U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

revedited

COMMISSION MEETING

VIA TELECONFERENCE

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY, JULY 5, 2006

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C.

+ + + + +

The meeting convened via teleconference at 12:00 p.m.,

Chairperson Gerald A. Reynolds, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS CHAIRPERSON ABIGAIL THERNSTROM VICE CHAIRPERSON ARLAN D. MELENDEZ COMMISSIONER ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR. COMMISSIONER MICHAEL YAKI COMMISSIONER KENNETH L. MARCUS STAFF DIRECTOR

I N D E X

Approval of the Agenda	4
Approval of Minutes of May 4th, May 5th and June 16th Meetings	:h 5
Report of the Staff Director	6
Program Planning	
FY 2008 Statutory Report on Religious Discrimination and Prison Rights	7
Schedule for Briefing on Magnet Schools and Racial Discrimination	8
Schedule for Briefing on Racially Identifiabl School Districts in Omaha, Nebraska 1	
Management Operations	
Website: Posting Addendum to Transcript of November 2005 Briefing on Campus Anti Semitism	L- 20
Proposed Information Quality Guidelines 2	1:
State Advisory Committee Issues	
Religious Discrimination and Prisoner Rights2	2
Recharter Package for the North Carolina Stat Advisory Commission	:е 27
Adjourn	31

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	12:34 P.M.
3	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: For our listeners
4	who dialed in to listen to the teleconference, I
5	apologize for the delay. The meetings that we hold
6	via teleconference can be problematic. This is an
7	electronic meeting with all the Commissioners
8	participating in the meeting from different locations.
9	Is the Court Reporter present? Is the Court Reporter
10	present?
11	COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: It's 12:32 p.m. on
13	July 5, 2006. I will call the name of each
14	Commissioner in order to verify a quorum to hold a
15	meeting. Vice Chair Thernstrom?
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I'm here.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
18	Taylor?
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Commissioner
21	Braceras? Commissioner Yaki?
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Here.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
24	Melendez?
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Here.
	NEAL D. CDOCC

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Please let the 2 reflect that Commissioners Braceras 3 Kirsanow are not participating in this meeting and as 4 extremely important result it's that if 5 Commissioner has to leave the line that we're informed Because if anyone drops off we will 6 ahead of time. 7 not have a quorum and we cannot proceed with this 8 teleconference. 9 Is Staff Director Marcus present? 10 MR. MARCUS: Yes, I'm here. 11 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, well then the 12 meeting will come to order. I have requested that 13 whenever you speak, you first identify yourself so 14 that the person transcribing this teleconference knows 15 who is speaking. Whenever it's necessary to take a vote, 16 17 the following procedures will be followed. I will 18 call out the name of each Commissioner. 19 Commissioner should answer yes or no or abstain. 20 After the voting is concluded I will read how each of 21 you voted in order to ensure that the tally is 22 correct. 23 The first item on the agenda is the 24 approval of the agenda. May I have a motion to 25 approve the agenda?

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you, Vice
3	Chair Thernstrom.
4	Is there a second?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
6	seconds.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay. Vice Chair
8	Thernstrom, how do you vote?
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Yes, aye.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Mr. Taylor?
11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Mr. Yaki?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye, aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And Commissioner
15	Melendez?
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I'm sorry, I didn't
18	hear you?
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, and the
21	Chairman also supports the motion. So what we have
22	here we have five votes in the affirmative, none in
23	the negative and no abstentions. The motion passes.
24	Next up, approval of the minutes for the
25	May 4 and 5th meeting, as well as the June 16th

1	meeting.
2	May I have a motion?
3	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Commissioner Taylor,
4	so moved.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki
7	seconds.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any discussion?
9	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
12	Taylor?
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
17	Melendez?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: And the Chairman
20	also supports the motion.
21	Please let the record reflect that there
22	are five votes in the affirmative, no votes in
23	opposition and no abstentions. The motion passes.
24	Okay, Mr. Staff Director, do you have
25	anything your monthly report, do you have anything

}

to report?

