+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY,

JANUARY 7, 2005

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 9:30 a.m. in Room 540 of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 645 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., Chairman Gerald A. Reynolds presiding.

#### PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairman

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chair

JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner

ELSIE M. MEEKS, Commissioner

ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Commissioner

KENNETH L. MARCUS, Staff Director

#### STAFF PRESENT:

TERRI DICKERSON, Assistant Staff Director

MANUEL ALBA

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
MASHINICTON D.C. 20005-3701

しろしろし シスヤーヤイズス

www.nealmmee.com

### STAFF PRESENT (Continued):

ROGER G. ANTHONY, Information Technology

Specialist

JOHN BLAKELEY

TERESA BROOKS

MARGARET BUTLER

DEBRA CARR, Esq., General Counsel

IVY L. DAVIS, Director, Eastern Regional Office

BARBARA DELAVIEZ

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, Administrative

Services and Clearinghouse Division

BARBARA FONTANA

LATRICE FOSHEE

DORELLE GRAY

GEORGE M. HARBISON, Chief, Budget and Finance
Division

SETH JAFFE

SOCK-FOON MacDOUGALL

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, Human Resources

Division/Director for Management, Office

of Management, via teleconference

JANICE MINOR

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor/Parliamentarian

JENNY PARK

BERNARD QUARTERMAN

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

### STAFF PRESENT (Continued):

MICHELLE ROYSTER

DEBBIE VAGINS

AUDREY WRIGHT

TIFFANY WRIGHT

MIREILLE ZIESENISS

## COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

PATRICK DUFFY

CHRISTOPHER JENNINGS

LISA NEUDER

KIMBERLY SCHULD

MELISSA SHARP

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

usus noolmmee com

### CONTENTS

11

	PAGE
Introductions	5
Martin Luther King Day Announcement	19
Approval of Agenda	25
Approval of Minutes	25
Staff Director's Report	26
Administrative Policies and Procedures	48
Establishment of a Working Group on Reform	89
Staff Progress Reports	98
Future Agenda Items	163
Adjourn	163

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

(9:35 a.m.)

_
7
_

#### INTRODUCTIONS

4

 $\parallel$ 

5

6

7

8

•

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Welcome. I would like to call this meeting to order. We have a quorum.

My name is Gerry Reynolds. I am the new Chairman of the Commission on Civil Rights.

And the first order of business I would like to announce for the record that on December 6th, 7th, and 8th, Assistant Staff Director Dickerson conducted a poll vote of the Commissioners, and the purpose was to obtain concurrence of the President's designation of me as Chairman, and Chairman Thernstrom as Vice Chair, and Kenneth Marcus as Staff Director.

Ms. Dickerson certified that the motion to concur with the President's appointments were approved by a vote of six in favor and two abstentions.

Having complied with the statutory requirements of concurrence, I have assumed my duties.

The Chairman and Vice Chair assumed their duties on December 8th, and the new Staff Director assumed his duties on December 13th.

Okay. I guess I'd like to start off by introducing myself. By day I am an energy lawyer. I work for a firm in kansas City, and I have two

children, and my wife Renee is quite supportive of all my endeavors, including this one.

I have served in the Bush Administration initially as the Assistant Secretary of Education for the Office for Civil Rights. After that stint, I served as the Deputy Associate Attorney General at the Department of Justice.

Now, I'm going to briefly talk about my views on civil rights. This is a new Commission, a reconstituted Commission I should say, and we have a new Chairman and Vice Chair, and I think it's important that we start off by briefly talking about our views on civil rights.

First off, my view of civil rights begins with the notion that it has always been about expanding freedom. Initially the struggle was to end slavery, and at another stage the struggle was to dismantle a racial caste system that existed in this country for most of its history.

The goal is the same. The goal is to expand and maintain freedom for all Americans. A part of that struggle involves the state, the federal, state, and local governments, insuring that individuals and companies do not distribute benefits and burdens on the basis of race.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

But that struggle is not limited to the states. There are also areas where we have to struggle, in areas of education, crime, and there are just a whole host of areas, and I think that the time has come for the nation and this Commission to expand the conversation.

Yes, we must continue to monitor discriminatory activities and to make sure that we take all steps to make victims whole and to penalize individuals who decide to discriminate on the basis of race. But we also need to look at cases where the government must play a role, but not a primary role.

I'm thinking about issues like education. Pardon me. Crime. We have a situation where the government does a fairly good job at putting people in jail, but I think that we need to do better. I think that we need to try to figure out how we can prevent young men from committing crime, especially in our urban communities.

We have far too many young black men wasting their lives away in prison. We need to see who in the community is best suited to reach these young men and to develop a relationship so that they can avoid a life -- we need to allow them to have a life. We need to encourage these young men to explore

the freedoms that the Civil Right's Movement has wrought.

I believe that the government has a role to play in that particular conversation, but I think that it's extremely important that we recognize that that conversation has to include other people. We have to involve members of the community, such as churches, community organizations, and parents. Until we do that, many of the social ills that we see in especially our urban communities, they will continue.

So that is one of the goals that I want to do to expand the conversation, to expand the notion of civil rights.

Also, I have as a goal to create an environment where we can discuss issues that intersect with race, ethnicity, sex, disability. I think that it's extremely important that we discuss these issues in an atmosphere of trust. We need to assume that the person sitting across the table from us who disagrees with our position is a good man or woman who merely has a different point of view.

There are far too many Americans, both black and white, who shy away from certain discussions when it involves race. I think that's a mistake. No one organization, not this one, not any single

1 organization in the country can represent the diverse 2 viewpoints of America. So it's important that we 3 adopt an approach that embraces all views. 4 We talk about diversity, but we're going to have to make this concept of diversity real in 5 6 terms of a willingness to exchange ideas in a frank 7 and open fashion. I believe that we have failed to do 8 that. 9 Well, I've taken up more than my allotted 10 I guess that's my prerogative as the Chairman, time. 11 but at this point though, I would like to introduce 12 Vice Chair Abigail Thernstrom. Abby, would you say a 13 few words for us? 14 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Absolutely. 15 Well, obviously I've been here for a while, and so in 16 a way people know me, but actually I never had a 17 chance to introduce myself, and I will say a couple of 18 I want to talk a little bit about what my 19 vision is as well, but I want to say a couple of words 20 about who I am. 21 22

A political scientist by training, author of a book on minority voting rights called Whose Votes Count that's now a little out of date, 1987. Coauthor of America in Black and White, One Nation Indivisible, as well as the more recent volume called

umm nasimmee mi

23

24

No Excuses: Closing the Racial Gap in Learning, and editor of a collection of essays called <u>Reyond the</u> Color Line.

I have been writing exclusively on issues of race and ethnicity since about 1980. People often ask me why, and the answer seems to me very simple. Race is still the American dilemma. Racial equality is America's great unfinished business. It remains our primary domestic issue, and I am delighted for that reason to have become a member of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

I've been on the Commission now for four years. This month marks my four-year anniversary. This has been, as everyone knows, an acrimonious time. There's been a lot of warfare on this Commission. I think it has been needless. There's no reason why the atmosphere should be so charged.

We all are devoted to the same goal, and that is of racial equality, gender equality, and racial-ethnic-gender equality in this country. There are differences, of course. There will inevitably be some differences. There will be differences amongst the members of the majority. There will be differences that split along partisan lines, as there have been in the past.

And, you know, I was looking in just the last few days in a recent Rasmussen poll that gives a little hint of kind of the origin of some of the differences at least on the Commission in the past. There were three interesting questions asked.

One, is American society generally fair and decent? And Bush voters, 83 percent said yes and Kerry voters, 46 percent yes. There is, you know, kind of a real difference in perception of this country there that I think kicks into some of our disagreements around the table.

Another question, are most Americans racists? Bush voters, 13 percent agree; Kerry voters, 30 percent agree. Still a minority in both camps. I mean, it wasn't that long ago that the answer should have been yes, not many decades ago, in my lifetime obviously since I've gotten very old.

And the third question, would the world be a better place if other countries would become more like the United States? Bush voters, 83 percent; Kerry voters, 41 percent.

Anyway, I went through that simply to say that the partisan divides do reflect some underlying values, vision of this country that differs a bit, but there is no reason why there can't be a great deal of

mutual respect around the table for the differences we do have, and I hope on many issues we will come together. I want, as we have not had in the past, civility, and mutual respect, and I cannot think of anybody who has opposed me, anybody serious who has opposed me, on substantive issues from whom I have not learned, and that includes the members of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights with whom I disagreed. I begged Christopher Edley to stay with us

until the end of his term, and I do hope and I'm delighted to see Elsie Meeks here today. I do hope that the Democrats will be appointing somebody as articulate and knowledgeable as Christopher Edley to replace him, and hope that that will happen soon.

And on every issue, I want all voices to be heard. I do not want any more suppression of dissenting voices. I think on every report we issue there should be a chance for those who disagree to write a dissent, and that dissent will go up on the Web site and will be forthrightly acknowledged, and I hope that we will have the time before reports are issued.

I know we will have the time, but we'll make it before reports are issued, to circulate them,

### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINETON DC 20005.3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to exchange views, to modify our own what we have to say in light of those who disagree with us.

Gerry talked a little bit about his vision of the Commission. I want to say just finally some of the issues, a couple of words about how I see the job of the Commission.

It seems to me and it has always been part our mandate, that the most useful thing the Commission can do going forward is with whatever issue we pick, whether it's set-asides or profiling or, you know, education, you name it, to ask ourselves what does the evidentiary landscape out there look like. What are the main That is, what do scholars know? What are the main questions not questions asked? asked? How are the answers framed? What's the source of disagreement amongst those who are scholarly and non-scholarly, who are, you know, seriously writing on such issues so that those who look to the Commission for information can say, well, I can look at the Commission Web site and get the outlines of intelligent outline of what the main arguments are, what the main questions are, where the different voices stand on the issues, what evidence they use to support their findings, and, by the way, therefore, be in a position to judge the quality of that evidence,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 and so forth. 2 are lots of groups across There American landscape that are functioning as watchdogs. 3 4 There is nobody who is doing what I'm suggesting, and 5 I think it would be a real service to the nation, and it's one that the Commission hasn't performed, in my 6 7 view, up till now. 8 So thank you for listening, and that's it. 9 Thank you, CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 10 Abby. 11 Next I'd like to introduce Ashley Taylor 12 as our newest commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you, and good 14 morning to everyone. 15 Again, my name is Ashley Taylor, and I'm a 16 partner with the law firm of Troutman, Sanders, and 17 I'm a practicing lawyer, a civil litigator with a 18 focus on regulatory issues. 19 hope to bring Ι And what 20

this to Commission is what I view as something that has been lacking in our public discourse, and that is what I would describe as discussions that take place at my family reunions and the discussions that take place when I'm home with my uncle in Norfolk who is the older brother of my grandmother who is 90. My uncle

21

22

23

24

1 2 3

4

6

5

7 8

£

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is about 92 years old, and when we have discussions, he has a very different perspective, a very different history, but I think after our discussions we both learn something.

I certainly learn something every time I talk to him, and I have a better understanding when he describes certain stories to me of walking to school and having rocks thrown at him. I understand his perspective, and then I explain to him how from my perspective, having grown up at a certain time, I have a different tool I'd like to reach for in a tool box.

And I think we come away again from every one of those discussions -- I certainly do -- having learned something. And I respect my uncle. I named my second son after him, and it's a him. discussion I hope to again insert into our public discourse because I think we could all benefit from that discussion, and it's a discussion that I know that happens at family reunions and among normal black folks all the time, and it's something we don't talk about in public, and that I think is a shame, and it's what I hope to bring to this Commission.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you, thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

> Next I'd like to introduce our Staff

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

Director, Ken Marcus. I had the pleasure of working with Ken at the Department of Education, and that's why I knew when he was selected for the job that my job would be a lot easier.

Ken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.

It's a pleasure to work with you again, to work again with those with whom I've worked in the past, and it's a pleasure to meet those Commissioners whom I'm meeting for the first time this morning.

Prior to coming here, I had the privilege of serving as head of two of the largest federal civil rights enforcement agencies, the Office for Civil Rights at the Department of Education and the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity at the Department of Housing and Urban Development. have also served as a partner in the law firm of Holland and Knight and also at the law firm of Cooper, Carvin & Rosenthal. I've been associated with the law firms of Kaye Scholer and Morrison & Foerster, and I served in the Brown v. Board of Education Commission as a Commissioner. That was a commission which, incidentally, Chairman Reynolds co-chaired for a period of time.

at Marying

So I have had significant involvement in civil rights enforcement, in civil rights policy over time, but the opportunities and the challenges that I have seen before are nothing like what we face here at this Commission.

Today certainly is a new day for civil rights. What we have here is really something historic. We have for the first time today a majority of the Commission who are Republican and independents, and for that reason the eyes of the world are on us today. We have an opportunity to demonstrate the way in which we view civil rights and the seriousness with which we view the major problems in our society today dealing with issues of divil rights.

If we lead with passion and moral purpose, we can restore the public confidence in the noble mission of this agency. I believe we have the opportunity to do that today, and I believe that given the talent that you are seeing here among the eightmember bipartisan panel that we do truly have the opportunity to do this.

This is a panel that has an illustrious history. During the 1950s and 1960s, this panel developed a reputation as the moral conscience of the nation. Its work led to landmark legislation,

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

including the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Voting Rights Act, and the Fair Housing Act.

There is no question that the work of this Commission has transformed this country and the way we deal with and the way that we understand civil rights.

It is no secret that this Commission has had ups as well as downs. We will be talking later today about issues such as management and financial control of the agency, and we know that there have been quite a number of problems at this agency beyond the issues of contentiousness that were alluded to earlier.

We have enormous challenges. At the same time we know that the problems in this country are great and that we have the opportunity to meet them, as well as the personnel here who are able to meet that challenge.

There are some who have argued in recent days, just as there have been some who have argued over many years, that the Civil Rights Commission should be abolished, but I believe that we have the opportunity now to demonstrate the strength of our resolve, the seriousness of our purpose in the fact that we have here persons who are of the seriousness, the dedication, the talent, intelligence, experience,

industry and skill to show to America that there is another way of looking at civil rights. You've heard a little bit about that from the Chairman and Vice Chair, and I think we will have some more as well.

As this is an historic moment today, I will say to you that I am extraordinarily honored and privileged to be serving with you to the Commissioners, and I will say to those members of the staff who I see among the audience that I welcome your cooperation and look forward to working with you as well.

Thank you.

### ANNOUNCEMENTS

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'd like to make an announcement. January 20th, that's the date on which the nation celebrates Martin Luther King's birthday. Every year I get out the letter from the Birmingham jail. It is a powerful, powerful essay written by Dr. Martin Luther King while he was in jail.

I would recommend that everyone go back and dust off their copy of that essay. If you want to see what one man can do, if you want to see what someone who is intellectually honest, who is dedicated to expanding freedom, you can do no better than to

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

start with that letter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As I learn more about the man, my admiration and pride in Dr. Martin Luther King increases. His legacy is still unfolding. We all understand his greatness, but I don't think that we understand the full scope of his greatness.

And, again, I would just recommend that we all not just celebrate the holiday in the usual fashion, but to actually spend some time increasing our knowledge of his contribution to the nation.

Do we have any additional announcements?

VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I would like behalf, personally, but on I know, of other thank Terri Dickerson for the Commissioners to professionalism of her work in a very difficult transition period, and in thanking Terri, I do not neglect the fact that this Commission's staff is filled with people who are professional and will serve us in a professional way, and I hope that we can have relationship that is forth a relationship in which there is more collegiality with the staff and more exchange of views because Terri, for instance, her staff worked very hard on the Bush civil rights record. We wanted to go back and see if we could get a document that we could all sign.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	I think we could have done that, and of
2	course, that was not to be, but again, Terri, thank
3	you for your professionalism, and I thank the other
4	staff members, most of whom I do not know, for the
5	professionalism of their work, and I look forward in
6	the coming months and years to a collaborative
7	relationship.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm just wondering
9	if Commissioner Thernstrom was going to introduce her
10	new assistant.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I'm sorry.
12	Thank you, Jennifer.
13	I do have a new assistant. Stand. Lisa.
14	Her name is Lisa Neuder. She comes over
15	qualified for this job, as my last assistant did.
16	Lisa has both a J.D. and an M.B.A., and have you got
17	your Master's of Law in taxation as well?
18	MS. NEUDER: I'm working on my Master's of
19	Law in taxation at Georgetown.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: At Georgetown,
21	and she's going to be a tremendous asset not only to
22	me, but to everyone on the Commission, to all
23	Commissioners and to the staff, I think, as well.
24	So welcome, Lisa.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Pete.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

, ;<del>\*</del>

an

1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, I just 2 wanted to make note of something which the Vice Chair had alluded to earlier in her remarks, and that is 3 that Commissioner Edley, who had served on this 4 Commission for nearly six years, resigned effective 5 January 3rd, a couple of months before his term would 6 7 have expired ordinarily. All of us who have been on the Commission for a few years and had an opportunity to work with Christopher Edley found him to be intellectually 10 11 We disagreed with him on a number of engaging. 12 I had the pleasure of debating him at the matters. Cleveland City Club once about a year ago, 13 extraordinarily energetic and entertaining debate. 14 15 learned something. I hope he learned think I

(Laughter.)

something, although I doubt it.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We're going to miss his contribution significantly. I know that Boalt Hall, where he is currently the dean, is going to benefit significantly from his full-time attention to those duties.

the hope he continues to engage Commission in debates from time to time. We look both solicited and unsolicited his forward to

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	contributions, and we're going to miss him.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, and before we go
3	on, you'll have to pardon my informality. I've known
4	Commissioner Kirsanow for quite some time. So that
5	"Pete" just slipped. I'll try to behave.
6	Any other announcements?
7	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chair.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Chairman Taylor -
<sub>*</sub> 9	- I'm sorry Commissioner Taylor. Got a promotion.
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'd like to take a
11	few minutes to recognize, and I know I speak on behalf
~ + 12	of all the members of this Commission, the passing of
13	Shirley Chisholm, a woman of firsts, the first black
14	woman elected to Congress; the founding member or the
15	first member of the Congressional Black Caucus; and
16	the 1972 candidate for the Democratic Party's
17	presidential nomination.
18	As noted by our Chair, the press release I
19	think you all have received. She blazed a trail for
20	all of us, and it's important that we recognize the
21	debt of gratitude that we owe her.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.
23	Any other announcements? Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, Mr. Chair. I
25	think it's appropriate to make note of the fact that
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	just in the last couple of days the State Department's
2	Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor issued,
3	pursuant to a statute and bill that has been passed by
4	Congress and signed by President Bush, a report on
5	global anti-Semitism, which I commend to everybody to
6	read. It documents, among other things, the frequency
7	and severity of anti-Semitic acts across the globe,
8	including the United States.
9	And I think it's very instructive and,
10	especially in these times, very informative to keep in
11	mind the fact that these kinds of incidents
12	unfortunately still occur, and we're going to do our
13	best to eradicate them.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any additional
15	announcements? Chairman or I'm sorry
16	Commissioner Meeks.
17	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: No, not at this time.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras?
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Not at this time.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Ken.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Not at this time.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point
23	we will turn to the Staff Director's report.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do we have to
25	approve the agenda first?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do we have to
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Oh, thank you. Thank
4	you.
5	APPROVAL OF AGENDA
6	May I have a motion to approve the agenda?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So moved.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I guess we can
12	vote. All in support?
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any opposition?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion is
19	passed.
20	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: The minutes?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next on the
23	agenda, may I have a motion to approve the minutes of
24	the last Commission meeting?
25	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So moved.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any discussion?
3	There being none
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait a minute.
5	I'm sorry. I'm just checking something.
6	That's fine. Go ahead.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other or
8	any comments?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point
11	we can vote. All in favor?
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion is
18	passed.
19	Next we move to the Staff Director's
20	report. Ken.
21	STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	I have been asked to insure that there is
25	reporting on the financial condition of the
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

Commission, the status of GAO recommendations, and compliance with the GAO audit.

As the Chairman indicated earlier, I was sworn in as Staff Director shortly before the holidays, and for that reason, the remarks that I make at this point will be necessarily preliminary, and I will defer in some regard to the members of the staff who deal with these issues and who will be speaking a little later.

Staff reports this morning will include comments from George Harbison, who is our Budget and Finance Chief; Pamela Dunston, who is the head of administrative services; TinaLouisa Martin, who oversees management and human relations and who will be appearing telephonically; Debra Carr, our General Counsel; and Terri Dickerson, who is the head of civil rights evaluation.

Overall the picture is not good. The agency is now managerially and in terms of financial controls at a low point, lacking many of the basics in terms of internal controls that most agencies have and are expected to have. Many of these matters are detailed in GAO audits, but most GAO recommendations have not been implemented.

Congress has understandably taken note of

these problems and requested a large number of documents to be produced from the Commission. I will be speaking about congressional compliance in a few moments, but I would say that I have no reason for confidence in the extent to which congressional requests have been complied with in the past.

It is clear that significant reform is

It is clear that significant reform is necessary, and I'm delighted that it is on the agenda for today.

With respect to the financial condition, records, and controls, we inherit an agency that has become notorious over the last few years for poor fiscal control and management. A few years ago, the United States General Accounting Office characterized this Commission as an agency in disarray.

Over the last few weeks since my arrival,

I have found little to indicate that the GAO's
assessment does not remain true today. Financially,
managerially, we inherit an agency that has been in
crisis characterized by poor financial controls and
weak record keeping and management.

What is fortunate, however, as has been suggested a few moments ago, is that I have also found a number of dedicated, hard working, career professional staff members who are eager to work with

us to improve conditions at this agency.

~12

.13

- Parent

Unfortunately, my immediate predecessor, the Honorable Mr. Jin, refuses to cooperate with the agency in any way as we attempt to assess the agency's current financial condition. He has refused to engage in any communication with me concerning the condition of the agency, and in many instances staff report to me that certain actions material to the financial status of the Commission were within the knowledge of Mr. Jin and are not known to them.

Currently, the agency's general accounting and payroll processing services are provided by the Booth Management Corporation. I understand that the Commissioners are not all aware of the reasons for which we have turned to Booth Management Corporation, and I believe that this is a story that needs to be understood as one indication of the conditions within the management and finances of the agency over the last few years.

The Treasury Department's Bureau of Public Debt previously provided accounting services to the agency. That bureau of the Department of the Treasury terminated its relationship with the Commission during fiscal year 2004. It did so citing concerns regarding the agency's financial responsibility.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

I know there have been comments as to whether the parting of ways had to do with costs involved or the price of providing accounting services.

The Department of Treasury made the following statement in a September 9, 2003, letter to Mr. Jin which was provided to me by the Department of Treasury.

"After much consideration, we regret to will not be renewing that we inform you interagency agreement with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for accounting services in fiscal year As an accounting service provider, we are 2004. assuming a high level of responsibility for management To and control of federal government resources. effectively perform our services, we must rely upon a strong system of internal controls, which includes oversight budgetary and management of prudent resources by our customer agencies."

"Based upon our experience in servicing your agency, we believe there is inadequate management and control oversight of your agency's funds. For that reason, this federal agency determined that it needed to terminate its relationship with the Commission on Civil Rights. At the time, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Department of the Treasury was particularly concerned 1 about the Commission's over obligation of its fiscal 2 year 2003 budget authority and its failure to take 3 adequate corrective action to avoid violating the 4 5 Anti-deficiency Act." In other words, the Commission's financial 6 7 controls had deteriorated to the point last fiscal 8 year that another agency of the federal government 9 refused to continue to service its account. 10 Now, the specific matters referred to in 11 that letter may have been corrected, but we know that -12 this is one of many issues that had been of serious 13 public concern to other agencies of the federal including not 14 only the Treasury government, 15 Department, but also the GAO. 16 Due to the Treasury Department's decision 17 to terminate its relationship with the agency last 18 year, the agency entered into an agreement with an 19 outside company in the middle of the fiscal year. 20 That agency is a small company which was challenged by 21 the difficulties of entering into a relationship in 22 the midst of a fiscal year. 23 For many years this agency did not have an 24 independent audit of its books. The agency now 25 finally is currently in the process of obtaining its

¥.

first independent audit. The Parker, Whitfield firm is conducting the audit, which is limited to the agency's balance sheet and which currently does not include an audit of any other financial sheets of the agency.

We will need to consider expanding the scope of that audit and need to ask ourselves whether it is sufficient merely to have a limited scope audit in light of the problems that we have seen historically in the audit.

Mr. Parker of the Parker, Whitfield firm is taking charge of the audit personally. He has informed me that the audit originally scheduled for completion within a three to four-week time frame is now in its third month, and his firm is not able to predict the length of time required to conclude the audit.

He has attributed his firm's difficulty in completing the audit to the agency's failure to be forthcoming with financial records, and he attributed that not specifically to employees of the agency, but to at least one outside firm working on behalf of the agency.

Needless to say, this cannot be allowed to continue.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

Mr. Parker has also indicated that he is 1 concerned about various aspects of the financial 2 3 condition, but his audit remains underway. The fiscal year '05 budget authority for 4 the Commission is \$9,096,000. This amount is reduced 5 by a rescission of \$72,768, which leaves an available 6 7 spending amount of \$9,023,232. As you know, the Commission's budget has 8 been essentially flat for many years, while its 9 10 primary expenditures, such as salary and related Mr. Harbison will : 11 expenses, have steadily risen. speak more to the current finances of the Commission. 12 13 Generally speaking, we have been very 14 conservative regarding expenditures since my arrival 15 in light of the serious concerns regarding financial controls and the challenges which this agency has 16 17 faced in the past. 18 Accounting Office Government has The 19 issued three reports regarding the Commission since 20 The titles of these reports indicate the 1997. 21 substance of their observations and the seriousness of 22 the matters which they have addressed. 23 July 1997, agency lacks basic management 24 controls. 25 October 2003, operational more and financial oversight needed.

October 2004, management could benefit from improved strategic planning and increased oversight.

In addition, in September 2004, the GAO prepared a draft report entitled improvements are needed in financial management and internal controls, although I understand that that draft is in some sense considered void and continuing conversations are scheduled with GAO regarding the matters in that report.

Most recently the GAO notified the Commission in December 2004 that it is now initiating a study to address, first, factors that facilitate and impeded the Commission's functioning as a high performing organization and, second, the processes in place to assure the quality and integrity of the Commission's reports and how the Commission can improve and strengthen those processes.

The July 1997 report found broad management problems at the Commission, including limited awareness of how its resources were used. The GAO used blunt language to describe the status of this agency announcing that, quote, the Commission appears to be an agency in disarray with limited awareness of

how its resources are used.

The October 2003 report found that the Commission lacks good project management and transparency in its contracting procedures.

The October 2004 report found that the Commission has not fully complied with the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act of 2003, also known as GPRA. For example, the October report found that the Commission has not updated or revised its strategic plan since 1997.

The September 2004 draft of the upcoming review of the Commission's internal controls will be very important to see when it is available to us in public format. Each of these reports will be summarized later this morning by the staff manager with responsibility for the subject matter discussed in this report.

In general, the GAO's reports paint a portrait of an agency that was allowed to run out of control with little financial control, weak management, and little accountability. They are a wake-up call for this agency that we must implement substantial change and reform in order to meet our fiscal responsibilities and to restore public trust and confidence.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

The Commission has not yet implemented many of the recommendations of the GAO. The recommendations made and the action taken will be further described by the respective managers.

I was also asked to say a few words about compliance with congressional requests. Prior to December 17, 2004, the Office of the Staff Director under my predecessor was solely responsible for overseeing, coordinating, and producing documents pursuant to the July 22, 2004 request from the Oversight Chairman of the Commission's House Committee. None of the current staff members is able to vouch for the accuracy or completeness of the production that took place during that period for that reason.

For that reason, we cannot have full confidence in the extent of prior compliance.

arriving, I designated Shortly after Barbara Fontana as the custodian of records for the coordinating compliance with the purpose of congressional requests and instituted a system to insure that the integrity of our responses would be maintained. Ms. Fontana, of course, is working closely with counsel to insure legal compliance, and all work is subject to review of the office of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Staff Director.

į.  I have recently assigned the counsel function with respect to the primary aspects of this congressional compliance to solicitor Emma Monroig, although some of the early transitional work was conducted through the Office of General Counsel. Debra Reid in that office will continue to handle review of documents relating to EEO matters.

Our goal is both to insure that we are adequately adhering to the schedule for future production of documents and also to determine whether prior productions were based on an adequate review of our records to insure not only that we are meeting the future schedule, but also to see whether there are any documents which we should have produced earlier that need to be produced now.

To the extent that we determine that any aspect of our prior production was deficient, we will supplement the prior production with additional documents discovered.

We have a system in place now to insure that the custodian of records is working with all department heads in order to gather the documents that are necessary whether they may be in paper form or in electronic form.

In general, we have our work cut out for 1 2 us. 3 Those are my comments. 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Are we 6 entertaining questions or comments? 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we are. 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we are. I'd like 10 to start out by saying it really doesn't matter who is responsible; at least in one sense it doesn't matter 11 who is responsible for the lack of internal controls, 12 13 the problems just described. This Commission, these 14 affirmative obligation Commissioners have an 15 identify all problems and to fix them in a speedy manner, and that is one of our highest priorities 16 17 going forward. 18 Now, at this time, Pete. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Moving forward, 20 really? 21 Mr. Staff Director, yesterday I had a 22 brief discussion with you, and I was chagrinned to 23 find that the Commission had not even maintained a 24 And I also understand that the general ledger. 25 current audit is limited in scope, is not a full

audit, and I think you just indicated is only confined 1 to a balance sheet audit. 2 Can you, if you know this -- and I know 3 that you've only been on the job for a few weeks 4 now -- do you know why it is that or how it came to be 5 that we engaged in only a limited audit and if you 6 7 expect that we will expand this to a more full scope 8 audit, when will that occur? 9 first DIRECTOR MARCUS: Let me STAFF 10 address Commissioner the question with respect to the 11 general ledger and then move on to the question with respect to the independent audit. 12 13 Yes, I have also within the last few weeks 14 heard from our auditor that when they arrived at the 15 Commission, they found that there was no general 16 ledger whatsoever. That is not to say that there had 17 not been a general ledger kept at prior historical 18 points, and it is my understanding that a ledger has 19 been put together subsequently. 20 But you are right to be concerned that at 21 any point in time an agency of the federal government 22 might not have a general ledger in place. 23 With respect to the limitations on the 24 scope of the independent audit, I think that that is a 25 reason for concern. As I have not been here, I can't

speak at depth to that. It is possible that Mr. Harbison will be able to speak to it during his period, but it is my understanding that much of the decisions regarding the scope of the audit were made by the prior management that is no longer in place. The decision to conduct an audit was based on a statutory requirement recently imposed. It is my understanding that an agreement was reached between the prior management of this agency and the Office of Management and Budget, according to which the agency would be permitted to conduct an audit that is limited in scope to the balance sheet, and that would not look at other aspects of the records of the agency for fiscal year 2004 in exchange for which the agency agreed that it would conduct one in 2005. That is how it was permitted to do so. Why the prior management decided that it would be prudent to do so I cannot tell you. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: follow-up, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS:

And just as

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And this goes back I think you indicated to the nature of the audit. that you expect the audit to conclude some time in the next month or so; is that fair to say?

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Commissioner, no,
I did not say that, and I'm not sure by any means that
that can be said. In fact, the auditor has indicated
to me that he cannot predict the time at which he
concludes his audit, although it was intended
originally to be concluded in November. It is not
clear when he can finish it, and that depends in part
on the speed with which he can get the documents.

We're going to have to look into the impediments to providing him with the documents. He did indicate that it would take him approximately three to four weeks after he received all of the documents.

COMMISSIONER' KIRSANOW: It's unclear to me also when you indicate that at least in the last fiscal year no general ledger had been maintained, you also followed up by saying a ledger had been put together. What do you mean by that? Was something created or is this on a go-forward basis? What did you mean by that?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm going to have to defer much of that to staff, but it has been my understanding that in response to the concerns expressed by the independent auditors, the staff working closely with a consulting company have created

1 the records on a going forward basis. 2 That work would primarily have been done 3 by the outside firm which is currently providing 4 general accounting services to the agency. 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Commissioner 7 Braceras. 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, first of 9 all, I just want to welcome the openness with which 10 the Staff Director has discussed the financial and 11 I think that management problems of this agency. 12 other Commissioners and observers of this agency know 13 that for a long time we've been asking for just this 14 type of information and haven't received it, and 15 frankly, the extent of the problem is much more than I 16 had ever expected it to be. 17 I knew when I came on board three years 18 ago that there were financial and management problems. 19 The GAO reports confirmed that, but what I'm hearing 20 from the Staff Director here today is that 21 problems are even more serious than I had anticipated. 22 Many of the things he said we're hearing 23 for the first time. I'm sure that the staff of the 24 congressional oversight committee is also hearing it

for the first time, and I think that that's deeply

disturbing since they had a right to this information, and it seems that they have not received all of it as they should have.

Again, to repeat what the Staff Director said, this is not about blaming anybody. One of the reasons I joined this Commission was to try to reform some of the problems, and we want to move forward affirmatively and try to fix them without casting blame.

The request for information and the desire to fix the problem is not a partisan issue, and for all that has been written about this Commission, about partisan in-fighting, this is one area which certainly is not partisan and shouldn't be treated as such by either of the members of the minority or the majority or the staff. This is simply an issue of sound financial management and good government and accountability.

