

MEETING OF THE ARIZONA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Arizona.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Volume I

August 27, 2004

Nogales Arizona

The following proceedings commenced at 10:00 a.m. on August 27, 2004, at the Holiday Inn

Express Hotel, 850 West Shell Road, Nogales,



\bigcirc	1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
	2	ARIZONA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
	3	Dr. June Webb-Vignery, Chairperson Tucson
	4	Ms. Isabel G. Garcia
	5	Tucson
	6	Mr. Paul J. Gattone Tucson
	7 8	Ms. Lorraine Lee Tucson
	9	Mr. Jose R. Matus
	10	Tucson
	11	Mr. James M. McKenzie Tucson
	12	Mr. Jones Osborn Yuma
\bigcirc	13	Mr. Ramon M. Paz
	14	Tucson
	15	CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
	16	Dr. Fernando A. Hernandez
	17	Long Beach
	18	Honorable Gilbert C. Alston Pasadena
	19	1 abadena
	20	NEW MEXICO ADVISORY COMMITTEE
	21	Stanley Agustin
	22	Albuquerque
	23	TEXAS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
	24	Honorable Adolph Canales
	25	Dallas

1	PERSONS MAKING COMMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE	
2	PA	GE
3	Diego Padilla Representing Governor Bours, Sonora, Mex	12 ico
4 5	Pancho Medina & Carlos Galan Salaz Appearing in opposition to Proposition 2	3 <u>4</u> 0 0
6	Carlos Gonzalez Consul, Mexican Consulate	47
7 8	George Lopez Border Patrol representative	60
9	Jason Brown EEOC representative	8 4
10 11	Boralina Skidmore Representing Congressman Raul Grijalva	98
12	Director of the Governor's Office of	114
13 14	Highway Safety Manuel Reese	131
15	Representing Santa Cruz County Richard Saunders	142
16	Chief of Police, Tohono O'odham Nation	
17	Tony Estrada Sheriff, Santa Cruz County	158
18	<u> </u>	184
19	Representing BorderLinks	
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Good morning. This meeting of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order.

I am June Webb-Vignery, chairperson of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States government established by Congress in 1957 and directed to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or by reason of fraudulent practices.

Number 2: Study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution.

Number 3: Appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Number 4: Serve as a national

clearinghouse for information about discrimination.

And Number 5: Submit reports,

findings and recommendations to the President and Congress.

Advisory committees were established in each state and the District of Columbia in accordance with enabling legislation and the Federal Advisory Committee Act to advise the Commission on matters pertaining to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color religion, sex, national origin, age, handicap or in the administration of justice, and to aid the Commission in its statutory obligation to serve as a national clearinghouse.

Advisory Committee Act call for each advisory committee to advise the Commission in writing of any information it may have respecting any alleged deprivation of citizens' right to vote and to have the vote counted; to advise the Commission concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of the equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; to advise the Commission upon matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports of the Commission to the President and to the Congress;

to receive reports, suggestions and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials about matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the state advisory committee; to initiate and forward advice and recommendations to the Commission about matters that the advisory committee has studied and to assist the Commission in the exercise of its clearinghouse function.

The purpose of the hearing today is to

The purpose of the hearing today is to obtain information and public comment on immigration issues impacting the border region of northern Mexico and southern Arizona.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting, a summary report will be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

I'd like for the advisory -- the Arizona Advisory Committee members at this time to introduce themselves, starting at this end of the table with Ramon.

MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz, Tucson, Arizona.

MR. OSBORN: Jones Osborn from Yuma,

Arizona.

MR. GATTONE: Paul Gattone from

24 | Tucson, Arizona.

MS. LEE: Lorraine lee, Tucson,

1 Arizona. 2 MS. GARCIA: Isabel Garcia, Tucson 3 Arizona. 4 MR. McKENZIE: James McKenzie, Tucson, 5 Arizona. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And the forum is 6 7 being conducted today --MS. GARCIA: We have another member 8 9 that's not been introduced. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Is he here? 10 MR. MATUS: I'm here. 11 12 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry, Jose. MR. MATUS: Jose Matus, Tucson, 13 Arizona. 14 15 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: The forum is being 16 conducted today as part of a four-state project, and 17 so we have present with us the regional director of 18 the Rocky Mountain region and also the chairs of the New Mexico, Texas and California state advisory 19 20 councils, and I'd like to have them introduce themselves. 21 22 DR. HERNANDEZ: My name is Fernando 23 Hernandez. I'm chair of the state advisory committee 24 for California, and I reside in Long Beach, 25 California.

1 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And you also have 2 with you --3 DR. HERNANDEZ: I have with me --4 JUDGE ALSTON: My name is Gilbert 5 I reside in Pasadena, California. member of the Southern California Advisory 6 Committee. MR. AGUSTIN: I'm Stan Agustin from 8 9 New Mexico. I'm the chair, and I'm from 10 Albuquerque. 11 JUDGE CANALES: Adolph Canales, the 12 chair of the State of Texas Advisory Committee, and I reside in Dallas, Texas. 13 14 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Also present with us today are the staff from the regional office of 15 16 the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, and I'd like to ask that they introduce themselves. 17 18 MR. PALACIOS: My name is Arthur 19 Palacios. I'm with the Los Angeles regional office which covers Arizona, Texas and -- not New Mexico, 2.0 and California. 21 22 MS. HERNANDEZ: Grace Hernandez, and I'm Los Angeles staff. 23 24 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: This meeting is being held pursuant to Federal rules applicable to 25

state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

All requests regarding these provisions should be directed to Commission staff.

2.4

I'd like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting and not an adversarial proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relevant to the subject of today's inquiry.

Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee. And since this is a public meeting, the press and radio and television stations as well as individuals are welcome.

Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised, and in this case we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the persons making those statements and request that they desist in this action. Such information will be stricken from the record, if

necessary.

2.2

Finally, many of you may have questions regarding why certain persons were invited to participate while others were not.

The Committee would like to acknowledge that this has been a very important issue in this state, and many individuals possessing particular knowledge, expertise and experiences have been involved in moving this discussion forward.

These issues have many voices. Because of time and budget constraints under which we operate, the Committee simply could not accommodate everyone who wished to participate today.

The Committee's goal in assembling the individuals who all of us will hear from today was to ensure that information is obtained from the broadest and most diverse cross-section of voices on these issues as possible.

Each of the persons you will hear from today has knowledge on the issues that we felt were important to include in the record.

This not to say that others do not have opinions and viewpoints that are just as important. This is simply to say that as a group, we have attempted to do the best that we could under the

circumstances, and we thank you for your understanding.

2.1

In an effort to hear from others having differing points of view, we have allocated time to hear from anyone who wishes to share specific information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration.

At that time each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee.

Those wishing to participate in the open session, which will take place from 4 to 6 p.m. today, must contact the Commission staff or Mr. Art Palacios.

In the event that we are not able to hear from you in the open session, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 30 days following its conclusion tomorrow.

The Committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record. These items should be submitted to the western regional office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 2010, Los Angeles, California 90012.

Any member of the Commission staff

1 should be able to assist you in the process for 2 submitting information. Now, let us proceed. And the first 3 person on the agenda today is Diego Padilla 4 representing Governor Bours from Sonora, Mexico. 5 And there is an eight-minute time 6 7 limit, limit on presentations. And Mr. Jones Osborn will be notifying you when the -- a minute before the 8 9 time is up. Good morning to all of 10 MR. PADILLA: Thanks for inviting us this morning. 11 you. My name is Diego Padilla Ramos and I 12 am the official state government representative of 13 Sonora in Arizona. I'm based in Phoenix. 14 15 And I'm glad to represent this morning Governor Bours. I have spoken to him yesterday, just 16 17 And he was not able to attend, but he spoke to him. 18 says sorry, he sends his regards to you, and he's going down to Mexico City today, I believe. 19 20 But I will be brief in my presentation as far as what Sonora's point of view is regarding 21 22 human rights and immigration. As you know, immigration as such is a 23 noble worldwide phenomenon. It's not wrong, it's not 24

People always look for better ways of living.

25

bad.

And what we're witnessing here in the Sonora-Arizona border is a unique case worldwide.

It's a unique social phenomenon, very sad at the same time of what's going on at the border, especially in the summer.

The government of Sonora -- I have to

The government of Sonora -- I have to emphasize here, it helps -- the government of Sonora lends support and help to the federal government.

All immigration issues, as you know, fall within the Federal structure. And in this case, in Mexico have the minister of the interior through the immigration department, and of course, seems that it is the government's goal to -- and this has been emphasized, Governor Bours told me yesterday to say this to you -- that his government is supporting the human rights and is defending our -- (unintelligible).

I mean, for the first time the governor of Sonora signed an MOU with the federal government not too long ago, to the immigration office, to protect Mexican citizens along the Arizona-Sonora border.

How do we do this? Well, the health department, the education department, social development office, they all help and assist the --

the -- let's say the candidates to be illegal aliens crossing or attempting to cross the border, mainly through Sasabe, which is west of here.

Three main crossing points in Sonora, Sasabe being the main one. Then we have San Luis Colorado, and also Naco and Agua Prieta, that region.

But of all the illegal aliens who attempt to cross the border every year, this is a -- we are in a unique situation along the Arizona-Sonora because almost 50 percent happening here. I mean, we talk about all the states in Mexico combined, they amount to what Sonora represents alone, Sonora and Arizona.

And why are we seeing so many deaths along the desert? There are several reasons because of that. And the state government is helping the federal government to ease the burden of what's going on through assisting with the health, with information booths along the border, especially where many cross.

But the thing is most of them come from south Mexico and they don't how the weather is like.

We're a bridge also for Central

1 | American illegal immigrants that attempt to cross.

They don't know how the weather is like. Even though

they are warned what's going on here, they attempt to

4 cross. Why? Because they are looking for a

5 different way of living, improve their standard of

6 | living, and that's something that happens worldwide,

7 | and we have seen this throughout history.

It is a federal issue but the government of Sonora is helping the federal authorities, both sides of the border, to ease the pain. Every death in the desert is very painful not only for us as government but for you as well.

We ask for civil rights of our citizens to be protected while they cross the border. And both governments have work -- we have -- the Arizona-Sonora relationship, as you know, is very -- very well. We have been getting together for the past 40 years, Arizona and Sonora, through the Arizona-Sonora Commission, or Arizona-Mexico Commission. And in that Commission, which is held every six months, we always talk about what's going on along the border. And of course immigration is one of the keys to -- (unintelligible).

But I just want to emphasize before I conclude because of the time constraint, that the

state of Sonora is highly concerned. Governor Bours has given instructions to the health department, to the social economic -- social office and to the educational office to assist and wait for -- on these people in both ways, it run both ways.

Of course this represent social problems for the communities, but at the same time immigration as such sometimes benefits the county or municipality that they're staying at. Of course it's a social problem because it brings much more people and needs more services, but we have to sometimes take a look at the positive side of this.

But again, the State of Sonora is helping the federal authorities with the burden of the pain. This falls entirely on the federal authorities. And even when they are repatriated back to Sonora in this case, we help the immigration office to receive the people. There were two types of repatriation, voluntary and involuntarily, which is the green patrols you see outside. And the voluntary, as you know, has been in effect for -- (unintelligible).

Half of the people who are asked to go voluntarily refuse. Half of them choose to fly back because of the government, both state and federal,

are helping them to get back as close to home as possible. But half of them refuse. And many of them say see you in two weeks.

Well, this is a very, very delicate issue, a unique problem worldwide, and the state of Sonora is actually helping the federal government, and we look forward to the American authorities to protect the citizens and to defend their civil rights because they are human beings, and we are in a unique situation worldwide.

The largest border in the world along a developed country, the most developed country of all bordering a less developed one. It's a unique situation worldwide, which the border of a developed country borders a less developed country. There's no similar phenomenon seen worldwide. So this is a unique situation. And as the authorities in the States get tougher and tougher because of security issues -- we understand that -- well, immigrants are looking for new ways to cross the border and that brings with it negative effects.

I will close for now. And if you have any questions, please go ahead.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. The chair recognizes Paul.

MR. GATTONE: Thank you. Paul Gattone from Tucson.

Sir, appreciated your comments. I know that you had mentioned the need to defend the rights of -- the civil rights of Mexican nationals who are coming to the United States.

I wonder, does your office in Phoenix, or maybe an office -- other offices you have, receive reports of what sort of civil rights violations

Mexican nationals are experiencing when they come into this country, and could you give this committee some examples?

MR. PADILLA: Well, the office that I run in Phoenix, it's more of a trade office. It's -- we promote investments and tourism and we do business liaisons and -- but we get calls sometimes from people who are being detained. Not very often, however. And we turn those to the Mexican consulate in Phoenix. The Mexican consulate is the right, is the correct government agency in charge of that.

And we have not received reports so far -- I been there only five months -- but only a couple of times we've gotten calls that -- the most perhaps important issue is in communication, of being -- a lady called about two weeks probably

ago -- he was probably being detained here -- and not letting him call. But that's very seldom.

So we don't deal with federal issues as such. But sometimes they call, and we just turn

them to the Mexican consulate.

MR. GATTONE: So the consulate, sir, would be the one who might have more information about the specific rights -- or specific violation of rights that may be being experienced by Mexican nationals?

MR. PADILLA: Yes. If we -- now, if we do get some -- I would like before I leave this room today, I would like -- June, hopefully in the future you can give me a report of all the conclusions so I can be in my office and I can report to Governor Bours down in Hermosillo of what -- what's in here and what we discuss here, and yes, to be in touch with you in case civil rights of Mexican nationals are violated.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes. June Webb-Vignery, chair.

Yes, once these proceedings are put together by the regional office and this panel has reviewed it, it will have a final copy, and that can be sent from the regional office to you.

And you have that address? Does the regional office have the address to send the information? MR. PALACIOS: Yes. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Chair recognizes Isabel. Isabel Garcia from MS. GARCIA: Tucson, Arizona. As a representative of Governor Bours, I'd like to know what the governor has done in relation to advising the federal government, the Mexican government, about the impact that the border strategy is having on this particular border. My understanding is that in February of this year, Mexico signed an agreement with the United States to try to protect lives, is what the statement was; however, in looking at the details, it calls for more and more and more structure on the border; more agents to save migrants, more walls, more equipment, and that is when we've seen the growth of the deaths. So as the state that's the most impacted, Sonora, as well as Arizona, what has the governor done to relay this information to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

federal government?

MR. PADILLA: Okay. Well, first,

Governor Bours created a whole government structure,
a secretariat, called the security -- public security
secretary back in October -- well, actually it was
January of this year when the changes took place.

And this security office -- I want to call it office -- has given advice to immigration officials and the immigration department especially to concentrate resources and personnel in Sasabe.

I don't know the specifics of technical advice as far as avoiding deaths in the desert and -- because the immigrant inflow is amazing and it's difficult to control.

But right now the state government of Sonora and to this office, with the federal immigration offices that belong to the ministry of interior, has placed booths along many of the -- Sasabe, Naco, Agua Prieta, San Luis Colorado, to protect and assist the citizens, the Mexicans attempting to cross. And also Central Americans. Sometimes difficult to know the difference.

But a large amount of resources devoted to --

MS. GARCIA: No, I understand the State of Sonora has provided substantial assistance.

I'm talking social and humanitarian.

My question is more on the political situation, which is that Sonora has seen the vast number of deaths since the growing militarization of the border.

My question is not what Governor Bours has done in regards to humanitarian aid, which I applaud and acknowledge; I'm talking about giving political advice to the federal government regarding these strategies on the border.

MR. PADILLA: Well, President Fox is very well aware of what's going on. And both Governor Bours and President Fox have gotten together several times to discuss these issues.

But as far as a political advice to face this problem, I cannot tell right now what -- how far they have gone between themselves.

What I do know, however, is that state government of Sonora and the federal government have gotten together to face this problem, which again is a very difficult problem to face, but we're working with that.

Again, it's not our particular job for my office, but -- but I was told by Governor Bours himself personally yesterday of what has been done.

1 But of course, the political advice here, it's beyond my reach. But the famous -- I think, you know, in 2 order to solve this, we hope that this so-called 3 quest program will eventually be true one day to make 4 5 them legal in the States, although it's temporary. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Chair recognizes 6 Ms. Lee. 7 8 MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee, Tucson, 9 Arizona. 10 You mentioned at the beginning of your present an MOU, and I don't know if -- I just need 11 some clarification. That is something separate from 12 what Isabel had mentioned. 13 Could you elaborate a little bit more 14 about what that MOU entails? You mentioned in terms 15 16 of protecting Mexican citizens. What specifically 17 was in that MOU or contained in that MOU? MR. PADILLA: Well, I never read the 18 19 document myself, but I have spoken to the -- this 20 morning I spoke to the lieutenant governor --(unintelligible). Actually he call me from Colima. 21 And this was not just to sign MOU as such, it was to 22 23 do something. 24 But before doing that, the federal 25 government and the state government has to sign a

document which they both work together, they 1 cooperate, they put resources together to assist 2 3 illegal or attempting to be illegal immigrants along the Sonora border with Arizona, especially in Sasabe. 4 Now, this document called for 5 assistance in health, in tourist aid, in information, 6 7 warnings, how the weather is like. Because like I said, most of them are from south Mexico and they 8 ignore what the weather looks like or is like. 9 10 So this is the type of agreement that was dealt between both states. It doesn't go beyond 11 that as far as a political agreement. We don't want 12 13 too much politics to be involved here. I believe this is something that is happening every day, and we 14 have to do something. I mean, one less death in the 15 desert is a win for us. 16 So this is the kind of education. 17 18 Shelter homes, we have shelter houses as well that 19 falls within the agreement. 20 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okav. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have a 22 question. Is it possible for us -- are we going to 23 have time after --24 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yeah, we'll have time after the --25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The only thing is that I don't know what -- I know that you guys have an agenda, and I would really like to ask you a question.

2.4

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes, and there's rules that govern this hearing, and so it has to go -- we can talk informally afterwards or you can talk with the staff from the U.S. Commission.

Yes. Are there any other from the questions panel?

MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz. Buenas dias.

I know the -- perhaps -- I do know the operation of the Consultorio Mexicano, but is the State of Sonora -- does the State of Sonora maintain records, data, on reports provided by undocumented people in the United States when they return to Sonora and talk about abuses or complaints? Does the State of Sonora have a database for complaints?

MR. PADILLA: Not that I'm aware of really. That's -- the Mexican consulate, it's a federal authority, and they depend on the foreign office or foreign relations office, and they normally don't disclose this information.

This information sometimes is a -- (unintelligible) -- because you have the same people

1 attempting to cross several times, and sometimes it 2 doubles, they double the amount. 3 But not that I'm aware of, really. They don't give this information to the state 4 5 But I will find out for you, but I'm government. 6 almost sure they don't give the information. 7 It would be a good idea to have it, Most people, like I said, are not from 8 though. Sonora. 9 Very few are from Sonora, really. Very 10 few. Most of them are from Chiapas, Puebla, Zacatecas, south of Mexico, where poverty conditions 11 are higher. 12 1.3 But as far as I know, it does not keep 14 a record on who was sent back, who was sent back to Mexico. 15 16 MR. PAZ: Any sort of complaint, huh? 17 MR. PADILLA: Well, and the 18 complaints, sometimes they go public, you know. 19 something bad happen, these go public, and that's one source of information. 2.0 21 But the Mexican consulate is the first 22 office where Mexican immigrants will go to for complain or for help or for advice. 23 2.4 They could come to my office in Phoenix or the one Tucson. We have an office in 25

Tucson, mostly for tourism promotion. But we attend 1 2 people there. And they can certainly help to give me the information or direct it to the Mexican consulate 3 in Tucson or Phoenix. 4 5 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. MR. MATUS: Jose Matus, Tucson. 6 Senor Padilla, can you tell us how 7 federal law and policies have helped the trade office 8 or hurt the trade office? Has it been very helpful 9 10 in doing what you need to do as far as Sonora is concerned and the United States trading? 11 MR. PADILLA: You mean the -- excuse 12 me, the federal help? 13 14 MR. MATUS: Well, the laws. 15 policies that are implemented here at the border 16 area, has it helped the trade with Sonora and the United States, or Tucson for that matter? 17 Or has it been --18 MR. PADILLA: U.S. policy? 19 20 MR. MATUS: U.S. policy, yes. U.S. 21 immigration policy --22 MR. PADILLA: It is very difficult, especially since 9/11, because security's tighter, 23 and we understand that. 24 Again, we are a state government. We 25

just help when we're asked to. But the flow is people is slower at the border because of these stricter measures. Of course illegal immigrants are looking for new places to cross, and that brings a higher risk involved.

And border crossing has been slower, not only for people but also for normal commercial traffic and exports/imports, and that has certainly been detrimental.

But again, one of the main issues

behind -- actually we were less than three weeks ago

in Santa Fe, New Mexico, attending the Board of

Governors Conference, and one of the main

fundamentals of this conference is to increase the

security of border citizens.

And we understand how -- considering what's going on worldwide, I think it pays off to have this increased measures.