MR. MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I'm going to keep it brief and send my written Staff Director's Report with only one item which relates to the Freedom of Information Act. December 14, 2005, the President issued Executive Order 13392 entitled "Improving Agency Disclosure of Information" which contained statements of FOIA policy and specific new planning and reporting requirements that affect all agencies.

Under the Act, agencies are required to improve their FOIA operations and submit improvement plans using a standard format by June 14, 2006. In compliance with this Act, the Commission forwarded a copy of its improvement plan to the Department of Justice and the Office of Management and Budget on 2/14/06.

In the course of preparing this report we found that the Agency is complying with FOIA requests with a median turnaround time of approximately seven days. Nevertheless, the Commission plans to improve its public information operations in several respects including by providing more documents on our public website including the Commission's authorizing statutes, regulations and annual plans so that the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

public doesn't need to request them through the more time-consuming FOIA process, finding additional FOIArelated information on our website, including contact information for our FOIA coordinator and procedural information to make the process more user-friendly for the public and promoting electronic submission of FOIA request to make the process quicker and easier. hope this will improve access of Commission information to the public. That's the only point on which I wanted to expand my written report. I would be available for any questions the Commissioners might have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any questions?

Okay, at the Commission meeting held on May 5, 2006,

Commissioners voted to produce a statutory enforcement report on religious discrimination and prisoner rights for 2008. Staff has proposed a revised concept paper which will effectuate the Commissioners' approved project. This revised concept paper was distributed to Commissioners on June 8, 2006, ensures that the scope meets the requirements of the statutory enforcement report.

May I have a motion that the scope of the report be clarified to reflect the concept paper distributed to Commissioners on June 8, 2006?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved. This is
2	Commissioner Taylor.
3	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Second,
5	Commissioner Melendez.
6	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you. Vice
7	Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
10	Taylor?
11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
15	Melendez?
16	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, let the
18	record reflect that the vote was five in the
19	affirmative. No votes in opposition and no
20	abstention. The motion carries.
21	Next item, the United States Supreme Court
22	agreed to take up two cases involving race-based
23	student assignments in K through 12 schools. Parents
24	involved the Seattle School District in Meredith v.
25	Jefferson County Board of Education. The issues

1	raised in these two cases are related to those that
2	the Commission seeks to address in the briefing of
3	magnet schools and racial discrimination which
4	Commissioners previously approved at a briefing to be
5	held in 2008.
6	All argument is expected to take place in
7	late 2006. In order to ensure that the Commission
8	provides timely and useful information to the public
9	on this important topic.
10	May I have a motion that the Commission
11	schedule a previously approved briefing on magnet
12	schools and racial discrimination on Friday, July 28,
13	2006. The briefing currently scheduled for July 28,
14	2006, voter fraud and voter implementation would be
15	postponed until another date. Under this motion the
16	briefing would be tailored to address the issues that
17	are pertinent to the cases, especially the benefits of
18	diversity and the reduction of minority group
19	isolation to elementary and secondary schools.
20	May I have a motion?
21	_yice Chairperson Thernstrom: so moved.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
23	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Commissioner Taylor,
24	second.
25	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Question?

1	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Do you have any
3	idea when we're going to reschedule the one that got
4	bumped?
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That's actually
6	going to come up later in the meeting. That's going
7	to be addressed in a meeting.
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any other questions
10	or comments?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a question.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Is there a way for us
14	to understand how much the bumping is going to affect
15	the timing of certain hearings?
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes. I believe
17	that the that everything is going to move back two
18	months.
19	MR. MARCUS: This is Kenneth Marcus. Mr.
20	Chairman, you are current. Assuming that there is a
21	formal agreement to schedule the Omaha briefing for
22	the 6th or 8th, this would require that all other
23	briefings be pushed back by two months.
24	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Any other questions
25	or concerns?

