with certitude that he intends to permanently live in the university community, such a declaration is not necessary to establish domicile.

That decision is synonymous with meaning. Students can vote where they go to school even if they do not plan to stay there permanently. So intimidation fighting back against voter and disenfranchisement is no stranger to the students at our university.

Waller County, the former District Attorney Oliver Kitzman printed letters in a local newspaper that threatened students with federal prosecution for illegal voting if they attempted to cast their ballot in the March primary elections.

These threats were based upon a legally unjustifiable theory of Texas domiciliary law.

indeed directly His theory was contradicted by the Texas Attorney General and the Former Texas District Secretary of the State. Attorney Oliver Kitzman still refused to withdraw A lawsuit was filed against him in his statement. federal court because of the students' fears of being prosecuted for simply registering to vote. settlement was quickly reached and approved by the court wherein the former District Attorney agreed to initiate criminal investigations for his definition of illegal voting, among other things.

within the settlement the creation of a Waller County criminal justice intern with the Waller County District Attorney's Office. Mr. Kitzman later released a formal statement and Prairie View students apology encouraging register to vote in Waller County. And I'm very happy to say that Oliver Kitzman is no longer the District Attorney in Waller County. He resigned maybe a month and a half, two months ago.

A second lawsuit was filed by the Prairie

View Chapter in the NAACP to prevent the County from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

implementing a change of frequent voting without first obtaining judicial or administrative clearance, as required by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Because of this lawsuit, the commissioner's core decided to reinstate two days of early voting, which they tried to take away from the students in order to provide early voting days, as was provided in past years.

These two lawsuits were filed in February and March of this year with the assistance provided by several organizations and associations. The most recognized event that made headlines all over this great nation was the massive and militant protest march that was on January 15, also as a celebration of Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. birthday. Nearly 5,000 students marched seven miles from the campus in the rain to Waller County courthouse to demand the right to vote where we attend college. We proudly overtook U.S. Highway 290 with this massive show of force, backing up traffic for miles into Houston.

The march was led by student leaders,
President, NAACP, myself and many other student
leaders. Senator Rodney Ellis, State Representative

Al Edwards of Houston, U.S. Representative Sheila Jackson Lee of Houston and other prominent elected officials.

that all these events have After transpired, you would think that Prairie View A&M students' struggles were over. Unfortunately, those would just be thoughts and not reality. We are currently fighting to get polling stations placed on We are more than 95 percent of the our campus. in Precinct 309 but were population voter disapproved of having a polling station on campus twice. All of the schools within the A&M system as ours and obviously those larger have large as polling stations on their campus, usually in their Why can we not have a polling student centers. station in our student center? What makes Prairie View so different from other schools?

Well, one, the school is predominantly black in a predominantly white county with a majority of white commissioners making most of these voting decisions. We had a white District Attorney and have a white election commissioner managing more than 8,000 blacks. Secondly, and more importantly, the fear of losing power. With the student vote

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

.19

20

21

22

23

1	having more than twice the power than these
2	residents not enrolled, added all together, the
3	County is in the students' hands. Without the
4	students' vote, the County is in the hands of the
5	outside community which is accustomed to voting one
6	particular way.
7	Coming up this Wednesday we're having a
8	voting rally, and we have Senator Royce West coming,
9	U.S. Representative Sheila Jackson Lee, Al Edwards,
10	Al Green and some other congressmen and women coming
11	to the voting rally. It's a barbecue, so I want to
12	invite everybody to come if you want to come down.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Next Wednesday did you
14	say?
15	(Laughter.)
16	MR. REDMOND: Next Wednesday.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Barbecue, I heard that
18	part.
19	MR. REDMOND: November 2 we're having a
20	march to the polls where we're going to formulate
21	another march like we did earlier this year. We're
22	going to march to the polls since we don't have it
23	on our campus.
24	If we do not as a county live in a

24

1

democracy, then events such as those described today will continue and worsen. We need leaders to step up to the plate to condemn attacks on voting rights in Waller County, in Texas and in this nation. Ιf the two parties cared about black students being able to vote, they would have taken some course of just expressed empathy of and not students and disgust with those actions by the It is truly unbelievable that former DA. struggle continues in 2004 with such a basic right. We, as students, deserve support from all to assure the certainty and validity against racist class solidarity. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Well, thank you very much, Nigel.

Sabrina Hodge is the President of Prairie View A&M campus student chapter of NAACP, and she heads the campus voter registration, voter education and protection drive. Her duties and motivating students include encouraging voter vote, holding seminars onregister to education, voting rights and preparing pamphlets and disseminating them regarding voting rights and poll access.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the 2004 NAACP state conference, the university chapter received the College Voter Empowerment Award as a result of her efforts toward registering students. She also is a senior from Dallas and management information systems major with a minor in criminal justice. In her church, in Dallas, Texas, Baptist church, she serves as a mentor to youth and to teenagers. Thank you very much for coming, Ms. Hodge, and please proceed.

MS. HODGE: I would like to thank everyone for the invitation to come. This is very exciting for me as well as for the students at Prairie View. My duties include -- well, I feel my duties should include encouraging the students to vote. One thing that we'd like to hit on for this election is -- we're focusing on four things: Voter registration, voter education, voter mobilization and voter protection.