I mean, if you ask me, we would like to see more common sense by immigration officers right on the border because they have full powers to do anything. More common sense towards Mexicans. We would like to see more common sense when Mexicans are getting the I94 permit, way more common sense, and we ask for better treatment.

But that's understandable on some 1 points. It seems we need to find a balance between 2 both sides. Because security is number one issue, 3 and we understand that, and we want to help you, help 4 5 the States feel more comfortable, more secure, 6 especially nowadays. 7 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for 8 one more --9 MR. MATUS: I have a real quick question. 10 The state of Sonora have -- we saw 11 12 Derechos Humanos in the border area. Why wasn't this 13 person invited to address this council? MR. PADILLA: You know, there is a 14 statewide human rights commission, but right now 15 they're in the process of changing the new 16 17 president. I guess that's why they send me here. But the new president will be 18 19 appointed by the congress in the next two weeks or so 20 because it's been a very difficult appointment 21 because it gets politics in the middle. But we do have a statewide human 22 rights commission for the State of Sonora, and this 23 24 comes from the federal human rights commission, and

each state has its own. This goes back to President

25

Salina's time. And mostly to internal issues, not 1 necessarily for -- well, it does also protect any 2 3 human rights violation, and we try to defend, but it doesn't get involved too much on deported 4 individuals, per se. But we do have one. 5 MR. MATUS: Thank you. 6 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for 7 one more question. 8 MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee, Tucson. 9 I'm going to go back to the MOU issue 10 that you had mentioned, and that's the memorandum of 11 understanding. 12 Within Mexico in terms of how to 13 become more human or treat individuals in terms of 14 those that are wishing to cross and going through, 15 there seems to be a bit of contradiction in terms of 16 viewing some of the stuff that I've read and looked 17 Isn't there in fact an agreement between Mexico 18

and the Border Patrol in terms of the use of

pepper -- of the pepper spray or pepper balls? I'm

not quite sure what the actual term --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PADILLA: Pepper balls.

MS. LEE: That's right, that are being used, that in effect really truly use in a physical way in terms of being used on crossers.

1 Could you address that, because there seems to be a bit of contradiction, and give us a 2 little bit of Mexico's perspective on that? 3 MR. PADILLA: Well, again, I cannot 4 talk for the federal government, but I've -- the only 5 6 thing I know is what I've read in the papers. 7 We don't agree that much with the use 8 of pepper balls because they can be dangerous. 9 you've seen that. I mean, it's like -- you might 10 compare it to treating -- (unintelligible), really. We object to the use of pepper balls. 11 I mean, what's next? What's going to be next after 12 this? 13 14 We just want the U.S. authorities, Department of Justice, immigration, to be aware of 15 16 what's going on in the border, to -- on notice that 17 this is a unique phenomenon worldwide. 18 And of course, protect your borders, 19 but do so in a good way, protecting human rights. 20 And I don't think pepper balls are the correct way. 21 So far this is the new fairly new 22 I don't have too much information about it. issue. But so far in Mexico, as far as I know, we don't 23 24 support at all that measure because it can be

dangerous.

25

1 MS. LEE: Then are you saying there is 2 not an agreement between --3 MR. PADILLA: As far as I know, 4 there's not. That goes to federal issues. As far as I know, there is no agreement between the government 5 6 of Mexico and the U.S. to use that. I don't see how the government in 8 Mexico can sign AN MOU or an agreement to use that, 9 There is no such thing. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you 10 very much for coming and meeting with us this 11 12 And you've been very helpful. morning. 13 MR. PADILLA: Thank you. You're 14 welcome. And I will leave some business cards here 15 in case you need to get in touch with me. 16 MR. GATTONE: Paul Gattone from 17 Tucson. 18 Just a follow-up point of order, if I 19 could. I know that Jose had asked the question about 20 the human rights commission in Sonora. I assume they 21 might have some information that would be relevant to 22 this body. You said that a new president would be appointed in two weeks. 23 24 Could you potentially provide that 25 person's name and a contact address to this

1 committee? MR. PADILLA: Sure. 2 MR. GATTONE: Because it will be 3 within the 30-day period, and perhaps it would be 4 useful in --5 MR. PADILLA: I need your information 6 7 so I can get that information to you. 8 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: It would go to --9 and he's right behind you. It would go to the 10 regional office for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. 11 12 MR. PADILLA: And the appointment has 13 been delayed because like 50 different people sign up 14 and state congress is evaluating each case. will take two weeks. 15 16 Thank you very much. 17 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. We have as the second person on the 18 19 agenda this morning -- or I believe there's two 20 people here who represent Proposition 200? 21 MS. GARCIA: Anti. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Anti-200. 22 Thank 23 you. 24 Could we ask that they come to the 25 seats here to present for the committee?

Could you please identify yourself?

MR. MEDINA: I'm Pancho Medina.

MR. SALAZ: I'm Carlos Galvan Salaz.

4 | I go by Charlie.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Want to thank you for coming and meeting with us this morning. And this is for information for the full committee as to what the proposition contains, and then the objections to Proposition 200.

MR. SALAZ: Me and Pancho are working with the coalition in Tucson and surrounding Pima County, the City of Tucson, the City of South Tucson. Those cities and county has submitted documentation against Proposition 200.

And we are trying to educate our community on the real attack on the Proposition 200 that is -- they're using the immigrant situation, and we believe they're infringing on our civil rights as citizens of the United States of America by trying to impose that we have to show proof of citizenship, even though we're born and raised in the United States. And we are citizens of United States.

And just to put a blanket over the Hispanic people, to show that we have to be citizenships to obtain health services or benefits

1 from different agencies or whatever, to me it's a vile initiative. 2 So Pancho, I'll take a break. 3 4 MR. MEDINA: Again, I'm Pancho Medina. And Proposition 200 to me is -- I really 5 6 call it nightmare on -- (unintelligible) --Boulevard. It's an insult to all people of color. And it isn't just this violation of human rights, 8 9 civil rights and people of color, it also includes people of noncolor, and violating our civil rights on 10 voting, registering to vote. 11 I'm myself am a human being, a brown 12 13 person, a Mexicano, you know. I always have to prove 14 my citizenship when I was a little kid, American 15 citizen, American citizen, American citizen. 16 Going to school, don't speak Spanish, 17 or else you'll be slapped around and stuff like 18 that. 19 They are singing, singing the Battle Hymn of the Republic, from the Halls of Montezuma, 20 21 that's killing my ancestors who fought in the 22 Mexican-American War. So there's a contradiction 23 there. 24 And so I really grew up thinking 25 something is wrong here but yet a very proud American citizen. I was so proud of being a member of this country and stuff.

So to me this Proposition 200 is a nightmare. All of a sudden it seems like we're on the right path and, you know, correcting abuses of civil rights, human rights in this country, and all of all of a sudden, I mean, we have a proposition here in Arizona that's based on racism, really based on racism.

We have Virginia Abernethy coming here, lobbying, appointed to come here, and she's a white supremacist, and she was against the civil rights initiative back in the '60s.

For her to be appointed to lead this campaign and protect Arizona and campaign is a slap on Arizona's face. On everybody's face. Not just the people of color, but all of us here, white any -- it's just on every citizens' face.

And we don't want Arizona to be known as a white state, a racist state, a clan state like it was known back before the Martin King holiday was established here.

And so I am really working really hard to get people to vote no on this initiative.

I'll pass it on to Carlos now. Maybe

he can start on a different subject on the same issue.

MR. SALAZ: Yeah, we've been working real hard on that initiative and trying to get the committee to vote no on this proposition, and we're doing everything we can. We're holding forums throughout the community. We have one tomorrow at a community center in South Tucson and we're expecting to have more throughout the community before November 2 when we go and vote against it.

And even the person that brought up
the issue on this initiative, which is a Randy
Graf -- and I forgot the others guy's name that
seconded the bill or the initiative -- are really
infringing -- they're trying to get immigration laws
passed and push a national ID card program eventually
as a possibility.

It's just that to me, it's a vile initiative. It's centering, as Pancho says, on people of color. And we need to really educate our communities. It's a statewide issue, it's not just Pima County. We've got people working in Phoenix and Maricopa County on the initiative. And we try to do everything we can to educate the voters.

It's not just the immigration issue,

1 it's the expenditure that -- we don't get 16 minutes? 2 3 MR. OSBORN: You have a minute to go. 4 MR. SALAZ: We have to really educate 5 the public, the voters. 6 And we got one minute, Pancho. You wanna take the last minute? 7 8 MR. MEDINA: Yeah, okay. 9 We cannot -- Arizona cannot afford to 10 pass this piece of legislation. Not at all. We have to really defeat it. 11 12 What comes to mind is not only abuse of human rights, civil rights, but also for the 13 14 longest time I never really felt included as part of 15 the community. Now with a more racist attitude in 16 this country, how can I really feel part of the 17 community? 18 No way. No way. Something is wrong 19 here. It's not the melting pot. I don't belong to 20 part of this community because the law enforcement 21 agency, emigre, is looking at me, looking at my face. 2.2 The other day I was in a restaurant 23 drinking a coffee and an emigre bus happened go by. 24 Just a couple weeks ago I saw the movie the Piandist, where they were building a wall 25

in Poland, excluding the Jewish population from the rest of the population. And I really felt -- you know, I felt that myself. The emigre bus, they're not looking for my friends who are a part, they're looking for me, at me.

And that isn't the only issue, but monetarily-wise, financially-wise, we who have the biggest tourist industry, we depend on the tourist industry here in Arizona.

What would really happen if all these tourists decided not to come here to Arizona? We need the finance, we need their monies to be spent in Arizona.

What if it's known as a fascist state, just a white state only? Immigrants need not apply. What would happen to tourism here in Arizona?

So this is really mean-spirited legislation and it's against people of color, it's against people of color, and I really resent that it's even an initiative. I resent this whole thing and I'm tired.

You don't realize what it is coming up to the me take, proving your citizenship and answering questions all your life, all your life.

And America wants me to be part of society?

I am an angry person and -- but I still -- I'm an American and I still feel part of society. Thank you.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you.

Now the Chair recognizes Isabel.

MS. GARCIA: Isabel Garcia. Hello to my friends here.

You had mentioned being stopped by the emigre also. You see this statewide proposition as -- I want to know two things: Whether you see this proposition as related to border policy and INS enforcement, that's number one.

And number two, you've talked about Ms. Virginia Abernethy. What has been shown to be the links of this proposition, the founders and such, and the hate groups in this country. So it's a couple of questions, I'm story.

MR. MEDINA: Well, kind of right now, because in this country it's in a mode of antiimmigration sentiment, and 9/11 and the war in Iraq, I really think it was kind of a -- not a conspiracy but a plan of, kind of thought, said well, we're going to ride with the sentiment of society, so we're going to plan some kind of legislation, antiimmigrant legislation.

So this is -- I think this is what's happening to us right now, so...

And the second part of your question was about Abernethy. Yes, Virginia Abernethy, she's this the leader of an organization called the Council of Conservative Citizens. And they oppose the 14th amendment, the civil rights piece of legislation which was passed by Congress.

And she's also a writer for the Occidental Quarterly, which promotes -- they state that immigration to the U.S. should be restricted to selected people of European ancestry.

And she does have the credentials as a -- she's also an individual who is part of the Journal for Historical Review, and this is a -- they look at the Jewish situation back in the first and second world war, and they really feel that the Jewish population did not -- I mean the Holocaust never really happened.

So she does the have credentials. And this type of person coming to Arizona is a no-no.

MS. GARCIA: Are there other groups involved -- Isabel Garcia again -- other than Virginia Abernethy, has there been any evidence that other hate groups are also involved with this

1 movement targeting here Arizona? MR. MEDINA: Well, FAIR is involved, 2 and I think that American Patrol is also part of 3 And FAIR also has put a lot of money to 4 5 registering voters and get them to sign on to this 6 antiimmigrant legislation, and of course, you know -let's see. 7 8 FAIR has been designated by the 9 Southern -- what is it? -- Southern Poverty Law It's a hate group, it's a racist group. 10 MS. GARCIA: Thank you. 11 12 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Chair recognizes 13 Paul Gattone. MR. GATTONE: Thank you. 14 Paul Gattone from Tucson. 15 16 I have by way of question -- and actually something I'd like to get -- hopefully we 17 18 can get into the record -- about two weeks ago there 19 was an article in the Tucson paper, the Arizona Daily 2.0 Star, on the issue of Proposition 200 and its impact. 21 I'd note for the record -- and maybe 22 we could get a copy of that article attached to our 23 eventual -- and I apologize for not having the date 2.4 of that article -- indeed one of the main points of

the Proposition 200 supporters is their allegations

25

of widespread voter fraud and voting by people who are not citizens of the United States.

why I would like us to get a copy of this article -is that the public officials involved, county
attorneys -- and I note that we have a county
attorney from this county coming in -- were unable to
provide any substantive proof of these allegations of
alleged voting by undocumented people.

As a matter of fact, I -- just for the record, I think there was only one instance in -- I think it was the late '90s -- if someone out here recalls that article -- only one instance in the last 10 or 15 years in which there's actually been a prosecution for voter fraud.

So I would hope we could submit that article for the record. It had some valuable information about -- also I believe the article had some information about the governor's office had estimated that it would cost conservatively like \$10 million a year for the State of Arizona to put in place the bureaucracy necessary to carry out the mandates of Proposition 200.

And as an attorney, I -- no one has -- also pointed out that there was also a new crime

being established, the crime of -- if a public -- say 1 the person who was registering you to vote didn't ask 2 for proof of citizenship, they could be prosecuted. 3 We -- no one, I believe, has done any 4 study to show what the impact might be on the already 5 6 overburdened court system having to now prosecute another crime. I wondered if you have received any 8 information one way or another about this alleged 9 widespread voter fraud or voting by undocumented 10 people. 11 MR. SALAZ: All I have heard is -- all 12 13 I've heard is hearsay but no proof that any fraud voting process has been taken. It's all hearsay. Ι 14 15 have no proof. MR. MEDINA: Can I answer that? And 16 also it will cost the state tons and tons of money. 17 It's unreal, it's unbelievable. People don't want to 18 19 listen to that fact. And as taxpayers, it's going to come from our pocket. That's what's going to be 20 2.1 happening. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for 22 23 one more question. MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee, Tucson. 24 This is going to be more in terms of a 25

statement and a point of information question, Madam

Chair. The idea that what we as a body are about, we

2.

2.1

3 are called members of an advisory group to the U.S.

-- the Civil Rights Commission that has its focal point on civil rights.

Based on what you have said this morning, based what we have read and what we know about this particular proposition, it has the potential for enormous, enormous, violations of civil rights of a huge number of people, of every person in this country.

And that I believe that if we look at it and we look at the impact of what that means, just on civil rights violations, I would hope that this body would put it upon itself to put together, whether it's a letter, a resolution -- and Madam Chair, you may be in a better point of view in terms of what we as an entity could do to make a very strong statement to the State of Arizona and the powers that be that this is an enormously risky proposition to put into law and to make every person in a position that receives public funds to become an enforcement officer.

MR. MEDINA: Can I make one more statement?

And this initiative isn't just based on immigrants and immigration people, it's also antipeople of color. And this is what I'm really It's a personal slap on my face. afraid of. society's telling me you're not welcome here. I was born here in the U.S. And society again is telling me you're not welcome, you're a person that comes -- psychologically it does a lot of damage, it really does a lot of damage to Mexican-Americans. Although we don't really talk about it, when we see anything about illegals, you know, illegals and aliens and stuff, we take it personal. It's about us also. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you --In closing, I want to MR. SALAZ: reaffirm what Lorraine Lee has stated. There is a potential of a lot of civil rights violations if this vile initiative passes in Arizona. It's a part of 187 that was in -- passed in California, but was declared unconstitutional. So on that, I'll close. And thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very much.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you for coming and sharing your thoughts with us this

1 morning. 2 At the end of this hearing the second day, this panel will take under advisement all the 3 recommendations that have come up during the 4 proceedings, and we will probably take a position on 5 Proposition 200. 6 MR. SALAZ: Thank you. 7 8 MR. MEDINA: And I want to thank you. 9 Let's have a nice day today. Bye. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 1.0 Thank you. Moving on, we have with us Carlos 11 Gonzalez, who is a consul of the Mexican Consulate. 12 Could you please come and take a seat. 13 14 Mr. Gonzalez, we have eight minutes 15 for presentation. And Jones Osborn will give you a signal at the end of seven minutes, and then it will 16 be time for questions from the panel. 17 MR. GONZALEZ: Well, first of all, 18 19

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, first of all, thank you for inviting me. I am here on entirely a personal basis, although there are many people that there's no such a thing, that a member of a consulate corps in Arizona is always on an official basis.

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what I would do, what I would try to do is put forth some of my personal views on this extremely delicate matter. And I will be preaching

to the corps, or, as we say in Mexico, teaching eagles to fly by stating some of the facts that are common knowledge for everybody.

First of all, I always talk about the border between Mexico and the U.S. as being unique in the world. I mean, there's no -- there is not another border such as the one that we share, Mexico and the U.S.

Now, I've experienced very interesting things lately, that German parliamentarians have shown some interest in our border because they say that they now have similar things between Poland and Germany, and so they come and we show them what the interaction between the U.S. and Mexican border is, and they come across with some startling revelations.

But of course the panel is now interested in things, issues such as immigration, and I will try to address myself to this particular issue.

First of all, I wish there could be a way that we could all, people from both sides of the border, discuss issues without -- with these terrible issues without becoming emotional. And that, of course, is impossible.

I myself cannot be objective, and I

stand accused, and I accept that I'm not objective, 1 because I deal with personal tragedies every day in my work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But anyway, when we try to address the issue, there's always -- and I will say this very frankly -- oddly reactions, again on both sides of the border.

I wish that, first of all, we could try to tackle issues that are common knowledge and that are accepted by all of us, namely, again that this is a border unique in the world, that separates the richest country in the world from a developing one.

I would always say that if Mexico would be bordering Peru or Colombia, we wouldn't have such reactions. Of course those countries don't have those type of issues.

The lure of people that come from a developing country to a rich one is here, and I hope will not always be here, because one day -- and I can say that without any equivocation -- one day we will become a developed nation. And I probably won't see it, but we will.

There are other countries that were told and were condemned to poverty like, Spain,

Greece and Italy, southern Italy, but now look at them.

2.0

But in the meantime, we will have these issues, and there's no two ways about it. But what we must do, first of all, is, as I said, make a tremendous effort to tackle in a more objective manner what's going on.

For instance, a year ago or so, we were told that there was a raid on undocumented people in a very well known department store that is huge, that is very near us, and a hundred or so people were caught. And among them, there were people from the Czech Republic.

And to the ones that we been to that country, we are amazed. Because I would certainly not think of the Czech Republic as a developing country, but yet -- I mean, you have this lure of people that will come to your country. And you must be doing something that is right to have all these people coming to the U.S. Why would the Mexicans not come to cross the border?

But anyway, we are doing many things on our part -- and I'm talking about the Mexican government and the Mexican side, Mexican society, we are doing things that are not enough -- and I would

say that very frankly -- not enough in addressing the human tragedies that we see every day. But we are doing them, and we keep doing them.

What we do is, for instance, here at the border is we have this (unintelligible) of trying to prevent some of these tragedies. We are taking them through the secretariat of foreign affairs in Mexico City to those very states that are -- from which the largest number of Mexicans come.

We are telling them what is to be expected at the border, especially of course between Sonora and Arizona, and what the coyotes do to them. But again, it's not enough. It's really not enough. We must do more.

On the U.S. side, many of my colleagues are doing likewise. For instance, in Phoenix and Tucson, my colleagues are trying to warn paisanos to be especially careful when they hire, for lack of a better word, the services of a coyote. To be very careful in whom they would trust the fate of their loved ones. And again, this is not enough. But we are doing this with the help of the media, especially around this type of decision.

Also, my thoughts are again going back to what I said at the beginning, what to do about

trying to be a bit more objective when we talk about the issues that are -- you know, that we share, the U.S. and Mexico.

2.2

First of all, we must do more to educate the people, you know. What it is about the border that is unique. Why is it that, unavoidably we are going to have Mexicans coming. And if we are a bit more successful in doing this, I think that we would be able to at least start a serious conversation.

Because again, the root of the matter for me and for many Mexicans and for the Mexican government is to have an agreement on immigration.

There is no two ways about it.

But when we have all this atmosphere of mistrust and outright -- how should I put it mildly? -- outright condemnation of this phenomenon on both sides of the border, I don't think that we are ever going to succeed. Thank you.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. Now we have time for questions.

And the chair recognizes --

MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz, Tucson.

In an official capacity now -- you know, you were talking more in terms of a personal

perspective of what your office does -- one way to be objective is to have documentation, to have data, to have reports.

1.3

Does your office have -- maintain in your office information that we can use to further document what you see on a daily basis so that we can perhaps bring about that discussion, dialogue that you're talking about?

But we're looking for hard facts, data. Because I think as far as your personal perspective, it's been very well documented through the media, through individual reports. We heard stories about what happened in Cochise County. But the data what is we're looking for. Can your office provide us with that?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, I'll give a very diploma answer. Yes and no.