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I guess next time it
2	would be helpful if we had since we've been
3	scheduling the briefings kind of pell-mell, since the
4	last session, I never got a final listing of the
5	briefings for 2007, 2008 and their schedule. So I'm
6	just it would just help me to know what the bump
7	had in terms of some of the ones that I have an
8	interest in or that some of the other Commissioners
9	may have an interest in.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Ken would you
11	please, after we conclude today, would you please
12	distribute a revised schedule, briefing schedule?
13	MR. MARCUS: Yes, I'd be happy to.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Thank you.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Well, this
16	is Commissioner Thernstrom. We're probably all in
17	front of our computers. Why doesn't somebody send it
18	right now so that Commissioner Yaki can look at it?
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, we're in a
20	conference room, not in front of our computers. I
21	could read it aloud to you as it currently stands or
22	we could send it shortly after the meeting.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: We'd also
24	have to at least Commissioner Yaki, would you like
25	to hear what the schedule is right now before we vote?

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, that would be 2 nice. 3 CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, Ken, do you have a schedule in front of you? 4 MR. MARCUS: Okay, certainly. Currently, 5 6 we have the July meeting for voter fraud and voter 7 intimidation. September meeting would be voter rights in the U.S. territories. Followed by October, 8 9 elementary and secondary desegregation. Followed by school choice, Lane Amendment and anti-Catholicism. 10 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: November? MR. MARCUS: I think so. I think I said 12 13 October, but that would be November. December would 14 be religious discrimination in the workplace. The 15 following month is minorities and special education, 16 following by minority children in foster care and 17 adoption and then finally -- that's the final scheduled briefing. 18 We also have additional briefings that 19 20 have been approved, but not yet scheduled regarding 21 discrimination against Native Americans in border 22 towns, Title 9 athletics, accommodation interests and 23 abilities, the effect of No Child Left Behind and the 24 minority achievement gap and domestic wiretapping and the Ware on Terror. 25

	1
1	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Now wait a
2	minute, did I hear the Omaha in that list?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, that's pre the
4	list so that the last scheduled briefing for February
5	would be pushed back to April, correct?
6	MR. MARCUS: That's correct.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So we have May, June,
8	July, September, October, November, December, seven
9	briefings left to schedule for 2007.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Okay, I just
11	wanted to figure that out.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, any other
13	questions or comments? Thank you very much.
14	Okay, Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you
15	vote?
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
18	Taylor?
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I detect a lack of
23	enthusiasm? ~ Commissioner Melendez?
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
25	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, the motion
	NEAL P. GROSS

passes unanimously with five votes, so the motion
passes.
Next up, the Commission previously
approved a briefing on three racially-identifiable
school districts in Omaha, Nebraska.
May I have a motion to hold this briefing
on Friday, September 8, 2006 and to have the currently
scheduled business meeting for September 15th will
also take place on the 8th?
COMMISSIONER YAKI: What is our timing for
that briefing in terms of the time of day, etcetera?
CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I don't believe
that we have Ken, have we nailed those details down
yet?
MR. MARCUS: We haven't. We're going to
aim for 9 o'clock a.m., but it will depend, in part,
on what venue we're able to get for it.
VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Now that is
actually an important question this is Commissioner
Thernstrom an important point for me too because
I'm really going to have to go home that Friday and
get out of there and I assume that Commissioner Yaki
is asking for the same reason.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Actually, I'm more
concerned about what time I have to get there because

1	if we fly that way, we start losing time. So I would
2	probably have to fly up the night before because
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: We all
4	would.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. But I already
6	checked their 7 o'clock flights leaving Omaha to
7	Denver which can get you anywhere, for me. But I just
8	wanted to know if we're combining the business meeting
9	with the other thing, maybe we should have the
LO	business meeting I don't know.
L1,	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: On the
L2	Thursday wait a minute yes, Thursday.
L3	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Ken, at this point,
L4	do we know how much we have to cover in terms of the
L5	business meeting?
L6	MR. MARCUS: We don't know that at this
L7	point, but I would anticipate that it would be a full
L8	meeting, since we're not currently planning to have an
19	August meeting of anything that we're not able to
20	finish then and it might get pushed to September. So
21	I expect it will be a full agenda.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Well, I mean
23	that does raise a question for this is Commissioner
24	Thernstrom again. I think it's going to be very
25	awkward to have this briefing as well, an extended