And I want to commend Ken for taking on this difficult, difficult task, and I know that the issues that he raises are not issues that we can fully address today or even in any business meeting, I think, of the Commission, and so that's why those of you who have a copy of the agenda will see that later on in the meeting I'm going to propose establishing a

senior staff of the Commission and a subcommittee of 2 3 Commissioners in finding out what's going on and 4 making recommendations for reform so that we can dig 5 ourselves out of this hole. 6 The only other comment I would make or 7 question I would make is I'm not sure. It seems that 8 we have a full agenda here for the business meeting, 9 and I don't know. It might be better to move up that 10 item on the agenda earlier to propose the working 11 groups just because it's hard to tell how long this meeting is going to last, but I may have to leave 12 13 before we get to that agenda item. 14 So we could do it now or we could just see 15 how things go, and if it's getting close to the time, 16 I can bring it up later. But I just wanted to say 17 that at some point if it's getting close, I may ask to 18 change the agenda around a little bit. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's fine. 20 I have a question. The audit is Okay. 21 limited to 2004. Have you given any thought to 22 whether we should expand the scope of the audit to 23 include other years? 24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I know that idea 25 has been floated, but it is not something that we have

working group on reform that can involve both the

seriously considered, and it's certainly something 1 2 that we can entertain if that is something in the minds of the Commissioners. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Commissioner 5 Kirsanow. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Along the lines of 6 what Chair Reynolds has just asked, do we have a sense 7 8 for what a full scope audit would cost for one fiscal 9 year? 10 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: For one fiscal → year, we have had a rough estimate that expanding the 11 \* 12 - scope of the audit to include all of the other areas 13 necessary to constitute a full audit would cost 14 approximately an additional \$12,000. 15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, I'd 16 recommend not necessarily at this meeting, but we 17 seriously consider expanding the nature of the audit 18 to at least include a full scope audit for the 19 preceding fiscal year, and I'd be interested in 20 hearing from the Staff Director after he has an 21 opportunity to think about this and consider it a 22 little bit more fully whether or not we should expand 23 it beyond just one fiscal year. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Agreed. I'll have 25 some conversations with Ken off line, but we should be

1 prepared to discuss this issue at our next meeting. 2 All right. Are there any other questions, 3 concerns, comments? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okav. 6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair, are we 7 still on the Staff Director's report? 8 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I just wanted to 11 raise one thing. I know the Staff Director had 12 circulated to Commissioners a letter that we had 13 received from the Florida State Advisory Committee 14 pertaining to the temporary protective status of 15 Haitians, and the letter makes the case that the 16 Commission should at least consider the implications 17 with respect to that. 18 The letter, although it was well drafted, 19 didn't provide me with enough information to make a 20 determination at this point and I don't know if it did 21 for any other Commissioners as to whether or not 22 that's something that the Commission should undertake, 23 but I would propose and I would move that the Staff 24 Director direct the appropriate staff to take a closer

look at this issue to determine whether or not the

1	Commission should undertake that issue.
2	The Commission in the past has looked at
3	the status of Haitian refugees. It's a troublesome
4	issue. I don't know at this point whether or not we
5	have jurisdiction over that. That's a matter of
6	concern for me, but I think it's something that the
7	staff should take a closer look at to determine
8	whether or not we do, in fact, have jurisdiction and
9	, should undertake that endeavor.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a
11	: second?
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Debate?
14	(No responsé.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point
16	let's vote. All in favor say aye.
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
19	(No response.)
2Ô	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion is
23	passed.
24	Okay. Are there any other questions,
25	comments or concerns regarding the Staff Director's
	NEAL D. CDOSS

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

report?

2

1

#### (No response.)

3

### 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. In that case we will move on down the line here or I should say list. Next up is a discussion about the administrative policies and procedures, and we have several motions with respect to those issues. I believe they're your motions, Commissioner Kirsanow.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes. Mr. Chairman, for a while we've had some discussions with respect to the nature of our staff reports, press releases and other documents that the Commission issues from time to time and the manner in which it's released to the public, and I would like to move that only those reports, any summaries, briefing papers, press releases that have received majority support or have been voted on by a majority of the Commission be posted on the Commission's Web site, and this would supersede any other policies or AIs, anything that we have along these lines, and again, pertains to draft reports, staff reports, press releases, any kind of documents that are issued to the public by the agency.

> CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there -- yes?

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry, but

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON D.C. 20005.2701

somebody has to second it before there's discussion, 1 2 but --VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I'll second it. 3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- I would like to 4 5 discuss. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have a 7 second, and Commissioner Braceras. 8 BRACERAS: A couple of COMMISSIONER 9 I support the spirit of the motion. I just \_ things. 10 want to make clear, first of all, that if we're going 11 only Commission mandating that be : to 12 - documents be up on the Web site, that this is an 13 amendment to the administrative instructions, and this 14 becomes a formal policy: So I'd like that to be a 15 part of the motion. 16 The only question I have is whether press 17 releases are appropriately included in this motion at 18 this time. I do think we need to address the issue of 19 press releases after some discussion with the staff 20 and with all of the Commissioners, but many of the 21 press releases are very routine. For example, the 22 release that announced the meeting today, even a press 23 release that commemorates Martin Luther King Day, for 24 example, and I don't think need to necessarily be

brought before the full Commission for approval.

So I would just suggest removing the press release language from Commissioner Kirsanow's motion today, and we can discuss that at another time, how the Commission ought to handle press relations generally and press releases in particular. I think the important thing here is that reports, Commission reports, legal analysis, staff policy analysis, and other substantive documents not be published to the Web without full Commission I want to make sure that the motion isn't approval. so broad that it scoops up, you know, Commissioners' bios on the Web sites or, you know, other routine press releases. So I guess I would amend the motion in that regard. Maybe I need to phrase it formally. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I believe there are at least two amendments to Commissioner Kirsanow's motion, the first being insuring that the administrative instructions reflect the suggested by Commissioner Kirsanow, Commissioner Kirsanow's motion not include releases.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct, and we can take that up as a body at another time.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I think that in

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINGTON D.C 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

changes

press

1	terms of procedure, we would need to vote on the two
2	friendly amendments. Do we have a second for
3	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Well, unless
4	Commissioner Kirsanow wants to amend it.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I would simply
6	amend my motion to include the comments made by
7	Commissioner Braceras, specifically that the
8	administrative instructions be amended to reflect the
9	fact that only those staff reports, state advisory
10	committee reports, and any other formal reports,
11	excluding press releases and bios, for example,
12	receive a majority vote of the Commissioners before
13	they are posted on the Web site.
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And I would just
15	add terms "legal analyses" or "policy analyses,"
16	because those
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So added.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: may not be
19	reports.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
21	comments?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this time we
24	can vote. All in favor of the motion say aye.
25	(Chorus of ayes.)

- 1	
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion is
6	passed.
7	My notes indicate that you're not
8	finished.
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I've got another
10	motion. It's a correlative to the first one I have,
11	and that is that all such reports, staff reports,
12	state advisory committee reports, summaries, legal
13	analyses, in other words, the same predicate that we
14	used for the previous motion, that have not received a
15	majority vote by Commissioners be removed from the Web
16	site, that is, those current ones, those currently on
17	the Web site that have not received the majority
18	support of the Commissioners be removed from the Web
19	site.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I second that.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I'd like to
24	offer two friendly amendments, again. One is that we
25	set a time, that they be removed by close of business

1	today, tomorrow, whatever. I don't know how long
2	these things take. I'm not a Web person.
3	And the other I'm sorry?
4	MR. ANTHONY: COB Monday.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: COB Monday.
6	Right. Tomorrow is Saturday. Sorry. COB Monday,
7	fine. That we add that.
8	And that we post a notation on the Web,
_ 9	_ something to the effect of well, something to
10	explain why they're no longer there, and the language
11	- I would propose would be as follows: "on January 7th,
12	~ 2005, the Commission adopted a new policy on the
13	public release and posting of reports and Commission
14	documents. To comply with that new policy, the Web
15	site has been updated and several draft reports that
16	failed to receive a majority of Commissioners' votes
17	have been removed."
18	And then I would suggest listing what they
19	are, whatever is removed. And that's it, just an
20	explanatory thing on the Web.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You know, if
23	you're looking for these reports that were previously
24	here, they're not here, and here's why.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Now, Commissioner

Kirsanow, is it your intention that these documents ——
I assume that you intend for the public to be able to
get copies of these documents that are being removed
from the Web site.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Absolutely. There's a means by which they can obtain the documents, but I don't think that reports that have not received majority support should be posted on the Web site to give the impression to the public, scholars, other who may utilize those reports, that it has been ratified by the Commission.

As it's currently constructed, if you go to our Web site, there are reports there that would give the public, reasonably give the public, the impression that these are reports that have been considered and duly adopted by the Commission, given our imprimatur.

Those then filter into the public consciousness, whether it be in scholarly reports, footnotes, what have you, and there's kind of pollution of scholarly debate as a result.

So I think we want to make it abundantly clear without necessarily depriving the public of the work of the staff that may not have been approved.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

2 about

about that, and I honestly don't know the answer. I mean, what is the policy of other federal agencies regarding releasing draft materials? I mean, it seems to me that if they're not approved, they remain drafts.

If as a matter of FOIA or just, you know, public policy other agencies routinely disseminate drafts, then I certainly have no problem with that, provided that those documents are not on Commission letterhead and provided that they are stamped "draft" and that there's an indication at the top this report fails to pass by a vote of blank to blank. It can say the Commissioners' names. "The following Commissioners voted against."

Without such a warning on it, you know, "use with caution," you know, I would not want it being cited in law reviews or anything of that nature. But it makes me a little uncomfortable having them released at all. And before I agree to that, I would want to know what the rest of the federal government does with respect to draft reports, and I would think we'd want to be in conformity with a general federal government policy, if any there be.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

Well, it seems to me 1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that close of business by Monday we may miss that 2 3 deadline, assuming that this motion passes. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, no. They 4 5 could be taken off the Web first, and then we can 6 discuss what to do with them. 7 REYNOLDS: Okav. You're CHAIRMAN 8 absolutely right. 9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or how and if they 10 should be disseminated. So they can still be removed, and maybe we need to discuss it another time --11 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 13 in the COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- who 14 public or press has access to them. 15 I guess my recommendation for now would be 16 to freeze dissemination of the documents to the public 17 or the press until such time as the Commission as a 18 whole can decide how we want these documents and 19 future documents of this nature to be handled, and we 20 should take that up soon. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner. 22 Can Ι make COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 23 recommendation that we do remove those documents from the Web site, but that we ask the Staff Director to 24 25 handle the documents which can be obtained pursuant to

1 the standard FOIA request in such a way that conform to all of the applicable federal rules and 2 3 whether or not drafts can be released. 4 There's a process that the Staff Director has in place now, I think, for handling documents that 5 6 may not be FOIAable, not public documents. So I would 7 suggest that we simply ask the Staff Director to 8 handle those documents again in conformity with the 9 federal rules which would apply to us in any event. 10 I don't disagree COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 11 with that at all. My only caveat to that was I'd like 12 to be informed as to what that process is, and also if 13 far there is some element of discretion as as 14 documents that aren't covered by FOIA, I think that 15 should be addressed by the body as a whole. 16 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Perhaps we can 17 get a recommendation from the Staff Director --18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Exactly. 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- as to how we 20 handle those documents. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have a 22 number of amendments, and I --23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I can just restate 24 them, I think, more crisply. 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okav.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: And I actually
2	have also something to say, but you can
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, in terms of
4	doing this in an orderly fashion
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Feel free.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: we need to slow
7	down a bit here.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I just have
9	another friendly amendment, but what ever.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: But you do take
12	the order here. I don't have Robert's Rules of Order
13	in my head.
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We need a
15	parliamentarian.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I thought we have one
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. So maybe
18	she should tell us how to proceed.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I don't think
20	it's necessary at this point.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I have one
22	very, very small friendly amendment, and it comes out
23	of recent experience. I keep getting calls from the
24	press to this day about my asking for copies of my
25	dissent in the Florida 2001 report on disfranchisement
	WEAL D. ODGGG

	1	in the presidential election, and that dissent has not
•	2	been should go up.
	3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let us I'm sorry
	4	for interrupting. Let us handle this
	5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Fine, fine.
	6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: initial motion and
	7	
	8	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I was just
<b>3</b> -	9	going to put it as a package and have Jennifer add it
	10	to her package, a friendly amendment.
٠,	11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. If you don't
\$	12	mind, I'd like to handle that separately.
	13	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That's fine.
	14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
	15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, if I
	16	may, as a recommendation, that we would use some sort
	17	of general language "except as required by law," or
	18	something of that sort, so that we can then sort out
	19	issues like FOIA obligations with respect to future
	20	documents, FOIA obligations with respect to documents
	21	that have already been released into the public domain
	22	and any other statutory or other legal requirements.
	23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I think that we
	24	also need to spend a little time thinking about
	25	well, it was up there whether it should have gone

up without a majority of votes by this Commission, that issue, we're going to fix that particular problem, but the fact is the documents were on the Web site. They have been in circulation, and I think that limiting, taking a legalistic approach to the dissemination of documents that have already been in the public domain may not be the best approach.

I think that a better approach would be to just let people know that if they want a document, they should just contact the Commission and we will provide them with a copy of the requested document, with a caveat that the document was not approved by —that it's not a Commission document; that it's a draft; and going forward we could just comply with FOIA.

But I think that it creates the appearance that we don't want people to see the document, and what we're talking about here is a procedural point, but when we entertain the notion that we are not going to provide the document unless someone has a right under FOIA, I don't believe that that creates the right atmosphere.

Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I'm not sure I entirely agree with that just because I don't think

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

other agencies let policy drafts float around in the 1 2 public domain. I 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: agree 4 wholeheartedly. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But your point is 5 6 that they've already been out there. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 8 And I understand COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 9 So in light of that, let me just try to more that. crisply restate what the three amendments are to 10 ~11 Commissioner Kirsanow's motion. The first is that the reports and analyses \* 12 currently on the Web site that did not receive 13 majority support will be removed by close of business 14 15 Monday. That's the first amendment. 16 The second amendment to the motion is that 17 a notation will be placed on the Web site in lieu of 1.8 those reports -- and I can repeat the wording if it's 19 necessary -- but basically explaining why they were 20 removed. 21 And I guess the third amendment to the 22 motion would be that documents previously published to 23 the Web site but the documents that we're taking off 24 the Web site can be received by the public, and we 25 should put in our notation, which is Amendment 2, we

1 should explain to the public how they can receive copies of the documents, but my third amendment would 2 3 specifically be that those documents be not printed on 4 official Commission letterhead document when they go 5 out to the public, and that very clearly on the front 6 of the document it states, "This is a draft document 7 which was previously disseminated to the public. Ιt 8 fails to pass by a majority of the Commission. 9 number of people voted for. X number of people voted 10 against, and that it is not recommended for citation," 11 in the same way, for example, that certain opinions of 12 the courts of appeals' summary orders and stuff where 13 they say, you know, "not for public citation." CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or, I mean, "not 16

recommended for." I mean a scholar can do what they want, but we can recommend that they not. So that would be my third amendment.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow, do you accept those amendments?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I've got no problem with it, although I would take note of what Mr. Marcus had indicated in terms of acceptance, but I think that should be a separate motion.

25

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	So with respect to these particular
2	amendments I'm in full concurrence. I know that Vice
3	Chair has something to say on this.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: No, no. I was
5	about to say exactly what you did, that I think that
6	should be taken up separately.
7	And I'm also in agreement with the Chair's
8	remarks on the need for transparency, when in doubt,
9	as it were. That is there's no you know, these are
- 10	documents that have been out there. Let's err on the
• 11	side of transparency here.
< <b>□</b> 12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
13	questions, comments?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote.
16	All in
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So the amendments
18	have been accepted?
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor of
23	Commissioner Kirsanow's motion say aye.
24	(Chorus of ayes.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
	NEAL B. CDOSS

### NEAL R. GROSS

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? 3 (No response.) 4 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Aye. I abstain. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have one 6 abstention. Let the record reflect that Commissioner 7 Meeks abstains. The motion passes. 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So then I just, I 9 guess, would make another motion, piggybacking on 10 this, that -- well, I don't even know if it is a 11 motion, but just that we need some mechanism to discuss how to handle further documents like this as 12 13 the Staff Director. 14 In other words, these documents that we're 15 talking about have already been in the public domain. 16 So we have agreed to continue to allow the public to 17 have them with the warning to be placed on them, if 18 you will. 19 But I think we still need to discuss at 20 some point that there are going to be future drafts, 21 first drafts, second drafts, third drafts, final 22 drafts of documents produced and how those are to be 23 handled, and I would just propose, I guess, that that 24 discussion occur by the working group that I'm going

to propose, and that we can figure out how to deal

1	with that as we go along.
2	So I guess that's not a motion. It's just
3	a suggestion.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I have a
5	request. I'm not sure it needs to be a motion. I'm
6	tired of sending out my dissent on the E-mail, and it
7	was part of it should have been part of the
8	original Florida report. It should go up.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So are you
10	moving that we amend the Florida report to include
11	the
12	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: To include the
13	dissent. It should have been included to begin with.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do we have a second?
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would only add
18	that it be not just posted on the Web, but amended to
19	the future publication in the hard copy.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Right.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If it's being
22	republished.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: If it's
24	republished.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you accept it?