We do have data, but some of this data cannot be shared but it's of a confidential nature. It deals with human beings, and as such, we of course have to be careful in divulging some of the details of -- when I look at it, I see it as a personal tragedies. So I hope you understand why I'm saying that.

We have figures, they are called

figures, and of course that can be provided. We have -- for instance, we deal a lot a lot with minors, minors that come cross the border and then they are caught, mostly by the Border Patrol. They are given to us so that we have custody of them.

We have persons who have several type of ailments or that have been injured while jumping the fence. And we can always provide that.

But as I said, when it comes to specific cases, we have to go on a case-by-case basis, but of course, I mean, the Mexican consulate here and the consulates throughout Arizona are always at your disposal.

MR. McKENZIE: James McKenzie from Tucson.

You talked a little bit about an immigration agreement. What do you think that the State of Arizona and the United States government should do or should be doing to address this issue?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, this is a federal issue, of course, between the two countries and --well, what should we do? We should immediately start

I wouldn't go into the details or what should we have or what should not we have, but I

going about having some type of discussion.

think that it's of the utmost urgency to begin once in a while serious discussion about migration issues that are there for everybody to see how important it is for us to -- to at least start the discussion on an agreement on this.

1.7

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Mr. Canales?

JUDGE CANALES: Yes, Adolph Canales

from Dallas, Texas.

On a related matter, related issue, the United States and Mexico have both signed a treaty convention with respect to notification of the consulate in general whenever a Mexican citizen is arrested. And there have been many instances, complaints nationwide where Mexican citizens have been arrested and prosecuted and the consulates have not been contacted. And I wonder what is your office and the federal government doing in that regard to make sure that you're notified and you can come and help, for example, an undocumented alien who is caught and prosecuted?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, I would say that -- I do not know if I speak for my other colleagues, but I have to say, I must put it on the record, we are very lucky that here in Nogales, Arizona, Santa Cruz County, and the federal agencies

do respect the Vienna Convention on consular 1 notification. 2 I have to go back several years before 3 I find the particular case by which a Mexican 4 national has asked to contact the -- specifically the 5 Mexican consulate in Nogales, Arizona, in which this 6 has not been the case. 7 But of course, this is a huge issue in 8 other places, like -- particularly when we have the 9 10 death penalty that has been involved. And this is an issue, the way I see 11 it, that has to be respected and applied to everybody 12 at the local, state and federal level. And this is, 13 again, an issue that is of the utmost importance to 14 15 the Mexican government. 16 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for one more question. 17 Mr. Hernandez? 18 DR. HERNANDEZ: Fernando Hernandez, 19 20 chair of the state advisory committee in California. 21 I read an interesting article in the 22 Los Angeles Times a while back on the importance of the remittances, that is, the money that immigrants 23 from Mexico send -- who are working in the United 24

States send back to Mexico.

25

And I don't remember exactly the figure, but it was in the billions of dollars. And the article said that it was -- that the amount of money sent back to Mexico by Mexican immigrants, legal and illegal, was substantial, and that had a major impact on the economy of Mexico.

Is that true? Is that something that you can substantiate or -- and what's the Mexican government's position on that?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, that's absolutely true. I would say that this year alone, the remittances from Mexicans, particularly in the U.S., would surpass for the first time ever the income from oil.

So I think that there in itself you have your answer. How hugely important it is for the Mexican economy -- and I'm not talking about the government -- the economy, society, all this huge amount of money.

I think that -- I don't want to put figures because I may be mistaken, but I think that, if you're forcing me -- which you're not -- but anyway, I would say that this year alone there would be more than \$14 billion coming from Mexicans abroad.

MS. GARCIA: Isabel Garcia. I have an important question. There's a lot of them, obviously, but we're limited, unfortunately.

I want to know what your experience has been with the newest U.S. INS border policy of the repatriations into Mexico, what you're doing and what -- if you're being allowed to interview all of the people and see all of the people that are going to be repatriated.

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, I guess, Isabel, that you're asking the right person.

I may fall asleep here while I talk because every other day I have to be at 4 a.m. in Tucson. There are two flights going every day to Mexico City, one at 9 o'clock, the other at 4 p.m.

And I can assure you, first of all, I put forth my reputation of 30 years-plus in the Mexican foreign service -- it's an honor and a privilege to be a member of the Mexican foreign service. And we have the foremost, as you know, Isabel, what we call the protection of the rights of the Mexicans.

So I can assure you that I personally, or my colleagues, Mr. Calderone, would go and interview the hundreds of people that come every

| day.

And I can assure you also that none of them would go on the plane without putting forth his willingness to be on the plane.

There are many difficult issues there. But also we went through with the U.S. government this particular way in which we had to conduct the interviews so that we would be, for instance, granted privacy when we interview paisanos. We make a selection. First of all, we ask who would be willing to fly.

Those that are willing to fly, we then take them to our mobile unit, as I said, which is insures privacy. No one from the Border Patrol is there but us. Not until we are satisfied that this person, this particular person is willing to go on a plane, then we would allow them to go.

MS. GARCIA: How many people a day?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, I would say that
on average, there are about a hundred people on each
flight. So, you know, roughly 200. But it comes up
and down.

Supposedly, we're supposed to have a hundred and fifty on each flight, but this has not been the case because we are respecting the

1 willingness of everybody that goes on that plane. 2 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you very much for the information you have provided us. 3 4 MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And we have now 5 6 Mr. George Lopez, who is assistant chief, Border Patrol. 7 And if you could say your name for the 8 9 record. 10 MR. LOPEZ: Sure. Good morning. Мy name is George Lopez. I am an assistant chief for 11 12 the Tucson Sector Border Patrol. I am here representing Chief Patrol 13 14 Agent Mike Nicley, who is unable to be here today. I have spent my entire career of 15 16 approximately 24 years in the Tucson sector, so I am 17 very familiar with the issue we're here to discuss 18 today. 19 The Border Patrol, as you know, is 20 part of the customs and border protection within the 21 border and transportation directorate of the 22 Department of Homeland Security. 23 We are the uniformed entity charged 24 with safeguarding the homeland by securing these nation's borders between the ports of entry. 25

With illegal immigration, we have always encountered people that become injured, fall into distress or die in the process of crossing the border.

several initiatives to try to prevent these occurrences or provide needed medical attention. These initiatives are intended to prevent deaths and injuries and violation of civil rights to those who choose to enter the United States at a location outside of a port of entry.

The Border Patrol has implemented

Even before the inception of the Border Patrol people crossed into the United States from Mexico and were subjected to injury and even death.

The Border Patrol has long recognized that included within our mission is our obligation to make the border area safe and secure.

At this time I'd like to just mention those initiatives.

We have the -- our BORSTAR unit, which is the Border Search Trauma and Rescue.

The BSI initiative, the Border Safety
Initiative, which employs components such as rescue
beacons and the like.

1 We also have the ABCI, which is the Arizona Border Control Initiative. 2 3 And as was mentioned earlier, the Interior Repatriation Program. 4 Our BORSTAR unit was created in 1998 5 6 as part of a bi-national border safety initiative. Tucson set up their program in 1999. Their mission is to provide a rapid 8 9 response to medical calls and search and rescue requests involving Border Patrol agents, civilians 10 and illegal aliens throughout the border areas. 11 There is no other entity that is 12 13 capable of performing this type of search, rescue and 14 medical operations along the border. 15 Local, state, federal and international law enforcement and rescue personnel 16 17 call upon BORSTAR to perform search and rescue 18 operations due to this expertise. 19 Currently we have approximately 45 members in our BORSTAR unit. 35 of them are EMT 20 certified. 21 The Border Safety Initiative, the 22 mission is to secure our nation's border, which 23 24 includes saving lives. 25 In June of 1998, the then Immigration

and Naturalization Service first announced the Border Safety Initiative, a strategy designed to make the border safer for everyone.

2.2

The United States and Mexico agreed to conduct joint training operations in search and rescue techniques and aquatic safety, exchange intelligence related to migrant smuggling, enhance the effectiveness of their joint outreach efforts to would-be migrants on the dangers of unauthorized border crossings, especially in remote areas during the hot summer and the cold winner months.

The Border Safety Initiative is composed of four elements: Prevention, search and rescue, identification and tracking and recording.

Working together with Mexican officials, we identify dangerous crossing points along the entire Southwest border, discouraging illegal crossings and addressing safety problems.

From a search and rescue perspective, we target hazardous areas where migrants may become lost, abandoned or in distress due to difficult terrain and the willingness of alien smugglers to lead them into dangerous territory.

In the identification element, we establish procedures and resources to help officials

identify those who have died attempting to across the border.

In our tracking and recording we have a repository where data is collected on all BSI-related activity.

We work closely with the Mexican consulates to discourage illegal crossings and to assist illegal aliens who have been apprehended by us.

We post warning signs in high-risk crossing areas to warn would-be migrants about border dangers.

We have an annual cold and a hot weather public outreach campaign to warn about the dangers of crossing the desert in mountainous areas along the border during the summer and winter.

We also produce and distribute public service announcements on television, radio and fliers that are used to reach out to potential immigrants entering illegally from Mexico and Central America and to educate them and inform them about the environmental, geographical and climate dangers associated with illegal entries.

Our emergency vehicles have been equipped with first aid kits and medical supplies and

every one of our enforcement vehicles carries with them water.

2.3

We have deployed 10 rescue beacons, of which we have had this year seven incidences and have rescued 61 subjects.

We provide medical care. That is our first priority when encountering an individual or a group is to provide medical attention.

We are responsible for the care of those we arrest and that we have in our custody.

The Arizona Border Control

Initiative: The mission of the ABCI is for the

Department of Homeland Security, the border -- the

border and transportation agencies, to bring them

together collaboratively to achieve operational

control of the Arizona border and support the

priority mission of antiterrorism, detection, arrest,

prosecution and deterrence of all cross-border

illicit traffic.

The agencies seek to significantly impair the ability of smuggling organizations here in Arizona, to reduce the effects of illegal smuggling.

The last initiative, of course, is the interior repatriation, as you heard. It is a voluntarily program for Mexican nationals, with the

1 primary goal of saving lives by taking people out of the dangerous environment and the harsh summer 2 climate. 3 4 In addition to being voluntary, we 5 identify those we consider at risk. These are people who have been recently rescued, females with 6 children, the elderly, and those found in distress or 8 sick. 9 Many of those we apprehend are destitute and if returned to Mexico could not afford 10 to return to their hometown. They are virtually 11 12 obligated to try to cross again. So our intent is to 13 provide an opportunity for these people to go home 14 and remove themselves out of the dangerous terrain. 15 All the participants, as was stated 16 earlier, are interviewed by the Mexican consulate and 17 then they opt to return either to Mexico City or to 18 Guadalajara. 19 Thank you. And if I can answer any 20 questions. 21 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes. 22 MR. GATTONE: Thank you, Madam Chair. 23 Paul Gattone. 24 Sir, prior to the imposition of the 25 Border Patrol's Operation Gatekeeper, many people

coming to this country looking for economic opportunity came through more populated areas. And the imposition, my understanding is the imposition of Operation Gatekeeper was to cut off those more accessible populated routes and so people would be forced to go through the desert and would hopefully not do so.

In effect, aren't your mitigating programs that you just described an indictment of Operation Gatekeeper and essentially an indication of its failure and it's causing people to be put in dangerous situations?

MR. LOPEZ: I believe that our reaction to address this situation on this border here, because of the fact that it is a desert and that it is very hot in the summer, and that in the winter it turns very cold, and it catches a lot of these people off guard, is a testament that we are aware that these people can in fact fall into this type of distress.

But we operate like any other law enforcement organization in which you address the crime where the crime exists.

We do not control where these people choose to cross, but we can react and make the border

safer by attempting to get specialized units into those areas once those people are in -- are in distress.

MR. GATTONE: But, sir, it is true that the Border Patrol in recent years has specifically focused its enforcement efforts on populated areas, more urban areas that people used to cross, so that those would be less accessible to people entering this country; is that correct?

MR. LOPEZ: That is correct. That is where those people were initially deciding to cross and that's where the Border Patrol focused its resources first.

MR. GATTONE: And as such, those people then who continue to come into the country looking for economic opportunity were forced into less urban areas and more dangerous areas; isn't that also correct?

MR. LOPEZ: I believe it was an adjustment on the part of the smugglers that were bringing these people in, to choose to try to come in in areas that they perceived to be easier to cross.

MR. GATTONE: And these are in fact the areas that you said produce a greater danger to the border crossers?

1 MR. LOPEZ: Some of these areas are 2 pretty desolate, they are very hot. They not only are affected by weather, but there's snakes, 3 scorpions and a dangerous place to try to trek 4 5 across. 6 MR. GATTONE: And despite these 7 dangers, people continue to come; is that also your 8 perception? 9 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. MR. GATTONE: And just a final 10 question, sir. When we talk about smugglers and 11 12 about border security, you said you've been 30 years in the Border Patrol, it's true, is it not, that the 13 14 majority of people coming here looking for economic 15 opportunity. 16 MR. LOPEZ: 24 years. 17 MR. GATTONE: I'm sorry. 18 MR. LOPEZ: The majority of the people that -- the majority of the people that we encounter 19 20 state as their reason for coming to try to better their lives and earn better wages. 21 22 MR. GATTONE: And so indeed, when you 23 talk about a threat and closing off the threat, these people, their only crime is trying to come here for 24 25 economic opportunity?

MR. LOPEZ: On the majority's part, I would say that's accurate. However, those criminal elements that choose to introduce narcotics or possible terrorism, with terrorism intent, take advantage of a chaotic situation on the border, of a boarder in disarray, they take advantage and they're able to hide amongst some of these people in order to enter the country. And that is why it becomes important for the Border Patrol to protect the

MR. GATTONE: Thousands of people are stopped -- well, almost on a daily basis coming in from Mexico, correct?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes.

borders of this country.

MR. GATTONE: Just very briefly, how many -- in the past, like say five years, how many of those individuals have been charged or suspected of terrorist activity rather than just coming in for economic opportunity?

MR. LOPEZ: Well, I don't know that we can accurately say exactly who's coming in and would tell us they're coming in for one reason and actually coming in for another. But we have identified what we call special interest aliens, aliens coming from countries that have known terrorist activity, and we

have in fact apprehended at least six of those this 1 2 fiscal year. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 3 Isabel? 4 Yes, I have a few MS. GARCIA: 5 questions. 6 Operation Gatekeeper began in the fall 7 or so of 1994; is that right? I believe you're correct. 8 MR. LOPEZ: And isn't it correct that 9 MS. GARCIA: 10 prior to that there were really no deaths to speak 11 of, just a few people that got lost, we did not have the record number of deaths; isn't that right? 12 13 MR. LOPEZ: I don't think I can answer 14 that question accurately simply because the Border 15 Patrol did not start keeping statistics on deaths in 16 crossing the border until the Border Safety Initiative. 17 18 MS. GARCIA: But you would agree that 19 the deaths increased after Operation Gatekeeper 20 began? You would not agree that that's true in spite of the --21 22 I just couldn't -- I just MR. LOPEZ: 23 don't have that information to provide you an accurate answer. 24 25 MS. GARCIA: That's fine.

In 19- -- well, you've been around for a long time. The United States Civil Rights

Commission published a document called the Tarnished

Door back in nineteen eighty -- eighty-something.

2.1

Then the Commission held other
hearings in '93, eventually came out with a report in
'97 documenting serious problems within your agency
about how to document abuse, public awareness,
inadequate public awareness, complaint mechanisms,
inconsistent, confusing, inaccessible, no standard
complaint form, no appeals process, no procedure for
independent external review, complainants having fear
of reprisals, complainants not being notified of
status or disposition of their case, officers rarely
being disciplined for abusive behavior and statistics
that are incomplete, inaccessible and never being
published on a regular basis.

So the Commission made certain recommendations and again reiterated that they had done these during the Tarnished Door one, and then again, what have you done to comply with these recommendations, if anything?

MR. LOPEZ: I'm not familiar specifically with the recommendations. I'm familiar with the report you speak of but not with the

1 recommendations. 2 I can tell you that -- what our policy 3 is today. When we receive a complaint from an undocumented alien or from another third party, 4 5 immediately several things happen. 6 The Joint Intake Center under the new Department of Homeland Security gets a report from 7 the office, the Office of Professional 8 9 Responsibility, and the Office of the Inspector General's office get notified. 10 11 They are the ones that have the 12 authority to investigate allegations of abuse and civil rights violations against Border Patrol. 13 Border Patrol themselves do not do the 14 investigation; therefore, we do not initiate that 15 full investigation. That investigation is reported 16 17 to these authorities who have the jurisdiction and 18 the authority to investigate. We detain the individual making the allegations so that he is able 19 to make a full report. 20 21 We also notify --22 MS. GARCIA: So the person is held in detention while they --23 24 MR. LOPEZ: Initially, until we can 25 get an investigator to speak to him. Once the

```
investigator speaks to him and the Mexican consulate
 1
 2
     or the consulate of the country from which the alien
     is from, we can then decide whether his continued
 3
     custody is necessary to fulfill the investigation.
 4
 5
                    MS. GARCIA:
                                 So if a complainant --
 6
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY:
                                       I'm sorry, we're
 7
     going to have to move on.
                                 We have a lot of
 8
                    MS. GARCIA:
     questions --
 9
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I know you do, but
10
11
     we have a time limit here --
                    MS. GARCIA: Well, can we -- being the
12
13
     only representative of the Tucson sector and being
14
     the primary area in this country, I think we need
15
     more questions --
16
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY:
                                        I understand all
17
     that, but we have people who are lined up to speak,
     and we only have a certain amount of time --
18
19
                    MS. GARCIA:
                                 Then can we ask if
20
     they're willing to delay? Because we have some vital
21
     questions that -- really, if we don't ask these
22
     questions now, it's really an inadequate --
23
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY:
                                       I understand that.
24
                    MS. GARCIA: -- procedure today.
25
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY:
                                        But we need to move
```

And that

1 on. And I want to thank you very much. 2 3 MS. LEE: Madam Chair, if I could, I could make a request -- and again, I'm not sure in 4 5 terms of the proceedings and what is usually done --6 but given the nature of the subject -- and I recognize people's limited time -- but given the 7 8 nature of the subject and the people that are here 9 before us, that we as an entity don't usually have the opportunity to address, I would request --10 whether we need to vote or if we can make a vote or a 11 1.2 motion that we suspend the rules at this particular point in time in terms of the questioning in order to 13 be able to address the issues that we have come here 14 15 for this morning. 16 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I have to ask the 17 advice of the staff on this. We have an agenda. 18 MR. DULLES: Is there a procedural question? 19 20 Yes, there's a DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 21 procedure question, and I prefer have some advice on 22 this. The request has been made that we 23 24 suspend the proceedings so that we can spend more 25 time in questioning the present presenter.

means that we are going to be throwing all the others who appear here this morning --

MR. GATTONE: Well, not so much to suspend the proceedings with all respect, madam chair but just to modify the agenda such as -- I think maybe more specifically, could we allocate another 15 minutes to Mr. Lopez to ask him some questions?

MR. DULLES: I really think it would be the call of the chair on how to proceed. What we can do is we can try to contact whomever might be following on the agenda, see if they have the ability to maybe at least postpone their departure by several minutes, and then the committee will of course have to maybe not have a full recess for lunch. But certainly --

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I think that's going to absolutely be the case.

MR. DULLES: But you have the authority, if you wish, to extend the agenda and our staff can determine whether the subsequent presenters have the ability to stay a little longer. And then maybe during the lunch recess the Committee should get together and, you know, agree on what the procedures will be for this afternoon. But you have the authority to make the decision. And you have the

authority to overrule any other recommendations as well.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very much.

I think we have both persons who are on the morning agenda here in the audience, if I'm right, the EEOC and from Congressman Grijalva's office. Would this be okay with you?

DR. HERNANDEZ: Madam chair, could I have a point of order? I think -- and having gone through a number of these hearings as chair of the California committee, I recommend that one of the ways we handle this -- and we have handled it this way in the past -- is time is of an essence because some of us will have to catch flights, and what that means is that if we overextend the hearing, then the Committee will shrink and many of the questions that a lot of us have won't get asked.

One of the ways we've handled this in the past is that members of the Committee that have pressing questions could submit those questions to the agency to go in the record, and so some of -- and I know it's an -- it's not always the best way to handle it, but time is a concern. And I do want to support you in trying to keep us on track and trying

to keep us in some time.

We will not be able to explore in a day and a half every one of the issues in any great depth.

I would recommend that those members of the Committee that have additional questions, especially extensive questions, submit those questions through the staff to the agency so that the agency could answer those questions and have those answers inserted in the record.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'd like to say like that we will extent this for 10 minutes, and then ask that those who have questions, that you submit those and we will submit them to the agency for answers to submit into the record.

MS. GARCIA: If I could proceed, I have two quick questions then, and I will submit all the other questions.

I'd like to know on this repatriation program why the Border Patrol changed its policy and began to fingerprint children going on the planes, those that are 14 years old and older.