1	briefing on Omaha as well as a business meeting.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: This is Chairman
3	Reynolds. I'm comfortable having a meting in August.
4	That makes a lot of sense, but Commissioner Yaki,
5	Commissioner Taylor, Commissioner Melendez, how do you
6	feel about meeting in August?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, why can't we
8	have a meeting Thursday evening like at 5?
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That works also,
10	but what is unlikely to work well is trying to combine
11	the two.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I absolutely agree.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: And the
15	problem with the Thursday meeting is more likely than
16	not, Commissioner Braceras will not be able to make
17	it.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well, can we just do
19	a phone meeting the next week for the business
20	meeting?
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: My preference,
22	especially after today, to avoid phone meetings,
23	unless there's pressing business, but yes, that is an
24	option.
25	MR. MARCUS: If I may add one thing, Mr.

1	Chairman, this is Ken Marcus. One thing to keep in
2	mind in making the plans is that there are some things
3	that we have been planning to deal with in the July
4	28th meeting which will be, at least somewhat time
5	sensitive and they would include the consideration of
6	the budget as well as a draft strategic plan.
7	I don't think that a change in the date
8	for that business meeting of a few days or a week
9	would make a difference. The change of a few weeks
10	might create significant problems in terms of meeting
11	September deadlines for OMB submission.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: This is Vice
13	Chair Thernstrom, but wait a minute, we weren't
14	talking about canceling our July meeting. We were
15	talking about adding a September an August meeting.
16	MR. MARCUS: Well, that's fine. I thought
17	I had heard someone to suggest that we not have a July
18	business meeting.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: No, I don't
20	think so.
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No, no, no. No one
22	said that.
23	MR. MARCUS: Okay, good. So if the idea
24	is to have a business meeting on July 28th and another
25	one in August, that would be helpful.

1	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, we have three
2	options on the table: a Thursday night meeting, a
3	teleconference or an August meeting. How do you want
4	to do you want to vote on this? Is there a
5	consensus?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Well, we've
7	got two members of the Commission absent, so a
8	consensus on this I don't want those same two
9	Commissioners absent again, if possible.
LO	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay.
.1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: August in D.C. ugh.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: It's
L3	beautiful there. It serves the purpose of reminding
4	you why you live in
-5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I used to live there.
L6	I know what August in D.C. is like. There's a reason
L7	why Congress goes away in August.
-8	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: You have the
L9	town to yourself.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, well, how
21	about this? Why don't we punt this particular issue
22	of when we would have the business meeting, consult
23	with Commissioners Braceras and Kirsanow and make a
24	decision after we get their input.
25	Is this the type of issue that we can

1	do we need to have a meeting open to the public in
2	order to make this type of decision?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Could we just do a
4	phone call?
5	MR. MARCUS: Phone calls not requiring any
6	sort of deliberation about the date, but simply saying
7	yes or no could be used for a minimal issue like
8	acceptance of a date.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Do we need
10	a phone call for that?
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Ken, is that
12	required? To nail down a date, is it required that we
13	either do a phone vote or have a meeting open to the
14	public?
15	MR. MARCUS: We're going to have to look
16	into whether, there would be any problems if it's not
17	done in public or not. I don't know right now, but
18	we'll look into it very quickly and look-you know if
19	that would cause a problem.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Well, I guess this
21	shooting from the hip as long as we so long as
22	we don't have problems with the <u>Federal Register</u> , the
23	date 10 days before the meeting, wouldn't we be
24	fine?
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: We have

1	taken votes on trivial matters before without formally
2	calling a meeting. I'm not talking about whether we
3	meet on a specific date or not.
4	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: This is not a
5	substantive issue. In any event, how about this,
6	let's postpone deciding when the business meeting will
7	take place and let's just vote on the motion, but
8	amended so that you won't decide when the business
9	meeting will take place.
10	So the only thing that's on the table now
11	is to have the meeting in Omaha on September 8th.
12	Is there a motion?
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So move.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'll second it.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, Vice Chair
17	Thernstrom?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
20	Taylor?
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
24	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
25	Melendez?

