U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

VIA TELECONFERENCE

+ + + + +

MONDAY, OCTOBER 31, 2005

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C.

+ + + + +

The Meeting convened via teleconference at 2:00 p.m., Chairperson Gerald A. Reynolds, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, CHAIRMAN
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, COMMISSIONER
PETER N. KIRSANOW, COMMISSIONER
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, COMMISSIONER
ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., COMMISSIONER
MICHAEL YAKI, COMMISSIONER

KENNETH L. MARCUS, STAFF DIRECTOR

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005:3701

STAFF PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES

DEBRA CARR, ESQ, Associate Deputy Staff Director

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, Administrative Services and Clearinghouse Division

1

SETH JEFFE

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director, Human Resources Division

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor/Parliamentarian

AUDREY WRIGHT

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANT PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER JENNINGS

LISA NEUDER

KIMBERLY SCHULD

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

Adjourn

PROCEEDINGS

(2:07:26 p.m.) 2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. This is 3 Chairman Gerald Reynolds. This is a teleconference 4 with all the Commissioners participating in this 5 electronic meeting from different locations. 6 being held pursuant to a motion adopted at the October 7 7th, 2005 meeting to discuss issues concerning the 8 State Advisory Committees that were scheduled to be 9 discussed at that meeting. I will call the name of 10 each Commissioner in order to determine if there is a 11 quorum to hold a meeting. First up, Vice Chair 12 Thernstrom. 13 MR. MARCUS: The Vice Chair regrets she 14 won't be able to participate. 15 CHAIRPERSON Commissioner REYNOLDS: 16 17 Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here. 18 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner 19 20 Braceras. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Here. 21 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki. 22 23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Here. Commissioner CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: 24 25 Kirsanow.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Here.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
3	Melendez.
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Here.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. The meeting
6	will come to order. I request that whenever you
7	address the Commission you first identify yourself by
8	name so that it's clear to the person preparing the
9	transcript who's speaking. Whenever it's necessary to
10	take a vote the following procedure will be followed.
11	I will call out the name of each Commissioner. The
12	Commissioner should then answer yes, no, or abstain.
13	After the vote is concluded, I will read out how each
14	one of you voted in order to ensure that the tally is
15	correct.
16	I. Approval of Agenda
17	The first item on the agenda is the
18	approval of the agenda. May I have a motion to
19	approve the agenda?
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
21	Kirsanow here.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Well,
23	actually, I am going to move that we amend the agenda
24	so that we can add the announcement section to discuss
25	the passing of Rosa Parks. May I have a second?

1	У
2	
3	a
4	C
5	W W
6	c
7	
8	
9	π
10	п
11	
12]
13	
14	
15	
16	c
17	c
18	
19	
20] E
21	
22	}
23	
24	
	H

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And I vote in favor The tally is as follows - all of the also. Okav. Commissioners present voted in the affirmative. There in were, naturally, abstentions and none no opposition. The motion carries.

II. Approval of October 7, 2005 Minutes

The second item is the approval of the minutes of the October 7th, 2005 meeting. May I have a notion?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner Taylor. So moved.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yaki, second.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you. Any discussion? Okay. Please vote when your name is called. Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner

Braceras.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner

25 Kirsanow.

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner

Melendez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And I also vote in favor. Each of the Commissioners participating in this meeting voted in favor of the motion. The motion carries.

III. Announcements

Right now we are about to All right. begin the announcement section. I am sad to announce the recent passing of Rosa Parks, whose refusal in 1955 to give her seat to a white passenger on a bus in Alabama set in motion events that inaugurated a new phase in the Civil Rights movement. The arrests that followed Ms. Parks' civil disobedience unified Black Americans to stage and prevail in a 381 boycott of the Similar actions in other Montgomery bus system. districts have been successful, but the incident gained attention owing, in part, to a young minister, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., who led the boycott and soon rose to national renown. A U.S. Supreme Court decision followed which ordered the bus system desegregated. Rosa Parks was an inspiration to Her courage helped to bring about the Civil us all.