And the second question is regarding the death of a young man about four or five weeks ago in the cell. We've not heard anything about that.

And if you could tell us about those 1 two things, the fingerprinting and the death of this 2 3 young man. MR. LOPEZ: Okay. 4 On the 5 fingerprinting, just from my personal knowledge, is 6 that according to the Immigration and Nationality Act, we are authorized to fingerprint anyone 14 years of age or older for immigration purposes. And I am 8 9 not certain that this -- that all of these are being 10 fingerprinted. But if you say they are, then I'll take --11 For visa processing or 12 MS. GARCIA: enforcement procedures? 13 INS allows for immigrating procedures, 14 visa, but this is a change as far as I know, this is 15 16 a change of policy. 17 MR. LOPEZ: Well, we've never 18 fingerprinted anybody under the age of 18 for criminal prosecution. 19 20 MS. GARCIA: Right. 21 And we have always MR. LOPEZ: fingerprinted anybody having an immigration 22 23 administrative issue, be it an arrest at 14 -- 14 to 24 17. And so I believe we are adhering to that if we are doing this. 25

1 MS. GARCIA: This is a change. Ι 2 didn't know if you knew. MR. LOPEZ: On the second issue, the 3 alien who died, you say, in custody --4 5 MS. GARCIA: The gentleman, yes. 6 MR. LOPEZ: Okay, the gentleman who 7 died in custody. 8 As you know, the immigration service, when it was reorganized, the individuals who are at 9 the Tucson sector are under the Bureau of Immigration 10 11 and Customs Enforcement. They are not customs and border protection officers. So I would submit that 12 13 the investigation is being conducted by ICE, and 14 therefore I would not be the person to be able to 15 answer you at this time. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 16 Yes? 17 MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee. It's no secret in terms of vigilante 18 19 groups that have been coming together in the state of 2.0 Arizona. I would like to know what is the 21 relationship between the Border Patrol and the 22 vigilante groups? How do they interact together and 23 what is -- how does the Border Patrol handle situations where vigilantes have in fact gone out, 24 25 detained individuals, and then call upon you and --

how is that handled?

1.7

MR. LOPEZ: What we do is on every citizen of this country, or any person that is calling to report illegal activity, we respond. The Border Patrol responds. In this sector, that's one of the chief's priorities. We will respond to any and all calls.

And when we have arrived in places that there are people there detaining, or what appears to be detaining people, we have a protocol; an immediate report to the local authorities, to the sheriff's office, a call to the county attorney's office, a call to the consulate where these people --you know, of the country of origin.

And all of these people got involved in the process. And they then will look at the incident and decide whether the county authorities, who have jurisdiction, if there is a prosecutable case. That's how those things are handled.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes, Paul.

MR. GATTONE: Paul Gattone from

Tucson.

There's been significant controversy between the United States and Mexico in recent months over the use of these pepper balls, pepper spray

balls, and my understanding is they're like a paint ball that's filled with some sort of irritant.

Granted, that there may have been some misunderstanding in Mexico as to the exact nature of the instrument, but there's been reports that they're being used by Border Patrol agents in an offensive capacity rather than a defensive capacity.

And how long -- I'd ask two questions:

One is how would you respond to that; and two is, how long have they been in use and are there any written protocols for when and how these pepper balls are to be used against undocumented individuals.

MR. LOPEZ: There is written protocol, and the protocol is to use to de-escalate a situation that could lead to lethal force.

The policy is in place. I would say that to our knowledge, these weapons are not being used for anything other than defense, when the agent is in fear of his life. And this is a tool that we use to not force us into using lethal force.

MR. GATTONE: Sir, are the pepper balls being used against unarmed or clearly unarmed individuals?

MR. LOPEZ: If by armed you're just meaning with guns or with -- we would consider

somebody with a rock attacking one of the agents on the line as being armed.

MR. GATTONE: Well, do you know -- do you have any documentation of how many times during maybe the past -- well, I'm sorry, sir. How long has the pepper ball been in use? It seems to be recent.

MR. LOPEZ: Well, I believe that we began either in 2001 or 2002.

MR. GATTONE: Do you have any documentation as to how many times they've been used by officers that have been attacked and how many times they have been used by people who turned out to not have any weapons?

MR. LOPEZ: We have documentation that I believe it's -- we've used it over 70 times since its inception, and there is documentation to that effect. And I haven't seen each and every piece, each and every case, but I would have to say that according to policy, it would have had to have been used in a defensive manner or the agency would have taken corrective action.

MR. GATTONE: Would you be able to provide maybe a copy of the policy and those -- some sort of material on the circumstances under which they've been used to this body?

1 MR. LOPEZ: From a sector perspective 2 we could probably provide you information to that effect. And I believe that we could also provide a 3 4 copy of the policy, yes. MR. GATTONE: If you could provide it 5 6 to the --DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes, that 8 information would go to our district -- the regional 9 office in Los Angeles. And our staff member is 10 Mr. Art Palacios who is right behind you. 11 And I want to thank you for coming and meeting with us this morning and sharing your 12 13 insights. 14 MR. LOPEZ: We're welcome. 15 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have with us 16 Jason Brown, who is an investigator with the EEOC. 17 And you've been here and so you know you have eight minutes. 18 19 MR. BROWN: I'm going to change seats because that seems to be the hot seat. 20 21 I like to use word "colleaques" 22 because really we're all colleagues, the people that 23 are present here for one issue, and that is civil rights. 24 25 And my name is Jason Brown and I'm a

bilingual federal investigator for the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission for the Phoenix district office.

2.1

But to qualify that, I have served in the Peace Corps for three years prior in which I learned Spanish and have been able to utilize that skill to work with the Hispanic community, and particularly in the area of civil rights.

But what I'd like to do is just to share a quote. We just had a -- the 40th anniversary of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. And it's a quote from an individual here in the state of Arizona, actually from an individual that I investigated his case.

And it goes, This is a quote from a letter a charging party sent to me. I have this hanging in my office. This was an excellent cause case in which we found cause for a large group of individuals.

But he states, I quote, I wish I would have documented every incident that happened to me and to others but I really had no intentions of doing anything like this and did not really think anyone cared about us Mexicans.

Thank you for taking the time,

Mr. Brown. Your name will be passed down in my family as a friend of Mexicans and other ethnic groups.

2.0

So that's a quote that was sent in from an individual from a case I investigated. It made Washington and our publications that was sent out.

But I think the interesting point here is that he says thank you for taking the time. And for people to actually take the time within the community to know that individuals have civil rights. The EEOC does not have a policy on immigration. We really don't ask an individual what their immigration status is in the United States.

The issue is that of discrimination.

For example, just because an individual is a female, therefore, does that give an individual the right, an employer, to sexually assault her? Of course not.

Just because an individual is Mexican-looking in descent, does that give the employer the right to exploit them or to discriminate against them based on their national origin, Mexican? And the answer to that is no.

So the issue for us is an individual's

race, color, national origin, and so based on that, of discrimination issues.

At times employers have threatened their workers in factories with calling INS in order to report them for protesting their civil rights of sexual harassment.

There was a particular case -- and I do have copies of this for everybody -- but it is a publication the EEOC puts out. It's called Hispanic Workers in Arizona, Know Your Rights.

We publish this both in English and Spanish. And it specifically does state in here:

EEOC will not on its own initiative inquire into a worker's immigration status or consider an individual's immigration status when examining the underlying merits of a charge.

So it gets to the issue of the charge. We had a case against Quality Art

Manufacturing, and the court approved a stipulation judgment to settle a lawsuit alleging that 35 female and Hispanic low wage workers were subjected to widespread sexual harassment, national origin discrimination and retaliation. Acts of retaliation included firing, forced resignations and reporting employees to the INS.

And so an employer does not have the right to contact INS as a form of retaliation to discriminate against its employees for voicing their civil rights.

So by having antidiscrimination laws in place, we deter employers that if they think that they are going to bring workers here into the United States from Mexico and that they can exploit them, they are wrong because there are laws in place that govern that we will not discriminate against our employees based on their national origin.

So we have a lot of instances too with farm workers, because we cover Arizona, Utah and New Mexico, so we have a very large territory. We do a lot of outreach in the areas of the farm workers, the migrant worker coming over to start the seasons. We educate them about their rights.

It is not uncommon in that industry that females are left out of positions in which they will not be considered for supervisors because they are females, even to the fact to where they are sexually harassed out in the farm fields because they are females.

And so it's like, well, if you want the job, well, you know, you have to perform a sexual

act and -- or if they don't submit to the sexual passes of the supervisor, or possibly the farmer, then therefore they are terminated or they won't be rehired in the new season when it comes around. So those are types of things and immigration issues that we do see. Recently there's been a lot of training on the human trafficking that has been coming into the United States. It seems to be of interest. And with that training -- because oftentimes in employment situations in these factories, individuals there could be victims of human trafficking. And we do work in conjunction with other agencies that if any of these do come about, then we go through the proper channels to report it so they can look into that. And that's an idea of what our issues are on immigration. We don't have anything about border crossings or any of those other types of issues. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Any questions? Paul? MR. GATTONE: Thank you. Paul Gattone again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sir, there are two actual agencies,
the EEOC but also the attorney general's office,
civil rights division, that maybe an undocumented
person who felt they were discriminated against could
report to, correct?

2.2

MR. BROWN: That's correct.

MR. GATTONE: We have heard earlier today that one of the provisions of Proposition 200 -- and obviously wouldn't affect you as a federal employee -- one of the requirements of Proposition 200 is that if a State of Arizona employee has information that leads them to believe that a person who is undocumented is attempting to access government services, they have an obligation under penalty of threat of criminal charges to report that person.

Do you envision that this would discourage Mexican nationals, undocumented persons, from reporting employment discrimination to maybe the attorney general's office?

MR. BROWN: Well, you know, possibly, in the event -- we do have work share agreements between the both the Arizona civil rights division and also for the EEOC. You know, I mean, in the event -- there's always ways to get around the issue,

and one is people get referred over to the EEOC.

And so, you know, until that bridge is built with the proposition passing, then it would probably be addressed at that time, but that doesn't affect the federal government from doing business the way that we do.

So we do have a unique situation in which we can utilize both laws from the state and the federal government for that of discrimination issues.

But in reality, that is actually a good question, and I will present that to our acting director.

MR. GATTONE: Do you think that your agency has the -- if the Mexico nationals could not go to the attorney general's office, do you feel that your agency has the present capacity to handle the greater influx?

MR. BROWN: Oh, absolutely. In reality, I think the majority of Hispanics that come over from Mexico that are undocumented usually don't report anything. They're probably the least on the totem pole as individuals coming to report. Because in Mexico, a lot of them do not have civil rights, and in the United States we are the premier country

for civil rights. So the policies that we set, it sets the precedents for other countries to see how they need to treat their people. So a lot of times they don't even know that they even have rights or the postings.

2.4

So it is a unique situation. But when they do come, it's because the employer owes them \$25 for some job that they did and didn't realize that they had some rights and they had been sexually harassed or, you know, a lot of the racially derogatory words of being referred to because of their national origin, Mexican.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I have a question.

For the record, do you have statistics on undocumented workers and their filing of complaints with your agency?

MR. BROWN: No, we do not.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: You don't?

MR. BROWN: We don't keep those statistics that I know of because we don't ask an individual's status, whether they are documented or undocumented, at the time of filing charges.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And do you have any literature on a chilling effect for an undocumented working filing such a complaint?

MR. BROWN: I think that's an excellent question, and I think that would probably be something that would be -- need to be addressed because I imagine there is a chilling effect for undocumented workers in filing because we are the federal government, and for them to take the time to come in to complain to us, once again, is because they have gone through so much, and it's oftentimes the employer owing \$25 to the individual and they end up going to the Department of Economic Security looking for help and then they start divulging this. And then because of work share agreements they say, well, come over to the EEOC, I'm sure they can help you with your issue, and then we do get involved.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Lorraine?
MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee.

I just want to make sure I understood what you just said to -- your answer to Mr. Gattone's question. Did I understand in terms of Proposition 200 that has the potential for a large number of potential civil rights abuses, possibly hundreds of people that may come forward, do I understand you correctly saying that your office that represents the number of states that you stated earlier would be able to handle that?

MR. BROWN: Well, we would have to. I mean, we would never --

MS. LEE: Let me just -- because it's different from you can take a person's name, write down, or they can write it down, very different from I will take your case, investigate your case, see it from beginning to end. It's very, very different.

Because anyone can take down a piece of paper and a name and number.

MR. BROWN: Right. But the reality of it is we're never going to know unless the individual divulges to us that they are undocumented, so we don't have any way to determine, and so when they do come in to file, they're going to be filing as if anybody else was coming to exercise their rights.

MS. LEE: Let me just -- I wasn't specifically saying in terms of undocumented; I was talking in terms of general citizens.

MR. BROWN: Yeah. Well, based upon the last that we have, you know, for the fiscal year 2002, we took over 3,000 thousand new charges on top of the current pending charges that we have for this office.

And the reason why we work with the state agencies is they -- we work the territories so

1 they can carry some of that burden, but in fact if it does pass, then I'm sure that they will address that 2 3 issue and with the impact of possibly other people 4 coming to file. MS. LEE: 5 So you are being hopeful that they would provide the similar -- somehow, 6 7 somebody is going to provide your office with the 8 financial resources and wherewithal to be able to address these potential problems? 9 MR. BROWN: Financial resources? 10 MS. LEE: That's what we're talking 11 about here. 12 I mean, in reality, I 13 MR. BROWN: 14 mean, what financial resources does an individual 15 need to file a charge? 16 And if the public demands that much 17 more of it as a law enforcement agency on discrimination, then therefore we will put the effort 18 19 into it to meet those demands. 20 And, I mean, so what's it mean? 21 Working a couple of extra hours a day? What's it 22 But as long as justice is administered. And in those particular cases, when 23 24 justice does come out, such as at Quality Art, that 25 sends the public message to the individuals.

that we are still enforcing these and that we are 1 2 going through. MS. LEE: Just for the record, Mr. 3 Brown, having gone through the process before myself, 4 I know it takes more than just a couple extra hours. 5 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for 6 7 one more question. MR. McKENZIE: Thank you. James 8 McKenzie from Tucson. 9 My question is dealing with 10 individuals who get caught up in the INS and is 11 looking to be deported. 12 Does EEOC get involved in the 13 possible -- they've got to initiate the complaint; 14 15 but if they don't initiate the complaint that they've been abused by a worker, is there any other mechanism 16 17 to catch that one -- I should have been paid next week, but now that they caught me, so to speak, 18 and/or my employer called on me and I'm being sent 19

MR. BROWN: You know, in my experience of being with the EEOC, I've only seen maybe the Quality Art issue in which INS was called, an issue

in Utah in construction where INS was called for an

out of the country, is there any way to catch those

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 individual's protesting rights, and one other one, a few females had called in for sexual harassment. 2 3 So that's three over my -- over a period of -- going on my sixth year with the 4 5 Commission, so that's -- it's very small in 6 comparison. And the issue of them getting paid, 8 usually those issues are referred over to the 9 Department of Labor, unless they are not getting paid for -- based on their national origin being that of 10 Hispanic or Mexican. 11 MR. McKENZIE: I guess my question 12 13 really is, I'm hearing that the complaint has to be 14 initiated by the employee; is that correct? 15 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 16 MR. McKENZIE: But what if they don't 17 want to step forward? Is there any sort of mechanism 18 to protect their rights even though they don't want 19 to step forward? Because like you know, in sexual 20 harassment, most of those are never reported. 21 MR. BROWN: Right. Well, there are 22 mechanisms that are in place. Sometimes an advocacy 23 group can come forward and make a third-person, 24 third-party charge. Also, too, there is usually always one 25

individual that comes forward, and that one 1 2 individual that does come forward, other individuals 3 can become class members in which they're umbrella'd in and they don't have a charge. 4 Also, too, the EEOC can do -- we could 5 do a director's charges where the Commission has a 6 Commission charge where we initiate it if we feel 7 that are serious violations. 9 MR. McKENZIE: Thank you. 10 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you 11 for coming and sharing with us this morning. 12 MR. BROWN: Thank all of you. 13 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And our last person 14 for the morning is representing Congressman Grijalva. 15 Could you state your name? 16 MS. SKIDMORE: Yes. My name is 17 Boralina Skidmore. I would like to extend the 18 sincere regrets of Congressman Grijalva. He really wanted to be. Unfortunately, he's at the other edge 19 20 of his district at this time. And as you know, he has the largest border area of any other congressman. 21 22 I have a statement that he has 23 prepared and I'd like to read it. 24 Every year hundreds of people die 25 making their way across the U.S. Mexico border in

search of work to take care of their families. This is in part due to an impractical and irrational policy with regard to the border and immigration.

Until the need for just and sustainable development throughout the Americas is addressed, the flow of people embarking on a desperate journey of hope will continue.

2.

Furthermore, it is an undeniable fact that undocumented immigrants make an economic contribution to the U.S. economy. If every undocumented worker in the U.S. were to be deported, we would literally not be able to feed ourselves for lack of workers to pick our lettuce, tomatoes and other produce. Hotels would close for lack of dishwashers, cooks and busboys. Thousands of children would be left without daycare. The role these workers play in our economy is an integral part of our nation's way of life.

I am deeply disturbed by these senseless acts of violence justified by a feigned and misplaced sense of patriotism. My opposition to vigilante practices and my letter to Attorney General Ashcroft regarding this same issue made me a target of these groups and their Web sites promoting hate.

I have firsthand experience with

vigilante treats and actions and am concerned that these groups impart this same hate to those crossing the border.

2.0

The reason for concentrating on the vigilantes is not just the threat they pose but also the arrogance with which they're doing it. For us not stand up to that arrogance would be a big mistake. They're intensifying and bringing considerable danger to an area that's already in the grip of a crisis, with huge numbers of migrants being forced through the desert.

The deaths in the desert are connected to the vigilante issue. Both are occurring as results of a failed border policy. If federal policy is driving this, causing these problems with immigration, and it is, then there has to be federal intervention.

The bottom line is this: You just can't allow vigilantes and hate groups to exist without a consequence. They need to know that they cannot take the law into their own hands; they cannot violate people's civil liabilities or violate people's human rights.

When there is the appearance of an official sanction by law enforcement authorities, a

blind eye turned it to, vigilantism breeds.

I am just as equally disheartened regarding our federal government's skirting of this issue. Attorney General Ashcroft's response to my January letter was less than adequate and did not indicate the Administration's actions on this issue, if any.

I am also concerned with Border Patrol and their procedures of apprehension in the Tucson community and the other border communicates. The increased militarization on our border is not creating solutions to the immigration issue in our community. Recent reports in local and national papers regarding raids in our neighborhoods, stores, and other locations raise concerns as to the impact on the quality of life of our communities near the border.

We are receive numerous reports from our constituents that cite Border Patrols' lack of concern in our neighborhoods, creating more dangers rather than improved security.

Among the various complaints from our constituents are verbal abuse by both Border

Protection and Customs at the Port of Entry, lack of concern for safety, and abuse of power. "I felt

degraded" is all too common a phrase shared by several abuse cases.

We receive numerous reports citing that Border Patrol agents use excessive force, randomly harass community members, invade residents' private property, or speed dangerously through neighborhoods where children play outdoors.

Too often, Border Patrol and the police collaborate on domestic violence calls and victims are being deported without being informed about the possibility of immigration relief through the Violence Against Women and Children Act.

When detained, they're denied basic human needs, such as water, food and hygiene. This is an issue of training, as it is also an issue of the lack of resources.

I believe those responsible for border enforcement duties should be adequately trained and given the resources to do their job in a humane manner.

I will continue to advocate for sound and responsible immigration reform and border enforcement policies as well as budgetary and personal requirements needed to carry out the mission in a safe and knowledgeable manner.

I am very well aware of the dangerous pattern of life which has been developing among the border communities since 9/11. As an immigration advocate, I believe we need to address the problems on our border with immigration reform, not militarization.

Immigration is a complex issue. I support comprehensive immigration reform as well as an international dialog in the dealing with immigration.

Congress must acknowledge the reality of our border situation and consider the push-pull factors of immigration when discussing this issue.

As Americans, we must be aware of the bureaucratic process of traveling to the United States, either as a visitor or immigrant, in order to begin to address the fundamental problems with immigration.

I thank the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for bringing the issue of the border and civil liberties to the forefront. I urge you to join me in continuing the work by disseminating this information in a timely manner, so that we can craft responsible and comprehensive immigration policies that ensure fairness for immigrants and legal residents, as well

as a guarantee of civil liberties and equal 1 protection of the laws for all: Citizens, immigrants 2 and visitors. 3 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. would like to ask, could you please present that to 5 our regional staff. 6 MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz. I have a question. 8 9 You heard our representative from the Border Patrol earlier this morning, sort of like the 1.0 Red Cross organization right, the Border Patrol? 11 What would Representative Grijalva, 12 13 how would he react to the comments he made? 14 MS. SKIDMORE: He would have a lot of comments, although I can't replicate them. 15 You know, the issue of Border Patrol 16 17 abuse is something that we have been continually -- I don't want to say fighting, but dealing with. 18 19 And it's fine, it's great to have 20 policy. It's much more important to be able to enforce it rather than just say that there's policy. 21 MR. PAZ: What we heard today was 22 primarily their efforts to safeguard the person 23 24 crossing over, which is really a facade for homeland 25 security and all that, correct?