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, there are
3	five votes in favor of the motion, none in opposition,
4	no abstentions. The motion passes.
5	Okay, we need to make room on our schedule
6	so we already voted to have the Omaha briefings in
7	September and to move up the briefing on magnet
8	schools to July and I just want to formalize the fact
9	that we're now pushing the other briefing back two
10	months.
11	May I have a motion to postpone each of
12	the remaining briefings under this motion each
13	briefing in that period would take place at a business
14	meeting that is two months following for which it was
15	previously scheduled.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Well, Mr.
17	Chairman, there's also the fact that we are really
18	redefining that briefing on magnet schools, I mean to
19	some extent.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes, but that was
21	covered. Yes, that was covered. The briefing will be
22	tailored to address issues that a
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: All right,
24	okay. As long as that right, that was part of the
25	motion.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner
2	Taylor. All we're doing is really bumping the two
3	briefings each by two months, but they'll remain in
4	the same order as previously agreed upon.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That's correct.
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: So the motion in
8	support of this will someone please
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I so move.
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second, Commissioner
11	Taylor.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair
13	Thernstrom?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
16	Taylor?
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
21	Melendez?
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes
24	unanimously. Next up, on November 18, 2005, the
25	United States Commission on Civil Rights held a
	NEAL D. CDOOS

1	briefing on anti-Semitism on college campuses in
2 ·	America. During the course of this briefing,
3	individual speakers made various statements regarding
4	anti-semitism on various campuses. The Commission has
5	rules and regulations on potentially defamatory
6	testimony offered those individuals and entities,
7	including universities, who are potentially defamed or
8	degraded in testimony given at the briefing an
9	opportunity to respond before the records have closed.
10	Copies of the responses are those
11	individuals or entitles identified in the oral
12	testimony as if briefing were distributed to
13	Commissioners on June 8, 2006.
14	May have I motion that the Commission post
15	on its website at the earliest practical time the
16	responses of the individuals and entities so
17	identified?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved.
19	This is Vice Chair Thernstrom.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
22	Commissioner Taylor.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Discussion? Vice
24	Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
25	WEST SHATED TO THE
	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.

1	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
2	Taylor?
3	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
4	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
6	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
7	Melendez?
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes
10	unanimously.
11	Next up on the agenda is consideration of
12	proposed information quality guidelines. Would the
13	Staff Director please provide us with some background
14	on this issue?
15	MR. MARCUS: Certainly, Mr. Chairman. As
16	part of our on-going efforts to reform the Commission,
17	we're identifying reports and guidelines the
18	Commission was legally required to issue prior to the
19	transition and leadership which has not previously
20	been done.
21	One example of this is the data quality
22	guidelines. The Data Quality Act required the Office
23	of Management and Budget to develop government-wide
24	standards for data quality in the form of guidelines.
25	The purpose of the policy is to ensure quality,

objectivity, utility and integrity of the information that federal agencies disseminate. These issues, as I'm sure you will recognize, overlap somewhat with issues that are also contained within GAO evaluations of the Commission's work.

The Commission was actually required to promulgate our own conforming guidelines based on the model by September 30, 2002. The Commission did not publish its guidelines at that time. Even though they are relevant to some of the issues that we're facing and of course, they're now relevant to issues that other agencies also must address.

Several months ago I established a staff working group headed by Dr. Sock Soon MacDougal with responsibility for formulating draft guidelines responsive to our legal requirements. As a result of their efforts and work of various staff members, I'm delighted that we now have a set of draft guidelines on information quality intended to ensure that the quality of Commission data is up to appropriate standards and to establish a procedure any outside person to raise any concerns that they might have, the quality of Commission data including an appeal process. That's what is contained within the draft guideline.

1	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, may I have a
2	motion that the Commission approve for publication the
3	proposed information forwarding guidelines that were
4	sent to the Commissioners on June 9, 2006 and
5	furthermore that the Staff Director be authorized to
6	send these guidelines to the <u>Federal Register</u> for
7	publication for public notice and comment?
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: So moved.
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Discussion?
12	Vice Chair Thernstrom, how do you vote?
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
15	Taylor?
16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes, wow, aye. This
19	is brain-numbing. Yes, aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
21	Melendez?
22	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
i	H
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes unanimously.