1	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I do accept it.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or that if the
3	hard copy is being mailed out, it may not be cost
4	effective to re-publish the document.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Right.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But that it be
7	inserted as part of the mailing
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes?
9	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: The only thing I
10	would say is: was that report not already approved by
11	the majority?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Yes, the report
13	will go up.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The report had
15	been approved, but the dissent had never been
16	published.
17	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And so was the report
18	approved with the dissent already?
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, that's a new
20	document.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm not
22	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, the report was
23	approved as is.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct.
25	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So the dissent would

have changed the document, so to speak, and so then 1 2 that would. Well, Commissioner CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 3 Meeks, I'll just throw this idea on the table. Would 4 you feel comfortable if there was a link to the 5 6 dissent? 7 I mean, again, this goes back to my firm 8 report that to the extent that a belief 9 consensus, that all views be reflected. Ι 10 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And have disagreement going forward with that at all. 11 12 I think do whatever you want, but I'm just saying that when it has already been voted on by the majority of 13 14 the Commissioners as is, then no matter what, whose 15 views were what or who disagreed with whose views, 16 it's still was voted on by the majority of the 17 Commissioners. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's true. -19 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So the report in my 20 mind should stand as it is. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Well, this was 23 a very complicated story because it is not that the 24 dissent was rejected. There were and maybe somebody -25 maybe Commissioner Kirsanow will have a better

1 memory of the details here. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: He wasn't there. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Oh, you weren't 3 4 there. Of course. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Russell. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: It's Russell, 6 7 It's Russell Redenbaugh who was there. But this was a very complicated story in 8 which at the end of the day -- and, you know, this was 9 a routine dissent in the sense that dissents are 10 routine -- at the end of the day the chair decided 11 that it would publish, and it is on the Web site, I 12 13 believe, the first draft of the dissent, which was 14 part of the Senate record hearings at the time. 15 And so what's up on the Web site is an early draft of the dissent. In other words, --16 17 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: So there is --18 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Yes, but not in 19 its final form. It's full of errors. I have to keep 20 sending it out to people because that is what the 21 Chair chose to do, was to include only the draft that 22 was submitted to the Senate for hearings purposes. 23 yeah? 24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I just have 25 a question that maybe can be answered by the counsel

or the parliamentarian. I don't want to rehash, you 1 2 the substance of the what happened or know, Thernstrom-Redenbaugh dissent and why it's there and 3 4 why it's not. you 5 procedurally, can Just 6 approved, already been document that has as 7 Commissioner Meeks suggested? And if so, how do we do 8 that? 9 Is it technically ever --10 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: It was never 11 approved. It was put up anyway. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, no, but the 13 document was approved, and I think Commissioner Meeks' 14 question speaks to whether or not adding the dissent 15 is changing the document or whether they're separate 16 documents or just what the rules say about that. 17 And I think that's a technical question. 18 I don't think that a counsel or a parliamentarian 19 might have the answer to. So I'm just asking them for 20 their counsel on that. 21 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Can we hear from the 22 counsel on that? 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. But I would 24 really like to try to resolve this amongst ourselves 25 if possible. It seems to me that if the dissent is

already up on the Web site, an earlier draft is on the 1 Web site, does that increase your comfort level, the 2 fact that this is not a new document or new dissent 3 going up? It is merely placing the final version of a 4 dissent that's already on the Web site? 5 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, I mean, it was 6 approved as we voted on it, with that dissent being 7 published, and so I think for us to have -- I mean, I 8 don't know if the whole report with that newer dissent 9 10 could be put before the Commission again. I mean 11 possibly, but I would, I guess, not be able to vote 12 for a motion that said that now that we have put up 13 that dissent. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, let me work on 14 15 it trying to get a better understanding of your 16 discomfort. I mean, if the dissent is already up there, and we're merely talking about putting up a 17 18 final version and Vice Chair Thernstrom has pointed 19 out that it contains errors, we're just improving the 20 document like any other document that was on the Web 21 site that we wanted to improve. 22 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Well, I think I've 23 stated what I think. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, okay. 25 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I mean, again,

would defer to the counsel to give us the right answer 1 here if I'm not. I just wanted to do it --2 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Right. Ιt 3 4 would also be good to go back to the record. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree with the substance of Commissioner Thernstrom's motion and the 6 7 spirit of it. I just want to do it in a technically 8 correct way. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Absolutely. 10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If that requires 11 repealing the entire thing and then voting on the Direct 12 entire thing again, so be it. I would like to see it 13 done. I just want to see it done --14 CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I'm in VICE 15 agreement with that. 16 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: -- in accordance 17 with the rules. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman, I 20 There's really an informational vacuum here. agree. 21 I don't recall, and I have gone through the transcript 22 a couple of times with respect to this, but I don't 23 recall whether or not, Commissioner Meeks as 24 indicated, the consent was formally part of the 25 approval of the document known as Report of 2000.

1	I think it might be helpful if we went
2	through that. That would obviate all of this. If it
3	was part of the approval, then it's part of the
4	motion. If not, the motion as amended would exclude
5	it unless we had another vote that a majority passed
6	this. Then it would be posted.
7	I agree with Commissioner Braceras. I
8	think we need to be correct with respect to the
9	motion.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I do, too.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And we're in an
12	informational vacuum, and maybe what we should do for
13	the moment, if the Vice Chair agrees, is table this
14	discussion
15	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I agree with
16	this.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: until staff has
18	an opportunity to go back and see where we stand.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I agree with
20	this. I was just about to suggest it. Let us table
21	this for the moment. Let us fill in the vacuum that
22	now exists on information and see where we are and
23	what makes sense.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We have
25	another motion?

Yes, Mr. Chair. 1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 2 I've got one more motion. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You've been busy. 4 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And this has to do 5 or it piggybacks on a report that we got from the Staff Director, but it has other reasons for it, too, 6 7 but I'd like to be sure that on a go-forward basis that meetings of the Commission are conducted 8 9 received Washington, D.C., unless we have as 10 Commissioners at least 60 days' prior notice that 11 there may be some circumstance under which a meeting 12 should be conducted elsewhere. 13 Commission obviously certain has The 14 financial concerns, and I don't know that we can't 15 conduct all of the business of the Commission in 16 logistically expeditious Washington, D.C. in a 17 fashion. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras? 19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'll defer to the 20 Vice Chair. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Well, refresh 22 me on the existing rule. I believe there is a 60-day 23 notice rule. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do we have a second 25 for --

1	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Oh, second.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Now discussion,
4	yes.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We do have a
6	60-day rule for moving what is the change here?
7	I'm sorry.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That in the past
9	what we've done is there was a presumption that the
10	Chair in consultation with the Staff Director could
11	decide we're going to go elsewhere, and unless there
12	was a majority in support of staying here, we would go
13	elsewhere.
- 1	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.
14 15	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the
15 16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.
15 16 17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.
15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.
15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think that
15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think that was actually said in the first.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think that was actually said in the first.  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You don't like my
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think that was actually said in the first.  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You don't like my motions, do you?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here the affirmative is switched.  VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I understand.  Okay.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think that was actually said in the first.  COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You don't like my motions, do you?  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I do like your

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I don't think 1 you -- you talked about the 60-day thing, but I just 2 wanted to be clear that we meet in Washington unless a 3 majority of Commissioners vote to do otherwise. 4 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: would 6 And I 7 encourage the staff, through the Staff Director, to 8 I think it's a good idea, but I think propose travel. it needs to be proposed with the policy objective and 9 10 with the cost breakdown as to whether it's more cost 11 effective or it costs more or less to travel or to 12 meet here. 13 Sometimes, when Commissioners are coming 14 from all over the country anyway to be here, it may 15 not cost significantly more to meet elsewhere, but I 16 think we need to have that information before we 17 decide whether to take a trip to investigate one 18 problem or another. 19 So I would just state that you have to 20 have a majority vote to go forward, but that it could 21 be suggested at any time and the information provided 22 as to why we should do it. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And do you also want a 24 discussion of the cost? Is that a part of your

friendly amendment?

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't even think 2 it has to be an amendment. I think it's just a 3 suggestion that when the Staff Director comes to us 4 and says, "We'd like to go to Michigan to investigate 5 X," that that be on the table for discussion, the 6 cost, that he be prepared to discuss whether that's a 7 cost effective trip in light of important policy 8 considerations. It may be that it costs money, but 9 for policy reasons it's very important that we do it, 10 but we just have to have that discussion. 11 The problem with the procedure before was 12 that we weren't allowed to have that discussion. So, 13 yeah, it just has to be a majority vote of 14 Commissioners, and again, I would want this reflected 15 administrative instructions as a 16 policy. 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That would be 18 friendly amendment. 19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct. 20 Yes, Vice Chair. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: And for those 22 who haven't been here before, on the question of 23 costs, there have been a number of trips in which the 24 arisen whether when we're question has having 25 hearings, whether it would be more cost effective to

simply bring witnesses to Washington instead of our 1 2 traveling to them. There are obviously situations in which it 3 is important for us to appear on the ground in certain 4 settings, but it is those -- those considerations 5 have not been discussed before and the question framed 6 7 that way, and so it's just an elaboration, you know, 8 on Commissioner Braceras' point for those who haven't 9 had experience with this. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments? 10 11 (No response.) -12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All those in favor of the -- I'm sorry. Pete, do you accept the 13 14 friendly amendment --15 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Absolutely. 16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: -- with respect to the 17 administrative instructions? 18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't think I 19 articulated it as clearly as I should have. It is a 20 "but for." 21 In other words, that unless there is a 22 majority vote, Commission meetings will be conducted 23 here. The 60 days' notice if there is some discussion 24 that we are going to go elsewhere. 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And you also accept

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	the amendment that we amend the administrative
2	instructions?
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Absolutely, yes.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Well, I'm
5	sorry. I have one more question. There are times
6	when there are fewer than 60 days between two
7	Commission meetings. How is this going to work?
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, I think what
9	we should do is maybe adopt an amendment that if there
10	are exigent circumstances that dictate that fewer that
11	60 days' notice be given before there be a Commission
12	meeting conducted outside of D.C., then we can have
13	such a vote.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: But it has to
15	be clear that we don't if there are fewer than 60
16	days between two meetings, it doesn't mean we have to
17	have three meetings in order, you know, for this to
18	work.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any additional
20	comments?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote.
23	All in favor please say aye.
24	(Chorus of ayes.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion pass 4 5 -- you would like to abstain? 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, no, no. The 7 motion passes. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion 9 passes. 10 Yes, Commissioner Braceras. 11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I may need some 12 education on how this has been done in the past, but 13 it seems to me that going forward when we have motions 14 that are going to change policies where we want to 15 administrative instructions, amend the that 16 Commissioners should come with a written proposal, and 17 that we vote on the precise language that's going into 18 the AIs. 19 None of this is to undo what we have 20 already done, but I don't know if that has already 21 been our practice or if I need to move for that to be 22 a requirement, but I think it would just be much 23 easier if somebody comes, hands out the motions, and 24 then when they're approved, whoever is responsible for

administratively compiling the AIs has the exact

1	language. They don't have to go back through the
2	transcript, and amendments can be written onto the
3	document and then handed to the person that does the
4	AIs.
5	It just doesn't seem very efficient, and
6	I'm not sure how we can make it more efficient.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Could that be
8	addressed long term in the working group to come up
9	with formal procedures, but during the interim what we
10	do is just if there is a motion to amend the
11	administrative instructions, that we just arrive with
12	the language?
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, but I'm
14	asking a question right now, and if someone on the
15	staff could answer what's previously been done or if
16	there already is a policy on this
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If I may, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: can I ask
20	someone to answer it?
21	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We have a great
22	deal of flexibility, and I can defer to people who
23	have been here for a while, but generally speaking,
24	the administrative instructions have been prepared by
25	the Staff Director, and typically do not require a

vote by the Commission.

Now, if you want to prepare a motion to change an AI, of course, that's acceptable, but it has not been required and has not -- it has not typically been followed.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So when these things that I've requested go into the AIs will go in, but in the past, when Commissioners have made a policy change or voted on an internal policy, they haven't ordinarily gone into the AIs or where have they been catalogued? How do we know what our policies are?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I will start on this, and then if the Chair prefers, we can also go to a member of the staff, but generally speaking, the AI is a compilation of instructions provided by the Staff Director. The volume does include delegations of authority provided by the Commission, but the instructions themselves are instructions provided by the Staff Director through the staff.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. What I'm saying is there's also -- I understand what you're saying the AIs are composed of, but there are other things outside the AIs, and I'm just wondering where they're catalogued or who's the record keeper of those policies.

1	For example, the prior Chair would always
2	say things like, well, it's longstanding Commission
3	policy that yaddy-yadda. Where does one go to
4	find the longstanding Commission policies or does one
5	have to prune the transcripts to find that on January
6	7th, 2005, Commissioner Kirsanow moved that these
7	things be taken off the Web site and it was approved?
8	Where is someone to go to understand the
9	rules of the Commission, such as the ones we've passed
10	today?
11	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It's my
12	understanding that there is, in addition to the
13	administrative instructions that we've discussed, a
14	separate volume that contains motions passed by the
15	Commission.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, and is that
17	something that Commissioners can have a copy of?
18	Because we never had a copy of that in the past, and
19	it would be helpful. I'm not trying to be difficult.
20	I just want to know what the rules are.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: There's no
22	reason for knowing the rules. We're about to change
23	them.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's basic.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. You bring up a

1 complicated issue. 2 BRACERAS: Why is it COMMISSIONER 3 complicated? 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, as you pointed out, the rules have not been widely disseminated, and 5 6 we need to have some conversations with staff to get 7 our arms around it, but I think that all Commissioners 8 of the administrative receive copies should 9 instructions, and to the extent that there are other 10 written policies, written policies that have been 11 adopted by the Commission, those, too, should be 12 disseminated to the Commissioners. But --13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I know that I have 14 It's just other written policies I don't the AIs. 15 have copies of, but more to the point, unwritten 16 policies, things that we voted on that are formally 17 approved policies of the Commission that may have 18 never been committed to writing or maybe they have and 19 they're just not in one file and maybe someone could 20 collect them, but it seems to me appropriate that we 21 have a working rule book. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or a collection. 24 When the Commission votes to approve A, B, and C, that

should be catalogued somewhere and available to the

1	public as well as the Commissioners.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, that is an issue
3	that the Working Group on Reform will tackle.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. I didn't
5	even realize it had to be in there because I didn't
6	realize it didn't exist.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it sounds like
8	it's an issue that should be discussed and explored by
9	this subcommittee.
LO	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. So in the
11	meantime
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: In the meantime, all
13	Commissioners should have a copy of the AI and any
14	other written procedures or written policies.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, but I guess
16	what I would also suggest in the meantime with respect
17	to what we've done here today is that
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That it should be
19	memorialized in some fashion?
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It should be
21	memorialized in some fashion other than just the
22	transcript.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And included
25	maybe the administrative instructions is not the

place, but we voted to put some things there, 1 2 that's fine. I just want them memorialized in writing 3 and --4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The body of the policy 5 that you --6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right, and it can 7 be included with the minutes for the next meeting, but 8 that these specific reforms that we voted on should be 9 writing and handed out to the committed to 10 Commissioners and staff and kept somewhere in a binder 11 for all to consult. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Mr. Chair, I am 13 still confused about this because some of what we are 14 adopting modify the AIs. So to hand the AIs out and 15 then to have, as Commissioner Braceras suggested as 16 one possibility, simply what we voted on as, you know, 17 an appendix or something to the minutes of 18 meeting, no, the AIs themselves need to reflect 19, modifications that have been voted on. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I must 21 apologize. I made an assumption that to the extent a 22 motion that's been approved that modifies, revoked an 23 that someone would automatically go back and 24 review the AIs and make the needed changes. 25 I don't know if that's past practice. Ιf

1	it isn't, it should because if not, then the AIs won't
2	reflect what the current rules are.
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Would it be okay
4	if I just asked to briefly hear from Ms. Carr?
5	Because she seems to be wanting to say something, and
6	just to illuminate the situation? Could we give her a
7	minute?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, actually
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: She may have some
10	knowledge of this that would be helpful to my
11	understanding.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, but
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: at this time I
15	think that we should just leave it where it is now,
16	and we will have further discussions about this at a
17	later date.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, but what are
19	we doing with the reforms that we have passed today?
20	How are they being committed to writing and
21	catalogued?
22	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Let us trust
23	the Staff Director to work that out.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, okay.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: They aren't

1	going to disappear into thin air.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And we will take this
3	discussion up at our next meeting so we can
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: let the
6	Commissioners know the procedure.
7	Okay. Would someone please tell me where
8	we are?
9	vice CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We are at the
10	Staff Director report.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, we are beyond
12	that.
13	Mr. Chair, I'd move that we move on to the
14	Working Group Reform.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Well, wait a
16	minute. We have not got I'm sorry staff progress
17	reports.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right, and I would
19	also move at this point, in light of our past
20	conversation, that we reorder the agenda to bring
21	Roman numeral seven up. It may not even be seven
22	anymore, but so that we discuss the establishment of a
23	Working Group on Reform before we hear from the staff.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Do we need a
25	motion for this or do we have consensus?