MS. SKIDMORE: Correct.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I have a question as well. The chair of our California SAC is particularly interested in the economic implications of our border issues, and I'd like for him to ask the question because I know that Congressman Grijalva has a statement, has a position on this, how we're going to treat undocumented workers. But perhaps I can turn it over.

DR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, June.

Fernando Hernandez, California State Advisory Committee.

Our committee has been especially concerned about the impact that the immigration has, not only on Mexico -- and we had testimony earlier from the Mexican consulate that remittances sent back to Mexico account for a very large -- a substantial part of the Mexican economy.

Has the -- has your office investigated or gotten any kind of information on the impact that the immigrants, both legal and illegal, have on this community and your district in this state?

MS. SKIDMORE: We definitely have received academic reports, such as one that the

```
Thunderbird -- and I didn't bring the copy of the
1
 2
     report, but --
                    DR. HERNANDEZ: Can you make that
 3
     report available?
 4
 5
                    MS. SKIDMORE: Definitely we can.
 6
                    DR. HERNANDEZ: And maybe then we can
 7
     get it in the record?
                    MS. SKIDMORE: Of course.
 8
                    And as that report states, the
 9
     benefits of undocumented residents here in Arizona
10
     far outweigh the costs in terms of the benefit to the
11
     economy of the state.
12
                                     I just wanted to have
13
                    DR. HERNAÑDEZ:
     a follow-up question maybe by my colleague on our
14
     state advisory committee, Judge Alston.
15
16
                    JUDGE ALSTON:
                                    I simply was going to
17
     ask that question about how the -- the money goes,
18
     the benefits as opposed to the obligations.
                    MS. SKIDMORE: Of course. And we'll
19
     go ahead and provide you with a copy of the report
20
     and you'll see it in the numbers and the data.
21
22
                    JUDGE ALSTON:
                                    Okay.
23
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And could you
     please state Congressman Grijalva's position on
24
25
     undocumented workers in the United States?
```

MS. SKIDMORE: Well, Congressman 1 2 Grijalva is very supportive of complete revamping of immigration policy. He does -- he has supported 3 4 several bills to deal with what's going on now with, for example, workers that have been here for many 5 years and deserve and have worked hard and have kept 6 a clean criminal record and deserve to have 7 legalization. So he's for legalization. 9 Also, any type of guest worker program that he would support would have to have protection; 10 would have to have protection from any type of 11 abuse. 12 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 13 Yes. MR. GATTONE: Thank you. Paul 14 15 Gattone. I really appreciate the congressman's 16 comments as someone who is only one generation 17 removed from people who came to this country looking 18 19 for economic opportunity. 20 But I'm also wondering -- I know in 21 the last few years there's been obviously a heightened concern about security, and I wondered if 22 since September 11, if the number of reports of abuse 23 24 have increased to the congressman's office.

MS. SKIDMORE:

25

Since he is still

1 technically a freshman -- our office opened last 2 January -- we have gotten several reports. I think people are not comfortable enough to come to a 3 federal office for help. But as the congressman 4 continues his work and people get to know him, they 5 feel more comfortable in coming to us, or they see 6 7 how we have referred complaints. And what we do, our process is, we 8 take a complaint and we refer it to our congressional 9 10 liaison for the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, or if it's a little higher, we go 11 12 directly to the Department of Homeland Security. So have we seen an increase since last 13 14 year? Of course. It's -- it's going to keep 15 continuing. 16 Unfortunately, when we do refer the 17 complaints and we receive letters back, the responses are not necessarily what we expect them to be. 18 Hopefully that will stop. 19 2.0 MR. OSBORN: Madam Chair? 21 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes. 2.2 MS. SKIDMORE: Jones Osborn, Yuma. Ms. Skidmore, could you furnish us 23 with copies of Congressman Grijalva's most recent or 24 25 comprehensive legislation with respect to?

MS. SKIDMORE: The last one that he 1 2 co-sponsored was the SOLVE Act. That would cover his 3 MR. OSBORN: 4 policy? MS. SKIDMORE: Part of it. 5 6 MR. OSBORN: Part of it, all right. 7 MS. SKIDMORE: He does support the Dream Act and Ag Job still, which was both 8 9 bipartisan. 10 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have a question from the state chair from New Mexico. Could you 11 12 state your name? MR. AGUSTIN: Stan Agustin, chair of 13 14 New Mexico. 15 Listening to the different testimonies this morning, especially one by the consul, Mexican 16 consulate, one of the things that he was really 17 emphasizing is the need for dialogue between the two 18 19 governments. And what I had heard from the Border 20 21 Patrol this morning is that they're enforcing 22 immigration laws. And I think what I'm getting also is that the laws that they're enforcing have been 23 24 enacted years ago. And what I'm also hearing is that 25

conditions have changed. And it seems like you're saying -- and I'm very encouraged by the statement that you read -- that the economy right now would collapse if we did not have the immigrants working in our sectors of our businesses.

2.0

So is the congressman looking at maybe starting an initiative to really evaluate the current immigration laws and is he going to be opening dialogue with the Mexican government so we can at least address some of the issues that -- I think initially we started with the abuse of -- civil rights abuse, but the bigger picture is the economy. I mean, I think we're going to have to admit that we cannot function without our illegal immigration right now, it would collapse.

MS. SKIDMORE: Right.

MR. AGUSTIN: So is the congressman looking at these initiatives right now?

MS. SKIDMORE: Yes. You asked several questions, and I'll try to answer them.

Yes. When the Border Patrol
representative was saying that they're enforcing a
lot of things, I think when 9/11 happened, a lot
things that weren't being done -- I don't know, this
culture of fear started where everything was just

There's terrorists, terrorists, and they caught six in the last five years.

What --

2.0

MR. GATTONE: Six individuals, for the record. They were unlucky enough to come from a targeted nation.

MS. SKIDMORE: So that's pretty much what they're talking about. The last couple of years there was the (unintelligible) INS law and it all came to a bill the last couple of years.

That's my response on that. That's why they're doing that.

And I do want to make a quick note.

Enforcing immigration and border policy, immigration law, this is not something that's very easy, and you almost need to be an attorney to understand all of it.

So it's a little scary that they do have agents that are fresh out of high school or community college to try to enforce a policy that they may not have even read.

The congressman is -- has been -there's a lot of issues that are a part of his
platform, but immigration and border policy, the
economy, it's not -- you know, it's not just one

1 thing, it's a whole comprehensive issue that he's definitely working on, he's researching, he wants to 2 be prepared before he presents anything. 3 And like I said, he was a freshman, although he did support a lot of bills this year. 5 But hopefully during the next term we'll see some 6 more change. We're very optimistic. Madam Chair, I have a 8 MR. MATUS: 9 question. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you state 10 11 your name? MR. MATUS: Jose Matus from the Pascua 12 Yaqui Tribe, and I'm very interested in knowing if 13 the congressman has any vehicles to deal with 14 indigenous border crossing abuses that we have gone 15 16 As a leader, we always have people that through. 17 have been mistreated by the American consulate, sometimes here at the border, border officials. 18 What has the congressman done in terms 19 of the Indian community at the border? Because we're 20 21 talking about the Cocopahs and then the Yaquis and the other -- three tribes that I quess the 22 23 congressman --24 MS. SKIDMORE: Well, we have worked with them when become aware. I think what they've 25

been doing is kind of cc'ing us when they're going to cross the border if they have any problems and we call the American consulate in Nogales if there is a problem.

I just recently heard -- and this is kind of part of what you were asking -- our conversations with the Mexican government, you know, how are we going to start, how are we going to continue, are we going to intensify the conversation.

I just heard that something about the Mexican consulate not supporting the crossing for cultural activities, so that's something that we need to encourage, that we need to continue talking to them and maybe work together. A lot of times we have to work alone.

But I know that the congressman supports the passage of the indigenous peoples for full cultural affairs. And just come talk to us.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And I believe you heard the consul saying that you need to sit down and talk together. So it sounds like it's a wonderful opportunity.

MS. SKIDMORE: And he has had different conversations with this particular consulate and the other one, so...

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you 1 very much for coming today. Thank you. 2 Panel, we have 40 minutes. 3 actually you're supposed to be back at 1 o'clock. 4 (A recess was taken from 12:35 p.m. to 5 1 p.m.) 6 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have with us 7 8 this afternoon as our first presenter is Richard Fimbres, who is the director of the Arizona 9 10 Governor's Office of Highway Safety and who is also representing the governor at this today. 11 So Richard, could you state your name 12 into the record and also what you represent? 13 14 MR. FIMBRES: Okay. Good afternoon. I'm Richard Fimbres. I am with the governor's office 15 of highway safety. I am the director. On behalf of 16 17 our great governor Janet Napolitano, I bring you 18 greetings. 19 My office's mission is to be the focal 20 point for highway safety issues in Arizona. GOHS 21 provides leadership by developing, promoting and coordinating programs, influencing public and private 22 policy, and increasing public awareness of highway 23 24 safety. 25 Motor vehicle crashes are the leading

cause of all injury deaths, the principal cause of on-the-job fatalities, and the third leading cause of all deaths in the United States.

Among people ages 4 through 33, motor vehicle crashes are the leading cause of death according to NHTSA reports.

The injuries and deaths associated with traffic crashes place a significance burden on individuals as well as society. Health care costs associated with transportation-related injuries has been estimated at \$230.6 billion a year, an average of \$820 for every person living in the United States.

The 2000 U.S. Census shows that Arizona is the 6th state most heavy populated with Hispanics.

Some of the highway safety challenges we face are the lack of seat belt usage; the lack of child/booster seat use; crowded vehicles; unlicensed and uninsured drivers; disregard for signs and signals; old unsafe vehicles; speeding and impairment; youthful inexperienced drivers; recent immigrants; and elderly drivers and pedestrians.

In 2003, 1,122 people were killed in Arizona due to motor vehicle crashes, and there was 71,901 injuries.

1 Drinking drivers were involved in 2 24.22 percent of all fatalities. Rural crashes accounted for 19.9 percent of all crashes and over 3 53.5 percent of all fatal crashes. 5 In Arizona, one person is killed every 6 7.8 hours as a result of a traffic crash. The Native American mortality rate is nearly three and one half times greater than the 8 overall Arizona rate. 9 Motor vehicle crashes are the leading 10 cause of death for Hispanics between the ages of 1 11 and 34. 12 13 CDC data indicates that motor vehicle 14 crashes are the leading cause of injury and mortality for American Indian children ages 14 and under in 15 Arizona. 16 17 According to the 2000 Census Bureau, 18 Hispanics accounted for 25.72 percent of all traffic crash fatalities in Arizona, while only being 18.77 19 percent of the population. 20 21 Native Americans accounted for 17.34 22 percent, while only making 5.18 percent of the 23 population. 2.4 The San Carlos Injury Prevention 25 Coalition in Arizona conducted an observational study

that showed a zero percent usage rate of car seats 1 for Native American children in 2001 and a 17 percent 2 usage rate in 2002. This percentage has now risen to 3 41 percent in 2003 after extensive outreach and 4 5 education. 6 Currently, Arizona has a secondary seat belt law. Passing a primary seat belt law in 7 every state is absolutely vital in order to save 8 thousands of lives and to prevent tens of thousands 9 of injuries each year. 10 Additional challenges that we are 11 facing include the impact of NAFTA and the CANAMEX 12 13 Corridor on commercial vehicle safety. 14 Currently little is known about the condition of Mexican vehicles which will cross the 15 border into Arizona. 16 17 Border law enforcement is currently conducting special details to inform Mexican carriers 18 about the federal Motor Carrier safety regulations. 19 20 Offices are also training Mexican law 21 enforcement to conduct inspections in Mexico. Approximately 46,000 truck inspections 2.2 23 are conducted each year. Another issue is communication 24

barriers that exist between U.S. inspectors and

25

Mexican commercial drivers.

The shortage of trained and bilingual U.S. inspectors is another issue.

The Hours of Service continues to be an issue in that the Mexican commercial vehicle driver is seldom in possession of a log book and subsequently is placed out of service.

Another issue of concern is that currently the Protect Arizona Now initiative may appear in November's ballot and has a possibility of becoming a law. This brings concerns to the law enforcement community because it will require law enforcement officers to obtain additional training in federal immigration law. Inevitably the PAN initiative will bring burdensome costs to law enforcement agencies and extensive delays for government and emergency services.

Our recommendations to address the open border and enforcement issues are to begin a judicial outreach program which enlists the justice courts to properly fine and review commercial vehicle violations.

Continue an educational outreach program for the Mexican carriers on the current regulations and the necessary documents that must be

carried when operating in the United States. This particular outreach program should be directed at the owners and the drivers of the Mexican commercial vehicles.

Continued education for inspectors in standardizing the various levels of commercial vehicle enforcement.

Insure that fines are not disparate between the federal government and the state.

Expand the coordination of public information efforts with U.S. and Mexican media outlets to educate individuals on both sides of the border regarding highway safety.

Work with the Mexican officials to increase educational and enforcement projects along the border.

Continue to work towards gaining support for a primary seat belt law in Arizona.

Governor Napolitano is committed to keeping Arizona motorists safe. GOHS works hard to fulfill her commitment to Arizona citizens and its visitors.

In closing, let me take this opportunity to remind all motorists to buckle up for safety. Seat belts save lives and all motorists

should remember this simple task each time they enter 1 a vehicle. 2 Please remember, the easiest thing any 3 4 Arizonan can do is to insure your child's in a car seat, that you utilize your seat belt, and that you 5 6 do not get behind the wheel of a vehicle and attempt to drive while impaired. 8 Thank you. 9 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could I ask that 10 you give that statement to our staff from the regional office, Art Palacios, who is behind you, 11 before you leave today. 12 13 MR. FIMBRES: Okay. 14 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And I do have a 15 question. You mentioned Proposition 200 or the PAN initiative? 16 17 MR. FIMBRES: Yes, ma'am. 18 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Do you have figures 19 as to the cost that will be entailed with the 20 training required --21 MR. FIMBRES: I have some. 22 There was a former report given to our 23 It says with the Department of Public Safety, PAN will cost DPS nearly \$700,000 annually. 24 25 These are conservative estimates of 10 minutes per

application for licenses or renewal, of security 1 quard licenses. 2 Conservative estimates of 10 minutes 3 to verify citizenship at motorist assist contact. 4 Estimates of 15 minutes extra to 5 verify citizenship at traffic collisions. Additional 6 costs to print forms in compliance with PAN 8 requirements. In addition, all DPS officers would 9 need additional training in federal immigration law. 10 This says PAN will cause the public 11 significant delays. 478,000 drivers stopped for 12 traffic violations will be delayed while their 13 14 immigration status is verified. 15 A hundred and forty-six thousand motorists needing highway assistance will be 16 delayed. 17 29,000 accident investigations will be 18 19 delayed. 20 10,000 concealed weapon permits and 21 15,000 renewal applications will be delayed. 11,500 security guard licenses and 22 23 renewals will be delayed. 24 PAN will harm public safety. 25 Additional documents review and reporting to the

federal authorities would reduce normal service 1 2 levels or additional staff would be needed to maintain service levels. 3 In virtually all DPS operations where 4 citizen contacts are routine, additional time would 5 6 be needed to obtain and verify identification. The additional time needed would 8 result in reduced public safety services to Arizona citizens or increased cost for additional manpower. 9 10 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. Are there other questions from the panel? 11 12 MR. GATTONE: Thank you. Paul 13 Gattone. 14 Mr. Fimbres, has the governor taken or 15 are you in a position to tell us what the governor's position is on Proposition 200? 16 MR. FIMBRES: I think that she's 17 18 already publicly stated that it is very problematic for Arizona and that it would cost an additional like 19 \$23 million in services and staffing that it would 20 21 take for each of the departments. 2.2 I know that there's been a study 23 there's been introduced or a survey that the 24 department heads were asked to turn in, reports of 25 how much it would impact, and that report's still

being compiled by some of the other agencies. 1 MR. GATTONE: Thank you. 2 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Is there a 3 possibility that that could be entered into the 4 5 record? 6 MR. FIMBRES: I would like to make 7 sure it is. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 8 Thank you. That also would go to the regional office. 9 10 MR. FIMBRES: Yes. I can get that from -- I will get that from the chief of staff and 11 1.2 just forward it to Mr. Palacios? DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 13 Are there any other questions? 14 15 MR. GATTONE: I have one other 16 question. Thank you. 17 When we were talking about people of 18 color and Native Americans' and Hispanics' use of --19 I think you said that driving bad vehicles was one 20 source of accidents and not using child restraints or car seats. Is some of this tied to economic status 21 22 and cultural differences? 23 MR. FIMBRES: A lot of it -- older vehicles, usually after the 1978 or -- don't have the 24 seat belts installed. So yes, there are still old 25

vehicles driven because they are tied to economic status.

2.1

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes.

MS. LEE: Lorraine Lee.

I don't know that this is a fair question, but just on this, as the governor's representative here today -- and I apologize for being late, so I may have missed the opening comments that you may have made; so if you already addressed this, I apologize.

Even all of the problems that have happened along the border, particularly the deaths that have occurred, has the governor taken any sort of assessment in terms of what that has meant to the State of Arizona in terms of -- earlier we were talking about dialogue that needed to occur -- and in terms of how to at least to begin to address this? Is there -- are you aware of any dialogue that has taken place in terms of the governor's office and the State of Sonora?

MR. FIMBRES: Yes, they have met. The governors, both governors, the governor of Sonora and the governor of Arizona have met several times to discuss, to the U.S. Mexico Border Commission, and several other events that they've met.

And she's also met with the president of Mexico, Vicente Fox, to cover issues on the border and have created a dialogue.

I think she's also met with the Native
American community which is also on the border. The
Tohono O'odham Nation is one of them in particular
that she's been discussing these issues.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes.

MR. McKENZIE: Thank you. James McKenzie from Tucson.

This dialogue addressing the concerns the along the border, where is the dialogue going? Where do they see where the biggest resistance is or the biggest problem, why that we can't correct this thing yesterday? Now, you only have two minutes.

MR. FIMBRES: I was going to say, if you've done this -- this is Richard Fimbres talking. I don't want to get the governor in trouble.

But if you've looked at the history of the border, this issue for years has gone on, and it's always been an open border sort of where people came over and provided a service and then went back. But because of the Border Patrol enforcement and the beefing up on that, it has stopped it from coming in, and now the folks are coming in at the most -- worst

part of the desert is where they're coming into.

2.4

And it's always been a stopgap plan.

I mean, they stopped them from dying in the rivers in Texas, in the Rio Texas, and now they stopped them in San Diego and now they're in Arizona. And now that we're seeing them starting to slow down in Arizona, they're right back and it's picked up in Texas.

So there's other issues that need to be looked at. I think a viable guest worker program should be in the mix here as also one of the recommendations.

Dual citizenship for the Native

American community is an another issue that was

brought up to Congress.

The Dream Act was another issue for migrant students to get that educational opportunity.

So there are things that should be happening. And also the message of you guys and the recommendations that you give to the president and congress will help have some weight.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And the original reason why you, as the director of highway safety, was considered to come and speak to this panel was the question of coyotes and the crashes that we've

had on the highways in Arizona. Could you address that in any way?

MR. FIMBRES: Well, talking to the Border Patrol -- I don't know if you've had anybody give testimony yet -- but they've talked about the rollovers.

And usually what happens is they take a vehicle that's not very well maintained and they stuff them with human cargo and then they rush through. And a lot of times -- the last couple months we've seen blowouts that have occurred of a vehicle and they've had rollovers, and those folks have been injured and several deaths have occurred.

And it's a -- the coyotes are another issue. And I think that's a problem on both sides of the border. We need to ask the Mexican government also to increase enforcement and to track those individuals down also as opposed to letting them come across.

DR. HERNANDEZ: Fernando Hernandez,
California State Advisory Committee.

I didn't get a chance to ask the Border Patrol agents this question, but maybe you might know since you have a lot of contact with them.

Are they pretty well staffed now? Can they -- are they staffed sufficiently so that they can basically seal the border, or do they -- and they talked about these sensors that they use.

2.2

And I was wondering, do they have another enough manpower to answer every one of those sensors, when a sensor goes off, or are they -- how is their staffing, if you know? And what would it take to actually seal the border? I mean, what would it actually take in terms of real people power to seal the border?

MR. FIMBRES: That's a -- that has to be a hypothetical because, I mean, we may have sufficient manpower, but they're taken from Texas and California and brought those officers over here. And basically, like I said, it's a stopgap plan.

I think that what we need to do is ask the Border Patrol to come up with a foolproof plan if they really want to seal the borders. And that's going to take millions of dollars, billions of dollars to do this.

And I think sensors are good, but it's not stopping the problem. I don't think it's decreased anything.