1	2006, the Commission voted to produce a statutory
2	enforcement report on religious discrimination and
3	prisoner rights in 2008. The Commission would benefit
4	from the research and assistance of the State Advisory
5	Committees and regional offices on this issue as it
6	prepares the report.
7	May I have amotion to request that the
8	State Advisory Committees and regional offices take up
9	the issue of religious discrimination and prisoner
10	rights within their jurisdiction during 2007 to the
11	extent that funds are available?
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: So moved.
13	Commissioner Melendez.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I second.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Commissioner Yaki has
18	some questions about this.
19	Question number one, is this a mandate for
20	the SAC or is this optional for each SAC to consider?
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: This is a request.
22	This is optional.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay, number one.
24	Number two, is that whatare we giving them a time
25	line in which to respond?

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Ken?

MR. MARCUS: I envision giving them a time line, but it will vary from Committee to Committee and I suspect that there may be some states where we might particularly work with the Advisory Committee if we know that there are relevant issues in their state. In other words, this is a general request to the 51 states, but there may be some states where getting committee input is going to be particular input and others with less important. For those where it looks particularly important, we'll try to identify the issue and make it known, both to the regional office and to the Committee and to try to work with them on a schedule that will keep in mind the complexity of the issue, the schedule for our own statutory report and also, of course, the schedule for rechartering.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Finally, this goes into the experience that I've had dealing with prison bureaucracies and that is at some point I believe we are going to have to consider the subpoena power for the purposes of getting information from these institutions. I just want to make sure that as we go forward there's clear coordination so we're not stepping on each other's toes here in terms of document requests, interrogatories or subpoenas that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

may be going forward.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: This is the Chairman. Before any subpoenas would go out, we would have a discussion amongst ourselves to ensure that everyone was comfortable with what we were doing, but it is important. I'm glad you brought this issue up because there have been some concerns about how subpoenas were issued in the past and I just want to make sure that at least with respect to this project that everyone knows what we're doing and have an opportunity on the front end to weigh in.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm much less concerned about the subpoenas, Mr. Chairman, as much as it is that if you have the state advisory committees trying to get information from states or federal prison systems located in their jurisdiction, then they get a request from OCRE that we don't trip on each other.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I understand that, but what we're talking about here is a coordination issue.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Staff Director Marcus, please make a note, when we put together a working program, we should be -- we should put it together with the coordination issues in mind.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. MARCUS: That's absolutely fine.
2	We've already been working on these coordination
3	issues with respect to the analogous elementary and
4	secondary desegregation program and we'll certainly
5	what to make similar coordination efforts when it
6	comes to this one.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair
8	Thernstrom, how do you vote?
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
11	Taylor?
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
16	Melendez?
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: The motion passes
19	unanimously.
20	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Mr. Chair?
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner
23	Taylor. I have a question of the Staff Director. Are
24	we providing any more by way of guidelines or
25	assistance as to what would be helpful as they go
ا دے	appropries as co milar month he liething as cliek
5	assistance as to what would be helpful as they go

about their business?

MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Taylor, I expect we will want to, but it's going to require a little bit more in the way of coordination between our regional people and our headquarters staff in terms of what people's ideas are before we can give more formal guidance, but I think that the input that we get from our State Advisory Committees is going to be much more helpful if there are common methodologies used between the different State Advisory Committees and the different regional offices.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, next up we have a rechartering package from the North Carolina State Advisory Committee to recharter the North Carolina State Advisory Committee.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, the following individuals were -- the Commission appoints the following individuals to the Committee based on the recommendations of the Staff Director: Kevin Leonard, Marian Ackerman, Stella Adams, Reggie Holley, George Lees, Rick Martinez, Thealette Monet, Rhonda Gillespie Rainey, Willie Ratchford, Geraldine Sumter and Sarah Cecilia Taylor.

