+ + + + +

MEETING

UNEDITED

Friday, September 16, 2005

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Room 540 at 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairperson, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, Chairperson

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, Vice Chairperson

JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, Commissioner

PETER N. KIRSANOW, Commissioner

ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI, Commissioner (via telephone)

KENNETH L. MARCUS, Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

TERESA BROOKS

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES

DEBRA CARR, ESQ., Associate Deputy Staff Director

IVY DAVIS, Director ERO/Acting Chief, Regional

Programs Coordination Unit

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

STAFF PRESENT (Continued):

TERRI DICKERSON, Assistant Staff Director

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, Chief, Administrative Services

Clearinghouse Division

BARBARA FONTANA

LATRICE FOSHEE

DORELLE GRAY

GEORGE M. HARBISON, Director, Human Resources

Division/Acting Chief, Budget and Finance

SETH JAFFE

SOCK-FOON MACDOUGALL

Division

TINA LOUISE MARTIN, Director for Management, Office of Management

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor/Parliamentarian

AONGHAS ST. HILAIRE

AUDREY WRIGHT

MIREILLE ZIESENISS

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER JENNINGS

LISA NEUDER

KIMBERLY SCHULD

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|------------------------------------|------|
| I. Approval of Agenda | . 4 |
| II. Approval of Minutes | . 5 |
| III. Announcements | . 7 |
| IV. Staff Director's Report | . 11 |
| V. State Advisory Committee Issues | . 20 |
| VI. Future Scheduling | . 44 |

| | .4 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | (9:33 a.m.) |
| 3 _ | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. This meeting |
| 4 | will come to order. |
| 5 | This is a meeting with most of the |
| 6 | Commissioners participating here in Washington. |
| 7 | There's an exception. Commissioner Yaki is |
| 8 | participating by telephone. |
| 9 | Commissioner Yaki, are you there? |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. |
| 11 | I. Approval of Agenda |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Very good. Okay. |
| 13 | The first item on the agenda in the approval of the |
| 14 | agenda. Section 3 of the agenda should be amended in |
| 15 | order to postpone until further notice the briefing on |
| 16 | the Patriot Act as it relates to anti-Arab and anti- |
| 17 | Muslim intolerance. |
| 18 | May I have a motion? |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Discussion. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just want to thank |

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just want to thank the rest of the Commission for allowing this to be postponed so that I could participate in person when I am actually in Washington, D.C. So thank you very

NEAL R. GROSS

23

24

| 1 | much. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's vote. |
| 3 _ | All in favor, please indicate by saying aye. |
| 4 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? |
| 6 | (No response.) |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. |
| 8 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I was just |
| 9 | going to say if there were what would happen. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, we just want |
| 11 | to have it on the record. |
| 12 | Okay. The motion passes unanimously. |
| 13 | II. Approval of Minutes |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Now, the agenda. |
| 15 | We have some additional amendments. There is a most |
| 16 | to postpone a vote on the state advisory report so |
| 17 | that the SAC working group can continue its work. |
| 18 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you. |
| 20 | Second? |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you. |
| 23 | Discussion? |
| 24 | (No response.) |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: All in favor please |
| | NEAL P. GPOSS |

| 1 | say aye. |
|----------|--|
| · . 2 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 3 _ | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? |
| 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion |
| 6 | passes unanimously. |
| 7 | Please let the record reflect that |
| 8 | Commissioner Braceras has joined us. |
| 9 | The second item is the approval of the |
| 10 | minutes of the July 22nd and August 26th meetings. |
| 11 | May I have a motion? |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: May I have a |
| 14 | second? |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any discussion? |
| 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: All in favor, |
| 19 | please say aye. |
| 20 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes |
| 24 | unanimously. |
| 25 | |

| • | • | ٠ | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Αt this point next up announcements. I'd like to take a minute on behalf of the Commission to acknowledge the victims of Hurricane Katrina in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama. Although these people have experienced unbearable hardships and may continue to do so for some time, we all can take solace in the amazing outpouring of support these individuals have received from their fellow citizens in surrounding states and from all

On behalf of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, I encourage all who are here today, as well as all people, to contribute to Katrina relief efforts in any way you see fit.

Finally, on February -- September 2nd, a special message was sent to SAC members in Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi expressing the Commission's best wishes on behalf of the Commissioners, Staff Directors and staff, as well as asking that they report to the central regional office any allegations of discrimination in the recovery efforts.

This week is National Historical Black Colleges and Universities Week. HBCUs have educated many American leaders and continue to play an

NEAL R. GROSS

instrumental role in providing educational opportunities for all people.

This Commission is dedicated to insuring that these valued institutions continue to maximize their ability to contribute to our intellectual and cultural progress, and we'll conduct a briefing in the coming year to investigate the best ways to achieve this goal.