You can ask Chief Saunders, who is on

the Tohono O'odham Nation, to talk about what his 1 agency sees. And I don't know if -- David Aguilar is 2 no longer here, but maybe the new border chief, 3 4 sector chief could explain to you what's happening. But I think this manpower is not it. 5 6 There's got to be an economic plan to this also. 7 I mean, the other thing is, if you really want to stop folks from coming over here, then 8 don't hire them, you know. Let's look at the folks that are 10 11 hiring these folks. You know, hospitality, you know, agriculture, let's look at these folks and let's 12 13 address it. 14 If you really don't want it, let's 15 start imposing penalties on these individuals. 16 a billion dollar industry, you know. And people tend 17 to think it's a law, but they look the other way as long as they're getting theirs, you know. 18 So I don't 19 know. Again, that's Richard Fimbres talking. 20 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for 21 22 one more. 23 MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz, Tucson. 24 Richard, in terms of your other life 25 -- you know what it was like -- do you have a

policy, a platform statement as to our issue with the undocumented immigrant?

MR. FIMBRES: Well, like LULAC, on a national level or on a state level? We been working

on --

MR. PAZ: Both, state and national.

MR. FIMBRES: One of them is to look at the Dream Act. What we talked about was trying to get that passed through Congress.

The other one was creating a viable guest worker program, similar to what they have used in Canada and what they've used in Japan to work with the guest workers.

There are other programs out there, and then there's things that we're looking at with the visa and the -- I forgot what it's called officially.

But yes, there are things that advocacy groups are going -- not only LULAC but the NAACP has supported us, the National Council, LORASA, and other organizations, statewide organizations. Some of the other forks have all been asking, and they're all rallying right now to hopefully defeat this initiative called PAN.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you

1 very much for coming and meeting with us today. 2 And if you could provide your 3 statement to --MR. FIMBRES: Yeah. 4 5 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And also as the 6 numbers come through, if we could enter those into the record, hopefully within the next month. MR. FIMBRES: I'll get what I can from 8 9 the agencies that have them in, hopefully by a week 10 from next week. Thank you for your time. 11 12 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We move on to Greg 13 Lucero, who is the Santa Cruz County Manager. 14 MR. REESE: I'm not Greg Lucero, but I 15 am representing him. 16 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you read your 17 name into the --18 MR. REESE: And I will. 19 First of all, good afternoon and welcome to Santa Cruz County. 20 21 Unfortunately, Greg couldn't make it 22 this afternoon. 23 My name is Manuel Reese. 24 supervisor for Santa Cruz County representing District Number 1. 25

I'm also a member of the Nogales
Unified School District Number 1 Governing Board.

2.2

It's good to see a good friend, a

Nogalian, Mr. Paz. Welcome back. I understand

you're from Tucson, and Phoenix pretty soon, so it's

good to see you.

I'm also glad to see Isabel. We've had opportunities to talk many times.

A couple of other comments that I will read here, and they're issues that effect health and environment.

The first issue I would like to discuss is uncompensated health care. And this occurs anytime that there is an injury with an undocumented immigrant.

Most of the times when Border Patrol comes up to or if they are pursuing, if there are injuries in an accident, what happens is the local jurisdiction has to pick up the tab for the injured person.

This certainly creates a strain on the local infrastructure. I know our local hospital alone has been losing or has lost in excess of over half a million dollars of revenue to them, which puts them in danger of getting pretty close to closing

down and really not having a health facility available to us.

Another thing that happens is as they cross into this country -- and unfortunately, there has been quite a few deaths that have occurred here. In fact, I believe the month of May we had five undocumented immigrants that died within our county.

That creates also a budgetary concern because every time that they locate them, of course they have to be sent to the state for an autopsy, and that winds up costing the taxpayer \$1500 per autopsy. So that also creates a financial hardship on us.

Let me see. Other issues that happen -- and I think that these -- and I think our sheriff will be speaking to you this afternoon -- and certainly we get reports weekly at our board of supervisors meeting. And constantly there is a percentage of inmates, from 18 to 25 percent of the jail population is undocumented immigrants.

They have figured out the system very well. Sometimes they have a toothache or they have another problem, they go and they commit a petty crime, they get arrested. Once they got booked in they say my tooth hurts, I have a stomachache or

something's wrong with them, we wind up taking them to the local doctor or hospital, to the dentist, and of course the county about picks up the tab.

You got to hand it to them, they're creative. But being creative, it also puts a strain on the taxpayer of this community.

Other issues too are Nogales wash. It runs down through the middle of Nogales. It's a pathway also where undocumented immigrants come through.

A couple of things that happen with that during the monsoon season, and we just had a lady that drowned. We had a couple of officers that jumped into the rushing water and were able to pull the 14- and 12-year-old girls to safety.

Unfortunately, they couldn't help the mother.

But also another issue as well is raw sewage comes down through there. And we've been fighting with the International Boundary Commission to get money to fix that problem.

And unfortunately, it's -- it's an uphill battle. They claim they don't have the money. I know that the problem originates in Nogales, Sonora.

The City of Nogales for a long time

has been an -- using the treatment facility there that they treat the sewage that comes in from Nogales, Sonora, but occasionally there are breaks along the wash, and that tends to run.

We had a few weeks ago about 25 million gallons of raw sewage coming through the downtown area. So that creates a health problem for the people that are crossing illegally as well as for the residents of our community.

Another issue too, and it deals with our schools. We have a lot of children that are born in the U.S. whose parents live in Mexico and they come across the border every day, and that also creates a tremendous problem for an overcrowded school system.

Under the laws that have been passed through the state and the federal government of No Child Left Behind and Arizona Learns, it certainly creates a big logistics problem for the school districts, especially when it comes to this school is performing, this is not, and we want all our children to go here, and then of course we have no way of enforcing immigration laws either at the school district. We've told -- a lot of parents complain to us, and unless we know a name we really can't do much

rather than perhaps have a truant officer at the border every day as they come across.

You can see that certainly being on the border creates a lot of problems for us.

Some of the recommendations certainly
I would like to see implemented, number one, is that
a guest worker program be supported.

I know that right now in the political atmosphere, I guess, at first it was at good, now everybody's against it. They're afraid that they're going to come in and take work from Americans. But I think that there are certain needs that Americans won't fill, especially in the fields.

I know I used to work for the

Department of Agriculture as an inspector, and some
of the hard work that goes on in those fields when
they're harvesting, they're packing, you know, I
really admire those people. And it certainly would
be of benefit.

And again, I'm open for questions. I want to thank you for coming down and taking our input.

I know I talk a lot, but I guess that's what politicians are supposed to do. So thank you very much.

1 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. Yes, Judge Alston. 2 JUDGE ALSTON: Gilbert Alston with the 3 4 California Advisory Committee. Your opinion as to strict enforcement 5 6 of the minimum wage laws with respect to these people that are working in the industries where everyone says Americans don't want to work. 8 MR. REESE: Could you repeat the 9 I didn't hear your last part of it. 10 question? 11 JUDGE ALSTON: The last part was the 12 industries that these people work in, hotels and cooking and other, quote, low industries where 13 Americans presumably do not wish to work. 14 15 MR. REESE: Because of the pay rate? 16 Is that what you're --17 JUDGE ALSTON: Not necessarily because 18 of the pay rate, but according to the popular and convention wisdom, Americans won't take those jobs. 19 And we have an awful lot of people out of work right 20 21 I don't know hat the unemployment rate is, but 22 I read in the newspaper this morning where it's going 23 up. 24 And if we required the people who hire 25 undocumented workers to pay the minimum wage --

because one of the benefits of hiring undocumented workers is they'll work for less and they won't demand over time.

MR. REESE: Well, not having a business and not knowing, I'm sure you're probably correct. And maybe that's an issue that really needs to be looked at.

I know that they just changed the overtime law. It's going to effect a lot of businesses. And that's something that needs to be explored at a federal level, and perhaps that would have an impact and perhaps it would also get Americans to go and look for those jobs.

Sometimes personally what I think is they find they demeaning. And when I travel and I see people that are working at the hotels, either for Mexico or South America, they're hard-working people that realize the value of putting in a hard day's work for a good day's pay. And they don't feel that that work is demeaning to them, just as in the fields.

I mean, having worked in California, having worked in Phoenix when the onions were being processed, when the broccoli was being packed, when the lettuce was being packed, they're hard-working

people and they don't think that it's demeaning to 1 2 earn an honest living that way. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 3 Yes. MS. GARCIA: Isabel Garcia from the 4 Tucson committee. 5 6 I wanted to know if Santa Cruz County has studied or has some kind of evidence indicating 7 8 the impact on the economy of the U.S. border strategy 9 ever since the mid 1990s, the beginning of the 10 closing and putting the walls and such, what has been the impact to Nogales and Santa Cruz County 11 businesses? 12 13 MR. REESE: Well, certainly NAFTA h 14 a tremendous negative affect in our community becati 15 a lot of the local and old time businesses, when 16 NAFTA went into effect, then they imposed a \$50 17 limit, certainly had a tremendous effect on high unemployment. 18 DR. HERNANDEZ: A \$50 limit? 19 20 MR. REESE: Yes, there was -- at one time there was a \$50 limit per person from Mexico 21 when they would come to purchase, and so it was 22 23 per person. Before NAFTA there was a free zone 24

that it was called, it was 21 kilometers into Mexico

25

1 where you could pretty much take anything into 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Mexico. After the 21st kilometer, then I guess the mordida, which is very well known, you could get anything you wanted to.

NAFTA came in and basically shut a lot of the businesses and certainly a lot of them went under.

We have some local families that are still in business and a lot of Korean families that have come and opened businesses, and I think they're getting along.

After 9/11, certainly had a tremendous effect.

I think overall our community really depends a lot on our neighbors to the south. bring a lot of money into our community. In fact, when the Super Wal-Mart was opened here a couple of years ago, there was 10 Super Wal-Marts open throughout the nation, and this was the highest, I guess, grossing store in the nation.

There's a lot of buying power that comes from our neighbors to the south. And I think that if we're able to facilitate the crossings, if we're able to have the quest worker program, if we're able to speed up commerce, both commercial and

touristic, that this community benefits as well as 1 all the communities along the corridor. Certainly 2 Tucson has a tremendous benefit from the consumer 3 that comes from Mexico. So does Phoenix. 4 And when these people come, they don't 5 6 care, they pay for it. And we have to kind of look, well, do I really need this shirt this bad or could it wait until next week. 8 9 So we really do need to find better ways of protecting the country but also allowing the 10 flow of commerce back ways and dealing with 11 12 undocumented immigrants. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I want to thank you 13 14 and coming and meeting with us this afternoon. And I notice you have a statement. 15 Ιf 16 that's possible, could you please give that to our 17 staff member, Art Palacios, so that he can put it into the record? 18 MR. REESE: I want to thank you for 19 allowing me to participate today. 20 21 If you need further information from 22 Santa Cruz County, we can certainly make it available 23 to you. 24 The Border Counties Coalition, which

we are members, have reports published on the cost of

25

1 illegal immigration along the Arizona, California and New Mexico and Texas border, as well as health-2 3 related costs, and we can make those available to you as well if you need. 4 5 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. Thank you very much. 6 MR. REESE: 7 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We move to --8 DR. HERNANDEZ: June, could you ask the staff to give some of those reports that he 9 10 mentioned so we can put them into the record? 11 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I've already done 12 that. I've been doing that. DR. HERNANDEZ: Perfect. 13 Great. 14 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Now we have Richard Saunders, Tohono O'odham Chief of Police. 15 16 And could you state your name for the record. 17 18 And I forgot to tell our last person, 19 but it's eight minutes of testimony and then seven 20 minutes of questions. 21 MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. 22 afternoon. My name is Richard Saunders. I'm the 23 chief of police of the Tohono O'odham Nation, tribal member, reside with the boundaries of my reservation 24 25 and I speak to the Tohono O'odham language as well.

Give thanks to my grandparents who took the time, the opportunity to teach me the language as well, and I utilize it on a daily basis in my various work and at home.

I bring to you warm wishes from the chairwoman Vivian-Juan sanders as well as the vice chairman Ned Norris, Jr., who wasn't able to make it today.

As I mentioned, I have lived on the Tohono O'odham Nation most of my entire adult life there, and so I see the day-to-day, and then my working relationship as a police chief for 17-plus years, have had the opportunity to work in a variety of aspects of law enforcement, and within the last couple of years, certainly just with the high increase of border- related activities, and I'll talk about that briefly.

I have Power Point presentation handout material that I'll provide to the Commission as well as a CD that I'll make available for the record there.

It gives a background on the Tohono O'odham Nation located in southern Arizona. If you don't know, the nation comprises some 28,000 tribal members, the second largest in the United States,

with a land base of some 2.8 million acres, and 75 miles of our reservation contiguous with the U.S. Mexico border.

Over the years, particularly the last five to eight years, we've seen an absolute increase in the number of border-related activities involving migrants traveling through the Tohono O'odham Nation lands, and particularly drug smuggling, and just large, large numbers of migrants coming through, and I'll talk about that, and all the other related criminal activity as a result of this activity there.

We were up against national policy operations, if you will, federal mandates as it relates to an attempt to close down the border there, Operation Desert Risk, many initiatives, Operation Gatekeeper, to name but a few there.

And particularly these -- these operations have perhaps worked in other parts of the United States, but particularly along the stretch of the Tohono O'odham Nation, it was ineffective.

As a result, it pushed a lot of illegal activity to out to the Tohono O'odham Nation and created a bottleneck effect, if you will, contributing to our increase in crime and activity,

and most notably migrants dying within the lands of the Tohono O'odham Nation.

My Power Point describes some of the activities, the numbers as of yesterday.

Keep in mind Border Patrol, because of the large size of the Tohono O'odham Nation, three stations comprise their area of operations, Tucson sector Border Patrol handles one-third, if you will; Casa Grande station handles the main body, and the Ajo Border Patrol station handles the western portion of the nation.

And their latest numbers as of yesterday for the Casa Grande station -- this doesn't include Ajo or Tucson station there -- there's been more than 82,959 migrants apprehended in their area of operations.

Ninety -- I think it's safe to say that 95 percent of Casa Grande's area of operation lays within the nation, Tohono O'odham Nation lands there.

Within the 24-hour period there on the 25th, there were 228 apprehensions, if you will.

Now, the Tohono O'odham police department, we don't have federal immigration authority, so all we do is some detainments and then

turn them over to the appropriate authority, customs and border protection in this case. Annually, however, we do apprehend and detain some 6,000 migrants annually.

Those numbers of are down from the highest point earlier this year was some 800 migrants were apprehended by Customs and Border Protection.

Our intelligence tell us that some

1500 per day attempt to cross through the Tohono

O'odham Nation lands, and those are intelligence from

Customs and Border Protection, from our intelligence

sources in Mexico, the staging area south of the

Tohono O'odham Nation and another intelligence

gathering.

Some statistics on drugs. We've absolutely seen a tremendous increase on narcotics activity up to date. I have a two-man narcotics unit with the police department, and we've seized in excess of 50,000 pounds of narcotic, compared to last year, the entire calendar year, we seized in excess of a hundred and seven thousand pounds of narcotics coming through the Tohono O'odham Nation lands destined for points throughout Arizona, the rest of the United States.

Earlier it was mentioned automobile

deaths. Last year we investigated about 18 crashes that occurred on the Tohono O'odham Nation, with about 15 migrants dying as a result.

So those are significant numbers for us as well.

Automobiles. The Tohono O'odham

Nation police department has recovered last year in excess of 500 stolen vehicles stolen from points throughout Arizona.

Last year's numbers on abandoned vehicles, if you will, vehicles that are used directly in migrant smuggling and/or narcotic smuggling, we facilitated the removal of more than 6,000 vehicles that fell into this category. A tremendous burden on our local law enforcement.

Deaths was mentioned. This year, since January, we have investigated 37 migrant deaths within our nation's lands.

Last year's number, we investigated 69 migrant deaths. In the year before, in 2001, was 85 migrant deaths that died on our nation's lands. And those are significant -- significant resources taken away from the Tohono O'odham Nation police department. We've estimated we've spent in excess of 2.2 million dollars already this year just on these

border-related activities. So those are significant numbers for us. And we continually annually spend in excess of \$3 million. I'm just speaking from a law enforcement entity, I'm not even talking about health and human services, the hospital costs and the trash. Those are other significant numbers.

1.3

2.4

On a daily basis the tribal members life in fear of migrants and suspicious activity coming through the community. The tribal members are afraid to go out and leave their homes there and teach the traditional gathering of cactus fruit to continue the tradition and culture of the Tohono O'odham people.

The community and children are enticed by these smugglers of illegal activity, whether it be smuggling people and/or narcotics. They're very lucrative enticing tribal our members, and that's an issue that we're continuing to deal with.

It has been our philosophy to respond to these calls for service there. The Tohono O'odham police department responds to assess the situation and then make the referral. So we spend sometimes in excess of 60 percent of our time and resources geared at the nations -- at the responsibility that we feel is the federal immigration authority and

1 responsibility. So that's it in a nutshell. And I 2 have -- I'd be happy to answer questions. And much 3 of this information is contained within the 4 information package I will provide to you. 5 6 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes, if you can 7 provide that to Art Palacios, who is behind you, for the regional office. 8 9 MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. 10 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And he'll get it into the record. 11 And I'd like to recognize Paul 12 13 Gattone. 14 MR. GATTONE: Yes, Paul Gattone from Tucson. 15 16 Sir, are you aware -- well, I'm just 17 wondering if you have any statistics or reports of negative interactions that members of the tribe have 18 19 with the Border Patrol themselves, is that a problem 20 on the reservation, and do you have information about that? 21 MR. SAUNDERS: At one point in time I 22 23 was charged in compiling that information, negative 24 contacts with the -- on traffic stops, if you will, on Customs and Border Protection following -- and 25

allegation of harassment. About two years ago I was charged with gathering that information and compiling it and sending it out for appropriate action.

As of lately, I do facilitate -- as a matter of fact, two weekends ago I received three phone calls within a 24-hour period from community members citing various allegations, if you will, and I facilitated those to be passed out.

I've got a very good working relationship with the Tucson and the Casa Grande station, and immediately called up that information and encouraged the tribal members to document the time, the location and the incidents that they are addressing.

So I do facilitate and follow that up with the appropriate supervisor within that area there.

MR. GATTONE: Are they primarily traffic stops, or could you maybe just give us an idea of the type of reports you receive?

MR. SAUNDERS: On occasion there there's continued traffic stops by tribal members living south -- in the southern portion of the nation there. So, you know, being stopped several times a week, not becoming familiar with their vehicles.

There are allegations of smuggling of people and/or 1 narcotics, tailgating and allegations of 2 unprofessional behavior. 3 Spotlighting, if you will, that 4 5 occasionally comes up there. And just -- off the top 6 of my head, those are the few that come to mind 7 there. MR. GATTONE: Just for the record, 8 spotlighting is when they -- the Border Patrol shines 9 their -- like a search light? 10 MR. SAUNDERS: They'll be stationed --11 12 yeah, as they're driving past a certain junction 13 there, they'll hit their lights there or follow them for a distance there with their lights shining upon 14 them there before making traffic stops. 15 16 MR. GATTONE: Thank you. 17 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Chair recognizes --18 JUDGE ALSTON: Gilbert Alston, 19 California Advisory Committee. 20 We have been led at a meeting in Tucson before by a member of your tribe to believe 21 that the boundaries of the nation actually go south 22 23 of the -- what is recognized as the international border. Is that correct? Is that what you're --2.4 25 MR. SAUNDERS: Yes.

JUDGE ALSTON: You made a statement 1 before that the boundaries were contiguous with the 2 border. 3 4 MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. As the -- as 5 identified as the international border there, yes. But much of our traditional O'odham lands extend into 6 In excess of 1400 tribal members do 7 Mexico, yes. reside across in Mexico and as I understand nine 8 9 traditional O'odham communities. JUDGE ALSTON: Could you give us some 10 indication as to how that -- or is there a problem 11 with that as you see it? 12 MR. SAUNDERS: From a law enforcement 13 14 perspective? JUDGE ALSTON: Yes, sir. 15 MR. SAUNDERS: Back on that note of 16 17 complaints that may be lodged forward there, 18 there's -- early on there was occasions that I'd get a report of tribal members attempting to come through 19 20 and receive services of the nation there and being 21 stopped and supposedly being deported through other 22 points. And the -- there is a Border Patrol 23 24 usually stationed at an area, the infamous San Miguel 25 Gate, for instance. It is a nonlegal point of

entry. However, Customs and Border Protection has used tremendous discretion in allowing tribal members to cross back and forth there, back and forth, and particularly into the United States if they recognize them.

And then certainly identifying the members would certainly need to -- I think that would be their responsibility to check on all or most of the people that they're not familiar with crossing north into the United States at that particular location there.

So I've heard in the past, yes, some -- some allegations, if you will. But most recently, it's really been very good discretion of customs and border protection in the area of that San Miguel Gate that I mentioned.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I would like to follow up on that. We also were informed that there was a requirement -- they were not considered citizens at this point in time, although they were actually part of the Tohono O'odham Nation.