	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I move it.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I don't think
3	that's necessary.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's talk
6	about it.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. So my
8	motion is a written motion. It has been passed out, I
9	believe, to all of the Commissioners and the staff.
10	Do you have a copy? Does everybody have a copy?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually I don't.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: And I don't
13	have one in front of me for some reason. We'll share
14	it.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's dated January
16	7th, 2005.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Oh, no, I've
18	got it right here. I've got it here.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: For purposes of
20	the transcript, I'll read it into the record.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Before you start,
22	Commissioner Meeks?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Yes, she said
24	she had one.
25	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: She has one. Dated

	1
1	January 7th?
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do you have it?
4	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Commissioner
6	Meeks, do you have a copy?
7	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes, I do.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, okay. Okay.
9	So I'm going to read it into the record so that the
10	audience and the court reporter can get it, and I'm
11	- happy to entertain amendments. This is just a draft
12	for discussion.
13	Basically I move that
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move that we have an
15	adjournment for about five minutes. I don't believe a
16	motion is necessary, but let's take a five-minute
17	break.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Good. I agree.
19	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
20	the record at 11:17 a.m. and went back on
21	the record at 11:28 a.m.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would like to call
23	this meeting back in session. And, Jennifer, you have
24	the floor.
25	ESTABLISHMENT OF A WORKING GROUP ON REFORM

### ESTABLISHMENT OF A WORKING GROUP ON REFORM

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moving that we establish a working group on reform. I've handed out a draft proposal, which I am going to read into the record because I am going to alter it slightly from what is written before us. So I think it is important that I say it out loud. And then I am happy to entertain suggestions.

proposal is that we establish The committee comprised of four commissioners, the staff director, and the staff director's designee from each of the following three offices, the Office of General Counsel, the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, and the Office of Budget and Finance, and that commissioner will be selected to co-chair committee, the working group subcommittee, or whatever we want to call it, along with the staff director. the staff director and a commissioner will-chair it.

The mission of the working group on reform would be to make specific recommendations to the full Commission for implementing administrative and policy reforms. And, in particular, the working group is charged with considering reforms in the following areas.

I'm actually going to pare this down from what is written here because I think maybe we should

start off small and not bite off more than we can So I'm going to move that the working group be charged with considering reforms in the areas internal communications, which means between staff and commissioners and whatnot; number external two, relations, which is to include both media relations and relations with the public and other branches of government; and, third, project process and planning, and that would encompass the whole scope of how we propose projects, everything from how we propose projects to how we approve projects, how we approve projects and reports and everything in between, the staff, what template they use, what processes they use as they go through the project planning, and what the commissioners' role is, both in suggesting and implementing recommending projects and in and approving them.

So I am going to eliminate numbers four and five my list, which were budget and from implementation of GAO reforms, because it seems, particularly from Ken's presentation this morning, that budget and finance issues, I think we've got the audit going on, we've got other things. And we do need to address that, but it may be larger than I want to propose right now. And we may want to think about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

92 how to structure that. 1 2 So I would also move that the time line 3 and process for this working group be as follows. working group shall confer as much as necessary, 4 either in person or by conference call. And at the 5 March 2005 meeting, the working group shall submit in 6 7 writing a list of specific recommended reforms and accompanying motions to be voted on by the full 8 9 Commission. 10 It's my hope that the working group will be able to reach consensus on the recommendations that 11 12 but even where there is no forward, they put I think that all recommendations from 13 consensus, members of the working group should be committed to 14 15 writing and submitted to the full Commission for. 16 discussion. 17 And along with those written proposals 18 should be a notation of which members of the working 19 group support or do not support the recommendation so 20 that we can have a full and open discussion of the 21 recs. at the March meeting. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a 23 second?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS:

VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.

24

25

Yes,

Discussion?

#### Commissioner Kirsanow?

I support the motion. A couple of comments with respect to it. The members of the working group on reform, number four commissioners and the staff director's designee from the specified offices, we want to be very sure.

I think this is being assumed in the motion. I just want to make it very clear that all deliberations of this working group on reform fully comply with our own regulations related to Sunshine Act provisions.

I think Commissioner Braceras understands that and it's incorporated in here, but I just want to make it clear for everyone since we have been talking about transparency, which is something that I think all of us agree with.

Also, with respect to budget and information of GAO reforms, which Commissioner. Braceras had originally had on her motion, I think those are extremely important and we should be addressing those at some point in the future once the audit is concluded, once GAO has been concluded with whatever it is doing, and oversight responsibilities that are being discharged are concluded so that we may

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

MACHINICTON DC 20005-3701

incorporate whatever recommendations come from those, 1 whatever lessons we may learn from those into any 2 reform group. But that is something that can be done 3 4 in a future basis. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Just to note, this isn't the only mechanism for reform or for suggestions 6 7 or making recommendations. Obviously any commissioner can come with a motion or proposal any time they want, 8 9 and we can vote on it. 10 But I just thought it would be helpful if 11 we had a working group to at least discuss these three And the staff will be very helpful in 12 issues. 13 providing the institutional knowledge and the history 14 and the background of what we already have or don't 15 have. And I think it's important for commissioners to 16 hear from the staff in an informal setting as to what 17 works and what doesn't work. 18 So I don't mean this in any way to be an 19 exclusive means of making proposals or reforms. It's 20 just one avenue to address these issues? 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Meeks? 22 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes. And I was going 23 to bring up the same point that Commissioner Kirsanow 24 did, that I think I don't have any objections to this 25 working group. However, under the Sunshine Act,

doesn't it require that you have so many days notice, 1 public notice, if you're going to be meeting and --2 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, to the 3 4 extent -- I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Only if there's a 5 6 certain number of commissioners present, right? 7 It also requires COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 8 that the deliberations result in action on the part of a commissioner, something that we are discharging 9 10 If it's simply something where there are business. discussions going on and no action is taken, for 11 12 example, if they come up with proposals, they are 13 submitted to the full Commission and the Commission 14 acts upon it, it isn't necessarily subject to the 15 Sunshine Act. 16 You have to understand also that these are 17 our own regs with respect to the Sunshine Act. 18 over-arching Sunshine Act. There not the 19 considerable authority that would indicate that we are 20 not governed by the Sunshine Act per se, but I think 21 we have to take it upon ourselves to be as transparent 22 as possible. 23 I think we could do those So, again, 24 things --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have no problem

1	with that if you want to do this publicly and announce
2	it or however. I leave that to the staff director and
3	the counsel's office to figure out what we need to do
4	to comply with whatever
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, the bottom line
6	is to the extent there is a statute or an internal
7	regulation that governs this issue, the rules that are
8	on the books will apply.
9	Any other discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would like to
12	suggest that this subcommittee be comprised of
13	Commissioner Braceras, Commissioner Redenbaugh, Vice
14	Chair Thernstrom, and also Commissioner Meeks. I
15	throw that on the table. And I haven't discussed this
16	with anyone prior to this point. So my
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Russell is not
18	here.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That will teach him.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That will teach
22	him. I would say, Mr. Chairman, that Russell
23	Redenbaugh if we're having a chair to this working
24	group, he should be the chair.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Didn't Commissioner

1	Redenbaugh discuss this issue, bring this issue up in
2	a previous
3	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: He did. And this
4	has been something he has long been
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, he was
6	specifically although he is very interested in
7	budgetary issues as well, he did have a specific
8	proposal several months ago about the project process
9	and planning. So we would benefit from his guidance
10	on that because he's thought about it a lot.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, should
12	this motion pass, I'm going to take great pleasure in
13	calling him up and informing him of his new
14	responsibilities.
15	Any discussion? Any more discussion?
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
ľ	Contradiction Decona.
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners
17	
	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?
18 19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we'll accept.
18 19 20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we'll accept.  COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Sure.
18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we'll accept.  COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Sure.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I accept.
18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we'll accept.  COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Sure.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I accept.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do Commissioners  Meeks and Thernstrom accept?  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, we'll accept.  COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Sure.  COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I accept.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,  we have had the discussion. Let's vote. All of those

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion has passed.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And I guess the
7	staff director will need to let us know as soon as
8	possible who is designated from the senior staff to
9	participate.
10	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I would assume
11	the staff director will kind of create a mechanism by
12	which we can, you know, meet and discuss this.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Coordinate.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Coordinate.
15	STAFF PROGRESS REPORTS
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point
17	we're going to discuss staff progress reports. The
18	first step is Ms. Dickerson. Actually, Mr. Harbison,
19	Ms. Martin, and Ms. Dunston, please come to the table.
20	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Ms. Martin should
21	be appearing by phone.
22	MS. MARTIN: Yes, I'm here.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Or from the
24	heaven.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Where are you, Ms.

1	99
1	Martin, physically?
2	MS. MARTIN: I'm at home recuperating. I
3	had surgery a couple of weeks ago.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I see. Well, I
5	hope you are doing well.
6	MS. MARTIN: Thank you. I am.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. First up is Mr.
8	Harbison. I don't know if I had an opportunity to
9	meet you yet. So please introduce yourself and then
10	begin your report.
11	MR. HARBISON: Mr. Chairman, Vice Chair,
12	commissioners, staff director, my name is George
13	Harbison. I am the Chief, of the Budget and Finance
14	Division. I have held that position for the last 14
15	years.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Are you miked up?
17	I'm sorry. Your voice sounds very soft. Thank you.
18	MR. HARBISON: Again, my name is George
19	Harbison. I am the Chief, of the Budget and Finance
20	Division. And I have held that position for the last
21	14 years. Budget and Finance Division is generally
22	responsible for management of the Commission's fiscal
23	resources.
24	The staff director has covered most of
25	what I had intended to say in a brief way. So I am

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just going to elaborate on some of the things that he said and then go into further detail and expand some of the things that he said in terms of historical and recent history and current history in terms of fiscal operations here at the Commission on Civil Rights or in the Budget and Finance Division.

Briefly, in fiscal year 2004,

at conclusion of 2004, the Commission spent approximately \$8.9 budget of its \$9 million budget. With regards to current operation this fiscal year, we have a budget of approximately -- to continue, the 2005 budget of the Commission on Civil Rights again was \$96,000. were immediately assessed a rescission amount And that It comes right off the top. essentially left a balance of \$9,032,000 available to spend this fiscal year.

are currently in the process of developing an operating plan to cover how we're going to spend those funds. We hope to have that available shortly in terms of some of the major expense categories that you can anticipate for this fiscal year. These would include transit subsidies.

of these not extraordinary · are in that they are not new. expenses recurring expenses: Lexis Research Services, office

space rental, upgrading ADP systems in the regional 1 2 That's a new one. offices. Accounting, budgeting, disbursement, and 3 procurement services, not new, but it's an extension 4 5 of the process that we're already in. Communications, auditing services. There's some temporary employment 6 7 care of some interim gaps and press take 8 activities. 9 I'll now skip to some issues relative to 10 the GAO audit of fiscal year 2002 that were relative 11 or related to the Budget and Finance Division or to 12 And I'll address the fiscal operations. 13 recommendations and the actions taken as previously 14 reported. 15 The first recommendation was that among 16 limits project the adequacy and time of cost 17 information by the staff director, that will soon be 18 provided to the commissioners to make necessary 19 adjustments, which should include providing 20 information on a monthly, rather than a quarterly, 21 It was determined that that basis as necessary. 22 information would be provided on a quarterly basis, as 23 initially requested. And that's what we have done. 24 The second recommendation was to take

steps immediately in order to meet the financial

statement preparation and audit requirements of the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act, fiscal year 2004.

These steps toward audited statements could include, for example, identifying the skills and resources necessary preparing financial statements or at least balance sheet with related note disclosures,

The Commission contracted with an auditing firm, Parker, Whitfield and Company, to accomplish this, at least the balance sheet portion of that audit. I will say one thing with regards to the -- I'll cover that later.

fiscal year 2003, and ensuring that the evidence is

support

the information

The GAO audit for fiscal year 2003, which is the one that is currently in progress, is still in progress. We anticipate that it is nearing completion. This is the one which the staff director referred to as the draft essentially being in a voided state.

The draft finding, recommendations are anticipated this month. It should be noted that the previous drafts of findings and recommendations based on this audit were voided after the parties agreed that more time would be allowed for us, Commission, to

available

financial statements.

gather additional supporting data.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was a period of time there where all of the resources of the Commission on Civil Rights -not the Commission -- I'm sorry -- Budget and Finance Division was being directed towards other projects; for example, the gathering data the of for congressional request, in addition to providing as much as we could to support to the GAO auditors, in addition to doing our regular day-to-day business. And the basis for that, then, was that they allowed us additional time to support and provide data to support our positions.

The F.Y. 2004 financial audit, this audit is the annual audit that is now required by the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act. This is the first time that the Commission or the first audit of the Commission's specific financials. And this particular audit is limited to balance sheet verifications.

I cannot give you the specific details on balance sheet versus full-blown audit. I can say that the approved part of that process — and it was, in fact, a recommendation that we do it that way. And the basis for that was that we had literally not had time to prepare the documentation and the statements for the financial audit. That was one of the bases

22

23

24

25

for doing a balance sheet audit. It's an abbreviated form of the full audit.

regarding our physical status with regards to our contracting and basically some historical perspective as well as where we are now, how we got there. Essentially or generally, the Commission prior to October 1st, 2002 was serviced by the National Finance Center, which was at that time a division of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

During fiscal year 2002, we were advised that if we were going to remain with them, the costs would be astronomical, much more so than the Commission literally could afford to do. We banded with a group of other smaller agencies, who were at the same time being given the same instructions to find another service provider who could provide the full-service requirements that we needed for accounting.

And that process after going through several federal agencies, GSA, EPA, Department of Interior, resulted in us selecting the Bureau of the Public Debt to provide accounting services for the Commission.

BPD, Bureau of Public Debt, which is why

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

it's BPD, turned over our accounts. NFC turned over 1 our accounts October 1st, 2002, which was fiscal year 2 And considering the normal growing pains and 3 2003. learning curves associated with the turnover, I feel 4 that BPD more than met the terms of the agreement 5 under that contract. It included advisory services, 6 accounting, reporting, budgeting, travel management, 7 8 auditing, and ad hoc reporting, to name a few. 9 We dissolved that relationship with BPD 10 for a number of reasons. One of the more express 11 reasons was because of cost considerations. It was stated that costs would be more than the Commission ~ 12 13 would be willing to pay. 14 staff director reiterated the As 15 previously, there was concern by the Bureau of the 16 Public Debt with regards to how well the Commission 17 was managing its finances. 18 Also, there was concern that we could go 19 antideficient. I want to emphasize that that was a 20 It was not one that wasn't known, good possibility. 21 but it could happen. We did not go antideficient in 22 fiscal year 2003, nor in 2004 or any other year that 23 I'm aware of since I have been here. 24 That was a grave concern of the Bureau of 25 Public Debt because they felt that we weren't placing sufficient emphasis on managing the funds of the Commission.

It was realized by management that the antideficient condition could exist late in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2003. And it was at that time that a series of actions were taken to bring the budget back into alignment with the appropriation. These included things like canceling out obligations, renegotiating contracts. Three was also some employees who took leave without pay.

The net result of all of this with regards to the thought processes of the Bureau of Public Debt was that there did not appear to be a sufficient amount of emphasis placed on addressing the issue of a potential Antideficiency Act violation.

And one of the ways that they thought to address this, to the best of my knowledge, one of the ways, was that they thought we need additional resources dedicated specifically to fiscal controls. That, in turn, is what generated the additional cost that was being proposed.

I don't know what the exact cost was, but the resource itself would be dedicated to the Commission assigned either here in Washington or traveling between Washington and the Bureau of Public

1 Debt.

1.2

It was sometime during this period when these discussions were taking place that the Bureau of the Public Debt issued its notice of intent to end the agreement with the Commission on Civil Rights.