Yesterday marked the beginning of Hispanic Heritage Month. This is a month which we celebrate the tremendous contributions of Latinos to our country. On behalf of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, I call upon all people of the United States to observe the month with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

Yesterday, September 15th, marked the 42nd anniversary of the 1963 bombing of the 16th Street Baptist Church in Birmingham, Alabama by the Klu Klux Klan. This tragic bombing carried out by cowardly racists killed four young black girls. Events such as this one hopefully can teach us to remain ever vigilant to assure that those who attempt to impose their will on their fellow Americans by force or coercion are never allowed to do so.

Next up we will discuss some personnel

NEAL R. GROSS

matters. First I'd like to announce that Jenny Park, an attorney advisor at the Commission for over five years, left the Commission earlier this week to accept a position with the Patent and Trademark Office. On behalf of the Commission, I want to acknowledge her excellent contributions to the Commission over these We all wish her the best in her new past years. position. Cecilia Towns who cannot be here today began an internship with the Office of Civil Rights 10

Evaluation earlier this week. Cecilia is a senior at Howard University majoring in African American She will be with the Commission through studies. December 6th.

And at this point we'll hear from the Staff Director.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.

I just wanted to COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: make one brief note that the Roberts civil rights my assistant had prepared and record that distributed at the last meeting was introduced into the record of the Roberts confirmation hearing, and I think that's a good thing. It, I think, fleshes out the record of Judge Roberts. I think it's good for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1 | the Commission to have weighed in in some fashion |
|-----|---|
| 2 | without, you know, an opinion. |
| 3 _ | And, again, I appeared in my personal |
| 4 | capacity yesterday, and we may want to think at some |
| 5 | point about, as part of our clearing house function, |
| 6 | making that report available generally to the public. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. I think that |
| 8 | we should prepare a motion and at our next meeting |
| 9 | have discussions on Commissioner Braceras? |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I haven't received |
| 11 | a copy of it yet. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That's amazing. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I've asked several |
| 14 | people several times. Remember I E-mailed you back |
| 15 | that I couldn't open it and could I have a hard copy? |
| 16 | It's okay. |
| 17 | And I mentioned it to Chairman Reynolds. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And I asked someone |
| 19 | to do it. Okay, okay. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I have |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Let's do magic. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thank you. I |
| 23 | will do so now. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair |
| 25 | Thernstrom. |
| | NEAL D. CDCCC |

| 1 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Neither |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Commissioner Braceras nor Commissioner Kirsanow |
| 3 _ | testified yesterday speaking for the Commission. They |
| 4 | went there speaking for themselves, but I want to |
| 5 | commend both of them on the superb job that they did, |
| 6 | and it was nice to see two faces of the Commission |
| 7 | even in an unofficial capacity do as well as both of |
| 8 | them did. |
| 9 | • |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. At this |
| 12 | point we'll hear from the Staff Director. |
| 13 | IV. Staff Director's Report |
| 14 | MR. MARCUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, |
| 15 | Madam Vice Chairman, Commissioners. |
| 16 | If it please the Commission I'd like to |
| 17 | extend my written Staff Director's report with brief |
| 18 | additional remarks regarding agency reform and |
| 19 | Hurricane Katrina. |
| 20 | I'll begin with agency reforms. We |
| 21 | continue to work toward meeting the goal of |
| 22 | implementing all pending GAO recommendations, |
| 23 | resources permitting, by mid-January 2005. |
| 24 | Considerable progress has been made over the course of |
| 25 | the summer. |

For example, we have recently issued 1 administrative instructions establishing new controls 2 for procurement management. These AIs address general 3 regarding over centralization concerns 4 contracting functions within the office of the Staff 5 Director and the specific recommendation regarding 6 greater controls over contracting activities. 7 We plan to provide more agency reforms 8 regarding procurement over the coming months. 9 We've also recently submitted our budget 10 estimate to OMB based on the figures recently approved 11 by the Commission. The budget estimate incorporates 12 strategic have been goals that proposed 13 distributed to the Commissioners and on which we are 14 now seeking stakeholder input. 15 The inclusion of strategic goals reflects 16 the work that we are doing to revamp the agency's 17 strategic planning. 18 We currently are preparing both a five-19 year strategic plan and a human capital strategic plan 20 which we plan to have available in proposed final form 21 in time for the December meeting. This also responds 22 to a series of GAO recommendations. 23 We have also recently established a formal 24 Our new telework policy is part policy on telework. 25

of a general federal program designed to reduce traffic and improve the environment, improve employee morale and commitment, improve productivity and quality of work, help hire and retain employees, and enable employees to better arrange their use of leave. It is also required by federal law.

Yesterday, we submitted a report to Congress describing the significant steps that the agency has undertaken to reform our financial operational project planning, strategic planning, and communications processes. In this report we describe both the significant progress that we have made over the last nine months, as well as significant reform activities still underway.

This report is required by House appropriations bill committee report. A similar report will be required by the end of this month to the Senate appropriations committee.