Has the tribe changed its view on granting citizenship? I know there's been a bill before Congress for citizenship for these groups that live below --

MR. SAUNDERS: That obviously is still an ongoing issue. In Congress there's certainly -- I have become familiar that most -- or not most -- some of the tribal members that reside in Mexico do possess tribal identification, if you will, and registered as nondistrict.

Unfortunately, in the process we've got some fraudulent documents from individuals attempting to disguise themselves as O'odham in Mexico or O'odham of northern Sonora, tribal members disguising themselves in the fraudulent documents.

So we've had to educate ourselves on identifying those because they've been brought to our attention, local law enforcement, in the event Customs and Border Protection comes across them, is in fact, say, a valid -- a legitimate identification there, and we determine that through the tribal enrollment office, if you do a verification check with the enrollment office and to determine if these individuals are registered O'odham in Mexico or O'odham in Sonora members there.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We have time for one more question.

MS. GARCIA: My understanding was that the O'odham in Mexico and even those O'odham in the

United States that could not prove their citizenship had such extreme problems with Border Patrol and the buildup in the border and the restrictive immigration policies that the communities held meetings upon meetings, came up with a citizenship bill in order to alleviate.

2.4

You're not saying that those issues have been have been alleviated for them, have you? And why is this administration retracting from full support of the U.S. citizenship program? Is that part of your agreement with Homeland Security?

MR. SAUNDERS: In terms of Homeland Security, no, I -- those are issues that are ongoing in discussions, if you will.

I know there was some attempt from previous administration in dealing with that citizenship issue. I know the new administration has reevaluated, if you will, and continues to look at moving forward in trying to address those particulars.

Homeland Security certainly is a consideration. There's, you know, congressional pros and cons and opposition and support of that.

Absolutely, with all intent and purpose of protecting not only the nation's members

and the United States, the rest of Arizona and the rest of the United States is certainly at hand there.

2.0

2.2

But I think those are two separate issues. The immigration issue, and then as it relates to O'odham in Mexico, and then homeland security issue, I think those are all separate individual issues there.

MS. GARCIA: And you have received monies from Homeland Security to beef up that part of the border, is that right, and equipment that kind of thing?

MR. SAUNDERS: Compared to what we have expended over the years, as I mentioned, in excess of \$3 million annually, up to date since January we've spent in excess of 2.\$2 million, we have merely received \$24,000 from Homeland Security, and those are emergency preparedness, if you will, dollars for equipment. That's all we have received up to date.

MS. GARCIA: Didn't you receive a big chunk of money for equipment and military kind of equipment in September of last year?

I saw this on the TV. Mr. Norris and Border Patrol saying that this is the new equipment

that's been given by Homeland Security to the Tohono
O'odham Nation police force.

MR. SAUNDERS: We received Special
Operations bulletproof vests that will expire come

September. That's all we got from -- it was a hand-me-down, if you will, from Customs and Border Protection.

Up to date we have received -- it's just been recently that we even got the mutual aid agreement signed between the nation and Pima County in order to meet that federal requirement in receiving Homeland Security dollars.

The nation certainly met the agreement and signed to the mutual aid there by allowing monies to be funneled down.

So to the best of my knowledge, about \$24,000 in -- not in actual dollars, just equipment, if you will. You have to use those dollars specifically for equipment and training and emergency preparedness needs there.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry, we're going to have to -- thank you very much for your testimony. And if you could provide Mr. Palacios with the materials, it would be quite helpful.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you.

1 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. 2 And we have next on the agenda the representative from the Pascua Yaqui Tribe? 3 And we have no one here from Pascua 4 That would be Herminia Frias? 5 Yaqui. Well, we'll take a break till 2:30. 6 7 (A recess was taken.) 8 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. We have eight minutes for testimony and seven minutes for 9 10 questions from the panel. MR. ESTRADA: Okay. Well, first of 11 all, thank you and welcome to Nogales. 12 I did talk to Mr. Palacios sometime 13 14 back. He indicated that he was going to be here. 15 also told me that this the first time that this particular special group has done this at a border 16 17 community. And I thought that was very appropriate 18 because if you need to hear or know about the 19 problem, you better go to the location where it's at 20 and not inland. 21 So again, welcome to all of you. the sheriff of Santa Cruz County. A little bit about 22 23 myself. 24 I was born in Nogales, Mexico. 25 immigrated when I was about a year and a half. Went

1 to school here, became a U.S. citizen at age 22. Been in law enforcement since 1966, all of it here in 2 Nogales and Santa Cruz County. 3 I retired as a captain with the 4 5 Nogales Police Department in 1991. I ran for sheriff 6 and was successful and I'm running for my fourth term 7 as Santa Cruz County Sheriff. So with that, I think I have vast 8 experience and hopefully enough knowledge about this 9 border region and the evolution of Nogales and Santa 10 Cruz County. 11 12 Nogales, Arizona has grown from 8,000 13 to -- still a small community, 20-some thousand. 14 County-wide, we're in the area of about 40,000 people. 15 16 Nogales, Sonora, as you're aware of, 17 is separated by nothing other than chain link fence, a wall, and that has 3-, 400,000 people. 18 19 We have a major highway in Sonora that 20 connects with a major highway on the Arizona side. 21 That provides for a lot of opportunities, for a lot of good things, and definitely a lot of opportunities 22 for a lot of bad things that happen. 23 So we're very busy here. We're very 24 25 busy in Nogales and Santa Cruz County.

One of the things that obviously we have struggled with and grappled with on our own -- and I have indicated before to a legislative commission out of Arizona -- is that for decades we have dealt with illegal immigration and cross-border crime and all of the issues that spill over from Mexico into this little piece of America here.

We are a small county, we are a poor county. And as a result of that, obviously we have suffered in a lot of ways.

There are opportunities I think that we have missed because we have to deal with illegal immigration. We have to deal with drugs coming across the border. We have to deal with money laundering. We have to deal with a lot of issues.

And being a small county and a small community and a small port, obviously it's overwhelming for us.

Just to you forgive you an example,
1995 was, in my opinion, a peak year for Nogales and
Santa Cruz County. Things were terrible.

And then Ramon Paz -- thank you,

Ramon, for being here -- will recall it was a peak

year for problems in the border. Crime was spilling

over because we had no control at the border. The

fence was full of holes. They would come back in and out. So we had that cross-border problem.

The county jail at that time probably was holding -- 50, 60, 70 percent of the inmates were Mexican nationals. A large majority of them were illegal border crossers. So we were dealing with all of that financially, dealing with all those issues.

Even in the juvenile detention the majority of the juveniles were from Mexico.

You might have read at some time they used to refer to them as tunnel rats, which I thought was very demeaning, but they called them all kinds of names. And these were poor -- poor children had no place to go. We had to deal with that in some way.

But 1995 was a bad year for us because we had a lot of crime. Tourism was really hurt because we had robberies, assaults there by the border, and we had very little control.

At about that time, the Border Patrol contingency here was less than a hundred county wide.

Shortly after that they started beefing up Border Patrol. Now we have roughly about 500, and you might have been given those numbers previously today.

That coupled with the fence being set up, they have set up a more decorative wall. They had land pads over there that are really, really degrading for this community.

But for a long time this community and this county has had to bear the cost of dealing with an international and a federal issue that we just did not have the financial means to do that.

As a result of that, I think the quality of life suffered tremendously in this border community.

Being in law enforcement for 37 years,

I can tell you that we lost countless of qualified

experienced police officers because we couldn't pay

them, because we couldn't give them good benefits

because we couldn't give them good equipment because

we couldn't provide technology, so they'd go

somewhere else.

But for a long time we never complained. We just assumed that that was our role, that we were supposed to do that to protect people.

Well, it got overwhelming and we just couldn't to it anymore and things started changing. So we did start, I think, raising red flags at some point and started getting assistance.

And we're still hurting. That report that I gave to the legislative committee, I think it was in 2001, and I don't think things have changed that much since. We're still having problems.

2.2

The majority of the illegal border crossers that come through here are on their way through the community.

Nogales and Santa Cruz County is one of the safest counties in the state of Arizona. It is the safest border community in the state of Arizona and that is because we have a large contingency of law enforcement officers.

500 Border Patrol, highway patrol, sheriffs, police, Customs, Immigration. Everybody's armed and everybody's got a marked unit and we're all over the place. So that provides for a lot of security in this community.

But we're still a small entity. We're very small. We are poor. And we're dealing with an issue that's of international proportion, we really have a problem dealing with.

SCAP helped us at the very beginning. The first year that we got some funding from the federal government was about \$225,000. It was earmarked for border counties. After that everybody

got to be a border county and -- just like Highland.

Highland was for high intensity drug trafficking

area, and now it's all over the nation. So it

doesn't take long before everybody piggybacks on it.

2.4

So I think one of the ways we can really try to address this issue is to put more resources obviously here at the border, provide more resources to the local agencies that are here.

I know we've got some appropriations in the past from Senator Kyle and the state legislature has also provided some relief for us as well. They've also given us a different taxing formula, taken into account the residents that come in from Mexico. Because they were looking at us as a little a piece of the pie and all the revenues that were coming in from the Mexican consumer were going to the state.

So we're getting a little bit more. I think as a border community we're being recognized more, we're -- the importance and how vital we are as you go into the United States.

And I've always liked to tell people that I think that Nogales should be a show place, you know, should be a showcase for the rest of the state.

When our visitors from Mexico come in here, they should be able to look at Nogales as a clean, safe community, an example of what the rest of the United States should be.

1.8

So we work very hard. But because of the expenses that we have to deal with, illegal immigration -- and I'm talking about the detention, the apprehension, the defense, the adjudication, the medication, everything that happens here, it comes out of the general fund. So we do have some problems.

We spent -- I think one of the last studies, that we spent about \$2 million a year.

About 37 percent of our general fund goes to law and criminal justice, and the national average is about 16 percent.

So you can see that we pay a disproportionate amount to deal with those issues.

But like I indicated to you, these are, in most cases, people that were on their way through.

Right now we do have a problem of course with the vast majority of drugs coming through here, and they are being brought over by illegal border crossers.

We do catch a lot of those and they are in our jail and they're there for the long haul. They can't post bond. They're there till they appear in court and then get adjudicated. That could be months down the line. And then eventually maybe serve time at the county jail.

2.

So we've had numerous cases where we have had to provide medical assistance and treatment to people that require it, major surgeries, sometimes 5, \$60,000.

And in the past, when somebody was really sick from Mexico, they would come over and shoplift so they could be arrested and treated because they couldn't find treatment in their native country.

They'd be deported and they come back again, and they come back and come back until you finally decided that you were going to put them away, and then they would get the treatment that they needed.

So, you know, we have -- and I have a lot of compassion for these people. I do. Being from Mexico, I can understand how difficult it must be for them to try to make a life for themselves. How difficult it must be for them to try to cross

that desert.

2.0

I go to Puerto Penasco, driving in my car with air-conditioning, and I get out there for a minute and I wonder how can these people be walking miles and miles through the desert with nothing. So you have to be desperate in order to do something like that.

I don't have the answer to that. I don't know if anybody does. I think it's a combination of things.

But as a small community, we have been, like I indicated, dealing with this problem for a long time, for decades, and we do need help so that we can improve the quality of life here, so that we can improve the situation that law enforcement is in, so we can expand our forces.

As it is, like I said, if we spend a million dollars on illegal immigration, that's a million dollars that's taken out of the community. So we need to have that money funneled back in somehow.

The Mexican consumer obviously provides a large amount of the funds that we have here, the income, through the sales tax.

And I believe the Mexico consumer is

1 welcome in Tucson and Phoenix and everywhere else. 2 That's why they opened up the 60-mile free zone so 3 that they could have more of them go up there. So this is just something that we deal 4 5 on a daily basis. 6 The coyotes obviously are a major 7 I think they're very despicable people that concern. 8 will use their own people, bring them over, sell them, warehouse them, leave them out in the desert. 9 They don't care. 10 Of course we have accidents, we have 11 deaths that. We have search and rescue missions. 12 Did I run out of time? 13 14 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: I'm sorry, we've 15 gone over. So I think it's time for questions and 16 answers. 17 MS. GARCIA: My name is Isabel Garcia and I'm from Tucson. 18 19 You said that in 1995, that was a year 20 where you had huge problems. Was that a result of 21 the Operation Gatekeeper sealing the ports of the 22 entry in --MR. ESTRADA: That was before that. 23 24 That was before that that we started having that 25 problem.

In 1995, for some reason, it just kicked in big time. Subsequent to that Gatekeeper kicked in and then we started getting more agents here, they started working on the wall as you saw it now.

There were a lot of precautions and measures that were taken that made it a little more secure, especially in the downtown area because that's where we were having the major problems with shoplifting, thefts and things of that nature.

As they did that, as Border Patrol beefed up their units in the downtown area and they put up a fence, it was a little more secure. Well, people had to go further out. And of course then the county got involved. Now we are dealing with people on the rural areas going out there. But we weren't having the numbers of incidents of crime that we had before because the majority were being committed within the city. So that kind of sealed it off a little bit.

But Gatekeeper obviously didn't help the situation because, I mean, they just made people come over through this area.

But this is an area that I think provides opportunities for everybody because it's a

major thoroughfare through here to Tucson and everywhere else.

1.0

1.4

So irregardless, I think we will continue to have a problem no matter what pressure is put on. People will find a way. We have tunnels, as you've heard. Since 1995 we have discovered about 13 drug tunnels here. And before that the tunnel kids were the ones that had access to it. Now the drug dealers are the ones that control the tunnels.

DR. HERNANDEZ: Fernando Hernandez,
California committee.

I don't think I've heard an estimate, and maybe you can tell the committee. We got some estimates that on the Tohono Indian Nation's property, I think the chief there said that about 82,000 people had been apprehended going through there. And that means that there are thousands and thousands of people coming across the border.

Does law enforcement have a handle on what percent of the people you actually catch going across the border?

So if a hundred thousand people go through the border at any given time, what percent of the -- of those people do you actually catch with all this technology, with all the fences, with all the

You

measures and all the law enforcement people you have, 1 2 do you have any estimates of that? I don't think so. I think if anybody 3 has it, maybe Border Patrol, because you will recall 4 that that is not our function. That is not our 5 function. So we don't keep records of who's here 6 legal or illegal. 8 The only records we keep are the people that we arrest and that land in jail. And the 9 percentage, as I indicated, at one time it was 60, 70 10 percent. Right now we're running 20, 30 percent 11 Mexican nationals. 12 DR. HÉRNANDEZ: 13 Just a quick 14 follow-up. You've been around here a long time. got a gut on what percent you might -- of the people 15 that come across, just your gut feeling as a cop all 16 17 these years and dealing with this situation? MR. ESTRADA: You know, I wouldn't 18 know because things have changed tremendously. 19 20 Before, I would like to think that there were a lot more people going through because 21 22 there were less attention being paid to the problem. I think now that probably there are 23 less people going through, more people getting 24

caught, but I think that because of the numbers, the

25

numbers are still high.

2.0

I don't know what percentage that would be. But definitely, it's -- if they want to come through this part of the area, they will.

DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes.

MR. AGUSTIN: Stan Agustin, New Mexico chair from Albuquerque.

Just listening to the testimony this morning from the different agencies, Indian tribes, and also from representatives from Mexico, you know, coming from Albuquerque, we read about it in the paper, but I had no idea the intensity and the huge amount of time and personnel that you devote to this problem. So we I commend you for the work that you guys have done.

Some of the speakers this morning have given us some recommendations that they felt would alleviate some of the problems that we are facing with illegal immigrants and some of the things that they've had to face in trying to cross.

What would be your recommendation, if you could make a recommendation to the committee, that we could look at in alleviating the travel?

MR. ESTRADA: Well, my feeling has always been that the only way that this is going to

be solved or addressed is that somehow in Mexico the economic situation improves, and that the United

States, as a friendly neighbor, do everything that

they possibly can.

I had recommended foreign aid and things of that nature, and they told me Mexico won't accept foreign aid. And I said I can't believe a Mexican that won't take somebody's money. But that's what they told me.

I think there has to be some work done to improve the situation over there. Because if it doesn't, it will continue to happen.

If it means providing opportunities for people from Mexico to help out the United States in the work force, wherever the areas there are needed, to be able to accommodate those areas and be able to kind of help each other in one way or the other, facilitate that, I guess. The guest worker program is one concept, and I think that's been looked at.

But something has to happen where Mexico's situation has to improve. Otherwise, we're going to still have the same problem if we're not doing anything here to deal with it right now.

Obviously we're -- we need to secure

the border as best we can. Because I think 1 especially for us, it's a great drain on our 2 3 resources. JUDGE ALSTON: I have a very brief 4 practical question. Mr. Lopez this morning used the 5 term "rescue beacon." I don't know what a rescue 6 7 beacon is. Can you explain that to me? 8 MR. ESTRADA: Who mentioned that? 9 JUDGE ALSTON: Lopez. DR. HERNANDEZ: From Border Patrol. 10 11 MR. ESTRADA: No idea. I'm just assuming that it's some kind of a signal that's out 12 13 there to alert or -- are you familiar with --14 MS. GARCIA: They're a tower with --15 you know. 16 MR. ESTRADA: It's a Border Patrol 17 resource I'm assuming, yeah. MR. PAZ: Sheriff Estrada, you 1.8 19 probably heard about what happens in Cochise County with vigilantes and people taking the matters on 20 21 their own hands. Do you face similar situations, particularly the Sonoita area, Patagonia area or any 22 23 other area that you have to deal with people playing 24 cops? 25 MR. ESTRADA: You know, we've been

very lucky, Mr. Paz. At one time those groups kind of raised their ugly head here. But fortunately, the people from this community said we don't want you here, and it didn't go well with them.

They were here for a little while.

They made once seizure of marijuana and they gave it

to the press and they made a big deal out of it.

And we actually said hey, you can't be transporting those drugs. They picked them up and transported them. So, you know, that kind of put them on notice.

They left. They left. And as far as I know, they haven't been back.

And I think it's attributed to the community and the residents of Santa Cruz County.

We understand the problem. I think we're compassionate about the situation. We do have problems with it. The rural areas do have problems with trespassers, things of that nature.

But we haven't gotten to that stage where they come up, you know, put up their arms up and decide we're going to stop these people or we're going to hurt these people, we're going to kidnap them. It hasn't gotten to that stage.

I think they've had the faith and the

confidence in law enforcement to be able to deal with those issues. So we've been fortunate.

But vigilante are a major -- obviously a major concern to everybody.

And I know Cochise has been the worst county for that. Maybe it's the water up there, I don't know.

MS. GARCIA: Isabel Garcia from
Tucson. I know that we don't have anybody testifying
here from the City of Nogales or the businesses of
Nogales, so you're it. And I know you're from law
enforcement perspective.

But I would like to know from you what the impact of this new border buildup has been to the economy. The building of walls and the imposition of stricter requirements to come in, what has been the impact to Nogales, Arizona?

MR. ESTRADA: Well, I think, as you talk to the merchants, obviously they like everybody that can purchase to come over and make it as easy as possible. But I think they -- they've come to the realization that that's not practical and that that's not going to happen.

But it has had an impact. But at the same time, like I indicated to you, the populations

on both sides of the border, and especially in Sonora, they have more consumers coming over. So I think the merchants here are not really hurting.

One of the things that has happened and evolved in this community is that you used to have a particular family or a group of families that would have kind of the business control downtown.

And of course that changed as Wal-Mart and some of these stores, and people going to Tucson has changed.

So the downtown area has taken a new look. Now you have Korean stores down there catering to another group of consumers from across the line, from the maguiladoras.

So they have these consumers coming over that obviously provide enough purchase power in the downtown area to keep those shops open, and then everybody else goes to Wal-Mart or Tucson or whatever.

So I think everybody's getting their share of the consumer coming across the border.

I know that before they wouldn't necessarily come through the border, they'd come through the hole and through the fence and nobody would bother them, you know. They'd come in shopping

and then go back.

But after a while there got to be a point where they weren't all coming over to shop, and it got to be a problem.

MR. McKENZIE: James McKenzie from Tucson.

I've got a question about Initiative
200. Do you see any sort of impact on your
department, and if so, to what degree?

MR. ESTRADA: I think, you know, in being involved for a long time in the domestic violence task force for years and having, I think, a pretty good handle on that, one of the things that I see with that proposition, that it's going to set up another barrier for victims.

Women will be afraid to report it to the police. Women will be afraid to go to the hospital if they've been hurt if they are here illegally. Because all of a sudden they're going to have to think about the fact that somebody is going to report them and that somehow they're going to be deported, and they will not risk that.

So as a result of that, probably the cycle of violence will continue and we will have more victims.