And that agreement actually ended. It was initially scheduled to end December 3rd, 2003. Actually, they allowed us additional time in order to find and select a new service provider. The actual transition conversion occurred on or about March 1st, 2004. At that point, in the process of identifying a new service provider, we had -- well, do you want to address this?

MS. DUNSTON: Sure.

MR. HARBISON: All right.

MS. DUNSTON: Good morning. At the time when we had to select a new provider, the --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Before you go on, please introduce yourself.

MS. DUNSTON: I'm sorry. I'm Pamela Dunston. I'm Chief of Administrative Services and Clearinghouse Division. I've been chief of the division one year, prior to that acting for a year before that. I've been with the agency for a little bit over 16 years.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. Before you continue, I have to leave at this point, but I will be very carefully reading your comments in the transcript. And I really appreciate everybody coming forward and giving us updates on their offices.

So I will be reading that. And I wanted to thank you and apologize for cutting out.

MS. DUNSTON: When we had to select a new vendor, the previous staff director decided to go with a small firm. We did complete the transaction. There was a request for quotes issued to 11 vendors. Out of those 11 vendors, we had 2 responses.

After those responses came in, there was a technical evaluation that occurred. There were two parts to that: the price evaluation team, which consisted of the staff director as the sole member. And then there was a technical team, which consisted of George Harbison, myself, and Alex Sun.

We looked at those proposals and either ranked them acceptable, outstanding, marginal, so forth, and then provided these ratings to the staff director, who, in turn, made the final selection. After the selection, we were informed that Booth Management Consulting would be the firm providing full accounting services to the agency.

1 MR. HARBISON: As I said, Booth Management 2 is still currently providing those services to us on 3 the agreed contract. To the best of my knowledge, in terms of how well they perform the contract, the 4 contracting officer was initially very pleased with 5 the company. Later on in the year, roughly in the 6 7 fourth quarter, he did indicate some displeasure with 8 how well they were performing. Specifics I don't know 9 at this point. 10 REYNOLDS: And CHAIRMAN who is the 11 contracting officer? 12 MR. HARBISON: The contracting officer is 13 the former staff director, Les Jin. 14 In summary, with regards to the staff 15 director's presentation, I would literally say that 16 while I agree with his conclusions, I am not totally 17 in agreement with some of the characterizations of 18 that conclusion. The conclusions exist, nonetheless. 19 I do agree with the conclusions. 20 The system is not broken, but it is badly 21 in need of repair. And the specific areas that are in 22 need of repair, significant repair, are internal 23 controls certainly. And we recognize that, as does 24 GAO. And we have indicated that in a number of 25 reports.

1	The human capital aspect of Fiscal
2	Resources Division certainly is in some need of
3	attention as well as fiscal resources itself for the
4	Commission on Civil Rights because certainly we need
5	the funds in order to obtain the resources to do the
6	job right.
7	So, in summary, what I would say is that
8	we are aware that there are certainly improvements
9	that can be made and there are significant
10	improvements that need to be made. As I said, the
11	system is not broken, but it's badly definitely in
12	need of repair.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you very
14	much.
15	Out of the box first is the vice chair.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Harbison,
17	thank you very much for coming and being in the hot
18	seat, as it were.
19	MR. HARBISON: I waited ten years for
20	this.
21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I don't have a
22	crystal clear sense from the report that you just gave
23	of the respect in which you disagree with the staff
24	director's report. There have got to be
25	disagreements.

I, speaking just for myself, but I suspect 1 that some other commissioners will join in, would not 2 3 mind from you or would welcome from you, I should say, a bulletpoint summary, as it were, of the main points 4 5 that you want to get across and your responses to the staff director's report and the GAO, point by point 6 7 response, because some very serious statements have 8 been made. 9 And I would like to see crystal clear in 10 writing "Here is my response. You are right on this. 11 This needs a You are wrong on that. little 12 elaboration," whatever. That would be very helpful to 13 me in going forward. 14 I understand your point. MR. HARBISON: 15 As I said, I am not disagreeing with his conclusions, 16 just perhaps some of the ways of getting there that 17 I'm not in complete agreement with. 18 For example, I think one of the areas was 19 from one of the GAO reports where it was we were not 20 complying with the finding or recommendations. 21 across-the-board sense, that may be true; an 22 individual basis, perhaps not so true. I can do that. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Kirsanow? 24 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. 25 you, Ms. Dunston and Mr. Harbison. I just want to

note that this is the first time I've heard from 1 either one of you in this kind of capacity since I've 2 3 been on the Commission for nearly three years now. I had several questions. Maybe you could 4 address those in writing at a later time. 5 6 want to burden us with all of the details right now. But, first, if I could just raise maybe two or three 7 8 questions? 9 MR. HARBISON: Sure. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You mentioned the 10 11 Antideficiency Act on a couple of occasions. Do you know where we stand currently with respect to whether 12 13 or not we might violate the Antideficiency Act in the 14 current fiscal year? How does it look to you right 15 now? 16 MR. HARBISON: Not under my watch. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. Second is I 18 had asked a number of times with respect to previous 19 years, not of you but of others on the Commission, 20 whether or not anyone had a handle on what our travel 21 expenses had been in preceding years. 22 different have been given several 23 explanations of what those were. If you have any 24 idea, for example, in fiscal 2003 what our travel 25 expenses were --

1	MR. HARBISON: I don't have an exact
2	figure, but the information
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Could you provide
4	that to us?
5	MR. HARBISON: is available, yes.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. I
7	appreciate that.
8	You also made mention I'll display my
9	ignorance here of due to a rescission of
10	approximately \$72,000, our total amount was down to I
11	think 9 million, 26.
12	MR. HARBISON: Twenty-three, I believe.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Twenty-three
14	thousand dollars? What is the nature of the
15	rescission? Why is that?
16	MR. HARBISON: It's generally a reduction
17	across the board for all agencies. It's a general
18	deduction, generally to fund some special project. In
19	this case, it could be to provide additional funds for-
20	the Iraqi effort, to support that effort.
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Ours was?
22	MR. HARBISON: Ours was \$72,768.
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. And is it
24	the outside accounting firm's obligation to maintain a
25	general ledger?

1 MR. HARBISON: Yes. 2 Did COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: ever 3 maintain a general ledger prior to fiscal year 2003? 4 MR. HARBISON: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't have any 6 questions at this point. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Meeks? 8 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Yes. I certainly 9 agree that financial controls and accountability and 10 all of that are really serious issues, but after the 11 staff director's sort of presentation of the financial 12 management, I mean, that almost made me think of there 13 was no budget control even in place. 14 And, yet, we came in, \$9 million budget, 15 came in at 8.9. And there was a large number of 16 reports that have been produced. And I think most all 17 of the work that we had on our agendas got done. 18 So I just want to state that for the 19 record, that there certainly could be controls that 20 aren't in place and some documentation that is not 21 But I think overall on a budget like nine 22 million that has been flat-lined for a long time now 23 and so that means inflation but decreasing number of 24 staff, that overall I think this agency has actually 25 accomplished a great deal. But I agree that there are

	1	probably controls and some accountability issues that
	2	need to be strengthened.
	3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vice Chair?
	4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: What percentage of
	5	the budget goes to salaries?
	6	MR. HARBISON: Approximately 70 to 75
	7	percent.
	8	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is it correct that
in	9	I mean, I was not at the meeting yesterday. And
<b>~</b>	10	maybe Commissioner Kirsanow wants to speak to this.
¥	11	There is a bit of a mystery on the salary question.
,2 5.	12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think that is
	13	best to go to at another time.
•	14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. That's
	15	fine.
	16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: But I do have kind
	17	of a question along the lines of what Commissioner
	18	Meeks had raised or maybe not a question but a
	19	comment.
	20	I think the Commission has done remarkable
	21	things given the amount of money, the appropriations
	22	it's afforded. And I commend staff for all that they
	23	have done with that money.
	24	I think saying that is different from the
	25	inquiry with respect to how the money is spent. It's

place

1 even conceivable that we could have done more had we 2 had internal controls. I'm not an accountant. 3 I don't know if we have any reportable 4 conditions with respect to the manner in which monies are disbursed, but it is troubling that we don't have 6 fundamental financial controls in certain because then we can't determine, for example, if money was appropriately expensed in a certain -- we are a federal agency.

I think we have an obligation to the people of the United States. We have an obligation to our clients, meaning Congress and the Executive Branch. We have an obligation to staff to make sure that we husband the funds correctly so that we can discharge our functions in the most efficacious fashion possible.

So I think this is something we clearly have to get a handle on. And if this outside agency that is currently conducting most of our accounting practices isn't getting the job done, we have to take a good, hard look at that.

And I would look very much forward to what our audit says. And, again, I would reiterate I would hope that this is expanded to a full scope audit and not just simply a balance sheet audit.

### **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005-2701

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Mr. Harbison, 2 could you spend some time discussing your unit? 3 appears as if the budgeting function, at least a piece 4 of it, has been outsourced. I'm interested in your 5 It's my understanding that it's just you. 6 MR. HARBISON: That's correct. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How long has that been 8 I mean, you've been with the agency for ten the case? 9 Did the agency always outsource? Has this 10 been in place since you have been here? 11 That is correct. HARBISON: Just MR. 12 prior to my arrival here, we were with the General 13 Services Administration. I think at that point in 14 time or just prior to that, we had undergone a RIF, at 15 which point we contracted, the agency contracted, with 16 the National Finance Center to provide these services. 17 And, as I said previously, the National 18 Finance Center after a number of years, we could no 19 longer afford their services. And, in addition to 20 that, there were some aspects of the National Finance 21 Center where that was not at the time compliant with 22 all of the general ledger requirements. 23 So those were motivating factors for us to 24 migrate to another service provider. We literally 25 could not afford to bring it in-house.

=

it's 1 REYNOLDS: Now, CHAIRMAN my 2 understanding that Booth Management recently came on 3 board. Was it January of '04 or --MR. HARBISON: Approximately I think they 4 5 started working in February, but the actual conversion 6 from the Bureau of Public Debt to Booth Management 7 occurred on March 1st. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are you satisfied with 9 the amount of information and the working relationship 10 with Booth Management? Because I am assuming that you are the contact point for the Commission with respect 11 12 to Booth Management. 13 MR. HARBISON: The answer to your question 14 Booth Management is I think a very is no and no. 15 capable provider of what they do. I think that there 16 are issues that are involved with federal accounting 17 that they could perhaps do better. 18 With regards to the reporting officials, 19 the contracting officer, as I said, was the former 20 staff director and his special assistant. My contact 21 with Booth Management was through the contracting 22 reported essentially to the officer. thev So 23 contracting officer. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So they report 25 to the contracting officer. And the contracting

officer would then funnel the relevant information to 1 2 you? In many instances. 3 There MR. HARBISON: 4 where information was not other instances are 5 funneled. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So in terms of our 6 institutional knowledge, we have a situation where the 7 contracting officer, the former staff director, was 8 9 the primary repository for information and that when he left, much of the information went along with them. 10 11 MR. HARBISON: That is correct. As I say, 12 we are still working with Booth Management obviously. 13 And one of the things that they did to address some 14 of the issues that we're concerned about was to put an 15 individual on site to assist us sort of as a liaison, 16 that the institutional knowledge with regards to the 17 management of that contract is not here, as far as I 18 know. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Has that affected your 20 ability to respond to GAO requests, requests from 21 Capitol Hill? 22 MR. HARBISON: There have been, to my 23 knowledge, no requests from the Hill with regards to 24 And in terms of responding to requests for 25 generally those information, requests well,

....

1	currently those requests would probably come through
2	me. And then I would, in turn, go to the Booth
3	Management representative and get that response.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
5	MR. HARBISON: Prior to that, it would go
6	to the staff director's office. And he would go to
7	Booth Management to get the responses.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we're in a
9	position to respond to inquiries regarding our
10	finances by going to
11	MR. HARBISON: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Commissioner
13	Kirsanow?
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, Mr.
15	Harbison, you indicated that at one point, there were
16	certain things that needed to be improved. And one of
17	those was human capital with respect to budget and
18	finance. What did you mean by that? Could you
19	elaborate on that?
20	MR. HARBISON: That means I need help.
21	(Laughter.)
22	MR. HARBISON: In all honesty, that's what
23	it means. As I said once before, last year, when we
24	were engaged in the GAO audit and we were engaged in
25	the process of trying to collect what was an absolute

1	humongous amount of material, whether or not that is
2	what they actually required, I don't know, but the
3	instruction that we were given was to collect. And so
4	we did.
5	And we were in the process of collecting
6	somewhere in the neighborhood of 20-25 file drawers
7	full of data. And we just didn't have the resources
8	to do it.
, , 9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Absent requests
10	from Oversight, GAO, what have you, would you still
11	require more personnel within your department?
₩ 12	MR. HARBISON: Yes, not a whole lot of
13	personnel perhaps but certainly some assistance.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And how would you
15	quantify that? One person? Two people?
16	MR. HARBISON: I would not want to do that
17	at this point, but I would say certainly not less than
18	one.
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman?
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner? Ashley?
21	Commissioner Taylor?
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I apologize. I am
23	not as familiar with the normal operating procedure
24	for a small federal agency as others. I am assuming
25	that it is not unusual for an agency of this size to
	II

engage the services of an outside contractor. 1 2 MR. HARBISON: It's not unusual to engage an outside contractor, but I think it might depend on 3 what you are engaging the contractor to do. 4 5 The level of COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 6 service. 7 MR. HARBISON: Yes. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 8 Ι quess 9 forward, as of today -- well, let me back up a step. 10 And, again, I apologize I am bringing a different 11 This outside service, they provide perspective. recommendations regarding internal protocols that we 12 13 can adopt and follow or what? Do they have regular interaction with someone here in the absence of the 14 staff director, who was the point of contact, now? 15 16 Are they now communicating with you? 17 If they see a red flag, who do they call? 18 I quess I want to ask basic, very simple questions. 19 If they had a problem today or they wanted to make a 20 recommendation, who would they call? 21 MS. DUNSTON: Do you want me to take that, 22 All right. In the past, as you said, the 23 staff director, previous staff director, was the 24 direct contact. And most of that contact was then 25

delegated to his assistant.

1 Since they have departed from the agency, 2 we have had a couple of meetings in trying to work 3 with the vendor and restructuring that responsibility from the staff director to Tina Martin, who is now the 4 5 Director of Office of Management, and essentially 6 e-mailing if we're doing the communication, all three 7 of us receiving that e-mail, in addition to Ken. So 8 that they were working more directly with them. 9 And we're bringing in the Director of the 10 Office of Management. George and I fall under their 11 So under the Director of Management, you 12 have Budget and Finance, Administrative Services, and 13 Human Resources. 14 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So you have more 15 people now collecting the information, 16 receiving information. 17 MS. DUNSTON: We have more people in the 18 process directly related to the process, and will also 19 be directly communicated. So, therefore, we know more 20 about what is going on on a day-to-day basis versus 21 coming in later in the process or not at all in the 22 process. 23 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Are they making 24 recommendations? I mean, what are they doing? 25 MS. DUNSTON: They perform the actual work

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	in most of these. When George says he's a lone
2	person, they actually make sure the payment gets to
3	GSA. They are putting those entries into the general
4	ledger.
5	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Are they what I
6	would call the back office operation? Is that what
7	they are?
8	MS. DUNSTON: George, would you call them
9	the back office?
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Or are they
11	consulting?
12	MS. DUNSTON: They provide a full service.
13	So in that sense, actually, they are the full service
14	behind our scenes, behind for most of our customers to
15	us. But for us, they are providing a majority of the
16	support.
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: They do both. Okay.
18	MR. HARBISON: They essentially manage the
19	books, general ledgers.
20	MS. DUNSTON: Prepare reports.
21	MR. HARBISON: Financial statements.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: As part of their
23	contract, would it be normal for them, for example, to
24	provide reports to us at meetings?
25	MR. HARBISON: No.

1	MS. DUNSTON: Not to you but through us to
2	
3	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right, right.
4	That's what I mean, prepare reports for you all
5	MS. DUNSTON: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: so that we could
7	review them, whether quarterly or whatever the case
8	may be. I want to get a sense of the scope of the
9	contract.
10	MR. HARBISON: Essentially, we term it as
11	full service.
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.
13	MR. HARBISON: Anything we want relative
14	to fiscal management, fiscal operations, or
15	accounting, they would provide.
16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No further questions
17	right now, but may I have a recommendation?
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Later on, not right
20	now. Maybe later on.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
22	questions?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
25	we will hear from Ms. Dunston.

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

MS. DUNSTON: Good morning again. Like I already stated, I have been here for 14 years. Other areas of procurement that would fall in my area would be things like security, mail management, supply management, space, telecommunications, property. Also falling in my area would be library operations and NP functions.

