U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

COMMISSION MEETING

UNEDITED

THURSDAY

DECEMBER 14, 2006

WASHINGTON, DC

The Commission convened at 9:00 a.m., in Room 550 of 624 9th Street N.W., Washington, D.C., Gerald Reynolds, Chairperson, presiding.

Present:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, CHAIRPERSON
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS (via telephone), COMMISSIONER
PETER N. KIRSANOW, COMMISSIONER
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ (via telephone), COMMISSIONER
ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., COMMISSIONER

Staff Present:

KENNETH MARCUS, STAFF DIRECTOR
DAVID BLACKWOOD, GENERAL COUNSEL
CHRISTOPHER BRYNES
DEREK HORNE
EILEEN RUDERT
SOCK-FOON MacDOUGALL
LATRICE FOSHEE
PAMELA DUNSTON
RANITA CARTER
DEBRA CARR

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



Commissioner Assistants Present:

KIMBERLY SCHULDZ

Also Present:

DAVID KOTZ, INSPECTOR GENERAL

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

A-G-E-N-D-A

I.	Approval of Agenda 5
II.	Approval of Minutes of 6 November 17, 2006 Meeting
III.	Announcements
IV.	Staff Director's Report
v.	Management and Operations 14
VI.	Program Planning
77 T	State Advisory Committee Tagues 40

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:24 a.m.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I guess first off due to the weather conditions, a number of commissioners have been unable to get here. Jennifer was kind enough to get off the plane and then make her way to a phone. Commissioner Braceras, thank you. And before we start, I'd like to acknowledge the Inspector General from the Peace Corps, David Cox, who has been quite helpful in helping us get our operational issues improved. And Commissioner Melendez?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Good morning and thank
you, too, for joining us. Okay, the meeting will come
to order. This is a meeting of the US Commission on
Civil Rights. All the commissioners are present with
the exception of Vice Chair Thurmstrom, Commissioner
Yaki, Commissioner Melendez -- Commissioners Melendez
and Braceras are participating by phone.

I. Approval of the Agenda

The first item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda. May I have a motion to approve it?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. 1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in 2 favor, please say, aye. 3 4 (Aye) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 5 abstentions? The motion carries. 6 Okay, I move to amend the agenda, the one 7 that we just approved, to add sub-item labeled Motion 8 to Keep the Record of the Elementary and Secondary 9 10 Desegregation Briefing Open for Public Comment immediately after the sub-item labeled Revise 2007 11 Business Meeting and Briefing Calendar under the 12 13 general item labeled program planning. The second · 14 change would be to postpone consideration of the anti-15 semitism campaign until the January meeting to allow 16 for consideration of responses from effected agencies, 17 and the third revision would be to eliminate the sub-² 18 item procedures for briefing reports in Section V. Is 19 there a second? 20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in 22 favor? 23 (Aye) 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? Any 25 objections? The motion passes unanimously.

1	II. Approval of Minutes of
2	November 17, 2006 Meeting
3	Let's see, the second item is the approval
4	of the minutes for the November 17th, 2006 meeting.
5	May I have a motion?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
10	favor, please say "Aye".
11	(Aye)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have a question.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: How come Ashley
16	always gets his middle initial but mine gets dropped?
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's a very
18	important question and I must say
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I know, it's
20 .	critical.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, I'm very
22	particular about my middle initial as Ken Marcus
23	knows. In any event, where are we?
24	· COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would like to
25	request in the future my middle initial be added to

all documentation. 1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Objection. 2 3 MR. MARCUS: That won't be a problem. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras, 4 5 we have one objection to your request but we outvoted 6 Commissioner Kirsanow. All right, we were in the 7 process of voting. All in favor? 8 (Aye) 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any 10 abstentions? The motion carries unanimously. 11 III. Announcements 12 Okay, next are the announcements for the 13 month of December. On December 1st, 1955 Rosa Parks . 14 refused to give up her seat to a white passenger on 15 a Montgomery, Alabama bus. She was arrested and fined 16 for violating city ordinance but her brave act led to 17 the Montgomery bus boycott and began a movement that 18 ended the legal segregation in America. 19 In addition, on December 10th, 20 Martin Luther King, Jr. was awarded the Nobel Peace 21 Prize. Dr. King was awarded Nobel Peace Prize for his 22 work as the leader of the Southern Christian 23 Leadership Conference and his philosophy of violence. 24 At the age of 35 he was the youngest man to receive

the Nobel Peace Prize.