And also, the motion should include -- and

NEAL R. GROSS

1	I'd like to offer an amendment. I also move Kevin
2	Leonard as the chair of the newly chartered North
3	Carolina State Advisory Committee.
4	Discussion?
5	MR. MARCUS: Mr Chairman, if I may, under
6	this motion, would the Commission authorize the Staff
7	Director to execute the appropriate paperwork in order
8	to carry out the appointments?
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes, and it should
10	also be clear that these members will serve as
11	uncompensated Government employees and the information
12	that you just stated, the fact that Staff Director
13	would be authorized to execute the paperwork provided
14	this motion carries.
15	All right, any discussion?
16	Vice Chair Thernstrom?
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Is it a
18	question of how do I vote?
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: I think this
21	motion was never seconded. I hate to tell you.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Second. Melendez.
24	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Vice Chair
25	Thernstrom?
	·

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
3	Taylor?
4	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commission Yaki?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Abstain.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Commissioner
8	Melendez?
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Aye.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, let the
11	record reflect that four Commissioners voted in the
12	affirmative and that Commissioner Yaki abstained. The
13	motion carries.
14	Folks, we're done, unless you have any
15	additional questions or comments, we're done.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Amazing.
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner
18	Taylor. Staff Director, I just wanted to let you know
19	that for purposes of scheduling the meeting in August,
20	I am flexible. Any of those options discussed work
21	for me.
22	MR. MARCUS: That's fine. We plan to have
23	both a briefing and a business meeting on September 8
24	and then we'll find out what people's availability is
25	for face-to-face business meeting in August.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: IN August,
2	well, that would well, would that take care of at
3	least some of the problems of business meeting in
4	September, along with the briefing which is what this
5	this is a remedy for that problem, in part, I
6	thought.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I didn't catch all
8	you said.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: Well, I mean
10	I had understood and this is the basis for my original
11	suggestion. If we had a meeting in August, it would
12	take care of some of the business that would otherwise
13	require an extended business meeting when we're out in
14	Omaha.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: That was my
16	understanding. It was my understanding that if we had
17	the August meeting that we would get you the briefings
18	
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: But that is
20	not the way the Staff Director just described it.
21	MR. MARCUS: Okay, I appreciate the
22	clarification. I did not I was not clear on that.
23	The consensus is that there will be no business
24	meeting in the month of September.
25	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Provided that we

1 have August meeting that an or we have а 2 teleconference. VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: 3 Right. 4 provided there is absolutely no urgent business. 5 Okay, that's fine. MR. MARCUS: 6 will be in touch with people about their availability 7 for the --8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Do we -- for the 9 September meeting, is there a possibility that we 10 could -- what kind of location are we thinking of 11 doing it in? For two reasons, one, so we know what 12 hotel to try and book to be nearby, so we're not 13 spending a lot of money on cabs or cars or whatever. 14 MR. MARCUS: Commissioner Yaki, this is 15 Staff Director again. We are currently looking into 16 hotels in Omaha. The first thing we're looking into 17 is the prospect of arranging for a package with a 18 hotel under which all of us would be staying at the 19 hotel and we would actually have the meeting in the 20 hotel which would give us potentially a better deal 21 financially. 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's what I thought 23 would make the most sense, but I didn't want to 24 intrude on your authority. 25 MR. MARCUS: There other are

1	possibilities, but that's what we're looking at as the
2	first preference.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So we won't book a
4	hotel until we otherwise hear from you?
5	MR. MARCUS: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON THERNSTROM: This is a
7_	small comment on that, when we were on the road under
8	the previous regime, we ended up in really grungy
9	hotels. I have a preference for hotels that are not
10	grungy.
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: I'm sure that we
12	all share that preference.
13	MR. MARCUS: We will certainly be looking
14	for a hotel that is of standards that are appropriate
15	'for Commission meetings. Of course, we'll be looking
16	for hotels that meet Government standards in terms of
17	Government rates, but looking for the highest quality
18	we can within Government payment parameters.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't know if grunge
20	is in the FARs, but we can take a look at that.
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNOLDS: Okay, folks, if
22	there's nothing else, we can adjourn.
23	(Whereupon, at 1:16 p.m., the Commission
24	meeting was concluded.)
25	