I've been asked to speak to the GAO recommendation from the 2003 report. And the recommendation essentially said that the agency needed to establish greater controls over its contracting activities in order to be in compliance with the federal acquisition regulation.

These controls could include putting in place properly qualified personnel to oversee contracting activities, properly collecting and analyzing information about capabilities within the market to satisfy the Commission's needs and properly administering activities undertaken by a contractor during the time from contract award to contract closeout.

At the time the previous staff director did not agree with GAO's assessment. However, they did contract a procurement personnel to assist the agency with its procurement activities.

6

7

9

10

11

12

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

That vendor is Brace Management. They are providing services to us not limited to the following, providing independent advice and counsel to the staff on the full procurement process to make sure we're in compliance with the procurement regulations and rules; a simpler competition, which has been an issue I think some of the commissioners have been concerned about, competition including posting publications, preparing administrative paperwork that goes along competition. They draft or prepare paperwork such as statements of work, contract language, request for proposals, and so forth. Okay?

Brace Management is a small minority 8(a) business. The contract was awarded under 8(a) of the Small Business Act in the memorandum of understanding for streamlined 8(a) contracting.

The agency consulted with SBA's PRO-Net database to select vendors under 8(a). They were contacted, at least two firms, prior to requesting from SBA permission to negotiate and directly contract for services with Brace Management. They actually started December of 2003. And then this year, for F.Y. '05, they opted to retain their service for another fiscal year.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You've referenced

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	Brace. Is it Brace Management?
2	MS. DUNSTON: Brace, yes. I know we have
3	a couple of B's: Brace Management and Booth
4	Management.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
6	MS. DUNSTON: Brace Management is the
7	contractor for procurement, and Booth Management is
8	the contractor for accounting firm.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you.
LO	MS. DUNSTON: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? Go ahead.
12	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Do you have more?
L3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No. I noticed that
L4	you wanted to say something.
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: So who is
16	responsible for reviewing the contracting and
17	procurement?
18	MS. DUNSTON: Well, that's kind of been
19	split.
20	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Reviewing and
21	auditing?
22	MS. DUNSTON: Reviewing and auditing?
23	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
24	MS. DUNSTON: Parker, Whitfield.
25	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. For both?

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

	1	They're responsible for both?
	2	MS. DUNSTON: No. The procurement aspect,
	3	the contractor is Brace Management. You're talking
	4	about the auditor?
	5	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: For both
	6	contracting and procurement, who is reviewing?
	7	MS. DUNSTON: Okay. My office essentially
	8	does. However, the staff director delegates a portion
	9	of that responsibility. He has the same authority in
	10	hire that I would have. I have a warrant. He has a
ł	11	warrant. Okay? But the overall responsibility falls
‡	12	under Administrative Services and Clearinghouse
	13	Division.
	14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm not sure
	15	whether this is a question properly addressed to you.
	16	And if not, I'd like to address it to somebody else,
	17	obviously.
	18	By statute, the Commission is authorized
	19	to issue public service announcements, which used to
	20	occur. I remember them well. They seemed to have
	21	disappeared.
	22	Who is in charge of that function? When
	23	did they disappear? Can they be up and running once
	24	again?
	25	MS. DUNSTON: I can't give you the exact

	date when the last one was printed, but I think some
2	of the reasons why we have not in recent times would
3	be funding for obvious reasons.
4	We have not had I guess staffing has
5	been an issue in order to get some of the work done.
6	And maybe as to the staff director, he decided to
7	defer certain portions of that. But I don't have it.
8	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Part of our
9	statutory mandate
10	MS. DUNSTON: Right, but the office
11	responsible for that would be, the function itself, is
12	the Public Affairs Unit.
13	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: And, if I may add,
14	the Public Affairs Unit currently has no staff.
15	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I was going to
16	say, but the Public Affairs Unit doesn't exist.
17	MS. DUNSTON: Well, that's true to some
18	degree, yes. There is no staff.
19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: We have a little
20	bit of an Alice in Wonderland world here.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It has one employee:
22	Ms. Dickerson.
23	MS. DUNSTON: Acting.
24	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: She's serving in
25	an acting capacity.

1	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: She's acting, yes.
2	And, again, I don't know whether this is
3	on your desk or not. What has ever happened to the
4	Civil Rights Journal? Is it alive?
5	MS. DUNSTON: That's also part of Public
6	Affairs office.
7	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So it's equally
8	alive.
9	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's equally
10	alive. Okay. Are there plans to revive the Public
11	Affairs? This is a question for the staff director,
12	really. What is going to happen with the Public
13	Affairs Office?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually, that's a
15	conversation that we have to have.
13	
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a
16 17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.
16 17 18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Dunston?
16 17 18 19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Dunston?  MS. DUNSTON: Well, all right. Then I
16 17 18 19	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Dunston?  MS. DUNSTON: Well, all right. Then I have just three more comments. I was asked to look at
16 17 18 19 20 21	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Dunston?  MS. DUNSTON: Well, all right. Then I have just three more comments. I was asked to look at three contracts
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's a conversation we have to have. Okay.  CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Dunston?  MS. DUNSTON: Well, all right. Then I have just three more comments. I was asked to look at three contracts  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: You were asked to

back to your statements about press, in the last few years, you know we have had a vendor called McKinney and Associates providing press services to the agency. Last fiscal year, there was a contract written in the amount of \$95,000. It was extended this year in the same amount. For the current year so far, we have paid them \$30,732 and change. don't have any outstanding invoices currently. Last fiscal year, it is my understanding we paid them a little over \$100,000 for such services. Okay? That contract for F.Y. '04 was also conducted from the Small Business Administration 8(a) program as well as the Booth Management contract. The other contract we looked at, Booth Management, we have talked about already. In terms of responsibilities in F.Y. fiscal '05, have We have exercised an option in the amount contract. of \$220,000-plus. We have paid them so far \$36,000 and change for F.Y. '05. And I understand we will be looking at whether we want to deal with that contract and so forth just in terms of what we have paid them for this current year. Okay? I think the question was raised whether we

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

could end a contract and how we would be able to do

The General Counsel's Office -- and I have had

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discussions with our procurement contractor -- talked about a way which we could get out.

It is my understanding that the term will be used to terminate for convenience. And that means that the government can cancel that contract if it is in the best interest for the government to do so. We would have to notify that vendor, provide a case as to why we are canceling it, and then essentially negotiate terms and pay them for allowable costs, such as preparing for continuing the contract work they have already done for their current year so far.

The last contract would be Parker, Whitfield. This contractor is the contractor who is doing our balance sheet audit. The contract actually started at the end of F.Y. '04 and will go into completion sometime in F.Y. '05, obviously.

The contract was issued in the amount of \$23,820 with a partial funding in F.Y. '04, the balance being in F.Y. '05. To date, we have one invoice outstanding, which is in the amount of \$10,971 and change.

That vendor again was selected through the SBA's 8(a) Small Business Act. We looked at the GSA Advantage schedule, where they have vendors listed and

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

selected, firms in the D.C. area for D.C., Maryland, 1 2 and Virginia under 8(a) selection. 3 The contract was originally offered to 4 another vendor. It is not clear according to the file why that vendor was not selected. Afterwards, the 5 staff director then had discussions with Parker, 6 Whitfield and Company and requested from SBA authority 7 to negotiate and contract directly with 8 9 Whitfield, who is currently doing our audit. 10 That's all I have. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 11 Thank you. 12 Any additional questions? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, Ms. Dunston, Mr. 15 Harbison, thank you very much. 16 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Thank you very 17 much. 18 MR. HARBISON: Thank you all. 19 MS. DUNSTON: Tina is on the phone. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ms. Martin? 21 I'm Tina Martin. MS. MARTIN: Yes. Hi. 22 I'm currently the Director of Management. I've been 23 the director for approximately four months. I'm also 24 serving as the HR Director. And I've been the 25 director for about two years. And I've been with the

1 Commission for about 16 years.

I've been asked to speak on three areas in my division, one being hiring and promotions, another being buyouts, and then recommendations from the 2004 GAO audit. Basically, on hires and promotions, during the course of fiscal year '04 and '05, we hired five new employees and we promoted five employees.

In F.Y. '04, the Commission offered buyouts. And we granted 3 buyouts, each at \$25,000, totalling \$75,000. On the GAO recommendations, the 2004 report entitled "Management Could Benefit From Improved Strategic Planning and Increased Oversight," basically what they were looking for was to see to what extent the Commission was in compliance with the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act, also known as the GPRA Act.

GAO makes six recommendations. And I'm just going to give you a brief overview of those recommendations and what action has been taken or has not been taken, the first recommendation being update to its five-year strategic plan according to GPRA's required schedule and include all elements required under GPRA and OMB guidance. No action has been taken.

The second, ensure the future annual

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

performance plans include all elements required under 1 GPRA and OMB guidance. There was no action taken. 2 Recommendation number three, ensure that 3 performance reports include all elements 4 annual required under GPRA. No action has been taken. 5 Recommendation number four, implement all 6 of the recommendations in OPM's and GAO's previous 7 GAO looked at some of the recommendations 8 that OPM had recommended. And there were about 16 of 9 10 GAO determined that out of those 16, 5 still them. needed to be implemented. And there is still work to 11 12 be done in those five areas. 13 Recommendation number five, include the 14 status of the Commission's effort to implement OPM's and GAO's recommendations in the GPRA plans and 15 16 reports. No action has been taken. 17 And recommendation number six, seek the services of existing inspector generals in other 18 19 agencies to help keep the Commission and the Congress 20 informed of problems and deficiencies and to conduct 21 and supervise necessary audits and investigations. 22 action has been taken in that area. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Excuse me. Ms. 24 Martin, could you explain why we have done so little 25 in terms of implementing the recommendations? I mean,

1	have we reviewed the recommendations and concluded
2	that they were inappropriate or is this something that
3	fell through the cracks?
4	MS. MARTIN: Well, this area was basically
, 5	given to the former staff director's special
6	assistant. He was in charge of all of the GPRA
7	reporting.
8	Now, I believe that they sent a response
9	back saying that they basically didn't agree with most
10	of the recommendations of this report, but I can't
11	tell you why we haven't taken any action. I just know
12	that no action has been taken.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you.
14	Please continue.
15	MS. MARTIN: Oh, that's it. If you have
16	any questions, I will be happy to answer.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any additional
18	questions? Yes?
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Ms. Martin, this
20	is Commissioner Kirsanow. Thanks very much for
21	participating by speaker phone.
22	MS. MARTIN: You're welcome.
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Do you have any
24	idea why the buyouts were executed?
25	MS. MARTIN: To my knowledge, it's because
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	we needed to save some money.
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The total amount
3	was 75,000?
4	MS. MARTIN: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Okay. And to
6	which fiscal year did that apply?
7	MS. MARTIN: Fiscal Year '04.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's all.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you.
10	MS. MARTIN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Up next is Ms.
12	Carr.
13	MS. CARR: Good afternoon. As you know, I
14	am Debra Carr, the General Counsel for the Office of
15	General Counsel for the Commission.
16	Currently within the Office of General
17	Counsel, we have six attorney advisers and a general
18	counsel. And we are currently understaffed. I
19	believe that our full-time allotted slots would total
20	approximately ten, and our total staff is seven at the
21	moment.
22	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Debra, I'm sorry
23	to interrupt you, but when was it last ten? Is this
24	something new, something longstanding?
25	MS. CARR: No. I think it was last ten
	NEAL R. GROSS

1 probably about ten years ago. 2 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I see. MS. CARR: So we have been understaffed 3 for a significant period of time. I have been with 4 the Office of General Counsel for three years. And in 5 my three-year tenure, we have never been at full 6 7 staffing level. 8 I am going to speak to two areas that 9 relate to either the legal or the program functions of 10 the Office of General Counsel. The program management 11 area is an area that GAO reviewed in its 2003 report. 12 And in that 2003 report as it relates to OGC, there 13 recommendation. And that really one was 14 recommendation related to the process created for 15 commissioner input into projects and reports that are 16 produced by the agency. 17 In the Commission's formal reply to GAO 18 that was submitted by the former staff director, it 19 was the position of the Commission that there were, in 20 would fact, existing mechanisms that ensure 21 commissioner input into these areas. 22 The staff director specifically noted in 23 his response on behalf of the agency that the existing 24 systems included the process of project planning that 25 occurs annually at the Commission in January and February.

During this process, commissioners debate and discuss project proposals that have been submitted by the program offices, of which OGC is one, and OCRE, the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, is the other. So commissioners review, discuss, and vote on project proposals.

An additional area or mechanism in which the staff director believed that the Commission created an appropriate level of participation and input was the opportunity for commissioners to request meetings with the program office manager or program office manager team, in addition to the staff director. And he specifically noted that on an occasion concerning a report written by OCRE, that that mechanism seemed to be very successful as it related to a report on federal funding.

The former staff director also noted that the Commission has a history of commissioners debating on a page by page or paragraph by paragraph basis draft reports submitted by staff for Commission approval as yet another mechanism where he believed there was sufficient input.

And I believe he noted the existence of an administrative instruction, 1.6, that governed the

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

overall management of Commission projects and programs as it relates to project proposals, project concept, project design, draft reports, and the completion of the entire report-writing process.

Those and other comments were, as I said, submitted by the staff director as part of the official agency report. And it's attached to the final report issued by GAO.

It should be noted that the single recommendation that would touch on any aspect of OGC's performance, in fact, relates to Commission policy, as opposed to a management issue. In fact, the former staff director noted that the current process created for commissioner input has been something that the commissioners in the past created and continue to maintain.

Specifically, I think there was a vote in January of '04 on reforming the manner in which of, Commission projects are executed in terms That reform proposal, which I commissioner input. believe was submitted by Commissioner Redenbaugh, was rejected on a four-four vote. And after that rejection of reform proposal, а there no additional action that specific GAO as to recommendation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Ms. Carr, 1 before you go on, you've made quite a few references 2 to the letter written by the former staff director. 3 4 I'm trying to get a sense. 5 During the question and answer session, I suspect that you will be asked whether you agree with 6 7 So do you embrace the views these assessments. contained in the response submitted by the staff 8 9 director or are you just relating the exchange, the chronology, "GAO said" X and "The staff director 10 11 responded by saying" Y? 12 MS. CARR: I am basically relating to you 13 what the recommendations are and what the official 14 as to those recommendations, agency position was 15 specifically as they relate to my office. 16 Those that I have laid out in terms of how 17 the process worked as reported by the staff director, 18 his report of the process is correct. It seems that 19 there was disagreement between the sufficiency of the 20 existing process in response to the recommendation, 21 but everything that he laid out in his response is, in 22 fact, correct. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 24 So what I am saying, for MS. CARR: 25 example, is if you go to that AI. AI 1.6 does exist.

1 1.6 does outline how Commission projects and 2 reports should be produced. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: MS. CARR: And the only issue is whether 4 the staff director and GAO agree about whether that AI 5 6 that completely sufficient in response to is 7 recommendation. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Right, right. 9 And on the issue of whether MS. CARR: 10 this is a management issue, it is correct that the 11 policy not set by office heads does exist about how - 12 and whether there should be commissioner exchange or 13 by the interaction with staff. And that's set 14 Commission, implemented by the staff director but not 15 by office heads. 16 So I'm relaying to you that that policy 17 exists and should it be changed would have to be done 18 at the commissioner level, not at the management 19 level. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 21 Prior to the October 2003 MS. CARR: 22 report that I just reviewed, there was a report in 23 1997, well before my tenure here, that also made three 24 recommendations to the Commission. Some of the 25 recommendations relate to the management of OGC.