And finally, on January 15th, 2007, is the nation's 22nd commemoration of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.'s federal holiday. The nation honors a leader of a civil rights movement that dedicated his life to principles of freedom, non-violence and equal opportunity for all. Today America benefits from Dr. King's work. His legacy of courage, dignity and moral clarity and his advocacy that injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere.

Next up we have the staff report, the Staff Director's Report rather.

IV. Staff Director's Report

MR. MARCUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For this month's report I would like only to say a few words to acknowledge the service of Ms. Joann Daniels. She is a long-time employee of Central Regional Office, who will be retiring from the Commission on Wednesday, December 27th, 2006. Ms. Daniels has served with the Commission since 1972 and dedicated nearly 38 years of her life to federal service. She began her federal service career with the Federal Aviation Administration in 1969 and worked for a short time at the Social Security Administration as a clerk stenographer. She also worked at the Health and Human Services before joining the Commission.

Ms. Daniels has demonstrated her commitment to the advancement of civil rights and has been a great asset to the Commission. Her departure is certainly well-earned and her significant expertise and experience will be missed. Member of the staff have certainly enjoyed working with her for these many years. In departing she has spoken of the rewarding nature of her work at the Commission and the fact that she has found many of her goals fulfilled by serving as the administrative person in the Central Regional She will be deeply missed by the Agency. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's it? MR. MARCUS: That's it unless there are

MR. MARCUS: That's it unless there are questions.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: As far as the Staff Director's Report, I just wanted an update on our budget for 2007 and how that looks. There were -- particularly there were issues, there were some SAC activities, I believe, out in the Rocky Mountain Region. There was supposed to be a planned joint project on Native American discrimination at border towns as we lead up to that issue in October later in 2007. I was just wondering, I know that that joint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

·18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Strategic Plan remains preliminary, it will be vetted
2	further with OMB and others. In addition,
3	Commissioners will have opportunities to offer
4 .	revisions. May I have a motion that the Commission
5	preliminarily adopt the proposed draft Strategic Plan
6	that was developed by the Strategic Plan working group
7	and distribute it to Commissioners on December 6th,
8	2006?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Thank you.
12	Discussion?
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yeah, I have a few
14	things.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Commissioner
16	Braceras.
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Is it possible for
18	me to submit a draft rewrite of inclusions at this
19	. point?
20	· CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, this is
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You know, it's
22	stylistic
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, all Commissioners
24	still have the opportunity to offer revisions to the
25	document, so the answer to your question is, yes.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right, well,
I will I have some language with me that I was
prepared to distribute but when I get home, I will e-
mail it to the Commissioners and the Staff Director
for the proposed substituted inclusions.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And then I did
have a few questions on some of the performance
measures. Would this be an appropriate time to raise
them?
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, would you mind
posing the questions to the Staff Director off-line
unless you feel that it's something that the full.
Commission should hear.
COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Fine, I'll e-mail
well, but then it has to be voted on again?
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, pose your
question or your series of questions.
COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right, they're
small. Page 23, Strategic measure 2 performance
measures 3, can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, where it
says collects data
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Commissioner

Breceras, please give us a moment. We're trying to locate that page. Okay, I'm sorry, please continue.

commissioner braceras: Okay, these will go to Performance Measure 3, collect data on complaint types, it seems to me that we collect data on more than just complaint types and so I didn't know if that performance measure was too narrow. In other words, I just thought it should be broadened because we're not only collecting data from other agencies on types of complaints received. You know, we may partner with them to collect and analyze data on a whole host of issues, not just the complaints that they receive.

MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Braceras, this is Ken Marcus, I'm not sure if that is a question or a proposal to broaden the performance notion. I think that the idea behind this was that we would attempt to move beyond what we're currently doing to develop some sort of MOU or project where we would work with an agency or agencies to take some of their data and to be able to by working with multiple agencies, to rework it in ways that's useful both for them and for the public.

It was complaint data that we had in mind.

I don't know if there's anything other that you specifically had in mind. I'll say that the idea --

gʻ

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, I mean, if we're measuring our performance based on something that we don't already do, shouldn't we also measure it based on the things that we already do do?