I'd also like to say a few words about Hurricane Katrina. As the Chairman has indicated, our regional office coordinator at my request sent a special message to State Advisory Committee members in Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi shortly after Hurricane Katrina, and then additional messages were sent to other State Advisory Committees around the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3_

country.

These messages expressed best wishes on behalf of the Commissioners, the Staff Director and staff of the agency. They also requested that State Advisory Committee members report to the central regional office any allegations of discrimination in the recovery effort. We have received only a few responses from State Advisory Committee members, although our regional offices are tracking media reports.

Last week Louisiana and Alabama SAC members emphasized the following concerns.

One, that needed assistance is not getting to the rural areas; and

Two, that there are reports that Southern Baptist Convention churches are restricting their efforts to affiliates only.

This week our central regional office received two additional messages from SAC members. First, one SAC member in Baton Rouge, Louisiana said the following: "I'm volunteering with the Red Cross here. We have one parish that is known for being very segregated, and that is putting it politely. They have essentially told the Red Cross that they do not want 'those people' in their parish. They have made

us consolidate our evacuees to one location and 1 indicated that they did not want any new evacuees once 2 those were gone." 3 Another SAC member in Jackson, Mississippi 4 said the following: "The generosity and support for 5 Katrina victims within Jackson has been amazing. 6 I am unable to report any racial Fortunately, 7 problems." 8 Those are the responses that we've gotten 9 from SAC members. To the extent that we 10 additional reports over the coming weeks and months we 11 will continue to pass them along to the Commission 12 That is my report. members. 13 Okay. Could you CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: 14 shed some additional light on this move in the Senate 15 to reduce our budget by \$96,000? 16 MR. MARCUS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The House 17 recently passed of Representatives had an 18 appropriations bill which provided funding for this 19 agency for fiscal year 2006 at a level of \$9.096 20 21 billion, which is consistent with our 2005 funding and is also consistent with the amount requested in the 22 23 President's budget. 24 Recently the Senate has been debating an appropriations bill that would provide funding to the 25

٠,

agency of only \$9 million even, which is a \$96,000 1 reduction from our current budget. 2 REYNOLDS: And it's ΜV CHAIRPERSON 3 understanding that the folks in the Senate believe 4 that this is not a reduction in our budget; is that 5 correct? 6 MR. MARCUS: The language in the committee 7 report indicated that the \$9 million even would 8 represent a slight increase of, I believe, \$25,000 9 over 2005 levels. We're trying to determine why they 10 believe that it would be an increase when it appears 11 to be a decrease in the amount of \$96,000, and we'll 12 be communicating appropriately with congressional 13 staff. 14 Any other CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. 15 questions or concerns? 16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Staff Yes. 17 Director, a couple of things. One is where are we 18 right now with respect to getting on line for the 19 audit or can we implement an audit at this point? 20 We have now issued two MR. MARCUS: 21 The first time we issued a requests for proposals. 22 request for proposal there were a number of responses 23 by outside accounting firms who were interested in 24 submitting a bid, but in the end none of them did. 25

We then went back to them to ask why they did not submit a bid. They had various reasons. Some of them indicated that they simply did not have enough time. Others indicated that they didn't think they would have enough resources to do it. At least one indicated that they did not think that we would be auditable for the 2005 fiscal year.

Based on the fact that some of the accountants suggested that they might have submitted a bid if they had had more time to do so, we then issued a second RFP. The deadline for responding to that RFP passed approximately a week ago.

We received three bids and a few non-bid responses. We have contacted at least one of the nonbidders to find out why they hadn't bid, and we found out that it was not based on a concern that we were not auditable.

So we now have three bids to choose among, and we are currently in the process of assembling a technical panel to review them, including making contacts to persons outside the agency who have sufficient technical expertise that they could assist us in choose among the panelists in determining to what extent the bids are appropriate and could provide the services we require.

commissioner kirsanow: Mr. Chair, just a suggestion. You know, for a while we have suspended the regular meetings of the Budget Committee mainly because there wasn't a whole lot to report. We may want to revisit whether or not we should have a couple of meetings as matters develop so that we don't take up time here talking about it.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Did you have any conversations? My concern is that we have at least one firm that has concluded that because of our lack of documentation we cannot be audited. I don't want to spend money and then at the end of the process have whichever firm that is selected reach the same conclusion.

I don't know if this is possible, but on the front end could we ask the three companies that have submitted bids to render an opinion as to whether it's possible to audit our books if we were to provide them with a description of the type of documentation we have in our possession?

Based on that information, they could give us an indication whether they were confident that at the end of the process, you know, that they would not tell us that an audit is not possible.