With the voter registration, we have been going door to door, knocking on the students' doors, encouraging them to register to vote. We've held numerous voter registration drives, and we're also doing seminars, passing out pamphlets on voting issues about the candidates, anything that they need

to know about voting.

Also, for the voter mobilization, we are going to call the students the night before the election and remind them to go vote. We're also taking them to the polls to vote because we don't know if it's going to be cold or rain.

And for the voter protection, to let the students know when they go to the polls that they should not be discriminated against. Some of those students don't know their actual voting rights, but when they go to the polls we are going to give them all the proper procedures that they need to take if they are turned away from the polls.

One of the things that I feel the young people don't go out and vote on is because they feel that they are not wanted in the County. They have officials who are running for a position. They come and they encourage the students to vote for them when it's time for them to be elected. But when it's time for us to stand up for our voting rights, they don't stand up for us.

For the issue of the polling place, we went to the Commission's Court expecting them to have the polling place on campus, and they appealed

.20

it twice. The person who was in our district -- the commissioner who was in our district he did not even vote for the polling place to be on campus, and that was really hurtful to the students because we voted for him to be the commissioner within that precinct.

One student posed a question to me -well, they made a statement, that we're all created
equal but we're not all treated equally. And we see
that as a result of Oliver Kitzman's letter that was
printed in the newspaper. And it's hard to
encourage the students to go out and vote if they
feel they're going to be intimidated, if they feel
they're going to be arrested when they go and vote.

So how can you explain to a student, "Well, you're not going to be arrested," but a letter has been printed in the paper that says you're going to be prosecuted? So my job is to encourage those students and get them out to the polls and vote. So we've been working together on the campus making sure that all the students are registered to vote. We registered over 2,000 students on the campus, so we feel that our efforts are really getting into place, and hopefully we can get all the students out to vote on the election

day.

We're working with the President, or trying to work with the President to create blockouts for the class periods. That way they will have enough time to go vote, because the hours are not long enough -- eight to five. Some students are in class from eight to five, depending on how their schedule is, on how their work study schedule is. And then we have students who go to class until nine o'clock.

So we wanted to extend the days for early voting, get the polling place on campus because it's easily accessible to the students if they can walk from class during their lunch period and go vote on campus. And that's one of the things that we're really working for to get the young people to vote, because they're saying, well, they don't vote and they feel that their vote doesn't count, but their vote does count.

If the officials in the County would stand up and tell them how important their right to vote is, then maybe they would go out and vote. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Always. Well,
I have actually a serie's of questions. I have a
question for Ms. Hu. Would it help to have
volunteer poll watchers at the precincts to make
sure that the official poll workers in fact follow
the law on provisional ballots and that sort of
thing? Have you had experience with that?

fact, hundreds of Asian In MS. HU: Pacific Island Americans have volunteered in the In ten states, the APIA past and this election. legal organizations have organized poll watching programs, and they have been helpful in highlighting what has happened in the discrimination suppression that has taken place in the past. So, yes, if there were the resources for our community to garner some volunteers, even more so -- or even be paid as bilingual poll workers, it's proven in the past to be helpful, for 50 percent of the APIA population has said that if they received language assistance, they'd be more likely to vote.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That's important. That's good. Mr. Shaw, I have a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

question on the felony laws. You mentioned that 48 states have some impediment in terms of the felony laws, and I assume that includes the state of California who doesn't allow a person that's been convicted of a felony to vote until they've gotten out of prison and includes a state like Florida that doesn't allow a person that's been convicted a felony to vote at all until years later when and if they get something akin to a pardon.

My question is this: What does a felony conviction have to do with voting and with the right It seems to me that if you had a narrowly to vote? prescribed law that says if you've been convicted of felony relating to voting, voting fraud, something of that sort, there might be relationship between voting and a felony. But if you've been convicted of a felony for manslaughter in terms of how you drove and so on, and I don't see the relationship of that felony to voting, which leads to the question: No chance that all those laws can be declared unconstitutional?

MR. SHAW: Well, Commissioner Reynoso, I agree with the point that I think you're making, which is that the connection between one's status as

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

ı

a felon and the right to vote is a dubious one. Given the explosion in incarceration rates in this country, which, as we all know, now puts us ahead of the rest of the world in terms of per capita incarceration rates in the last 20, 25 years, largely driven by draconian drug sentencing laws which end up with the incarceration of many non-violent drug offenders. This has become a problem that has impacted communities of color in a disproportionate way.

I cannot neglect to add that of course most drug users in this country, either in absolute numbers or proportionality, are not African-American or Latino.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But those who get convicted are.

MR. SHAW: Well, that's right. Right. I think when people are convicted of crimes, they should serve their term and then they should be --having served that time, they should be allowed to reenter society. I don't see whose interests are served in a legitimate way -- of course I see the political interest, but I don't see whose interests are served in a legitimate way by continuing to

8

9

10

11

7

17 18 19

16

21

20

22

23

24

marginalize and exclude people. And it's not only felon disfranchisement but it is also people who are unable to get housing, to get an education loans, to participate in society in all kinds of ways. And so what you do is effectively put these people outside of the boundaries of society and they're more likely, I think, as non-participants to be recidivous. So I think that's absolutely right.