I think that that is probably one of 1 the areas that I think will be very harmful, that the 2 victims will refrain from coming forward and 3 reporting to the authorities because of the fear. 4 5 One of the things that I think is 6 available right now is that they may not have that 7 fear, that they know that they can call the police and that they will be helped without us asking who 8 are you and where are you from. We just want to know 9 are you a victim and what are you a victim of can we 10 help you. 11 1.2 Maybe Proposition 200 will change that. And if it does set up that barrier, that wall, 13 I think we will continue to see more domestic 14 violence. 15 16 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes. 17 JUDGE ALSTON: Gilbert Alston. You used a term, "maquiladoras"? 18 Му Spanish is not that good --19 20 MR. ESTRADA: That is the twin plant concept. 21 22 Let me tell you what -- and it's a 23 good point that you brought up -- because Nogales, Sonora in the '60s, late '50s and '60s, was probably 24

about 40,000 people.

25

The maquila industry kicked in about '65 or so, give or take, about 30, 40 years ago.

With the maquila industry, it's a twin plan concept. They take the raw products into Mexico and they put them together and then they ship them back at a very economical price for labor.

So these plants started setting up across the line and you started getting more and more people coming in, from 40,000 to 400,000 people.

In other words, what it did is it provided opportunities for people and jobs, so that the needy people in the interior of Mexico would come to the border to find those jobs.

So they were looking for jobs. That's all they wanted. They wanted jobs, and they found them.

Well, a few years back the maquila industry started suffering some major blows. They started losing people. They lost 20-, 30-, 40,000 workers or more. And these are people that support two or three or four people.

So all of a sudden you have people that are displaced in this community. Then what do they do? They either stay here, they do something illegal, they become coyotes, they transport drugs.

You know, it gets to be a real vicious circle. 1 2 But the maquiladoras industry is still 3 in Nogales, Sonora, it's still healthy, but there's 4 still a lot of these jobs that are going overseas. But that happened about 30, 40 years 5 6 ago. And that's what increased the 8 population in Nogales, Sonora, from about 40-, 50,000 to 300- or 400,000 people. And they haven't been 9 able to keep infrastructure and services is still 10 something that they have not been able to catch up. 11 You see shanty towns, cardboard homes 12 up in the hills, you know. It's a whole new 13 14 different world all right. 15 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Okay. I want to 16 thank you very much for coming and sharing the 17 information with us today. And if you have anything that you 18 could provide our regional office that you brought 19 20 with you, that would be appreciated too. 21 MR. ESTRADA: Thank you very much. 22 And I wanted to give you a cartoon 23 that I got from the Daily Star, and I think it 24 expresses both sides' views. So maybe you can share 25 with your thoughts with me on it.

1 MR. DULLES: Madam Chair, can I ask 2 one question? DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: 3 Yes. MR. DULLES: John Dulles, regional 4 5 director in Denver. 6 Are you familiar with the Clear Act, Sheriff? 7 MR. ESTRADA: 8 Yes. The Clear Act was 9 MR. DULLES: 10 proposed legislation in Congress which would essentially require all local law enforcement 11 agencies to be directly involved in the enforcement 12 of immigration law, regardless of training or 13 14 funding, and there would even be penalties for those agencies that did not participate, as I understand 15 it. 16 17 Just very quickly, do you support that 18 proposed legislation and could you explain your 19 reason for opposing it or supporting it? 2.0 MR. ESTRADA: Definitely I am against 21 it and I would not support it. 2.2 One thing I will support is all other 23 agencies in protecting and providing public safety 24 for whoever it may be. 25 But no, we don't have the resources to

deal with immigration issues, so I definitely would 1 not want my people to get involved in that. I think 2 we have enough work to do already. 3 I think it would be similar to 4 Proposition 200. That again, you would have people 5 6 that would be afraid to come to me. I'm their friend, they would be afraid to come to me because they would feel that I would have to report them, and 8 I think that's something I would not like to see. 9 10 MR. DULLES: Thank you very much, Sheriff. 11 MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz. 12 13 Have you had conversations with the 14 chief of police regarding that issue? He failed to 15 come in today. MR. ESTRADA: I think he feels the 16 17 same way. I can't speak for him, but I think we all are on the same position on that with regard to not 18 having the resources to be able to do that, and it 19 really presents a very difficult situation for us if 20 21 we were to start doing that. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you very 2.2 much. 23 24 MR. ESTRADA: Thank you. Good luck. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: We've been allowing 25

1 eight minutes for testimony and then seven minutes for panel discussion. However, you are the last of 2 the day, so maybe if we have further questions, we'll 3 have time for that. 4 So the first thing is if you could 5 6 state your name for the record. MS. NOONAN: I'm Deborah Noonan. MS. BERNINI-GALUP: And my name is 8 Brook Bernini-Galup. 9 10 MS. NOONAN: And we are both delegation organizers with BorderLinks in Tucson. 11 Good afternoon. 12 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Good afternoon. 13 14 MS. NOONAN: BorderLinks is a 15 bi-national nonprofit dedicated to building 16 relationships and understanding between North and 17 Latin Americans and encouraging a shared analysis of the implications of the global economy. 18 19 We facilitate experiential educational 20 delegations to the border with the goal of exposing 21 groups to the issues present in the borderlands. 2.2 Our trips include talks with Nogales, 23 Sonora city officials, community and religious leaders, residents in their homes and visits to 24 25 foreign-owned assembly plants.

We also often visit migrant kitchens and shelters in Agua Prieta and Nogales, Sonora to speak with migrants who have often been recently returned or deported from the U.S.

1.3

2.2

Another component of our trips is time spent at CCAMYN, a Catholic community center offering aid to migrants in Altar, Sonora.

In the past few years, Altar has become part of the most frequented migratory corridor into the U.S. and is considered to be the converging point for migrants coming from farther south looking to cross into the United States.

In our time at CCAMYN, we have had the opportunity to speak with migrants from Mexico and Central America who are either making plans to cross for the first time or who have been returned or deported and are looking to cross the border again.

MS. BERNINI-GALUP: During our visits to these shelters, migrants often speak of both the push and pull factors influencing migration across the U.S.-Mexico border.

Conditions in Mexico, especially rural central and southern Mexico, have made it impossible for many families to live off the land.

In the 1980s, World Bank and

International Monetary Fund Structural Adjustment programs were implemented in Mexico that cut government subsidies on staple foods and social service spending.

This increased the cost of public education to families and lowered the quality of education and health care.

The 1994 passage of NAFTA pushed many small Mexican business owners out of the Mexican market, opening it up to large foreign corporations providing jobs that don't pay livable wages and that don't pay taxes to support local economies.

It also allowed subsidized U.S. products, such as corn, to flood the Mexican market, making it impossible for small Mexican farmers to compete.

Much of the land once farmed by small farmers has been bought by agro businesses which offer jobs at unlivable wages.

Wages earned in northern Mexican border cities like Nogales are higher than in other parts of Mexico, but the cost of living is also significantly higher.

The highest wages are offered by foreign-owned assembly plants called maquiladoras,

but few people are able to survive on the wages they are paid.

2.4

Maquiladoras pay minimal local taxes and the local governments have been unable to provide the necessary infrastructure to support the rapid population growth.

Another inhibiting factor is corruption within local governments that often do not have the interests of their citizens in mind.

These are some of the reasons both urban Mexican workers and poor farmers feel that in order to support their families, they must seek work in the U.S.

The pull factors are equally strong, the jobs exist and much of the U.S. economy is based on the work of undocumented workers throughout the country.

A recurring theme in many of our talks with migrants is that they do not want to stay permanently in the United States. They want to work for a few years in order to put their children through school, save to start their open business or build their open house and then return to their place of origin.

When asked, many of them feel that

risking death crossing through the desert is better than watching their children starve to death at home because of their inability to feed them.

Over the past 10 years, BorderLinks has observed a growing culture of violence on both sides of the border.

The closing of traditional migration corridors like San Diego, El Paso, and Nogales through operations Gatekeeper, Hold the Line, and Safeguard, have pushed migrants into dangerous areas of the border, such as the deserts and mountains of Arizona.

There is a parallel relationship between U.S. spending on border enforcement and the size and sophistication of drug and people-smuggling organizations.

The fortification of urban areas along the border, and the resulting redirection of migration into the most remote and treacherous areas of the border, have made people smuggling more difficult and thus increased the price of the service.

Whereas several years ago the cost of smuggling between the border and Phoenix was several hundred dollars, it is now around \$1500.

The remoteness of the areas where migrants are crossing makes them very vulnerable to bandits. Women are especially vulnerable to abuse and rape by bandits and smugglers.

2.0

We have heard cases of groups of migrants being kidnapped away from their smugglers by other smugglers and held for ransom until their families sent money.

With the increase in the value per person of smuggling, there seems to be a decrease in the value of human life, contributing to the 2,000-plus deaths that have occurred on this border since 1996.

When a profit can be made in assisting the remaining members of a group in reaching their destination, one weak member becomes expendable.

In conversations with migrants in Nogales, Altar and Agua Prieta, Sonora, many share with us their experiences of being detained by the Border Patrol.

These experiences vary widely, from humane treatment, to physical and verbal abuse.

We've heard many accounts of migrants being held in a detention center for eight hours and only being fed some crackers and a little water, or

of being refused food and drink altogether.

2.0

One group of migrants said that when they asked for food and water, they were told that they had been without water in the desert and that one more day without food was not going to hurt them.

We recently met a young woman from southern Mexico who was being held as a witness in a smuggling case. She was held in the Border Patrol detention center for over two days without being given any food. She spent the two days in a cell containing only chairs.

CCAMYN has documented a case of a man who was not fed in the first 24 hours of detention and was subsequently held for 24 days without knowledge of the reason for his detention.

They have documented many cases where detainees were not allowed access to a lawyer or someone who could explain their rights.

CCAMYN has also documented that while migrants were being transported in Border Patrol vehicles, the officers alternated between turning the air from extremely cold to extremely hot.

One group of migrants told us that they went from shivering to feeling that they were

almost suffocating.

Even after banging on the window separating them from the officers, they received no response.

Others say that the temperatures in the detention centers are also kept so low that the migrants feel very cold.

We have spoken with recently deported migrants in Nogales, Sonora, whose money and all documents, including their Mexican identification card, were confiscated by border officials. This makes it very difficult for them to find work when they arrive back in Mexico.

In February at CCAMYN in Altar we spoke with a group of migrants who had been hit on the head with flashlights until they bled from the ears as they were being put into Border Patrol vehicles. They were also verbally abused.

CCAMYN has also documented an increase in the use of firearms by Border Patrol agents, for example, holding migrants at gunpoint.

Current border enforcement policies criminalize men and women who are seeking to come to the United States to work, and this is reflected in the treatment they receive.

Up until May we regularly took groups of participants to meet with the public information officers at the Border Patrol sector offices in Tucson and Nogales.

These visits were an important part of our educational program, allowing the group participants to see Border Patrol facilities and learn about border enforcement from the government's perspective. As of May, we are no longer allowed to schedule visits.

As a public institution, the Department of Homeland Security has a responsibility to be accessible to U.S. citizens.

From our experiences hearing the stories of migrants, there is also a need for a transparent and accountable mechanism to ensure that the human rights of both migrants and border residents are protected.

MS. NOONAN: The crossing of men, women and children through the deserts and mountains of the Sonora, Arizona border and the resulting deaths will not stop until there are legal immigration and migration options as well as opportunities for sustainable work in Mexico.

The North American Free Trade

Agreement, or NAFTA, needs to be reworked so that the people of Mexico would benefit.

Clauses protecting small Mexican businesses as well as farmers should be inserted.

2.1

Based on the model of the European Union, the U.S. and Canada could invest money and resources into Mexico to help raise the standard of living and create an infrastructure so that Mexico could be an equal trading partner with the U.S. and Canada.

Wages in Mexico, as well as in other parts of Latin America, need to be raised to the level where families can support themselves.

The Central American Free Trade

Agreement, CAFTA, is currently waiting for approval

by Congress.

Without protection for small business owners and farmers, it can only be expected that the implementation of CAFTA, just like NAFTA, would only increase poverty in Central America and the migration of Central Americans to the United States in greater numbers.

The reevaluation of trade policies with Latin America needs to be a central component of the government's solution to preventing deaths on the

border.

2.4

Immigration reform must address the status of workers currently living in the United States and provide opportunities for permanent residence and eventual citizenship for workers and their families.

New laws should also provide opportunities to legalize the status of workers who only want to work in the United States temporarily.

Many migrants we encounter say that they only want to work in the United States for a year or two and send money to their families, but they might end up staying longer because of the difficulty, danger and cost of crossing the border without documents.

Family reunification should be an important part of immigration reform, allowing families to immigrate and migrate together.

Both workers seeking permanent residence, citizenship and temporary work permits should have the right to travel between the United States and their country of origin in order to maintain ties to their families.

Under any immigration reform, workers' rights to change jobs, organize, and make complaints

1 against their employers must be protected. 2 If efforts are going to be made to 3 stop deaths in the desert, a comprehensive reform of both trade and immigration policies is needed. 4 However, in the short-term, an 5 6 independent counsel is needed to investigate claims of abuse by Department of Homeland Security 8 officials. Human rights for citizens, residents 9 and undocumented persons on the border should be the 10 priority of both local and federal governments. 11 12 We are also submitting a copy of the 13 report for public record and have also attached a document that we referenced from the human rights 14 15 office at CCAMYN, which is the community center of 16 attention to migrants and those in need in Altar, 17 Sonora, and that's found at the back of the packet. 18 Thank you. 19 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Thank you. It's 20 open to questions. 21 Paul? 22 MR. GATTONE: Paul Gattone from 23 Tucson. 24 A couple of questions. Do you have 25 other documentation of abuse that you could provide

to this committee? 1 2 I know that there's some compelling stories inside. Any other compilations of abuse 3 reports that you would feel comfortable in submitting 4 to the committee? 5 6 MS. NOONAN: Unfortunately, the 7 purpose of our organization is not to document cases of abuse, so this is -- I mean, these are all cases 9 that we've heard and experience with the groups, but we don't have further documentation of them. 10 11 MR. GATTONE: My other question is as 12 you hear from people you come in contact with, have 13 you ever had any reports about the use of the pepper 14 balls, the paint balls filled with some sort of 15 pepper spray? 16 No, I haven't heard of MS. NOONAN: 17 that to this point. 18 MR. GATTONE: Okay. Thank you. 19 DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Yes. 20 DR. HERNANDEZ: Fernando Hernandez, California committee. 21 22 You were talking about the need for an 23 a more humane and comprehensive approach to 24 legislation and new laws. 25 Are there any -- is there any pending

)	1	legislation that has been introduced that you see as
	2	a model, or do you have any models out there that you
	3	might reference or help us with and that we might be
	4	able to introduce into the record?
	5	MS. BERNINI-GALUP: Again, our the
	6	function of our organization is not to be dealing
	7	with legislation, an it's educational organization.
	8	But from personal experience, what I am aware of the
	9	SOLVE Act, that seems to be a step in the right
	10	direction.
	11	DR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
	12	DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you repeat
)	13	that?
	14	MS. BERNINI-GALUP: The SOLVE Act.
	15	DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: The SOLVE Act?
	16	MS. BERNINI-GALUP: Yes.
	17	DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Could you spell
	18	that?
	19	MS. GARCIA: If I could, it's an
	20	acronym.
	21	Isabel Garcia from Tucson.
	22	The SOLVE Act that was referenced also
	23	by Congressman Grijalva's aide today is a legislative
	24	proposal put forward basically by democrats with
)	25	large support, but it stands for the safe and orderly

1 legal visa enforcement. Safe and orderly legal visa 2 enforcement. And I have a question. I understand 3 4 you don't document abuse and all, but do you know who to file complaints with if you had a complaint here 5 6 in the United States? You know, an undocumented migrant that has a case of abuse. MS. BERNINI-GALUP: Here in the United 8 9 States? 10 MS. GARCIA: Here in the U.S. 11 MS. BERNINI-GALUP: We're aware of organizations in Tucson such as the Coalicion de 12 13 Derechos Humanos. 14 MS. GARCIA: But I'm talking about 15 qovernmental officials. Have you been made aware of 16 any --17 MS. NOONAN: We recently became aware 18 that there is an office in Washington DC that 19 complaints can be made to, and hope that they'll also 20 be able to accept complaints from organizations we work with, such as CCAMYN in Mexico as well. 21 22 MS. GARCIA: But since INS came under 23 the Department of Homeland Security, have you as an 24 organization, do you know, ever been advised by the INS this is a place to lodge complaints? 25

MS. NOONAN: 1 No. 2 MS. GARCIA: Okay. 3 MR. PAZ: Ramon Paz, Tucson. I'm impressed with what you say. 4 5 if you would have been here earlier and heard the Border Patrol chief talk -- this makes sense. 6 For some reason I can't connect both of them. 8 I see the Border Patrol today as a humanitarian group. 9 MR. GATTONE: Or at least that was the 10 11 gist of his presentation. MR. PAZ: You have so much valuable 12 information, but not to have a system to put it into, 13 14 something that will create what you would like, is that policy, sort of spins around. 15 16 And along the line we hear stories, I 17 could tell you stories myself. But without having a 18 systemic way of putting them down and presenting them 19 so that they can be used, what's the use? 20 MS. NOONAN: We are an educational 21 institution so that -- I mean, all these experiences 22 I have experienced along with 15 to 20 people from universities and other institutions, churches, 23 throughout the United States, and in Canada as well. 24 So, I mean, our goal as an institution 25

is to educate people to what the reality is on the 1 border so that they can also go back to their 2 communities and advocate for change. 3 MR. PAZ: But the education of 4 politicians is supposedly based on data. And that's 5 6 what we're missing sometimes. Border Patrol tell me, oh, we took 7 care of that, oh, we have no record. 8 9 I just think it's so valuable what you have to offer, I just wish we had more ways to act on 10 it. 11 12 MS. NOONAN: Thank you. DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And I would second 13 what Ramon just said. That's true. 14 15 MR. McKENZIE: James McKenzie from 16 Tucson. Initiative 200, I take it you're 17 18 familiar with that? MS. NOONAN: Uh-huh. 19 20 How do you see that MR. McKENZIE: 21 impacting the -- how do you see that impacting the people you're dealing with, maybe your organization? 22 Or some of the pros and cons I guess would be a 23 better way of asking the question? 24 25 MS. BERNINI-GALUP: Our trips take on

two different facets. We do a lot of work in Nogales, Sonora with residents who are there for the long term. So Proposition 200 would not affect them in any direct way.

With the people, the migrants that we speak with, if they do end up staying in Arizona, which many of them do not, I think it would most definitely have a detrimental effect. And also on all of the residents that are currently living in Arizona and U.S. citizens living in Arizona in terms of increased bureaucracy and governmental spending here in the state of Arizona.

MR. McKENZIE: Thank you.

JUDGE ALSTON: Gilbert Alston from the Southern California advisory committee.

We've heard a lot here today about sexual harassment of female undocumented workers.

Have you ever heard of a case of sanctions for that by the employer? And if so, what are they?

MS. NOONAN: The only case I've interacted with was long before I even started working for BorderLinks, so I could only respond from my personal experiences.

But I remember hearing of a case in Texas where a woman was held against her will by an

```
employer. And it was informal employment. And I'm
1
     not sure what the eventually outcome of that was.
2.
 3
     But she was held for several days and finally was
 4
     able to escape.
 5
                    And he did threaten her with reporting
 6
     her to the authorities if she tried to say anything
 7
     against him.
                    But she had made connections with a
 8
 9
     legal advocacy center for migrants and refugees in
10
     southern Texas and they were trying to help her with
11
     that case.
12
                    But I haven't heard of anything just
     in my work here in southern Arizona yet, to this
13
    point.
14
15
                    JUDGE ALSTON:
                                   Your co-worker there?
16
                    MS. BERNINI-GALUP:
                                         I have not heard
17
     of any cases.
18
                    JUDGE ALSTON:
                                    Thank you.
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: Well, it looks like
19
20
     that's it.
                 And I want to thank you for coming.
21
                    And you gave a copy of your statement
     to our regional staff, to Art?
22
                    MS. NOONAN:
23
                                 Yes.
24
                    DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: So I guess that's
25
     it.
```

```
MS. NOONAN: Thank you very much.
 1
 2
                     MS. BERNINI-GALUP: Thank you for this
 3
     opportunity.
                     DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: And we will suspend
 4
     our fact-finding until tomorrow morning.
 5
                     MS. GARCIA: What happened to the
 6
     mayor?
 7
 8
                     DR. WEBB-VIGNERY: He didn't show up.
 9
                     (Hearing recessed at 4:21 p.m., August
     27, 2004.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

7th

1 STATE OF ARIZONA 2 SS COUNTY OF COCHISE) 3 4 5 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was 6 taken before me, DOUGLAS G. KIRKPATRICK, RPR, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of 7 Arizona, Certificate Number 50705; that the 8 9 proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and 10 thereafter reduced to typewritten form under my direction; that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 12 and accurate transcript of all proceedings had upon the taking of said hearing, all done to the best of 13 14 my ability. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the parties or 16 17 attorneys herein, nor otherwise interested in the result of the within action. 18 19 DATED at Sierra Vista, Arizona, this 20 day of September, 2004. 21 22 DOUGLAS KIRKPATRICK, RPR 23 Certified Court Reporter Certificate Number 50705 24

25