MR. MARCUS: We can certainly review both

NEAL R. GROSS

with our legal staff and also with our outside 1 consultant, to the extent that's procurement 2 permissible within the rules, and if it is, then we 3 can certainly speak to them about it. 4 It is my understanding that those three 5 bidders did believe that we were auditable, and at 6 least one of the non-bidders did explicitly state that 7 they believed that we are auditable. They're just not 8 sure the amount of time and resources that would be 9 required to audit us. 10 We have heard from some outsiders that the 11 issue in their mind is not so much whether we were 12 auditable as the amount of time and resources 13 necessary to complete the job, but we'll be happy to 14 15 consult with legal and procurement consultants to determine whether we can seek additional statements 16 17 from the bidders. CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner 18 19 Kirsanow. COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Thank you. 20 Mr. Staff Director, I don't know if you 21 22 know this. With respect to the Senate appropriations, 23 do we have any kind of a timetable for when this all goes to conference? 24

MR. MARCUS: We've not heard a timetable,

but we can certainly make inquiries and pass the 1 information along to the Commissioners. 2 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I mean, as we all 3 know, we spoke with a number of people on the 4 congressional side several months ago, and it may be 5 a good idea to revisit that procedure. 6 MR. MARCUS: The matter was still being 7 debated by the Senate yesterday. They may have passed 8 it yesterday. If not, I expect it imminently and we 9 can find out when it will go to conference. 10 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any other 11 questions, concerns, comments? 12 (No response.) 13 State Advisory Committee Issues v. 14 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. We have some 15 additional motions. Here I'll read the next motion. 16 17 It reads: "I move that the Commission request that 18 the State Advisory Committees in the regions and 19 states to be identified by the General Counsel take up 20 the desegregation status of public school districts 21 within their jurisdiction as a research project. This 22 project will support the work of the Office of General 23 Counsel in producing the 2007 statutory enforcement 24 25 report on the same topic.

what?

MR. MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, if I may. 1 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes. 2 There have been a few MR. MARCUS: 3 conversations in some regions, but not with 4 potentially affected regions. The southern regional 5 office has already developed a number of ideas about 6 this can be done and, in fact, has 7 communicating with State Advisory Committees in their 8 region and has done a considerable amount of work on 9 some states to look into the current status of 10 desegregation efforts in their states. 11 Within the central regional office, there 12 has been some discussion at a preliminary level, 13 although I don't believe that there has been work 14 15 done. There are other regional offices that are 16 potentially implicated around the country where I 17 don't believe work has been done. 18 We are now at a point where I expect that 19 there would be work by some State Advisory Committees, 20 but this is certainly something where we could profit 21 from having views of a larger number, and if so, have 22 nationwide coordination to insure that the data is as 23 consistent as possible. 24 There are precedents for requests by the 25

Commission that State Advisory Committees look into matters. Since under FACA they are independent entities, they can look at issues that they choose to, but the Commission has, for instance, after September 11 recommended that State Advisory Committees look into post 9/11 issues, and State Advisory Committees have done so.

This is already an issue that is being looked into by some SACs, and the question is whether it would be helpful to urge that a greater number of them look into it.

Currently, as the Commissioners recall, there is a project that has been approved for the Office of General Counsel for 2007 regarding elementary and secondary desegregation. It will be the statutory enforcement report for this year.

The Office of General Counsel, of course, is far smaller now than it was a few years ago. It was only a few years ago that there were more than ten attorneys in the Office of General Counsel. We currently have two attorneys. So they are now under a challenge to be able to do meaningful analyses at the same time they are doing the legal work of the agency.

By having the State Advisory Committees

NEAL R. GROSS

look at things first and then provide that by way of support to the Office of General Counsel, we could satisfy a few different goals. One is we could insure or at least recommend that State Advisory Committees are working on issues that have been identified as national priorities by this Commission when it votes on these projects.

Second, it can be a way of compensating for a lack of resources both at a regional level and at the Office of General Counsel by having a greater degree of cooperation between the Office of General Counsel, the regional offices, and the State Advisory Committees.

Third, it can enrich both the work of the State Advisory Committees and the Office of General Counsel by having an interchange between the two.

With respect to the State Advisory Committees, they have a capacity to bring a great deal of local information and knowledge to issues that really are local in nature, and when it comes to these desegregation agreements, we see a great deal of local variations even within particular states.

At the same time, our regional staff did not include any attorneys so that by involving the regional offices with the Office of General Counsel,

NEAL R. GROSS

3 _

we have a natural way to combine the local information in connection with the State Advisory Committee, the social scientific expertise that we have at the regional level, as well as the legal expertise within the Office of General Counsel.

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: You know, I appreciate everything that you're saying, but -- there is a "but" here -- before I am willing to urge a large number of SACs to work on this, I'd like to see something of the work that the SACs that area already engaged in this issue have done so that I have some sense of whether I think the questions they're asking are the right ones, the way they're collecting data is correct, what we're likely to get at the end of the day.

I wonder if this can't be done in stages so that we have a little bit more information before we sign onto a kind of blanket request here.