MR. MARCUS: We certainly could and it might take a little bit of time of thinking and talking to come up with a sort of performance measure you had in mind. Here the idea was that it -- and this came out of, as I recall, our stakeholder discussions with the EEOC that there -- that there is some data that they have that it might be useful for us to analyze and it might also be that there are multiple agencies that have data that's not aggregated and analyzed together.

And then it might be useful for enforcement agencies to get a better sense of trends and future projections based on analyses that could best be done by a separate independent agency.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yeah, I mean, no, of course it is. I'm not suggesting that this is a useful performance measure. I'm simply saying that it's much broader, civil rights agencies to collect and analyze data on various civil rights topics, and we're only measuring that by a narrow subset. So I didn't know if an additional performance measure was

needed, not instead of but in addition to because you're measuring something quite narrow and the objective is quite broad.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras, would you feel comfortable if we continue to work on this issue at a later date because it sounds like that to fully address your concern, we're going to have to do some thinking and that's going to chew up some time.

why it's that complicated. I just -- I guess I still continue to lack understanding for the bureaucratic types of performance measures, apparently but I just see the performance measure here as being far narrower than the objective or that the other ones sort of track each other better.

MR. MARCUS: Yeah, I would say that this objective was a difficult one to do performance measures for simply because we are trying to hold ourselves accountable for doing a sort of work that we aren't really currently doing. The whole objective of partnering with other civil rights agencies to collect and analyze data is largely a different sort of enterprise than what we're currently doing. And we don't yet --

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I just wouldn't say that on various civil rights topics because it's 2 3 not various civil rights topics, it's one, right, complaints received? 4 5 MR. MARCUS: Oh, I suppose that's a way of 6 looking at it. I think what we had in mind on various 7 complaint topics is that we might look at a topic like 8 say post-9/11 discrimination, gathering data not just 9 from the EEOC but perhaps from HUD and Department of 10 Education or we might look at pregnancy discrimination 11 and --12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right, fine, 13 fine, then leave it as is. Objective Number 4, no. 14 not Number 4, Number 5, is it, yeah, it's Number 5, promote public awareness of current civil rights laws, 15 16 remedies and enforcement agencies. It's just two 17 small things and that's the end of my comments on 18 Under the objectives, shouldn't we also have 19 In other words, promote public awareness of issues. 20 current civil rights issues, laws, remedies and 21 enforcement agencies, because it's not always legal. 22 MR. MARCUS: That certainly sounds like a 23 good change, yeah. That just grabs what we do. 24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yeah, I just 25 thought that was an oversight in the language.

1 MR. MARCUS: I think it was an oversight. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And then in the 2 3 corresponding performance measures, first of all, it 4 goes from E to G without an F, but whatever, that's -and then in 5A, publish the number of public briefings 5 6 and to we want to also put hearings or no? Is there a reason for not including most formal hearings? 7 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, that's fine. 9 MR. MARCUS: I would urge that if we're going to mention hearings that we use an or rather 10 than an and in case in a particular year we're not 11 12 able to hold a hearing. 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, yes, · 14 absolutely. And in one earlier draft of these, I 15 believe we had something about conducting advertising 16 campaigns or promoting public service announcements 17 where finances permit it. That seems to have dropped I wasn't sure why, maybe purely for financial 18 19 reasons. 20 (Pause) 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, Jennifer, 22 financial apparently it was. dropped due to 23 constraints. We didn't want to be obligated to do 24 something that --

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We can't afford to

1 do. Right. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Gotcha, okay. 3 And then I only wondered whether a final performance 4 5 measure under Number 5 should be added that just says publish a number of briefing reports and/or hearing 6 7 reports so that we could get credit, if you will, for 8 the publications we've been doing as evidence of our 9 success. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sounds good. 10 11 MR. MARCUS: Yeah, I don't see any problem 12 That does sound like a good one. with that. 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I · That's all. 14 mean, they're nit picky little things but I just -- I 15 thought I'd raise them now before I, you know, forgot. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 16 17 MR. MARCUS: Good. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, as amended, 19 we're ready to vote now. All in favor please say aye. 20 (Aye) 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 22 abstentions? amended, The motion, as 23 unanimously. Okay. Okay, the next item on the agenda

is the procedures for national office products which

consists of three motions. These motions deal with

24

the procedures for national office products As you may recall in May the Commission received a GAO report on the Agency's Quality Assurance Policies. The GAO report recommended that the Commission develop written policies and procedures that would insure the objectivity and balance of the National Office fact finding activities, including reports and briefings. The proposed procedures for National Office products and briefings addressed the GAO concerns and reflect recommendations made in the The first one deals with witnesses and presenters at hearings and briefings, the second with seeking information from a variety of sources and the . third, using outside reviewers to insure Commission procedures were followed.

May I have a motion that the Commission adopt as a policy matter the Staff Director's recommendation to establish a policy that Commission hearings and briefings seek to achieve substantial numerical balance of witnesses and presenters between opposing views, opinions and perspectives when appropriate in light of the subject matter and the staff's ability to locate credible and topical witnesses?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second? 1 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 2 Second. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? COMMISSIONER · MELENDEZ: Yes. this is 4 5 Commissioner Melendez. I had a question. 6 Inspector General consider other ways of insuring 7 balance on this as far as numerical? 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it's not limited 9 to numerical. Opinions, perspectives, views are also 10 considered but the Inspector General is here. 11 you mind responding? 12 MR. KOTZ: Sure. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you please come . 14 up to the table and we'll have someone --15 MR. KOTZ: Yes, thank you, David Kotz for 16 the Inspector General for the Peace Corps. Happy to 17 be here and let me just respond. There were various 18 different ideas that were discussed in terms of 19 creating this. You know, there is certainly language 20 in there that talks about objectivity and that was 21 initially discussed just to have general language 22 about objectivity and to insure that the witnesses 23 reflected varied and opposing views, opinions and 24 perspectives. 25 We decided to -- I decided to recommend

1	and with the Staff Director's approval to go a little
2	more further and to include this concept of numerical
3	balance which would provide where appropriate, where
4	it can be achieved, that there is, you know, specific
5	numerical balance between the two ideas and I thought
6	that that was the best way to be able to respond to
7	the GAO's recommendation.
8	The GAO's recommendation talks about
9	requiring that it's written products considering
10	varied and opposing perspectives and I thought that
11	through the use of the general language that requires
12	the varied and opposing views and perspectives in
13	addition to the numerical balance concept, would be
14	the best way to achieve a successful response to the
15	GAO recommendations.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez,
17	is that a satisfactory response?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, thank you.
1.9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: One other question,
21	what is
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I can't hear
23	whoever is speaking.
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It's the
25	Commissioner with the middle initial. What is the

practical impact if we adopt this policy if we're unable to achieve numerical balance?

MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Taylor, if we're unable to achieve numerical balance, then at the end of the briefing, when a report is prepared, I would need to fill out a checklist and with respect to the item indicating numerical balance, I would have to indicate that there wasn't and might put in a short note of why there wasn't and there might be various reasons that there wouldn't be relating to the subject matter and the availability of witnesses.

For example, the Commissioners may recall that we attempted to achieve a numerical balance with respect to the Omaha briefing but then there was a concern that we achieve not just a balance between different viewpoints but that we invite various constituencies, such as members of the Hispanic community, parents and teachers, without necessarily knowing whether the result would be to confirm the numerical balance or to throw it off. So sometimes a desire to bring in different sorts of viewpoints and stakeholders would have the ironic effect of throwing off a balance.

There may also be some issues where there are unusual numbers of positions, not just two but

	28
1	three or four and it would be difficult to get an
2	equal balance, and it would simply mean that I need to
3	check the box indicating that it wasn't a numerical
4 .	balance and then explain why.
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Very well.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions?
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have just one
8	question, Commissioner Melendez. This wasn't a
9	recommendation of the GAO report, or is this
10	MR. MARCUS: This does grow out of the GAO
11	report, Commissioner Melendez.
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions,
14	comments? I have one comment, and that is that the
15	question from Commissioner Taylor asking about the
1Ġ	practical effect of this rule change my view, this is
17	what we do currently. I believe that we strive to
18	insure that all viewpoints are represented and that
19	there is a numerical balance. We have not stacked the
20	deck. But after saying that, though, formalizing this
21	is not going to it will formalize what we actually
22	do. So if there are not further questions or
23	comments, at this point, we can vote.
¹ 24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have one other
25	question, Commissioner Melendez here. My main

Ę,

concern on this whole issue was whether or not the A1.6 actually applied to briefing reports. I'm not real sure how this whole issue ties into that discussion later. I'm not real sure on this whole issue.

MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Melendez, this is the Staff Director. The question that you raise is of course, a question that has been raised and discussed in prior meetings and for which we have a separate working group on briefing procedures. It is my understanding that there are different interpretations and different views both as to whether the existing AI applies and also whether it should apply to briefings and to what extent it should apply.

Our intent in decoupling, as it were, the AI on briefings from this AI is to simply make sure that we are applying the objectivity standards and then have a separate discussion about to what extent — to what extent we are applying unrelated things to briefings. So in other words, I would say that the motions that are being discussed today would apply to briefing reports as well as to other products.

But as for whether other requirements within 1.6, such as the requirements relating to a discovery plan or the 30-day viewing time for

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Commissioners, whether they apply or not to briefing 1 reports is a separate issue for which I think that it 2 will be useful to have additional discussion. 3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, are there any 5 other additional questions or comments? All in favor? 6 7 (Aye) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 8 9 abstentions? 10 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: One, Commissioner 11 Melendez abstains. 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the record reflect that Commissioner Melendez abstains and 13 that the remaining Commissioners voted in favor of the 14 15 The motion passes. motion. May I have a motion that the Commission 16 17 a policy matter the Staff Director's adopt as recommendation to establish a policy that Commission 18 19 staff should, as long as feasible, seek input and 20 information from a wide variety of sources including 21 external groups during these several phases of program 22 planning and execution, including the gathering of 23 ideas for possible project concepts, the identification of possible witnesses and presenters 24 25 and conducting background research and literature

1	review?
2	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, is this the
7	one having to do with external reviewers?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, I believe that is
9	let me make sure.
10	MR. MARCUS: That's next.
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Oh, that's next.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Correct.
13	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all in favor,
15	please say aye.
16	(Aye)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any
18	abstentions? The motion carries unanimously. Okay,
19	Inspector General David Kotz has provided checklists
20	as appendices AI.1 through 6 and AI.1 through 6A that
21	delineate the procedures adopted by the Commission for
22	insuring impartiality and transparency of National
23	Office Reports and briefing reports respectfully.
24	These checklists will be used by external reviewers to
25	certify agency adherence to implemented procedures.

1 May I have a motion that the Commission adopt this 2 policy the Commission's use of external reviewers, paid or unpaid, to certify that agency procedures for 3 4 insuring the impartiality and transparency of National Office reports and briefing reports are followed? 5 6 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second? COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 10 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, Commissioner 11 Melendez, what will an external reviewer actually do? 12 MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Melendez, it's 13 envisioned that the external reviewer would complete . 14 the checklist that has been circulated with that AI 15 based on a review of the -- review of the work and 16 review of the completion of the checklist by the Staff 17 Director. I don't know if the IG has anything to add 18 to that. 19 MR. KOTZ: I mean, yes, essentially that's 20 it. There's the checklists and the external reviewer 21 would get the checklist and review it to insure that 22 all items were checked appropriately on the checklist 23 and then sign it at the bottom that it was done. So 24 that the procedures that are put in place will be

insured by someone outside that these have been done

1	as per the administrative instruction.
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Where do the
3	external reviewers come from?
4	MR. MARCUS: Well, according to this
5	motion, it could be paid or unpaid. Of course, we
6	have limitations on unpaid compensation but out State
7	Advisory Committee Members serve without compensation,
8	so our State Advisory Committee members are one source
9	of external reviewers, or we could get people to come
10	in as consultants to do that alternatively.
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: How do we select
12	them or who selects them?
13	MR. MARCUS: Under this it would be for
14	the Staff Director to select the reviewer.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Additional questions,
16	comments? Okay, it's time to vote. All in favor,
17	please say aye.
18	(Aye)
19.	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any
20	abstentions? The motion carries unanimously.
21	VI. Program Planning
22	Okay, the Commission has currently scheduled for
23	January 2007 a briefing on the impact of the No Child
24	Left Behind Act on the Minority Achievement Gap. This
25	briefing will take place in Washington, DC. May I