There is litigation pending in a number of jurisdictions now. The Legal Defense Fund involved, for example, with a challenge in New York to New York's felon disfranchisement laws. There's a case coming out of the 9th Circuit right now that is in the Supreme Court on a petition. There's a case that is coming out -- another case coming out of the 2nd Circuit. There's been litigation in Florida, there's been litigation in other jurisdictions, and I think that -- I know that judges are beginning to split on this issue. There . is more public opinion being expressed in op ed pieces. Public education on this issue on the increase.

Whether or not the Supreme Court or lower federal courts will ultimately declare these

practices unconstitutional is still up for grabs, but I think that this is a fight that we have to engage in.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, you have mentioned the obvious, that it's a constitutional right to vote. Then it seems to me to take it away it's got to have some relation to the vote. So that's why I was asking.

MR. SHAW: Well, it ought to. Some people would argue of course that these folks have had due process before they've been convicted, but the --you know, a sentence is a sentence, and a sentence doesn't or shouldn't include all of these other punishments which are frankly gratuitous.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Ms. Green, you mentioned same-day voting. I attended a conference last week and there was a professor from Columbia who follows these matters, and he estimated, I forget -- I think it was -- he estimated that if you had same-day registration on voting day, the vote would go up by, I think he said, ten or 15 percent. In light of the reduced voting year-by-year percentage wise in this country, it seems to me that's something that we should do.

•		

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

\_\_

24

So my question is why hasn't that become a political issue? You think the parties would be -that would be at some high level of discussion now.
You mentioned it, but I don't hear much discussion in the political world about something that potentially, at least according to that professor, might take the vote up ten, 15 percent. That would help democracy, it seems to me. So how come it's not a big issue?

MS. GREEN: I don't know if I can answer how come it's not a big issue. I agree with everything you said as far as when you see direct correlation with turnout increases tied to same-day in those seven states. For young registration increase it is a 14 percent voters, presidential election. It's a four percent increase for young voters. In mid-term elections California, in 2002, there was a proposition on the ballot, Proposition 52, which would have brought same-day voter registration into that state. initiative as defeated by, I believe, 61 percent and the opposition --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Where was that?

MS. GREEN: This was California in 2002,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

19

20

21

22

23

24

which was otherwise referred to as election day voter registration. The opposition to Proposition 52, their messaging was focused on fraud and focused around people voting at one precinct and then going to another precinct and just -- nothing that really tied into a system that actually is in place and can I think be protected.

So one of the things we want to do, which is I think what similarly happened with the motor voter law, is to put a lot of celebrity attention, media attention behind same-day registration coming out of this election. We'll get through the next 20-something days and then head into next year with our number one issue being highlighting the need to hopefully have federal legislation passed to have this across all 50 states.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Isn't that subject also -- the politics of it is another matter, but aren't those matters subject to federal legislation, at least with respect to federal elections?

MS. GREEN: It definitely can be, and some legislation has been introduced that has not gotten a lot of attention. Again, we hope to see, in the

1	same way that the motor voter law passed, that same-
2	day registration can go to that level.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'll let you continue
4	after we let somebody else go.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes. Okay.
6	I've asked too many questions, the Chair tells me.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have Edley,
8	Braceras, Meeks so far. And Thernstrom, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Mr. Shaw, are you
10	aware I should ask anybody. Is anybody aware of
11	any federal criminal indictments or grand jury
12	proceedings that have been initiated in this
13	election cycle by the Justice Department? Has
1	anahada haand of anathing?
14	anybody heard of anything?
14	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone
15	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone
15 16	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think
15 16 17	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think in Wisconsin that I saw in the paper, who faced some
15 16 17	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think in Wisconsin that I saw in the paper, who faced some criminal charges. I don't know where that stands.
15 16 17 18	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think in Wisconsin that I saw in the paper, who faced some criminal charges. I don't know where that stands.  Apparently, this person had many registration forms
15 16 17 18 19	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think in Wisconsin that I saw in the paper, who faced some criminal charges. I don't know where that stands. Apparently, this person had many registration forms in the trunk of his car. I don't remember the
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SHAW: There is a report about someone who was engaged in registration activities, I think in Wisconsin that I saw in the paper, who faced some criminal charges. I don't know where that stands. Apparently, this person had many registration forms in the trunk of his car. I don't remember the details of it, so I don't know about that. If the

I'm not aware of that.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8 .	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I do know that in the meeting that I and other civil rights organization representatives had with the Justice Department a couple of years ago, around a mid-term election, the priority of Justice Department at that time was voter integrity; that is to say they were very concerned about voter fraud, and the Attorney General dropped in on that meeting and articulated that primary concern. sense is that there continues to be their priority distinguished from the concerns about as intimidation efforts and techniques that keep people from voting, particularly people of color.