MR. MARCUS: What I would propose is as follows. I have already asked the Office of General Counsel to expedite their work in developing the discovery plan in conceptual phase for the elementary and secondary projects. Since it's a 2007 project, they would normally not be doing that for a considerable length of time, but I would like to get

NEAL R. GROSS

at a national level a model working with the regional offices so that we can get Commissioner input at an early stage that can guide the State Advisory Committees.

What I'm concerned about is that if we don't do that and the State Advisory Committees go too far too quickly, since some of them are already looking at the issue, we may be deprived of the opportunity to get SAC input on the issues and methodologies that the Commissioners choose to have.

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Okay, but if we were to give those SACs that are already working on the issue input rather than extending the invitation for everybody to join in the effort, then we would be developing guidelines for SACs that will subsequently be looking at the issue.

I mean, you know, I would just like to see up front what's been done so far, again, what the questions being asked, et cetera, are and so that they get our input at this stage before we've got an awful lot of SACs working on the same issue.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair Thernstrom, so you don't think there will be sufficient time to revise or redirect the efforts after we have prepared a document that lays out the

NEAL R. GROSS

3_

| 1 | process and the questions, the methodology? |
|-----|--|
| 2 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It just |
| 3 _ | seems to me the earlier we deliver messages about how |
| 4 | we would like to see the issue framed, obviously they |
| 5 | are independent, but how we would like to see the |
| 6 | issue framed, the better off we are, and the best way |
| 7 | to do that is to look at the work of SACs who have |
| 8 | already started down this road. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other |
| 10 | comments? |
| 11 | (No response.) |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: All right. So, |
| 13 | Ken, at this point who started work on the project? |
| 14 | MR. MARCUS: Work has been started and |
| 15 | considerable work has been done within the Southern |
| 16 | Regional Office. |
| 17 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Good. Can |
| 18 | we look at that? Is there anything to look at? |
| 19 | MR. MARCUS: I can certainly provide a |
| 20 | description of the methodology that they're following, |
| 21 | certainly. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Have they collected |
| 23 | any data at this point? |
| 24 | MR. MARCUS: Yes. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. |
| | NEAL B. OBOOG |

I understand, Vice Chair Thernstrom, the if 1 concerns that you've just expressed, that what you 2 would like to do is to table this issue until we've 3 had an opportunity to review the work or at least some 4 of the work that has been done. 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: That's 6 I would like to table the motion as correct. 7 currently written, yes, until we've had an opportunity 8 to get our arms around what's been done. 9 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. This would 10 not affect our timetable; is that correct? 11 MR. MARCUS: If we postpone it, then the 12 soonest we could vote on this is a month from now, and 13 the only issue is whether in the intervening months 14 there are State Advisory Committees that would vote to 15 undertake different projects for the incoming year and 16 so they wouldn't be looking at that. That's the only 17 clarification. 18 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. We have what 19 I will treat as a motion to table. 20 VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Yes. Let me 21 just ask a question about that. Is there some way of 22 communicating to the SACs that we will be in a month 23 taking up this issue and they might keep in mind that 24 we have a particular interest, but we have not yet 25

| 1 | voted on the matter? |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MR. MARCUS: I suppose we could, although |
| 3 _ | at this point I think that we wouldn't be asking them |
| 4 | to look at elementary and secondary. All that I could |
| 5 | tell them at this point without further guidance is |
| 6 | that the Commissioners are considering whether or not |
| 7 | to make a recommendation that |
| 8 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Okay. Well, |
| 9 | that's fine. At least it alerts them that this is an |
| 10 | issue of potential interest to us. |
| 11 | MR. MARCUS: We could certainly pass that |
| 12 | along. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a |
| 14 | second? |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Additional |
| 17 | discussion? |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a question. |
| 19 | Did we have (unintelligible) Voting Rights Act? |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I'm sorry, |
| 21 | Commissioner Yaki. I didn't understand you. |
| 22 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It's not |
| 23 | because you= |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Am I incomprehensible |
| 25 | or you |

| 1 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: No, no, no. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. That's much |
| 3 _ | better. |
| 4 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It's purely |
| 5 | an electronic problem. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I've heard that excuse |
| 7 | before. |
| 8 | Just asking did we ever ask the SACs to do |
| 9 | anything similar with regard to the Voting Rights Act. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Does anyone recall? |
| 11 | MR. MARCUS: I don't believe so. We had |
| 12 | not done this very frequently in the past, although |
| 13 | this is a model that, if successful, I think we should |
| 14 | consider doing for projects in the future. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, obviously the |
| 16 | VRA is the big project for next year and probably the |
| 17 | member states that would be looking at this would be |
| 18 | limited by (unintelligible) to consider that as well. |
| 19 | I would, for the purposes of comity c-o-m-i-t-y, |
| 20 | not comedy acquiesce with Commissioner Thernstrom's |
| 21 | request for, I guess, a stay of this forum. I will in |
| 22 | the end be supporting the Staff Director |
| 23 | recommendation. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Additional |
| 25 | comments? |