usisu noalmmee com

The loss

Rights legislation that transformed the lives of 1 millions of men and women in this country. 2 of Ms. Rosa Parks will be felt for a very long time to 3 4 come. Next up, I guess we begin the 5 Okav. discussion about the State Advisory Committee. 6 7 IV. State Advisory Committees COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Commissioner. 8 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes. 9 It's Commissioner COMMISSIONER YAKI: 10 Yaki. 11 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just wanted to state 13 that with regard to the death of Ms. Rosa Parks; that, 14 one, I am very glad and heartened that she is lying in 15 state at the Capitol, the first woman to do so, to 16 honor her memory. And two, that as we think about 17 what she did, we remember that when she did it, and 18 19 the very act that she did was one without a proverbial safety net. So many times today actions that would be 20 21 characterized as one by Rosa Parks are done with coordinated help, lawyers at the ready, video cams on 22 23 She did it by herself in a single act of hand.

defiance without that kind of support and expectation

of safety. And I think that made what she did all the

24

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

more important, all the more brave, all the more singularly courageous, and why she is deserving of the many tributes and honors she has been receiving for the past week.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Here, here.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Thank you for your kind and insightful comments. Would any other Commissioner like to take a few moments to comment on Ms. Parks' passing?

Next is a motion to amend the Okay. regulations concerning membership Commission's criteria of the State Advisory Committees. This is a unique opportunity to start anew and cast a wide net members that bring diverse include new SAC to backgrounds and a wealth of skills to the Advisory Commissioner Taylor, Committee process. presiding Commissioner on the task force on SACs, would you like to make this motion?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I would, sir. I move that the Commission approve the following changes to the regulatory language revising the existing provision regarding SAC membership requirements. I also move that the Commission approve the following regulatory language to be published in the "Federal Register" for notice and public comment, and that all

CFR

so.

Advisorv

the

by

45

do

necessary background information be inserted in the notice as required by the "Federal Register." regulation replacing Proposed Mr. Chair, should I read the balance of the 703.5. motion? CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes, please read it into the record. TAYLOR: Ι will COMMISSIONER Subpart A of 703.5, membership subject to exceptions made from time to time by the Commission to fit Each Advisory Committee shall special circumstances. consist of at least 11 members appointed by the Commission. • Members of the Advisory Committees shall serve for a fixed term to be set by the Commission upon the appointment of a member subject to the duration of Advisory Committees as prescribed by the charter, providing that members the of time removed Committee may at any be Commission.

Subpart B, no person is to be denied an opportunity to serve on a State Advisory Committee because of race, age, sex, religion, national origin or disability. The Commission shall encourage membership on the State Advisory Committee to be broadly diverse.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. IMASHINGTON DC 20005,3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

their removal would have

for

years,

devastating effect on SAC work.

The motion to recharter SAC packages for only six months I believe is unacceptable to at least the Committee in the State of Nevada. SACs need to be given full charter room to begin their official work immediately is the position I think, what I'm getting from the people I work with. The council pendingly chartering packages seems to be an attempt to force the new criteria on the SAC, so just for the record, that's my comments on this whole issue.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. You're jumping a little ahead of us, because we're going to discuss some of the issues that you mentioned, including term limits, a little later. But in any event, are there any additional comments or responses to Commissioner Melendez' comments?

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. Commissioner
Yaki.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes

COMMISSIONER YAKI: It should come as very little surprise that I am unalterably opposed to the change in the SAC membership. I do not mind, and I suggested earlier, but apparently it was not printed or distributed, although I sent it to all members, that I would not mind supplementing current criteria.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005 3701

But I believe that what we are doing today begs the question, what are we doing, and why are we doing it?

To me, at least, there's been little to no controversy surrounding membership and the work of our SAC, certainly not from the communities to whom we are beholding, and that is the people for whom the Civil Commission was founded. agree with Rights Commissioner Melendez that the criteria as noted is rather elitist, as I would say that there is a possibility that people who are community activists from community-based organizations would have less of an opportunity to become members of the SAC. I think that what we want in SAC members are people who are committed in spirit and in heart to the cause of advancing and protecting Civil Rights, not people for whom is a casual endeavor, or for whom their life's mission is to, indeed, undermine, which some of the categories would seem to want to include.