1	have a motion to replace the scope of the briefing so
2	that the briefing would examine whether school
3	districts are facilitating or impeding the provision
4	of supplemental educational services by best qualified
5	providers required in some cases by the No Child Left
6	Behind Act?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, is there a
9	second?
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
1,1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I would also suggest
12	that we invite parents, experts and administrators to
13	testify. The information will also be sought on how
14	these decisions potentially impact disadvantaged and
15	minority children. Okay, that's my piece of the
16	discussion. Any other comments, questions? All
17	right.
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I just want to thank
19	everyone again for the accommodation.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right, all in
21	favor say aye.
22	(Aye)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any
24	abstentions? The motion carries unanimously.
25	MR. MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, given the short

time between now and the January briefing, staff has gone ahead provisionally to see whether certain potential speakers would be available for such a briefing and we've been heartened so far to have a Given the desire good response. Commissioners to have balanced groups of parents, experts and administrators, I expect that this will an unusually long briefing, perhaps with three different that each of the three groups panels so But we have so far gotten favorable represented. the couple responses from from а superintendents, from New Jersey as well as from advocacy groups and we have at least some names of parents in various areas. So the initial response has been good. Of course, it will be difficult to get people over the holidays, but if we are able to succeed and continue to get more speakers, we would envision perhaps as much as three panels.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Very good. Okay, the next item on the agenda is the approval of the revised business meeting and briefing calendar. A revised calendar was distributed to the Commissioners by email on December 12th, 2006. It reschedules the January business meeting and briefing and also changes the topic of the briefing. The January business

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

meeting and briefing will now be held on January 26th 1 and the briefing topic is the No Child Left Behind and 2 supplemental educational services. 3 Furthermore, the revised 2007 calendar 4 5 adds previously unscheduled briefing topics for the months of June, July and November. The briefing 6 topics shall be school choice and the Blaine 7 Amendments and anti-Catholicism, minority children in 8 9 state foster care and adoption and minorities in 10 special education respectively. May I have a motion 11 that the Commission approve the revised 2007 business 12 meeting and briefing calendar --COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved. 13 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: that was 15 distributed to the Commissioners via . 16 December 12th, 2006. 17 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved. 18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in 20 favor? 21 (Aye) 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 23 abstentions? The motion carries unanimously. In 24 order to give an opportunity to individuals interested 25 in the briefing schedule for this afternoon and to

1 provide information concerning said briefing, record should be kept open for 30 days. May I have a 2 3 motion to keep the record for the elementary and secondary school desegregation briefing open for 4 5 public comment for 30 days? COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, discussion? All 8 9 in favor, please say aye. 10 (Aye) 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 12 abstentions? The motion carries unanimously. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, next we will . establish a time line for Commissioner review of the 14 15 of the briefing report on affirmative action in law 16 schools. May I have a motion that establishes the 17 following time line; Commissioners submit comments on 18 the draft briefing report on affirmative action in 19 American law schools to the Office of the Staff 20 Director no later than December 29th, 2006. The 21 Office of the Staff Director will address these 22 comments in a revised draft to be distributed to 23 Commissioners on January 5th, 2007. 24 Commissioners will have until January 25 12th, 2007 to submit comments to the Office of Staff

1	Director on this revised draft. The Office of Staff
2	Director will then distribute a proposed final
3	briefing report on affirmative action in law schools
4	to Commissioners on January 18th, 2007 for a vote at
5	the January 26th, 2007 Commission business meeting.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So moved.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Second?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
10	favor?
11	(Aye)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? Any
13	objections?
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed one.
14 15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed one. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry?
15 16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed
15 16 17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez.
15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the
15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the record reflect that Commissioner Melendez opposes the
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the record reflect that Commissioner Melendez opposes the motion. The remaining Commissioners vote in favor.
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the record reflect that Commissioner Melendez opposes the motion. The remaining Commissioners vote in favor. The motion carries At the February 26th meeting, the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Opposed Commissioner Melendez. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, please let the record reflect that Commissioner Melendez opposes the motion. The remaining Commissioners vote in favor. The motion carries. At the February 26th meeting, the at the February 2006 meeting, the Commission

satisfaction of the Staff Director or his designee.

Furthermore, the Commission -- furthermore that Commission members would receive all State Advisory Committee reports but would not be asked to vote to accept or reject State Advisory Committee reports. Pursuant to this policy, I'm asking that you not vote on the reports sent by the Kentucky and Florida State Advisory Committees.

The Kentucky SAC report deals with equal educational opportunity, an analysis of the racial achievement gap in Kentucky urban schools. The Florida State Advisory Committee's reports deals with the desegregation of public school districts in . Eighteen public school districts have Florida. unitary status, 16 districts remain under court jurisdiction. These reports are intended to provide Commission with information 2007 the for its nationwide project on the subject.

The first part of the report consists of a description of the status of 67 public school districts in Florida. The second part contains an analysis on the impact of unitary status with respect to school integration. I wish to thank both State Advisory Committees for their dedicated efforts on behalf of civil rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Excuse me, I'm
2	sorry, this is Commissioner Braceras. Since I'm now
3	in the car headed back from the airport, I just want
4 .	you all to know that we're entering an area that
5	typically has very poor cell coverage. So I may be
6	dropped off the call some time within the next 10
7	minutes but I'll stay on as long as I can.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I will call back
10	in when I reach my destination.
11	VII. State Advisory Committee Issues
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, thank you. May
13	I have a motion to appoint two additional individuals
14	to the California State Advisory Committee?
15	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Can I ask a
16	question?
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Commissioner
18	Melendez.
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: On this Kentucy
20	and Florida report, are they expecting us to accept or
21	vote to accept their report or something like this?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: They should not. In
23	February of 2006, the Commission approved a motion
24	that changed our procedures. We no longer vote to
25	accept or reject reports prepared by SACs.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay, thank you. 1 Can I just speak 2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 3 to that quickly by way of background for Commissioner Melendez? 4 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, yes, Commissioner 6 Braceras. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The reason we did 7 8 that was because and I forget whether you were with us 9 at the time but in the past we had been asked to vote on reports but yet we were not allowed to take 10 11 positions on the contents of what was actually in the report. So we had to vote to accept them procedurally 12 13 which some people were very uncomfortable with if they . 14 disagreed with the content of the report. They didn't 15 want to have to vote to accept something that they 16 disagreed with. 17 So we just said we're going to publish all 18 SAC reports and we're just going to put a disclaimer 19 on them saying that they do not necessarily reflect 20 the views of the National Commission, it's the local 21 report. It's their report, we'll publish it and the 22 more voices the better. 23 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: All right, thank 24 you. 25 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, I move that the

	42
1	Commission appoint the following individuals to the
2	California State Advisory Committee, Sharon Paulo and
3	Maimon Schwarzchild. These members will serve as
4	uncompensated government employees under this motion.
5	The Commission authorizes the Staff Director to
6	execute the appropriate paperwork for the appointment.
7	Is there a second?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, this is
11	Commissioner Melendez. I had a question on Mr.
12	Schwarzschild. The question is what I have with the
13	SACs. Why is he being appointed to this and what does
14	he bring in the field of diversity and civil rights
15	background to this SAC?
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, he served as an
17	attorney in the Civil Rights Division at the Justice
18	Department from 1976 through 1981. He is a professor
19	and he specializes in constitutional law with an
20	emphasis on civil rights law and policy.
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay, my concern,
22	I know that you know, just looking at some of the
23	background, he has, in my opinion close ties to Gail
24	Harriet and I just think that why, you know, in a
I	

diverse state like California, we're talking about a

number of people and in California it's real hard to 1 -- basically, what you see is people coming out of the 2 same institutions or the same -- or colleagues from 3 the same think tank or whatever you may say, so I'm 4 just saying in a large state like California, I think 5 we could be more diverse. That's my only point. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, additional 7 Okay, all in favor, please say aye. 8 comments? 9 (Aye) Objections? 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 11 Abstentions? The motion carries unanimously. 12 Okay, I move that the Commission recharter 13 the Arizona State Advisory Committee. Under this 14 motion, the Commission appoints the 15 individuals to that committee based on recommendations 16 of the Staff Director; Thomas Patterson, Clint Bolick, 17 Gabriel Chin, Blanca Esparza, Lawrence Gallagher, 18 Lorraine Lee, Keli Luther, Jose Matus, James McKenzie, 19 Catherine Medina, Jaime Molera, Vernon Parker and 20 Frederick Taylor. These members would serve a 21 uncompensated government employees and 22 Commissioner appreciates that hard work that they will 23 no doubt contribute. Is there -- oh, and under this 24 motion, the Commission would authorize the Staff 25 Director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the

the