| 1 | (No response.) |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. All in |
| 3 | support of Vice Chair Thernstrom's motion please say |
| 4 | aye. |
| 5 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? |
| 7 | (No response.) |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. The motion |
| 9 | passes unanimously. |
| 10 | Next step. Here's the motion. |
| 11 | "I move that the Commission approve the |
| 12 | report produced by the Office of the Staff Director on |
| 13 | the briefing the Commission held on July 15th, 2005, |
| 14 | on the stagnation of the black middle class. The |
| 15 | report as distributed in draft form to Commissioners |
| 16 | includes an executive summary of the panelists' |
| 17 | testimony, their written statements and statements |
| 18 | from the Chairman" that's me "and Commissioner |
| 19 | Yaki." |
| 20 | May I have a motion? |
| 21 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: A second? |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Discussion? |
| 25 | (No response.) |

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: All in favor please 1 indicate by saying aye. 2 (Chorus of ayes.) 3 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes 6 unanimously. 7 Let's see what we have left. 8 I believe this is the last motion. Okay. 9 "In Adarand v. Pena, the Supreme Court 10 quoting Richmond v. Croson stated that 'absent 11 searching judicial inquiry into the justification for 12 such race based measures, there is simply no way of 13 determining what classifications are benign 14 remedial and what classifications are, in fact, 15 of illegitimate notions motivated by 16 inferiority or simple racial politics.' The Court 17 went on to hold that federal programs that use racial 18 strict judicial classification are subject to 19 scrutiny. . 20 "While the Court has not provided clear 21 quidance on the contours of the strict scrutiny 22 standard, it is clear that any state or federal agency 23 that uses racial preferences must provide a strong 24

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

basis in evidence for the conclusion that the use of

racial preferences is necessary.

"In an attempt to comply with this constitutional requirement, federal and state agencies and contractors have commissioned disparity studies to demonstrate discrimination with the statistical analysis showing under representation of minorities or women among federal contractors. The briefing would feature academic experts, and the subject matter, who can provide a wide range of viewpoints about the methodological and empirical strength and quality of disparity studies conducted since 1995 and the relationship of these studies to federal agencies' procurement activities."

May I have a motion in support?

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved, but because I don't know my Robert's Rules the way I should --

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: We're pretty informal around here.

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: -- it seems to me the sentence "in an attempt to comply" should include or it should read "federal and state agencies, municipalities, and contractors." A lot of these disparity studies have been commissioned by municipalities.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. I will take |
|-----|--|
| 2 | that as an amendment. Is there a second to the |
| 3 _ | amended motion? |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you. |
| 6 | Discussion? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Discussion. What |
| 8 | would be the timing? |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Staff Director |
| 10 | Marcus? |
| 11 | MR. MARCUS: I would recommend December |
| 12 | for it, the advantage being that it would be in the |
| 13 | same calendar year as two important studies. First, |
| 14 | the Adarand report that we're doing, and second, a |
| 15 | report by the National Academy of Science dealing with |
| 16 | disparity studies, and I would think that it would be |
| 17 | useful to have this briefing close in time and |
| 18 | preferably in the same calendar year as those two, as |
| 19 | the reports come out. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. Thank you. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair |
| 22 | Thernstrom. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I'm just |
| 24 | looking at this same sentence again. I don't think |
| 25 | contractors themselves, but I could be wrong, have |
| | |

commissioned disparity studies. It's really federal and state agencies as well as municipalities. I don't believe contractors are commissioning these, but I could be wrong again. Other people here probably know better.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, I don't know.

Out of an abundance of caution, how about if we just leave that in. I don't think anything would turn on it if we turn out to be wrong or if you turn out to be right, I should say.

Commissioner Taylor.

question as to the direction. It seems rather narrow, and I just want to make sure. Is that intentional? We're going to focus on really the debate as to the quality of the study. Is that what we're really focusing on here rather than this third bullet point, which to me personally is probably more important relative to Adarand in the sense that even if you have a study that is properly done and you demonstrate the disparities, I mean, that's just the first question.

So are we intentionally being this narrow or maybe it's just me that thinks it. I'm more concerned about the second question than I am, quite frankly, about the first.