That's a source of concern for us because while we think that voter fraud obviously is a problem that ought to be investigated and pursued where it's found, there is a much broader problem with intimidation efforts and techniques that keep people who are qualified to vote away from the polls, and we wish the Justice Department would put more efforts into that issue.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, what is your understanding of the content of the criminal prohibitions on interference with the actual size of civil rights, generally the right to vote? For

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

example, if you have a deliberate misinformation campaign by private parties, the leafletting saying you can't vote if you haven't paid your parking tickets and paid your rent sort of thing, one example, official Second an example. misrepresentation, like the DA in Prairie View or a sign put up in a polling place that says, "No ID, no ballot, " "No ID, no vote, " in a state in which an ID is not required, like New York. And I guess a third example would be a pattern of underresourcing polling places, absence of materials, absence of facilities, et cetera, in a way that is not just an effect but if you had evidence of an intent to do that as a way of suppressing vote.

I mean don't any of those things rise to the level of potential criminality?

MR. SHAW: If they are intentional efforts to suppress the right to vote, to interfere with the right to vote, they could. We certainly have represented African-American voter registration activists in other times. I can remember some litigation that we did, I believe it was in the late 80s or early 90s, in which we represented defendants who were prosecuted by the federal government in

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

Alabama for voter registration activities that the government alleged were fraudulent. If they can be prosecuted by the federal government, then, certainly, it seems to me, the facts demonstrate that people are engaging in intentional efforts to suppress the right to vote on behalf of minorities, that they can be prosecuted also. I'm not aware of any such prosecutions in recent times.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Braceras?

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: My question was for Mr. Green. It was just about the same-day voting -- I'm sorry, election day registration, as she calls it. And you said that the opposition to that campaign in California talked about fraud, but I'm just wondering what measures are there to prevent fraud in such a situation? I mean, technically, how does the system work to do that?

MS. GREEN: I think in the same way you have the ability of asking for the utility identification, that there could be ways of bringing information to the polling place that shows that you are a resident of the state. I know the challenges that identification creates in minority communities, but because of the --

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. Because I
2	was just going to say I thought you were opposed to
3	that.
4	MS. GREEN: Because of the increase in
5	voter registration or voter turnout that we see with
6	same-day registration and the existing
7	identification legislation or laws that are already
8	in place, those things should take balance
9	themselves out. The existing identification
LO	requirements from coming out of the Help America
11	Vote Act could suffice for election day
12	registration.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So you support
L4	those requirements in the case of election day
L5	registration?
16	MS. GREEN: I would say that Rock the Vote
L7	does not support identification requirements, but
18	there are
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So that's why I'm
20	asking you, how would you or your organization
21	propose to implement that system in a way that would
22	both allow people access on election day and
23	MS. GREEN: You know what? I would
24	probably say, looking at the seven states and the

success that they have had with election day registration that the systems that --

. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I guess that's my curiosity, what do they do there, because I'm not familiar with those states?

MS. GREEN: Off the top of my head I don't have the exact systems they go through, but they have held many elections for many years that have been held up under scrutiny that provide for election day registration, and those systems that are in place I think -- they can be replicated at the national level.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. My question was simply what those systems are, the don't know, but then follow-up maybe you question would be -- and you sort of answered this already -- but I'm unclear as to whether some of the systems that prevent fraud in that context are systems which you would also approve of, the ones that are currently in place in those seven states? I guess what I'm saying is I think it's important to strike a balance between allowing full access, and I have no objection to same-day registration in theory problems of fraud can be worked out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

focus

is

to

terms

only

do

of,

on

everything

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS:

focus being on trying to focus on fraud.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

prevented. And what I hear you saying is that those

Yes.

would say from where Rock the Vote sees this when we

look in a country where less than 50 percent of the

people who are citizens in this country participate

in the democracy. The focus needs to be on how we

increase turnout and have greater participation.

And I think in the same ways where Mr. Shaw was just

saying looking at who's being prosecuted, if the

fraud

intimidation, then it's a little backwards, that we

participation instead of all of the resources and

can't we do both at the same time? I mean can't we

both be registering people, breaking down barriers

situation, making sure that there are polling places

example, have a right to vote where they go to

for example,

on campuses, making sure college students,

we

and

can

Right.

the

Yes.

Prairie

not

or know how because I haven't researched that.

fingertips how those states have done that.

GREEN:

I just don't understand how

I don't have at my

states have done that.

MS.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

in

www.nealrgross.com

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

requiring the same time, and at school, identification, requiring people to prove that they enrolled in example, fact, for in are university or that they live in that place. I don't see those things as necessarily mutually exclusive, and my problem is that I often see on both sides of the political aisle one side focusing on just one half of the equation and very few people wanting to take on both sides at the same time.

GREEN: think the bulk of the MS. resources need to be focused on increasing turnout when we have such a crisis that our democracy is facing right now, that you can do both but that the bulk of the resources should be to really address this crisis, especially when you have an entire generation opting out of participating. This is something that every single person in the country I think should be up in arms with. And so, yes, there can be a focus on the fraud requirements that are needed but that the bulk of the resources be a majority of the intention. The urgency of the need for increasing turnout is where all sides of the aisle need to focus on.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Meeks and

then Thernstrom. And just a point of information:

As I understood it from Mr. Shaw or somebody earlier, the problem is not so much fraud or increasing turnout or increasing opportunity; it's targeting efforts at people. Like who do you go after for fraud, and who do you go after? Are there just certain people or do you treat everybody the same, which is really what the issue is, try to treat everybody the same. Commissioner Meeks?

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I want to thank you all for being here and especially to applaud Mr. Redmond in his efforts. Somebody has to lead the way.

Native Americans have just really started to participate in the voting process in numbers that I think are getting people's attention, but the same thing is true. The younger people, I think, haven't at all understood how important this is for their future. And I just wondered if Rock the Vote has targeted tribal colleges or any of the reservations or anything to try to get more Native Americans in the process?

MS. GREEN: We, since the 1998 mid-term elections, have been very much focused on targeting

1	Native American youth and taking our bus tours into
2	reservations. The phase I of this bus tour that we
3	are currently in right now, we stopped at five
4	reservations on the west coast. And as we were
5	heading into phase III, which is the last two weeks
6	of the election, we will be doing the same in
7	Wisconsin, Minnesota and Iowa and really having a
8	concerted effort to reach out to Native American
9	youth.
10	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I think Arizona would
11	be good. I said South Dakota, Arizona and New
12	Mexico would be good.
13	MS. GREEN: We did Arizona. We spent
14	three days in Arizona, and I'd say 90 percent of our
15	efforts in Arizona were reaching out to Native
16	American youth. We did the unity conference which
17	brings in 2,000 young Native Americans from across
18	the country and did a voter registration drive,
19	trained them on voter registration to then go back
20	into their communities and register their peers to
21	vote.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner
23	Thernstrom?
	ll .

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
L7
L8
L9
20
21
22

24

of questions, the first one for Ted Shaw. You and I read the U.S. Constitution a little bit differently and I would love to hear a little more about your reading, but I don't find a constitutional right to vote in the United States Constitution, and the qualifications for voting have traditionally been, as you know, a state prerogative that is qualified, obviously, by the amendment and by the Voting Rights Act, which is based on both the 15th and 14th Amendment. But you see a very -- something broader than the 15th -- I mean you see a sort of general right to vote built into the Constitution. Which clause of the Constitution are you reading?

MR. SHAW: The Supreme Court has interpreted certain rights as being fundamental rights. That includes the right to vote. Of course the 15th Amendment is the amendment that prohibits discrimination on the basis of race or color, previous condition with respect to the right to vote of course is an amendment with respect to women. But the right to vote is protected as one of the fundamental rights in the Constitution.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: But this case reads beyond the 15th Amendment a right to vote,

1	period.
2	MR. SHAW: If you're suggesting
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Which overrides
4	state prerogatives. Oh, I'm terribly sorry which
5	overrides I mean after all that was a huge fight
6	with respect to the Voting Rights Act. The degree
7	to which it interfered, it was rightly decided that
8	the act was constitutional. But the degree to which
9	it interfered with traditional state prerogatives to
10	set voter qualifications.
11	MR. SHAW: If your suggestion,
12	Commissioner Thernstrom and you and I have
13	disagreed about many things, agreed about some,
14	which is fine if your suggestion is that there's
15	no constitutionally protected right to vote, that is
16	an interpretation that some people have. It differs
17	from mine, and if you like, I can get you a
18	memorandum on the right to vote.
19	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I would like to
20	have the memorandum. I would very much like to have
21	it.
22	MR. SHAW: Be glad to do that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Couple of other questions. I gather it was

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM:

23

24

That would be

1	Ms. Green or Ms. Hu who referred to the possibility
2	of future federal legislation to remedy I missed
3	exactly what the reference was to the hope of future
4	federal legislation with respect to voting to remedy
5	I'm not sure what, but in any case I'm interested in
6	what you're hoping for in the future.
7	MS. GREEN: I think I referenced both
8	federal legislation for same-day voter registration,
9	which we've talked about a little bit
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: So then that
11	would be a federal mandate.
12	MS. GREEN: That would be a federal
13	mandate, as well as federal legislation that upholds
14	the rights of students to either register to vote at
1,5	their parents' home or to register at their college
16	address.
17	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And the hook for
18	federal mandate for, for instance, same-day
19	registration, what would be the constitutional hook
2:0	for that?
21	MS. GREEN: That, actually, I do not know
22	what it would be, but I'm not a constitutional
23	expert; I'm a youth organizer who tries to do
24	everything we can using pop culture to get these

1	messages out.
2	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Spending clause.
3	MR. SHAW: If I may, though. I find it
4	puzzling that a commissioner, on the U.S. Commission
5	on Civil Rights would take the position that the
6	right to vote isn't constitutionally protected or
7	that states' rights override the federal
8	Constitution with respect to the right to vote.
9	Baker v. Carr, which as you know or should know, was
10	the case that embraced the notion of one person/one
11	vote. In itself, by definition, has to assume or
12	find a right to vote is constitutionally protected.
13	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: That's not how I
14	read <u>Baker v. Carr</u> but, in any case
15	MR. SHAW: Well, I'm not surprised to hear
16	that.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. SHAW: But as I say, we can get you a
19	memorandum, then we can differ.
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I would be
21	delighted to see the memorandum. I do find
22	offensive the notion that somehow I'm against people

voting. I'm not. I just believe in reading the

Constitution --

23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

MR. SHAW: No, ma'am. I did not say you were against people having the right to vote. I certainly didn't say that, didn't mean to say that.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Okay. I'd just like to read my Constitution as it's written, that's all, and it's not -- it shouldn't be a suspect in any way view that there are legitimate questions about exactly what the breadth of he constitutional quarantee is.

MR. SHAW: All I said, old friend, is that I find it puzzling that someone on a Civil Rights Commission would take the position that the Constitution doesn't guarantee the right to vote, but I'm willing to be puzzled.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I also believe in people being discriminated against? Of course I don't believe in people being discriminated against. I was not taking a position that in any way conflicted with that fundamental belief of mine that there should be no discrimination in voting.

I'm interested, of course we should all be, in the Prairie View story, and it certainly is not the only historically black college or

1	university in a predominantly white setting, and I
2	wondered if there were other stories at the HBUCs
3	that you knew about that were comparable or whether
4	there was a particular cast of characters involved
5	in the situation that you described?

I haven't heard about any MR. REDMOND: other HBCUs but I'm not saying that there aren't But if there are some -- 'I think the only any. reason that we had such widespread attention was because of our march. If it wasn't because of the march, I don't think a lot of people would have heard about our story. With that being said, if other universities, particularly HBCUs, were to do things of a magnitude like a big march or something like that, then we would have heard about it. haven't heard anything, and I haven't contacted any presidents of a student body that have told me otherwise.

MS. GREEN: If I may, Florida A&M University there is a situation down there, as well as I can provide a memo with a listing of all of the reports that we've gotten from the schools. That's one of the thing we're doing on our web site is collecting those stories.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Are they on your
2	web site? Would the memo be on your web site?
3	MS. GREEN: The memo is not on the web
4	site, but the ability for students to report these
5	incidents is on our web site, and we can pull them
6	out.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The public can
8	read them on the web site or no?
9	MS. GREEN: The public cannot read them on
10	the web site. We collect them through the web site,
11	but we can just turn it around for the Commission.
12	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I'd be delighted
13	
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll put it in the
15	record.
16	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: to receive
17	any
18	. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll put it in the
19	record, okay? Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: And just one
21	last question on voter intimidation of the Asian
22	Pacific Islander. In New York and elsewhere now,
23	New York there must be an awful lot of polling
24	officials and polling workers in New York.

19

20

21

22

23

24

registration workers, et cetera, who are members of minority groups. Do you have a sense of whether the treatment of Asian-Americans differs depending on the race of ethnicity of a person who is -- the official who is registering voters or in any other way dealing with people who are attempting to vote.

I actually don't have that GREEN: MS. don't if that has information. Ι know is something that would be collected, but that useful for community. All I do know is that these instances do exist and they exist pervasively across the nation and that as the efforts of not only the Asian-Pacific Islander American activist as well as the other greater election protection activists are documenting what's happening across the nation that these are things we should definitely be looking for to gather the evidence we need to understand what is going on and if we are being disproportionately discriminated against by a particular ethnic groups or what not.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Kirsanow?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'd like to thank everybody for coming today, especially the students.

Get some time off from school.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

First, just a quick observation with respect to some things and also Mr. Shaw. It seems to me that we should be able to walk and chew gum at the same time and that investigation of voter disenfranchisement as well as fraud should not take primary or secondary status but should be pursued with equal vigor.

I'm not sure that I agree with Ms. Green, although I'm willing to be persuaded that, I think as you put it, the bulk of the effort should be on voter access. I think that seems to be where most of the problems are. We've got several instances of or at least allegations of voter fraud. I mean my own state there are at least five counties that have had 20, 30, 40,000 registrations that have been called into question. That presents problems from a number of perspectives, because, among other things, it could result in vote dilution: One person's vote being canceled out by a fraudulent vote.

But that piggy backs on something that Commissioner Edley has raised, and this is my question, if any of you know what the answer is. Several people have used the term, "voter suppression," and I think we heard a couple examples

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

20

21

22

23

24

of what you consider to be voter suppression. But that's a term that's been used a lot most recently without an explicit definition, and the more I think about it I think Mr. Shaw would probably be most qualified to answer this. Is there a definition that you have in terms of the practices that constitute voter suppression, and to what extent do such practices equate with disenfranchisement that would be violative of the Voting Rights Act, Section 5 or whatever provision of the Voting Rights Act?

MR. SHAW: Well, by voter suppression what I mean, what the Legal Defense Funds means is the attempt to either keep individual voters from voting who are qualified to vote or, in general, to dissuade or intimidate people from exercising their right to vote. I can give you some examples, but they are not exhaustive.

So, for example, one of the concerns that we raised with the Attorney General in the meeting to which I referred earlier was that the Justice Department was talking about its efforts primarily being focused for the mid-term election, at that time those were upcoming, on voter fraud. And the Justice Department was talking about putting posters

up in polling places which listed a bunch of transgressions which were prosecutable.

The message that that can send to voters is that if you go to the polls and you vote, you are inadvertently, in subjecting risk, oreven yourself to criminal processes. Obviously, voter fraud -- and I think I said this very clearly. Ιf I'll say it wasn't clear before, Obviously, voter fraud is illegal and ought to be prosecuted where it's uncovered. It's not question of chewing gum and walking at the same But we know on behalf of African-Americans and other people of color that there's a long history of attempts, efforts to keep people from the polls where they are qualified and entitled to vote.

The fliers to which Commissioner Edley referred that pop up periodically, we say if you haven't paid your phone bill or your utility bill, electric bill, whatever, that's a voter suppression technique. Often it's hard to identify those responsible because it's done anonymously and in the cover of night or whatever, but if you can get after those people, they ought to be prosecuted for that.

We heard stories in the 2000 election

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

:	L
•	2
	3
•	4
	5
•	6
•	7
	8
	9
L	0
L	1
L	2
L	3
L	4
L.	5
L	6
L	7
L	8
L	9
2	0
2	1
2:	2

24

about people being turned away from the polls, as I mentioned before, because their name showed up on some felon disfranchisement list, and these are people who never had been convicted of any crime. That is a voter suppression technique. When you target a community because of how you think they're going to vote in an attempt to purge some people from the polls to keep your candidate's likely opponent from winning, regardless of your party, that is a voter suppression technique.

So, again, broadly defined it is any attempt to keep people who are qualified and entitled to vote from voting. And it manifests itself in many forms, and it's still alive today, unfortunately.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Let me just piggyback. I guess if I could be a little bit more And I think you answered a good portion specific. of the question, I appreciate it. The long history is very well known and that goes to what I'm talking of disenfranchisement and about in terms point does or the suppression. Αt what do suppression tactics that you've identified become prosecutable, either under some state or federal

statute, and if you can identify those statutes, and also would be violative -- and/or would be violative of the Voting Rights Act.

MR. SHAW: Well, the Voting Rights Act -of course there are two primary provisions that we
can use, there are other provisions that are just as
important, but Section 2 and Section 5. Section 2,
as I think about it, is -- we're generally not
talking about Section 2, and Section 2, of course,
is the complicated provisions where you have the
fracturing of black votes or voter schemes or
electoral schemes that dilute black voting strength,
et cetera. So usually those are systemic issues by
those who engage in a process of drawing lines, et
cetera.

Section 5, of course, is the provision that requires pre-clearance of electoral changes by jurisdictions that have a history of discrimination. Again, those are usually systemic changes. So Section 5 ordinarily wouldn't be implicated here, although -- and I'd have to think some more. There may be some instances in which Section 5 could be implicated by some of the concerns that we're talking about right now.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

**21** 

22

23

24

There are provisions under which people are prosecuted by the Justice Department, have been prosecuted by the Justice Department for voter fraud, for example. I mentioned it in Alabama. We defended voting rights activists who were charged with voter fraud for their election activities. Those same provisions could apply to attempts to suppress black voters or Latino votes or anybody's votes. We can get you a more specific briefing on the provisions if you'd like, but criminal penalties do apply.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. May I ask a again congratulate these question? I want to I mean it's really courageous of you students. students down at Prairie View and thoughtful and creative in terms of your leadership. But have you ever thought about having somebody run for office? Have you done that already? Because if you had somebody run for office, given what you've said about the numbers, and you could get the people to register and you could get them down if you were, what, 95 percent or whatever it is, you might be replace some of those people who are able to

1	interfering with your right to vote and that might
2	be just as important as what you're doing. You
3	probably already thought about that, right?
4	MR. REDMOND: Actually, from my
5	understanding, a guy named Simeon Queen was trying
6	to get on the Democratic ballot to run for County
7	Commissioner of our precinct, but he was unable to
8	get on that, and the only ballot that he was able to
9	get on was the Republican ballot. And the majority
10	of the students, a great number of the students at
11	Prairie View were raised under the Democratic mind
12	frame, and that was a problem. It gets deeper than
13	what I can actually
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I understand.
15	MR. REDMOND: But it was
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I just meant for the
17	future, as you strategize about what to do.
18	MR. REDMOND: Yes, we are seeking
19	different positions.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the folks who are
21	going to be there after you guys graduate you might
22	have some long-term strategy to try to run somebody
23	and take over. And, also, do you have legal
24	resources from any of the public interest law firms

1	or anybody helping you with all these legal
2	challenges, for example, not having a polling place,
3	which seems discriminatory? Do you have a law firm
4	or do you have some of these groups, these non-
5	groups? Are they helping you with the legal
6	challenges?
7	MS. HODGE: We haven't had anyone to help
8	us with the legal challenges of the polling place.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
10	MS. HODGE: As far as with the District
11	Attorney. I'm in the process of talking to the
12	Texas State NAACP President. He's a lawyer, and
13	I've been trying to communicate with him, so we can
14	get someone to help us with the polling place.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There may well be
L6	something, while Mr. Shaw is writing his memo to
L7	send to the Commission, that somebody might look at
L8	to see if there's some legal way that these students
L9	can be supported in trying to get this polling place
20	and seeing it as an issue of discrimination.
21	MR. SHAW: Well, if I've understood the
22	facts, Commissioner Berry Chairwoman, if I've
23	understood the facts correctly, if in fact there are
,,	nolling places at predominantly white colleges and

1	universities and not Platifie view, then that s
2	something that I already want to talk to you about.
3	So we can talk afterwards. We'll go ahead and do
4	that.
5	MS. HODGE: Yes, because we brought up the
6	issue of Texas A&M having their polling places on
7	campus and their students not being discriminated
8	upon when they register to vote. And since we are
9	under the A&M system, we should be treated equally.
LO	CHAIPERSON BERRY: I see. So Mr. Shaw has
LI	got his finger on that.
L2	MR. REDMOND: As far as like having help
L3	throughout the whole process, we have had help from
L4 ·	the People for the American Way Foundation, the
L5	Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights, the Texas ACLU
L6	and NAACP.
L7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
L8	MR. REDMOND: But as far as this specific
L9	situation, I'll get with Mr. Shaw afterwards.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. You can
21	deal with him, because he's publicly on
22	MR. SHAW: On record.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: on record. And
24	then the last thing I wanted to ask is my last
	1

1
2
3
4 ·
J
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

question is could it be argued I'm trying to be
devil's advocate that folks who complain about
things like ID requirements and people being careful
about who they let vote and so on and the felony
disenfranchisement, that what needs to be done, and
I've been in debates with people where they've said
this to me, is folks just need to get up off the mat
and go do what they need to do. Go get some ID,
that folks who are trying to be advocates ought to
educate them to go get some. Felony
disenfranchisement, if you don't want to be
disenfranchised, don't do the crime, that it's valid
to complain about being put on a list when you
haven't done a crime but the argument I've been
given is, "Just tell them not to do the crime and
then those problems will be solved." And is it just
sort of whining on the part of people who have the
agency and the power to take care of these issues
themselves and who don't do it and who sit around
whining about what people have been doing to them.
Anybody want to take that one?

MR. SHAW: Well, Madam Chairman -- Madam Chairwoman, your question --

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: You seem to have

an awful lot of trouble with her title today.

(Laughter.)

2

3

4

5

6

R

9

10

11

12

13

74

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SHAW: I'll get there.

(Laughter.)

MR. SHAW: Thank you. And I welcome your Yes, it can be argued. The truth of the help. matter is, as we try to underscore with respect to felon disenfranchisement is that we're talking about people who have served their time. They've had -and the way these penalties go these days, they've had stiff penalties. And these are people who are now trying to reenter society and engage in fruitful And it seems to me that we do a terrible lives. disservice to those individuals and to our nation when we keep them from fully reentering the life of an American citizen.

There are some things that people do need to do, but, for example, African-Americans are more transient, poor people are more transient, Latinos are more transient, and so they may run into more problems when it comes to registration than other communities, people in other communities. We should be aware of that. I'm saying that we should do all we can to encourage people who are citizens in this

country .to vote, not to go the other way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In other words, we should take into account the social context of the people with whom we're dealing.

MR. SHAW: If I may also, just one example. Commissioner Kirsanow asked me a question about specific statutes. I just want to give a quick example to just point you to, because it is both a state and a federal question that you're asking with respect to specific penalties.

Florida, for example has specific criminal penalties. I'll read you one. This is Section 104.0515 and 104.61, "Whoever intimidates, threatens or coerces anyone with the intent with interfering with their right to vote or bribery, menace, threat or other corruption, whatsoever, directly indirectly, attempts to either orinfluence, deceive or deter any elector in voting or interferes with him or her in the free exercise of the elector's right to vote at any election commits a felony of the third degree."

There's another provision about any supervisor, director or election employee who attempts to influence or interfere with any elector

1	voting ballot commits a felony of the third degree."
2	That's Section 104.051. So it's just an example of
3	a state law, but there are also comparable federal
4	provisions. We're talking about criminal penalties
5	that apply to the interference with the right to
<b>6.</b> .	vote, because it's a sacred right.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that means that the
8	people who were in Broward County last time tried to
9	keep the supervisors from counting the votes could
10	have been prosecuted under that clause, which was
11	seen widely on television all across the country.
12	MR. SHAW: If their activities fall within
13	this description, then they are subject to criminal
14	prosecution if somebody
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Prosecutes them.
16	MR. SHAW: prosecutes them.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. Is
18	that all right? One more?
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: One more
20	question.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: One more from the Vice
22	Chair.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: For Mr. Redmond
24	and Ms. Hodge. Did you say that the voting hours

1	were 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.?
2	MR. REDMOND: I believe it's 8:30 to 5.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And is that a
4	local rule or a state law? I'm just surprised
5	because in California I think the voting is from
6	seven in the morning to eight at night.
7	MR. REDMOND: Local.
8	MS. HODGE: It's the local rule.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: It's a local
10	rule. Okay. Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We want to thank you
12	again very much for coming. We appreciate it. And
13	let's all go forward to November 2. All these
14	problems are going to be solved by then, I bet you.
15	And thank you, commissioners. We're adjourned,
16	without objection.
17	(Whereupon, at 12:47 p.m., the Civil
18	Rights Commission meeting was concluded.)
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	