The three recommendations included 1 Commission updating its Code of Federal Regulations 2 because they had been out of date for a significant 3 4 period of time. 5 GAO also recommended internal management 6 guidance be updated, meaning the AIs, that they also 7 be updated. And the final recommendation related to 8 9 program management. And that recommendation included 10 instituting a tracking system to track the progress of 11 projects to ensure that reports were produced on time; 12 to ensure that projects were, in fact, completed; and to provide office heads responsible for project 13 management cost data as it relates to projects staged 14 15 I am going to speak to that issue first by them. 16 because it more directly impacts the management of my 17 office. In 1997, when GAO recommended that better 18 19 project management be instituted, it reported that 20 some projects in OGC in '96 and 1997 took 4 to 5 years 21 to complete and that there were projects that seemed 22 to never have been completed. 23

report that since my tenure at the Commission, I have been able to reduce completion time to 12 months, the outset limit being

24

18 months depending on staffing issues and exigent So I report that we have greatly circumstances. improved in terms of project management there. GAO also recommended that, again, we use a tracking system. The Commission purchased in 1998 a 6 computerized tracking software, information management system software. And that software appeared over time to 9 have been used differently by different 10 In the last three years, we have used the counsel. 11 management information software to prepare monthly 12 reports to the staff director that are presented to 13 the commissioners as a part of their monthly meeting 14 And that management information software, packet. 15 that report, identifies each project, provides a 16 baseline or projected start date for the project, a 17 baseline-projected completion date for the project, 18 and also provides baseline or projected markers for 19 significant activity for each project. 20 And it also allows commissioners 21 compare baseline projections with actual completion 22 dates and times. Ι think that's a significant 23 improvement over what GAO found in 1997. 24

updating the management The issue of quidance or the internal administrative instructions

#### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MACHINICTON DC 20005,3701

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

to

was something pointed to by GAO in 1997, but my office has no direct authority to implement on our own. 2 authority lies in the staff director's office. 3 example, administrative 4 for So, instructions can be withdrawn, revised, replaced by 5 the staff director. Office heads if they find an AI 6 that needs to be withdrawn or supplemented may note it 7 And the staff director may 8 for the staff director. act on it or he may task the responsible office with 9 doing so under his direction and guidance. 10 I would note that since the 1997 GAO 11 report asking that the Commission update its AIs, in 12 2004, the Commission's administrative instructions had 13 There were 94 AIs, and basically all been updated. 14 only 4 predate 1999. So out of these 94 AIs, 74 of 15 them were issued after the 1997 report asking that 16 they, in fact, be updated. The process of updating 17 then continued with additional AIs being issued or 18 19 updated in 2000, 2002, 2003, and again in 2004. 20 The final recommendation was that the 21 Commission update its Code of Federal Regulations. 1998, after the 1997 GAO report, the commissioners 22 23 and on that adopt act fact, to voted, in 24 recommendation in September 1998. 25 Unfortunately, implementing the

1 commissioner vote took several years. And the first 2 update of the Commission's CFRs was not completed until late 2002. The Commission, however, proposed to 3 again update its CFRs in 2004. And those proposed 4 5 updates are currently pending as a result of the 6 to that transition at the Commission. So as 7 recommendation, some action has been taken and 8 continues to be taken. 9 I think this presentation speaks to those 10 two areas where OGC has primary responsibility: one, its programming; and, two, providing legal assistance 11 12 as it relates to the CFRs, possibly the AIs. 13 If there are any questions, I will take 14 Yes, Commissioner Thernstrom? them. 15 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I want to go to 16 the whole question of input by commissioners into 17 reports, which, as you said, the GAO is very concerned 18 with in its October 2003 report. 19 You listed, and, as you said, you were 20 simply listing what the response of the staff director 21 had been to that GAO concern. And it is true that in 22 the initial project planning, there was always a 23 Commission discussion. 24 You said, secondly, that commissioners 25 could request meetings. Well, it was not really --

1 y
2 e
3 h
4 w
5 e
6 t
7 r
8 1
9 r

yes, in the abstract. In fact, that was really an empty invitation in that the point of meetings would have been to go over precisely the shape that projects were taking, the questions that were being asked, the evidence that was being gathered so that at the end of the day, there was some possibility we could have a report that we all could sign on to. And there was literally no point to requesting meetings about reports, to discuss reports about which we had zero information.

On the page by page discussion of reports, we got reports very late, same time they were issued to the public, the drafts. There really was never the time in my experience to go through the reports in the way that they should have been gone through, but that shouldn't have been a last-minute process anyway.

That should have been an ongoing process, in my view, as the reports evolved. And I would hope going forward, as I said in my opening remarks and referred to just now, that there would be a collaborative process that allowed us to work with staff to shape projects so that at the end of the day, we have a much better chance of having something we could all sign.

And if we don't have something we can all

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

sign, then we at least understand what our differences are. Perhaps those differences can be, in fact, built in to the substance of the report by saying, you know, this is an area of disagreement.

And, of course, they should always be open to dissents. There shouldn't be any reports that come out of this Commission that don't allow for dissenting views that would go up with the final report.

So, you know, I understand the staff director's responses, but I, frankly, found them woefully inadequate.

MS. CARR: I'm not sure what type of response there needs to be to that, but I will just note a couple of things. As to some of the matters, some of the issues raised by the staff director, GAO acknowledged that they served some purpose, but they also wrote that much of the internal control or process for commissioner involvement seemed to be very general in nature or somewhat ad hoc.

I think after GAO responded to the staff director's agency reply, it's at that time that he noted that the most important -- I don't want to say obstacle. It doesn't really capture it, but the most important issue here is what policy the commissioners ultimately put in place.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. NAASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

1	I think Les Jin, the former staff director
2	and staff directors before him, would have argued that
3	the need for somewhat of a wall was to maintain the
4	integrity of independent career staff work and to let
5	the public feel confident that the work wasn't being
6	politicized.
7	If there is a different view, another
8	direction, different atmosphere, as Mr. Jin noted, as
9	it's reflected in the GAO report, this Commission can
10	take a different policy direction.
11	So I don't think we have a disagreement,
12	Commissioner Thernstrom. I'm just noting the history
13	of this process
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I think that is an
15	accurate history.
16	MS. CARR: in how the Commission has
17	responded.
18	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. That is an
19	accurate history.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions or
21	comments?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
24	Carr.
25	MS. CARR: Thank you very much.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. We're down to
2	the last agenda item, "Future Agenda Items." Oh, I'm
3	sorry. Okay. I guess I want to go to the beginning.
4	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I want to
5	hear from Terri.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry, Ms.
7	Dickerson.
8	MS. DICKERSON: Good afternoon, Mr.
9	Chairman, Vice Chair, commissioners, and staff
10	director. I'm Terri Dickerson. And I'm Assistant
11	Staff Director, Director of the Office of Evaluation
12	and Acting Public Affairs Chief. I'm cognizant that I
13	am the only agenda item between you and lunch. So
13 14	am the only agenda item between you and lunch. So CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.
14 15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)  MS. DICKERSON: I did want to use 30
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)  MS. DICKERSON: I did want to use 30 seconds of the 4 minutes I was asked to present to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)  MS. DICKERSON: I did want to use 30 seconds of the 4 minutes I was asked to present to thank the Vice Chair for her comments about the staff.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)  MS. DICKERSON: I did want to use 30 seconds of the 4 minutes I was asked to present to thank the Vice Chair for her comments about the staff.  We really appreciate that. I know that I speak for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Take your time.  VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Don't take your time, Terri. I'm hungry.  (Laughter.)  MS. DICKERSON: I did want to use 30 seconds of the 4 minutes I was asked to present to thank the Vice Chair for her comments about the staff.  We really appreciate that. I know that I speak for many of us when I say that we look forward to working

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

In my remaining I guess four and a half

minutes, I think I will remain more focused on the Office of Evaluation. And then to the extent there are questions about the Public Affairs Unit like the two that the Vice Chair already had, I would be happy

The Office of Civil Rights Evaluation as well is understaffed, two down from when I started four years ago and I believe four down from the past six years. So we have, I believe, at present six civil rights analysts, social science analysts, and a secretary, and myself. So that comprises our staff.

In the 4 years I have been here, we have produced 17 written reports. So I want to just note some of the comments that were made earlier by Debra that we have a project management process in place, which apprises the staff director of project dates and milestones. And I think that some of those came out of the 1997 GAO recommendation.

And, as a consequence, we have been able to produce our reports all in less than 12 months. And, in addition to the reports, we have done about a half dozen briefings in the 4 years that I have been here.

I don't want to dwell on the complaints, but that is a big part of our responsibility.

### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS**

21

22

23

24

1 respond to the more than 3,500 complaints that the 2 agency receives from individuals. And we refer them to the appropriate civil rights agencies that have 3 4 authority. Nearly 15,000 civil rights complaints in 5 the 4 years since I have been here we have processed. 6 7 And I will say that when I came, there was an agency backlog of complaints. But we have maintained that. 8 9 I think that you may note from your monthly reports 10 that that has been at zero for at least since 2002. I will discuss our 2005 projects that Ken 11 - ATT asked me to note what we are working on. 12 We have 13 three assignments in 2005. And I did give a written summary of this information to the staff director that 14 15 I assume was passed on to the commissioners. 16 One of our projects is looking at ten 17 years after the Adarand decision, not so much from the 18 standpoint of assessing our analyzing the decision 19 itself but assessing what the government's role has 20 been to implement it in terms of the regulations and 21 programs that grew out of the decision. 22 We're planning to look at the effect of 23 the regulations on women and minority-owned firms and 24 their participation in federal procurement. 25

scheduled for March, April affected agency review and

all of that so that the commissioners may discuss it and review the draft that we prepare before their June 2005 meeting. This is our statutory report.

A couple of other points that we're going at on that report, we are going look specifically analyze Adarand's effect on the number of women and minority-owned businesses that have received federal contracts, analyzing the amount of federal procurement funds awarded to women and minority-owned businesses, examine whether the small disadvantaged certification process has reached the levels initially whether certified businesses projected, have benefitted by securing federal contracts, and the role of federal agencies, specifically SBA, the Department of Commerce, and the Department of Justice implementing that decision.

We are running a little bit behind on this. We have done all of our research. We started in September. And I think it might have gotten a little upset by the transition.

We only had allowed several days in which the staff director would review the interrogatories that would be going to agencies. And, of course, we have a new staff director. And we gave him those interrogatories when he arrived. And those are with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 him still, but we are hoping to be able to make up the 2 time and keep that project on schedule. 3 We also as a second project this year were 4 assigned minority employment in the wealth gap. This 5 is an examination of employment participation rates, income disparities, and the wealth gap that exists in 6 7 the country between minorities and whites. 8 Historically a sustained economy has had a .9\_ adisparate effect on minorities versus whites. And so 10 -we are looking into that particular question. 11 scheduled for submission to the staff director in 12 And that is running according to plan and 13 according to the schedule that we have laid out. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 15 DICKERSON: We have a recurring MS. 16 series, which has been mentioned a couple of times 17 And that is our annual report on this morning. 18 funding of civil rights agencies. 19 We look at the Departments of Education; 20 Justice; Health and Human Services; Labor; EEOC; and 21 the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity at 22 HUD, at Housing and Urban Development. And we track 23 the resources that each of these civil rights offices 24 receives. 25 This report is dependent on the release of

the President's budget and, after that, the allocation of budgets to those offices. We have begun our work on that report, and it is going according to our plans.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am not going to get into the 2006 projects. I'll just mention them by name. One is again the series on funding, federal civil rights enforcement. Second is religious discrimination in the workplace. And another is that one we're doing in conjunction with the Office of General Counsel, which is looking at the reauthorization of the Voting Rights Act.

Ms. Carr and others already discussed some of the recommendations that involve the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation. In 1997, the GAO recommended enhanced project tracking. This is something that we have implemented for as long as I have been here. And the tracking, including milestone dates, is something that I report on regularly to the staff director.

2003 In its report, the GAO also recommended that the Commission adopt procedures for commissioner involvement increased in project And during the completion of our implementation. funding report, the staff met with Commissioner Redenbaugh and his assistant, Melissa Sharp,

1	157
1	several points during the preparation of that report.
2	Outside of that report, though, staff has not had the
3	opportunity to meet with commissioners in report
4	completion.
5	As I said, in the interest of time, I
6	won't get into the Public Affairs Unit. I would be
7	happy to, though, respond to any questions that
8	anybody might have about that. And if you would like
9	. me to address the ones that I've already posed, I can
10	- give some insight.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I have at least
12	one question.
13	MS. DICKERSON: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I view the Commission
15	as a bully pulpit. It's the message that matters.
16	And it concerns me that our Public Affairs Unit is,
17	well
18	MS. DICKERSON: Understaffed.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, that's putting
20	it gently. In any event, please give some thought to
21	how we can improve the Public Affairs staff. Have
22	some conversations with the staff director. And we'll
23	take this issue up. We'll discuss this issue again.
24	MS. DICKERSON: Okay. I will.
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I will be happy to

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. MASHINGTON D.C. 20005-3701

look into that, talk with Ms. Dickerson, and discuss 1 2 with you as well. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you. Vice Chair? 4 Terri, you said 5 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: you need more staff. What are your holes, as it were, 6 in terms of the skill sets the existing staff brings 7 to these projects? I mean, for instance, do you have 8 9 well-trained in statistical is somebody who 10 methodology and exploring social science questions? 11 That's one obvious. 12 MS. DICKERSON: Yes. In fact, we do have 13 on staff several people who have that expertise. have three social scientists presently. And they do 14 15 have that training and preparation. 16 I guess the staffing that I was alluding 17 to is that prior to my coming here, the staff worked 18 on one report, which was the statutory report, but 19 since then, we have had up to five or six projects and 20 reports. 21 VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: So this is just a 22 manpower --23 Exactly, yes. MS. DICKERSON: 24 THERNSTROM: It's VICE CHAIR not 25 question of needing certain skills that are not --

1	MS. DICKERSON: Not represented?
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That your staff
3	does not have at the moment?
4	MS. DICKERSON: No. I wouldn't
5	characterize it that way at all. We get things done
6	through, especially when we're on deadline, weekends
7	and evenings to get things done.
8	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: If you're
9	. short-staffed, it's a kind of obvious question.
10	MS. DICKERSON: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Are there people
12	with specific skills that you need?
13	MS. DICKERSON: Yes.
14	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions?
16	Yes, Commissioner Kirsanow?
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: This is not
18	directed to Ms. Dickerson, just an observation. I
19	wonder if it makes sense given some of the things we
20	have heard today in terms of the possibility that we
21	may be implementing certain reforms specifically
22	directed to the manner in which commissioners may or
23	may not be giving input during the course of
24	preparation of certain reports and also given that we
25	have had a significant transition in terms of the

composition of the Commission, whether we may want to 1 take a look at, revisit these reports, in the time 2 3 frame in which they are completed and the manner in 4 which they are completed. I guess I do have a question. I think you 5 indicated, Ms. Dickerson, that the Adarand report was 6 7 a little bit behind schedule but you expected, I 8 think, to have the effective agency review completed, I think, in -- was it April or May or so? 9 10 MS. DICKERSON: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: When do you think 12 that report will be complete based on what you know 13 right now? 14 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, may 15 I just interject one remark, that, in part, the delay 16 is entirely not the responsibility of Ms. Dickerson 17 because she does have some documents relating to that 18 which are under review in my office. So that has been 19 entirely in my review. And that hasn't been entirely 20 a responsibility. So it will depend, in part, on that 21 review. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I will add that 23 we have to get our arms around the current projects 24 that are underway and decide what we are going to 25 keep, what we are going to modify, and what we are not

1	going to use. And we have to do that I guess over the
2	next 30 or 40 days. In fact, I hope that at our next
3	Commission meeting we discuss this very issue.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And my comment had
5	nothing to do with whether or not Ms. Dickerson was
6	getting things done in a timely fashion. She always
7	does. I just want to get a sense for when Adarand was
8	coming out so we may have an understanding as to what
9	.type of involvement we may have in that process.
LO	MS. DICKERSON: The current project
11	management projected date is June, for discussion in
12	y June of 2005.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
16	Dickerson.
17	MS. DICKERSON: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On a related note,
19	Commissioner Kirsanow, at a previous Commission
20	meeting, I believe it was agreed that at the then
21	scheduled January 21st meeting, we would discuss the
22	report on financial ed and higher education. In
23	addition to that, at the February meeting, we were
24	
25	supposed to discuss the minority census
1	

1	Reinvestment Act.
2	I believe that we need to discuss whether
3	it makes sense to postpone a discussion of these
4	issues until after we have reviewed all of the
5	projects that are underway. So if anyone would be
6	willing to entertain a motion
7	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: I so move that we
8	postpone discussion-specific projects until we have
9	had a chance.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And I second.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
12	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And the discussion
13	would be when?
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: My goal is to have
15	this discussion at the next Commission meeting.
16	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. Absolutely.
17	Has to be.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other questions or
19	comments?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
22	let's vote. All in favor, say "Aye."
23	(Whereupon, there was a chorus of "ayes.")
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
25	(No response.)
	NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes.
4	FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would someone like to
6	confirm that we are at the last agenda item? Did I
7	skip anyone?
8	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Future agenda?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: All of the staff
11	reports have been conducted.
12	" CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Great. Okay. Folks,
13	we're coming down the home stretch. The last item on
14	the agenda is a discussion of future agenda items. We
15	have discussed some already, a discussion of the
16	current projects underway. What else?
17	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's the main
18	thing, it seems to me. That is our immediate task.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So I think that
20	everyone should understand that if they have ideas,
21	they should just contact the staff director. And then
22	we will circulate suggested agenda items and then
23	discuss it and reach a decision.
24	At this point in time, if there's nothing
25	else to discuss, I would like to entertain a motion to

1	adjourn.
2	VICE CHAIR THERNSTROM: So moved.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second?
4	COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Thank you.
6	(Whereupon, at 1:12 p.m., the foregoing
7	matter was adjourned.)
8	
9	
10	
11	