NEAL R. GROSS

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Let me |
|-----|---|
| 2 | catch up with you. I'm trying to find that bullet |
| 3 _ | point that you're referring to. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yeah, me, too. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: What bullet |
| 6 | point? What are you looking at? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: The scope. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Are you in Section |
| 9 | 7? |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No. I'm sorry. |
| 11 | Mine is maybe numbered a little differently than you |
| 12 | all. |
| 13 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I don't have |
| 14 | any numbers at all. That's the problem. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Lisa is going to |
| 16 | help here. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Does |
| 18 | everyone have the document? |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't have the |
| 20 | document. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Would you |
| 22 | mind reading the particular bullet that you're |
| 23 | concerned about? |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This relates to the |
| 25 | scope of the study, and the third bullet point reads, |
| | NEAL R GROSS |

| 1 | "The relationship of these studies to federal |
|-----|---|
| 2 | agencies' procurement activities," which is something |
| 3 _ | I agree with. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, and your |
| 5 | concern? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. Just |
| 7 | to clarify, is this the document that was prepared |
| 8 | just for your personal use? |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: No, it's in the |
| 10 | briefing book. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It is in the |
| 12 | briefing book. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't have that. |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It's at the |
| 15 | very end, Jennifer, very end. In fact, it's the last |
| 16 | page. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I didn't receive |
| 18 | it. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Did you look in |
| 20 | Section 8? |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think I |
| 22 | have that section for some reason. |
| 23 | Okay. That's fine. I think for some |
| 24 | reason we either didn't receive that one or just |
| 25 | missed it. Okay. Thank you very much. |
| | NEAL D. CDOSS |

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

| 1 | MR. MARCUS: Let me say that there was not |
|-----|--|
| 2 | intended to be a discrepancy between the concept paper |
| 3 _ | and motion. The idea is that the briefing will look |
| 4 | primarily at the methodologies used in the disparities |
| 5 | studies, but that the briefing may be broad enough to |
| 6 | include not just the narrow methodological question, |
| 7 | but also as the bullet point points out, the |
| 8 | relationship of these studies to federal agencies' |
| 9 | procurement. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes, but that was |
| 11 | a part of the motion also. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It was. I just |
| 13 | wanted to get a sense of the body as to what folks |
| 14 | were most interested in and as we identified potential |
| 15 | panelists and speakers. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It was more of a |
| 18 | question than anything else. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, you have |
| 20 | kicked up all of this dust here. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, it was just a |
| 22 | general question. I mean, I'm just personally and |
| 23 | even if we agree that the study, again, has been |
| 24 | properly done and demonstrates disparities, I mean, I |
| 25 | just tend to be more focused on the next question. |

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, and please articulate that.

at least the next question is rather simple, and again, this is how I frame the issue. I tend not to be as focused on whether or not there are disparities sine I can agree that there's a disparity and then start to focus on the important policy issue, that is, whether or not, again, as we addressed in our Adarand report, whether or not we ask the agencies to do the hard work of identifying the hurdles in place that are creating the disparities.

And then the second question is whether or not they're really focusing whether in a race neutral way or race conscious way on addressing those issues.

As it was read, at least, this sounded narrowly crafted to address methodology, which is important because we've also had discussions about disparity generally, and if it's intended to touch upon that for those studies, then I wanted to know that versus that broader question.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. I'm just going to jump in here quickly. At least my view of this proposal, it's first to assess the factual predicate for using racial preferences, and also I

NEAL R. GROSS T REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBE

| hope that this proposal would also look into whether - |
|--|
| - well, you may have a statistical disparity that has |
| nothing to do with discriminatory conduct, and for |
| example, do they disaggregate those types of |
| statistical well, that type of under representation |
| versus under representation that could be traced back |
| to discriminatory conduct. |
| So that's what I was thinking, and the |
| rest of the Commission will speak for themselves. |
| Vice Chair Thernstrom? |
| VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Yeah. |
| Commissioner Taylor, it seems to me we're not simply |
| examining methodology here. I think we're also |
| looking at the underlying assumptions, and this is |
| really piggybacking on what the Chair just said. |
| And on the same page that you're looking |
| at, one of the sentences says here the critiques point |
| to a number of questions are listed, but the last one |
| is the lack of a theory of discrimination underlying |
| the study. |
| And I would hope that as we look at this |
| • |
| issue we would talk precisely about that, that is, |
| |
| |

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

25

Okay, okay.

VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: And how you 1 know when there's a close connection and where the 2 questions lie when the connection seems more tenuous. 3 Commissioner REYNOLDS: CHAIRPERSON 4 Taylor, thank you for kicking up the dust. 5 helped clarify the issue. 6 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I just wondered what 7 our other folks were reading. That's all. 8 Mr. Chairman, if I may, I MR. MARCUS: 9 think there is one point where it would be useful to 10 While the issues that Vice have some clarity. 11 Chairman Thernstrom indicated are part of this scope, 12 as it was written the scope does not include and was 13 not intended to include the question as to whether 14 agencies assure us they're assuring race neutral 15 alternatives. 16 17 That, of course, is the main subject of the report, but it is not intended to be part of the 18 briefing. I suppose it could be but that was not part 19 20 of the scope. If Commissioners wanted a change, then 21 we could make the change. 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: No, no. 23 did not mean to indicate that that become part of the 24 scope, but simply that we flesh out the underlying 25

assumptions.