I think that I have yet to hear any compelling rationale for changing the membership of the SAC. I think that in so doing, we have put the SAC on hold for almost a year, and I would say that I cannot in good conscience vote for this measure. And I will dissent very strongly from what I believe is a wasted opportunity to, perhaps, supplement rather than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	wholesale change the nature and composition of our
2	State Advisory Committees.
3	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any additional
4	comments?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Kirsanow here.
6	Just a brief note with respect to the proposed
7	changes. Unless I'm reading it wrong with respect to
8	Commissioner Yaki's note, and Commissioner Melendez'
9	concern that this might promote a sense of elitism.
10	My read of this is that these are not mandatory
11	criteria in terms of the individuals coming from
12	particular occupations or vocations. These are
13	advisory or preferences, which wouldn't necessarily
14	preclude individuals coming from other groups.
15	Second, with respect to the nature of the
16	SACs themselves ?-
17	COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. This is the
18	court reporter. I'm sorry. Somehow I got
19	disconnected just a couple of minutes ago.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We can't repeat
21	exactly what we said. Commissioner Yaki was just
22	extolling my interpretation of the proposed amendment.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That's a joke.
24	Okay. Where did you drop off?
25	COURT REPORTER: It was - Commissioner

Kirsanow was saying, "Second, with respect to the nature of the SACs themselves."

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'll make this very truncated. My read of the amendments is that it's not exclusionary, that it is broadly inclusive and wouldn't bar individuals from a variety of occupations, and wouldn't necessarily be elitist. I also made some reference to the fact that I think with all due respect to those who served on the SAC's service, we all, I think, are grateful for.

There is a very important purpose, and salutory purpose of having limits. I think there's merit in having turnover.

retorted with a smile that my reading of the language was much more mandatory in terms of the first three categories; social science research, legal research and analysis, and statistical analysis. I read "including but not limited to" to mean these guys are there, there are others who might be there, but these are the ones we definitely want on there. And I was making that point to second the notion of the point made by Commissioner Melendez, that this was, in fact, elitist criteria, and to respond to Commissioner Kirsanow's statement that he thought it was very

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5

inclusive. I don't think so, and I think that it would be interesting to see the composition of the SACs under this criteria, to see whether or not either of our predictions come true or not. And then Commissioner Melendez stepped in again.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, actually ?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no. Commissioner

Reynolds then rebutted me, and the Commissioner

Melendez came in.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I'm not going to repeat my comments. I think that Commissioner Kirsanow's statements cover what I said, but I think it would be an interesting exercise to take a look at the composition of our current SAC members. I suspect that today we could be accused of being elitist. But in any event, Commissioner Melendez, I believe you were about to say something?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. My main concern was really the outcome of anything that we do in any type of changes, what it does to the advancement of where the SACs are now, especially in my area. I'd hate to have it completely start all over because of something that we put in place, that the Chairman is gone, and a lot of people are ?- they go back to zero as to where they started. That's one

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

of my concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other concern is that I believe that the whole Civil Rights movement starting from the beginning has to do with issues of the common person. And what I mean by that is everything doesn't evolve around decision makers because they're lawyers, or they're think-tankers, or anything like that. There's a lot of people in this country that can offer expertise because they've lived through Civil Rights issues, and they've experienced life. So the point I'm making is that I think that we shouldn't be positioned where we, to a certain extent, exclude going after is participation and all we're professionals, because the Civil Rights movement wasn't about professionals, it was about the common person in this country. So just for the record, I just wanted to make that clear.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. This is Chairman Reynolds, and for the record, I agree with everything that Commissioner Melendez just said. Are we ready to vote or do the Commissioners have additional questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner Taylor. Do we have a second on the motion?

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I seconded it.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. We can vote
3	now. Commissioner Taylor.
4	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
6	Braceras.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
11	Kirsanow.
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
14	Melendez.
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And I vote in the
17	affirmative. Okay. The following Commissioners voted
18	in the affirmative; Commissioners Taylor, Braceras,
19	Kirsanow, and Reynolds. The following Commissioners
20	voted in opposition; Commissioners Yaki and Melendez.
21	Okay. The motion carries.
22	Next up we'll deal with the imposition of
23	term limits on the State Advisory Committee members.
24	Is there a motion on term limits on the State Advisory
25	Committee members?
	NEAL P. STOCK

when to extend the term beyond the 10-year period.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right. It's
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS:
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I thought ?- I'm
4	sorry. I thought we had had a conference call about
5	this several weeks ago, where we decided to insert
6	language that would require a vote of the Commission.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Well ?-
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do you all
9	remember what I'm talking about?
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I don't, but that
11	?-
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The SAC Working
13	Group met via teleconference and discussed this very
14	issue.
1.5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, I ?-
16	does anyone have an objection to that friendly
17	amendment?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. It's
19	Commissioner Yaki saying yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Do you want
21	to talk about it, or you want to leave it at that?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I believe the Regional
23	Directors, the people who know best about what's going
24	on with the membership of the Committee and the SACs,
25	and have some experience in terms of having that

1 || 2 || 3 || . 4 || .

discretion, I simply wanted them to give a justification for that in submitting the names going forward, but I never intended that there be individual votes on each of these people by the Commission. I think that's beyond what we need to be doing.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. This is Chairman Reynolds. It seems to me if the purpose is to have a limit on the number of years that can served, that clause could swallow the rule. There would be nothing to prevent a Regional Director from as a matter of course permitting State Advisory Committee members to stay on beyond the 10-year period without good cause.

Taylor. Perhaps, let me take a step back. I thought the goal was to ensure that at some point a decision was made relative to someone's position on the SAC, rather than it being an automatic rollover into perpetuity, and this goes back to Commissioner Yaki's statement, and Commissioner Braceras' recollection.

I know we discussed the possibility of the Regional Director having some input; that is, coming forward with something more than just a recommendation, but a basis for the recommendation as to why someone's term should be extended beyond the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"normal term". But what we've done in this first sentence is sort of shifted the burden so that it says, "May be limited at the discretion", so the .

Regional Director is actually limiting the term, rather than seeking an additional term beyond the "normal term".

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Any additional comments? Well, I would ?- looking at the term "may", in addition to deleting that clause that reads "at the discretion of the Regional Directors", I would also move that the term "may" be replaced with "shall". And at the end of the sentence we would have a comma, and it would read, "Unless there is a sufficient basis for extending the appointment beyond 10 years." And what it does is just it sets up a rule and an exception to the rule. The rule is that you serve for 10 years. If there is a sufficient basis, the Commission, as opposed to the Regional Directors, would have the option of permitting someone to serve beyond the 10-year period.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Mr. Chairman, this is Jennifer Braceras. I agree with your intentions here. I'm just totally mystified as to why we don't have the correct language here since this is the discussion we've had many times. And I thought that

repeat your statement.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I want to make sure
2	that this motion as amended does not require the
3	Regional Director to initiate the request for an
4	extension or reappointment beyond 10 years.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: No, it doesn't
6	require that.
7	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Can you read the
9	entire language, as amended?
0	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.
.1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Straight through,
2	please.
.3	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: "State Advisory
4	Committees shall be limited for a total duration of
.5	not more than 10 years (or not more than five two-year
.6	terms), unless there is a sufficient basis for
.7	extending the appointment beyond 10 years."
.8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: As well-?-
.9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry.
20	There's another piece. "If a sufficient basis exists
21	for reappointment, the Staff Director shall submit
22	such recommendation to the Commission prior to the
23	vote by the Commission on the rechartering of the
24	State Advisory Committee."
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What is the
	NEAL R. GROSS

Regional Director's role now in that, nothing? 1 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well ?-2 Mr. Chairman, this is the MR. MARCUS: 3 Staff Director. If additional language is added to 4 clarify the role, that could be helpful. On the other 5 hand, if no additional language were added, we would 6 simply apply this to the usual situation in which the 7 Staff Director customarily asks the Regional Director 8 for recommendations with respect to all appointments 9 and reappointments for the State Advisory Committee. 10 So if no further language were added, that's how it 11 would be interpreted. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner 14 if there's a disagreement What happens 15 Taylor. between the Regional Director and the Staff Director 16 on a recommendation currently? 17 Commissioner Taylor, MR. MARCUS: 18 currently the Commissions are made by the Staff 19 20 Director. They're based on the recommendations of the Regional Director, but they all go through the Staff 21 22 Director. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner 23 I don't want to bog us down here, but I just 24 Taylor. want to make sure everybody is reading this the same 25

MR. MARCUS: I think it would accurately describe it. Right now the package will begin with the Regional Director, who then makes a recommendation, which the Staff Director reviews, and submits to the Commission, if the Staff Director agrees with its appropriateness. This is making it a little bit different in that in unusual cases where a Regional Director thinks that there's a reason to

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	extend beyond a term limit, an additional decision
2	would be made. I think it is probably going to be
3	helpful if the Commission believes that the
4	determination of sufficient basis should be made by
5	the Staff Director, that should be spelled out. On
6	the other hand, if the Commission wants that
7	determination to be made either by the Commission or
8	the Regional Director, that should be spelled out,
9	too. If it were not made explicit, then we would fall
10	back to customary practice, and the determination
11	would be made by the Staff Director.
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner
13	Taylor. I think - and, again, in order to hold true
14	to what we discussed at the working group level - we
15	had the Staff Director making the determination as to
16	whether a sufficient basis exists for doing so in
17	close consultation with the Regional Directors. Folks
18	in the working group, does that ring true to you all?
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, that approach
21	is acceptable to me, and this is Chairman Reynolds.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: All right.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other
24	questions or concerns?
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I'm

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
5	Kirsanow.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
8	Melendez.
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And I vote in the
11	affirmative. So those Commissioners voting in favor
12	of the motion are Commissioners Taylor, Braceras,
13	Kirsanow, and Reynolds. And those Commissioners
14	voting in opposition are Commissioners Yaki and
15	Melendez.
16	Okay. Next up relates to the rechartering
17	packages, the SAC rechartering packages. Okay. I'll
18	just read the motion that was introduced at the July
19	meeting, and then there is a ?- I would characterize
20	it as a substitute motion, and I will also read those
21	into the record.
22	It reads, "I move that old SAC
23	rechartering package pending Commission approval
24	consistent with the prior selection policy be deemed

cancelled, and that the Staff Director direct Regional

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Right?

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'd second that.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Rather than Okav. take them one at a time, unless there's an objection, approaches like to discuss these two

since they're closely simultaneously, so

Discussion?

iust

This YAKI: Yes. is COMMISSIONER The reason I made my motion is Commissioner Yaki. because starting over from scratch is going to take I thought why should we have no operating SAC with the time period that's going on, and at least have the ones that are ready to be rechartered, give them a six-month or longer mandate depending on how long it takes the Regional Director to wade through the criteria, get through the application process, get it up to the Commission for ratification.

I had earlier circulated a motion stating that we should simply approve those that are ready to be done, and then to the extent that any of the criteria that we just passed is supplementary to the current membership without having to knock out every single one, that we do that, as well, but that may be too complicated to explain or to figure out. But the idea is that to not go without SACs any longer I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is, quite frankly, ridiculous, and for those people who have been chosen and are ready to serve, to give them an opportunity to move forward while the Regional Directors are tasked with the very heavy burden of rechartering 50 SACs at a time when we are unsure how many Regional Directors we may have in six months, and the fact that we have less regional offices than certainly we had five or ten years ago.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. amsympathetic to a portion of Commissioner Yaki's While it is true that the Commission when argument. it was reconstituted last December, had a number of recharter packages pending. What we're doing today will add to the delay, but I think that it is ?- any time the rules are changed, it will entail a delay. don't think that the delay will be significant. We've been talking about these issues for quite some time I believe that the Staff Director's office, . assuming that the July motion carries, I believe that the Staff Director's office would be able in short order to get the process rolling so that we can first jump through the necessary hoops to get our selection published criteria in the "Federal Register." And then after that's done, to start the work of processing the recharter packages. So at this

1	Commissioners voting in opposition are Commissioners
2	Yaki and Melendez. The motion carries.
3	Okay. At this point, are there any agenda
4	items that anyone would like to include in the
5	November meeting? If that's the case, I'd like to
6	move to adjourn the meeting. May I have a second?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I thought that we
9	were just chomping at the bit to stay on the phone.
10	Okay. All in favor.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Non-debatable.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, then
13	let' wrap this up. Folks, thank you very much, and
14	I'll see you in November.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thank you.
16	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
17	record at 2:56 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	