| 1 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I know our language |
|-----|---|
| 2 | has become rather loose. We now equate disparity with |
| 3 _ | discrimination, and we're |
| 4 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Right, |
| 5 | right. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: the issue. |
| 7 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Exactly. |
| 8 | This report should raise questions about the |
| 9 | legitimacy of doing so, and if legitimate, in what |
| 10 | context? |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other |
| 12 | questions or comments? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. At this |
| 15 | point we'll vote. All in favor please indicate by |
| 16 | saying aye. |
| 17 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 18 | - CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: All in opposition? |
| 19 | (No response.) |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'll abstain. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Please let |
| 22 | the record reflect that Commissioner Yaki abstained. |
| 23 | The motion passes. |
| 24 | All right. Well, we're at a close. Oh, |
| 25 | I'm sorry. Commissioner Braceras. |
| | NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Just before we |
|-----|--|
| 2 | adjourn I wanted to ask about the status of the |
| 3 _ | Patriot Act briefing, if that was going to go forward |
| 4 | in November. What is the briefing schedule generally |
| 5 | for the next several months? |
| 6 | MR. MARCUS: October we are planning a |
| 7 | briefing on the Voting Rights Act. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. MARCUS: November we're trying to put |
| 10 | together the campus anti-Semitism briefing. December |
| 11 | I would anticipate being the disparity studies issues. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So what did we |
| 13 | decide to do with the Patriot Act? |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: We haven't decided |
| 15 | yet on a date. First we're going to have to circle |
| 16 | around and see if we can get the witnesses who we |
| 17 | initially secured. We have to check with their |
| 18 | schedules, and if everything goes according to plan, |
| 19 | they'll be able to participate. If not, we'll have to |
| 20 | seek other witnesses of the same caliber. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, but we can't |
| 22 | see if they're available unless we have a date. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Or some |
| 24 | alternative dates. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. |
| | NEAL R. GROSS |

| 1 | Commissioner Yaki, do you still want to go forward |
|----------------------------------|---|
| ·. 2 | with the Patriot Act briefing? |
| 3 _ | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, I do. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And what is your |
| 5 | sense of when it would be a good time to hold it? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ideally as soon as |
| 7 | possible, but I would hope as was suggested we give a |
| 8 | range of a couple of dates and see which ones best fit |
| 9 | the best panel that we can put together. I'll leave |
| 10 | that up to the Staff Director's discretion. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Do we have anything |
| 12 | planned for January? Do we have any briefings |
| 13 | scheduled? |
| 14 | MR. MARCUS: The Commissioners voted to do |
| 15 | a briefing on the question of discrimination or racial |
| | |
| 16 | preferences at law schools, the subject of the |
| 17 | preferences at law schools, the subject of the Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January |
| | |
| 17 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January |
| 17 18 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him |
| 17 18 19 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him about availability, but we haven't specifically fixed |
| 17 18 19 20 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him about availability, but we haven't specifically fixed something for January. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him about availability, but we haven't specifically fixed something for January. CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him about availability, but we haven't specifically fixed something for January. CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a Vice Chair Thernstrom. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Professor Richard Sanders' article in either January or February or March, and we've been talking with him about availability, but we haven't specifically fixed something for January. CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Is there a Vice Chair Thernstrom. VI. Future Scheduling |

practice of this Commission is to set the dates of 1 Commission meetings the following year very late in 2 the calendar year, that is, to set the meeting dates 3 for '06 very late in '05. 4 I find this very difficult since, you 5 know, I've got a calendar that's filling up. Can we 6 by the next Commission meeting put together a schedule 7 of meeting dates and in the future I hope do it 8 9 earlier? CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: There's no 10 reason -- correct me if I'm wrong -- there isn't any 11 reason that we wouldn't be able to do it by the next 12 13 meeting. We certainly can, and in MR. MARCUS: 14 fact, staff were planning to propose some dates during 15 the October meeting. 16 I VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Thanks. 17 think that's because I already asked before, but we 18 usually do it very late, and that's fine. Good. 19 Okay. So, 20 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: 21 Commissioner Braceras, would you be comfortable if Ken 22 were to work on selecting a date so that at our next meeting he could inform us where we stand with respect 23 to the briefing relating to the Patriot Act? 24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 25 Oh, yes. I was

| 1 | just curious as to what had happened because I when |
|----|---|
| 2 | that we needed to cancel it, and I had just never |
| 3_ | heard anything further. So that's why I just wanted |
| 4 | the status update. |
| 5 | MR. MARCUS: Okay. So I can go ahead, and |
| 6 | I want to make sure that it's clear, and see whether |
| 7 | the panelist or people that are equivalent expertise |
| 8 | are available for January or February of 2006. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes. |
| 10 | VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: And I would |
| 11 | like to note that we had put together an excellent |
| 12 | panel, and the quality of that panel is our standard. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. |
| 14 | We are adjourned. |
| 15 | (Whereupon, at 10:23 a.m., the Commission |
| 16 | meeting was concluded.) |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |